Heliyon 10 (2024) e27347

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Review article

5²CelPress

Approaches for boosting self-confidence of clinical nursing students: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Elahe Ramezanzade Tabriz^a, Masoumeh Sadeghi^{b,c}, Ensieh Tavana^b, Hamid Heidarian Miri^d, Fatemeh Heshmati Nabavi^{e, f,*}

^a Department of Medical-Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

^b Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Health, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

^c Metabolic Syndrome Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

^d Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

^e Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

^f School of Nursing and Midwifery, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Self-confidence Nursing Students Approaches Meta-analysis

ABSTRACT

Background: Self-confidence is a key element in successfully promoting achievement strivings among the healthcare workforce. Targeted interventions can strengthen this characteristic in nursing students, thus improving the quality of hospital services.

Objectives: We evaluated the effect of educational interventions on boosting self-confidence in nursing students using systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods: A comprehensive search was used to screen the related studies in Scopus, PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and PsycINFO. Peer-reviewed literature in English until June 2023 was reviewed. Inclusion criteria were controlled trials, either non-randomized studies of intervention (NRSI) or randomized (RCTs). Studies were assessed for methodological quality by the Cochrane Risk of Bias in Non-randomized Studies of Interventions (ROBINS-I) and the Cochrane "Risk of Bias" tool for RCTs (RoB 2.0) and quality assessment tool for before-after (pre-post) studies with no control group. The main outcome was the self-confidence score of nursing students because of educational methods or intervention/s. Using the inverse variance weights method, a pooled standardized mean difference (SMD) estimate with a corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) was determined. Random-effects meta-analysis was used to assess conceptual heterogeneity using Stata.

Results: Twenty-two studies were selected involving 1758 participants and 940 cases of nursing students in the intervention group on boosting self-confidence (Fourteen Randomized controlled trials, Five Quasi-experimental, and three Before-After studies). The post-intervention self-confidence results in the nursing student's intervention group were significantly greater (SMD) (SMD for Controlled experimental design = 0.51; 95% CI = 0.14–0.89), (SMD for Quasi-experimental = 0.04; 95% CI = -0.33-0.41), (SMD for Before-After (Pre-Post) = 2.74; 95% CI = 1.85-3.63). The random-effect meta-analysis of 22 interventional studies determined that educational interventions are significantly associated with the improving self-confidence of nursing students. The intervention showed a moderate impact on the research units, according to Cohen's d results.

E-mail address: heshmatinf@mums.ac.ir (F. Heshmati Nabavi).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e27347

Received 3 June 2023; Received in revised form 15 February 2024; Accepted 28 February 2024

Available online 6 March 2024

^{*} Corresponding author. School of Nursing and Midwifery, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, PO Box 9137913199, Ebne Sina St, Mashhad, Iran.

^{2405-8440/© 2024} Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Also, the results of simulation learning intervention (SMD = 0.42; 95% CI = 0.03-0.81) showed a significant relationship between intervention and outcome in studies.

Conclusions: Analysis of our findings revealed the successful impact of most interventional approaches in boosting self-confidence, especially in the long term. It can be concluded that self-confidence is a multifactorial concept that can be improved by using targeted combination intervention strategies.

1. Introduction

Clinical education is a critical component of nursing education and is often considered the cornerstone of professional development [1,2]. Universities worldwide are currently exploring teaching-learning strategies that foster clinical thinking, decision-making, and student-centered learning within the clinical setting [3]. In many cases, clinical education accounts for approximately half of the training time for nursing students. Therefore, it is essential that all students are able to proficiently apply the skills they have learned upon completion of their studies [4]. One influential factor in enhancing the quality of clinical services is the presence of self-confidence in both students and educated nurses. Self-confidence is integral to clinical competence and serves as an important indicator of ability and proficiency [5]. Self-confidence can be defined as an indication of a belief in one's individual abilities and skills. It plays a crucial role in a student's judgment, performance, and knowledge translation [6]. By building self-confidence, nursing students can achieve improved academic performance, job satisfaction, enhanced communication, and the capacity for more independent practice. This, in turn, positively impacts individuals receiving nursing care [7,8].

On the other hand, previous academic and clinical experiences provide students with the self-confidence and competence necessary to handle everyday situations. However, the complex and delicate healthcare environment poses unique challenges for nursing students [9]. These challenges may lead to anxiety and stress, hindering the successful performance of clinical procedures [10]. Thus, it is crucial for nursing students to possess adequate knowledge and practical skills before commencing clinical practice. This not only ensures patient safety but also fosters self-confidence and fosters effective relationships with patients [11]. Therefore, nurse educators must continually identify, implement, and evaluate teaching-learning strategies that promote the development of students' clinical competence and self-confidence [12]. Identifying and formulating these strategies is a significant challenge for nursing educators seeking to enhance nursing students' skills, self-confidence, and knowledge [13].

Therefore, appropriate approaches and interventions in teaching clinical skills need to be adopted for better success of nursing students in their profession and to improve and enhance their self-confidence and increase their professional competence. However, how effective are the interventions used in improving the self-confidence of clinical nursing students? Or what is the change in self-confidence of clinical nursing students following the use of relevant educational interventions? Due to the scant of a review and coherent study, we evaluated the effect of educational interventions on boosting self-confidence in nursing students using systematic review and meta-analysis. The results of this study will pave the way for improvements in clinical nursing education. The implementation of the identified strategies has the potential to reduce stress, enhance self-confidence, improve functional ability, and foster feelings of satisfaction and well-being among nursing students.

2. Methods

2.1. Protocol registration

The current meta-analysis was done based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Version 5.3) guidelines. This meta-analysis was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; CRD42023466725).

2.2. Search strategy

The online databases including PubMed, Web of Science (ISI), Embase, PsycINFO, and Scopus were searched systematically until June 2023, to identify relevant interventional studies. A mixture of the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and non-MeSH keywords were applied to retrieve studies because of increasing sensitivity and specificity. The following keywords were chosen: "self-concept" OR "self-perception" OR "self-efficacy" OR "self-confidence" AND "nursing students" as MeSH terms. In the following, Google Scholar and references list of retrieved studies and reviews were also searched for additional pertinent studies. Duplicate studies were excluded using EndNote software.

