#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

🍪 EMi 😔

Taylor & Francis

OPEN ACCESS

# Different impact of bovine complement regulatory protein 46 (CD46 $_{bov}$ ) as a cellular receptor for members of the species *Pestivirus H* and *Pestivirus G*

Elena Leveringhaus <sup>1</sup>, Gökce Nur Cagatay<sup>a,b</sup>, Juliane Hardt <sup>1</sup>, Paul Becher <sup>1</sup>, and Alexander Postel <sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Virology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Hannover, Germany; <sup>b</sup>Coriolis Pharma Research GmbH, Martinsried, Germany; <sup>c</sup>Department of Biometry, Epidemiology and Information Processing, WHO Collaborating Centre for Research and Training for Health at the Human-Animal-Environment Interface, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Hannover, Germany

#### ABSTRACT

The genus *Pestivirus* within the family *Flaviviridae* comprises highly relevant animal pathogens such as bovine viral diarrhoea virus 1 and 2 (BVDV-1 and -2) classified into the two species *Pestivirus A* and *Pestivirus B*, respectively. First described in 2004, HoBi-like pestiviruses (HoBiPeV) represent emerging bovine pathogens that belong to a separate species (*Pestivirus H*), but share many similarities with BVDV-1 and -2. Additionally, two giraffe pestivirus (GPeV) strains both originating from Kenya represent another distinct species (*Pestivirus G*), whose members replicate very efficiently in bovine cells. In this study, we investigated the role of bovine complement regulatory protein 46 (CD46<sub>bov</sub>), the receptor of BVDV-1 and -2, in the entry of HoBiPeV and GPeV. For this purpose, bovine CD46-knockout and CD46-rescue cell lines were generated by CRISPR/Cas9 technology and subsequent trans-complementation, respectively. Our results provide strong evidence that the impact of CD46<sub>bov</sub>, differs between viruses belonging to *Pestivirus H* and viruses representing *Pestivirus G*: CD46<sub>bov</sub> revealed to be a major cellular entry factor for HoBiPeV strain HaVi-20. In contrast, GPeV strain PG-2 presented as largely independent of CD46<sub>bov</sub>, suggesting a different entry mechanism involving other molecular determinants which remain to be identified. In addition, we demonstrated that, similar to BVDV-1 and -2, virus isolates of both *Pestivirus H* and *Pestivirus G* are able to adapt to cell culture conditions by using heparan sulfate to enter the host cell. In conclusion, our findings show that different bovine pestiviruses use diverse mechanisms of host cell entry.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 1 October 2021; Revised 20 November 2021; Accepted 23 November 2021

KEYWORDS Bovine viral diarrhoea virus; HoBi-like pestivirus; giraffe pestivirus; CD46; cellular receptor; viral entry; heparan sulfate; cell culture adaptation

# Introduction

The genus *Pestivirus* within the family *Flaviviridae* comprises important animal pathogens such as bovine viral diarrhoea virus 1 and 2 (BVDV-1 and -2) and classical swine fever virus (CSFV), the causative agents of the notifiable animal diseases bovine viral diarrhoea (BVD) and classical swine fever (CSF), respectively [1,2]. Pestiviruses possess a positive-sense single-stranded RNA genome that encodes for the core protein (C), the three envelope glycoproteins E<sup>rns</sup>, E1 and E2, and eight non-structural proteins [3].

Over the last 20 years, a growing number of new pestiviruses have been discovered, leading to a revised taxonomy: the well-established pestiviruses BVDV-1, BVDV-2, CSFV and Border disease virus (BDV) are now assigned to the species *Pestivirus A*, *Pestivirus B*, *Pestivirus C* and *Pestivirus D*, respectively [4–6]. The existence of another pestivirus species comprising bovine viruses was first suggested in 2004 when an atypical pestivirus designated D32/00\_"HoBi" (HoBi) was isolated from a batch of fetal calf serum (FCS) from Brazil [7]. Subsequently, HoBi-like pestiviruses

(HoBiPeV), later acknowledged as members of a new species termed Pestivirus H [4], were detected in commercially available FCS batches from Canada, the USA, Mexico and Australia [8] as well as in healthy and diseased cattle in several countries [9-15]. Clinical symptoms are similar to BVD caused by BVDV and include respiratory disease [16,17] and mucosal disease (MD)-like syndrome [18,19]. Infection during gestation can lead to reproductive disorders, e.g. abortion and birth of persistently infected (PI) calves [20,21]. According to a case report from Italy, outbreaks caused by HoBiPeV can lead to substantial economic losses [22]. Furthermore, there is a considerable risk of contamination of biological products containing FCS, e.g. vaccines, which could lead to further distribution of HoBiPeV [23,24]. Thus, viruses belonging to *Pestivirus H* must be considered highly relevant emerging livestock pathogens that pose a threat to animal health as well as biosafety of biological products.

Another pestivirus readily replicating in bovine cells was named giraffe pestivirus (GPeV) after being

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed at https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.2011620.

**CONTACT** Alexander Postel 🖾 alexander.postel@tiho-hannover.de

<sup>© 2021</sup> The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group, on behalf of Shanghai Shangyixun Cultural Communication Co., Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

isolated from a diseased giraffe in Kenya in the 1960s (Giraffe-1 / H138) [25]. As the giraffe showed MD-like symptoms, it can be speculated that GPeV has similar pathogenic properties as BVDV-1, BVDV-2 and HoBiPeV. It is the first member of the species Pestivirus G [4]. The second and only other member of this species known to date, designated PG-2, was discovered in the 1990s in Switzerland as a contaminant of a bovine cell culture many years after it was prepared from a local cattle and local FCS in Kenya, demonstrating the ease by which such virus strains were probably propagated unknowingly worldwide [26]. Their close genetic relationship with a nucleotide identity of 82% and the ability of both strains to efficiently replicate in bovine cells provide evidence that cattle are a susceptible host of *Pestivirus* G[27,28]. It seems likely that GPeV are not giraffespecific pathogens, but ruminant pestiviruses that were circulating unnoticed in Kenyan cattle at the time. It cannot be excluded that further GPeV strains or other related viruses are still circulating in livestock and/or wild ruminants.

Pestiviruses enter their host cells via receptormediated endocytosis [29-31]. For BVDV-1 and -2, bovine complement regulatory protein 46 (CD46<sub>bov</sub>) has been identified as a receptor [32]. CD46 is a ubiquitously expressed "multitasker" as it is a regulator of both the complement system and adaptive immunity [33]. It consists of several domains: four complement control proteins (CCP1-CCP4), a highly variable Serine/Threonine/Proline-rich region (STP), a transmembrane domain (TM) and a cytoplasmic tail (CT). Viral entry of BVDV-1 is mediated by the interaction of E2 with the CCP1 domain [34]. Moreover, a study has shown that CD46<sub>bov</sub> variants with long CTs shift cell permissivity to infection with BVDV-1 strain NADL [35]. Still, there are various indications of the existence of at least one alternative receptor for BVDV-1 and -2 [32,36-38] that might be preferred by particular virus strains showing CD46<sub>boy</sub>-independent entry [34] (addressed in more detail in the Discussion section).

Passaging of CSFV in cell culture can lead to increased usage of the cellular glycosaminoglycan (GAG) heparan sulfate (HS) as an attachment factor [39–41]. In principle, cell culture adaptation mediated by increased HS binding rarely occurs in BVDV. However, especially under selective pressure through lack of CD46<sub>bov</sub>, BVDV-1 and BVDV-2 are also able to adapt to HS [42,43]. Responsible for this adaptation is a mutation in the E<sup>rns</sup> protein that facilitates enhanced binding to HS [39,43,44], making adapted BVDV-1 and -2 strains independent of their original receptor CD46<sub>bov</sub> [43].

