



Association of Antibiotic Alterations in Gut Microbiota With Decreased Osseointegration of an Intramedullary Nail in Mice With and Without Osteomyelitis

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Petia Kovatcheva-Datchary, University of Würzburg, Germany

Reviewed by:

Edward Schwarz, University of Rochester, United States Huating Li, Shanghai Sixth People's Hospital, China

*Correspondence:

Yang Bai 13925001665@163.com Huimin Deng tammy054@163.com Bin Yu yubin@smu.edu.cn [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Gut Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 26 September 2021 Accepted: 18 November 2021 Published: 09 December 2021

Citation:

Zhao X, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Qian K, Qin H, Wan H, Wang S, Zhu Z, Yang S, Jiang N, Zhang Y, Bai Y, Deng H and Yu B (2021) Association of Antibiotic Alterations in Gut Microbiota With Decreased Osseointegration of an Intramedullary Nail in Mice With and Without Osteomyelitis. Front. Endocrinol. 12:774257. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.774257 Xingqi Zhao^{1†}, Zhaohui Zhang^{2,3,4†}, Yiran Wang^{1,2}, Kai Qian³, Hanjun Qin¹, Haoyang Wan¹, Shihao Wang³, Zhengwen Zhu³, Siqi Yang³, Nan Jiang¹, Yifang Zhang⁵, Yang Bai^{3*}, Huimin Deng^{2,3*} and Bin Yu^{1*}

¹ Department of Orthopedics, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China & Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Bone and Cartilage Regenerative Medicine, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ² Department of Gastroenterology, Huizhou Municipal Central Hospital, Huizhou, China, ³ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Gastroenterology, Institute of Gastroenterology of Guangdong Province & Department of Gastroenterology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁴ Department of Gastroenterology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, ⁵ Editorial Office, Chinese Journal of Orthpopaedic Trauma, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Treatment of osteomyelitis requires prolonged antibiotic therapy which significantly alters the gut microbiota. While the influences on bone mass and microstructure have been extensively studied, it is poorly understood what impact the changes in gut microbiota may have on the host response to osseointegration around an intramedullary nail implanted. Here, we explored the influence of gut microbiota on the bone osseointegration process around an implant under two conditions: implantation of an intramedullary nail in the bone marrow cavity and chronic osteomyelitis (CO) induced by Staphylococcus aureus infection. Body weight, hepatorenal functions, serum levels of proinflammatory cytokines were monitored. The composition of gut microbiota was assessed via 16S rRNA sequencing, and the bone condition was analyzed via micro-computed tomography, hematoxylin and eosin staining, Safranin O-fast green and Goldner's trichrome staining. Osteoblastogenesis and osteoclastogenesis were assessed by detecting tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase and osterix expression. We found that perturbation of gut microbiota (increase in Proteobacteria and decrease in Bacteroidetes) associated with delayed osseointegration and increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines in the serum (p<0.05), lower bone mass (p<0.05), deficient endochondral ossification and bone formation, reduced osteoblastogenesis (p < 0.05) and enhanced osteoclastogenesis (p<0.001). Survival rates (p=0.002) and bacterial loads (p=0.0363) in bone differed significantly between the CO and antibiotic-treated CO mice, but cytokines levels, bone mineral density, and bone formation did not differ, likely because of the severely damaged bone structure. In summary, antibiotic treatment perturbed the gut microbiota and significantly interfered with the bone osseointegration around the nail by increasing proinflammatory cytokine levels in

1

circulation, inhibiting osteoblastogenesis, enhancing osteoclastogenesis, and thus leading to higher pathogen colonization as well as higher mortality postinfection. This report of ours is the first to demonstrate antibiotic-induced alterations in the gut microbiota affect bone osseointegration, helping us understand the role of gut microbiota disorders in osteoblastogenesis and osteoclastogenesis following implant insertion with or without infection.

Keywords: antibiotic, gut microbiota, osseointegration of implant, intramedullary nail, osteomyelitis

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial infection is a very serious and common complication of implants which are typically used for patients with fractures or severe osteoarthritis in surgery (1). Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is the most common pathogen responsible for such bone infections, which, when uncontrolled, can progress to chronic osteomyelitis (CO), a persistent infection in bone caused by pathogenic microorganisms, often resulting in sequestrum formation and bone destruction (2). As a persistent and progressive inflammatory disease, CO remains a huge challenge for clinicians and a burden to patients because of its long duration, complex treatment and high relapse rate (3). Prophylactic antibiotics are commonly prescribed in clinical practice to prevent bone infection. In our previous report, the cure rate for conservative treatment of CO patients was only 26% (4), indicating a necessity for combined antimicrobial and surgical treatments. The clinical guidelines for treatment of S. aureusassociated CO generally recommend a combination of antibiotics such as aminoglycosides, gentamicin, and vancomycin (5).

Trillions of microorganisms reside in the human intestinal tract, collectively known as gut microbiota. Changes in gut microbiota associated with antibiotic use are extremely significant, because dysregulation of gut microbiota is associated with numerous abnormalities like inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (6), obesity (7), non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (8), cardiovascular disease (9) and other conditions. Prolonged antibiotics use perturbs profoundly gut microbiota, which may have adverse effects on musculoskeletal system according to the 2018 International Consensus Meeting on Musculoskeletal Infections (10, 11). One study reported that healthy individuals treated with antibiotics for 1 week or less experienced persistent effects on gut microbiota for more than 6 months, including dramatically decreased microbial diversity and emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains (12). Recent studies have shown that gut microbiota can dysregulate the skeletal metabolism in antibiotic treated animals (13, 14). Cho et al. indicated that slight alterations in gut microbiota early in life were sufficient to interfere with skeletal development and metabolism (13). They administered subtherapeutic antibiotic doses to 4-week-old sex-matched C57BL/6J mice and found that 3 weeks but not 7 weeks of treatment significantly increased bone mineral density (BMD). Another study suggested that the influence of low dose antibiotics on bone mass depended on gender, as long term administration of low dose penicillin to young mice only resulted in increased bone mineral content in female mice (2). More severe dysregulation of gut microbiota

may impact the bone more markedly. Hathaway et al. reported that large doses of antibiotic cocktails significantly reduced BMD by enhancing pro-inflammatory response and osteoclastogenesis (14). However, these findings were discrepant possibly because different study designs used.

Until now, only a few studies have investigated the relationship between gut microbiota dysbiosis and bone infection or remodeling. Moreover, no studies have assessed the impact of gut dysbiosis on osseointegration after implant insertion in spite of the fact that rapid and efficient osseointegration between an extraneous implant surface and the host bone tissue is crucial. As microbiota disorders caused by antibiotic use may lead to a series of changes related to bone, we speculate that they may also have impacts on the susceptibility/severity of osteomyelitis and the osseointegration after implantation of an intramedullary nail in the treatment of fracture. Thus, we conducted this study to explore the influence of gut microbiota dysregulation induced by antibiotics on osteomyelitis and osseointegration between an extraneous implant surface and the host bone tissue.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

All animal experiments of ours were approved by Nanfang Hospital Animal Ethics Committee and conducted in accordance with all relevant ethical principles and guidelines set by the Animal Welfare Act and the NIH Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Bacterial Strain and Culture Conditions

S. aureus strain ATCC 25923 provided by Infectious Diseases Department, Southern Medical University was verified by PCR amplification. *S. aureus* was cultured in tryptic soy broth (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) at 37°C in a shaking incubator at 200 rpm overnight for 16 h. Bacteria in the log phase were harvested by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min, resuspended in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and washed 3 times. The *S. aureus* concentration was determined by serial dilution on tryptone soy agar (BD Biosciences) containing 5% sheep blood.