2.3. Eligibility criteria

2.3.1. Types of studies

This review was focused on interventional studies, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and non-randomized controlled studies or quasi-experimental, and before-after studies. The inclusion criteria were original articles in English, year of publication until June 2023. Also, we specifically looked for studies that used methods or intervention/s either in a clinical or theory class setting, with

E. Ramezanzade Tabriz et al.

self-confidence as the main variable.

2.3.2. Types of participants

Individuals, who were undergraduate nursing students and have learned clinical methods in the academic environment under the supervision of a mentor or clinical educator.

2.3.3. Types of interventions

All educational methods or intervention/s used to promote self-confidence in clinical nursing students were included.

2.3.4. Types of comparators

Relevant traditional clinical training and education were taken into consideration in the comparator group.

2.3.5. Outcome measures

The main outcome was changes in the self-confidence score of nursing students as measured by validated tools following educational methods or intervention/s.

2.3.6. Exclusion criteria

Animal experiments, observational studies; case reports, case series, editorials, literature reviews, conference proceedings; commentaries; insufficient original data, and duplicated publications were excluded.



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of review process (PRISMA).

2.4. Selection studies

In the initial step, the two reviewers (ERT and FHN) independently reviewed the title and abstract of searched articles to select relevant items in accordance with inclusion and exclusion criteria. This process, facilitated by EndNote software (version X.9.3.3), resulted in the initial selection of 84 potentially relevant articles. In the second step, the full text of these articles was reviewed to identify studies meeting the inclusion criteria, resulting in the selection of 22 relevant articles. Fig. 1 illustrates the study selection process as per the PRISMA guidelines [14].

2.5. Quality assessment of included studies

The risk of biases in the included studies was evaluated using the updated Cochrane "Risk of bias" tool for RCTs (RoB 2.0), the Cochrane Risk of Bias in Non-randomized Studies of Interventions (ROBINS-I) tool, and the quality assessment tool for before-after (pre-post) studies without control group designed by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI).

For randomized trials, the RoB 2.0 tool considers five following domains: (1) the randomization process, (2) missing data outcome, (3) interventions, (4) outcome measurement and (5) selection of the result. The domains are rated as low, some concern, or high risk, and the overall assessment of bias risk is assigned to each study [15]. The ROBINS-I tool is a valid tool to assess the quality of non-randomized studies, was used for Non-randomized Study Intervention (NRSI) studies and can assess the risk of bias in domains such as subject selection, missing data, confounding risk, variations from intended interventions, outcome measures, intervention classification, and selective reporting. Each domain is classified as low, moderate, serious, or critical risk, and an overall assessment of bias risk is provided for each study [16]. The tool to ass quality for before-after (pre-post) studies without a control group, designed by NHLBI was applied and included 12 items. Reviewers could select no, yes, or cannot determine (CD)/not applicable (NA)/not reported (NR) in response to each item. The study is then rated as either good, fair, or poor quality based on the ratings of the different items and the presence of flaws in the study implementation or design [17].

	Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Blinding of participants and personnel	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Other bias
Abarca, 2023	+	•	Ð	+	•	Ð	Ð
Alamrani, 2017	+	?	?	?	?	Ð	?
Brown, 2009	Ŧ	?	?	?	?	?	?
Chuang, 2018	Ŧ	?	?	?	?	ŧ	?
Erenel, 2021	Ŧ	?	?	?	•	ŧ	?
Horsley, 2015	•	?	?	?	?	?	?
Liaw, 2012	•	•	ŧ	ŧ	?	?	?
Liu, 2021	•	•	?	?	•	ŧ	?
Meska, 2018	•	?	?	?	•	Ð	?
Secheresse, 2020	•	?	?	?	?	?	?
Svellingen, 2021	•	•	?	?	•	Ŧ	?
Tawalbeh, 2013	Ŧ	•	ŧ	?	•	ŧ	?
Tawalbeh, 2016	Ŧ	?	?	?	?	?	?
Altun, 2022	Ŧ	?	?	?	?	Ð	?
Sarvan, 2022	•	•	+	•	•	+	?
Chang, 2021	•	?	?	?	•	•	?
Brannan, 2016	•	?	Ŧ	?	?	Ŧ	?

Fig. 2. A Risk of Bias Summary (ROB tool). BRisk of bias graph (ROB tool) Domains. C. Risk of bias summary (ROBINS-I).



В

Study	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Overall judgment
Bektaş, 2017	×	+	+	+	-	-	+	X
Blum, 2010	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
Brannan, 2009	×	+	+	+	-	-	+	X
Needham, 2005	×	•	+	+	-	X	×	X
Park, 2018	•	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Domains: D1: Bias due to confounding D2: Bias in selection of parti- D3: Bias in classification of i D4: Bias due to deviations fr D5: Bias due to missing data D6: Bias in measurement of	cipants ntervention om intended outcomes	s d interventi	ons					Judgement: Low Modera Serious

С

Fig. 2. (continued).

2.6. Data extraction

The data retrieved from the searches was imported into Endnote, and duplicates were removed. Two reviewers independently screened the title and abstract and a third reviewer was available to resolve any disputes.

Data extraction was performed by two authors (ERT and MS) by a predesigned and standardized data extraction form and recorded the data in a Microsoft Excel sheet. The following information was considered in the data extraction: 1. study's characteristics and bibliography including first author, year of publication, country, study design, duration, and method of measuring self-confidence. 2. Sample characteristics include the sample size, gender, age, etc. 3. Interventions and comparisons, such as the other method/interventions for education for nursing students 4. Results of studies include the mean and standard deviation (SD) of the control group and experimental group. If any study data was unclear or missing, the corresponding author was contacted via email.

2.7. Statistical analysis

The calculation of the pooled standardized mean difference with 95% confidence intervals (SMD with 95% CI was utilized as efficiency outcome pooled estimation) was done to visually inspect the trials by forest plots to test for heterogeneity. A random-effects meta-analysis was performed to take account of the heterogeneity of the research's populations because of conceptual heterogeneity. Inverse-variance weights were used to obtain the pooled estimates and their associated 95% CIs.

We evaluated heterogeneity among studies by the I² statistic [18] (I² = 0% shows no heterogeneity while I² \ge 50% shows

substantial heterogeneity). The statistical significance of heterogeneity was also examined using Cochran's Q statistic. To establish which research had the most effect on the heterogeneity and evaluate the robustness of pooled estimates, sensitivity analysis was carried out (Fig. 2) [19,20]. On the basis of the type of study design, subgroup analyses were conducted.