For both HoBiPeV and GPeV, very little is known about their interaction with the host cell. The aim of this study was to investigate the role of  $CD46_{bov}$  in

the entry of pestiviruses infecting bovine hosts, namely HoBiPeV and GPeV. Performing loss-of-function and gain-of-function experiments, we were able to show that CD46<sub>bov</sub> is a major cellular entry factor for HoBiPeV strain HaVi-20, a member of the species *Pestivirus H*. In contrast, CD46<sub>bov</sub> appears to play only a minor role in the entry of GPeV strain PG-2 representing *Pestivirus G*, indicating that this species may prefer another so far unidentified receptor or compensate the absence of CD46<sub>bov</sub> by using a different entry mechanism involving other molecular determinants.

### Material and methods

### **Cells and viruses**

Madin-Darby bovine kidney (MDBK) cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA (ATCC CCL-22). 293T cells originated from the Leibniz Institute DSMZ – German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany (ACC 635). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 1000 U/ml penicillin, 50 µg/ml streptomycin and either 10% horse serum for MDBK (and derivates generated in this study) or 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) for 293T cells at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

BVDV-1 strain NADL and BVDV-2 strain CS8644 came from the pestivirus collection at the Institute of Virology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Hannover, Germany. HoBiPeV strain D32/00\_"HoBi" (hereinafter referred to as HoBi) was a kind gift from Horst Schirrmeier (Institute of Diagnostic Virology, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute, Greifswald, Germany). A second virus strain belonging to Pestivirus H was isolated from contaminated FCS within this study and designated "Hannover-Virology-2020" (HaVi-20). The two virus strains representing the species Pestivirus G, H138 and PG-2, have been described previously [27,45,46].

#### Generation of an MDBK CD46-knockout cell line

MDBK CD46-knockout (MDBK $\Delta$ CD46) cells were generated by CRISPR/Cas9 technology as described before [47,48]. Briefly, two complementary oligonucleotides (gbovCD46\_fw and gbovCD46\_rev, Table 1) containing the guide sequence targeting the region encoding for the signal peptide (SP) of CD46<sub>bov</sub> were designed (Figure 1(A)). Primers were phosphorylated, annealed to each other and cloned into the lentiviral transfer vector pLentiCRISPR-v2 [49], resulting in pLentiCRISPR-v2\_CD46<sub>bov</sub>.

For the production of lentiviral particles, pLentiCRISPR-v2\_CD46<sub>bov</sub>, a packaging plasmid (pCMV $\Delta$ R8.91) [50], and an envelope plasmid (pMD.G) [51] were co-transfected into 293T cells using polyethylenimine (PEI; 24765-1, Polysciences).

Name	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Target	Application
gbovCD46_fw	CACCG <b>GGCGTCTTGCACCCCGCTGA</b>	SP	Generation of CD46-knockout cells
gbovCD46_rev	AAAC <b>TCAGCGGGGTGCAAGACGCC</b> C	SP	
bovCD46_14-fw	GTCTCTGCGGAGCAGTCTTG	SP	Genetic characterization of CD46-knockout cells
bovCD46_744-rev	GGGTTGACTACTCCATTCACC	CCP3	
Giraffe-Erns_1034-fw	GTCAAGAAGAAGGGTAAGGTC	Core	Amplification and sequencing of E <sup>rns</sup>
BDV-Erns_1988-rev	CCATCCTCCGCATTGGTGTC	E1	
<i>Sbf</i> l_bovCD46-fw	TAAACCTGCAGGATGAG <u>A</u> GC <u>A</u> TC <u>C</u> TG CACACCTCTAAAGGCGCCGCTCCG	SP	Generation of CD46-rescue cells
<i>Mlu</i> I_bovCD46-rev	TAGTACGCGTTCAGTTCATCTGTTCTG TTGCAGTGGTTGCTTTATCCTGATAAG	СТ	
M13-fw	GTAAAACGACGGCCAG	pCR2.1-TOPO plasmid	Sequencing of TOPO plasmids containing CD46boy variants
M13-rev	CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC	pCR2.1-TOPO plasmid	

Table 1. Oligonucleotides / primers used in this study.

Bold: CRISPR/Cas9 guide RNA sequence.

Underlined: silent mutations for alteration of guide RNA target sequence.

SP, CCP3, CT: sequences encoding for the signal peptide (SP), complement control protein 3 (CCP3), cytoplasmic tail (CT) of CD46<sub>box</sub>.

Core, E<sup>rns</sup>, E1: sequences encoding for the core, E<sup>rns</sup>, E1 protein of giraffe pestivirus (GPeV).

Lentiviral particles were harvested 72 h post transfection and used for transduction of MDBK cells. Selection was carried out with  $5 \mu g/ml$  puromycin (P8833, Sigma-Aldrich) for two weeks.

In order to generate a clonal cell line, single cells were picked and expanded. Absence of CD46<sub>bov</sub> in the obtained cell cultures was evaluated by immunofluorescence (IF) staining of CD46<sub>bov</sub> as described below. One CD46-negative cell line was subjected to a second round of biological cloning and characterized as described below.

# Genetic and phenotypic characterization of $MDBK\Delta CD46$ cells

In order to characterize the CRISPR/Cas9-induced genome alterations of  $CD46_{bov}$  in the MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cell line, cellular RNA was extracted from MDBK wild type (WT) and MDBKACD46 cells using the KingFisher<sup>TM</sup> Duo Prime Purification System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the IndiMag<sup>®</sup> Pathogen Kit (SP947457, Indical Bioscience) according to the manufacturers' instructions. cDNA synthesis was performed with SuperScript<sup>TM</sup> III Reverse Transcriptase (18080093, Invitrogen) and random hexamer primers (48190011, Invitrogen). To obtain templates for sequencing, a 731 bp amplicon was generated using the ALLin<sup>TM</sup> HS Red Taq Mastermix (HSM0305, highQu) and a primer pair flanking the guide RNA target site (bovCD46\_14-fw and bovCD46\_744-rev, Table 1). The following thermal profile was applied: 95°C for 3 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 56°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR amplicons were purified using the GeneJET PCR Purification Kit (K0702, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Purified amplicons from RNA of MDBK WT cells were directly subjected to Sanger sequencing conducted by LGC Genomics (Berlin, Germany) to generate a consensus sequence of the CD46<sub>bov</sub> encoding mRNA.

Purified amplicons from MDBK∆CD46 cells were cloned into the pCR<sup>™</sup> 2.1-TOPO<sup>™</sup> TA-vector using

the TOPO<sup>TM</sup> TA Cloning<sup>TM</sup> Kit (450641, Invitrogen). Plasmids were propagated in *E. coli* TOP10 bacteria. Subsequently, individual colonies were picked and further propagated in over-night cultures. Plasmids were purified using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (27106, QIAGEN) and subjected to Sanger sequencing. Analysis of 18 obtained sequences was performed with GENtle (version 1.9.4) to determine genetic alterations of CD46<sub>bov</sub> in comparison to the MDBK WT consensus sequence.