Animals

Eight-week-old male C57BL/6 mice were used in all experiments. They were originally obtained from Animal Center of Nanfang

Hospital and bred and maintained under specific-pathogen-free conditions at an American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-accredited animal facility at Southern Medical University. They were housed according to the procedures described in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Experimental Groups

Mice were randomly assigned into 6 groups (**Figure 1A**): (i) untreated group, (ii) antibiotic-treated (Ab) group, (iii) chronic osteomyelitis (CO) model group, (iv) antibiotic-treated CO (Ab-CO) group, (v) implant (Im) group, and (vi) antibiotictreated implant (Ab-Im) group. Mice in untreated group were reared without any treatment throughout the experiment. Mice in Ab, Ab-CO and Ab-Im groups were fed with drinking water containing an antibiotic cocktail for consecutive 5 weeks, from one week before operation to 4 weeks after surgery (**Figure 1A**). For antibiotic treated mice, an antibiotic cocktail was prepared as previously described (15), containing kanamycin (0.8 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), gentamicin (0.07 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich), colistin (0.1135 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich), metronidazole (0.43 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) and vancomycin



FIGURE 1 | Perturbation of gut microbiota impaired host resistance to chronic osteomyelitis and enhanced proinflammatory response. Specimens were harvested at 4 weeks postsurgery from mice in untreated, antibiotic-treated (Ab), chronic osteomyelitis (CO), antibiotic-treated CO (Ab-CO), implant (Im), and antibiotic-treated implant (Ab-Im) groups. (A) Experimental flow chart. (B) Length of femur specimen. (C) X-ray images of the femur in lateral view and gross photos after soft tissue dissection. (D) Histological analysis of the distal femur by H&E staining, osteomyelitis signs (*) in CO and Ab-CO groups, and nail path (#) in Im and Ab-Im groups. (E) Body weights of mice in all groups. (F) Detection of *S. aureus* in distal femur specimens by FISH. The red signal represents *S. aureus*; the blue signal represents eukaryotic cell nucleus. (G) Serum level of TNF- α in all groups (n=9). (H) Serum level of IL-6 in all groups (n=9). (I) Survival rates for CO and Ab-CO groups (n=38). (J) Bacterial cell counts based on FISH results from mice in CO and Ab-CO groups (n=5). Data for body weight, cytokines and bacterial cell counts are shown as the median and interquartile range. Survival data were analyzed using the log-rank test. Body weight data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. "NS" for not significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

(0.09 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich). Kanamycin, gentamicin, and colistin mainly target gram-negative bacteria, vancomycin mainly targets gram-positive bacteria, and metronidazole mainly targets anaerobic bacteria. Mice in Ab-CO, CO, Ab-Im and Im groups suffered from a surgery on day 0 (D0). Detailed experimental procedures for the CO modelling and the intramedullary nail insertion are described below.

Mouse Surgical Procedures and Infection Model

Southern Medical University Animal Use and Care Committee approved all the procedures of ours. The surgical procedures were modified ones reported by previous work (16, 17). Briefly, mice were anesthetized via intraperitoneal injection of tribromoethanol (1.25%). Skin around the right knee joint was prepared and disinfected. After the femoral intercondylar notch was located, a disposable insulin syringe with a 29-gauge needle (KRUUSE, China) was percutaneously inserted into the femoral intramedullary canal. The needle position was confirmed via Xray, followed by manual reaming. The syringe was exchanged for one containing S. *aureus* solution $(1 \times 10^7$ colony-forming units [CFU]/mL) (CO model groups) or PBS (implant groups) before 2 µL of bacterial solution or PBS was injected into the intramedullary canal. After a stainless-steel acupuncture needle (length 10 mm, diameter 0.2 mm; Zhongyan Taihe Medical Instrument, Beijing, China) was surgically placed in a retrograde fashion, its tail end was cut off after withdraw by 2 mm. Passive movement of the mouse knee joint was maintained to ensure that the needle had completely entered the intramedullary canal. Xrays were retaken to check the position of the intramedullary nail. Specimens were procured after 4 weeks when all mice were sacrificed.

Inflammatory Factors and Biochemical Assays

Mouse serum was isolated from the blood by eyeball extirpation and stored at -80°C. TNF- α (CUSABIO, Wuhan, China) and IL-6 (CUSABIO, Wuhan, China) levels were evaluated *via* enzymelinked immunosorbent assay following the manufacturer's instructions. Liver function and renal function were tested by Wuhan Servicebio technology.

Micro-CT Analysis

At week 4 post-surgery, the right femur was obtained from each mouse. After soft tissue around the femur was stripped, the length of femur was measured with a Vernier caliper and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h at room temperature. A high-resolution micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) scanner (SkyScan 1176, Bruker MicroCT, Kontich, Belgium) was used for micro-CT analysis. The scanning procedure voltage was 45 kV with a 555- μ A current. The resolution was set to 8.7 μ m per pixel. Reconstruction software (NRecon, v1.6.10.1, SkyScan), data analysis software (CTAn, v1.15.4.0, SkyScan), and 3-dimensional (3D) model visualization software (CTVol, v2.2.3.0, SkyScan) were used to analyze the parameters of metaphyseal trabecular bone and diaphyseal cortical bone of the femurs. Cross-sectional images of the distal femur were used

for 3D histomorphometric analysis of the trabecular bone and 2dimensional (2D) histomorphometric analysis of the cortical bone. The region of interest (ROI) in the trabecular bone was drawn starting from 0.3 mm proximally on the distal metaphyseal growth plate and extending proximally for another 1 mm (primary spongiosa; 1.3-3.3 mm as secondary spongiosa). The trabecular bone volume fraction (BV/TV), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular number (Tb.N), and trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) were determined from the 3D analysis data and used to represent the trabecular bone parameters. The cortical bone ROI was drawn starting from 4 mm proximally on the distal metaphyseal growth plate and extending proximally for another 0.8 mm. The cortical thickness (Ct.Th), periosteal perimeter (Ps.Pm), and endosteal perimeter (Es.Pm) were determined from the 2D analysis data and used to represent the cortical bone parameters.

