BMJ Open Respondent-driven sampling to assess mental health outcomes, stigma and acceptance among women raising children born from sexual violencerelated pregnancies in eastern **Democratic Republic of Congo**

Jennifer Scott,^{1,2,3,4} Shada Rouhani,^{3,4,5} Ashley Greiner,^{3,4,6} Katherine Albutt,^{3,4,7} Philipp Kuwert,⁸ Michele R Hacker,^{1,4,9} Michael VanRooyen,^{3,4,5,10} Susan Bartels^{3,4,6,10}

ABSTRACT

To cite: Scott J, Rouhani S, Greiner A, et al. Respondentdriven sampling to assess mental health outcomes. stigma and acceptance among women raising children born from sexual violence-related pregnancies in eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. BMJ Open 2015;5:e007057. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007057

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2014-007057).

Received 30 October 2014 Revised 27 January 2015 Accepted 25 February 2015



For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Susan Bartels; susanabartels@gmail.com

Objectives: Assess mental health outcomes among women raising children from sexual violence-related pregnancies (SVRPs) in eastern Democratic Republic of Congo and stigma toward and acceptance of women and their children.

Design: Participants were recruited using respondentdriven sampling.

Setting: Bukavu, Democratic Republic of Congo in 2012.

Participants: 757 adult women raising children from SVRPs were interviewed. A woman aged 18 and older was eligible for the study if she self-identified as a sexual violence survivor since the start of the conflict (~1996), conceived an SVRP, delivered a liveborn child and was currently raising the child. A woman was ineligible for the study if the SVRP ended with a spontaneous abortion or fetal demise or the child was not currently living or in the care of the biological mother.

Intervention: Trained female Congolese interviewers verbally administered a quantitative survey after obtaining verbal informed consent.

Outcome measures: Symptom criteria for major depressive disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety and suicidality were assessed, as well as stigma toward the woman and her child. Acceptance of the woman and child from the spouse, family and community were analysed.

Results: 48.6% met symptom criteria for major depressive disorder, 57.9% for post-traumatic stress disorder, 43.3% for anxiety and 34.2% reported suicidality. Women who reported stigma from the community (38.4%) or who reported stigma toward the child from the spouse (42.9%), family (31.8%) or community (38.1%) were significantly more likely to meet symptom criteria for most mental health disorders. Although not statistically significant,

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The study provides data on mental health outcomes, stigma and acceptance among a population of women that has not been included in other research approaches.
- The sample was large, and in this study, respondent-driven sampling may approximate a random sample.
- We relied on self reporting; however, with the screening questions, sensitive nature of sexual violence-related pregnancies (SVRPs) and minimal incentive, we are confident that only eligible participants were interviewed.
- Further, mental health disorders were classified based on symptom criteria and diagnostic interviews were not conducted. It is possible that questions were misinterpreted or the instruments do not match local constructs of mental health outcomes, stigma and acceptance.
- Owing to regional insecurity, the study was terminated early; thus, potential participants with coupons may not have been interviewed. Despite the early study termination, over 750 women presented for interviews within a 4-week study period.

participants who reported acceptance and acceptance of their children from the spouse, family and community were less likely to meet symptom criteria. **Conclusions:** Women raising children from SVRPs experience symptoms of mental health disorders. Programming addressing stigma and acceptance following sexual violence may improve mental health outcomes in this population.

BACKGROUND

Sexual violence, widespread in eastern Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC),^{1 2} has mental health consequences, including depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), anxiety and suicidal ideation.^{1 3 4} In DRC, stigma and social rejection as a result of sexual violence have been reported^{1 5–10} and having a child from sexual violence is a risk factor for social rejection.⁵ Data on sexual violence-related pregnancies (SVRPs) are limited, but studies in DRC estimated the prevalence of SVRPs to be 6–17% among sexual violence survivors.^{1 5 11}

Reports from DRC and other conflict settings suggest that having a child from an SVRP leads to complex psychosocial phenomena, including stigma,⁸ ^{12–14} but there are limited data on mental health outcomes among women who experienced SVRPs. Several studies indicate that stigmatisation plays a mediating role in the relationship between sexual violence and mental health outcomes in conflict settings,⁹ ^{15–17} but these studies have not focused on women with SVRPs. There is increasing attention on mental health programming for sexual violence survivors in conflict settings,³ ¹⁸ ¹⁹ and data on SVRPs could further inform programming.

This study primarily aimed to assess mental health outcomes among women raising children from SVRPs in eastern DRC. The study also aimed to determine: (1) perceived stigma toward women by the community and stigma toward the child from the woman's spouse, family, and community and (2) perceived acceptance of the woman and her child from the spouse, family and community.

METHODS

The study was conducted in Bukavu, South Kivu Province, DRC, in October–November 2012.

Participant recruitment

Study participants were recruited using respondentdriven sampling (RDS)—a peer-to-peer recruitment system used to sample hard-to-reach populations.²⁰ ²¹ The details of RDS methodology for this study were previously described.²²

There were two study groups: (1) women raising children from SVRPs (parenting group) and (2) women who terminated SVRPs (termination group). Only parenting group data are presented in this manuscript. A woman aged 18 and older was eligible for the study if she self-identified as a sexual violence survivor since the start of the conflict (~1996), conceived an SVRP, delivered a liveborn child and was currently raising the child. A woman was ineligible for the study if the SVRP ended with a spontaneous abortion or fetal demise, or the child was not currently living or in the care of the biological mother.

Procedure

Partner organisations identified 18 initial participants who met eligibility criteria and were well networked in the community (8 for the parenting group, 8 for the termination group and 2 were eligible for both groups). The initial participants were not included in the analysis. Each initial participant received three uniquely numbered coupons with a 2-week expiration date to distribute to three other potentially eligible women. The women received verbal instructions about the study purpose and were asked to recruit participants for both study groups.

On arrival to the study centre with a valid coupon, a recruited participant answered standardised screening questions to confirm study eligibility. Following verbal informed consent, trained female Congolese interviewers verbally administered the survey in a private setting. On completion, participants received an incentive (a headscarf), transport reimbursement and three coupons to distribute to three potentially eligible women. A secondary incentive for recruitment was not provided. Recruitment was tracked through coupon numbering. Details on recruitment patterns were previously described.²² Owing to regional insecurity, the study investigators terminated the study early after a 4-week period of data collection.