Expression of CD46<sub>bov</sub> in MDBK WT and MDBKACD46 cells was phenotypically characterized by IF staining of CD46<sub>bov</sub>. Cells were grown in 24well plates for three days, washed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed at 80°C for 4 h. For staining, two monoclonal antibodies (mabs) specific for epitopes in two different domains of CD46<sub>bov</sub> were used: CA 26/2/5 (formerly designated BVD/CA 26/2/5), directed against CCP1 (diluted 1:100 in PBS+0.05% Tween), and CA 17/2/1 (formerly designated BVD/CA 17/2/1), directed against CCP2 (diluted 1:50) [32,34]. As secondary mab, Cy3-Affini-Pure goat anti-mouse IgG (115-165-146, Dianova; diluted 1:800) was used and cell nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; D3571, Thermo Fisher Scientific; diluted 1:500). IF analysis was carried out using a Leica DMI3000 B microscope (Leica Microsystems). Pictures were taken with a Leica DFC3000 G camera using the Leica Application Suite software (version 4.13.0).

### Infection experiments

The role of CD46<sub>bov</sub> as a cellular receptor for different bovine pestiviruses was determined by *in vitro* infection experiments. The respective cells were seeded in 24-well plates one day prior to infection. On the day of infection, cells were trypsinized and counted. In order to achieve adequate and comparable infection levels of WT cells at 16 h post infection (hpi), the multiplicity of infection (MOI) was adjusted to 1 for all virus strains, with the exception



**Figure 1.** Genome editing strategy and characterization of MDBK CD46-knockout cells. (A) CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing strategy and genetic characterization of generated MDBK CD46-knockout (MDBK $\Delta$ CD46) cells. The MDBK wild type (WT) consensus nucleotide sequence and deduced amino acid sequence are shown in the top rows. Sequences encoding the CD46<sub>bov</sub> signal peptide (SP), the complement control protein domains 1 and 3 (CCP1 and -3) as well as the guide RNA (highlighted in grey) and its protospacer adjacent motif (PAM, boxed) are indicated. Nucleotide sequences obtained from MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 ( $\Delta$ CD46) cells and resulting amino acid sequences are shown below. Deletions of five and two nucleotides ( $\Delta$ Snt and  $\Delta$ 2nt, respectively) and stop codons (\*) are indicated. (B) Phenotypic characterization of MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells. CD46<sub>bov</sub> expression of MDBK WT and MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells was detected by immunofluorescence staining of CCP1 (mab CA 26/2/5) and CCP2 (mab CA 17/2/1). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) to visualize the presence of confluent cell monolayers (small pictures in lower left corners).

of HoBiPeV strain HaVi-20 (MOI = 10) and GPeV strain PG-2 (MOI = 2). Cells were incubated with the virus dilutions at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 2 h. Subsequently, inocula were removed and cells were washed three times with PBS before adding 1 ml fresh media and further incubation at  $37^{\circ}$ C. At 16 hpi, supernatants were harvested and frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further analysis. Cells were washed once with PBS and heat-fixed at  $80^{\circ}$ C for 4 h. All infection experiments were repeated three times.

#### Immunofluorescence assay

Viral infections were visualized by IF staining using mab C16, which was raised against the BVDV NS3 protein and is broadly reactive with most pestiviruses [52,53]. Heat-fixed cells were stained with mab C16 diluted 1:50 in PBS+0.05% Tween-20 at 37°C for 1 h, followed by secondary mab Cy3-AffiniPure goat anti-mouse IgG (115-165-146, Dianova) diluted 1:800 at 37°C for 1 h. Cell nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; D3571, Thermo Fisher Scientific; diluted 1:500).

For quantification of positive signals in IF, five pictures per well, in total representing approx. 15% of the infected monolayer in one well, were taken. Using the ImageJ software (version 1.51q), images were converted into binary, and positive pixels were counted applying the same threshold for all pictures that were compared with each other.

### Heparan sulfate adaptation assay

In order to avoid misinterpretation regarding the relevance of  $CD46_{bov}$  as a receptor for the investigated pestiviruses, their cell culture adaptation to heparan sulfate (HS) was investigated first. Therefore, virus dilutions were pre-incubated with 2000 µg/ml heparin (H3149-10KU, Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 30 min prior to infection to block HS binding sites located on the viral envelope proteins as described previously [39]. Infection was conducted as described above.

# E<sup>rns</sup> sequence analysis

GPeV strain PG-2 RNA was prepared from the virus stock used for infection experiments using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (52904, QIAGEN). cDNA synthesis and generation of a 959 bp amplicon covering the E<sup>rns</sup> gene were performed as described above for the genetic characterization of MDBK∆CD46 cells using primers Giraffe-Erns\_1034-fw and BDV-Erns\_1988-rev (Table 1). Purification of PCR amplicons, Sanger sequencing and sequence analysis were conducted as described above.

# CD46<sub>bov</sub> blocking assay

In order to confirm the results obtained with the MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells with a second method, a

CD46<sub>bov</sub> blocking assay was performed using mab CA 17/2/1 (isotype IgG2a) directed against the CCP2 domain of CD46<sub>boy</sub> which has been applied for blocking BVDV-1 infection previously [32,38]. Prior to infection, cells were washed once with PBS and incubated with hybridoma supernatant containing mab CA 17/2/1 (diluted 1:2) or a non-relevant hybridoma supernatant (BM 40/1/10; diluted 1:2) as control at 37°C for 1 h. Subsequently, cells were washed twice and infection was performed as described above. After 2 h, cells were washed twice before adding fresh media containing the same amount of the respective mab as used for blocking prior to infection. For IF staining of pestiviruses, mab C16 (isotype IgG1) was used in combination with isotype-specific secondary mab goat IgG anti-mouse IgG1 (Fc)-Cy3 (115-165-205, Dianova; diluted 1:800) not detecting the isotype IgG2a mab CA 17/2/1 used for blocking of CD46<sub>bov</sub>.

# Generation and characterization of stable MDBKΔCD46 rescue cell lines expressing CD46<sub>bov</sub>

MDBKACD46 cell lines were trans-complemented using recombinant lentiviruses for stable expression of two different CD46<sub>bov</sub> transcript variants in order to exclude off-target effects and confirm the role of CD46<sub>boy</sub> for infections with the investigated pestiviruses. Methodological details about the lentiviral transduction system have been described elsewhere [47]. Briefly, cellular RNA was isolated and reversetranscribed as described above. The complete CD46<sub>bov</sub> mRNA sequence was amplified using the ALLin<sup>TM</sup> HS Red Taq Mastermix, applying the following thermal profile: 95°C for 3 min, 28 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 52.5°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Primers SbfI\_bovCD46-fw and MluI\_bovCD46-rev contained synonymous mismatches to the wild type sequence to modify the sequence targeted by the CRISPR/ Cas9 enzyme (Table 1, Figure 4(A)). At the same time, SbfI and MluI restriction sites were added to the ends of the amplicons, respectively. Purified PCR amplicons were cloned into the TOPO vector and propagated in E. coli TOP10 bacteria. Multiple colonies were propagated and plasmids were purified and subjected to Sanger sequencing with vector-specific primers M13-fw and M13-rev (Table 1). Sequence analysis revealed different CD46<sub>bov</sub> transcript variants present in the MDBK WT cells, of which two were selected for further use: one with a long cytoplasmic tail (CD46-CTL) and one with a short cytoplasmic tail (CD46-CTS). They also differed in the composition of the ectodomain and length of the STP domain (Figure 4(B)). The CD46-CTL and CD46-CTS sequences were cloned into the lentiviral plasmid

vector pWPI-msc-GUN [54] via *Sbf*I and *Mlu*I restriction sites using the T4 DNA Ligase (EL0011, Thermo Scientific). The resulting plasmids pWPI-GUN\_CD46-CTL and pWPI-GUN\_CD46-CTS were propagated in NEB<sup>®</sup> Stable Competent *E. coli* (C3040I, New England Biolabs). Sanger sequencing confirmed correct sequences.

