





Draft Genome Sequences of *Halobacterium* sp. Strains KA-4 and KA-6, Two Extremely Halophilic Archaea Isolated from a Triassic Salt Deposit in Northern Ireland

Fintan T. McMahon, a Ciaran M. Lonergan, Brendan F. Gilmore, Dulianne Megawa

^aSchool of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom ^bSchool of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom

Fintan T. McMahon and Ciaran M. Lonergan contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined by their contribution to the finished manuscript.

ABSTRACT Here, we report the draft genome sequences of *Halobacterium* sp. strains KA-4 and KA-6. These extremely halophilic archaea were isolated from a Triassic halite deposit in Northern Ireland. Based on 16S sequence identity, they were deemed to be closely related strains of *Halobacterium noricense* but with some notable phenotypic differences.

The genus *Halobacterium* contains extremely halophilic archaea; it was first described in 1957 and emended in 2009 (1). To date, the genus contains four species (2). Representatives of the genus have been isolated from diverse hypersaline environments, including halite deposits (3, 4), salt lakes (5), solar salterns (6), salted hides, and ancient parchments (7).

The extremely halophilic archaeal strains KA-4 and KA-6 were isolated from a brine sample taken from Kilroot Salt Mine, a Triassic halite deposit located in Northern Ireland, as previously described (4). Both strains had rod-shaped cells and red coloration, and both were oxidase negative and catalase positive (determined using oxidase detection strips [Oxoid, UK] and 3% H₂O₂, respectively). The strains were maintained on Payne's medium (8), and both showed optimal growth when this medium contained 200 g L⁻¹ NaCl (approximately 23% total salts), as determined by measurements of the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) following 7 days' growth in this medium supplemented with 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, or 25% (wt/vol) NaCl. The strains were confirmed to be members of the genus Halobacterium based on a comparison of their 16S rRNA gene sequences to others held within the NCBI database (4). The closest neighbor to both strains was deemed to be the type strain Halobacterium noricense JCM 15102 (GenBank accession number NR_113426.1), with KA-4 having 99.49% sequence similarity and KA-6 having 99.56% similarity to this strain. The 16S sequences of both isolates also had 99.56% similarity to each other; however, considerable phenotypic differences were observed, most notably their antimicrobial susceptibility profiles toward novobiocin, rifampicin, and H₂O₂, measured using a standard MIC assay (9) (Table 1).

Both isolates were grown in Payne's medium (200 g L⁻¹ NaCl) at 37°C for 7 days, and genomic DNA was extracted using the GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kit (Merck, UK) as per the manufacturer's instructions, using the protocol for Gram-negative bacteria. Whole-genome sequencing was performed by Microbes NG (Birmingham, UK). Genomic DNA libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT library prep kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq/NovaSeq platform, with a 250-bp paired-end protocol. All tools for assembly and analysis were run using default parameters unless otherwise specified. The reads were adapter trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.30 (10) with a sliding window cutoff of Q15. The reads were assembled *de novo* using SPAdes v3.7 (11), and contigs

Editor Kenneth M. Stedman, Portland State University

Copyright © 2022 McMahon et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Julianne Megaw, i.megaw@qub.ac.uk.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 3 December 2021 Accepted 4 January 2022 Published 20 January 2022



TABLE 1 Antimicrobial susceptibility data, sequencing and assembly metrics, and NCBI PGAP annotation data for *Halobacterium* sp. strains KA-4 and KA-6

Characteristic ^a	Data for strain:	
	KA-4	KA-6
MICs		
Novobiocin (ng mL ⁻¹)	0.24	0.12
Rifampicin (μ g mL ⁻¹)	0.016	0.002
H ₂ O ₂ (% [wt/vol])	0.032	0.002
Sequencing and assembly metrics		
Sequencing coverage (×)	84.0	73.7
Assembly size (bp)	3,336,915	3,492,034
No. of contigs (>1,000 bp)	55	46
Largest contig (bp)	387,766	871,498
N ₅₀ (bp)	138,062	362,719
L ₅₀	8	3
GC content (%)	63.8	63.9
NCBI PGAP annotation data		
No. of identified genes	3,598	3,745
No. of identified CDSs	3,546	3,694
No. of complete RNAs (5S, 16S, 23S)	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1
No. of predicted tRNAs	47	46
No. of predicted ncRNAs	2	2

^a CDSs, coding DNA sequences; ncRNAs, noncoding RNAs.

of <1,000 bp were discarded. The two assemblies were then annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v5.3 (12). The sequencing and assembly metrics and key features of the two genome assemblies are summarized in Table 1. These two draft genomes will contribute to the increasing number and diversity of understudied haloarchaeal genomes currently available for analysis.

Data availability. The raw Illumina reads for KA-4 and KA-6 have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession numbers SRR16917818 and SRR16917817, respectively. The draft genome sequences have been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession numbers JAJJZG000000000 and JAJJZH000000000, respectively. The versions described in this paper are JAJJZG000000000.1 (KA-4) and JAJJZH000000000.1 (KA-6).

REFERENCES

- Oren A, Arahal DR, Ventosa A. 2009. Emended descriptions of genera of the family Halobacteriaceae. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59:637–642. https:// doi.org/10.1099/iis.0.008904-0.
- Oren A, Ventosa A. 2017. Halobacterium. In Trujillo ME, Dedysh S, DeVos P, Hedlund B, Kämpfer P, Rainey FA, Whitman WB (ed), Bergey's manual of systematics of archaea and bacteria. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118960608.gbm00482.pub2.
- Mormile MR, Biesen MA, Gutierrez MC, Ventosa A, Pavlovich JB, Onstott TC, Fredrickson JK. 2003. Isolation of *Halobacterium salinarum* retrieved directly from halite brine inclusions. Environ Microbiol 5:1094–1102. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00509x.
- Megaw J, Kelly SA, Thompson TP, Skvortsov T, Gilmore BF. 2019. Profiling the microbial community of a Triassic halite deposit in Northern Ireland: an environment with significant potential for biodiscovery. FEMS Microbiol Lett 366:fnz242. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnz242.
- Yang Y, Cui HL, Zhou PJ, Liu SJ. 2006. Halobacterium jilantaiense sp. nov., a halophilic archaeon isolated from a saline lake in Inner Mongolia, China. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56:2353–2355. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64437-0.
- Abbes M, Baati H, Guermazi S, Messina C, Santulli A, Gharsallah N, Ammar E. 2013. Biological properties of carotenoids extracted from *Halobacte-rium halobium* isolated from a Tunisian solar saltern. BMC Complement Altern Med 13:255. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-13-255.

- Perini N, Mercuri F, Thaller MC, Orlanducci S, Castiello D, Talarico V, Migliore L. 2019. The stain of the original salt: red heats on chrome tanned leathers and purple spots on ancient parchments are two sides of the same ecological coin. Front Microbiol 10:2459. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02459.
- Payne JI, Sehgal SN, Gibbons NE. 1960. Immersion refractometry of some halophilic bacteria. Can J Microbiol 6:9–15. https://doi.org/10.1139/ m60-002.
- CLSI. 2021. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 31st ed. CLSI supplement M100. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt K, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkw569.

Volume 11 Issue 1 e01165-21