Instruments

The survey was developed in collaboration with partner organisations and field experts, written in English, translated into Kiswahili and back translated. A panel resolved translation discrepancies. Cognitive interviewing and pilot testing of survey instruments were conducted prior to survey administration. The survey was verbally administered in Kiswahili and the interviewer recorded responses on electronic handheld devices using KOBO technology.²³

Mental health outcomes were assessed with instruments previously used in conflict settings and to assess survivors of violence.^{1 3 24 25} Using a cut-off score of \geq 3, validated short forms of the Patient Health Questionnaire PHQ-9 (PHQ-2)²⁶ and the Generalised Anxiety Disorder GAD-7 (GAD-2)²⁷ assessed symptoms of depression and anxiety, respectively. Using a cut-off score of \geq 50, the PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version (PCL-C) assessed symptoms of PTSD.²⁸ Women were asked about suicidal ideation and suicide attempts. Owing to a programming error, 74 participants who reported 'no' to the first GAD-2 question were not asked the second question and were not considered to meet criteria for anxiety.

The 99-question survey instrument included five questions on stigma and six questions on acceptance. Perceived stigma toward the woman by the community and stigma toward the child from the woman's spouse, family and community were assessed using five-point Likert scale questions (ie, "I believe that the community stigmatises me because I have a child born from sexual violence"). Perceived acceptance of the woman and her child from the spouse, family and community were assessed with five-point Likert scale questions (ie, "My family members accept my child as he/she is").

Definitions

Sexual violence was defined as "intercourse against your will, being forced to undress, molestation, and other unwanted sexual acts". Gang rape was defined as two or more perpetrators. Sexual captivity was defined as >24 h in captivity of a sexual nature. An SVRP was any pregnancy self-reported to have been conceived as a result of sexual violence. Perpetrator(s) refer to the person(s) who inflicted sexual violence. If participants reported raising more than one child from sexual violence, data from the oldest child and from that episode of sexual violence were analysed.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval was obtained from Harvard School of Public Health, the Provincial Minister of Health and Medical Inspector, and the study's community advisory board. Two trained psychosocial assistants offered on-site counselling. All participants received a referral card for medical and/or mental healthcare. Interviewers completed a 6-day training and had prior research experience and/or experience working with sexual violence survivors. Identifying information was not collected and study-related documents did not disclose the nature of the study. Electronic devices and data were password protected and files encrypted.

Statistical Analysis

Analysis of recruitment patterns and potential biases was conducted using RDSAT 7.1.38.29 RDSAT population proportion weighting estimates were generated from the mean network size for all variables. A variable was weighted for in the final analysis if it had a homophily of >0.3 or if its population proportion estimate required over a 5% correction. Of nine variables analysed, five (religion, ethnicity, place of origin, current residency and marital status) met these criteria and required weighting. Cross recruitment between the study groups prevented analysis of recruitment patterns by individual group; thus, weights to account for over-recruitment or under-recruitment were generated using the entire study population.²² All further data analysis was performed using SAS V.9.3.³⁰ Likert scale responses "strongly agree" or "agree" were considered affirmative. Suicidal ideation or attempt was analysed as "suicidality". Data are reported as mean±SD or proportion. A χ^2 test was used for comparisons and Poisson regression was used to calculate risk ratios and 95% CIs for crude and multivariable models. Variables significant on univariate testing and known confounders were included in the multivariable model and are listed in tables 4 and 5. All tests were two-sided and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Among the 18 initial participants for the study, 12 recruited respondents and 6 did not recruit.²² Among respondents interviewed, 53.3% made at least one referral and 46.7% did not recruit any participants.²² In total, 757 women were interviewed for the parenting group.

Participants were 33.8 ± 9.1 years of age and the children were 5.7 ± 2.3 years of age. The majority resided in Bukavu (76.1%), reported Bashi ethnicity (74.0%), and were Catholic (53.2%) or Protestant (45.1%). At the time of the survey, women reported being married (31.9%), divorced or separated (28.7%), widowed (20.5%) or never married (12.2%); the remaining (6.8%) reported husband missing, living with partner, or other. The majority (88.5%) reported one sexual violence incident, while 11.5% reported more than one incident. The most common dates of sexual violence were 2004–2008. The majority of women reported ≥ 2 perpetrators (80.6%) and that the pregnancy was conceived in captivity (85.5%). Over 90% reported that the perpetrators were from a single armed group.

Among participants, 48.6% met symptom criteria for depression, 57.9% for PTSD, and 43.3% for anxiety; 34.2% reported suicidality. Women who reported having a spouse at the time of the survey were less likely to meet criteria for depression (32.8% vs 56.3%, p<0.0001), PTSD (50.6% vs 61.4%, p=0.004), anxiety (33.4% vs 47.7%, p=0.0002) and suicidality (19.1% vs 40.9%, p<0.0001) compared to women who did not report having a spouse (table 1). Women who reported \geq 2 perpetrators were more likely than those who reported 1 perpetrator to meet symptom criteria for PTSD (59.7% vs 49.3%, p=0.02) and suicidality (36.0% vs 26.5%, p=0.03). There were no differences in mental health outcomes among women whose SVRP resulted from captivity compared to those who did not report captivity.

Stigma toward the woman from the community was reported by 38.4% of participants. Stigma was reported in varied ways: "I have been emotionally abused" (26%), "they make me feel dirty" (24.5%), "I have been raped" (23.7%), "I am not accepted by my peers" (20.6%), and "I am not allowed to participate in social events" (7.9%); however, 36.2% of participants reported no stigma. Women who reported "the community stigmatizes me" were more likely to meet criteria for depression (56.8% vs 43.9%, p=0.002), PTSD (71.9% vs 52.0%, p<0.0001), anxiety (60.5% vs 35.8%, p<0.0001), and suicidality (47.1% vs 25.7%, p<0.0001; table 2).

Participants who reported stigma toward the child from the spouse (42.9%), family (31.8%), and the community (38.1%) were more likely to meet criteria for all mental health outcomes (all p<0.05). Women who reported acceptance following sexual violence and/or acceptance of the child from the spouse, family and /or community following sexual violence were less likely to meet criteria for mental health disorders (table 3).