For lentiviral transduction, 293T cells were cotransfected with pCMV $\Delta$ R8.91, pMD.G and either pWPI-GUN\_CD46-CTL or pWPI-GUN\_CD46-CTS, respectively. Lentiviral particles were used to transduce MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells, followed by selection with 1000 µg/ml Geneticin (10131035, Gibco) for two weeks.

To confirm the expression of CD46-CTL and CD46-CTS, respectively, both MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 rescue cell lines were characterized phenotypically by IF staining of CD46<sub>bov</sub> as described above for MDBK WT and MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells.

# Virus titration

Viral titres in supernatants from infection experiments were determined by endpoint dilution assay with three-fold virus dilutions incubated on MDBK cells. After 72 h, cytopathic effect (CPE) was assessed and cells were heat-fixed as described above. Titrations were evaluated by IF staining using mab C16 in combination with mab Cy3-AffiniPure goat antimouse IgG as described above. The 50% tissue culture infective dose per ml (TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml) was calculated using the Spearman-Karber method [55,56]. All titrations were performed in quadruplicate and repeated three times.

# **Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed with Microsoft Excel, R (version 4.1.0, https://www.r-project.org) and SAS (version 9.4). For comparison of two groups, nonparametric permutation tests [57] and for comparison of multiple groups, one-way ANOVAs with multiple comparison procedures (Tukey) were applied for original values. Based on the calculated p-values, observed differences were interpreted as significant (\*p < 0.05), very significant (\*p < 0.01) or extremely significant (\*p < 0.001).

# Results

# Generation and characterization of the MDBK CD46-knockout cell line

MDBK cells deficient for  $CD46_{bov}$  (MDBK $\Delta$ CD46) were generated to investigate the role of  $CD46_{bov}$  as a cellular receptor for different bovine pestiviruses (Figure 1).



**Figure 2.** Heparan sulfate adaptation of *Pestivirus H* and *Pestivirus G* strains used in this study. (A) MDBK wild type (WT) cells were infected with HoBi-like pestivirus strains HoBi and HaVi-20, and giraffe pestivirus strains H138 and PG-2. Native infections (- Hep, top row) were compared with infections after pre-incubation of virus inocula with heparin (+ Hep, bottom row), respectively. For immunofluorescence analysis, pestivirus non-structural protein NS3 was visualized using mab C16 in combination with secondary mab Cy3-AffiniPure goat anti-mouse IgG (orange). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) to visualize the presence of confluent cell monolayers (small pictures in lower left corners). (B) Infections were quantified by pixel counting using the ImageJ software. Bars represent mean values from five pictures per well. Standard deviations are indicated. Native infections (- Hep) were set as 100% and infections after pre-incubation with heparin (+ Hep) were put in relation. Significance was calculated using a permutation test (\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01).

In order to characterize the genomic modifications generated by CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing, PCR amplicons from the N-terminal region of CD46<sub>bov</sub> were sequenced. Analysis of sequences from 18 independent cDNA clones revealed the presence of two different variants confirming genome alterations on two alleles. A small deletion of five nucleotides that leads to a frameshift in the sixth codon of the signal peptide (SP) was identified on both alleles, resulting in only five unchanged amino acids (aa) left at the N-terminus of the protein. One allele has an additional deletion of two nucleotides within the sequence encoding the CCP3 domain, also resulting in a frameshift but not restoring the original reading frame (Figure 1(A)).

For phenotypic characterization of the MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells by IF staining of CD46<sub>bov</sub>, two different antibodies were used: one directed against the CCP1 domain and the other one directed against the CCP2 domain of CD46<sub>bov</sub>. With neither antibody

could CD46<sub>bov</sub> be detected, indicating that, in contrast to the MDBK WT cells, the MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cell line does not express CD46<sub>bov</sub> (Figure 1(B)).

# *Heparan sulfate adaptation of* **Pestivirus H** *and* **Pestivirus G** *strains*

As cell culture adaptation to HS might obscure the relevance of  $CD46_{bov}$  for viral entry, HS usage of *Pestivirus H* and *Pestivirus G* strains used in this study was investigated first. Infection of MDBK WT cells was evaluated and quantified at 16 hpi by IF analysis (Figure 2).

After blocking HS binding sites on the viral envelope proteins with heparin, infection with HoBiPeV strain HoBi was reduced by approx. 95%, indicating a strong cell culture adaptation and a high degree of HS dependency. In order to obtain a non-cell-culture-adapted *Pestivirus H* strain, a new virus strain



**Figure 3.** Impact of CD46-deficiency on entry of *Pestivirus H* and *Pestivirus G* strains. (A) MDBK wild type (WT) and MDBK CD46knockout ( $\Delta$ CD46) cells were infected with BVDV-1 strain NADL, BVDV-2 strain CS8644, HoBi-like pestivirus strains HoBi and HaVi-20, and giraffe pestivirus strains H138 and PG-2 for 16 h. Partially cell-culture-adapted PG-2 was pre-incubated with heparin (+ Hep) to block binding to heparan sulfate. Immunofluorescence staining of pestivirus non-structural protein NS3 was performed using mab C16 in combination with secondary mab Cy3-AffiniPure goat anti-mouse IgG (orange). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) to visualize the presence of confluent cell monolayers (small pictures in lower left corners). (B) Infections were quantified by pixel counting using the ImageJ software. Bars represent mean values from five pictures per well. Standard deviations are indicated. Infections of MDBK WT cells were set as 100% and infections of MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells were put in relation. Significance was calculated using a permutation test (\*\*p < 0.01).

was isolated directly from contaminated commercial FCS. In contrast to strain HoBi, the third passage of the new virus isolate HaVi-20 was hardly affected by heparin showing only a slight reduction of approx. 2%.

Comparable to HoBiPeV strain HoBi, a high level (approx. 95%) of cell culture adaptation and HS usage was also observed for GPeV strain H138. Interestingly, infection with strain PG-2 suggested a lower degree of cell culture adaptation. About half of the virus population was able to enter the cells independently of HS, probably using its original receptor(s) (Figure 2). Sequencing of the region encoding  $E^{rns}$  of strain PG-2 revealed a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) within the codon for aa residue 475 (reference sequence: GenBank accession no. AHW57610.1) resulting in either glycine (uncharged) or arginine (charged), indicating a mixed population of WT and adapted viruses (Figure S1).

# Impact of CD46-deficiency on entry of Pestivirus H and Pestivirus G strains

In order to characterize the role of  $CD46_{bov}$  in the entry of bovine pestiviruses, MDBK WT and generated MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells were infected with BVDV-1 strain NADL, BVDV-2 strain CS8644, HoBiPeV strains HoBi and HaVi-20, and GPeV strains H138 and PG-2, respectively. Infection was evaluated and quantified at 16 hpi by IF analysis (Figure 3).

