CrossMark click for updates

Citation: Meier T, Senftleben K, Deumelandt P, Christen O, Riedel K, Langer M (2015) Healthcare Costs Associated with an Adequate Intake of Sugars, Salt and Saturated Fat in Germany: A Health Econometrical Analysis. PLoS ONE 10(9): e0135990. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990

Editor: Helge Bruns, University Hospital Oldenburg, GERMANY

Received: April 7, 2015

Accepted: July 28, 2015

Published: September 9, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Meier et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This study was partially funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) as part of the Strategic Alliance NatLifE 2020 (grant no. FKZ 031A206-B) as well as by Biotechnology Research And Information Network AG (BRAIN AG). KR and ML are paid employees of BRAIN AG and they were involved in designing the study, data discussion, the decision to publish and the internal review of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Healthcare Costs Associated with an Adequate Intake of Sugars, Salt and Saturated Fat in Germany: A Health Econometrical Analysis

Toni Meier¹*, Karolin Senftleben¹, Peter Deumelandt¹, Olaf Christen¹, Katja Riedel², Martin Langer²

1 Institute of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle (Saale), Germany, 2 BRAIN Biotechnology Research And Information Network AG, Zwingenberg, Germany

* toni.meier@landw.uni-halle.de

Abstract

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) represent not only the major driver for qualityrestricted and lost life years; NCDs and their related medical treatment costs also pose a substantial economic burden on healthcare and intra-generational tax distribution systems. The main objective of this study was therefore to quantify the economic burden of unbalanced nutrition in Germany-in particular the effects of an excessive consumption of fat, salt and sugar-and to examine different reduction scenarios on this basis. In this study, the avoidable direct cost savings in the German healthcare system attributable to an adequate intake of saturated fatty acids (SFA), salt and sugar (mono- & disaccharides, MDS) were calculated. To this end, disease-specific healthcare cost data from the official Federal Health Monitoring for the years 2002–2008 and disease-related risk factors, obtained by thoroughly searching the literature, were used. A total of 22 clinical endpoints with 48 riskoutcome pairs were considered. Direct healthcare costs attributable to an unbalanced intake of fat, salt and sugar are calculated to be 16.8 billion EUR (CI95%: 6.3-24.1 billion EUR) in the year 2008, which represents 7% (CI95% 2%-10%) of the total treatment costs in Germany (254 billion EUR). This is equal to 205 EUR per person annually. The excessive consumption of sugar poses the highest burden, at 8.6 billion EUR (CI95%: 3.0-12.1); salt ranks 2nd at 5.3 billion EUR (CI95%: 3.2–7.3) and saturated fat ranks 3rd at 2.9 billion EUR (CI95%: 32 million-4.7 billion). Predicted direct healthcare cost savings by means of a balanced intake of sugars, salt and saturated fat are substantial. However, as this study solely considered direct medical treatment costs regarding an adequate consumption of fat, salt and sugars, the actual societal and economic gains, resulting both from direct and indirect cost savings, may easily exceed 16.8 billion EUR.



Competing Interests: KR and ML are paid employees of BRAIN AG. This does not alter the adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. The authors declare that no financial conflict of interest was present with regard to the results or interpretation of the reported experiments. Further, they declare that this does not alter the permission of unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction and Objective of the Study

Diet-related non-communicable diseases represent in Germany and in other industrialized countries an important cost factor in healthcare systems. Besides this, western dietary patterns involving an overconsumption of fatty, sugary and salty foods proliferate in many emerging and developing economies, which results in an increased prevalence of degenerative diseases [1, 2]. While until the middle of the 20^{th} century one of the core tasks of food and health policies was to ensure a quantitatively sufficient food supply, the main task today is to align unbalanced food and nutrient supplies in accordance with official dietary recommendations. Moreover, western dietary patterns are criticised for being a major driver of global environmental change, linked to an unsustainable use of limited resources, the depletion of ecosystem services and a critical transgression of planetary boundaries [3–6].

Bloom et al. (2011) stated that the global costs of non-communicable diseases (e.g. obesity, diabetes, cancer, cardiovascular diseases) will rise from 6.2 trillion US\$ in the year 2010 to 17 trillion US\$ in the year 2030 [7]. This forecast is substantiated by the results of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010, which confirmed a global increase of non-communicable diseases in comparison to infectious diseases (from 1990 to 2010) [8]. Nevertheless, on a global level there are not currently available any reliable data on the extent to which healthcare expenditures on non-communicable diseases are related to dietary factors.

The direct medical costs of obesity worldwide were estimated in a review by Withrow and Alter (2010) to account for between 0.7% and 2.8% of a country's total healthcare expenditure-depending on the corresponding obesity burden and the modelling approach applied in the underlying studies [9]. The American Diabetes Association calculated the total direct and indirect diabetes-related costs in the United States to be 174 billion US\$, including 116 billion US\$ in excess medical expenditure and 58 billion in reduced national productivity [10]. Covering a broad set of intervention measures (lifestyle, medication, etc.), the UnitedHealth Group estimated that, from 2011 to 2020, 25 billion US\$ are saveable in the United States per year in terms of prediabetes and diabetes-related costs—with a federal share of 58% [11]. The direct medical costs of cardiometabolic risk factors were estimated by Sullivan et al. (2007) to be 80 billion US\$ in the United States in 2000/2002—differentiating between several insurance payers [12]. Barnard et al. (1995) estimated the direct medical treatment costs attributable to meat consumption in the United States to range between 28.6 and 61.4 billion US\$ [13]. For Canada, Joffres et al. (2007) [14] calculated direct medical cost savings of approximately \$430 million per year associated with a balanced intake of sodium, which represents 18% of all hypertension-related costs.

For Switzerland, the economic burden of overweight/obesity was examined by Schmid et al. (2005), accounting for 2.3%-3.5% of total healthcare expenditure (2.1–3.2 billion CHF) [15]. For cigarette smoking in Germany, Welte et al. (2000) calculated for the year 1993 direct medical costs of 9.3 billion German marks (equivalent of 4.8 billion EUR using the official exchange rate of the year 1999) and indirect costs of 24.6 billion German marks (equivalent of 12.6 billion EUR)—totalling 33.8 billion German marks (equivalent of 17.3 billion EUR) [16]. Taking into account the total direct treatment costs of all diseases covered by the official Federal Health Monitoring in Germany, these rose nominally from the year 1992 to 2012 from 158 to 300 billion EUR, with an increasing share of GDP from 9.4% to 10.9% [17]. For Western Germany, Arab-Kohlmeier et al. (1993) had shown that diet-related risk factors contributed to 30% of all direct and indirect healthcare expenditures. Based on the reference year 1990, these amounted to a total of 83.5 billion German marks, which is the equivalent of 42.7 billion EUR (using the official exchange rate of the year 1999). While the direct costs were estimated to be 47.3 billion German marks (equivalent of 24.2 billion EUR), the indirect costs accounted for 36.2 billion

German marks (equivalent of 18.5 billion EUR) [18]. As more recent data concerning the nutrition-related disease burden and corresponding costs in the German healthcare system have not been published, this study is intended to answer the following questions:

- 1. To what extent does the current intake of mono- & disaccharides (MDS), salt and saturated fatty acids (SFA) contribute to the direct treatment costs of related non-communicable diseases in Germany?
- 2. Which direct cost savings can be expected on the national level if the current dietary intake of the considered risk factors were closer to or in line with official dietary recommendations?
- 3. How reliable are the generated results in the face of uncertainty and sensitivity checks?