Crude and adjusted risk ratios demonstrate that stigma toward the woman from the community was associated

| | Depression | | | PTSD | | | Anxiety | | | Suicidality* | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Variable | Yes | No | p Value | Yes | No | p Value | Yes | No | p Value | Yes | No | p Value |
| Demographic cha | racteristics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Has a spouse | | | <0.0001 | | | 0.004 | | | 0.0002 | | | <0.0001 |
| Yes | 82 (32.8%) | 168 (67.2%) | | 126 (50.6%) | 123 (49.4%) | | 83 (33.4%) | 166 (66.6%) | | 48 (19.1%) | 202 (80.9%) | |
| No | 289 (56.3%) | 224 (43.7%) | | 315 (61.4%) | 198 (38.6%) | | 244 (47.7%) | 268 (52.3%) | | 210 (40.9%) | 303 (59.1%) | |
| Education | | | 0.006 | | | 0.84 | | | 0.005 | | | 0.009 |
| Any education | 222 (53.1%) | 196 (46.9%) | | 243 (58.2%) | 175 (41.8%) | | 200 (47.9%) | 217 (52.1%) | | 159 (38.1%) | 259 (61.9%) | |
| No education | 148 (42.4%) | 197 (57.1%) | | 198 (57.5%) | 147 (42.5%) | | 130 (37.9%) | 213 (62.1%) | | 100 (28.9%) | 245 (71.1%) | |
| Sexual violence il | ncident characte | nistics | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of perpet | rators | | 0.25 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.13 | | | 0.03 |
| Two or more | 298 (49.2%) | 308 (50.8%) | | 361 (59.7%) | 244 (40.3%) | | 269 (44.5%) | 336 (55.6%) | | 218 (36.0%) | 388 (64.1%) | |
| One | 64 (43.9%) | 82 (56.1%) | | 72 (49.3%) | 74 (50.7%) | | 55 (37.5%) | 91 (62.5%) | | 39 (26.5%) | 107 (73.5%) | |
| Pregnancy from s | exual captivity | | 0.48 | | | 0.66 | | | 0.96 | | | 0.20 |
| Yes | 308 (48.5%) | 327 (51.5%) | | 368 (57.9%) | 267 (42.1%) | | 271 (42.7%) | 364 (57.3%) | | 218 (34.4%) | 417 (65.6%) | |
| No | 48 (44.8%) | 59 (55.2%) | | 60 (55.6%) | 48 (44.4%) | | 46 (43.0%) | 61 (57.1%) | | 30 (28.1%) | 77 (71.9%) | |
| Remembers perp | etrator when set | es the child | 0.56 | | | <0.0001 | | | 0.01 | | | 0.77 |
| Yes | 250 (49.4%) | 256 (50.6%) | | 326 (64.4%) | 180 (35.6%) | | 235 (46.5%) | 270 (53.5%) | | 174 (34.4%) | 332 (65.7%) | |
| No | 123 (47.2%) | 137 (52.8%) | | 117 (45.0%) | 143 (55.0%) | | 96 (37.1%) | 164 (62.9%) | | 86 (33.3%) | 173 (66.7%) | |
| *Suicidality include SVRP. sexual viole | s women who re | ported suicide id nancv. | eation or su | icide attempt. | | | | | | | | |

| | | p Value | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 | | | | 0.01 | | | <0.0001 | | | 0.003 | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| | | No | | 124 (52.9%) | 279 (74.3%) | | | 225 (58.3%) | 280 (73.8%) | | 89 (63.0%) | 144 (76.1%) | | 127 (53.5%) | 369 (72.4%) | | 136 (58.3%) | 265 (70.3%) | |
| | Suicidality* | Yes | | 110 (47.1%) | 96 (25.7%) | | | 161 (41.7%) | 99 (26.2%) | | 52 (37.0%) | 45 (23.9%) | | 111 (46.6%) | 141 (27.6%) | | 97 (41.7%) | 112 (29.7%) | |
| | | p Value | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 | | | | 0.002 | | | <0.0001 | | | 0.0004 | | | |
| | | No | | 93 (39.5%) | 241 (64.3%) | | | 180 (46.6%) | 254 (67.0%) | | 69 (48.5%) | 124 (65.8%) | | 100 (42.1%) | 325 (63.7%) | | 104 (44.7%) | 224 (59.4%) | m the analysis. |
| RPs | Anxiety | Yes | | 142 (60.5%) | 134 (35.8%) | | | 206 (53.4%) | 125 (33.0%) | | 73 (51.5%) | 65 (34.2%) | | 138 (57.9%) | 185 (36.4%) | | 129 (55.3%) | 153 (40.6%) | analysis. ere excluded froi |
| oorn from SV | | p Value | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 | | | | 0.0002 | | | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 | | | ded from the a lal violence w this analysis |
| aising children t | | No | | 66 (28.1%) | 180 (48.0%) | | | 117 (30.4%) | 205 (54.1%) | | 46 (32.1%) | 99 (52.3%) | | 66 (27.9%) | 250 (48.9%) | | 65 (27.8%) | 181 (47.9%) | ipt. ence were exclur rnow of the sexu re excluded from |
| among women r | PTSD | Yes | | 169 (71.9%) | 195 (52.0%) | | | 269 (70.0%) | 174 (45.9%) | | 96 (67.9%) | 90 (47.7%) | | 171 (72.1%) | 261 (51.1%) | | 168 (72.2%) | 196 (52.1%) | or suicide attem of the sexual viole spouse did not I kual violence wei |
| th outcomes | | p Value | 0.002 | | | <0.0001 | | | | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 | | | 0.02 | | | icide ideation s not aware c reported their are of the sex |
| and mental heal | | No | s me† | 101 (43.2%) | 211 (56.1%) | pouse, family, | | 170 (44.1%) | 223 (58.7%) | pouse‡ | 64 (45.3%) | 133 (70.2%) | amily§ | 97 (40.7%) | 291 (57.0%) | ommunity† | 104 (44.9%) | 206 (54.7%) | n who reported su he community wa a spouse or who amily was not aw ted pregnancy. |
| Reported stigma | Depression | Yes | unity stigmatises | 133 (56.8%) | 165 (43.9%) | vard child from s | hity | 216 (55.9%) | 157 (41.3%) | ard child from s | 78 (54.7%) | 56 (29.8%) | vard child from fa | 141 (59.3%) | 219 (43.0%) | vard child from c | 128 (55.1%) | 171 (45.3%) | includes womer increported that the increport and increport and increport and increported their fuel violence-relation |
| Table 2 | | Variable | The comm | Yes | No | Stigma tow | or commur | Yes | No | Stigma tow | Yes | No | Stigma tow | Yes | No | Stigma tow | Yes | No | *Suicidality †Those wh ‡Those wh §Those wh SVRP, sexu |