To evaluate publication bias, funnel plots were visually inspected (Fig. 3). The adjusted rank correlation test and Egger's regression asymmetry test were used for formal statistical assessments of funnel plot asymmetry. Begg's adjusted rank correlation test and the trim-and-fill method were also used [21,22]. The SMD was plotted against the square root of the standard error inverse. All statistical tests, except for the heterogeneity test, were two-tailed and a significance threshold of less than 0.05 was set. Stata version 17.0 was used for statistical analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Study characteristics

Using a comprehensive literature search, 1166 studies were identified as relevant. After removing duplicate studies and screening abstracts and titles, 153 studies were selected for an in-depth full-text review. Twenty-six Studies had pre-determined eligibility criteria to be included in the systematic review. Finally, 22 studies (fourteen RCTs, five Quasi-experimental, and three before-after studies) were considered in the meta-analysis. These studies reported the self-confidence scores of nursing students as the main outcome, with complete statistical data on 1758 participants and 940 nursing student cases in the intervention group for boosting self-

Study/ Subgroups			SMD (95% CI)	% Weigh
Controlled experimental design				
Liaw, 2012			0.62 (-0.10, 1.34)	6.27
Tawalbeh, 2013			2.39 (1.82, 2.96)	6.88
Tawalbeh, 2016		•	1.59 (1.04, 2.13)	6.98
Alamrani, 2017			0.13 (-0.59, 0.85)	6.29
Chuang, 2018			0.55 (0.12, 0.98)	7.39
Meska, 2018			0.00 (-0.39, 0.39)	7.50
Secheresse, 2020	-		0.34 (-0.15, 0.83)	7.17
Svellingen, 2021			-0.18 (-0.65, 0.29)	7.25
_iu, 2021		-	1.54 (1.07, 2.00)	7.26
Erenel, 2021			-0.11 (-0.47, 0.25)	7.62
Chang, 2021			-0.04 (-0.42, 0.34)	7.54
Sarvan, 2022 (web-based)			0.32 (-0.10, 0.74)	7.43
Altun, 2022	-		0.17 (-0.27, 0.60)	7.36
Abarca, 2023 (with video)	-		0.03 (-0.49, 0.54)	7.08
Subtotal (I-squared = 88.8%, p = 0.000)	\diamond		0.51 (0.14, 0.89)	100.0
Quasi-experimental				
Brannan, 2008	•		-0.37 (-0.75, 0.02)	22.50
3lum, 2010 —	•		-0.42 (-1.01, 0.18)	16.91
Brannan, 2016	•		0.17 (-0.42, 0.75)	17.08
Bektas, 2017			0.69 (0.17, 1.21)	18.84
Park, 2018			0.13 (-0.18, 0.44)	24.68
Subtotal (I-squared = 69.5%, p = 0.011)	\rightarrow		0.04 (-0.33, 0.41)	100.0
Before-After (Pre-Post)		_		
_ubbers, 2016		•	- 3.29 (2.71, 3.87)	32.38
Kim, 2018		•	1.92 (1.50, 2.34)	34.67
Blumling, 2018		•	3.06 (2.52, 3.60)	32.95
Subtotal (I-squared = 89.0%, p = 0.000)			2.74 (1.85, 3.63)	100.0
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				

Fig. 3. Forest plot of studies that investigated the influence of educational interventions on boosting the self-confidence (sepratad by study designs). Diamond represents the summary standardised mean difference (pooled SMD) estimate and its width shows corresponding 95% CI with random effects estimate. The size of the square and its central point reflects the study specific statistical weight (inverse of variance) and point estimate of the SMD and horizontal line reflects corresponding 95% CI of the study. I^2 test and Cochran's Q statistic were used to assessing the statistical heterogeneity (P < 0.10) across studies.

Tables 1

Main Characteristics of the included studies on self-confidence-related interventions among nursing students.

Authors, year, country	Interventions	Purpose	Study Design	Instrument	Sample size	Result
Abarca et al., 2023, Brazil	Simulation learning with video	To identify the effect on satisfaction and self- confidence of undergraduate nursing students after using a validated bed bath video during the simulation	Randomized controlled trial	Self-Confidence with Learning Scale	58	There was no significant difference between the groups regarding satisfaction and self- confidence.
Alamrani et al., 2018, Saudi Arabia	Simulation learning	To compare the effect of simulation-based and traditional teaching methods on the critical thinking and self-confidence of Nursing students during electrocardiogram interpretation sessions	Randomized controlled trial	Confidence Scale (C-scale)	30	There was no significant difference between the results of the simulation method and those of the traditional teaching method, which suggests that the outcomes depend on how well the traditional or modern teaching programs are implemented.
Altun et al., 2022, Turkey	Simulation learning	To compare the impact of standardized patient and low-fidelity simulation methods on the success, satisfaction, and self- confidence levels of nursing students in prevention and management of pressure injury education.	Randomized controlled trial	Self-Confidence with Learning Scale	81	There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of the scores obtained from the Students Satisfaction and Self- Confidence in Learning Scale and its subscales. Compared to the low- fidelity mannequin group, the standardized patient group obtained higher scores from the Simulation Design Scale and its Objectives/Information subscale.
Bektaş et al., 2017, Turkey	Web-based education	To investigate the effect of web-based teaching on paediatric nursing internship students' self-confidence and anxiety levels in the clinical decision-making process	Quasi- experimental	Nursing Anxiety and Self-confidence with Clinical Decision- making Scale	61	The web-based program increased the students' confidence by 17.8%
Blum et al., 2010, USA	Simulation learning	To examine of the quantitative relationship between simulation, student self-confidence, and clinical competence in high-fidelity patient simulation	Quasi- experimental	Lasater Clinical Judgment Rubric	53	The simulation technique generally improved the students' confidence and competence during the semester, but did not significantly increase their competence in performing care techniques.
Blumling et al., 2018, USA	Standardized Patient Simulation	To evaluate a standardized patient simulation experience depicting a victim of Intimate partner violence on undergraduate nursing student knowledge and confidence in assessment and intervention of Intimate partner violence.	Before-After	The Physician Readiness to Manage Intimate Partner Violence Survey	57	There was a statistically significant increase in confidence from pretest to post lecture,
Brannan et al., 2008, USA	Simulation learning	To compare the effectiveness of two instructional methods on junior-level nursing students' cognitive skills and self-confidence	Quasi- experimental	Confidence Level tool (CL)	22	The assertiveness technique training had a significant effect on the self-confidence of students in the intervention group.
Brannan et al., 2016, USA	Learning styles Felder and Soloman's (2004) Simulation	To examine learning styles and outcomes on Knowledge and self-confidence in nursing students in simulation and classroom	Quasi- experimental	Confidence Level tool (CL)	54	There was no significant difference between learning styles in terms of students' confidence or knowledge in either simulation or traditional classroom methods.