The material and methods section describes the separate methodological steps used to answer these questions. The basis for the health economic analysis were, on the one hand, the disease-specific healthcare cost data from the official Federal Health Monitoring [19] and disease-related risk factors, obtained by thoroughly searching the literature. On the other hand, the National Nutrition Survey II from the year 2006 was used—the most up-to-date and representative survey of food and nutrient intake in Germany [20, 21]. Table A in S1 File (supporting information) gives an overview of the intake levels and corresponding recommendations according to gender and age group. Particularly in the case of MDS and SFA, an excessive consumption is obvious. Depending on the survey sample of the National Nutrition Survey II ([20] n = 15,371, [21] n = 13,753), an overconsumption in terms of salt was observed for both men and women using data from [20], but only for men using data from [21].

Sodium / salt as a risk factor

An excessive intake of salt is associated with a broad range of non-communicable diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases [22, 23], cancer [24, 25] and osteoporosis [26, 27]. According to a comparative risk analysis, Mozaffarian et al. (2014) calculated that, on a global level, 1.65 million deaths per year are attributable to an excessive intake of salt [23]. From 2003 to 2011, He et al. (2013) observed a declined systolic and diastolic blood pressure in the UK, of-4.18 mm Hg (95%CI –5.18 to –3.18) and –2.06 mm Hg (–2.67 to –1.45) respectively, as a result of diminished salt intake [22]. Salt sensitivity is a measure of how blood pressure responds to salt intake. According to the Federal Institute of Risk Assessment, 20–30% of the German population and 50% of persons with high blood pressure are salt-sensitive [28]. Moreover, overweight/obesity and the metabolic syndrome are associated with an increased salt sensitivity [29–31]. Within the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010, it was calculated that, in 2010, a total of 11.6 million years lived with disability (YLD) were attributable to an excessive intake of salt in Germany (equivalent of 0.8% of all YLD) [32].

Saturated fat as a risk factor

According to the evidence-based guidelines for fat of the German Nutrition Society, there is convincing evidence that saturated fatty acids (SFA) elevate the risk of dyslipoproteinemia, with an increase of LDL cholesterol, and also possible evidence of a risk of cardiovascular diseases [33, 34]. With possible evidence a substitution of SFAs by PUFAs leads to a decreased risk of ischemic heart diseases [34]. No effect regarding an incline or decline is detectable with convincing evidence for hypertension as well as no effect with possible evidence for type II diabetes and cancer (with the exception of breast cancer). The risk of breast cancer is increased as a result of an elevated intake of SFA [33,35]. Further, it should be kept in mind that SFAs

represent almost 16% of the total fat consumed in Germany (recommendation: 10%) and that total fat consumption is above the recommendation (see Table A in <u>S1 File</u>). For this reason, an indirect causal relationship between the excessive intake of SFAs and the prevalence of obesity must be assumed [<u>36</u>, <u>37</u>]. A body mass index in between 25 and 29.9 (overweight) and of 30 and higher (obesity), respectively, correlates with the development of different forms of cancer [<u>38</u>], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [<u>39</u>] and Alzheimer disease [<u>40</u>].

Sugar (mono- & disaccharides) as a risk factor

According to the evidence-based guidelines for carbohydrates of the German Nutrition Society, no direct correlation exists between the intake of mono- and disaccharides (MDS) and the prevalence of clinical endpoints. However, there is convincing evidence that the intake of sugar-sweetened beverages correlates with developing overweight/obesity, diabetes type II and the metabolic syndrome [41]. Further, there is some indication (possible evidence) of a relationship between the excessive intake of MDS and pancreatic cancer [42,43], colon cancer [44] as well as chronic kidney disease as a comorbidity of diabetes, hypertension and kidney stones [45]. In a cross-sectional study, Basu et al. (2013) detected a 1.1% increase (CI95%: 0.48%-1.7%) in the prevalence of diabetes as a result of the extra uptake of 150 kcal sugar per person per day, which is the equivalent of 35g of sugar [46]. The substantial impact of sugar consumption on dental caries and other diseases of the hard tissues of teeth is described in [47–49].

Materials and Methods

Study selection

A literature search in several databases (PUBMED, WEB OF SCIENCE, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews) was conducted for the period from 1950 until 2014 with regard to the risk factors considered (mono- & disaccharides, salt/sodium, saturated fatty acids) and associated diseases. The specific search terms used in the search queries and related hits can be found in the <u>S1 File</u> (Table B). The archives of the WCRF, the WHO and the German Nutrition Society (DGE) were also searched and experts contacted for further relevant papers. Abstracts and unpublished studies were not included. The procedure of the literature search and the study selection is summarized in <u>Table 1</u>.

Finally, of the 77 studies identified as relevant 63 were excluded, because after in-depth examination they did not meet the inclusion criteria. A full list of these studies and the reasons for their exclusion can be found in the <u>S1 File</u> (Table C). Whenever possible, econometrical data concerning current intake and prevalence levels in Germany based on randomized and controlled meta-studies were used. Within the meta-studies, intervention studies were favoured over non-controlled intervention studies, cohort studies and case control studies (in this descending order)—following the level of evidence classification of the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network [50–52]. The following levels of evidence are distinguished here (in descending order):

- Ia meta-analysis of randomized, controlled intervention studies
- Ib randomized, controlled intervention studies
- Ic non-randomized / non-controlled intervention studies
- IIa meta-analysis of cohort studies
- IIb cohort studies

Database search results	Hits
WEB OF SCIENCE	1765
sugar (mono- & dicarbohydrates)	146
fat (saturated fatty acids)	190
sodium / salt	1429
PubMed	1023
sugar (mono- & dicarbohydrates)	87
fat (saturated fatty acids)	206
sodium / salt	730
Cochrane reviews	753
sugar (mono- & dicarbohydrates)	135
fat (saturated fatty acids)	512
sodium / salt	106
SUM (including double counts)	3541
studies excluded on basis of title and/or abstract (clearly did not meet inclusion criteria)	3468
studies included from archives and institutional websites (WCRF, WHO, DGE)	4
potentially relevant studies	77
studies excluded because, after in-depth examination, they did not meet the inclusion criteria	63
studies included in the econometrical analysis	14

Table 1. Results of the literature search and the study selection.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.t001

- IIIa meta-analysis of case-control studies
- IIIb case-control studies
- IV non-analytical studies, expert opinions, consensus papers

Studies with an evidence level of IV were excluded from the econometrical analysis.