Histomorphological Analysis

Following micro-CT scanning, the femurs were decalcified in 10% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (pH 7.4) at room temperature for 4 weeks, dehydrated through a graded ethanol series, and embedded in paraffin. Sections (4 µm) were cut longitudinally and processed for staining with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP), Safranin O-fast green and Goldner's trichrome. H&E staining (Solarbio, Beijing, China) was performed using standard protocols and visualized under an Olympus BX53 microscope. Standard protocols for Safranin O-fast green staining (Solarbio, Beijing, China) were used to identify collagen fiber and cartilage proteoglycans. Goldner's trichrome staining (Solarbio, Beijing, China) was used to assess formation of mineralized bone and osteoid according to a standard protocol. TRAP staining (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used to detect presence of osteoclasts around the purulent cavity or nail-track following the manufacturer's protocol. TRAP+ mononuclear cells and multinucleated cells containing at least 3 nuclei were identified as preosteoclasts and osteoclasts, respectively, and counted using an Olympus BX53 microscope. For the comparison between untreated group and Ab group, we mainly focus on the bone metabolism of cancellous bone area (primary spongiosa and secondary spongiosa). For the comparison between CO group and Ab-CO group, we mainly focus on the bone microstructure changes around infection focus. For the comparison between Im group and Ab-Im group, we mainly focus on the osseointegration process around intramedullary nail track.

Immunofluorescence Analyses

For immunofluorescence analysis, sections were blocked with 10% goat serum (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) at room temperature for 1 h and incubated with primary antibody against osterix (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) overnight at 4°C. The secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Proteintech, Wuhan, China), was used to visualize signals, and the sections were subsequently stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Vector Laboratories) in the dark. Images were acquired using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus BX63). Quantitative analysis was conducted in a blinded fashion using ImageJ software (ImageJ 1.51j8).

Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH)

In this study, we used the EUB338 probe (5'-GCTG CCTCCCGTAGGAGT-3') (Biomers, Ulm, Germany) to identify the location and quantify the number of microbes in bone tissue sections (18-20). Briefly, after conventional dewaxing and rehydration of the sections with bone specimen, 0.2 mol/L hydrochloric acid was applied to the tissue for 15 min, followed by 0.5% Triton for 8 min, and washed twice by PBS for 5 min. After washing, the sections were treated with 10mg/mL lysozyme for 15 min. The specimen was naturally dried after PBS washing for 3 times. In the hybridization step, the specimen and probe were covered with hybridization buffer at 37°C for more than 24 hours. After hybridization, the specimen was washed twice with 2×salt-sodium citrate (SSC) (pH 7.5) buffer for 10 min. Cell nucleus were then stained with 1 µg/mL DAPI for 15 min. The slides were observed and analyzed with an epifluorescence microscope (Nikon 80i, Tokyo, Japan).

Fecal and Intestinal Microbial DNA Extraction and 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing

At the endpoint, all mice were sacrificed to isolate colons. Intestinal samples were collected soon after longitudinal incision of the colon and stored in -80°C before DNA extraction. Microbial DNA was extracted from the intestinal contents samples using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified from intestinal contents DNA samples using the primer pair 515F/806R. All libraries were sequenced using the Ion S5TMXL platform (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) by Novogene (Tianjin, China). Sequencing analysis was performed using Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME, Version 1.9.1) as previous described (21). Briefly, low-quality bases were initially removed using Cutadapt (Version 1.9.1) before paired reads were filtered using the UCHIME algorithm. Clean reads were clustered as operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on a threshold of 97% identity, and representative sequences were annotated. The Chao diversity index and the number of observed species per sample were used as α -diversity metrics. β diversity was calculated using unweighted UniFrac distances and represented using principal co-ordinate analysis (PCoA). T-tests were used to analyze differences in observed species, Shanon index, relative abundance and OTU number between Ab-treated and non-Ab treated groups. Raw data for all samples were deposited in the BioProject database at NCBI under BioProject Accession: PRJNA594184.

Statistical Analysis

GraphPad Prism software was used for all statistical analyses (GraphPad Software Inc.; La Jolla, CA, USA). Data were presented as the median and interquartile range unless otherwise indicated. Survival data were analyzed using the logrank test while the other data using unpaired t-tests for 2 groups or one-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Holm correction for multiple comparisons. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Perturbation of Gut Microbiota Impaired Host Resistance to CO and Enhanced Pro-Inflammatory Response

We successfully constructed CO and implant models as shown by X-ray and H&E staining of the distal femur (**Figures 1C, D**). Signs of osteomyelitis included swelling of the distal femur and obvious tumefaction of local soft tissue in adjacent regions. In CO and Ab-CO groups, the bone marrow cavities were totally destroyed, with significant abscess formation and sequestrum observed in accordance with pathological features of CO (22). In Ab-Im and Im groups, the nail path was clearly evident.

Mice with gut microbiota dysregulation were more vulnerable to CO as shown by a lower survival rate (Figure 1I) in Ab-CO group compared with CO group (p=0.002). Simultaneously, in situ hybridization assays showed that the number of bacteria in bone was significantly higher (p=0.0363) in Ab-CO group than in CO group (Figures 1F, J). However, analysis of serum levels of TNF- α and IL-6 in the surviving mice showed no significant differences between the 2 groups (Figures 1G, H), possibly because those with worse symptoms died in an early stage so that their clinical symptoms were not detected at the endpoint. Nevertheless, Ab-Im and Im groups differed significantly. Their serum levels of TNF- α (*p*=0.0016) and IL-6 (*p*=0.0051) were significantly enhanced in Ab-Im group compared with those in Im group, suggesting that a systemic inflammatory response was activated following antibiotic treatment in combination with implant insertion. Besides, the significant differences in serum levels of TNF- α (p=0.0003) and IL-6 (p=0.0082) were also observed between untreated group and CO group, consistent with a previous report (23).

Antibiotic Dysregulation of Gut Microbiota Reduced BMD

Firstly, in the micro-CT analysis to investigate the effects of gut microbiota dysregulation on properties of the cortical and trabecular bones in mice with bone infection or implantation of an intramedullary nail, we could not observe significant results between untreated and Ab groups although some underlying trends concerning Tb.Th in primary spongiosa (p=0.0788), BMD in secondary spongiosa (p=0.0654) and Ct.Th in cortical bone (p=0.0745) suggested that the 5-week broad-spectrum antibiotic cocktail might have exerted influences on bone metabolism. Secondly, the data between Ab-CO and CO groups did not differ significantly (**Figure 2**), likely because prolonged bacterial infection might have induced serious damage to the microstructures of trabecular bone in these 2 groups, as reflected by the low values of BMD, BV/TV and Tb.N as well as by the high value of Tb.Sp (**Figure 2**). Compared with Im group, mice in Ab-Im group had significantly lower values of BMD, BV/TV and Tb. N and a higher value of Tb.Sp (**Figure 2**), indicating that the properties of trabecular bone in the mice with dysregulated gut microbiota were worse than those in the normal mice following implantation of an intramedullary nail. These results also demonstrated that the gut microbiota might have played an important role in the osseointegration process in normal mice following intramedullary nail implantation. Similar results were observed for the second spongiosa and cortical bone (**Figure 2**).

