

DOI: 10.7759/cureus.7001

Rectoperineal Fistula Repair Through Perineal Approach, Martius Flap, and House Advancement Flap

Morgan Terry 1 , Mitchell K. Ng 2 , Truong Ma 3 , Sharon Stein 4

1. Surgery, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, USA 2. Internal Medicine, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, USA 3. Surgery, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, USA 4. Surgery, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center / Uh-Rises: Research In Surgical Outcomes & Effectiveness Center, Cleveland, USA

Corresponding author: Mitchell K. Ng, mkn33@case.edu

Abstract

A rectoperineal fistula (RPF) is a relatively rare, non-life-threatening form of anorectal malformation that nevertheless causes significant physical discomfort, and remains technically challenging for surgeons to treat. We present a case of a 72-year-old female with a history of a recurrent perianal fistula with multiple approaches including endorectal advancement flap previously attempted. Our procedure involved laparoscopic loop ileostomy with transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block, and RPF repair through the perineal approach with primary repair involving Martius flap and house advancement flaps. The patient tolerated the procedure well with no known peri-operative complications and resolution of stool incontinence at subsequent post-operative visits, the first within a month of the procedure. This case was used to demonstrate and highlight the surgical technique of the RPF repair by Martius flap. Informed consent was obtained from the patient for video recording for educational purposes.

Categories: Medical Education, Urology, General Surgery

Keywords: rectoperineal fistula, anorectal malformation, martius flap, house advancement flap

Introduction

A rectoperineal fistula (RPF) is an abnormal epithelial connection between the rectum and perineum. While relatively rare accounting for approximately 5% of perirectal fistulas, they nevertheless cause significant physical discomfort for patients, with the chief complaint being the uncontrollable passage of gas and feces from the vagina [1-2]. The diagnosis of RPF is based on patient history and clinical examination [1]. By far, the most common etiology of RPFs is obstetric trauma, accounting for 88% of cases, with rectovaginal septal necrosis due to pressure from prolonged obstructed labor, with other important causes including radiation exposure, Crohn's disease, colon cancer, diverticulitis, and complicated hysterectomies, the latter three which are especially prevalent in older female patients [3-5].

While nonsurgical management is appropriate for small and asymptomatic RPFs, for the majority of patients with significant symptoms, surgical management is the only definitive treatment [6]. There are a wide range of surgical interventions possible, including muscle transposition, laparoscopic technique, and rectal resection [2]. However, given the low incidence and the lack of significant clinical studies, there is no consensus on the ideal surgical procedure to treat RPF [6]. For recurrent RPFs, due to the high probability for scarred and damaged tissue, the interposition of healthy tissue appears to be an appropriately indicated management [7-8]. The following case and associated video below demonstrate and highlight

Received 02/03/2020 Review began 02/05/2020 Review ended 02/12/2020 Published 02/15/2020

© Copyright 2020

Terry et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Terry M, Ng M K, Ma T, et al. (February 15, 2020) Rectoperineal Fistula Repair Through Perineal Approach, Martius Flap, and House Advancement Flap. Cureus 12(2): e7001. DOI 10.7759/cureus.7001

Cureus

the surgical technique of the rectoperineal fistula repair by Martius flap.

Case Presentation

We present a 72-year-old female with a history of morbid obesity, recurrent perineal fistula who presented with severe stool incontinence, stating it prevented her from being physically active. Multiple approaches for the management of her recurrent rectoperineal fistula had been attempted before, including excision of the perineal cyst, curettage, seton placement twice, and endorectal advancement flap. Pelvic examination revealed a rectocele and perineal fistula distal to the vaginal lumen, 2 cm from anal verge positioned at 12 o'clock. After discussing surgical options, including permanent colostomy given the patient's age, recurrence, and incomplete incontinence, the patient opted to proceed with an ileostomy and primary repair. Our operation was split into two stages: 1) laparoscopic loop ileostomy with transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block and 2) repair of rectoperineal fistula using a perineal approach with primary repair via Martius flap and house advancement flap.

In line with recommended guidelines, the patient underwent full mechanical bowel preparation the day before surgery. The patient received subcutaneous heparin injection and preoperative antibiotics were administered within 30 minutes of the initial incision. Both procedures were performed under general anesthesia. The patient was placed in a modified lithotomy position for both stages of the operation. After laparoscopic loop ileostomy with TAP block was performed, the patient was again prepped and draped for rectovaginal fistula repair, with the anal canal and vagina prepped with povidone-iodine solution (Video 1).



VIDEO 1: Rectoperineal fistula repair

View video here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WaCyiURxD 0&feature=youtu.be

The patient was still in modified lithotomy position. After being prepped and draped post-loop ileostomy, a diamond-shaped piece of skin was extracted over the fistula. The rectoperineal fistula was then dissected using a scalpel and removed with the vagina left intact, with the fistula located in the perineal body. A house flap was created, taken straight down and brought forward taking skin and cutaneous tissue, with a pedicle of fat left behind for appropriate vascularization of the lateral aspect. The area was stapled closed to ensure closure without tension.

