ORIGINAL PAPER

Psychiatry



Depression and anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study

Hamad S. Alyami¹ | Abdallah Y. Naser² | Eman Zmaily Dahmash² | Mohammed H. Alyami¹ | Musfer S. Alyami³

Correspondence

Hamad S. Alyami, Assistant Professor in Drug Delivery, Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, Najran University, Najran, Saudi Arabia. Email: hsalmukalas@nu.edu.sa

Abstract

Aims: The emergence of the COVID-19 global pandemic, with a high transmission and mortality rate, has created an extraordinary crisis worldwide. Such an unusual situation may have an undesirable impact on the mental health of individuals which, in turn, may influence their outcomes. This study aimed to explore the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on the psychological disposition of residents of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Methods: A cross-sectional study using an online survey was conducted in Saudi Arabia between 27 March and 27 April 2020. The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) and Generalised Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) were used to assess depression and anxiety. Logistic regression analysis was used to identify predictors of these. Results: A total of 2081 individuals participated in the study. The prevalence of depression and anxiety among the study participants was 9.4% and 7.3% respectively. Non-Saudi residents, individuals aged 50 years and above, divorced people, retired people, university students and those with an income between 2000 and 10 000 SR were at higher risk of developing depression. Saudi individuals, married people, the unemployed and those with a high income (>10 000 RS) were at higher risk of developing anxiety.

Conclusion: We found that there is a wide range of Saudi residents who are at higher risk of developing mental illness during the current COVID-19 pandemic. Policymakers and mental healthcare providers are advised to provide continuous monitoring of the psychological consequences during this pandemic and provide the required health support.

What's known

- The emergence of the COVID-19 global pandemic, with a high transmission and mortality rate, has created an extraordinary crisis worldwide.
- The COVID-19 pandemic might have an undesirable impact on the mental health of individuals.

What's new

- Depression and anxiety are common among the Saudi population.
- A considerable proportion of the Saudi population is concerned about contracting COVID-19 or transmitting it to family members.
- Unemployed individuals and university students are at higher risk of depression and anxiety.

¹Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, Najran University, Najran, Saudi

²Department of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences and Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Isra University, Amman, Jordan

³College of Medicine, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

1 | INTRODUCTION

COVID-19, which stands for coronavirus disease 2019, is a cluster of three acute respiratory illnesses that first occurred in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, in December 2019.¹ In early March 2020, the first case of COVID-19 was confirmed in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and since then, it has caused 2017 deaths of the 217 108 patients who were infected with this disease until the 7th of July 2020.²⁻⁴ The causative agent for COVID-19 has been identified as a new RNA virus from the beta-coronavirus family; its transmission rate is considered high because it is transmitted in different ways, such as respiratory droplets and close contact. The World Health Organization (WHO) has categorised COVID-19 as a pandemic infection since the respiratory illness it causes is highly contagious ⁵ because of the novelty of the virus, its rapid spread and the lack of therapeutic and preventative strategies.⁶

The spread of COVID-19 presents serious risks globally and in Saudi Arabia, which has reported 393 377 cases and 6704 deaths as of 06 April 2021.⁷ Saudi Arabia has exceptional circumstances as it is a hub for millions of foreign workers and pilgrims from across the globe. In response to the pandemic and to combat the spread of the disease, the government took swift action and closed the two holy mosques, suspended travel to the country, closed most businesses and limited individuals' movement. Further, the government created a national narrative to encourage citizens to adhere to the emergency measures established in response to the pandemic. ⁶ The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia took the deadly coronavirus outbreak seriously, even before the Ministry of Health announced the first confirmed COVID-19 case; for example, it announced the temporary suspension of entry to Makkah and Madinah in February 2020.8 After the first confirmed case, the government announced a series of extreme measures to control the spread of the virus, beginning on 8 March with a ban on all transport in and out of the Qatif Governorate. Then, on 6 April, they announced a 24-hour curfew to be implemented in the major cities, with movement restricted to essential travel between 6 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{AM}}$ and 3 PM. ⁹ The extremely proactive measures taken to prevent the spread of the virus could have provoked other health outcomes usually neglected in crisis and pandemic management. 10-15 Recent studies have shown that the rapid global growth in the number of COVID-19 cases and deaths, the collapse of the healthcare system in many countries and the subsequent lack of effective medical treatment have had the same effect. 16-18 COVID-19 is having a severe impact on the physical and mental health of the public 16,18-21; therefore, we aimed to assess the mental health burden placed on citizens and residents inside the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia at this time, as well as to identify potential populations who may need psychological intervention.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design and study population