Antibiotic-Induced Gut Microbiota Dysregulation Interfered With Osseointegration After Intramedullary Nail Implantation

Considering the influence exerted by gut microbiota on BMD, we further verified whether the gut microbiota affected osseointegration after intramedullary nail implantation. We used Safranin O and Goldner's staining to visually observe osteoblastogenesis activity. As endochondral bone formation plays a major part in osteoporotic fracture healing (24), we used Safranin O staining to investigate distribution of cartilage and degree of endochondral ossification. Consistently, cartilage was almost absent at the infection site in Ab-CO and CO groups (Figure 3A). Ab-Im and Im groups showed mature cartilage around the nail path in different degrees. The cartilage layer was continuous and thicker in Im group but broken and thinner in Ab-Im group (p=0.026) (Figure 3B). Goldner's staining further confirmed the level of bone formation (Figure 3C). Correspondingly, Ab-CO and CO groups exhibited signs of severe infection with obvious abscess and sequestrum and a very limited number of scattered areas of responsive new bone. New bone and woven bone were observed around the nail path in Im group but not in Ab-Im group (p=0.0002) (Figure 3D), indicating that antibiotic treatment significantly retarded osseointegration after intramedullary nail implantation.



FIGURE 2 | Antibiotic-induced dysregulation of gut microbiota reduced BMD. Distal femoral specimens were harvested and analyzed at 4 weeks postsurgery from mice. Micro-CT analyses were conducted of the trabecular and cortical bone of the distal femur in mice from each group. (A) Representative reconstructed 2D sagittal images of the distal femur. (B) Representative reconstructed images of the trabecular and cortical bone. Left: coronal plane; Middle: cross-section at the level of the primary spongiosa (upper, extending 0.3–1.3 mm proximally from the distal metaphyseal growth plate) and cortical bone (bottom, extending 4–4.8 mm proximally from the distal metaphyseal growth plate) and cortical bone. (C) Representative reconstructed cross-sectional images of trabecular bone of the second spongiosa (extending 1.3–3.3 mm proximally from the distal metaphyseal growth plate). (D) Characteristics of the cortical bone (n=9) including BMD, cortical thickness (Ct.Th), periosteal perimeter (Ps.Pm), and endosteal perimeter (Es.Pm). (E) Characteristics of the primary spongiosa (n=9) including BMD, bone volume fraction (BV/TV), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular number (Tb.N), and trabecular separation (Tb.Sp). (F) Characteristics of the second are shown as the median and interquartile range, analyzed by unpaired *t*-test between untreated and Ab, Ab-CO and CO, or between Ab-Im and Im groups.



Antibiotic-Induced Gut Microbiota Dysregulation Enhanced Osteoclast Activity and Inhibited Osteoblast Function

TRAP and osterix staining were performed to further understand the influence of gut microbiota on activities of osteoclasts and osteoblasts [TRAP is a widely used marker of osteoclasts (22)]. Quantification results showed that many TRAP⁺ osteoclasts were observed in the bone marrow cavities (Figures 4A, C, D) and the mice in Ab group had more TRAP⁺ cells in bone than in untreated group, but the difference was not statistically significant, only exhibiting a clear tendency consistent with the findings by Micro-CT. TRAP⁺ cell frequency did not differ statistically between Ab-CO and CO groups either, though the Ab-CO mice had higher average numbers of TRAP⁺ osteoclasts than did the CO mice. Notably, significantly more TRAP⁺ osteoclasts were found in Ab-Im group than in Im group (Figures 4A, C, D), indicating that antibiotic perturbation of the gut microbiota might have recruited osteoclasts to the host bone tissue contacting the extraneous implant surface. Osterix immunofluorescence assays (Figure 4B) showed significantly reduced osterix expression in Ab-Im group (**Figures 4E, F**), also indicating that gut microbiota dysregulation inhibited osteoblastogenesis as osterix is an osteoblast-specific transcription factor mainly responsible for osteoblastogenesis (25).

High-Dose Antibiotic Treatment Induced Significant Gut Microbiota Dysregulation in Mice

Dysregulation due to gut microbiome destruction was confirmed by 16S rRNA sequencing (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 3). Results of PCoA analysis (Supplementary Figure 3D) provided information that dots in intra-group samples assembled while inter-group samples dispersed obviously, showing that current intestinal content samples were qualified and acceptable. Accordingly, the distance between Ab-treated groups and non-Ab-treated groups was definitely far, further proving that the discrepancy in microbiota composition between those groups was due to the antibiotic treatment (Suplementary Figure 3).



and stained using TRAP (**A**, 200× magnification) and osterix antibody (**B**, 200× magnification) at 4 weeks postsurgery. Osteoclasts expressing TRAP are shown in purplish red, demonstrated osteoblastogenic activity. Nuclei are stained with DAPI. (**C**) TRAP⁺ cell counts in view. (**D**) TRAP⁺ cell counts in trabecular bone. (**E**) Osterix⁺ cell counts in bone marrow cavity. (**F**) The area percentage of osterix-positive signals. Cell counts and area percentages are shown as the median and interquartile range. All data are analyzed by unpaired *t*-test between untreated and Ab, Ab-CO and CO, or between Ab-Im and Im groups.

As expected, the amount and composition of gut microbiota were significantly perturbed in the Ab-treated mice, as shown by a much lower number of species observed (Figure 5A) as well as by the absence of specific microbes compared with the non-Abtreated control mice. In Ab-CO and Ab-Im groups, Bacteroidetes (Figure 5C) and Verrucomicrobia (Figure 5D) phyla almost disappeared, indicating that broad-spectrum antibiotic mixtures disrupted the gut microbiota composition seriously. Consistent with a previous report, chronic antibiotic treatment induced a gut microbiota population characterized by obviously increased relative abundance of Proteobacteria (Figure 5E) phylum (26). The proportion of Proteobacteria phylum increased dramatically in Ab-treated groups, partially because some microbes belonging to Firmicutes phylum might have been eliminated. For example, OTU number of Roseburia, a genus belonging to Firmicutes phylum, mainly responsible for short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) production, was significantly decreased (Figure 5G) in both Ab-CO and Ab-Im groups. Moreover, OTU numbers of multiple recognized beneficial or commensal bacteria, like Akkermansia genus (Figure 5H) from Verrucomicrobia phylum and Bifidobacterium genus (Suplementary Figure 3C) from Actinobacteria phylum, were also decreased dramatically after consecutive use of antibiotics.