For the Martius flap dissection, the left labia majora was first injected with 1% lidocaine with epinephrine. A vertical incision was made approximately 6 cm in length and 3×3 cm in diameter, dissecting out the fat pad inside the labia major from the level of the clitoris to the posterior fourchette of the vagina. It is crucial to ensure the flap is of appropriate length before transection. The vascular supply of the flap includes the obturator artery laterally, the internal posterior artery posteriorly and the external pudendal artery superiorly [1,3,4]. A defect was

Cureus

then created in the tissue between the Martius flap dissection and the rectoperineal fistula repair, large enough to accommodate the flap without causing tension or devascularization. Subsequent to the Martius flap dissection, the fistula was transected sharply and closed with 2-0 Vicryl interrupted suture until it was watertight. The Martius flap was placed over the fistula repair and matured to all aspects in order to ensure there would be no tension on the skin flaps.

The house flap was attached and closed down using 0 Vicryl with prolene for the skin. A small amount of vagina above and perirectal tissue below was closed using 2-0 Vicryl sutures circumferentially to mature it into place. The Martius flap was then closed using 3-0 Vicryl for the dermis, 4-0 Vicryl for the skin with a Penrose drain underneath. The patient was awoken from general anesthesia and experienced no significant postoperative complications (infection, wound dehiscence, cardiac arrest, surgical site infection, etc.). At the next clinic visit within 30 days of the operation, the patient reported no significant complaints with the resolution of her previously reported stool incontinence.

Discussion

First described in 1928, the Martius flap has been modified for the treatment of low RPFs, with reported success rates of 65% to 100% and low complication rates [8-10]. The first Martius procedure used the bulbocavernosus/bulbospongiosus muscle for urethrovaginal reconstructive purposes [8]. The later modified Martius flap is a more extensive procedure that most commonly involves the use of a vascularized labium major adipose tissue flap without muscle [7,11]. Its uses have likewise broadened and include extraperitoneal fistula repair including low RPFs, where the flap is transposed subcutaneously to separate the rectal and vaginal walls with well-vascularized and healthy tissue [7,12]. The placement of such well-perfused, healthy tissue onto the area of previously damaged tissue characteristic of recurrent RPFs helps provide neovascularization and reconstruct the perineal space, enhancing the formation of granulation tissue localized at the repair site [13]. While the use of Martius flap as an adjunct for low RPF repair has been incorporated into treatment algorithm options [12], there is not a significant amount of published work demonstrating the actual procedure, perhaps because of its relatively infrequent use by urologists and coloproctologists [10-12]. To this end, our video provides a comprehensive introduction to the surgical technique of the rectoperineal fistula repair by Martius flap.

Conclusions

Rectoperineal fistula repair through perineal approach using a Martius flap, while potentially technically demanding, is an appropriate primary surgical therapy. Future studies are required to more fully characterize the short and long-term success rates and potential complications.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Cureus

References

- 1. Ommer A, Herold A, Berg E, Fürst A, Schiedeck T, Sailer M: German S3-Guideline: rectovaginal fistula. Ger Med Sci. 2012, 10:15. 10.3205/000166
- Casadesus D, Villasana L, Sanchez IM, Diaz H, Chavez M, Diaz A: Treatment of rectovaginal fistula: a 5-year review. Aust New Zeal J Obstet Gynaecol. 2006, 46:49-51. 10.1111/j.1479-828X.2006.00514.x
- 3. Champagne BJ, McGee MF: Rectovaginal fistula. Surg Clin North Am. 2010, 90:69-82. 10.1016/J.SUC.2009.09.003
- 4. Saclarides TJ: Rectovaginal fistula. Surg Clin North Am. 2002, 82:1261-1272. 10.1016/s0039-6109(02)00055-5
- 5. Andreani SM, Dang HH, Grondona P, Khan AZ, Edwards DP: Rectovaginal fistula in Crohn's disease. Dis Colon Rectum. 2007, 50:2215-2222. 10.1007/s10350-007-9057-7
- Göttgens KW, Smeets RR, Stassen LP, Beets G, Breukink SO: The disappointing quality of published studies on operative techniques for rectovaginal fistulas: a blueprint for a prospective multi-institutional study. Dis Colon Rectum. 2014, 57:888-898. 10.1097/DCR.000000000000147
- 7. Kamiński JP, Tat C, Fleshner PR, Zaghiyan K: Martius flap for persistent, complex rectovaginal fistula. Dis Colon Rectum. 2018, 61:520. 10.1097/DCR.000000000001024
- 8. Songne K, Scotte M, Lubrano J, et al.: Treatment of anovaginal or rectovaginal fistulas with modified Martius graft. Color Dis. 2007, 9:653-656. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1318.2007.01232.x
- Pitel S, Lefevre JH, Parc Y, Chafai N, Shields C, Tiret E: Martius advancement flap for low rectovaginal fistula: short- and long-term results. Color Dis. 2011, 13:112-115. 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2011.02544.x
- 10. Kamiński JP, Tat C, Fleshner PR, Zaghiyan K: Martius flap for persistent, complex rectovaginal fistula. Dis Colon Rectum. 2018, 61:520. 10.1097/DCR.0000000000001024
- 11. Wilson A, Pillay S, Greenwell T: How and why to take a Martius labial interposition flap in female urology. Transl Androl Urol. 2017, 6:81. 10.21037/tau.2017.04.38
- 12. McNevin MS, Lee PYH, Bax TW: Martius flap: an adjunct for repair of complex, low rectovaginal fistula. Am J Surg. 2007, 193:597-599. 10.1016/J.AMJSURG.2007.01.009
- 13. Elkins TE, DeLancey JO, McGuire EJ: The use of modified Martius graft as an adjunctive technique in vesicovaginal and rectovaginal fistula repair. Obstet Gynecol. 1990:727-733.