A cross-sectional study using an online survey was conducted in Saudi Arabia between 27 March and 27 April 2020 to explore

depression and anxiety among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2.2 | Sampling strategy

A convenience sample of eligible participants drawn from the general population was invited through social media (Facebook and WhatsApp) to participate in the study. All the participants participated voluntarily in the study and were, thus, considered exempt from written informed consent. The study aims and objectives were clearly explained at the beginning of the survey.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) participants aged 18 years and above and living currently in Saudi Arabia, and (b) participants who had no apparent cognitive deficiency. Participants were excluded if they were as follows: (a) below 18 years of age, (b) unable to understand the Arabic language and c) unable to participate because of physical or emotional distress. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were clearly stated in the invitation letter accompanying the questionnaire. Participants were invited to participate if they were eligible.

2.3 | Depression and anxiety assessment scales

Previously validated assessment scales, the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)-9 and Generalised Anxiety Disorder sevenitem (GAD-7), were used to assess depression and anxiety among the study participants. These screening instruments have been frequently used and have been validated as brief screening tools among various populations for depression and anxiety. ²²⁻²⁶ The following information was also collected: the participants' demographics, including age, gender, income, education level, employment status and marital status. Furthermore, participants were asked whether they were worried about being infected with COVID-19 or transmitting it to family members (yes/no question) and whether they had any underlying chronic conditions (yes/no question).

The PHQ-9 scale is a 9-item instrument given to participants to screen for the presence and severity of depression. ^{27,28} The GAD-7 instrument was used to screen for anxiety. ²⁹ The PHQ-9 and the GAD-7 instruments asked the participants about the degree of applicability of a range of items (questions), using a 4-point Likert scale. Participants' responses ranged from 0 to 3, where zero meant "Not at all" and three meant "Nearly every day". The PHQ-9 instrument includes nine items. Items are scored from 0 to 3, generating a total score ranging from 0 to 27. A total score of 0-4 indicates minimal depression, 5-9 mild depression, 10-14 moderate depression, 15-19 moderately severe depression, and 20-27 severe depression. ³⁰ The GAD-7 instrument includes seven items. Items are scored from 0 to 3, generating a total score ranging from 0 to 21. A total score of 5-9 indicates mild anxiety, 10-14 moderate anxiety and 15-21 severe anxiety. ³¹

2.4 | An estimate of prevalence and classification of depression and anxiety

Prevalence rates of depression and anxiety were determined using a cut-off point, as recommended by the authors of the PHQ-9 and GAD-7 scales. In this study, depression was defined as a total score of ≥15 in the PHQ-9 instrument, indicating a case with moderately severe or severe depression. Anxiety was defined using the GAD-7 instrument with a total score of ≥15, indicating a case of severe anxiety. The higher the score, the more severe the case identified by either scale.

The prevalence rate of depression was estimated by dividing the number of participants who exceeded the borderline score (≥ 15) by the total number of participants in the same population. The prevalence rate of anxiety was calculated using the same procedure.

2.5 | Sample size

The target sample size was estimated based on WHO recommendations for the minimal sample size needed for a prevalence study.³² Using a confidence interval of 95%, a standard deviation of 0.5 and a margin of error of 5%, the required sample size was 385 participants.

2.6 | Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the participants' demographic characteristics. Continuous data were reported as mean \pm SD for normally distributed variables and median (interquartile range (IQR)) for non-normally distributed variables. Categorical data were reported as percentages (frequencies). The Mann-Whitney U test/Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the median scores between different demographic groups. Logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (Cls) for anxiety or depression. Logistic regression models were carried out using anxiety (\geq 15) or depression scores (\geq 15) above the cutoff points highlighted above. A two-sided P < .05 was considered to be statistically significant. The statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS (version 25).

2.7 | Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the School of Life and Health Sciences at Najran University, Najran, Saudi Arabia (27/03/2020ET). As participation in the study was voluntary and the study was on the general public without any intervention, meaning that it involved no more than minimal risk, the Research Ethics Committee approved a consent waiver.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Participant characteristics

A total of 2081 individuals participated in the study. Table 1 details their baseline characteristics. The majority were Saudi (n = 1765, 84.8%), (n = 1404, 67.5%) males, aged between 30 and 49 years (n = 1140, 54.8%), married (n = 1298, 62.4%) and holding a bachelor's degree (n = 1284, 61.7%). More than half of them were employed (n = 1272, 61.1%). Around 12.3% (n = 255) of the participants reported that they had a history of chronic disease. The majority (n = 1587, 76.3%) of them reported that they were concerned about contracting COVID-19 or transmitting it to family members. When they were asked whether they had identified any problems over the