Scope

As regards the risk factors considered, the direct medical treatment costs of associated diseases and comorbidities were calculated. Data from the official Federal Health Monitoring were used as the basis for the direct healthcare costs [19]. Here the direct treatment costs for 137 disease groups are covered for the years 2002, 2004, 2006 and 2008. Direct costs comprise all the costs that arise directly as a result of treatment, prevention, medication, physician visits and hospital stays. Indirect costs were omitted from this study; these are productivity losses (lost wages) caused by the respective disease through time off work, early retirement and premature death. Using the population attributable risk (PAR), the direct medical treatment costs were then attributed to corresponding risk factors, resulting from an overconsumption of MDS, sodium/ salt and SFA. If the underlying studies provided only the relative risk and/or odds ratios, these were converted to the PAR using the following formula (according to [53]):

$$PAR = 1 - \frac{1}{p(RR - 1) + 1}$$

PAR . . . population attributable risk p . . . prevalence

RR . . . relative risk The following formula was used to convert odds ratios to relative risks (according to [54]):

$$RR = \frac{OR}{1 - p_o + (p_o * OR)}$$

OR . . . odds ratio

 $p_0 \dots$ base risk

RR . . . relative risk

Due to the goal of the study, no calculation was performed of risk factor- and disease-specific *years of life lost due to premature death* (YLL), *years lived with disability* (YLD) or *disability-adjusted life years* (DALYs). If the data used from the official Federal Health Monitoring [19] did not provide sufficiently detailed information on the disease level (but only on the disease group level), the related treatment costs were allocated using data from the more explicit dataset concerning treated and released hospital patients [55]. With 1730 distinct diseases, this dataset is more precise than the former one, which solely covers 137 diseases/disease groups. The following disease costs were approximated by using this allocative approach (Table 2):

Scenario analysis

The scenario analysis looked into a stepwise reduction of the excessive consumption of the risk factors considered. While in the standard scenario a 100% reduction was assumed, in the scenario analysis the economic effect of a 10%, 30%, 50% and 70% reduction was examined. Here, a linear dependence (a linear dose-response-function) between risk factor and corresponding treatment cost was assumed, meaning that e.g. a 10% reduction of the excessive sugar consumption would lead to a 10% decline of related disease burden and healthcare costs. Other dose-response-functions were not available.

Sensitivity, uncertainty and completeness analysis

As the results of this study rely on distinct data sources with different evidence levels and different study designs sensitivity and uncertainty checks were conducted to prove the validity of the results. Firstly, the validity was gauged by analysing the 95% confidence interval for all statistical effect sizes considered (RR, OR, PAR). In the case of the data extracted from IHME (2014), the 95% probability interval was used, as the results of IHME (2014) are not based on a frequentist, but on a Bayesian statistical data analysis [32]. Secondly, in order to reflect different

Table 2. Diseases with treatment cost allocation according to treated and released hospital patients (based on [55]).

Disease	ICD10 code
Peripheral vascular disease	170,173
Malignant neoplasm of oesophagus	C15
Malignant neoplasm of gallbladder	C23
Malignant neoplasm of corpus uteri	C54
Malignant neoplasm of ovary	C56
Malignant neoplasm of kidney, renal pelvis, ureter	C64-C66
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	J40-J44, J47
Chronic kidney disease	N02–05, N15, N20-N23
Coxarthrosis [arthrosis of hip]	M16
Gonarthrosis [arthrosis of knee]	M17

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.t002

levels of salt sensitivities [56], which result in varying effects of salt-related diseases, a salt sensitivity of 50% in case of hypertensive-associated diseases according to BfR (2008) was examined separately [28]. Thirdly, the completeness of the study in terms of the articles and doseresponse relationships included was ensured by systematically searching the literature at the beginning of the analysis (see Table 1).

Results

With an adequate intake of mono- & disaccharides (MDS), salt and saturated fatty acids (SFA), annual healthcare cost savings are calculated to be 16.8 billion EUR (CI95%: 6.3–24.1 billion EUR), which represents 7% (CI95% 2%-10%) of the total medical treatment costs in the year 2008 (254 billion EUR) in Germany. This is equal to 205 EUR per person annually (CI95%: 77–294). The overconsumption of sugar imposes the highest burden, at 8.6 billion EUR (CI95%: 3.0–12.1)—mainly due to the costs of treating caries and other diseases of the hard tissues of teeth, hypertensive and cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, rectum and colon cancer as well as chronic kidney disease. The strongest impact on hypertensive, cardio- and cerebrovascular diseases came from an oversupply of sodium/salt, which alone resulted in 4.8 billion EUR (CI95%: 3.2–7.3). The unbalanced intake of saturated fatty acids was associated with 2.9 billion EUR (CI95%: 32 million—4.7 billion)—mainly due to the costs of treating disease and





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.g001

arthrosis (mainly mediated by overweight/obesity) (Fig 1). Obesity and other forms of hyperalimentation alone, triggered by an excessive consumption of MDS and SFA, accounted for direct medical treatment costs of 0.44 billion EUR (CI95%: minus 39 million-0.75 billion). Taking overweight/obesity-mediated comorbidities additionally into account, 3.6 billion EUR (CI95%: minus 0.24–4.5 billion) are attributable to an overconsumption of MDS and SFA, which represents 1.4% (CI95%: -0.1%-1.8%) of total healthcare expenditure. The highest uncertainty ratio—and, therefore, the lowest validity of the risk factors considered in terms of their impacts—was observed in the case of SFA (1.60), followed by MDS (1.06) and salt (0.76). The uncertainty ratio is defined as the CI95% range (CI95% max minus CI95% min) divided by the average mean.

<u>Table 3</u> presents the total treatment and avoidable healthcare costs according to the risk factors and diseases analysed as well as related data sources and evidence levels. <u>Table 4</u> gives an overview of corresponding population attributable and relative risks—including the 95% confidence intervals.

<u>Fig 2</u> shows the specific attributable fraction of the excessive intake of MDS, salt and SFA for each of the diseases / disease groups considered. The highest shares of the total corresponding treatment costs were observed for (in descending order):

- obesity and other hyperalimentation (51%)
- dental caries and other diseases of the hard tissues of teeth (43%)
- hypertensive diseases (40%)
- ischemic heart diseases (39%)
- rectum and colon cancer (38%)
- diabetes mellitus (25%).

Reduction scenarios and salt sensitivity analysis

Fig 3 presents the results for different reduction scenarios. The first scenario, "100% reduction w/o 50% salt sensitivity", builds upon the results presented in the previous chapter. In this scenario, a 100% reduction of the excessively consumed risk factors was assumed in the context of the official dietary recommendations. Given that a 100% reduction might be difficult to transfer at once into practice, different reduction scenarios were considered with a 10%, 30%, 50% and 70% reduction respectively. This reflects a gradual transfer more accurately. The expected healthcare cost savings vary between 1.7 billion EUR in the case of a 10% reduction (CI95%: 0.6–2.4) and 16.8 billion EUR in the case of a 100% reduction (CI95%: 6.3–24.1).

Further, Fig 3 shows the effect of 50% salt sensitivity concerning hypertension-related diseases in Germany according to [28]. With the exception of stomach cancer (ICD10-C16), 50% salt sensitivity was assumed for all remaining corresponding diseases (hypertensive diseases, ischemic heart diseases, cerebrovascular diseases, peripheral vascular diseases, chronic kidney disease and Alzheimer's disease triggered by hypertension).

