CLINICAL STUDY

Taylor & Francis Group

Taylor & Francis

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Efficacy of statins on renal function in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Lin Zhao^a, Shu Li^b and Ying Gao^c

^aInternational Medical School, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, P.R. China; ^bSchool of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, P.R. China; ^cHealth Management Center, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, P.R. China

ABSTRACT

Background: Studies have shown that the use of statins could significantly improve lipid profiles; however, it remains controversial whether the use of statins could improve renal function in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to evaluate the effects of statins on renal function in patients with CKD. **Methods:** We systematically searched PubMed, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library databases for eligible RCTs from inception to October 2020. Pooled effect estimates were assigned as weighted mean differences (WMDs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using the random-effects model. **Results:** We selected 33 RCTs that recruited 37,391 patients with CKD patients. The summary results suggested that statin use significantly reduced urinary albumin (WMD: -2.04; 95%CI: -3.53 to -0.56; p = .007) and protein (WMD: -0.58; 95%CI: -0.95 to -0.21; p = .002) excretions and increased creatinine clearance (WMD: 0.86; 95%CI: 0.32-1.41; p = .002). However, there were no significant differences between statin and control groups in terms of changes in estimated glomerular filtration rate (WMD: 0.38; 95%CI: -0.04 to 0.79; p = .075), and serum creatinine levels (WMD: -0.07; 95%CI: -0.25, 0.12; p = .475).

Conclusions: We found that statin use in patients with CKD may slow CKD progression by lowering urinary albumin and protein excretions or increasing creatinine clearance. Further large-scale RCTs should be conducted to evaluate the long-term effects of statins on renal outcomes.

Abbreviations: CKD: chronic kidney disease; RCT: randomized controlled trials; WMD: weighted mean differences; CI: confidence intervals; ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a common disorder that can cause cardiovascular disease, kidney failure, and other complications. CKD, with an increasing prevalence, affects more than 10% of the global population [1]. In the United States of America (USA), an estimated 9.6% of non-institutionalized adults suffer from CKD [2,3]. Studies conducted in Europe, Australia, and Asia confirm the high prevalence of CKD [4–6]. However, the prevalence of CKD in many developing countries remains unknown [7]. CKD has many potential causes that vary in frequency among populations [8]. Renovascular disease is one of the risk factors for developing CKD and worsening renal outcomes [9]. Some proposed mechanisms for progressive CKD in patients with renovascular disease include endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and systemic inflammation of the glomerular capillary wall [10]. Renal replacement therapy is currently the most effective CKD treatment modality; however, the administration of renal replacement therapy in low- and middle-income countries is limited because it is not readily available in these countries. Further, most patients die of kidney failure without receiving dialysis or transplantation [11]. Thus, it is necessary to find alternative strategies to improve the prognosis of CKD.

Lipid-lowering therapies may improve renal function and lower albuminuria as reported in some previous meta-analyses [12,13]. They found that lipid reduction

CONTACT Shu Li alexzh1984@126.com School of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University, 22, Qixiangtai Road, Heping District, Tianjin 300070, P.R. China; Ying Gao gaoying301@tmu.edu.cn Health Management Center, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, 154, Anshan Road, Heping District, Tianjin 300052, P.R. China

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 14 December 2020 Revised 5 April 2021 Accepted 5 April 2021

KEYWORDS

Statins; chronic kidney disease; renal function; meta-analysis

could improve renal function and decrease proteinuria in patients with CKD [13]. Although the abovementioned meta-analyses reported the beneficial effects of statins on pathologic albuminuria, larger studies are required to assess the validity of these findings and determine if statins can also reduce cardiovascular or end-stage renal disease occurrence [12]. Another study found that a combined approach using angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and statins could represent a therapeutic option for patients with advanced renal disease in whom ACEI alone failed to substantially reduce proteinuria and renal injury [14]. Some scholars believe that this may be due to the antiinflammatory effect of statins and improved endothelial function [14]. Previous meta-analyses that evaluated the effect of statins on renal outcomes did not focus on patients with CKD [15]. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis, based on randomized controlled trials (RCTs), to evaluate the effects of statins on renal function in patients with CKD.