TABLE 1 Participants baseline characteristics

ABLE 1 Faiticipants baseline characterist	1C3
Demographics	N. (%)
Nationality No. (%)	
Saudi	1765 (84.8)
Gender No. (%)	
Male	1404 (67.5)
Age No. (%)	
18-29 y	757 (36.4)
30-49 y	1140 (54.8)
50 y and above	184 (8.8)
Marital status No. (%)	
Single	652 (31.3)
Married	1298 (62.4)
Divorced	131 (6.3)
Education level No. (%)	
Completed secondary grade	488 (23.5)
Complete bachelor degree	1284 (61.7)
Higher education	309 (14.8)
Employment status No. (%)	
Retired	79 (3.8)
Unemployed	455 (21.9)
Employed	1272 (61.1)
University students	275 (13.2)
Income No. (%)	
2000 SR or below	585 (28.1)
2000-5000 SR	273 (13.1)
5000-10 000 SR	614 (29.5)
10 000 SR and above	609 (29.3)
Chronic disease history No. (%)	
Yes	255 (12.3)
Worried about being infected with the corona v	virus or transmitting

Worried about being infected with the corona virus or transmitting it to family members No. (%)

Yes 1587 (76.3)

Abbreviations: No, number; SR, Saudi Riyal.

previous 2 weeks, and the extent to which these problems had prevented them from doing their work, looking after their household affairs or dealing with people, around half of them (n = 1053, 50.6%) reported that they faced difficulties.

3.2 | Prevalence of mental health problems

The prevalence of depression among the participants was 9.4% (n = 196). The proportions of minimal, mild, moderate, moderately severe and severe depression were 29.6%, 40.9%, 20%, 6.2% and 3.2% respectively. The prevalence of anxiety among the participants was 7.3% (n = 151). The proportions of mild, moderate and severe anxiety were 73.5%, 19.3% and 7.3% respectively. Tables 2 and 3 detail the prevalence of depression and anxiety among the participants, stratified by severity and gender respectively.

TABLE 2 Prevalence of depression and anxiety among the participants stratified by severity

	N. (%)
Depression diagnose	
Minimal depression	617 (29.6)
Mild depression	852 (40.9)
Moderate depression	416 (20.0)
Moderately severe depression	129 (6.2)
Severe depression	67 (3.2)
Anxiety diagnose	
Mild anxiety	1529 (73.5)
Moderate anxiety	401 (19.3)
Severe anxiety	151 (7.3)

TABLE 3 Prevalence of depression and anxiety among the participants stratified by severity and gender

	N. (%)		
	Males	Females	
Depression diagnose			
Minimal depression	455 (32.4)	162 (23.9)	
Mild depression	565 (40.2)	287 (42.4)	
Moderate depression	270 (19.2)	146 (21.6)	
Moderately severe depression	75 (5.3)	54 (8.0)	
Severe depression	39 (2.8)	28 (4.1)	
Anxiety diagnose			
Mild anxiety	1072 (76.4)	457 (67.5)	
Moderate anxiety	259 (18.4)	142 (21.0)	
Severe anxiety	79 (5.6)	72 (10.6)	

3.3 | Participant demographics and mental health problems

Table 4 presents the participant demographics and their median depression and anxiety scores. The depression median score significantly differed across participants with different demographical characteristics (P < .01). The anxiety median score significantly differed across participants by nationality, gender and education level (P < .05).

Non-Saudi residents, females, elderly individuals aged 50 years and above and young individuals aged below 29 years, divorced and single people, individuals with a low education level, university students and individuals with a low income (2000 SR and below) tended to have higher depression median scores compared with the others. Non-Saudi residents, females and individuals with low education levels tended to have higher anxiety median scores compared with the others.

The logistic regression analysis identified the following groups to be at a higher risk of depression: (a) unemployed individuals and (b) university students. Meanwhile, the following groups were at a lower risk of depression: (a) Saudi residents, (b) males, (c) married individuals, (d) individuals who had completed a bachelor's degree and (e) individuals with a high income (5000 SR and above). Furthermore, logistic regression analysis showed that the following groups were at a higher risk of anxiety: (a) unemployed individuals and (b) university students. On the other hand, the following groups were at a lower risk of anxiety: (a) males, (b) elderly individuals (aged 50 years and above), (c) divorced individuals and (d) individuals with moderate income (5000 SR to 10000 SR) See Table 5.