(continued on next page)

Authors, year, country	Interventions	Purpose	Study Design	Instrument	Sample size	Result
Chang et al., 2021, Taiwan	Simulation-based nursing process	To examine the effects of a simulation-based nursing process educational program on nursing students' confidence in communication and foundational understanding of the nursing process	Randomized controlled trial	Confidence in Communication self- assessment survey	107	Both groups showed statistically significant improvement in Confidence in Communication. The experimental group performed better on the assignment than the control group
Chuang et al., 2018, Taiwan	Skill demonstration video delivered by smartphone	To examine the effects of a skill demonstration video delivered by smartphone on facilitating nursing students' nursing skill competency and confidence.	Randomized controlled trial	Confidence in Communication self- assessment survey	90	group. After 2 weeks, there was a significant difference between the intervention and control groups in terms of knowledge and skill scores, but not in terms of self-confidence.
Erenel et al., 2021, Turkey	Scenario-Based Simulation	To determine the effect of simulation practices on clinical practice satisfaction, clinical stress, and self- confidence in nursing students	Randomized controlled trial	Self-confidence scale	122	In contrast, no mean pretest–posttest differences were found in clinical stress and self-confidence levels in the experimental group.
Kim et al., 2018, South Korea	Neonatal nursing practice program	To examine the effects of a neonatal nursing practice program for nursing students on students' stress, self- efficacy, and confidence	Before-After	Researcher- developed questionnaire	64	The neonatal nursing practice program was effective at decreasing clinical practice-related stress and increasing confidence and self-efficacy regarding neonatal nursing practice.
Liaw et al., 2012, Singapore	Simulation learning	To determine simulation- based assessment on self- confidence, knowledge measures, and clinical performance	Randomized controlled trial	Confidence Scale (C- scale)	31	In both groups, post-test self-confidence scores were significantly different from pre-test scores, but there was no significant difference between the two groups. There was no significant relationship between self-confidence and clinical performance and between knowledge and clinical performance.
Liu et al., 2021, Taiwan	Multidisciplinary teaching	To design a multidisciplinary teaching method that combines game-based learning with a clinical situation-based teaching program and to test learning motivation, learning satisfaction and self confidence	Randomized controlled trial	3 items related to the multidisciplinary teaching questionnaire	98	Multidisciplinary teaching interventions can improve learning satisfaction, self- confidence and learning performance among nursing students.
Lubbers et al., 2016, USA	Pediatric community simulation learning	To determine the effects of a pediatric community simulation experience on the self-confidence of nursing students.	Before-After	Researcher- developed questionnaire	54	The overall study showed statistically significant results and statistically significant results within each of the eight 4-item sub- scales. Higher self- confidence scores for simulation participants have been shown to increase quality of care for patients.
Meska et al., 2108, Brasil	Simulation learning with odors	To compare the satisfaction and self-confidence of nursing students in simulated clinical activities with and without the presence of odors.	Randomized controlled trial	Self-Confidence with Learning Scale	100	In the comparison of means there were no significant differences between the values attributed to satisfaction and self- confidence, in the (continued on next page)

E. Ramezanzade Tabriz et al.

Tables 1 (continued)

8

Ε.	Ramezanzade	Tabriz	et al.	
----	-------------	--------	--------	--

Tables 1 (continued)

Authors, year, country	Interventions	Purpose	Study Design	Instrument	Sample size	Result
Park et al., 2018, South Korea	Intensive clinical skills course	To identify the effect of an intensive clinical skills course for senior nursing students on their self- confidence and clinical competence	Quasi- experimental	A tool developed by Bang and Kim (2014)	162	intervention group and in the control group Special clinical skills training had a significant positive effect on the self- confidence and clinical competence of nursing students in performing
Sarvan et al., 2022, Turkey	Game simulation (SGS) into neonatal resuscitation training	To determine the impact of integrating serious game simulation (SGS) into neonatal resuscitation training on the neonatal resuscitation related knowledge, skills, satisfaction with training, and self confidence in	Randomized controlled trial	Self-Confidence with Learning Scale	90	clinical nursing skills. The score averages of the Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning Scale and its sub- dimensions were high for both groups.
Secheresse et al., 2020, France	Simulation debriefing modalities	learning of nursing students. To compare explicit, highly guided debriefing with implicit and low-guided debriefing in nurse education.	Randomized controlled trial	Researcher- developed questionnaire	136	Linear regression analysis showed that knowledge learning was higher in the debriefing conditions in which the analysis was carried out in an explicit manner. There was no debriefing type effect on self-efficacy and self- arnefidance increase
Svellingen et al., 2021, Norway	Scenario-Based Simulation	To assess the effect of multiple simulations on the students' self-reported clinical decision-making skills and self-confidence.	Randomized controlled trial	Self-Confidence Scale	146	The results showed no significant differences between double vs single scenario sessions on clinical decision-making scores or self-confidence score. However, the overall self- confidence scores increased cimiferently over time
Tawalbeh et al., 2013, Jordan	Simulation learning	To examine the effect of simulation on nursing students' knowledge of ACLS, knowledge retention, and self-confidence in applying ACLS skills	Randomized controlled trial	Researcher- developed questionnaire	100	The simulation-based training was significantly more effective than traditional training in improving the knowledge, performance, and self- confidence of nursing students in ACLS.
Tawalbeh et al., 2016, Jordan	Simulation learning	To test the effect of simulation on the confidence of university nursing students in applying heart and lung physical examination skills	Randomized controlled trial	Heart and lung assessment confidence scale	69	A paired <i>t</i> -test showed that confidence was significantly higher in the posttest than in the pretest for both groups. An independent <i>t</i> - test showed a statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of the difference between the first posttest and second posttest scores for confidence in applying physical examination skills.

confidence (Fig. 1).