Open Access

| | Prision Antery by late Pision p value Yea No p value P value <t< th=""><th>ion No p Valu</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<> | ion No p Valu | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| p Value vs No p Value vs | P Value Yea No P Value Yea No P Value Yea P Value P Value Yea P Value P Value Yea P Value P Valu | p Valu | PTSD | | | Anxiety | | | Suicidality* | | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | -0.0001 -0.000 221 (5.3.7%) 277 (46.3%) -0.0001 223 (53.7%) 277 (46.3%) -0.0001 221 (72.6%) 46 (7.4%) -0.0001 228 (54.0%) 277 (46.3%) -0.0001 228 (46.1%) 74 (44.0%) -0.0003 238 (46.1%) 77 (29.6%) 84 (50.2%) -0.08 238 (46.1%) 77 (29.5%) 89 (66.5%) -0.0001 298 (54.0%) 254 (40.0%) 216 (391%) 336 (60.9%) 44 (24.6%) 135 (75.4%) -0.0001 137 (68.6%) 63 (31.4%) -0.0001 138 (54.0%) 254 (40.0%) 216 (391%) 336 (60.9%) 160 (291%) 392 (70.9%) -0.0001 130 (53.0%) 168 (47.0%) -0.0001 130 (53.0%) 168 (47.0%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 63 (31.4%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 138 (80, 9%) 226 (61.4%) 12 (43.3%) 168 (70.9%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 168 (47.0%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 168 (47.0%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 168 (47.0%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 236 (60.9%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 236 (60.9%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 168 (47.0%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 168 (47.0%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 260 (61.8%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 263 (45.8%) -0.0001 190 (53.0%) 263 (45.8%) -0.0001 100 (52.9%) 26 (40.8%) -0.0001 114 (70.3%) 60 (29.7%) -0.0001 116 (45.8%) 236 (60.9%) -0.0001 116 (45.8%) 236 (40.9%) -0.0001 116 | | ue Yes | No | p Value | Yes | No | p Value | Yes | No | p Value |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 321 (53.7%) 277 (46.3%) 288 (53.7%) 280 (60.3%) 177 (25.6%) 42 (70.4%) 43 (50.2%) 40 (55.7%) 238 (43.9%) 84 (50.2%) 0.008 43 (55.7%) 132 (72.6%) 41 (25.2%) 132 (72.6%) 41 (24.6%) 133 (75.4%) 0.001 0.001 238 (46.1%) 74 (44.0%) 0.0001 44 (24.6%) 83 (43.8%) 83 (45.6%) 83 (45.6%) 83 (45.6%) 0.0001 0.0001 235 (75.4%) 83 (45.6%) 0.0001 160 (29.1%) 332 (70.9%) 0.0001 0.0001 236 (60.9%) 246 (5.7%) 112 (44.3%) 0.0001 32 (45.6%) 107 (53.3%) 0.0001 32 (45.8%) 20 (0001 32 (45.8%) 20 (0001 20 (40.0%) 20 (40.0%) 20 (44.9%) 0.0001 32 (45.8%) 20 (0001 20 (45.8%) 20 (40.0%) 20 (44.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) 20 (45.8%) | <0.00(| 01 | | <0.0001 | | | 0.0002 | | | <0.0001 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 321 (53.7%) | 277 (46.3%) | | 238 (39.7%) | 360 (60.3%) | | 177 (29.6%) | 421 (70.4%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 122 (72.6%) | 46 (27.4%) | | 94 (56.1%) | 74 (44.0%) | | 83 (49.8%) | 84 (50.2%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.03 | | | <0.0001 | | | 0.0009 | | | 0.08 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 83 (46.1%) | 97 (53.9%) | | 62 (34.8%) | 117 (65.2%) | | 44 (24.6%) | 135 (75.4%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 95 (70.5%) | 40 (29.5%) | | 72 (53.6%) | 62 (46.4%) | | 45 (33.5%) | 89 (66.5%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | <0.00(| 01 | | 0.0004 | | | 0.0001 | | | <0.0001 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 298 (54.0%) | 254 (46.0%) | | 216 (39.1%) | 336 (60.9%) | | 160 (29.1%) | 392 (70.9%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 137 (68.6%) | 63 (31.4%) | | 109 (54.6%) | 90 (45.4%) | | 92 (46.2%) | 107 (53.8%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | <0.00(| 01 | | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 190 (53.0%) | 168 (47.0%) | | 138 (38.6%) | 220 (61.4%) | | 88 (24.6%) | 270 (75.4%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 181 (71.7%) | 71 (28.3%) | | 140 (55.7%) | 112 (44.3%) | | 116 (45.8%) | 137 (54.2%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | <0.00(| 01 | | <0.0001 | | | 0.0021 | | | <0.0001 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 302 (53.4%) | 263 (46.6%) | | 226 (40.0%) | 229 (60.0%) | | 162 (28.6%) | 203 (71.4%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 141 (70.3%) | 60 (29.7%) | | 106 (52.5%) | 95 (47.5%) | | 99 (49.1%) | 102 (50.1%) | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.05 | | | 0.001 | | | 0.01 | | | 0.02 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 68 (46.7%) | 78 (53.3%) | | 52 (35.2%) | 95 (64.8%) | | 34 (23.4%) | 112 (76.6%) | |
| <0.0001 | <0.0001 | | 115 (64.8%) | 63 (35.2%) | | 87 (49.2%) | 90 (50.9%) | | 62 (35.1%) | 115 (64.9%) | |
| 269 (52.3%) 245 (47.7%) 199 (38.7%) 315 (61.3%) 138 (26.9%) 376 (73.1%) 167 (70.0%) 72 (30.0%) 127 (53.4%) 111 (46.7%) 116 (48.7%) 122 (51.4%) <0.0001 <0.0001 171 (51.7%) 160 (48.3%) 127 (38.3%) 204 (61.7%) 77 (23.2%) 254 (76.8%) 208 (69.8%) 90 (30.2%) 162 (54.4%) 136 (45.7%) 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | <0.00(| 01 | | <0.0001 | | | 0.0002 | | | <0.0001 |
| 167 (70.0%) 72 (30.0%) 127 (53.4%) 111 (46.7%) 116 (48.7%) 122 (51.4%) <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 127 (38.3%) 204 (61.7%) 77 (23.2%) 254 (76.8%) 208 (69.8%) 90 (30.2%) 162 (54.4%) 136 (45.7%) 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | _ | 269 (52.3%) | 245 (47.7%) | | 199 (38.7%) | 315 (61.3%) | | 138 (26.9%) | 376 (73.1%) | |
| <0.0001 <0.0001 | <0.0001 | | 167 (70.0%) | 72 (30.0%) | | 127 (53.4%) | 111 (46.7%) | | 116 (48.7%) | 122 (51.4%) | |
| 171 (51.7%) 160 (48.3%) 127 (38.3%) 204 (61.7%) 77 (23.2%) 254 (76.8%) 208 (69.8%) 90 (30.2%) 162 (54.4%) 136 (45.7%) 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) | 171 (51.7%) 160 (48.3%) 127 (38.3%) 204 (61.7%) 77 (23.2%) 254 (76.8%) 208 (69.8%) 90 (30.2%) 162 (54.4%) 136 (45.7%) 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) rior suicide ideation or suicide attempt. 162 (54.4%) 136 (45.7%) 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) avare of the sexual violence were excluded from the analysis. 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) 151 (52.8%) avare of the sexual violence were excluded from the analysis. 162 (54.4%) 136 (45.7%) 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) | <0.00(| 01 | | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 |
| 208 (69.8%) 90 (30.2%) 162 (54.4%) 136 (45.7%) 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) | 208 (69.8%) 90 (30.2%) 162 (54.4%) 136 (45.7%) 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) rior suicide ideation or suicide attempt. indicated their husband was not aware of the sexual violence were excluded from the analysis. 141 (47.3%) 157 (52.8%) avare of the sexual violence were excluded from the analysis. indicated their husband was not analysis. 151 (52.8%) avare of the sexual violence were excluded from this analysis. indicated the analysis. 151 (52.8%) | | 171 (51.7%) | 160 (48.3%) | | 127 (38.3%) | 204 (61.7%) | | 77 (23.2%) | 254 (76.8%) | |
| | rior suicide ideation or suicide attempt. o indicated their husband was not aware of the sexual violence were excluded from the analysis. ware of the sexual violence were excluded from this analysis. ity was aware of SV were excluded from the analysis. | | 208 (69.8%) | 90 (30.2%) | | 162 (54.4%) | 136 (45.7%) | | 141 (47.3%) | 157 (52.8%) | |