4 | DISCUSSION

Overall, the findings in this study demonstrated that more than 9.4% of respondents had moderate-to-severe depression, whereas the prevalence of moderate-to-severe anxiety exceeded 7.3%. A previous systematic review that explored the impact of COVID-19 on mental health in the general population reported a much higher rate of symptoms of anxiety (ranged between 6.3% and 50.9%) and depression (14.6% and 48.3%) in different countries such as China, Spain, Italy, Iran, the US, Turkey, Nepal and Denmark.²¹ Some of these countries have reported comparable rates to our results. Another recent study in Vietnam reported a close rate of anxiety and depression, with respect to our estimates, with 4.9% and 7.0% respectively. ¹⁹ On the other hand, a previous study in the Philippines has reported higher prevalence rates of moderate-to-severe depression (16.9%) and anxiety (28.8%).²⁰ There are many reasons for the wide range of estimates across different countries. One of these could be different assessment tools used. The timing of the study is another important influencing factor as the psychological impact was expected to be much more intense at the beginning of the pandemic since little information was known about the disease. This could have provoked public fear and other psychological symptoms.

TABLE 4 Depression and anxiety median score stratified by participants' characteristics

		CL					
	Depression score		Anxiety sc	Anxiety score			
Variable	Median	IQR	P-value	Median	IQR	P-valu	
Nationality							
Saudi	7.00	6.00	.000***	6.00	5.00	.000**	
Non-Saudi	9.00	7.00		7.00	5.00		
Gender							
Males	7.00	7.00	.000***	5.00	5.00	.000**	
Females	8.00	6.00		6.00	5.00		
Age							
18-29 y	8.00	7.00	.001**	5.00	6.00	.659	
30-49 y	7.00	6.00		6.00	4.00		
50 y and above	8.00	8.00		6.00	4.00		
Marital status							
Single	8.00	8.00	.000***	5.00	6.00	.228	
Married	7.00	5.00		6.00	5.00		
Divorced	8.00	6.00		6.00	4.00		
Education level							
Completed secondary grade	8.00	8.00	.005**	6.00	7.00	.012*	
Complete bachelor degree	7.00	6.00		6.00	5.00		
Higher education	7.00	6.00		6.00	4.00		
Employment status							
Retired	7.00	8.00	.000***	6.00	6.00	.188	
Unemployed	7.00	7.00		6.00	5.00		
Employed	7.00	6.00		6.00	5.00		
University students	8.00	8.00		5.00	5.00		
Income							
2000 SR or below	8.00	8.00	.000***	6.00	6.00	.701	
2000-5000 SR	7.00	7.00		6.00	4.00		
5000-10 000 SR	7.00	6.00		6.00	4.00		
10 000 SR and above	6.00	6.00		6.00	5.00		

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range.

During stressful conditions, as is the case with the COVID-19 pandemic, fear and anxiety about the disease can be so overwhelming that it may cause depression and anxiety among both adults and children. The sudden shutdown of services and lockdown of people makes them vulnerable, particularly when dealing with the unpredictable status of the outbreak. The fear of getting the disease and losing loved ones is another predisposing factor that may result in such a condition. The prevalence rate of moderate-to-severe depression symptoms in this study seems to be considerably higher than the one reported by the Chinese study, which included 1210 respondents, during the COVID-19 outbreak (16.5%), whereas comparable rates for anxiety were recorded (28.8%). Also, it has been observed that results vary according to the sample size and

the assessment tool used. Another nationwide study among Chinese people during the pandemic, which included 52 730 participants, revealed a psychological distress prevalence rate of 35% among all respondents. Distress symptoms, according to the employed assessment tool, included depression and anxiety.³⁵

This study also revealed that non-Saudi residents had significantly higher prevalence rates of depression and anxiety symptoms (P < .001) than Saudi individuals. Such results aligned with the percentage of infected cases among the two groups, where the data showed a pattern in terms of who was more likely to become infected with the virus. Recent reports have shown that, among confirmed cases, Saudis accounted for 19% of total cases, while other nationalities accounted for 81%. These results could be attributed to the status

^{*}P < .05; **P < .01; ***P < .001.