Five studies were conducted in the USA [9,23–26], nine in Asia (Taiwan, Jordan, South Korea, Singapore, and Saudi Arabia) [27–35], and six in Europe (Turkey, France, Norway) [36–41], and two in Brazil [41,42]. Seventeen studies included simulation learning [9,23–26,28,30,31,34–42], three studies included learning-teaching methods based on the course plan [29,32,33], one study included a skill demonstration video delivered by smartphone [27] and one included Web-based education [36]. The studies used different self-confidence measurement tools, with the Self-Confidence with Learning Scale [37,41,42], Confidence Level tool (CL) [24, 25], Confidence in Communication Self-Assessment Survey [27,28], and Confidence Scale (C-scale) [34,35] being the most commonly

used. Table 1 indicates a summary of the characteristics of the selected articles.

3.2. Quality assessment of selected studies

The methodological quality of 26 studies was assessed. Seventeen randomized controlled studies were evaluated by the RoB 2 risk of bias tool, and the RCTs were found to moderate the risk of bias (Fig. 2-A, Fig. 2-B). All RCT studies based on the Rob 2 tool were low risk in Random sequence generation (selection bias) and the majority of studies (more than 70%) were unclear or high risk in Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias). The ROBINS-I tool showed that 5 NRSIs had a Low risk of bias (Fig. 2-C). According to the results of ROBINS-I's tool, five non-randomized studies had a low risk of bias in Bias in the classification of interventions and Bias because of deviations from considered interventions, and a high risk of Bias because of confounding. Four pre-post studies by the Quality Assessment Tool for Before-After (Pre-Post) Studies with no control group, were determined to have good quality for methodological quality assessment, but all the before and after studies did not report data in the group-level interventions, follow-up rate, and blinding of outcome assessors, and individual-level outcome efforts. (Table 2).

3.3. Interventions

The interventions used in the included studies for boosting self-confidence among nursing students are discussed below.

3.3.1. Simulation learning

Simulation is an activity that replicates the clinical environment reality and is designed to demonstrate decision-making, procedures, and critical thinking through various methods and tools. In nursing education, simulation can be in the form of fixed mannequins, patient role-playing scenarios, or computer software-connected mannequins. Simulators include not just mechanical devices, but also any role-playing, scenario, or case study. Although simulation has different levels, evidence shows that it is more efficient and effective than traditional teaching and lecture-based methods [23,35,43,44]. The purpose of simulation learning is to alleviate certain psychological problems or boost self-confidence. Out of the 17 studies employing this intervention, 7 studies found no significant association between the outcome and intervention [25,34,35,37,39,41,42], while others reported a strong association between the outcome and intervention [9,23,24,26,28,30,31,36,38,40].

3.3.2. Web-based education

Table 2

The self-confidence of students was significantly boosted using web-based education interventions, where courses were introduced and registered. In these courses, a homework module was used to provide homework, and students would upload their completed homework to the system. Quiz and test modules were available for students to take exams and complete all required forms. The source module allowed for uploading notes, videos, and PowerPoint presentations. The website contents, as well as videos and presentations prepared by instructors, were accessible to the students [45]. Only one out of the 22 studies focused on the web-based intervention and its effect on self-confidence [10].

3.3.3. Video delivered by smartphone on skill demonstration

One of the most effective ways to provide educational materials to nursing students is through smartphones. In this intervention, no significant difference was detected in the level of self-confidence among students, but there was an improvement in their skills and knowledge. Both groups were given a DVD demonstration, with identical video clips available on smartphones and DVDs.

Study	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Q 5	Q 6	Q 7	Q 8	Q 9	Q 10	Q 11	Q 12
Blumling, 2018 Kim, 2018 Lubbers, 2016 Saied, 2017	Yes Yes Yes Yes	NR Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No NR No No	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	NR NR NR NR	NR NR NR NR	Yes Yes NR Yes	Yes NA NR NR	NR NR NR NR
Question 1					Study question, Was the study question or objective clearly stated?							
Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 Question 5 Question 6 Question 7 Question 8 Question 9 Question 10 Question 11 Question 12					Eligibility criteria and study question of objective clearly dured. Eligibility criteria and study population Study participants representative of clinical populations of interest All eligible participants enrolled Sample size; Was the sample size sufficiently large to provide confidence in the finding Intervention clearly described Outcome measures clearly described, valid, and reliable Blinding of outcome assessors Follow-up rate Statistical analysis Multiple outcome measures Complement in the finding intervention of the finding intervention o							

Quality assessment for before-after (pre-post) studies with No control group.

*NA, not applicable; NR, not reported.

Additionally, text messages were sent to both groups via smartphones three times a week to remind and encourage them to watch the videos. Post-test data were collected two weeks after the pre-test step [27].

3.4. Meta-analysis

The control and intervention groups were comparable because there was no significant difference in mean scores before the intervention across studies. However, the post-intervention result in the intervention group was significantly greater (Standardized Mean Difference [SMD]) (SMD for Controlled experimental design = 0.51; 95% CI = 0.14-0.89), (SMD for Quasi-experimental = 0.04; 95% CI = -0.33-0.41), (SMD for Before-After (Pre-Post) = 2.74; 95% CI = 1.85-3.63)). Therefore, the random-effects meta-analysis of 22 interventional studies showed that educational interventions were significantly associated with improving nursing students' self-confidence. The interventions had a moderate impact on the research units, as indicated by Cohen's d results. Furthermore, the positive SMD values in all studies confirmed consistent findings (Fig. 3).

Considering that most studies used simulation learning interventions, we conducted a separate analysis specifically on this common intervention. Based on the analyses performed, the SMD value was estimated to be 0.42 with a 95% CI ranging from 0.03 to 0.81. Despite the absence of a significant relationship between the intervention and outcome in some studies, the aggregated results demonstrated a significant relationship. According to Cohen's d effect size table, the impact of this intervention falls within the medium range (Fig. 4).

The sensitivity analysis consistently showed a mean change in self-confidence within a range of summary SMDs: 0.31 to 0.46. This indicates that the meta-analysis model was robust. To investigate the possibility of publication bias, a Funnel plot was used. This plot displays the weighted mean difference against the standard error, which represents the level of study accuracy. A relatively small asymmetry was observed in the plot, suggesting the presence of publication bias (Fig. 5).