| Table 4 Unadju | isted and adjusted* ri | sk ratio (RR) and 95% | % CIs (95% CI) of ch | aracteristics of wome | en raising children bo | rn from SVRPs | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Depression | | PTSD | | Anxiety | | Suicidality† | |
| Variable | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) |
| Has a spouse Yes | 0.58 (0.48 to 0.71) | 0.55 (0.45 to 0.69) | 0.82 (0.72 to 0.95) | 0.87 (0.75 to 1.00) | 0.70 (0.57 to 0.85) | 0.71 (0.57 to 0.88) | 0.47 (0.35 to 0.62) | 0.50 (0.37 to 0.67) |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Education | | | | | | | | |
| Any education | 1.23 (1.06 to 1.43) | 1.20 (1.02 to 1.41) | 1.01 (0.90 to 1.14) | 0.98 (0.87 to 1.12) | 1.27 (1.07 to 1.50) | 1.27 (1.06 to 1.53) | 1.31 (1.07 to 1.61) | 1.17 (0.94 to 1.45) |
| No education | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Number of perpe | trators | | | | | | | |
| Two or more | 1.10 (0.94 to 1.30) | 1.09 (0.89 to 1.33) | 1.26 (1.04 to 1.52) | 1.20 (1.01 to 1.42) | 1.13 (0.97 to 1.30) | 1.13 (0.91 to 1.41) | 1.15 (1.02 to 1.29) | 1.36 (1.02 to 1.82) |
| One | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Pregnancy from | captivity | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1.08 (0.86 to 1.35) | 1.16 (0.93 to 1.5) | 1.04 (0.87 to 1.25) | 1.04 (0.86 to 1.26) | 0.99 (0.78 to 1.26) | 1.04 (0.81 to 1.34) | 1.22 (0.89 to 1.68) | 1.31 (0.95 to 1.80) |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Stigma toward w | oman by community | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1.29 (1.10 to 1.52) | 0.99 (0.83 to 1.18) | 1.38 (1.22 to 1.57) | 1.13 (0.99 to 1.30) | 1.69 (1.43 to 2.01) | 1.29 (1.07 to 1.56) | 1.83 (1.47 to 2.28) | 1.37 (1.07 to 1.74) |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Stigma toward ch | vild by spouse, family | or community | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1.35 (1.17 to 1.57) | 1.32 (1.11 to 1.57) | 1.52 (1.34 to 1.73) | 1.45 (1.25 to 1.69) | 1.62 (1.36 to 1.92) | 1.39 (1.14 to 1.70) | 1.59 (1.30 to 1.96) | 1.31 (1.03 to 1.67) |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In addition to the | above variables, adjus | sted for age of woman, | age of child, if the cor | nmunity was aware of | the assault and numb | er of meals a day. | | |
| FSuicidality includ SVRP, sexual viol | es women who reporte ence-related pregnanc | ed prior suicide ideatior y. | n or suicide attempt. | | | | | |