TABLE 5 Logistic regression analysis

Variable Odds ratio (95% CI) for depression Odds ratio (95% CI) for anxiety Nationality 1.00 1.00 Saudi 0.67 (0.46-0.97)* 1.15 (0.65-2.04) Gender 1.00 1.00 Female (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Male 0.64 (0.48-0.87)** 0.48 (0.31-0.72)*** Age 1.00 1.00 Less than 50 y (Reference) 1.00 1.00 50 y and above 0.78 (0.44-1.37) 0.28 (0.09-0.90)* Marrial status 1.00 1.00 Single (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 (Reference) 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)**			
Non-Saudi (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Saudi 0.67 (0.46-0.97)* 1.15 (0.65-2.04) Gender	Variable		Odds ratio (95% CI) for anxiety
Saudi 0.67 (0.46-0.97)* 1.15 (0.65-2.04) Gender 1.00 1.00 Female (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Male 0.64 (0.48-0.87)** 0.48 (0.31-0.72)*** Age Less than 50 y (Reference) 1.00 1.00 50 y and above 0.78 (0.44-1.37) 0.28 (0.09-0.90)* Marital status Single (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Completed bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 1.00 1.00 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.	Nationality		
Gender 1.00 1.00 Male 0.64 (0.48-0.87)** 0.48 (0.31-0.72)*** Age Less than 50 y (Reference) 1.00 1.00 50 y and above 0.78 (0.44-1.37) 0.28 (0.09-0.90)* Marital status Single (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Non-Saudi (Reference)	1.00	1.00
Female (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Male 0.64 (0.48-0.87)** 0.48 (0.31-0.72)*** Age Less than 50 y (Reference) 1.00 1.00 50 y and above 0.78 (0.44-1.37) 0.28 (0.09-0.90)* Marital status Single (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.02 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Saudi	0.67 (0.46-0.97)*	1.15 (0.65-2.04)
Male 0.64 (0.48-0.87)** 0.48 (0.31-0.72)*** Age	Gender		
Age Less than 50 y (Reference) 1.00 1.00 50 y and above 0.78 (0.44-1.37) 0.28 (0.09-0.90)* Marital status Single (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Female (Reference)	1.00	1.00
Less than 50 y (Reference) 1.00 1.00 50 y and above 0.78 (0.44-1.37) 0.28 (0.09-0.90)* Marital status Single (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Male	0.64 (0.48-0.87)**	0.48 (0.31-0.72)***
50 y and above 0.78 (0.44-1.37) 0.28 (0.09-0.90)* Marital status Single (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Age		
Marital status 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)**** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Less than 50 y (Reference)	1.00	1.00
Single (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 1.00 1.00 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	50 y and above	0.78 (0.44-1.37)	0.28 (0.09-0.90)*
Married 0.44 (0.33-0.60)*** 0.69 (0.45-1.04) Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 1.00 1.00 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Marital status		
Divorced 0.78 (0.40-1.52) 0.24 (0.06-0.99)* Education level Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 1.00 1.00 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Single (Reference)	1.00	1.00
Education level 1.00 1.00 Completed secondary grade (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Married	0.44 (0.33-0.60)***	0.69 (0.45-1.04)
Completed secondary grade (Reference) Complete bachelor degree 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Divorced	0.78 (0.40-1.52)	0.24 (0.06-0.99)*
(Reference) 0.69 (0.51-0.93)* 0.75 (0.49-1.14) Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status 5000-10 000 SR 1.00 1.00 Unemployed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Education level		
Higher education 0.70 (0.44-1.12) 0.63 (0.32-1.23) Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	, , ,	1.00	1.00
Employment status Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Complete bachelor degree	0.69 (0.51-0.93)*	0.75 (0.49-1.14)
Employed (Reference) 1.00 1.00 Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Higher education	0.70 (0.44-1.12)	0.63 (0.32-1.23)
Unemployed 1.71 (1.24-2.36)** 2.01 (1.35-3.27)** Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Employment status		
Retired 0.64 (0.26-1.60) 0.49 (0.12-2.05) University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Employed (Reference)	1.00	1.00
University students 2.22 (1.55-3.17)*** 1.80 (1.06-3.06)* Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Unemployed	1.71 (1.24-2.36)**	2.01 (1.35-3.27)**
Income 2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Retired	0.64 (0.26-1.60)	0.49 (0.12-2.05)
2000 SR or below (Reference) 1.00 1.00 2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	University students	2.22 (1.55-3.17)***	1.80 (1.06-3.06)*
2000-5000 SR 1.22 (0.81-1.84) 1.16 (0.64-2.09) 5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	Income		
5000-10 000 SR 0.51 (0.35-0.74)*** 0.47 (0.28-0.81)**	2000 SR or below (Reference)	1.00	1.00
·	2000-5000 SR	1.22 (0.81-1.84)	1.16 (0.64-2.09)
10 000 SR and above 0.48 (0.0.33-0.70)*** 0.70 (0.43-1.15)	5000-10 000 SR	0.51 (0.35-0.74)***	0.47 (0.28-0.81)**
	10 000 SR and above	0.48 (0.0.33-0.70)***	0.70 (0.43-1.15)