Further analysis by Egger statistical test and Begg's adjusted rank correlation, as well as plot visual inspection, reinforced the suspicion of publication bias. However, we used the Trim and Fill approach with a random-effects model (Fig. 6). The findings of this method were consistent with the classical meta-analysis results, verifying each other's results. Moreover, no additional studies were found through the trim and fill method. These findings indicate a comprehensive search of all eligible studies in the databases.



Fig. 4. Meta-analysis of the Simulation-based education interventions vs traditional approaches on boosting the self-confidence in nursing students (controlled experimental studies). Diamond represents the summary standardised mean difference (pooled SMD) estimate and its width shows corresponding 95% CI with random effects estimate.







Fig. 6. Begg's funnel plot for assessing the presence of publication bias. Weighted mean difference was plotted against the precision of the study (p = 0.03, for Begg's adjusted rank correlation test and p = 0.06, for Egger's regression asymmetry test).

4. Discussion

Our study is the first systematic review and meta-analysis investigating the effect of various interventions on increasing the selfconfidence of clinical nursing students. Self-confidence is a subjective and acquired factor that can be influenced by various factors, like role, sense of self, perspective, sense of efficacy self-esteem, and experiences related to the context or setting [6]. However, there are interventions that can significantly affect self-confidence.

Self-confidence is recognized as a central element in the success of practice and education for nursing students. Therefore, it is important to address self-confidence through organizational and individual interventions worldwide. A wide range of interventions can provide appropriate approaches to boost self-confidence. The present systematic review evaluated the effect of educational interventions on boosting nursing students' self-confidence. The interventions analyzed in the included articles consisted of simulation learning, videos provided by smartphones, and web-based education. The majority of these interventions had positive effects on boosting self-confidence and improving mental health. Simulation learning, in particular, is a practical method that can have a more effective impact by using models closest to the clinical situation. Additionally, this type of intervention can be performed in the nurses' own work environment, making it more efficient. In our review, high-fidelity simulation (HFS) was employed as a potent instrument to identify teaching-learning strategies among nursing students. However, some studies reported a neutral effect of this intervention. While HFS was found to improve competence and self-confidence in students, it was unable to boost caring parameters [44]. Further studies are needed to identify educational approaches that can enhance students' competence and self-confidence in the clinical environment.

In a study comparing conventional and simulation-based teaching techniques in undergraduate nursing students, significant results were not achieved after a single simulation session [46]. However, when both educational methods were used effectively, the self-confidence and critical thinking abilities of nursing students were strengthened successfully [47]. Nursing educators should be encouraged to develop training programs specifically designed to boost self-confidence and critical thinking ability [10]. It is

important to note that some studies found a significant impact of simulation interventions on self-confidence. In these studies, the self-confidence and knowledge of students in the intervention group were significantly enhanced in comparison to the control group [39]. Other studies also revealed the positive impacts of simulation on clinical learning, self-confidence, intimate partner violence, and decision-making skills [24,26,40]. Simulation learning has also shown effectiveness in directing nursing students toward the acquisition of knowledge and critical thinking for learning CPR (Cardiopulmonary resuscitation) [33]. Further research is needed to completely understand the self-confidence concept in the context of simulation learning and assess the role of the nurse educator in the simulation and clinical setting in promoting and developing self-confidence in prelicensure nursing students. The web-based education has effectively enhanced self-confidence levels and reduced nursing students' anxiety levels in clinical decision-making courses. Computer-assisted and other educational methods have had a positive influence on the self-confidence of nursing students in the clinical decision-making course [48,49]. The results of studies have demonstrated the suitability of delivering learning content via smartphones to nursing students. Although no significant difference was observed in the self-confidence of nursing students, their skills and knowledge were boosted through the intervention. Therefore, smartphones can be considered a complementary and useful tool for learning nursing skills. No significant differences were detected in the post-intervention self-confidence of nursing students between the groups, although their skills and knowledge had been enhanced. In line with these findings, previous studies observed no significant difference in nursing students' self-confidence levels while performing urinary catheterization for female and male patients between the intervention (iPods) and control (no technology) groups [32].

4.1. Limitations

Our findings should be interpreted cautiously in the context of the limitations of the available data. Few studies have employed similar interventions, so the results should be cautiously generalized. Another was the small sample size in some included studies, meaning that statistical power decreased, inconsistency increased, and the magnitude of intervention effects decreased. Nonetheless, some studies with appropriate sample sizes found no significant association between self-confidence and intervention. It should be noted that the results may be influenced by the demographic profiles of the participants and the heterogeneity of the groups.

4.2. Implications

In clinical nursing practice and education, self-confidence is an essential factor. Besides helping students complete their tasks accurately, it enables better communication with patients. Nurse educators can support students in the promotion of self-confidence by understanding effective teaching-learning strategies and their role in developing self-confident nursing practice. By applying these strategies, nurse educators can enhance the training and preparation of future professional nurses, allowing students to learn based on their motivations and gain self-confidence.

5. Conclusion

Nursing decision-makers and professors can use appropriate methods to increase the nursing students' confidence based on the needs and potential of their resources and human resources. Based on the findings, the most effective interventional strategies were education and simulation-based learning skills. However, it should be acknowledged that the outcomes of intervention programs to boost self-confidence require a long time, and further studies are needed to track the persistence of change. The complexity of nursing students' self-confidence suggests that interventions should be multidimensional and combined. Nevertheless, the comprehensive implementation of such interventions may come at a high cost, and it is necessary to provide the required executive conditions, such as participant preparation and the commitment of key individuals, before the intervention. Therefore, concerns such as spatial, temporal, and feasibility constraints should also be considered when applying these interventions.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Elahe Ramezanzade Tabriz: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Data curation, Conceptualization. Masoumeh Sadeghi: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Formal analysis. Ensieh Tavana: Software, Formal analysis. Hamid Heidarian Miri: Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. Fatemeh Heshmati Nabavi: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e27347.