In addition, we also discovered significant results by comparison between CO and Im groups. OUT numbers of *Lysobacter* (Figure 5I) and *Staphylococcus* (Figure 5J) were higher and that of *Akkermansia* (Figure 5H) was significantly lower in the mice with osteomyelitis than in the mice without osteomyelitis but only with an intramedullary nail (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

Recent research has focused on potential associations between gut microbiota and bone. Here we explored the role of antibioticinduced perturbation of gut microbiota in osseointegration in response to an intramedullary nail in mice with or without osteomyelitis. Our results demonstrated that perturbing gut microbiota by antibiotics associated with inefficient osseointegration around an intramedullary nail, increased proinflammatory cytokine levels in serum, lower bone mass, deficient bone formation, inhibited osteoblastogenesis and



FIGURE 5 | A high-dose broad-spectrum antibiotic cocktail significantly disrupted the host gut microbiota. After 5 weeks of antibiotic treatment, intestinal contents specimens were harvested and analyzed via 16S rRNA sequencing from mice in untreated, antibiotic-treated (Ab), chronic osteomyelitis (CO), antibiotic-treated CO (Ab-CO), implant (Im), and antibiotic-treated implant (Ab-Im) groups (n=5–6 mice/group). (A) Observed numbers of species for all groups; (B) The relative composition of bacterial phyla shown in all groups. (C–F) Relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Actinobacteria* phylum. (G–J) OTU number of *Roseburia*, *Akkermansia*, *Lysobacter*, and *Staphylococcus* genus. Data for observed species, OTU number and relative abundance are shown as median and interquartile range. All data were analyzed by unpaired *t*-test between Ab-CO and CO, or between Ab-Im and Im groups.

enhanced osteoclastogenesis. Survival and bacterial load differed significantly between mice in CO and Ab-CO groups, but their serum cytokine levels, BMD, or bone formation did not differ probably because of severe damage to bone structure. Accordingly, these findings indicate that the disturbed gut microbiota might have been probably associated with the antibiotic mediating passive resistance to osteomyelitis and insufficient osseointegration in mice.

In current study, we disrupted the gut microbiota in 8-week male C57BL/6 mice by 5-week-treatment with drinking water containing high-dose broad-spectrum antibiotics. The 16S rRNA analysis showed that consecutive antibiotic treatment led to significantly fewer species observed, together with almost

disappearing of relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes* and *Verrucomicrobia* phyla and correspondingly an enriching proportion of *Proteobacteria* phylum. The expansion of *Proteobacteria* is commonly observed in the hosts with dysbiosis, and typically associated with sustained intestinal inflammation (27) and gut barrier dysfunction (28), and has been reported in correlation with impaired bone mechanical properties in mice (26). *Akkermansia muciniphila* (*A. muciniphila*), a major member from *Verrucomicrobia* phyla, which was almost absent after this antibiotic treatment (**Supplementary Figure 3E**). *A. muciniphila* has been recently regarded as a promising candidate for next-generation probiotics thanks to its beneficial characteristics, like producing SCFAs

(29), inhibiting inflammatory response through expanding regulatory T (Treg) cells (30), and sustaining gut barrier function (31). The wholesome gut microbiota net plays a critical role in sustaining diverse physiological functions in host, and thus impairing *Bacteroidetes* and *Verrucomicrobia* as well as other gut microbes in Ab-treated mice may inevitably lead to shortage of nutrition and SCFAs, uncontrolled inflammation and other chain effects.

According to the result of microbial analysis, the increased OTU number of *Staphylococcus* was observed in osteomyelitis model mice, and this was probably associated with exogenous injection of *S. aureus*. In addition, the increased abundance of *Lysobacter* in CO groups (**Figure 5I**) was possibly an adaptive enrichment since a couple of *Lysobacter* species have been reported with potent antimicrobial abilities to inhibit methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) by releasing metabolites (32). Furthermore, a significantly increased number of *A. muciniphila* was observed in the mice after implantation of an intramedullary nail (**Figure 5H**), and a newly study has demonstrated the role of *A. muciniphila* in mediating protection from osteoporosis through exerting directly regulation in bone by secreting extracellular vesicles (33).

Numerous researches have explored the mechanisms of gutbone axis. The possible mechanisms for the finding of the present study should be also explored that perturbation of gut microbiota mediating restricted osseointegration. First of all, malnutrition and hepatorenal damages caused by long term and high dose antibiotic treatment should not be ignored. Calcium, which is considered to have positive effects on bone mass throughout life, should be affected under a long-term use of antibiotics since gut microbiota metabolites are reported to have great impacts on calcium absorption in the intestine (34). In the present study, although there was no significant difference in femur length (Figure 1B), hepatorenal function or structure (Supplementary Figure 1) between Ab-treated and non-Ab-treated mice, the Abtreated mice did experience obvious weight loss throughout the experiment (**Figure 1E**), indicating that malnutrition induced by antibiotics may probably exert an influence on deficiency in bone remodeling.

Apart from nutritional factors, the mechanisms for antibiotic induced impaired resistance to infection and deficient osseointegration around an implant in the present study might probably be direct regulation by bacterial metabolites and indirect regulation by immune system. Numerous studies have discussed the mechanisms for gut microbiota metabolites mediating bone metabolism. SCFAs, which have a versatile role in human metabolism (35), are major products by gut microbes, mainly including formate, acteate, propionate and butyrate. Study has demonstrated that SCFAs directly support bone remodeling and suppress bone resorption by inhibiting osteoclast activities via activation of free fatty acid receptor 2 (FFAR2), the natural ligands for SCFAs found on a wide range of cell types (36). Moreover, they affect bone metabolism by regulating endocrine as well. Insulinlike growth factor (IGF-1), crucial to bone health and growth during the postnatal period (37), was recently recognized as a connector between the gut and bone (38, 39). Yan et al. discovered

that orally supplementing SCFAs to antibiotic-treated mice made up for the loss of serum IGF-1 induced by antibiotics, thereby improving bone metabolic abnormalities in mice (38). Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), another sort of bone-regulating molecules, stimulates bone formation and postnatal skeletal development (40). Intestinal H₂S is produced by gastrointestinal cells and by gut microbes (41), accounting for modifying gut microbiota composition and maintaining body health. The serum H₂S level was found significantly lower in ovariectomy mice, a common model of postmenopausal osteoporosis, and oral treatment with H₂S-donor GYY4137 to ovariectomy mice significantly promoted osteoblastogenesis through activating Wnt signaling by production of Wnt ligands in the bone marrow (42). In our study, production of metabolites like SCFAs was dampened greatly in Ab-treated mice, since most of SCFAs-producing microbes, like Akkermansia, Bifidobacterium and Roseburia were significantly inhibited after antibiotic treatment (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 3C). Therefore, it may be a possible mechanism that gut dysbiosis results in shortage of bacterial metabolites, thereby leading to deficiency in bone formation and facilitating bone resorption during osseointegration.

Another important mechanism may be explained by immunoregulatory effects. The regulation of skeletal development and homeostasis by interactions between immune cells and bone cells is extensively investigated by osteoimmunology researches (43). More like a bridge, the immune system has versatile impacts on bone metabolism. Simply, an inflammatory state promotes bone resorption while an anti-inflammatory state promotes bone formation. Gut microbiota may regulate bone metabolism indirectly by influencing local and systemic immune systems. As is known, commensal bacteria establish their homeostasis with host via inducing expansion of Treg cells (44), which are a strong driving force for bone formation as well. Treg cells inhibit osteoclastogenesis basically via direct cell-cell contact or producing various cytokines, including TGF-B, IL-4, and IL-10 (45). Bacterial metabolites regulate skeletal metabolism not only directly but also by inducing anti-inflammatory response. Recent study showed that 4-week treatment with antibiotic mixture significantly decreased the concentration of butyrate by about 50% and inhibited the expansion of Treg cells in both gut and bone marrow in mice (46, 47). Butyrate is regarded as a necessity for restoring parathyroid hormoneinduced anabolic action in bone (46), because it is capable of promoting bone formation by triggering Wnt10b release and activating Wnt signaling in osteoblastic cells through inducing differentiation of Treg cells via GPR43-independent signaling (47, 48).