6

| Table 5 Unadju | sted and adjusted* ris | sk ratio (RR) and 959 | % CIs (95% CI) of ch | aracteristics of wome | en raising children bo | rn from SVRPs | | |
|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Depression | | PTSD | | Anxiety | | Suicidality† | |
| Variable | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% Cl) | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) |
| Has a spouse Yes No | 0.58 (0.48 to 0.71) 1.00 | 0.58 (0.46 to 0.72) 1.00 | 0.82 (0.72 to 0.95) 1.00 | 0.87 (0.75 to 1.02) 1.00 | 0.70 (0.57 to 0.85) 1.00 | 0.70 (0.56 to 0.87) 1.00 | 0.47 (0.35 to 0.62) 1.00 | 0.52 (0.39 to 0.70) 1.00 |
| Education Any education No education | 1.23 (1.06 to 1.43) 1.00 | 1.22 (1.04 to 1.44) 1.00 | 1.01 (0.90 to 1.14) 1.00 | 1.02 (0.89 to 1.15) 1.00 | 1.27 (1.07 to 1.50) 1.00 | 1.32 (1.10 to 1.59) 1.00 | 1.31 (1.07 to 1.61) 1.00 | 1.19 (0.96 to 1.48) 1.00 |
| Number of perpe Two or more One | trators 1.10 (0.94 to 1.30) 1.00 | 1.06 (0.87 to 1.30) 1.00 | 1.26 (1.04 to 1.52) 1.00 | 1.17 (0.98–1.41) 1.00 | 1.13 (0.97 to 1.30) 1.00 | 1.10 (0.88 to 1.38) 1.00 | 1.15 (1.02 to 1.29) 1.00 | 1.32 (0.98 to 1.77) 1.00 |
| Pregnancy from Yes No | captivity 1.08 (0.86 to 1.35) 1.00 | 1.16 (0.92 to 1.45) 1.00 | 1.04 (0.87 to 1.25) 1.00 | 1.06 (0.88 to 1.28) 1.00 | 0.99 (0.78 to 1.26) 1.00 | 1.05 (0.82 to 1.35) 1.00 | 1.22 (0.89 to 1.68) 1.00 | 1.31 (0.96 to 1.79) 1.00 |
| Acceptance of w Yes No | oman by spouse, fam 0.67 (0.58 to 0.77) 1.00 | iily, or community 0.82 (0.67 to 1.00) 1.00 | 0.74 (0.66 to 0.83) 1.00 | 0.84 (0.71 to 1.00) 1.00 | 0.71 (0.60 to 0.84) 1.00 | 0.81 (0.64 to 1.02) 1.00 | 0.59 (0.49 to 0.72) 1.00 | 0.78 (0.59 to 1.04) 1.00 |
| Acceptance of ch Yes No | ild by spouse, family 0.72 (0.62 to 0.83) 1.00 | or community 0.93 (0.76 to 1.13) 1.00 | 0.76 (0.68 to 0.86) 1.00 | 0.90 (0.76 to 1.06) 1.00 | 0.76 (0.65 to 0.90) 1.00 | 0.96 (0.77 to 1.20) 1.00 | 0.58 (0.48 to 0.71) 1.00 | 0.75 (0.57 to 0.98) 1.00 |
| *In addition to the †Suicidality includ SVRP, sexual viol | above variables, adjus es women who reporte ence-related pregnancy | sted for age of woman, ed prior suicide ideation y. | , age of child, if the cor n or suicide attempt. | mmunity was aware o | f the assault and numb | ber of meals a day. | | |

with an increased risk for anxiety and suicidality. Crude and adjusted risk ratios demonstrate that stigma toward the child by the spouse, family or community was associated with an increased risk for all assessed mental health outcomes (table 4). After adjusting for important covariates, reported acceptance was no longer significantly associated with mental health outcomes (table 5).

DISCUSSION

This is the first study, to our knowledge, to assess mental health outcomes among women raising children from SVRPs in eastern DRC. Among those surveyed, women raising children from SVRPs had high rates of depression, PTSD and anxiety based on symptom criteria and high rates of suicidality. The data suggest that stigma following sexual violence may exacerbate mental health disorders. Overall, the principal findings highlight the mental health needs of women raising children from SVRPs—a population that may not be traditionally included in sexual violence research or programming and these data could be applied to inform targeted programming and services for this population.

In our study, stigmatisation of women raising children from SVRPs appears to play a role in mediating mental health outcomes. Women who reported stigma toward themselves or their children were more likely to meet symptom criteria for most mental health disorders. Other studies of sexual violence survivors have similarly reported that stigmatisation mediated certain mental health outcomes.^{15–17} As it is possible that women raising children from SVRPs face intensified stigma or different forms of stigma than survivors without SVRPs,¹⁰ further characterisation of the relationship between stigma and mental health in this context is needed. It is important to consider that stigma may both mediate mental health disorders and also be a consequence of mental health disorders. Participatory research could further explore local constructs of stigma and mental health to provide culture-specific and context-specific evidence on the relationship between stigma and mental health. Furthermore, given the evidence emerging from studies of adolescent sexual violence survivors,¹⁵ ¹⁷ ³¹ future research could aim to understand the experiences of adolescents with SVRPs.

Several important manifestations of stigma were described by participants. The finding that over 20% of women with SVRPs reported rape as a result of incurred stigma is concerning, and protection and prevention of further violence are paramount. While not assessed in our study, other studies have noted that stigma following sexual violence may be a barrier to seeking health services³² ³³ and to seeking justice.³⁴ Our quantitative questions on stigma may not have captured the complexity of stigma related to SVRPs, and future standardised or validated assessments that incorporate ecological frameworks⁴ and build on stigma-related research in other health fields³⁵ ³⁶ would be beneficial to advancing understanding of stigma following SVRPs. Specific

domains of stigma have been identified by previous research on intimate partner violence, including cultural stigma, stigma internalisation and anticipated stigma,³³ and there is growing research on important dimensions of stigma described among sexual violence survivors in DRC.^{6 9 10 37}

Although stigma is important to understand among sexual violence survivors, our findings also highlight that it should not be assumed that women raising children from SVRPs universally face stigma. Over one-third of women did not report stigma from the community. This difference in perceived stigma could be explained by the limitations of the instruments used to assess stigma, but there could also be other factors that mitigate the experience of stigma by the survivor and associated mental health outcomes. While the unadjusted data suggest that acceptance of the woman and acceptance of the child by the spouse, family and community may be a protective factor for mental health outcomes, the adjusted data suggest that acceptance may be more complex. Multiple underlying factors may determine or confound the concept of acceptance, as suggested by mixed methods research in DRC.¹⁰ Among potential protective factors for mental health disorders noted in our study, women who reported having a spouse at the time of the survey were less likely to meet symptom criteria for mental health disorders. A mixed-methods study of sexual violence survivors in DRC also found that husband abandonment and widowhood were risk factors for social rejection and that receiving support from husbands following sexual violence was protective.⁵ Rejection of sexual violence survivors in DRC has been associated with poorer mental health outcomes.9 Further research on individual, family and community level factors could inform programming and interventions for sexual violence survivors and women raising children from SVRPs.