^{*}P < .05; **P < .01; ***P < .001.

of most non-Saudis in terms of occupation and residence status. A substantial number of foreign workers are in the labour force and live in heavily crowded areas where they are often unable to observe social distancing requirements.³⁶ Previous studies have reported that foreign workers experienced the highest level of distress among all occupations. Reasons such as worrying about exposure to the virus in public transportation when commuting to and from work, delays in work time and job security and the subsequent loss of their salary may explain the high stress levels. 35 Such results oblige the government to take and reinforce specific measures to control the increase in infected cases. The American Department of Labor has provided a list of recommendations to reduce the possibility of worker infection during the pandemic. These include wearing cloth face coverings, at a minimum, at all times when around co-workers or the general public, frequently washing your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, avoiding touching your eyes, nose or mouth with unwashed hands, practicing good respiratory etiquette, including covering coughs and sneezes or coughing/sneezing into your elbow/upper

sleeve, avoiding close contact (within 6 feets for a total of 15 minutes or more over a 24-hour period) with people who are visibly sick and practicing physical distancing with co-workers and the public, staying home if sick and recognising personal risk factors.³⁷

Depression and anxiety symptoms were more likely to occur in women than men. Such results agree with other studies that have investigated depression and anxiety among the Saudi Arabian population. The results of a study conducted by Al-Khathami et al (2002) reported that the prevalence of minor mental illness was significantly higher in women (22.2%) than men (13.7%) (P = .0073). This confirms what has been reported in a previous meta-analysis that explored the prevalence of depression across 30 countries all over the world between 1994 and 2014. In this study, women showed higher depression rates compared with men: 14.4% (95% CI: 11.1%-11.7%) for women and 11.5% (95% CI: 9%-14.6%) for men. 39

Further, the prevalence rate was higher in the younger age group, which agrees with our study, as a higher score of depression was associated with individuals younger than 29 years. Further, the study of

Wang et al (2020) revealed that women were significantly associated with a greater psychological impact following the COVID-19 outbreak and had higher levels of stress, anxiety and depression (P < .05). Several factors could have contributed to the women's higher depression and anxiety prevalence rates, including biological sex differences, culture, diet, female hormonal fluctuations or education. 40

Sociodemographic variables associated with depression and anxiety were assessed using logistic regression analysis. The results showed that individuals over the age of 50 suffered from higher depressive symptoms, as do those who are single or with lower education levels. Similar findings were reported by Wang et al (2020), suggesting an association of lower education with a greater likelihood of depression during the pandemic. Further, our findings provided data that suggested the levels of anxiety and depression-related symptoms were greater among students and the unemployed, or those with a low income. The results agreed with previous research. which also found that students were more likely to have depression and anxiety.³ The onset of the pandemic was in the middle of the academic year, which may have prompted the students' fear of losing the year or the occurrence of delays in their studies. This was in addition to their lack of confidence in distance learning. The lockdown and social distancing are expected to remain in place for some time to come, and this will have a direct effect on low-income and unemployed individuals⁴¹; this might put such categories under a higher level of stress, which could lead to symptoms of anxiety and/or depression.

The results of this research have emphasised the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of individuals, expressed in depression and anxiety. Many aspects of the findings agree with those reported during the pandemic in other countries. Therefore, a worldwide collaborative effort is required to develop measures that can address mental health during such pandemics and manage it. The most evidence-based treatment is cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT), especially Internet CBT as it can prevent the spread of infection during the pandemic. CBT could be used to treat psychiatric symptoms during the current situation. It provides a cost-effective option that could alleviate negative psychological impacts. A previous meta-analysis has proved the effectiveness of internet CBT in treating psychiatric symptoms such as insomnia.