Gut microbiota disorder is closely correlated with inflammatory state and accounts usually for pathogenesis of IBD, manifesting an increase in serum levels of TNF- α and IL-6 (49). Crohn's disease, a kind of IBD with specific gut microbiota disorder, is usually in association with osteoporosis. It is induced not only by the glucocorticoid therapy but also by increased pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-6 and TNF- α (50). These pro-inflammatory cytokines are negative regulators of bone formation, inhibiting osteoblast differentiation (51) and stimulating osteoclastogenesis (52, 53). Our present finding that the serum levels of TNF- α and IL-6 were significantly enhanced in Ab-Im group compared with Im group may partially explain the impaired osseointegration and osteoblastogenesis activity observed. This finding is consistent with that of Guss et al. that perturbation of gut microbiota significantly increased the circulating level of TNF- α and dysregulated osteoimmune cross-talk, thereby driving proosteoclastic effects (26).

The balance between bone formation and bone resorption is deeply affected by the condition of gut microbiota; the activities of osteoblastogenesis and osteoclastogenesis determine the outcomes of bone remodeling and osseointegration. TNF-a may recruit osteoclast precursors in bone marrow, playing a critical role in activating pathogenic osteoclastogenesis and promoting bone resorption (54). Consistently, our present experiments have indicated that inflammatory state induced by perturbed gut microbiota may significantly block the implant osseointegration process after we observed higher serum levels of TNF- α and IL-6, a lower bone mass, insufficient formation of mature cartilage, woven bone and new bone, more TRAP⁺ cells and less osterix⁺ cells in Ab-Im group than in Im group. The potential mechanisms for this indication may probably involve lack of nutrition, shortage of SCFAs and inflammatory state mediated by antibiotic perturbation of gut microbiota.

In addition, the state of gut microbiome also influences host resistance to osteomyelitis. All the mice in our Ab-CO and CO groups suffered a surgery and exogenic infection, but only those in Ab-CO group showed a much higher death rate and a much higher bacterial load in bone. This result is consistent with that in a previous study by Hernandez et al. (55). They disrupted the mice gut microbiota by antibiotic treatment for an 8-week period prior to surgery and found that the incidence of periprosthetic joint infection was significantly increased in mice with gut dysbiosis.

Our current data stress the importance of an intact and wholesome net of gut bacteria for a host in the condition of bone infection or osseointegration after intramedullary nailing. However, the limitations of the present study must be considered when interpreting its findings. First, since we perturbed the gut microbiota in mice of 8-week old when they were still growing, the possibility should not be ignored that our findings might have been influenced by the skeletal development before 16-week of age in the host. Secondly, our experiments were conducted only in male mice and female ones would have possibly led to different responses. Thirdly, long term use of antibiotics not only perturbs gut bacteria but also possibly promotes fungal infection in gut (56, 57). Thus the possible influence from fungal infection following antibiotic treatment on osseointegration should not be ignored as well. Fourthly, it was almost impossible for us to find out the exact mechanisms for specific microbes by use of broadspectrum antibiotic mixture in the present study, since different bacteria exert entirely different functions and should possibly play different roles in bone metabolism. Further researches targeting specific microbe or specific pathway are needed to investigate the mechanisms of gut microbiota for mediating osseointegration. Nevertheless, our primary concern here was to investigate whether or not gut microbiota disorder might exert

influences on the host response to intramedullary nailing and osteomyelitis.

In conclusion, all our findings have pointed out that the gut microbiome was associated with the host resistance to osteomyelitis and the implant osseointegration process. We have also tried to explained the possible mechanisms for this association, but more powerful evidence is to be provided by future researches. The present study of ours is only a tentative attempt to explore the effects of changes in gut microbiota on implant osseointegration and osteomyelitis. Although there is much to be clarified, our current data substantially advance our knowledge of the role of gut microbiota dysregulation in osteoblastogenesis and osteoclastogenesis in response to intramedullary nailing and osteomyelitis. Further studies are needed to confirm the deterioration of skeletal metabolism following antibiotic-induced dysbiosis of gut microbiota under different conditions and to clarify the exact molecular mechanisms involved.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Nanfang Hospital Animal Ethics Committee.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XZ and ZHZ performed the experiments with bacteria and mice, analyzed data and contributed to manuscript revision. YW analyzed the data and contributed to manuscript revision. KQ, HQ, HW, SW, ZWZ, and SY performed the experiments with mice. NJ contributed to manuscript revision. YZ contributed major and careful revisions in language and ideas to the finalized manuscript. YB designed experiments and contributed to manuscript revision. HD designed experiments, analyzed data, and contributed to manuscript drafting. BY supervised all the experiments and contributed to manuscript revision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81802182), Guangdong Medical Science Research Foundation (grant no. B2019040), Science and technology program of Guangdong Province (grant no. 2016B090913004), the Innovation Leader Team Program of Guangzhou (grant no.201809010014), President Foundation of Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University (grant no. 2020C027), Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (grant no. 2021M701635) and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (grant no. 2019A1515012115).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by "Guangdong Provincial Bioengineering Research Center for Gastroenterology Diseases" and "Guangdong Gastrointestinal Disease Research Center". The