As expected, BVDV-1 strain NADL and BVDV-2 strain CS8644 infection of MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells were dramatically reduced in comparison to MDBK WT cells, with only very few infected cells left (reduction > 99%). Infection of MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells with HoBi-PeV strain HaVi-20 was reduced to a similar extent as the BVDV-1 and BVDV-2 strains used as controls, indicating that CD46<sub>bov</sub> is an essential cellular

	SP	gu	ide	RNA					PA	М	
WT	ATG	ÁGG	GCG	TCT	TGC	ACC	CCG	CTG	AAG	G	
complemented	ATG	$AG\underline{T}$	$GC\underline{A}$	$\mathbb{T}\mathbb{C}\underline{\mathbb{C}}$	TGC	$\mathbb{AC}\underline{\mathbb{A}}$	$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{C}\underline{\mathbb{T}}$	$CT\underline{A}$	AAG	G	
	М	R	А	S	С	т	Ρ	L	K		

В		SP		CCP1		CCP2			CCP3			CCP4		STP		СТ		
	position	23	39	59	79	104	106	109	132	185	197	228	244	272	295-	-353	C-terminal	
	CD46-CTL	L	С	K	V	R	Q	Ν	R	S	Т	K	К	V	43	aa	33 aa	
	reference	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Н	*	*	*	*	*	7	aa	29	aa
	CD46-CTS	R	S	R	Ι	K	R	Т	Н	Ν	A	Q	Н	Y	59	aa	9	aa
	reference	*	*	K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	7	aa	30	aa



**Figure 4.** Trans-complementation strategy and characterization of MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 rescue cell lines expressing CD46<sub>bov</sub>. (A) For transcomplementation of CD46<sub>bov</sub> via lentiviral transduction, the guide RNA target sequence within the signal peptide (SP) coding region was altered by introduction of six silent mutations (underlined nucleotides in "complemented" sequence). (B) Different domains of CD46<sub>bov</sub> including signal peptide (SP), complement control protein 1–4 (CCP1-4), Serine/Threonine/Proline-rich region (STP) and cytoplasmic tail (CT) are shown. Trans-complementation was performed using recombinant lentiviruses carrying two genetically distinct CD46<sub>bov</sub> transcript variants, one with a long (CD46-CTL: 33 aa) and one with a short (CD46-CTS: 9 aa) CT. Apart from their CTs, CD46-CTL and -CTS differ in 13 aa within SP-CCP4 as well as in the length of the variable STP domain. Most similar SP-CCP4 sequences identified in GenBank (reference; CD46-CTL: DAA20944, CD46-CTS: AIC33504) differ in only one aa. Residues identical to CD46-CTL and CD46-CTS are indicated, respectively (\*). (C) Phenotypic characterization of MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 CD46-rescue cell lines. CD46<sub>bov</sub> expression of MDBK WT (WT), MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 ( $\Delta$ CD46) and CD46-rescue cell lines (CD46-CTL, CD46-CTS) was detected by immunofluorescence staining of CCP1 (mab CA 26/2/5). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) to visualize the presence of confluent cell monolayers (small pictures in lower left corners).

entry factor also for viruses belonging to *Pestivirus H*. As GPeV strain PG-2 was shown to be partially cell-culture-adapted, it was pre-incubated with heparin to block binding to HS. Interestingly, infection of MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells was reduced by only approx. 28% in comparison to MDBK WT cells, suggesting that CD46<sub>bov</sub> plays a rather minor role in the entry of viruses representing *Pestivirus G*. HoBiPeV strain HoBi and GPeV strain H138 were shown to be cell-culture-adapted. Consequently, their infectivity was not affected by CD46-deficiency (Figure 3).

The different role of  $\text{CD46}_{\text{bov}}$  as a receptor for *Pestivirus H* and *Pestivirus G* strains as determined by CD46-knockout was confirmed by antibody-mediated blocking of  $\text{CD46}_{\text{bov}}$  on the surface of MDBK cells (Figure S2). Blocking of  $\text{CD46}_{\text{bov}}$  almost completely inhibited infection with BVDV-1 strain NADL, BVDV-2 strain CS8644 as well as HoBiPeV strain HaVi-20. In contrast, susceptibility to GPeV strain PG-2 was hardly affected by antibody-mediated blocking (Figure S2).

# Generation and characterization of stable MDBKΔCD46 rescue cell lines expressing CD46<sub>bov</sub>

In order to exclude off-target effects and to demonstrate gain-of-function, non-permissive CD46-knockout cells were trans-complemented with CD46<sub>bov</sub> (Figure 4(A)). Considering the diverse amino acid sequences and different splice variants of CD46<sub>bov</sub>, two cell lines expressing very distinct variants present in the highly permissive MDBK WT cells were established. The selected CD46<sub>bov</sub> variants contained several distinct amino acids in their ectodomains but also differed in length and composition of their STP domains and CTs. One CD46<sub>bov</sub> variant comprised a long (CD46-CTL) and one a short cytoplasmic tail (CD46-CTS) (Figure 4(B)), as it was reported that the length of the CT of CD46<sub>bov</sub> can shift permissivity to BVDV-1 strain NADL [35].

Phenotypic characterization of the generated MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 + CD46-CTL and MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 + CD46-CTS cell lines by IF staining revealed that



**Figure 5.** Impact of CD46-rescue on entry of *Pestivirus H* and *Pestivirus G* strains. (A) MDBK wild type (WT), MDBK CD46-knockout ( $\Delta$ CD46) and MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells trans-complemented with CD46<sub>bov</sub> comprising either a long (CD46-CTL) or a short cytoplasmic tail (CD46-CTS) were infected with BVDV-1 strain NADL, BVDV-2 strain CS8644, HoBi-like pestivirus strain HaVi-20 and giraffe pestivirus strain PG-2 and incubated for 16 h, respectively. Partially cell-culture-adapted strain PG-2 was pre-incubated with heparin (+ Hep) to block binding to heparan sulfate. Immunofluorescence staining of pestivirus non-structural protein NS3 was performed using mab C16 in combination with secondary mab Cy3-AffiniPure goat anti-mouse IgG (orange). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) to visualize the presence of confluent cell monolayers (small pictures in lower left corners). (B) Infections were quantified by pixel counting using the ImageJ software. Bars represent mean values from five pictures per well. Standard deviations are indicated. Infections of MDBK WT cells were set as 100% and infection experiments. Bars represent mean 50% tissue culture infectious doses per ml (TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml) from three titrations each performed in quadruplicate. Standard deviations are indicated. (B+C) Significance was tested using one-way ANOVAs with Tukey post-hoc tests (\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001).

both cell lines express  $CD46_{bov}$  at least as strongly as the WT cells (Figure 4(C)).

# Impact of CD46-rescue on entry of Pestivirus H and Pestivirus G strains

Virus strains that showed a dependency on  $CD46_{bov}$  when comparing infection of MDBK WT and MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells were further studied by infecting MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells rescued with CD46-CTL or CD46-CTS. Infection and viral replication were analysed at 16 hpi by IF analysis and titration of cell culture supernatants, respectively (Figure 5).

Permissivity to BVDV-1 strain NADL, BVDV-2 strain CS8644 and HoBiPeV strain HaVi-20 was significantly diminished by CD46-knockout reflected by an approx. 20-fold (HaVi-20) to 100-fold (NADL and CS8644) decrease in viral titres compared to titres produced in MDBK WT cells. Trans-complementation of MDBKACD46 cells with either of the CD46<sub>bov</sub> variants restored permissivity. In comparison to MDBK WT cells, trans-complementation with CD46-CTL resulted in an equally strong or slightly enhanced permissivity producing the same (NADL) or approx. three-fold (CS8644; \*\*p < 0.01) to 10-fold (HaVi-20; \*\**p* < 0.01) increased viral titres. For HoBi-PeV strain HaVi-20, expression of CD46-CTL and CD46-CTS resulted in equal permissivity. Interestingly, for BVDV-1 strain NADL and BVDV-2 strain CS8644, trans-complementation with CD46-CTS enabled a more efficient infection than CD46-CTL, with approx. three-fold (NADL) and six-fold (CS8644; \*\*\**p* < 0.001) increased titres in comparison to MDBK WT cells.