References

- S.R. Jafarian-Amiri, A. Zabihi, M.Q. Qalehsari, The challenges of supporting nursing students in clinical education, J. Educ. Health Promot. 9 (2020) 216, https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_13_20.
- [2] J.M. Nouri, S. Khademolhoseini, M. Khaghanizadeh, M. Mollahadi, Design and psychometrics of the mentoring questionnaire among bachelor's degree students in nursing, Iran, J. Nurs. Midwifery. Res 25 (4) (2020) 314–318, https://doi.org/10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR_138_19.
- [3] S. Lim, L. Xin Min, C.J.W. Chan, Y. Dong, K. Mikkonen, W. Zhou, Peer mentoring programs for nursing students: a mixed methods systematic review, Nurse Educ. Today 119 (2022) 105577, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105577.
- [4] F. Shirazi, S. Heidari, The relationship between critical thinking skills and learning styles and academic achievement of nursing students, J. Nurs. Res. 27 (4) (2019) e38, https://doi.org/10.1097/jnr.00000000000307.
- [5] D.F. Halpern, Teaching for critical thinking: helping college students develop the skills and dispositions of a critical thinker, N. Dir. Teach. Learn. 1999 (80) (1999) 69–74.
- [6] P. Perry, Concept analysis: confidence/self-confidence, Nurs. Forum 46 (4) (2011) 218–230, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6198.2011.00230.x.
- [7] L.J. Labrague, D.M. McEnroe-Petitte, A.M. Bowling, C.E. Nwafor, K. Tsaras, High-fidelity simulation and nursing students' anxiety and self-confidence: a systematic review, Nurs. Forum 54 (3) (2019) 358–368, https://doi.org/10.1111/nuf.12337.
- [8] K.A. White, Self-confidence: a concept analysis, Nurs. Forum 44 (2009) 103-114.
- J. Lubbers, C. Rossman, The effects of pediatric community simulation experience on the self-confidence and satisfaction of baccalaureate nursing students: a quasi-experimental study, Nurse Educ. Today 39 (2016) 93–98, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.01.013.
- [10] I. Bektaş, F. Yardımcı, The effect of web-based education on the self-confidence and anxiety levels of paediatric nursing interns in the clinical decision-making process, J. Comput. Assist. Learn. 34 (6) (2018) 899–906, https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12298.
- [11] S. Cobbett, E. Snelgrove-Clarke, Virtual versus face-to-face clinical simulation in relation to student knowledge, anxiety, and self-confidence in maternalnewborn nursing; a randomized controlled trial, Nurse Educ. Today 45 (2016) 179–184, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.08.004.
- [12] C.M. Boso, A.S. van der Merwe, J. Gross, Critical thinking disposition of nursing students: a quantitative investigation, Nurse Educ. Pract. 55 (2021) 103167, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103167.
- [13] O. Chernikova, N. Heitzmann, M. Stadler, D. Holzberger, T. Seidel, F. Fischer, Simulation-based learning in higher education: a meta-analysis, Rev. Educ. Res. 90 (4) (2020) 499–541, https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654320933544.
- [14] M.J. Page, J.E. McKenzie, P.M. Bossuyt, I. Boutron, T.C. Hoffmann, C.D. Mulrow, et al., The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews, BMJ (Clinical research ed.) 372 (71) (2021), https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71.
- [15] J.A.C. Sterne, J. Savović, M.J. Page, R.G. Elbers, N.S. Blencowe, I. Boutron, et al., RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials, BMJ (Clinical research ed.) 366 (2019) 14898, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.14898.
- [16] J.A. Sterne, M.A. Hernán, B.C. Reeves, J. Savović, N.D. Berkman, M. Viswanathan, et al., ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions, BMJ (Clinical research ed.) 355 (2016) i4919, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4919.
- [17] National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, Quality assessment tool for before-after (Pre-Post) studies with No control group [national Heart Lung and Blood Institute web site]. http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/in-develop/cardiovascular-risk-reduction/tools/before-after, 2014. (Accessed 13 September 2015).
- [18] T.B. Huedo-Medina, J. Sánchez-Meca, F. Marín-Martínez, J. Botella, Assessing heterogeneity in meta-analysis: Q statistic or I2 index? Psychol. Methods 11 (2) (2006) 193–206, https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.11.2.193.
- [19] M.H. Nejat, A. Khayami, M. Daliri, M.H. Ebrahimzadeh, M. Sadeghi, A. Moradi, Does tranexamic acid diminish hemorrhage and pain in open elbow arthrolysis? a systematic review and meta-analysis, BMC Muscoskel. Disord. 24 (1) (2023) 795, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-023-06835-7.
- [20] J.P. Higgins, S.G. Thompson, Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis, Stat. Med. 21 (11) (2002) 1539–1558, https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1186.
 [21] M. Egger, G. Davey Smith, M. Schneider, C. Minder, Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test, BMJ (Clinical research ed.) 315 (7109) (1997)
- 629–634, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629.
- [22] M. Janghorbani, M. Dehghani, M. Salehi-Marzijarani, Systematic review and meta-analysis of insulin therapy and risk of cancer, Horm. Cancer 3 (4) (2012) 137–146, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12672-012-0112-z.
- [23] C.A. Blum, S. Borglund, D. Parcells, High-fidelity nursing simulation: impact on student self-confidence and clinical competence, Int. J. Nurs. Educ. Scholarsh. 7 (1) (2010), https://doi.org/10.2202/1548-923X.2035.
- [24] J.D. Brannan, A. White, J.L. Bezanson, Simulator effects on cognitive skills and confidence levels, J. Nurs. Educ. 47 (11) (2008) 495–500, https://doi.org/ 10.3928/01484834-20081101-01.
- [25] J.D. Brannan, A. White, J. Long, Learning styles: impact on knowledge and confidence in nursing students in simulation and classroom, Int. J. Nurs. Educ. Scholarsh. 13 (1) (2016), https://doi.org/10.1515/ijnes-2015-0052 j/ijnes.2016.13.issue-1/ijnes-2015-0052/ijnes-2015-0052.xml.
- [26] A. Blumling, K. Kameg, T. Cline, J. Szpak, C. Koller, Evaluation of a standardized patient simulation on undergraduate nursing students' knowledge and confidence pertaining to intimate partner violence, J. Forensic Nurs. 14 (3) (2018) 174–179, https://doi.org/10.1097/JFN.00000000000212.
- [27] Y.H. Chuang, F.C. Lai, C.C. Chang, H.T. Wan, Effects of a skill demonstration video delivered by smartphone on facilitating nursing students' skill competencies and self-confidence: a randomized controlled trial study, Nurse Educ. Today 66 (2018) 63–68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.03.027.
- [28] Y.Y. Chang, L.F. Chao, X. Xiao, N.H. Chien, Effects of a simulation-based nursing process educational program: a mixed-methods study, Nurse Educ. Pract. 56 (2021) 103188, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103188.
- [29] Y.M. Liu, Y.C. Hou, Effect of multi-disciplinary teaching on learning satisfaction, self-confidence level and learning performance in the nursing students, Nurse Educ. Pract. 55 (2021) 103128, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103128.
- [30] L.I. Tawalbeh, A. Tubaishat, Effect of simulation on knowledge of advanced cardiac life support, knowledge retention, and confidence of nursing students in Jordan, J. Nurs. Educ. 53 (1) (2014) 38–44, https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20131218-01.
- [31] L.I. Tawalbeh, Effect of simulation on the confidence of university nursing students in applying cardiopulmonary assessment skills: a randomized controlled trial, J. Nurs. Res. 25 (4) (2017) 289–295, https://doi.org/10.1097/JNR.00000000000170.
- [32] Y. Kim, H. Park, S.S. Hong, H.J. Chung, Y. Kim, H. Park, S.S. Hong, H.J. Chung, Effects of a neonatal nursing practice program on students' stress, self-efficacy, and confidence, Child. Health. Nurs. Res 24 (3) (2018) 319–328, https://doi.org/10.4094/chnr.2018.24.3.319.
- [33] S. Park, Effects of an intensive clinical skills course on senior nursing students' self-confidence and clinical competence: a quasi-experimental post-test study, Nurse Educ, Today 61 (2018) 182–186, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2017.11.028.
- [34] S.Y. Liaw, A. Scherpbier, J.J. Rethans, P. Klainin-Yobas, Assessment for simulation learning outcomes: a comparison of knowledge and self-reported confidence with observed clinical performance, Nurse Educ. Today 32 (6) (2012) e35–e39, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2011.10.006.
- [35] M.H. Alamrani, K.A. Alammar, S.S. Alqahtani, O.A. Salem, Comparing the effects of simulation-based and traditional teaching methods on the critical thinking abilities and self-confidence of nursing students, J. Nurs. Res. 26 (3) (2018) 152–157, https://doi.org/10.1097/jnr.0000000000231.
- [36] S. Altun, S. Tastan, Low-fidelity simulation vs. standardized patients in prevention and management of pressure injury education, J. Tissue Viability 31 (4) (2022) 643–648, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtv.2022.07.016.
- [37] A. Şentürk Erenel, Ş. Yaman Sözbir, M. Uzun Aksoy, E. Arslan Gürcüoğlu, S. Pelit Aksu, F. Ünal Toprak, C. Uçakci Asalioğlu, Effect of scenario-based simulation training on the obstetrics and gynecology nursing clinical practicum, J. Nurs. Res. 29 (2) (2021) e142, https://doi.org/10.1097/jnr.00000000000017.
- [38] S. Sarvan, E. Efe, The effect of neonatal resuscitation training based on a serious game simulation method on nursing students' knowledge, skills, satisfaction and self-confidence levels: a randomized controlled trial, Nurse Educ. Today 111 (2022) 105298, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105298.
- [39] T. Secheresse, L. Lima, P. Pansu, Focusing on explicit debriefing for novice learners in healthcare simulations: a randomized prospective study, Nurse Educ. Pract. 51 (2021) 102914, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102914.