Review and outlook of risk factor-related healthcare costs

Using the data concerning direct healthcare costs from the official Federal Health Monitoring for the years 2002, 2004 and 2006 [19] and applying the same methodological approach as described in the materials/methods section, the period from 2002 to 2008 was visualised (Fig <u>4</u>). Comparing the years 2002 and 2008, the strongest increase—13.2%—was observed for diseases related to an excessive intake of SFA—mainly resulting from overweight/obesity-associated diabetes (+213 million EUR). Salt-related diseases showed an increase of 12.5% (mainly

		Total treatment	Avoidable treatr bala	nent costs re anced intake o	sulting from a of		
	ICD10 code	costs 2008	mono- & disaccharides	sodium / salt	saturated fatty acids	Sources	Evidence level (EL)
			in million EUI	ſ			
-			1,070			Dhingra et al. 2007 [41]	ELIIb
Hypertensive diseases	110-15	9,059		2,525		IHME 2014 [32]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
Ischemic heart diseases	120–25	6,202	1,164			Dhingra et al. 2007 [41]	EL II b
				887		IHME 2014 [32]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
					362	Mensink et al. 2003 [37]	EL II a, III a
Cerebrovascular diseases	160-163, 165-167, 169	7,788		1,425		IHME 2014 [32]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
Peripheral vascular disease	170,173	2,349		247		IHME 2014 [32]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
Diabetes mellitus			103			Basu et al. 2013 [46]	Econometric model based on EL II a
overweight/obesity mediated	E10-E14	0,34Z	537		972	Schmid et al. 2004 [15]	Econometric model based on EC I, II, III
-			158			Dhingra et al. 2007 [41]	EL II b
Ubesity and other nyperalimentation	E05-E08	808			286	Schulz et al. 2002 [36]	EL II b
Dental caries and other diseases of the hard tissues of teeth	K02, K03	8,525	3,666			Moynihan, Kelly 2013 [47]	EL II a, EL IIIa
Malignant neoplasm of oesophagus							
overweight/obesity mediated	C15	281	15		27	Arnold et al. 2014 [<u>38]</u>	EL Ia, IIa, IIIa
Malignant neoplasm of stomach	C16	513		91		IHME 2014 [32]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
Malignant neoplasm of rectum and colon	000	1,730	537			Bostick et al. 1994 [44]	EL IIb
overweight/obesity mediated	C18, C20		40		73	Arnold et al. 2014 [38]	EL Ia, IIa, IIIa
Malignant neoplasm of gallbladder							
overweight/obesity mediated	C23	45	1.3		2.4	Arnold et al. 2014 [38]	EL Ia, IIa, IIIa
Malignant neoplasm of pancreas	JOC	007	22			Gallus et al. 2011 [43]	EL II a, III a
overweight/obesity mediated	620	404	6.9		42	Arnold et al. 2014 [<u>38]</u>	EL Ia, IIa, IIIa
Malignant neoplasm of breast					211	Sieri et al. 2007 [35]	ELIIa
overweight/obesity mediated	C50	1,970	25		44	Arnold et al. 2014 [<u>38]</u>	EL la, lla, llla
							(Continued)

		Total treatment	Avoidable treatr	nent costs res inced intake o	sulting from a f		
	ICD10 code	costs 2008	mono- & disaccharides	sodium / salt	saturated fatty acids	Sources	Evidence level (EL)
			in million EUF	~			
Malignant neoplasm of corpus uteri							
overweight/obesity mediated	C54	194	:		21	Arnold et al. 2014 [38]	EL la, lla, Illa
Malignant neoplasm of ovary							
overweight/obesity mediated	C56	325	1.4		2.6	Arnold et al. 2014 [38]	EL la, lla, Illa
Malignant neoplasm of kidney, renal pelvis, ureter		Ĩ					
overweight/obesity mediated	064-066	462	8.8		16	Arnold et al. 2014 [38]	EL la, lla, Illa
	N02-05, N15,	000	689			Saldana et al. 2007 [45]	EL III b
Unronic klaney alsease	N20-N23	1,232		124		IHME 2014 [32]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease							
overweight/obesity mediated	J40-J44, J47	4,646	127		230	Behrens et al. 2014 [39]	EL II b
Coxarthrosis [arthrosis of hip]							
overweight/obesity mediated	M16	2,969	67		121	Schmid et al. 2004 [15]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
Gonarthrosis [arthrosis of knee]							
overweight/obesity mediated	M17	3,762	236		427	Schmid et al. 2004 [15]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
Alzheimer's disease	G30	993					
overweight/obesity mediated			7.5		14	Norton et al. 2014	Econometric model based on
hypertension mediated			8.0	19		[40]	EL I, II, III
diabetes mediated			0.5				
Sleep apnoea							
overweight/obesity mediated	G47.3	694	53		96	Schmid et al. 2004 [15]	Econometric model based on EL I, II, III
Sum		61,200	8,553	5,318	2,918		
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.t003							

Table 3. (Continued)

		Total treatment costs 2008	Population att from an ui	tributable risk nbalanced int	k resulting ake of	Relative ris unbala	k resulting f anced intake	rom an of
	ICD10 code	in million EUR	mono- & disaccharides	sodium / salt	saturated fatty acids	mono- & disaccharides	sodium / salt	saturated fatty acids
Hypertensive diseases	110–15	9,059	11.81	27.87		1.13	1.39	
			-3.23-23.65	17.79-37.52		0.97-1.31	1.22-1.60	
Ischemic heart diseases	120-25	6,202	18.76	14.31	5.84	1.23	1.17	1.06
			4.38-30.20	8.82-19.79	4.41-7.27	1.05-1.43	1.10-1.25	1.05-1.08
Cerebrovascular diseases	160-163, 165-167, 169	7,788		18.30			1.22	
				11.38-24,84			1.13-1.33	
Peripheral vascular disease	170,173	2,349		10.52			1.12	
				6.32-14.68			1.07-1.17	
Diabetes mellitus	E10-E14	6,342	1.63			1.02		
			0.70-2.50			1.01-1.03		
overweight/obesity-related			8.46		15.33	1.09		1.18
			0.70-14.47		-2.78- 25.88	1.01-1.17		0.97-1.35
Obesity and other hyperalimentation	E65-E68	863	18.32		33.18	1.22		1.50
			1.52-31.33		-6.03- 56.01	1.02-1.46		0.94-2.27
Dental caries and other diseases of the hard	K02, K03	8,525	43.01			1.75		
tissues of teeth			32.14-44.05			1.47-1.79		
Malignant neoplasm of oesophagus	C15	281						
overweight/obesity mediated			5.39		9.77	1.06		1.11
			3.77-6.87		6.83- 12.44	1.04-1.07		1.07-1.14
Malignant neoplasm of stomach	C16	513		17.65			1.21	
				-1.21-34.04			0.99-1.52	
Malignant neoplasm of rectum and colon	C18, C20	1,730	31.03			1.45		
			-13.64-58.16			0.88-2.39		
overweight/obesity mediated			2.32		4.20	1.02		1.04
			1.35-2.98		2.44-5.40	1.01-1.03		1.03-1.06
Malignant neoplasm of gallbladder	C23	45						
overweight/obesity mediated			2.96		5.37	1.03		1.06
			0.15-4.98		0.26-9.02	1.00-1.05		1.00-1.10
Malignant neoplasm of pancreas	C25	462	4.76			1.05		
			-6.38-14.53			0.94-1.17		
overweight/obesity mediated			1.49		2.70	1.02		1.03
			-0.19-2.93		-0.35-5.31	1.00-1.03		1.00-1.06
Malignant neoplasm of breast	C50	1,970			10.71			1.12
					1.96- 18.03			1.02-1.22
								Continued)