Methods

Search strategy and eligibility criteria

According to the transparent reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses 2009 (PRISMA checklist) [16], two reviewers independently identified relevant studies in the PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane library databases from inception to October 2020. This review included only studies published in English, and the following terms were applied in our search: CKD, chronic renal disease, chronic nephropathy, statin, atorvastatin, simvastatin, rosuvastatin, pravastatin, lovastatin, fluvastatin, cerivastatin, mevastatin, pitavastatin, dyslipidemia, hyperlipidemia, hypercholesterolemia, hyperlipoproteinemia, hypertriglyceridemia, human, and RCTs. The details of the search strategies for each database are shown in Supplemental 1. If a dataset was published multiple times, the most relevant publication was included, and the others supplemented it.

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) study design: RCT; (2) patients: CKD; (3) intervention: statins, irrespective of dose and types; (4) control: usual care, placebo, dietary therapy, or low dose statins (less than half of the dose in intervention group); and (5) outcomes: the study had to reported at least 1 of following outcomes: (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] $186 \times [serum creatinine, mg/dL]^{-1.154} \times [age, years]^{-0.203} \times [0.742$ if female] $\times [1.210$ if black]), urinary albumin excretion, creatinine clearance ([140-age] \times body weight [kg]/[814.5 \times serum creatinine [umol/L] $\times 1000 \times 0.85$ if female]), serum creatinine (Jaffe

Kinetic method), and urinary protein excretion. Reviews, case reports, letters, mechanism studies, and non-human studies were excluded. After an initial screening of the study titles and abstracts, the full texts of potentially eligible studies were read to assess whether the study could be included in the meta-analysis. This process was performed by two reviewers (SL and YG), and inconsistent results between reviewers were settled by group discussion until a consensus was reached.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Data were independently extracted from the selected studies by two reviewers (SL and YG) using standardized criteria. The following items were extracted: first author's surname, year of publication, country, number of participants, mean age, and baseline eGFR in the intervention and control groups, intervention, control, follow-up duration, and reported outcomes. Quality assessment was performed simultaneously by two reviewers (SL and YG) using the Jadad scale (with scores ranging from 0 to 5), and based on randomization, blinding, allocation concealment, withdrawals and dropouts, and the use of intention-to-treat analysis [17]. Any conflicts between reviewers for data abstraction and quality assessment were settled by a third reviewer (LZ), who reviewed the full-text of retrieved studies.

Statistical analysis

The treatment efficacy of statins on renal function improvement in patients with CKD was assigned as continuous data, and the pooled weighted mean differences (WMDs) with 95% confidence intervals (Cls) were calculated using the random-effects model, which considering the varies underlying included studies [18,19]. The heterogeneity among included studies was assessed using the l^2 statistic and Q statistic [20,21]. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by leave-one-out to assess the robustness of a pooled conclusion [22]. Subgroup analysis was conducted according to year of publication (before 2010, 2010, or after), country (Asia, other), sample size (\geq 100, <100), mean age (\geq 65.0, <65.0 years), statin type (atorvastatin, fluvastatin, pitavastatin, pravastatin, rosuvastatin, and simvastatin), follow-up duration (\geq 12.0, <12.0 months), and study quality (high [Jadad score 4 or 5], low [Jadad score 0-3]); the difference between subgroups was assessed using the interaction p test [23]. The funnel plot, Egger, and Begg test results were used to assess potential publication bias [24,25]. The inspection level was 2-sided, and statistical significance was set at p < .05. All statistical

analyses were performed using STATA software version 10.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Results

Literature search

A total of 3741 published studies were initially identified, of which 2246 potentially relevant studies were retained after duplicates were removed. Subsequently, 2169 were excluded owing to irrelevant topics. The remaining 77 studies were retrieved for further full-text evaluations, and 33 RCTs met the inclusion criteria [26–58]. By reviewing the reference lists of these studies, we found three potentially eligible studies, and these studies were contained in initial electronic searches. Details of the study selection process are shown in Figure 1.