In accordance with the low impact CD46-knockout and antibody-mediated blocking of CD46<sub>bov</sub> had on GPeV strain PG-2 infectivity, CD46-rescue also had only a weak effect on the permissivity of the cells to strain PG-2. Its titre was only slightly (approx. fourfold) decreased on MDBK $\Delta$ CD46 cells in comparison to strong (20-fold to 100-fold) decreases for the other investigated viruses. Trans-complementation with CD46-CTL or CD46-CTS had no clear effect on permissivity to GPeV strain PG-2 (Figure 5).

### Discussion

The host cell entry is a crucial step in the viral replication cycle; however, the pestiviral entry process is still poorly understood. BVDV-1 (*Pestivirus A*) and BVDV-2 (*Pestivirus B*) use CD46<sub>bov</sub> as a cellular receptor [32]. Based on antibody-mediated blocking, it was suggested that CSFV (*Pestivirus C*) uses porcine CD46 (CD46<sub>pig</sub>) as a major cellular entry factor [40]. A recent study using porcine CD46-knockout cells revealed that CSFV as well as Bungowannah pestivirus (BuPV; *Pestivirus F*) can efficiently enter porcine host cells independently of CD46<sub>pig</sub>, while it is an essential entry factor for Atypical porcine pestivirus (APPV; *Pestivirus K*) [48]. These results demonstrate that the entry mechanisms of pestiviruses are more diverse than previously assumed. In the present study, we investigated the role of CD46<sub>bov</sub> as a cellular receptor for virus strains of additional pestivirus species that can efficiently infect bovine host cells, namely *Pestivirus H* and *Pestivirus G*.

The role of  $CD46_{bov}$  as a receptor for BVDV-1 and -2 was demonstrated by blocking infections using anti- $CD46_{bov}$  mabs or a polyclonal rabbit anti- $CD46_{bov}$  serum and by CD46-transfection of non-permissive porcine cells [32,34]. Meanwhile, CRISPR/Cas9 technology is widely used. It enables the generation of knockout cells and provides an elegant tool for studying cellular factors involved in viral entry. In a recent study, MDBK CD46-knockout cells were used to investigate the ability of BVDV-1 and -2 to escape CD46-dependency [43].

For the generation of MDBK∆CD46 cells, we positioned the CRISPR/Cas9 guide RNA very close to the 5' end of the CD46<sub>bov</sub> encoding sequence within the sequence encoding the SP. Genetic and phenotypic characterization confirmed the successful generation of a CD46-negative cell line. CD46-deficiency strongly impaired infections with selected BVDV-1 and -2 strains, which is consistent with the observations of Szillat et al. [43]. Within the present study, a similar dependence on CD46<sub>boy</sub> was shown for isolate HaVi-20, a member of the species Pestivirus H. All three viruses exhibited a low residual infectivity that, for BVDV-1 and -2, was also reported after blocking CD46<sub>bov</sub> with mabs, suggesting the use of at least one additional entry factor [34]. Previously, it was already noted that the ubiquitous expression of CD46<sub>bov</sub> is not consistent with the tissue tropism of BVDV [32]. There has been further evidence for other molecular determinants involved in entry of BVDV for a long time [36]. The ability of BVDV-1 to infect polarized airway epithelial cells from the basolateral side where no CD46<sub>bov</sub> is expressed also points to the existence of an alternative entry factor [38]. Future studies will show whether this unknown factor is also the molecular determinant preferentially used by GPeV which in parts turned out to be both CD46<sub>bov</sub>- and HS-independent. As heparin was used in saturating amounts and almost completely blocked entry of the closely related strain H138, it seems unlikely that entry of PG-2 is based on unspecific binding to HS, providing strong evidence for the presence of another specific receptor in addition to CD46<sub>boy</sub>. For CSFV, there are reports on various membrane proteins involved in entry, such as the laminin receptor, the low density lipoprotein receptor, vinculin, integrin  $\beta$ -3 and ADAM-17 [58–60], but it is unclear how these factors interact with CSFV and whether they have a

relevance in entry of BVDV. Investigating the entry mechanism of non-HS-adapted pestiviruses that show a low dependency on CD46<sub>bov</sub> (e.g. GPeV strain PG-2) might be useful for the identification of alternative entry factors, co-receptors or other additional players in the pestivirus-host cell interaction.

Due to possible unspecific binding of the guide RNA, CRISPR/Cas9 technology carries a risk of offtarget effects. Therefore, the impact of CD46<sub>bov</sub> for entry of the investigated viruses was demonstrated not only using MDBKACD46 cells, but also by antibody-mediated blocking of CD46<sub>bov</sub> and was additionally confirmed with MDBKACD46 cells trans-complemented with CD46<sub>boy</sub>. The strong (BVDV-1, BVDV-2 and HoBiPeV) or weak (GPeV) dependence on CD46<sub>bov</sub> observed in the loss-of-function experiments corresponded well with the respective gain-of-function of two different CD46-rescue cell lines. Interestingly, trans-complementation with CD46-CTS comprising a short cytoplasmic tail did not only restore WT-susceptibility, but even enhanced infection with BVDV-1, BVDV-2 and HoBiPeV. In contrast, Zezafoun et al. reported that CD46<sub>bov</sub> transcript variants with a long CT enhance susceptibility to BVDV-1 [35]. The constructs used in the present study were based on native sequences obtained from MDBK WT cells, which did not only differ in their CTs, but also in 13 aa in CCP1-4 as well as in the length of their STP-regions. The high variability of CD46<sub>boy</sub> makes it difficult to determine the impact of different (transcript) variants with regard to a cell's permissivity. In the present study, the length of the CT was apparently not the only factor affecting susceptibility to CD46<sub>bov</sub>-dependent bovine pestiviruses. To the best of our knowledge, the expression pattern of different CD46<sub>bov</sub> variants and their physiological roles in different cell types has not been investigated so far. It is conceivable that these CD46<sub>bov</sub> variants may have an influence on the efficiency of the entry of  $\text{CD46}_{\text{bov}}\text{-dependent}$ bovine pestiviruses and thus determine their cell and tissue tropism. Future studies should address the occurrence and frequency of different CD46<sub>boy</sub> variants in diverse cell types and could thus also provide information about entry of bovine pestiviruses into cell lines other than MDBK, e.g. from the respiratory or reproductive system, which could also be independent of CD46<sub>bov</sub>.

CSFV can adapt to cell culture conditions very rapidly. Although adaptation of BVDV to cell culture conditions occurs rarely, BVDV-1 and BVDV-2 are also able to overcome lack of the CD46<sub>bov</sub> receptor after only a few passages by using the ubiquitous GAG HS as an attachment factor [39,40,42,43]. Other viruses use HS or heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPG) under *in vivo* conditions to enter the host cell, and for some viruses, the biological relevance of binding to HS or HSPGs is still controversial (reviewed in [61]). So far, there is no knowledge about binding of HoBiPeV and GPeV to HS or HSPGs nor its biological relevance, respectively. Blocking of putative HS binding sites on the viral envelope proteins revealed HoBiPeV strain HoBi to be strongly cell-culture-adapted, while strain HaVi-20 directly isolated from fetal calf serum was not. GPeV strain H138 was also strongly adapted, while strain PG-2 showed only a low degree of HS dependency. Most HS-adapted BVDV-1 and -2 strains exhibit a mutation in the E<sup>rns</sup> gene, leading to an exchange of the uncharged aa at position 479 with a charged residue [43].