REFERENCES

- Montanaro L, Speziale P, Campoccia D, Ravaioli S, Cangini I, Pietrocola G, et al. Scenery of Staphylococcus Implant Infections in Orthopedics. *Future Microbiol* (2011) 6(11):1329–49. doi: 10.2217/fmb.11.117
- Prieto-Perez L, Perez-Tanoira R, Petkova-Saiz E, Perez-Jorge C, Lopez-Rodriguez C, Alvarez-Alvarez B, et al. Osteomyelitis: A Descriptive Study. *Clin Orthop Surg* (2014) 6(1):20–5. doi: 10.4055/cios.2014.6.1.20
- Panteli M, Giannoudis PV. Chronic Osteomyelitis: What the Surgeon Needs to Know. EFORT Open Rev (2016) 1(5):128–35. doi: 10.1302/2058-5241.1.000017
- Jiang N, Ma YF, Jiang Y, Zhao XQ, Xie GP, Hu YJ, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Treatment of Extremity Chronic Osteomyelitis in Southern China: A Retrospective Analysis of 394 Consecutive Patients. *Med* (*Baltimore*) (2015) 94(42):e1874. doi: 10.1097/md.000000000001874
- Josse J, Velard F, Gangloff SC. Staphylococcus Aureus vs. Osteoblast: Relationship and Consequences in Osteomyelitis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol (2015) 5:85. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2015.00085
- Kostic AD, Xavier RJ, Gevers D. The Microbiome in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Current Status and the Future Ahead. *Gastroenterology* (2014) 146 (6):1489–99. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.02.009
- 7. Jess T. Microbiota, Antibiotics, and Obesity. N Engl J Med (2014) 371 (26):2526-8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcibr1409799
- Ma J, Zhou Q, Li H. Gut Microbiota and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Insights on Mechanisms and Therapy. *Nutrients* (2017) 9(10):1124. doi: 10.3390/nu9101124
- Tang WH, Hazen SL. The Contributory Role of Gut Microbiota in Cardiovascular Disease. J Clin Invest (2014) 124(10):4204–11. doi: 10.1172/JCI72331
- Schwarz EM, Parvizi J, Gehrke T, Aiyer A, Battenberg A, Brown SA, et al. 2018 International Consensus Meeting on Musculoskeletal Infection: Research Priorities From the General Assembly Questions. J Orthop Res (2019) 37 (5):997–1006. doi: 10.1002/jor.24293
- Hernandez CJ. Musculoskeletal Microbiology: The Utility of the Microbiome in Orthopedics. J Orthop Res (2021) 39(2):251–7. doi: 10.1002/jor.24927
- Jernberg C, Lofmark S, Edlund C, Jansson JK. Long-Term Ecological Impacts of Antibiotic Administration on the Human Intestinal Microbiota. *ISME J* (2007) 1(1):56–66. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2007.3
- Cho I, Yamanishi S, Cox L, Methe BA, Zavadil J, Li K, et al. Antibiotics in Early Life Alter the Murine Colonic Microbiome and Adiposity. *Nature* (2012) 488(7413):621–6. doi: 10.1038/nature11400
- Hathaway-Schrader JD, Steinkamp HM, Chavez MB, Poulides NA, Kirkpatrick JE, Chew ME, et al. Antibiotic Perturbation of Gut Microbiota Dysregulates Osteoimmune Cross Talk in Postpubertal Skeletal Development. *Am J Pathol* (2019) 189(2):370–90. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2018.10.017
- Deng H, Yang S, Zhang Y, Qian K, Zhang Z, Liu Y, et al. Bacteroides Fragilis Prevents Clostridium Difficile Infection in a Mouse Model by Restoring Gut Barrier and Microbiome Regulation. *Front Microbiol* (2018) 9:2976. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02976
- Wang Y, Cheng LI, Helfer DR, Ashbaugh AG, Miller RJ, Tzomides AJ, et al. Mouse Model of Hematogenous Implant-Related Staphylococcus Aureus Biofilm Infection Reveals Therapeutic Targets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2017) 114(26):E5094–102. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1703427114

authors would also like to thank fundings by National Natural Science Foundation of China, Guangdong Medical Science Research Foundation, Science and technology program of Guangdong Province, Innovation Leader Team Program of Guangzhou and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2021.774257/full#supplementary-material

- Bernthal NM, Stavrakis AI, Billi F, Cho JS, Kremen TJ, Simon SI, et al. A Mouse Model of Post-Arthroplasty Staphylococcus Aureus Joint Infection to Evaluate *In Vivo* the Efficacy of Antimicrobial Implant Coatings. *PloS One* (2010) 5(9):e12580. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012580
- Asaadi H, Naeimi B, Gharibi S, Khosravi A, Dobaradaran S, Taherkhani R, et al. Detection of Acinetobacter Spp. In Blood Cultures by an Improved Fluorescent in Situ Hybridization Assay. *Polish J Microbiol* (2018) 67(1):3–10. doi: 10.5604/01.3001.0011.6137
- Rocha R, Santos R, Madureira P, Almeida C, Azevedo N. Optimization of Peptide Nucleic Acid Fluorescence *in Situ* Hybridization (PNA-FISH) for the Detection of Bacteria: The Effect of Ph, Dextran Sulfate and Probe Concentration. *J Biotechnol* (2016) 226:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec. 2016.03.047
- Ozkan J, Coroneo M, Sandbach J, Subedi D, Willcox M, Thomas T. Bacterial Contamination of Intravitreal Needles by the Ocular Surface Microbiome. Ocul Surf (2020) 19:169–75. doi: 10.1016/j.jtos.2020.05.010
- Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Walters WA, Gonzalez A, Caporaso JG, Knight R. Using QIIME to Analyze 16S rRNA Gene Sequences From Microbial Communities. *Curr Protoc Microbiol* (2012) Chapter 1:Unit 1E 5. doi: 10.1002/9780471729259.mc01e05s27
- 22. Inzana JA, Schwarz EM, Kates SL, Awad HA. A Novel Murine Model of Established Staphylococcal Bone Infection in the Presence of a Fracture Fixation Plate to Study Therapies Utilizing Antibiotic-Laden Spacers After Revision Surgery. *Bone* (2015) 72:128–36. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2014.11.019
- Heim C, Vidlak D, Scherr T, Kozel J, Holzapfel M, Muirhead D, et al. Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells Contribute to Staphylococcus Aureus Orthopedic Biofilm Infection. J Immunol (Baltimore Md: 1950) (2014) 192(8):3778–92. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1303408
- Long F, Ornitz DM. Development of the Endochondral Skeleton. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* (2013) 5(1):a008334. doi: 10.1101/ cshperspect.a008334
- Sinha KM, Zhou X. Genetic and Molecular Control of Osterix in Skeletal Formation. J Cell Biochem (2013) 114(5):975–84. doi: 10.1002/jcb.24439
- 26. Guss J, Horsfield M, Fontenele F, Sandoval T, Luna M, Apoorva F, et al. Alterations to the Gut Microbiome Impair Bone Strength and Tissue Material Properties. J Bone Miner Res: Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res (2017) 32(6):1343– 53. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3114
- Mirpuri J, Raetz M, Sturge CR, Wilhelm CL, Benson A, Savani RC, et al. Proteobacteria-Specific IgA Regulates Maturation of the Intestinal Microbiota. *Gut Microbes* (2014) 5(1):28–39. doi: 10.4161/gmic.26489
- Litvak Y, Byndloss MX, Tsolis RM, Baumler AJ. Dysbiotic Proteobacteria Expansion: A Microbial Signature of Epithelial Dysfunction. *Curr Opin Microbiol* (2017) 39:1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2017.07.003
- Woting A, Blaut M. The Intestinal Microbiota in Metabolic Disease. Nutrients (2016) 8(4):202. doi: 10.3390/nu8040202
- 30. Shin N, Lee J, Lee H, Kim M, Whon T, Lee M, et al. An Increase in the Akkermansia Spp. Population Induced by Metformin Treatment Improves Glucose Homeostasis in Diet-Induced Obese Mice. *Gut* (2014) 63(5):727–35. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303839
- Cani PD, de Vos WM. Next-Generation Beneficial Microbes: The Case of Akkermansia Muciniphila. Front Microbiol (2017) 8:1765. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2017.01765