Study characteristics

We included 33 RCTs involving 37,391 patients with CKD; the baseline characteristics of included studies are summarized in Table 1. The duration of follow-up ranged from 10 d to 72.0 months and 28 to 16,245 patients were included in each individual trial. The intervention strategies included the administration of atorvastatin, cerivastatin, fluvastatin, pitavastatin,



Figure 1. Flow chart of the study selection process.

pravastatin, rosuvastatin, and simvastatin. Study quality was assessed using the Jadad scale; 3, 10, 13, and 7 trials scored 5, 4, 3, and 2, respectively.

Estimated glomerular filtration rate

Twenty-one studies reported the effect of statins on eGFR, and the pooled result indicated that the use of statins was not associated with a change in eGFR as compared with the control (WMD: 0.38; 95%CI: -0.04 to 0.79; p = .075; Figure 2). Moreover, we observed significant heterogeneity across included trials ($l^2 = 98.3\%$; p < .001). Sensitivity analysis suggested that the pooled conclusion was unstable because of the marginal 95%CI (Supplemental 2). Subgroup analysis revealed that statin use was associated with high eGFR for pooled studies published before 2010, mean patient age \geq 65.0 years, atorvastatin use, pravastatin use, and low-quality studies. However, we noted that the use of fluvastatin was associated with a lower eGFR than that in the control group (Table 2). There was no significant publication bias for eGFR (p value for Egger: .277; p value for Begg: .309; Supplemental 3).

Urinary albumin excretion

Ten studies reported the effect of statins on urinary albumin excretion and the pooled results suggested that the use of statins was associated with lower urinary albumin excretion than that in the control group (WMD: -2.04; 95%CI: -3.53 to -0.56; p = .007; Figure 3). Moreover, there was significant heterogeneity in urinary albumin excretion across the included trials ($l^2 = 99.2\%$; p < .001). The conclusion was not robust when individual studies were excluded one by one (Supplemental 2). Although significant differences between statin and control groups were observed in most subgroups, we noted that statins had no significant effect on urinary albumin excretion for pooled studies conducted in Asia or other countries, sample size \geq 100, mean age of patients <65.0 years, and fluvastatin or simvastatin use. Conversely, we noted that the use of statins was associated with high urinary albumin excretion for pravastatin use, follow-up duration >12.0 months, and high-quality studies (Table 2). No significant publication bias was observed for urinary albumin excretion (p value for Egger: .695; p value for Begg: .858; Supplemental 3).

Creatinine clearance

Ten studies reported the effects of statin use on creatinine clearance. We noted that statin use was associated with higher creatinine clearance compared with that in

Table 1. Information extracted from the studies included in the meta-analysis.