The results from the HS adaptation assay and sequencing of the  $E^{rns}$  gene of GPeV strain PG-2 indicated that the used virus stock contained a mixed population of WT and cell-culture-adapted viruses. Analysis of the  $E^{rns}$  encoding sequence revealed that aa residue 475 in the polyprotein of GPeV strain PG-2 corresponding to aa residue 479 in the polyprotein of BVDV-1 and -2 is likely crucial for cell culture adaptation of GPeV. To confirm the relevance of this aa residue for HS adaptation, investigation of two separate virus populations each carrying one of the observed sequence variants or establishment of a reverse genetic system for PG-2 would be desirable.

In summary, HoBiPeV strain HaVi-20, a member of the species *Pestivirus H*, uses CD46<sub>boy</sub> as a major cellular entry factor. Interestingly, GPeV strain PG-2 representing Pestivirus G was demonstrated to be less dependent on CD46<sub>bov</sub>, indicating a higher preference for an alternative receptor to enter bovine cells. It remains to be investigated whether the receptor binding sites within the E2 protein or whether other viral determinants might be responsible for the observed differences in cell entry. In conclusion, our results demonstrate that there are basic differences in the biology of the members of the growing Pestivirus genus. Future studies will focus on the differences in interaction of pestiviruses with the host cell and enhance our knowledge concerning the various entry mechanisms of pestiviruses.

# Acknowledgements

We want to thank Olaf Isken (Institute of Virology and Cell Biology, University of Luebeck, Luebeck, Germany) for providing the plasmids and protocols for the lentiviral transductions. We are grateful to Sabrina Rohde, Inka Graber and Nelia Libowski for their excellent technical assistance as well as Ang Su, Miriam Vollmer and Robin Poljakovic for supporting the project.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

# Funding

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under project number 427829762.

# ORCID

*Elena Leveringhaus* http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4154-2231

Juliane Hardt D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4514-5698 Paul Becher D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7857-1354 Alexander Postel D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1187-1069

# References

- [1] Moennig V, Becher P. Control of bovine viral diarrhea. Pathogens. 2018 Mar 8;7(1): Article no. 29.
- [2] Postel A, Austermann-Busch S, Petrov A, et al. Epidemiology, diagnosis and control of classical swine fever: recent developments and future challenges. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2018 May;65(Suppl 1):248–261.
- [3] Tautz N, Tews BA, Meyers G. The molecular Biology of pestiviruses. Adv Virus Res. 2015;93:47–160.
- [4] Smith DB, Meyers G, Bukh J, et al. Proposed revision to the taxonomy of the genus pestivirus, family flaviviridae. J Gen Virol. 2017 Aug;98 (8):2106–2112.
- [5] King AMQ, Lefkowitz EJ, Mushegian AR, et al. Changes to taxonomy and the International code of virus classification and nomenclature ratified by the International committee on taxonomy of viruses. Arch Virol. 2018 Sep;163(9):2601–2631.
- [6] Postel A, Smith DB, Becher P. Proposed update to the taxonomy of pestiviruses: eight additional species within the genus pestivirus, family flaviviridae. Viruses. 2021;13(8):1542.
- [7] Schirrmeier H, Strebelow G, Depner K, et al. Genetic and antigenic characterization of an atypical pestivirus isolate, a putative member of a novel pestivirus species. J Gen Virol. 2004 Dec;85(Pt 12):3647– 3652.
- [8] Xia H, Vijayaraghavan B, Belak S, et al. Detection and identification of the atypical bovine pestiviruses in commercial foetal bovine serum batches. PLoS One. 2011;6(12):e28553.
- [9] Stalder HP, Meier P, Pfaffen G, et al. Genetic heterogeneity of pestiviruses of ruminants in Switzerland. Prev Vet Med. 2005 Nov 15;72(1-2):37–41; discussion 215-9.
- [10] Stahl K, Kampa J, Alenius S, et al. Natural infection of cattle with an atypical 'HoBi'-like pestivirus--implications for BVD control and for the safety of biological products. Vet Res. 2007 May–Jun;38(3):517–523.
- [11] Haider N, Rahman MS, Khan SU, et al. Identification and epidemiology of a rare HoBi-like pestivirus strain in Bangladesh. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2014 Jun;61 (3):193–198.
- [12] Mishra N, Rajukumar K, Pateriya A, et al. Identification and molecular characterization of novel and divergent HoBi-like pestiviruses from naturally infected cattle in India. Vet Microbiol. 2014 Nov 7;174(1-2):239–246.
- [13] Silveira S, Baumbach LF, Weber MN, et al. HoBi-like is the most prevalent ruminant pestivirus in

northeastern Brazil. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2018 Feb;65(1):e113-e120.

- [14] Timurkan MO, Aydin H. Increased genetic diversity of BVDV strains circulating in eastern anatolia, Turkey: first detection of BVDV-3 in Turkey. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2019 Sep;51(7):1953–1961.
- [15] Shi H, Li H, Zhang Y, et al. Genetic diversity of bovine pestiviruses detected in backyard cattle farms between 2014 and 2019 in Henan province, China. Front Vet Sci. 2020;7:197.
- [16] Decaro N, Lucente MS, Mari V, et al. Atypical pestivirus and severe respiratory disease in calves, Europe. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011 Aug;17(8):1549–1552.
- [17] Chen M, Liu M, Liu S, et al. HoBi-like pestivirus infection leads to bovine death and severe respiratory disease in China. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2021 May;68 (3):1069–1074.
- [18] Decaro N, Lanave G, Lucente MS, et al. Mucosal disease-like syndrome in a calf persistently infected by hobi-like pestivirus. J Clin Microbiol. 2014 Aug;52 (8):2946–2954.
- [19] Weber MN, Mosena AC, Simoes SV, et al. Clinical presentation resembling mucosal disease associated with 'HoBi'-like pestivirus in a field outbreak. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2016 Feb;63(1):92–100.
- [20] Decaro N. HoBi-like pestivirus and reproductive disorders. Front Vet Sci. 2020;7:622447.
- [21] Decaro N, Losurdo M, Lucente MS, et al. Persistent infection caused by hobi-like pestivirus. J Clin Microbiol. 2013 Apr;51(4):1241–1243.
- [22] Decaro N, Lucente MS, Losurdo M, et al. HoBi-like pestivirus and its impact on cattle productivity. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2016 Oct;63(5):469–473.
- [23] Stahl K, Beer M, Schirrmeier H, et al. Atypical 'HoBi'-like pestiviruses-recent findings and implications thereof. Vet Microbiol. 2010 Apr 21;142(1-2):90–93.
- [24] Falcone E, Tollis M, Conti G. Bovine viral diarrhea disease associated with a contaminated vaccine. Vaccine. 1999 Oct 14;18(5-6):387-388.
- [25] Plowright W. Other virus diseases in relation to the JP15 programme. Joint Campaign Against Rinderpest, Proceedings of the 1st technical review meeting, phase IV, Mogadiscio, Organization of Africa; 1969. p. 19–23.
- [26] Schweizer M, Peterhans E. Pestiviruses. Annu Rev Anim Biosci. 2014 Feb;2:141–163.
- [27] Becher P, Avalos Ramirez R, Orlich M, et al. Genetic and antigenic characterization of novel Pestivirus genotypes: implications for classification. Virology. 2003 Jun 20;311(1):96–104.
- [28] Schmeiser S, Mast J, Thiel HJ, et al. Morphogenesis of pestiviruses: new insights from ultrastructural studies of strain giraffe-1. J Virol. 2014 Mar;88(5):2717–2724.
- [29] Grummer B, Grotha S, Greiser-Wilke I. Bovine viral diarrhoea virus is internalized by clathrin-dependent receptor-mediated endocytosis. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health. 2004 Dec;51(10):427–432.
- [30] Lecot S, Belouzard S, Dubuisson J, et al. Bovine viral diarrhea virus entry is dependent on clathrinmediated endocytosis. J Virol. 2005 Aug;79 (16):10826–10829.
- [31] Shi BJ, Liu CC, Zhou J, et al. Entry of classical swine fever virus into PK-15 cells via a pH-, dynamin-, and cholesterol-dependent, clathrin-mediated endocytic pathway that requires Rab5 and Rab7. J Virol. 2016 Oct 15;90(20):9194–9208.