- [40] A.H. Svellingen, A. Forstrønen, J. Assmus, K. Røykenes, G. Brattebø, Simulation-based education and the effect of multiple simulation sessions a randomised controlled study, Nurse Educ. Today 106 (2021) 105059, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.105059.
- [41] M.H. Meska, J.C. Franzon, C.K. Cotta Filho, G.A. Junior, A. Mazzo, Satisfaction and self-confidence of nursing students in simulated scenarios with the use of unpleasant odors: randomized clinical trial, Scientia, Méd. 28 (1) (2018) 5, https://doi.org/10.15448/1980-6108.2018.1.28693.
- [42] L.L.M. Abarca, A.L.B.L. Barros, R.C.N. Baptista, R.E.A. Batista, J.L. Lopes, Effect of video on satisfaction and self-confidence in simulation training: a randomized clinical trial, Rev. Bras. Enferm. 76 (3) (2023) e20220366, https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2022-0366.
- [43] D. Bambini, J. Washburn, R. Perkins, Outcomes of clinical simulation for novice nursing students: communication, confidence, clinical judgment, Nurs. Educ. Perspect. 30 (2) (2009) 79–82.
- [44] G. Lamé, M. Dixon-Woods, Using clinical simulation to study how to improve quality and safety in healthcare, BMJ. Simul. Technol. Enhanc. Learn 6 (2) (2020) 87–94, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjstel-2018-000370.
- [45] A. Akdeniz Kudubes, M. Bektas, The effect of web-based pediatric palliative care education on the palliative care knowledge level and practices of nursing students, Psychiatr. Care 56 (3) (2020) 533–540, https://doi.org/10.1111/ppc.12463.
- [46] J.M. Dias, S.A. Aderibigbe, M.S. Abraham, Undergraduate nursing students' mentoring experiences in the clinical practicum: the United Arab Emirates (UAE) perspective, J. Nurs. Manag. 30 (8) (2022) 4304–4313, https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13833.
- [47] L. Nowell, J.M. Norris, K. Mrklas, D.E. White, Mixed methods systematic review exploring mentorship outcomes in nursing academia, J. Adv. Nurs. 73 (3) (2017) 527–544, https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13152.
- [48] A. Torbjørnsen, E. Hessevaagbakke, E.K. Grov, A.K. Bjørnnes, Enhancing students learning experiences in nursing programmes: an integrated review, Nurse Educ. Pract. 52 (2021) 103038, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103038.
- [49] G. Oliveira Silva, F.S.E. Oliveira, A.S.G. Coelho, A.M.R.Z. Cavalcante, F.V.M. Vieira, L.M.M. Fonseca, S.H. Campbell, N.D.A. Aredes, Effect of simulation on stress, anxiety, and self-confidence in nursing students: systematic review with meta-analysis and meta-regression, Int. J. Nurs. Stud. 133 (2022) 104282, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2022.104282.