Study	Country	Sample size (intervention/ control)	Mean age (years) (Intervention/ control)	Baseline eGFR (mL/ min/ 1.73 m ²) (intervention/ control)	Intervention	Control	Follow-up (months)	Study quality
Nielsen et al. [26]	UK	8/10	65/65	96.6/97.1	Simvastatin (10 mg/d)	Placebo	9	3
Tonolo et al. [27]	Italy	10/9	60/62	NA	Simvastatin (20 mg/d)	Placebo	12	2
Imai et al. [28]	Japan	32/25	58.5/49.5	NA	Pravastatin (5, 10 mg/d)	Placebo	6	3
Santos et al. [29]	Brazil	34/33	44.3/42.2	NA	Simvastatin (10 mg/d)	Placebo	6	3
Nakamura et al. [30]	Japan	30/30	58/55	NA	Cerivastatin (0.15 mg/d)	Placebo	6	3
Gheith et al. [31]	Egypt	21/22	23/22.2	NA	Fluvastatin (20 mg/d)	Usual care	12	2
Nakamura et al. [32]	Japan	20/20	40.8/38.8	NA	Cerivastatin (0.15 mg/d)	Placebo	6	3
Bianchi et al. [33]	US	28/28	56.5/56.8	NA	Atorvastatin (40 mg/d)	Usual care	12	3
Fellstrom et al. [34]	Switzerland	1050/1052	49.5/50.0	52.9/52.1	Fluvastatin (40 and 80 mg/d)	Placebo	72	4
Yasuda et al. [35]	Japan	39/41	57/58	59.0/60.0	Fluvastatin (20 mg/d)	Dietary therapy	12	2
Asselbergs et al. [36]	The Netherlands	433/431	52.1/50.5	NA	Pravastatin (40 mg/d	Placebo	46	4
Tonelli et al. [37]	Canada	1702/1700	63.1/63.5	52.7/52.7	Pravastatin (40 mg/d)	Placebo	60	4
	candad	6479/6364	57.5/57.5	73.8/73.8	i la la statili (lo liig, a)			•
Nakamura et al. [38]	Japan	10/10	51/49	NA	Pitavastatin (1 mg/d)	Usual care	12	2
Atthobari et al. [39]	The Netherlands	400/388	52.1/50.9	75.7/75.5	Pravastatin (40 mg/d)	Placebo	48	4
Goicoechea et al. [40]	Spain	44/19	66.2/70.0	42.8/44.2	Atorvastatin (20 mg/d)	Usual care	6	2
Nakamura et al. [41]	Japan	15/15	39.5/40.5	NA	Pitavastatin (1 mg/d)	Placebo	6	4
Nanayakkara et al. [42]	The Netherlands	47/46	54.0/52.0	32.0/35.0	Pravastatin (40 mg/d)	Placebo	24	5
Rahman et al. [43]	US, Puerto Rico, US	779/778	66.7/66.6	51.5/51.0	Pravastatin (40 mg/d)	Usual care	57.6	4
	Virgin Islands, and Canada	2903/2960	67.0/67.0	75.4/75.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5710	
Sawara et al. [44]	Japan	22/16	63.8/67.0	50.7/57.3	Rosuvastatin (2.5 mg/dL)	Usual care	12	2
Colhoun et al. [45]	UK	482/488	65.0/65.0	53.5/54.1	Atorvastatin (10 mg/d)	Placebo	46.8	5
Koren et al. [46]	US	286/293	65.6/64.8	51.3/51.1	Atorvastatin (<80 mg/d)	Usual care	54.3	3
Fassett et al. [47]	Australia	58/65	60.0/60.3	31.9/29.1	Atorvastatin (10 mg/d)	Placebo	30	4
Fassett et al. [48]	Australia	29/20	53.0/49.0	58.5/49.9	Pravastatin (20 mg/d)	Usual care	24	3
Ruggenenti et al. [49]	Italy	87/93	51.4/51.4	56.2/52.5	Fluvastatin (40 mg/d)	Usual care	6	3
Abe et al. [50]	Japan	52/52	64.5/64.9	70.4/69.3	Rosuvastatin (<10 mg/d)	Usual care	6	3
Fassett et al. [51]	Australia	56/61	59.6/60.2	32.0/29.2	Rosuvastatin (10 mg/d)	Placebo	30	4
Haynes et al. [52]	Europe	3116/3129	63.0/63.0	26.6/26.6	Simvastatin (20 mg/d)	Placebo	57.6	4
Zeeuw et al. [53]	Argentina, Brazil, Bulgaria, Canada, Denmark, France, Hungary, Italy, Mexico, Romania, and the US	116/107	56.8/58.5	72.6/68.8	Rosuvastatin (40 mg/d)	Rosuvastatin 10 mg	12	5
Takazakura et al. [54]	Japan	63/43	62.0/63.0	65.3/61.4	Atorvastatin (10 mg/d) or pravastatin (10 mg/d)	Dietary therapy	12	3
Ohsawa [55]	Japan	14/14	60.6/63.9	48.6/50.1	Pitavastatin (<4 mg/d)	Dietary therapy	12	3
Shehata et al. [56]	Egypt	65/65	55.0/57.0	48.0/49.0	Atorvastatin (80 mg/d)	Placebo	0.3	3
Yazbek et al. [57]	Brazil	51/49	41.2/41.0	NA	Rosuvastatin (10 mg/d) or atorvastatin (10 mg/d)	Usual care	12	2
Kimura et al. [58]	Japan	168/166	63.2/63.1	56.0/54.0	Atorvastatin (5–20 mg/d)	Dietary therapy	24	4

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate.

the control (WMD: 0.86; 95%CI: 0.32–1.41; p = .002; Figure 4), and significant heterogeneity was seen among the included trials ($l^2 = 92.8\%$; p < .001). Sensitivity analysis indicated that the pooled conclusion was not stable after the sequential exclusion of individual studies (Supplemental 2). Subgroup analysis revealed that the use of statins was associated with higher creatinine levels for pooled studies conducted in other countries, mean age <65.0 years, the use of atorvastatin, and follow-up duration ≥ 12.0 months (Table 2). There was no significant publication bias for creatinine clearance (p value for Egger: .269; p value for Begg: .858; Supplemental 3).