		Total treatment	Population att	tributable risk	k resulting ake of	Relative risl	k resulting fr	om an
	ICD10 code	in million EUR	mono- & disaccharides	sodium / salt	saturated fatty acids	mono- & disaccharides	sodium / salt	saturated fatty acids
overweight/obesity mediated			1.25		2.26	1.01		1.02
			0.58-1.87		1.04-3.38	1.01-1.02		1.01-1.04
Malignant neoplasm of corpus uteri	C54	194						
overweight/obesity mediated			5.86		10.61	1.06		1.12
			5.21-6.45		9.44- 11.68	1.05-1.07		1.10-1.13
Malignant neoplasm of ovary	C56	325						
overweight/obesity mediated			0.44		0.79	1.00		1.01
			-0.30-0.98		-0.55-1.78	1.00-1.01		0.99-1.02
Malignant neoplasm of kidney, renal pelvis, ureter	C64-C66	254						
overweight/obesity mediated			3.47		6.29	1.04		1.07
			2.54-4.34		4.59-7.87	1.03-1.05		1.05-1.09
Chronic kidney disease	N02-05, N15, N20-N23	1,232	55.89	10.04		2.27	1.11	
			28.54-72.75	5.78-15.48		1.40-3.67	1.06-1.18	
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	J40-J44, J47	4,646						
overweight/obesity mediated			2.73		4.95	1.03		1.05
			0.23-4.68		-0.90-8.36	1.00-1.05		0.99-1.09
Coxarthrosis [arthrosis of hip]	M16	2,969						
overweight/obesity mediated			2.25		4.08	1.02		1.04
			0.19-3.85		-0.74-6,89	1.00-1.04		0.99-1.07
Gonarthrosis [arthrosis of knee]	M17	3,762						
overweight/obesity mediated			6.26		11.35	1.07		1.13
			0.52-10.71	-2.(06- 1.01 .16	-1.12	0.98-1	.24
Alzheimer's disease	G30	993						
overweight/obesity mediated			0.75		1.36	1.01		1.01
			0.04-1.94		-0.14-3.47	1.00-1.02		1.00-1.04
hypertension mediated			0.80	1.90		1.01	1.02	
			-0.06-3,07	0.34-4.88		1.00-1.03	1.00-1.05	
diabetes mediated			0.05			1.00		
			0.01-0.12			1.00-1.00		
Sleep apnoea	G47.3	694						
overweight/obesity mediated			7.62		13.80	1.08		1.16
			0.63-13.03		-2.51- 23.30	1.01-1.15		0.98-1.30
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.1004								

Table 4. (Continued)





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.g002

PLOS ONE

due to an increase of cerebrovascular and hypertensive diseases, totalling +295 million EUR and +276 million EUR respectively). The costs of MDS-associated diseases rose by just 10.5%, but by the greatest amount in absolute terms—814 million EUR. On average, all three of the risk factors considered led to an 11.6% rise in related healthcare costs. Nevertheless, this nominal increase has to be seen in the context of the corresponding inflation rate, which stood at 12.2% between 2002 and 2008 in Germany [57].

By using a linear regression function to extrapolate the trend observed from 2002 to 2008 until the year 2020, the economic burden of the excessive consumption of MDS, salt and SFA might exceed 20 billion EUR. Nevertheless, this trend would be mainly due to price inflation—see Fig 5.

Discussion

As the literature search did not yield any studies with a similar goal and design, a direct comparison of the results generated is not possible. Nevertheless, comparative conclusions were drawn with respect to single diseases / disease groups considered in this and other studies. Compared to the study by Arab-Kohlmeier et al. (1993) [18], which put the contribution of unhealthy nutrition at a total of 33% of direct medical costs, this study identified a share of 7% (CI95% 2%-10%). The difference is mainly due to the fact that this study exclusively examined the specific risk factors MDS, salt and SFA, whereas the study by Arab-Kohlmeier et al. (1993) [18] was intended to consider a broad range of diet-related risk factors—considering also



Fig 3. Predicted healthcare cost savings depending on different reduction levels of excessively consumed MDS, salt and SFA as well as the same scenario with a 50% salt sensitivity (incl. Cl95%).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.g003

factors such as alcohol, cholesterol, different types of fatty acids, fibre, etc. For Switzerland, the direct medical costs of overweight/obesity and related comorbidities were estimated to be 2.3%-3.5% of total healthcare expenditure [15]. On a global level, in their meta-study Withrow and Alter (2010) calculated that overweight/obesity-related diseases were responsible for between 0.7% and 2.8% of corresponding healthcare expenditure [9]. In this study, a share of 1.4% (CI95%: -0.1%-1.8%) was identified, which can be explained by the fact that this study only considered MDS and SFA with respect to overweight/obesity. When considering these results, it must be remembered that SFA alone do not trigger overweight/obesity. SFA may only trigger overweight/obesity in the case of a positive energy balance, i.e. if more calories are ingested than metabolically burned. As shown in Table A in the S1 File (supporting information), for a physical activity level of 1.4 the average energy uptake of the German population (from 15 years onwards) was in line with the official recommendations. Moreover, it has to be stressed that, in addition to a positive energy balance and influencing lifestyle factors (like physical inactivity) a further factor can explain the prevailing overweight/obesity pandemic: antibiotics. Recent work by Ajslev et al. (2011) [58], Cho et al. (2012) [59] and Trasande et al. (2013) [60] has shown in animal and human trials that antibiotic treatments in early youth/ childhood may stimulate overweight/obesity by way of an altered gut microbiome.



doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.g004

Further, as regards SFA there is increasing evidence that the impact of dietary saturated fat on cardiovascular diseases may be influenced by the food matrix through which the fatty acids are consumed. Cheese intake may not increase plasma cholesterol concentrations compared with butter of equal SFA content [61, 62]. This has been partly attributed to increased faecal fat excretion and the calcium content of these foods [63]. In the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis [64], a higher consumption of SFA from dairy was associated with a lower CVD risk, higher SFA from meat was associated with a higher risk of CVD, while SFA from butter, plant, or mixed sources showed no relationship. In this context, when designing new fat substitutes it appears most important that the substitutes meet the haptic and technological requirements of meat products. These food-specific aspects may explain the results of Chowdhury et al. (2014) [65], who argue in favour of a revision of the current dietary recommendations for saturated fat (see also [66] and [67]).

