RESPONSE TO LETTER Is Standardization the Future of Traditional Chinese Tuina (Massage) Therapy? A Reflection on "Bibliometric Analysis of Research Trends on Tuina Manipulation for Neck Pain Treatment Over the Past 10 Years" [Response to Letter]

Zhichao Gong D, Wu Li, Jiangshan Li

College of Acupuncture, Massage and Rehabilitation, Hunan University of Chinese Medicine, Changsha, Hunan, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Jiangshan Li; Wu Li, College of Acupuncture, Massage and Rehabilitation, Hunan University of Chinese Medicine, No. 300, Xueshi Road, Yuelu District, Changsha, Hunan Province, 410208, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 13707315642; +86 13574851647, Fax +8673188458187, Email 292463008@qq.com; 272434694@qq.com

Dear editor

We are glad for the attention received from peers after the publication of this article. We are appreciative of the opportunity given to us by the journal to respond to these comments. We will respond in the following points:

- 1. The letter states that, instead of using keywords such as "massage" or "manipulation", we should use "tuina" or "Chinese manipulation", which would lead to the retrieval of more modern physiotherapy literature and avoid bias. Our team did consider this question before searching, but we thought that some "tuina" literature would use the word "manipulation" in the title.¹ Therefore, in order to fully grasp the original intention of the field of tuina, we did not use only keywords such as "Chinese manipulation".
- 2. It is true that the CNKI database was not used in the search conducted for this article. However, this is because it has less ability to influence and capture international trends, and the Web of Science Core Collection database is also good. Some articles also used only the WOS database for bibliometric analysis.² However, we also mention the lack of use of the CNKI database at various points in the article, including in the limitations section.
- 3. As mentioned in the letter, we cannot agree with the use of tuina as a surface intervention method instead of acupuncture. Several high-level studies^{3,4} have mentioned that "ban fa" (high-speed and low-amplitude manipulations) is a type of tuina; however, the effects of these types of manipulation are completely different from those of acupuncture. And because acupuncture and tuina do not work at the same depth, each has its own strengths and is not a complete substitute for the other. Standardization is indeed a current trend in the field of tuina, but it cannot represent the whole. Evidencebased clinical practice is also an important method to promote the development of the tuina discipline.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- 1. Lin JH, Shen T, Chung RCK, et al. The effectiveness of Long's manipulation on patients with chronic mechanical neck pain: a randomized controlled trial. Manual ther. 2013;18(4):308-315. doi:10.1016/j.math.2012.11.005
- 2. Park J, Kim H, Kim K-W, et al. Bibliometric analysis of research trends on acupuncture for neck pain treatment over the past 20 years. J Pain Res. 2021;14:3207-3221. doi:10.2147/JPR.S331514

you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

3. Cheng Z, Zhang S, Gu Y, et al. Effectiveness of tuina therapy combined with yijinjing exercise in the treatment of nonspecific chronic neck pain. *JAMA Network Open.* 2022;5(12):e2246538. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.46538

4. Pach D, Piper M, Lotz F, et al. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of tuina for chronic neck pain: a randomized controlled trial comparing tuina with a no-intervention waiting list. *J Altern Complementary Med.* 2018;24(3):231–237. doi:10.1089/acm.2017.0209

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S457987