This study has demonstrated several strengths. First, it has addressed the prevalence of depression and anxiety within the initial phase of the pandemic and, hence, may provide valuable information to policymakers that will enable them to make informed decisions and introduce psychological interventions that can minimise untoward psychological effects on the mental health status of the Saudi Arabian population. Second, the study has employed validated tools for the assessments which have enhanced the reliability of the study. Third, it involved an acceptable sample size that was not limited to specific geographical areas of Saudi Arabia. However, this study has several limitations. First, the study was based on a web-based survey method, so some vulnerable individuals who have no access to the Internet and are unfamiliar with online questionnaires were missed. Second, because of the sudden occurrence of the outbreak, an individual's anxiety and depression prevalence before the outbreak

could not be gauged. Third, the survey was administered at a single period and so the stability of the responses is unknown. The study design itself, a cross-sectional survey design, limited our ability to identify causality between study variables, unlike a recent longitudinal study that was conducted in China which was able to monitor the change in psychological status among the general population across different time points of the pandemic. Fourth, the sample may be biased as those who were more interested in mental health or distressed by the pandemic may have been more likely to participate. Finally, this study mainly used self-reported questionnaires to measure psychiatric symptoms and did not make clinical diagnoses. The gold standard for establishing psychiatric diagnosis involves structured clinical interviews and functional neuroimaging. A6,47

5 | CONCLUSION

During the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia, more than 29% of the respondents had moderate-to-severe depression, and 26.6% reported moderate-to-severe anxiety. Female gender, student status, low-income and low education level respondents were associated with a greater psychological impact of the outbreak, and higher levels of anxiety and depression. Our findings may enable policymakers to introduce several measures and psychological interventions that can enhance mental health during the current pandemic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study appears on a preprint server (medrxiv): https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.09.20096677v1. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Ministry of Education and Deanship of Scientific Research, Najran University for funding this work; Project Code (NU/MID/18/001)

DISCLOSURE

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

ORCID

Abdallah Y. Naser https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8440-7446
Eman Zmaily Dahmash https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9815-3720

REFERENCES

- Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:1729.
- Channel News Asia. Novel Coronavirus Map; 2020. https://infographics.channelnewsasia.com/covid-19/map.html. Accessed May 8, 2020.
- World Stats. Coronavirus Worldwide Data; 2020. https://www. world-stat.info/. Accessed May 8, 2020.

- Alyami MH, Naser AY, Orabi MAA, Alwafi H, Alyami HS. Epidemiology of COVID-19 in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: an ecological study. Front Public Health. 2020;8:506.
- World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19; 2020. https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-atthe-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020. Accessed March 11, 2020.
- Barry M, Ghonem L, Alsharidi A, et al. Coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Mitigation measures and hospital preparedness. J Nat Sci Med. 2020;3:155-158.
- Worldometers. COVID-19 Coronavirus Pandemic; 2021. https:// www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/. Accessed April 6, 2021.
- Reuters. Saudi Arabia temporarily suspends entry of GCC citizens to Mecca and Medina: foreign ministry; 2020. https://www.reute rs.com/article/us-health-china-saudi-idUSKCN20M31T. Accessed February 28, 2020.
- Al Arabia News. Coronavirus: Saudi Arabia imposes 24-hour curfew in several cities, including Riyadh; 2020. https://english.alarabiya. net/en/News/gulf/2020/04/06/Coronavirus-Saudi-Arabia-impos es-24-hour-curfew-in-several-cities-including-Riyadh. Accessed April 6, 2020.
- Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. Psychiatry Res. 2020;287:112934.
- Chen Q, Liang M, Li Y, et al. Mental health care for medical staff in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7:e15-e16.
- 12. Downes E. Nursing and complex humanitarian emergencies: Ebola is more than a disease. *Nurs Outlook*. 2015;63:12-15.
- Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a webbased cross-sectional survey. Psychiatry Res. 2020;288:112954.
- Zhai Y, Du X. Mental health care for international Chinese students affected by the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7:e22.
- Algunmeeyn A, El-Dahiyat F, Altakhineh MM, Azab M, Babar Z-U-D. Understanding the factors influencing healthcare providers' burnout during the outbreak of COVID-19 in Jordanian hospitals. J Pharm Policy Pract. 2020;13.
- Alsairafi Z, Naser AY, Alsaleh FM, Awad A, Jalal Z. Mental health status of healthcare professionals and students of health sciences faculties in Kuwait during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:2203.
- Naser AY, Al-Hadithi HT, Dahmash EZ, Alwafi H, Alwan SS, Abdullah ZA. The effect of the 2019 coronavirus disease outbreak on social relationships: a cross-sectional study in Jordan. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2019;2020:20764020966631.
- Naser AY, Dahmash EZ, Al-Rousan R, et al. Mental health status of the general population, healthcare professionals, and university students during 2019 coronavirus disease outbreak in Jordan: a cross-sectional study. *Brain Behav.* 2020;10:e01730.
- Le HT, Lai AJX, Sun J, et al. Anxiety and depression among people under the nationwide partial lockdown in Vietnam. Front Public Health. 2020;8:589359.
- Tee ML, Tee CA, Anlacan JP, et al. Psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic in the Philippines. J Affect Disord. 2020;277:379-391.
- 21. Xiong J, Lipsitz O, Nasri F, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;277:55-64.
- Levis B, Benedetti A, Thombs BD, Collaboration DESD. Accuracy
 of Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for screening to detect
 major depression: individual participant data meta-analysis. BMJ.
 2019:365:11476.
- Martin A, Rief W, Klaiberg A, Braehler E. Validity of the Brief Patient Health Questionnaire Mood Scale (PHQ-9) in the general population. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2006;28:71-77.