It should also be kept in mind that the kind of substitute has an effect on etiological processes. Mensink et al. (2003) have shown that substituting saturated fatty acids (SFA) with poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) is most beneficial with regard to ischemic heart diseases, followed by mono-unsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), where the effect is slightly smaller. Substituting SFA with carbohydrates, on the other hand, has shown no significant effect [37]. In order to derive specific effect sizes as regards the current total and HDL cholesterol levels in Germany (as an assumed risk factor for developing ischemic heart diseases), this study used data from the last DEGS1 study (2008–2011) [68].

As regards salt consumption and the prevalence of hypertension, Palar and Sturm (2009) [69] calculated \$18 billion of healthcare expenditure in the US that could be saved with a reduced daily average intake of 2300 mg sodium per person (based on the National Health and



doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135990.g005

Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2004). With a share of 1.5% of the total national health-care costs, this result is comparable to the 2.0% identified in our study.

Whenever possible, this study used current population- and outcome-specific etiological effect sizes per unit of exposure based on high-quality epidemiological or econometrical studies (e.g. IHME 2014 [32], Mensink et al. 2013 [70]), or it adapted these to the corresponding consumption level in Germany (e.g. Arnold et al. 2014 [38], Basu et al. 2013 [46], Mensink et al. 2003 [37], Moynihan & Kelly 2013 [47]). If such effect sizes were not available, less current and less population- and context-specific effect sizes had to be used in order to perform the computational modelling. However, as mentioned in the materials/methods section, sensitivity and uncertainty analyses were conducted in order to visualise related impacts on the study results. With regard to MDS and salt, the results obtained can be considered to be robust, as the lower and upper bounds of the 95% confidence intervals indicate clear positive results. In the case of SFA, the reliability is limited since the lower bound of the CI95% is close to zero (with a possible cost saving of just 32 million EUR per year, which equals to 0.0001% of medical costs in Germany).

Conclusions

As investigated in this study, an association of dietary factors (in particular an excessive intake of MDS, salt and SFA) and of clinical endpoints with related treatment costs exists for a broad

set of diseases, with direct healthcare costs totalling 16.8 billion EUR in Germany (CI95%: 6.3–24.1 billion EUR). In other words: These costs could be saved if people's intake of MDS, salt and SFA were adequate. However, since this study only considered direct medical treatment costs related to an adequate intake, the actual potential societal and economic gains—resulting both from direct and indirect cost savings—may be higher than 16.8 billion EUR. Moreover, against the backdrop of a steadily ageing society and the foreseeable increases in disease burdens over the coming decades, this figure may well rise (not only nominally, but also when adjusted for inflation).

It follows that measures aimed at optimizing diets and recipes could be used as effective leverage in order to relieve pressure on healthcare, health insurance and national tax levy systems. Health insurance companies, governmental institutions, but also commercial enterprises, which should have an intrinsic interest in the health of their employees and customers, will therefore be required to develop proper incentive mechanisms that facilitate healthier nutrition. In particular, companies in the food, beverage and catering industries should be supported in their efforts to optimize existing recipes, and develop new ones (possibly by introducing new components) with enhanced nutritive performance, allowing all customers easier and healthier food choices.

With regard to a possible fat substitution, it has to be kept in mind that the food matrix may have an influence on the nutritive impact of the specific component. With this in mind, when designing new fat substitutes it seems most important that substitutes meet the haptic and technological requirements of meat products (see <u>discussion</u>). Furthermore, when compared to SFAs, poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) showed the strongest risk-decreasing effect for developing ischemic heart diseases, followed by mono-unsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and carbohydrates.

As far as a possible salt substitution is concerned, trade-offs must be considered with respect to an adequate iodine supply. Presently, more than 50% of the average iodine supply in Germany occurs via the intake of common table salt [20]. So if salt substitutes with a better nutritive profile are to be used in food products, it should be possible to enrich them with iodine.

The findings of this study confirm the imperative of the UN High-Level Review on Non-Communicable Diseases, in which governments agreed to intensify and accelerate efforts towards creating a world free of the avoidable burden of NCDs [71]. The High-Level Review is based on the NCD Global Monitoring Framework (WHO, 2013) [72], which identified a set of nine core targets to minimize the impacts of NCDs by 2025. Four out of these nine core targets can be addressed by a balanced consumption of salt (targets 1, 4, 6 and 8), whereas target 7 ("Halt the rise in diabetes & obesity") as well as target 1 ("A 25% relative reduction in the overall mortality from NCDs") can be achieved by a balanced intake of MDS and SFA.

Supporting Information

S1 File. Table A: Intake and D-A-CH reference values of the considered risk factors according to population groups. Table B: Results of the literature search. Table C: List of excluded studies.

(DOC)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ML KR TM PD. Performed the experiments: TM. Analyzed the data: TM KS. Wrote the paper: TM OC.

References

- 1. WCRF. Food, nutrition, physical activity and the prevention of cancer: A global perspective: a project of World Cancer Research Fund International; American Institute for Cancer Research: Washington, D. C, 2007.
- Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. The lancet. 2013, 380 (9859): 2197–2223.
- Steffen W, Richardson K, Rockström J, Cornell SE, Fetzer I, Bennett EM, et al. Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. Science. 2015, 347(6223): 1259855. doi: <u>10.1126/science.1259855</u> PMID: <u>25592418</u>
- 4. Kastner T, Rivas MJI, Koch W, Nonhebel S. Global changes in diets and the consequences for land requirements for food. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2012, 109(18): 6868–6872.
- Meier T, Christen O, Semler E, Jahreis G, Voget-Kleschin L, Schrode A, et al. Balancing virtual land imports by a shift in the diet. Using a land balance approach to assess the sustainability of food consumption. Germany as an example. Appetite. 2014, 74:20–34. doi: <u>10.1016/j.appet.2013.11.006</u> PMID: <u>24269506</u>
- 6. Meier T. Sustainable nutrition between the poles of health and environment. Potentials of altered diets and avoidable food losses. Ernahrungs Umschau. 2015, 62(2): 22–33.
- Bloom D, Cafiero ET, Jané-Llopis E, Abrahams-Gessel S, Bloom LR, Fathima S, et al. The Global Economic Burden of Noncommunicable Diseases, World Economic: Geneva, 2011.
- Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, Aboyans V, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. The Lancet. 2012, 380 (9859): 2095–2128.
- Withrow D, Alter DA. The economic burden of obesity worldwide: a systematic review of the direct costs of obesity. Obesity Reviews. 2011, 12 (2): 131–141. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1467-789X.2009.00712.x</u> PMID: <u>20122135</u>
- American Diabetes Association. Economic Costs of Diabetes in the U.S. in 2007. Diabetes Care. 2007, 31 (3): 596–615.
- 11. United Health Group. The United States of Diabetes: Challenges and opportunities in the decade ahead: Working Paper 5, United Health Center for Health Reform & Modernization: Minnetonka, 2010.
- Sullivan PW, Ghushchyan V, Wyatt HR, Hill JO. The Medical Cost of Cardiometabolic Risk Factor Clusters in the United States**. Obesity. 2007, 15 (12): 3150–3158. doi: <u>10.1038/oby.2007.375</u> PMID: <u>18198326</u>
- Barnard ND, Nicholson A, Howard JL. The medical costs attributable to meat consumption. Prev Med. 1995, 24 (6): 646–655. PMID: <u>8610089</u>
- Joffres MR, Campbell NRC, Manns B, Tu K. Estimate of the benefits of a population-based reduction in dietary sodium additives on hypertension and its related health care costs in Canada. Can J Cardiol. 2007, 23 (6): 437–443. PMID: <u>17487286</u>
- Schmid A, Schneider H, Golay A, Keller U. Economic burden of obesity and its comorbidities in Switzerland. Soz.-Präventivmed. 2005, 50 (2): 87–94. PMID: <u>15900961</u>
- **16.** Welte R, König H, Leidl R. Tobacco: The costs of health damage and productivity losses attributable to cigarette smoking in Germany. The European Journal of Public Health. 2000, 10 (1): 31–38.
- Destatis. Gesundheitswesen: Gesundheitsbezogene Rechensysteme: Gesundheitsausgaben; Volkswirtschaftliche Gesamtrechnungen, VGR des Bundes, Statistisches Bundesamt. Available: <u>https://www-genesis.destatis.de</u>. Accessed 2015 Mar 15.
- Arab-Kohlmeier L, Kroke A, Pötzsch J. Ernährungsabhängige Krankheiten und ihre Kosten: des Bundesministeriums für Gesundheit (27); Nomos-Verlag-Ges: Baden-Baden, 1993.
- Destatis. Gesundheitswesen: Gesundheitsbezogene Rechensysteme: Krankheitskostenrechnung, Volkswirtschaftliche Gesamtrechnungen, VGR des Bundes, Statistisches Bundesamt. Available: <u>https://www-genesis.destatis.de</u>. Accessed 2015 Mar 15.
- Max Rubner-Institut. Nationale Verzehrsstudie II, Ergebnisbericht, Teil 2, Die bundesweite Befragung zur Ernährung von Jugendlichen und Erwachsenen, Max Rubner-Institut: Karlsruhe, 2008.
- He FJ, Li J, MacGregor GA. Effect of longer term modest salt reduction on blood pressure: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. BMJ. 2013, 346: f1325. doi: <u>10.1136/bmj. f1325</u> PMID: <u>23558162</u>