- [32] Maurer K, Krey T, Moennig V, et al. CD46 is a cellular receptor for bovine viral diarrhea virus. J Virol. 2004 Feb;78(4):1792–1799.
- [33] Yamamoto H, Fara AF, Dasgupta P, et al. CD46: the 'multitasker' of complement proteins. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2013 Dec;45(12):2808–2820.
- [34] Krey T, Himmelreich A, Heimann M, et al. Function of bovine CD46 as a cellular receptor for bovine viral diarrhea virus is determined by complement control protein 1. J Virol. 2006 Apr;80(8):3912–3922.
- [35] Zezafoun H, Decreux A, Desmecht D. Genetic and splice variations of Bos Taurus CD46 shift cell permissivity to BVDV, the bovine pestivirus. Vet Microbiol. 2011 Sep 28;152(3-4):315–327.
- [36] Tscherne DM, Evans MJ, Macdonald MR, et al. Transdominant inhibition of bovine viral diarrhea virus entry. J Virol. 2008 Mar;82(5):2427–2436.
- [37] Riedel C, Chen HW, Reichart U, et al. Real time analysis of bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) infection and Its dependence on bovine CD46. Viruses. 2020 Jan 17;12(1): Article no. 116.
- [38] Su A, Fu Y, Meens J, et al. Infection of polarized bovine respiratory epithelial cells by bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV). Virulence. 2021 Dec;12(1):177– 187.
- [39] Hulst MM, van Gennip HG, Moormann RJ. Passage of classical swine fever virus in cultured swine kidney cells selects virus variants that bind to heparan sulfate due to a single amino acid change in envelope protein E(rns). J Virol. 2000 Oct;74(20):9553–9561.
- [40] Drager C, Beer M, Blome S. Porcine complement regulatory protein CD46 and heparan sulfates are the major factors for classical swine fever virus attachment in vitro. Arch Virol. 2015 Mar;160(3):739–746.
- [41] Eymann-Häni R, Leifer I, McCullough KC, et al. Propagation of classical swine fever virus in vitro circumventing heparan sulfate-adaptation. J Virol Methods. 2011 Sep;176(1-2):85–95.
- [42] Iqbal M, Flick-Smith H, McCauley JW. Interactions of bovine viral diarrhoea virus glycoprotein E(rns) with cell surface glycosaminoglycans. J Gen Virol. 2000 Feb;81(Pt 2):451–459.
- [43] Szillat KP, Koethe S, Wernike K, et al. A CRISPR/Cas9 generated bovine CD46-knockout cell line-A tool to elucidate the adaptability of bovine viral diarrhea viruses (BVDV). Viruses. 2020 Aug 6;12(8): Article no. 859.
- [44] Iqbal M, McCauley JW. Identification of the glycosaminoglycan-binding site on the glycoprotein E(rns) of bovine viral diarrhoea virus by site-directed mutagenesis. J Gen Virol. 2002 Sep;83(Pt 9):2153– 2159.
- [45] Avalos-Ramirez R, Orlich M, Thiel HJ, et al. Evidence for the presence of two novel pestivirus species. Virology. 2001 Aug 1;286(2):456–465.
- [46] Becher P, Fischer N, Grundhoff A, et al. Complete genome sequence of bovine Pestivirus strain PG-2, a second member of the tentative Pestivirus species

giraffe. Genome Announc. 2014 May 15;2(3):e00376-14.

- [47] Isken O, Postel A, Bruhn B, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated knockout of DNAJC14 verifies this chaperone as a pivotal host factor for RNA replication of pestiviruses. J Virol. 2019 Mar 1;93(5):e01714–18.
- [48] Cagatay GN, Antos A, Suckstorff O, et al. Porcine complement regulatory protein CD46 is a major receptor for atypical porcine pestivirus but not for classical swine fever virus. J Virol. 2021 Apr 12;95 (9):e02186-20.
- [49] Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR screening. Nat Methods. 2014 Aug;11(8):783-784.
- [50] Zufferey R, Nagy D, Mandel RJ, et al. Multiply attenuated lentiviral vector achieves efficient gene delivery in vivo. Nat Biotechnol. 1997 Sep;15(9):871–875.
- [51] Naldini L, Blömer U, Gallay P, et al. In vivo gene delivery and stable transduction of nondividing cells by a lentiviral vector. Science. 1996 Apr 12;272 (5259):263–267.
- [52] Peters W, Greiser-Wilke I, Moennig V, et al. Preliminary serological characterization of bovine viral diarrhoea virus strains using monoclonal antibodies. Vet Microbiol. 1986;12(3):195–200.
- [53] Cay B, Chappuis G, Coulibaly C, et al. Comparative analysis of monoclonal antibodies against pestiviruses: report of an international workshop. Vet Microbiol. 1989 Jun;20(2):123–129.
- [54] Pham HM, Argañaraz ER, Groschel B, et al. Lentiviral vectors interfering with virus-induced CD4 downmodulation potently block human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication in primary lymphocytes. J Virol. 2004 Dec;78(23):13072–13081.
- [55] Spearman C. The method of 'right and wrong cases' ('constant stimuli') without gauss's formulae. Br J Psychol, 1904–1920. 1908;2(3):227–242.
- [56] Kärber G. Beitrag zur kollektiven behandlung pharmakologischer reihenversuche. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Exp Pathol Pharmakol. 1931;162(4):480–483.
- [57] Konietschke F, Placzek M, Schaarschmidt F, et al. nparcomp: an R software package for nonparametric Multiple comparisons and simultaneous confidence intervals. J Stat Softw. 2015;64:1–17.
- [58] Chen J, He W-R, Shen L, et al. The laminin receptor is a cellular attachment receptor for classical swine fever virus. J Virol. 2015;89(9):4894–4906.
- [59] Li W, Wang G, Liang W, et al. Integrin beta3 is required in infection and proliferation of classical swine fever virus. PLoS One. 2014;9(10):e110911.
- [60] Yuan F, Li D, Li C, et al. ADAM17 is an essential attachment factor for classical swine fever virus. PLoS Pathog. 2021 Mar;17(3):e1009393.
- [61] Cagno V, Tseligka ED, Jones ST, et al. Heparan sulfate proteoglycans and viral attachment: true receptors or adaptation bias? Viruses. 2019 Jul 1;11(7): Article no. 596.