While data regarding interventions for women with SVRPs and their children are lacking, other sexual violence studies in DRC emphasise that interventions need to not only address individual survivors' needs, but also facilitate reintegration and healthy relationships with family and community.^{9 37 38} Support to couples and families following sexual violence may be important in preventing stigma and rejection and in promoting acceptance.⁹ ¹⁰ ³⁷ ³⁸ Our data also provide further evidence to support integrated person-focused and community-focused interventions.³⁹ Community antistigma interventions could include educational interventions to address common misconceptions or aim to shift stigma from the survivors to the perpetrators of violence.³⁴ Guidelines recommend including members of the stigmatised group in the design, delivery and evaluation of interventions⁴⁰ and care must be taken not to 11increase stigma and risk as a result of the intervention.⁴¹

To date, the majority of sexual violence research has been focused on assessing maladaptive outcomes after trauma; however, it is important to balance this focus with further research on preventing mental health disorders following sexual violence, determining mediating factors for mental health disorders and on assessing adaptation, positive coping, and resilience factors. In conflict settings, there is evidence to support early treatment interventions for PTSD, such as Narrative Exposure Therapy.^{42 43} A controlled trial in eastern DRC among sexual violence survivors found that group psychotherapy compared to individual therapy significantly reduced PTSD symptoms, minimised depression and improved functioning.³ The data suggest that stigma is an important factor in exacerbating mental health disorders, and future research comparing types of therapies and interventions could be tailored to prevent and address stigma following sexual violence. Reports on children born of war have emphasised the importance of providing psychosocial support to women raising children from SVRPS, helping families honour the child's existence and providing support to the mother, and providing options for women during and after SVRP (ie, family planning and adoption services).¹² For women who become pregnant from sexual violence, strengthening reproductive options and mental health support for women during the pregnancy and following the delivery of the child should be part of comprehensive programming.^{12 44}

LIMITATIONS

The study provides data on mental health outcomes, stigma, and acceptance among a population of women that has not been included in traditional research approaches. The results may not be generalisable to the population of eastern DRC; however, the sample was large, and in this study, RDS may approximate a random sample as previously described.²² Transportation and budgetary constraints only allowed for women living near Bukavu to participate. The majority of sexual violence incidents in this study resulted from a single armed group; thus, our findings may not apply to civilian and/or single perpetrator incidents. While RDS methodology is useful to study hard-to-reach populations, the use of RDS in this context may have only sampled women who experienced a similar type of sexual violence (ie, from a single armed group) and who were well networked around this type of sexual violence. Thus, RDS may not have captured all women with SVRPs in this region.²² We relied on self-reporting; however, with the screening questions, sensitive nature of SVRPs and minimal incentive, we are confident that only eligible participants were interviewed. The survey asked sensitive questions, and it is possible participants exaggerated or under-reported responses or that questions were misinterpreted due to translation. It is also possible that despite review by local partners and mental health professionals, our study instruments do not match local constructs of mental health outcomes, stigma and acceptance nor do they capture all domains of mental health outcomes. Further, mental health

disorders were based on symptom criteria and diagnostic interviews were not conducted. Owing to regional insecurity, the study was terminated early; thus, potential participants with coupons may not have been interviewed. Despite the early study termination, over 750 women presented for interviews within a 4-week study period, and the majority of variables reached equilibrium prior to study termination.²²

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the findings demonstrate high rates of depression, PTSD, anxiety and suicidality among sexual violence survivors raising children from SVRPs. The data around stigma and acceptance suggest that decreasing community stigma and fostering acceptance of the women and children may mediate mental health disorders among this population. Further research and dialogue on stigma toward and acceptance of women following sexual violence, and of children born from SVRPs, are needed to develop more targeted interventions at the individual, family and community levels.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ²Division of Women's Health, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ³Harvard Humanitarian Initiative, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ⁴Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ⁵Department of Emergency Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ⁶Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Department of Emergency Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ⁷Department of General Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ⁸Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medicine Greifswald, HELIOS Hansehospital Stralsund, Stralsund, Germany ⁹Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁰Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA Acknowledgements The authors thank the study participants who

Acknowledgements The authors thank the study participants who courageously shared their stories and our dedicated interviewers. The authors are grateful to our partners in Bukavu, including: CAMPS (Centre d'Assistance Médico-Psychosociale), ADES (Acteurs Dans le Development et Droit à la Sante Pour Tous) and ALCIS (Action pour la Lutte Contre L'Ignorance du SIDA). Mr Daisy Njebenje provided logistical and translation support. Amani Baro and Ideumbo Kasigwa Helene translated study documents. The authors would like to acknowledge the support from our colleagues at the Harvard Humanitarian Initiative and other colleagues including Sadia Haider, MD, MPH, Gillian Burkhardt, MD and Monica Adhiambo Onyango, PhD, MS, MPH, RN.

Contributors JS, SR, AG, PK, MV and SB contributed to the initial study design and survey instruments. KA, AG and SB contributed to software programming for data collection. KA supervised data collection with field support from JS, MV and SB. JS, SR, MRH and SB developed the data analysis plan for this manuscript. SR conducted the data analysis with additional support from MRH. All authors contributed to data interpretation. JS drafted the initial version of the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. SB conceived of and supervised the study. JS, SR and SB are the guarantors. All authors vouch for the completeness and accuracy of the data and the analysis and the fidelity of the study to the protocol.

Open Access

Funding The study was funded by the Eleanor and Miles Shore Fellowship from Harvard Medical School and funding from Harvard Humanitarian Initiative. Data analysis was supported by Harvard Catalyst|The Harvard Clinical and Translational Science Center (National Institutes of Health Award 8UL1TR000170-05 and financial contributions from Harvard University and affiliated academic health centers).

Competing interests None.

Patient consent No.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Harvard School of Public Health institutional review board (protocol #21768-101).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