- Pidot S, Coyne S, Kloss F, Hertweck C. Antibiotics From Neglected Bacterial Sources. Int J Med microbiology: IJMM (2014) 304(1):14–22. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijmm.2013.08.011
- 33. Liu JH, Chen CY, Liu ZZ, Luo ZW, Rao SS, Jin L, et al. Extracellular Vesicles From Child Gut Microbiota Enter Into Bone to Preserve Bone Mass and Strength. Advanced Sci (2021) 8(9):2004831. doi: 10.1002/advs.202004831
- 34. Scholz-Ahrens K, Ade P, Marten B, Weber P, Timm W, Açil Y, et al. Prebiotics, Probiotics, and Synbiotics Affect Mineral Absorption, Bone Mineral Content, and Bone Structure. J Nutr (2007) 137:838S–46S. doi: 10.1093/jn/137.3.838S
- Morrison D, Preston T. Formation of Short Chain Fatty Acids by the Gut Microbiota and Their Impact on Human Metabolism. *Gut Microbes* (2016) 7 (3):189–200. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2015.1134082
- Montalvany-Antonucci C, Duffles L, de Arruda J, Zicker M, de Oliveira S, Macari S, et al. Short-Chain Fatty Acids and FFAR2 as Suppressors of Bone Resorption. *Bone* (2019) 125:112–21. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2019.05.016
- Locatelli V, Bianchi V. Effect of GH/IGF-1 on Bone Metabolism and Osteoporsosis. Int J Endocrinol (2014) 2014:235060. doi: 10.1155/2014/235060
- Yan J, Herzog J, Tsang K, Brennan C, Bower M, Garrett W, et al. Gut Microbiota Induce IGF-1 and Promote Bone Formation and Growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2016) 113(47):E7554–63. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1607235113
- Novince C, Whittow C, Aartun J, Hathaway J, Poulides N, Chavez M, et al. Commensal Gut Microbiota Immunomodulatory Actions in Bone Marrow and Liver Have Catabolic Effects on Skeletal Homeostasis in Health. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7(1):5747. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-06126-x
- 40. Liu Y, Yang R, Liu X, Zhou Y, Qu C, Kikuiri T, et al. Hydrogen Sulfide Maintains Mesenchymal Stem Cell Function and Bone Homeostasis. via Regul Ca(2+) channel sulfhydration Cell Stem Cell (2014) 15(1):66–78. doi: 10.1016/ j.stem.2014.03.005
- Peck S, Denger K, Burrichter A, Irwin S, Balskus E, Schleheck D. Bilophila wadsworthiaA Glycyl Radical Enzyme Enables Hydrogen Sulfide Production by the Human Intestinal Bacterium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2019) 116 (8):3171–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1815661116
- 42. Grassi F, Tyagi A, Calvert J, Gambari L, Walker L, Yu M, et al. Hydrogen Sulfide Is a Novel Regulator of Bone Formation Implicated in the Bone Loss Induced by Estrogen Deficiency. J Bone Miner Res: Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res (2016) 31(5):949–63. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2757
- Walsh MC, Takegahara N, Kim H, Choi Y. Updating Osteoimmunology: Regulation of Bone Cells by Innate and Adaptive Immunity. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* (2018) 14(3):146–56. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2017.213
- 44. Lin L, Zhang J. Role of Intestinal Microbiota and Metabolites on Gut Homeostasis and Human Diseases. BMC Immunol (2017) 18(1):2. doi: 10.1186/s12865-016-0187-3
- Dar H, Azam Z, Anupam R, Mondal R, Srivastava R. NexusOsteoimmunology: The Between Bone and Immune System. Front bioscience (Landmark edition) (2018) 23:464–92. doi: 10.2741/4600
- Khosla S. The Microbiome Adds to the Complexity of Parathyroid Hormone Action on Bone. J Clin Invest (2020) 130(4):1615–7. doi: 10.1172/jci135712
- Li J, Yu M, Pal S, Tyagi A, Dar H, Adams J, et al. Parathyroid Hormone-Dependent Bone Formation Requires Butyrate Production by Intestinal Microbiota. J Clin Invest (2020) 130(4):1767–81. doi: 10.1172/jci133473
- Li J, Walker L, Tyagi A, Adams J, Weitzmann M, Pacifici R. The Sclerostin-Independent Bone Anabolic Activity of Intermittent PTH Treatment Is

Mediated by T-Cell-Produced Wnt10b. J Bone mineral research: Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res (2014) 29(1):43-54. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2044

- Jones-Hall Y, Nakatsu C. The Intersection of TNF, IBD and the Microbiome. Gut Microbes (2016) 7(1):58–62. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2015.1121364
- 50. Blaschke M, Koepp R, Cortis J, Komrakova M, Schieker M, Hempel U, et al. IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α Only in Combination Influence the Osteoporotic Phenotype in Crohn's Patients via Bone Formation Bone Resorption. Adv Clin Exp Med: Off Organ Wroclaw Med Univ (2018) 27(1):45–56. doi: 10.17219/ acem/67561
- 51. Lu X, Beck GRJr., Gilbert LC, Camalier CE, Bateman NW, Hood BL, et al. Identification of the Homeobox Protein Prx1 (MHox, Prrx-1) as a Regulator of Osterix Expression and Mediator of Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha Action in Osteoblast Differentiation. *J Bone Miner Res* (2011) 26(1):209–19. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.203
- Lam J, Takeshita S, Barker JE, Kanagawa O, Ross FP, Teitelbaum SL. TNF-Alpha Induces Osteoclastogenesis by Direct Stimulation of Macrophages Exposed to Permissive Levels of RANK Ligand. J Clin Invest (2000) 106 (12):1481–8. doi: 10.1172/JCI11176
- Zhao B. Does TNF Promote or Restrain Osteoclastogenesis and Inflammatory Bone Resorption? Crit Rev Immunol (2018) 38(4):253–61. doi: 10.1615/ CritRevImmunol.2018025874
- Zhao B. TNF And Bone Remodeling. Curr osteoporosis Rep (2017) 15(3):126– 34. doi: 10.1007/s11914-017-0358-z
- Hernandez C, Yang X, Ji G, Niu Y, Sethuraman A, Koressel J, et al. Disruption of the Gut Microbiome Increases the Risk of Periprosthetic Joint Infection in Mice. *Clin orthopaedics related Res* (2019) 477(11):2588–98. doi: 10.1097/ corr.00000000000851
- Lewis J, Chen E, Baldassano R, Otley A, Griffiths A, Lee D, et al. Inflammation, Antibiotics, and Diet as Environmental Stressors of the Gut Microbiome in Pediatric Crohn's Disease. *Cell Host Microbe* (2015) 18(4):489–500. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.09.008
- 57. Skalski J, Limon J, Sharma P, Gargus M, Nguyen C, Tang J, et al. Expansion of Commensal Fungus Wallemia Mellicola in the Gastrointestinal Mycobiota Enhances the Severity of Allergic Airway Disease in Mice. *PloS Pathog* (2018) 14(9):e1007260. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007260

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Zhao, Zhang, Wang, Qian, Qin, Wan, Wang, Zhu, Yang, Jiang, Zhang, Bai, Deng and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.