- Mozaffarian D, Fahimi S, Singh GM, Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Engell RE, et al. Global Sodium Consumption and Death from Cardiovascular Causes. N Engl J Med. 2014, 371 (7): 624–634. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1056/NEJMoa1304127</u> PMID: <u>25119608</u>
- Tsugane S, Sasazuki S, Kobayashi M, Sasaki S. Salt and salted food intake and subsequent risk of gastric cancer among middle-aged Japanese men and women. Br J Cancer. 2004, 90 (1): 128–134. PMID: <u>14710219</u>
- Wang X. Review of salt consumption and stomach cancer risk: Epidemiological and biological evidence. WJG. 2009, 15 (18): 2204–2213. PMID: <u>19437559</u>
- Caudarella R, Vescini F, Rizzoli E, Francucci CM. Salt intake, hypertension, and osteoporosis. J. Endocrinol. Invest. 2009, 32 (4 Suppl): 15–20. PMID: <u>19724161</u>
- Woo J, Kwok T, Leung J, Tang N. Dietary intake, blood pressure and osteoporosis. J Hum Hypertens. 2008, 23 (7): 451–455. doi: <u>10.1038/jhh.2008.156</u> PMID: <u>19092844</u>
- Bundesinstitut f
 ür Risikobewertung. BfR empfiehlt Ma
 ßnahmen zur Verringerung des Salzgehaltes in Lebensmitteln, Stellungsnahme Nr. 035/2009, Bundesinstitut f
 ür Risikobewertung: Berlin, 2008.
- Melander O. Salt sensitivity: a consequence of the metabolic syndrome? Journal of Hypertension. 2006, 24 (8): 1475–1477. PMID: <u>16877947</u>
- Bönner G. Aktueller Stand der Hypertoniebehandlung bei Adipositas. Journal f
 ür Hypertonie-Austrian Journal of Hypertension. 2003, 7 (3): 16–19.
- **31.** Rocchini AP. Obesity hypertension, salt sensitivity and insulin resistance. Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases: NMCD. 2000, 10 (5): 287–294. PMID: <u>11213538</u>
- **32.** Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global Burden Disease Study 2010 (GBD)—Country profil Germany, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Available: <u>http://vizhub.healthdata.org</u>.
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung. Evidenzbasierte Leitlinie Fettkonsum und Prävention ausgewählter ernährungsmitbedingter Krankheiten Version 2006, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung e. V.: Bonn, 2006.
- Sieri S, Krogh V, Ferrari P, Berrino F, Pala V, Thiébaut AC, et al. Dietary fat and breast cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2008, 88 (5): 1304–1312. PMID: <u>18996867</u>
- Schulz M, Kroke A, Liese AD, Hoffmann K, Bergmann MM, Boeing H. Food groups as predictors for short-term weight changes in men and women of the EPIC-Potsdam cohort. The Journal of nutrition. 2002, 132 (6): 1335–1340. PMID: <u>12042455</u>
- Mensink RP, Zock PL, Kester AD, Katan MB. Effects of dietary fatty acids and carbohydrates on the ratio of serum total to HDL cholesterol and on serum lipids and apolipoproteins: a meta-analysis of 60 controlled trials. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2003, 77 (5): 1146–1155. PMID: <u>12716665</u>
- Arnold M, Pandeya N, Byrnes G, Renehan AG, Stevens GA, Ezzati M, et al. Global burden of cancer attributable to high body-mass index in 2012: a population-based study. The Lancet Oncology. 2015, 16 (1): 36–46. doi: <u>10.1016/S1470-2045(14)71123-4</u> PMID: <u>25467404</u>
- Behrens G, Matthews CE, Moore SC, Hollenbeck AR, Leitzmann MF. Body size and physical activity in relation to incidence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Canadian Medical Association Journal. 2014, 186 (12): E457. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.140025 PMID: 25002559
- 40. Norton S, Matthews FE, Barnes DE, Yaffe K, Brayne C. Potential for primary prevention of Alzheimer's disease: an analysis of population-based data. The Lancet Neurology. 2014, 13 (8): 788–794. doi: <u>10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70136-X</u> PMID: <u>25030513</u>
- Dhingra R, Sullivan L, Jacques PF, Wang TJ, Fox CS, et al. Soft Drink Consumption and Risk of Developing Cardiometabolic Risk Factors and the Metabolic Syndrome in Middle-Aged Adults in the Community. Circulation. 2007, 116 (5): 480–488. PMID: <u>17646581</u>
- Hauner H, Bechthold A, Boeing H, Brönstrup A, Buyken A, Leschik-Bonnet E, et al. Kohlenhydratzufuhr und Prävention ausgewählter ernährungsmitbedingter Krankheiten. Dtsch med Wochenschr. 2012, 137 (08): 389–393.
- 43. Gallus S, Turati F, Tavani A, Polesel J, Talamini R, Franceschi S, et al. Soft drinks, sweetened beverages and risk of pancreatic cancer. Cancer Causes Control. 2011, 22 (1): 33–39. doi: <u>10.1007/s10552-010-9665-8</u> PMID: <u>20981481</u>
- 44. Bostick RM, Potter JD, Kushi LH, Sellers TA, Steinmetz KA, McKenzie DR, et al. Sugar, meat, and fat intake, and non-dietary risk factors for colon cancer incidence in Iowa women (United States). Cancer Causes & Control. 1994, 5 (1): 38–52.