REFERENCES

- 1. Johnson K, Scott J, Rughita B, *et al.* Association of sexual violence and human rights violations with physical and mental health in territories of the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo. *JAMA* 2010;304:553–62.
- Peterman A, Palermo T, Bredenkamp C. Estimates and determinants of sexual violence against women in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Am J Public Health* 2011;101:1060–7.
- Bass JK, Annan J, McIvor Murray S, *et al.* Controlled trial of psychotherapy for Congolese survivors of sexual violence. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:2182–91.
- Campbell R, Dworkin E, Cabral G. An ecological model of the impact of sexual assault on women's mental health. *Trauma Violence Abuse* 2009;10:225–46.
- Kelly JT, Betancourt TS, Mukwege D, *et al.* Experiences of female survivors of sexual violence in eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo: a mixed-methods study. *Confl Health* 2011;5:25.
- Kelly J, Kabanga J, Cragin W, *et al.* 'If your husband doesn't humiliate you, other people won't': gendered attitudes towards sexual violence in eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. *Global Public Health* 2012;7:285–98.
- Kelly J VM, Leaning J, Cragin W. Characterizing sexual violence in the democratic Republic of Congo: profiles of violence, community responses, and implication for the protection of women. Harvard Humanitarian Initiative; Open Society Institute, 2009.
- Bosmans M. Challenges in aid to rape victims: the case of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Essex Hum Rights Rev* 2007;4:1–12.
- Kohli A, Perrin NA, Mpanano RM, et al. Risk for family rejection and associated mental health outcomes amongst conflict-affected adult women living in Rural Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. Health Care Women Int 2014;35:789–807.
- Kelly J, Kabanga J, Albutt K, et al. A patient heart: stigma, acceptance and rejection around conflict-related sexual violence in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Humanitarian Initiative, 2011.
- Bartels SA, Scott JA, Mukwege D, *et al.* Patterns of sexual violence in Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo: reports from survivors presenting to Panzi Hospital in 2006. *Confl Health* 2010;4:9.
- Carpenter RC. Born of War: protecting children of sexual violence survivors in conflict zones. United States: Kumarian Press, Inc., 2007.
- 13. van Ee E, Kleber RJ. Child in the shadowlands. *Lancet* 2012;380:642–3.
- 14. Van Ee E, Kleber R. *Growing up under a shadow: key issues in research on and treatment of children born or rape.* Child Abuse Review, 2013.
- Verelst A, De Schryver M, De Haene L, *et al.* The mediating role of stigmatization in the mental health of adolescent victims of sexual violence in Eastern Congo. *Child Abuse Negl* 2014;38:1139–46.
- Betancourt TS, Agnew-Blais J, Gilman SE, *et al.* Past horrors, present struggles: the role of stigma in the association between war experiences and psychosocial adjustment among former child soldiers in Sierra Leone. *Soc Sci Med* 2010; 70:17–26.

- Verelst A, De Schryver M, Broekaert E, et al. Mental health of victims of sexual violence in eastern Congo: associations with daily stressors, stigma, and labeling. *BMC Women's Health* 2014;14:106.
- Bolton P. Assessing the impact of the IRC program for survivors of gender based violence in Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo final report. Washington, DC: USAID; International Rescue Committee; Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, 2009.
- Tol WA, Barbui C, Galappatti A, *et al.* Mental health and psychosocial support in humanitarian settings: linking practice and research. *Lancet* 2011;378:1581–91.
- 20. Heckathorn D. Respondent-driven sampling: a new approach to the study of hidden populations. *Soc Probl* 1997;44:174–99.
- Heckathorn D. Respondent-driven sampling II: deriving valid population estimates from chain-referral samples of hidden populations. *Soc Prob* 2002;149:11–34.
- Greiner AL, Albutt K, Rouhani SA, *et al.* Respondent-driven sampling to assess outcomes of sexual violence: a methodological assessment. *Am J Epidemiol* 2014;180:536–44.
- 23. KoBo Toolbox: Kobo Toolbox; 2012. http://www.kobotoolbox.org
- Pham PN, Weinstein HM, Longman T. Trauma and PTSD symptoms in Rwanda: implications for attitudes toward justice and reconciliation. *JAMA* 2004;292:602–12.
- 25. Londono A, Romero P, Casas G. The association between armed conflict, violence and mental health: a cross sectional study comparing two populations in Cundinamarca department, Colombia. *Confl Health* 2012;6:12.
- Arroll B, Goodyear-Smith F, Crengle S, *et al.* Validation of PHQ-2 and PHQ-9 to screen for major depression in the primary care population. *Ann Fam Med* 2010;8:348–53.
- 27. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, *et al.* Anxiety disorders in primary care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;146:317–25.
- International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies (ISTSS). Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist. http://www.istss.org/ assessing-trauma/posttraumatic-stress-disorder-checklist.aspx (accessed 30 Mar 2015).
- 29. Respondent-Driven Sampling Analysis Tool (RDSAT). Version 7.1 ed. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University, 2012.
- 30. SAS Institute Inc. SAS® 9.3 statistical software. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Nelson BD, Collins L, VanRooyen MJ, *et al.* Impact of sexual violence on children in the Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. *Med Confl Surviv* 2011;27:211–25.
- 32. Kohli A, Makambo MT, Ramazani P, *et al.* A Congolese community-based health program for survivors of sexual violence. *Confl Health* 2012;6:6.
- Overstreet NM, Quinn DM. The Intimate Partner Violence Stigmatization Model and barriers to help-seeking. *Basic Appl Soc Psych* 2013;35:109–22.
- 34. United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner. Progress and Obstacles in the Fight Against Impunity for Sexual Violence in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. 2014.
- Parker R, Aggleton P. HIV and AIDS-related stigma and discrimination: a conceptual framework and implications for action. Soc Sci Med 2003;57:13–24.
- Weiss M, Ramakrishna J, Somma D. Health-related stigma: rethinking concepts and interventions. *Psychol Health Med* 2006;11:277–87.
- Kohli A, Tosha M, Ramazani P, *et al.* Family and community rejection and a Congolese led mediation intervention to reintegrate rejected survivors of sexual violence in Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. *Health Care Women Int* 2013;34:736–56.
- World Bank and Harvard Humanitarian Initiative (HHI). Assessing the impact of programming to reduce the stigmatization of survivors of sexual violence in eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo. Washington, DC: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, 2014.
- 39. World Health Organization, UN Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict, United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Responding to the Psychosocial and Mental Health Needs of Sexual Violence Survivors in Conflict-Affected Settings. Responding to the Psychosocial and Mental Health Needs of Sexual Violence Survivors in Conflict-Affected Settings 28–30 November 2011; Ferney-Voltaire, France 2011:39.
- World Health Organization, United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), UN Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict. Mental health and psychosocial support for conflict-related sexual violence: principles and interventions. 2011.
- 41. Spangaro J, Adogu C, Ranmuthugala G, *et al.* What evidence exists for initiatives to reduce risk and incidence of sexual violence in

armed conflict and other humanitarian crises? A systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e62600.

- Ertl V, Pfeiffer A, Schauer E, *et al.* Community-implemented trauma therapy for former child soldiers in Northern Uganda: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2011;306:503–12.
- McPherson J. Does narrative exposure therapy reduce PTSD in survivors of mass violence? *Res Soc Work Pract* 2012; 22:29–42.
- Linden JA. Clinical practice. Care of the adult patient after sexual assault. N Engl J Med 2011;365:834–41.