- 24. Maurer DMRT, Davis BN. Depression: Screening and diagnosis. *Am Fam Phys.* 2018;98:508-515.
- Yoon S, Lee Y, Han C, et al. Usefulness of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 for Korean medical students. Acad Psychiatry. 2014;38:661-667.
- Löwe BDO, Müller S, Brähler E, Schellberg D, Herzog W, Herzberg PY. Validation and standardization of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) in the general population. *Med Care*. 2008;46:266-274.
- Hinz A, Mehnert A, Kocalevent RD, et al. Assessment of depression severity with the PHQ-9 in cancer patients and in the general population. BMC Psychiatry. 2016;16:22.
- 28. Hartung TJ, Friedrich M, Johansen C, et al. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) as screening instruments for depression in patients with cancer. *Cancer.* 2017;123:4236-4243.
- Esser P, Hartung TJ, Friedrich M, et al. The Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) and the anxiety module of the Hospital and Depression Scale (HADS-A) as screening tools for generalized anxiety disorder among cancer patients. *Psychooncology*. 2018;27:1509-1516.
- 30. Schwenk T, Terrell L, Harrison R, Tremper A, Valenstein M, Bostwick J. UMHS Depression Guideline. 2011.
- Spitzer R, Kroenke K, Williams J, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:1092-1097.
- 32. World Health Organization. Sample size determination in health studies: a practical manual; 1991.
- Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. Center of Disease Control- Coronavirus Disease 2019, Stress and Coping. 2020; https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/managing-stress-anxiety.html. Accessed May 4, 2020.
- 34. Zandifar A, Badrfam R. Iranian mental health during the COVID-19 epidemic. *Asian J Psychiatr*. 2020;51:101990.
- 35. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. *Gen Psychiatr.* 2020;33:e100213.
- Ministry of Health. Ministry of Health Saudi Arabia. Daily Report;
 2020. https://www.facebook.com/SaudiMOH/photos/rpp.14240
 9672494890/3097837363618758/?type=3&theater. Accessed
 May 4, 2020.
- 37. United States Department of Labor. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. COVID-19 Control and Prevention; 2020. https://www.osha.gov/coronavirus/control-prevention. Accessed March 30, 2021.
- Al-Khathami A, Ogbeide D. Prevalence of mental illness among Saudi adult primary-care patients in Central Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J. 2002;23:721-724.
- Lim GY, Tam WW, Lu Y, Ho CS, Zhang MW, Ho RC. Prevalence of depression in the community from 30 countries between 1994 and 2014. Sci Rep. 2018;8:2861.
- Albert PR. Why is depression more prevalent in women? J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2015;40:219-221.
- Atkeson A. What will be the economic impact of COVID-19 in the US? Rough estimates of disease scenarios. National Bureau of Economic Research; 2020.
- 42. Ho C, Chee C, Ho R. Mental health strategies to combat the psychological impact of COVID-19 beyond paranoia and panic. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2020;49:155-160.
- 43. Zhang M, Ho R. Moodle: The cost effective solution for internet cognitive behavioral therapy (I-CBT) interventions. *Technol Health Care*. 2017;25:163-165.
- 44. Soh H, Ho R, Ho C, Tam W. Efficacy of digital cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Sleep Med*. 2020;75:315-325.

- 45. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al. A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;87:40-48.
- 46. Ho CSH, Lim LJH, Lim AQ, et al. Diagnostic and predictive applications of functional near-infrared spectroscopy for major depressive disorder: a systematic review. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:378.
- 47. Husain SF, Yu R, Tang TB, et al. Validating a functional near-infrared spectroscopy diagnostic paradigm for Major Depressive Disorder. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10:9740.

How to cite this article: Alyami HS, Naser AY, Dahmash EZ, Alyami MH, Alyami MS. Depression and anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2021;75:e14244. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ijcp.14244