- Saldana TM, Basso O, Darden R, Sandler DP. Carbonated Beverages and Chronic Kidney Disease. Epidemiology. 2007, 18 (4): 501–506. PMID: <u>17525693</u>
- 46. Basu S, Yoffe P, Hills N, Lustig RH, Wagner B. The Relationship of Sugar to Population-Level Diabetes Prevalence: An Econometric Analysis of Repeated Cross-Sectional Data. PLoS ONE. 2013, 8 (2): e57873. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057873 PMID: 23460912
- Moynihan PJ, Kelly SA. Effect on Caries of Restricting Sugars Intake: Systematic Review to Inform WHO Guidelines. Journal of Dental Research. 2013, 93 (1): 8–18. doi: <u>10.1177/0022034513508954</u> PMID: <u>24323509</u>
- Marshall TA. Low Intake of Sugars May Reduce Risk of Dental Caries. Journal of Evidence Based Dental Practice. 2014, 14 (2): 56–58. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jebdp.2014.04.018</u> PMID: <u>24913526</u>
- 49. Warren JJ, Weber-Gasparoni K, Marshall TA, Drake DR, Dehkordi-Vakil F, Dawson DV, et al. A longitudinal study of dental caries risk among very young low SES children. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. 2009, 37 (2): 116–122. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1600-0528.2008.00447.x</u> PMID: <u>19046332</u>
- SIGN. SIGN Guidelines. An introduction to SIGN methodology for the development of evidence-based clinical guidelines: SIGN publication 39, Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network: Edinburgh, 1999.
- 51. Harbour R, Miller J. A new system for grading recommendations in evidence based guidelines. BMJ. 2001, 323 (7308): 334–336. PMID: <u>11498496</u>
- SIGN. A guideline developer's handbook, SIGN 50: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network: Edinburgh, 2014.
- Spiegelman D, Hertzmark E, Wand HC. Point and interval estimates of partial population attributable risks in cohort studies: examples and software. Cancer Causes Control. 2007, 18 (5): 571–579. PMID: <u>17387622</u>
- Grant RL. Converting an odds ratio to a range of plausible relative risks for better communication of research findings. BMJ. 2014, 348 (jan24 1:): f7450.
- Destatis. Gesundheitswesen: Krankenhausstatistik, Diagnosen der Krankenhauspatienten, Hauptdiagnosen ICD-10—released patients, Statistisches Bundesamt. Available: <u>https://www-genesis.destatis.</u> <u>de</u>. Accessed 2015 Mar 15.
- Weinberger MH, Fineberg NS, Fineberg SE, Weinberger M. Salt Sensitivity, Pulse Pressure, and Death in Normal and Hypertensive Humans. Hypertension. 2001, 37 (2): 429–432. PMID: <u>11230313</u>
- Destatis. Verbraucherpreisindex: Deutschland, Jahre, Klassifikation der Verwendungszwecke des Individualkonsums (COICOP 2–4-Steller Hierarchie). Statistisches Bundesamt, Statistisches Bundesamt. Available: <u>https://www-genesis.destatis.de</u>. Accessed 2015 Mar 15.
- Ajslev TA, Andersen CS, Gamborg M, Sørensen TI, Jess T. Childhood overweight after establishment of the gut microbiota: the role of delivery mode, pre-pregnancy weight and early administration of antibiotics. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2011, 35 (4): 522–529.
- Cho I, Yamanishi S, Cox L, Methé BA, Zavadil J, Li K, et al. Antibiotics in early life alter the murine colonic microbiome and adiposity. Nature. 2012, 488 (7413): 621–626. doi: <u>10.1038/nature11400</u> PMID: 22914093
- Trasande L, Blustein J, Liu M, Corwin E, Cox LM, Blaser MJ. Infant antibiotic exposures and early-life body mass. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2012, 37 (1): 16–23.
- Nestel PJ. Effects of Dairy Fats within Different Foods on Plasma Lipids. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2008, 27 (6): 735S. PMID: <u>19155433</u>
- Hjerpsted J, Leedo E, Tholstrup T. Cheese intake in large amounts lowers LDL-cholesterol concentrations compared with butter intake of equal fat content. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2011, 94 (6): 1479–1484. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.022426 PMID: 22030228
- Soerensen KV, Thorning TK, Astrup A, Kristensen M, Lorenzen JK. Effect of dairy calcium from cheese and milk on fecal fat excretion, blood lipids, and appetite in young men. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2014, 99 (5): 984–991. doi: <u>10.3945/ajcn.113.077735</u> PMID: <u>24622806</u>
- 64. Oliveira Otto MC de, Mozaffarian D, Kromhout D, Bertoni AG, Sibley CT, Jacobs DR, et al. Dietary intake of saturated fat by food source and incident cardiovascular disease: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2012, 96 (2): 397–404. doi: <u>10.3945/ajcn.112.</u> 037770 PMID: <u>22760560</u>
- Chowdhury R, Warnakula S, Kunutsor S, Crowe F, Ward HA, Johnson L, et al. Association of Dietary, Circulating, and Supplement Fatty Acids With Coronary Risk. Ann Intern Med. 2014, 160 (6): 398–406. doi: <u>10.7326/M13-1788</u> PMID: <u>24723079</u>
- Lamarche B, Couture P. It is time to revisit current dietary recommendations for saturated fat. Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab. 2014, 39 (12): 1409–1411. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2014-0141 PMID: 25293492

- 67. Malhotra A. Saturated fat is not the major issue. BMJ. 2013, 347: f6340. doi: <u>10.1136/bmj.f6340</u> PMID: <u>24149521</u>
- Scheidt-Nave C, Du Y, Knopf H, Schienkiewitz A, Ziese T, Nowossadeck E, et al. Prevalence of dyslipidemia among adults in Germany. Results of the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Adults (DEGS1). Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz. 2013, 56 (5–6): 661–667. doi: 10.1007/s00103-013-1670-0 PMID: 23703484
- Palar- K, Sturm R. Potential Societal Savings From Reduced Sodium Consumption in the U.S. Adult Population. American Journal of Health Promotion. 2009, 24 (1): 49–57 doi: <u>10.4278/ajhp.080826-</u> <u>QUAN-164</u> PMID: <u>19750962</u>
- **70.** Mensink G, Schienkiewitz A, Haftenberger M, Lampert T, Ziese T, Scheidt-Nave C. Übergewicht und Adipositas in Deutschland. Bundesgesundheitsbl. 2013, 56 (5–6): 786–794.
- 71. United Nations General Assembly. Outcome document of the high-level meeting of the General Assembly on the comprehensive review and assessment of the progress achieved in the prevention and control of non-communicable diseases. United Nations General Assembly. Sixty-eigth session. 7 July 2014
- 72. World Health Organization. Draft comprehensive global monitoring framework and targets for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases. World Health Organization. Sixty-sixth World Health Assembly A 66/8. 15 March 2013