Serum creatinine

Seven studies reported the effect of statin use on serum creatinine and the pooled result suggested no significant difference in serum creatinine levels between statin and control groups (WMD: -0.07; 95%CI: -0.25 to 0.12; p = .475; Figure 5). Moreover, there was significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($l^2 = 94.1\%$; p < .001). The pooled conclusion was robust and not altered by the sequential exclusion of individual studies (Supplemental 2). Subgroup analysis revealed that the use of pravastatin was associated with lower serum creatinine levels (Table 2). No significant publication bias for serum creatinine was observed (p value for Egger: .876; p value for Begg: .548; Supplemental 3).

Urinary protein excretion

Ten studies reported the effect of statins on urinary protein excretion. Statin use was associated with lower urinary protein excretion (WMD: -0.58; 95%Cl: -0.95 to -0.21; p = .002; Figure 6); moreover, we observed a significant heterogeneity for urinary protein excretion



Figure 2. Comparison of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) change between statin and control groups.

 $(l^2 = 97.8\%; p < .001)$. Sensitivity analysis indicated that the use of statins was not associated with urinary protein excretion after excluding the study conducted by Gheith et al. [31], which specifically included patients with persistent idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, and most of the patients had focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (Supplemental 2). Subgroup analysis indicated that statin use was associated with lower urinary protein excretion for pooled studies before 2010, studies conducted in Asia or other countries, sample size <100, mean age <65.0 years, the use of pitavastatin, followup duration \geq 12.0 months, and low-quality studies (Table 2). There was no significant publication bias for urinary protein excretion (p value for Egger: .094; pvalue for Begg: .107; Supplemental 3).

Discussion

This study assessed the effects of statins on renal function in patients with CKD using a meta-analytic approach. A total of 33 RCTs and 37,391 patients with CKD were identified in our study, and patient characteristics were varied. The findings of this study indicated that patients with CKD treated with statins could experience an improvement in urinary albumin excretion, creatinine clearance, and urinary protein excretion. However, statin therapy had no significant effect on eGFR and serum creatinine levels. Sensitivity analysis revealed that statin therapy might play a protective role on eGFR as compared with the control. Results of subgroup analyses suggested that the treatment efficacy of statins and control could be affected by the year of publication, country, sample size, mean age, statin type, follow-up duration, and study quality.

In a review of previous meta-analyses, Palmer et al. found that statins produce significant benefits on mortality and cardiovascular events in patients with earlystage CKD, but had no significant effects in patients on dialysis or after kidney transplantation. Moreover, they reported no significant difference between the use of statins and placebo or no treatment on eGFR [59]. However, this study reported the eGFR based on data at the end of the study, and the baseline eGFR between statin and placebo groups was not consistent. Furthermore, Shen et al. conducted a meta-analysis of 14 studies and found that the mean changes in albuminuria and urinary excretion rates in the statin group were greater than those in the placebo group, whereas no significant differences were seen between statins and placebo in terms of changes in eGFR, serum

Table 2. Subgroup analysis.

Outcomes	Factors	Subgroup	WMD and 95%Cl	p Value	l ² (%)	p Value for Q statistic	<i>p</i> Value between subgroup
eGFR	Year of publication	Before 2010	0.83 (0.44–1.22)	<.001	98.2	<.001	<.001
eon		2010 or after	0.03 (-1.29 to 1.35)	.966	95.9	<.001	2.001
	Country	Asia	0.57 (-0.98 to 2.13)	.470	0.0	.977	.733
		Other	0.36 (-0.07 to 0.79)	.097	98.7	<.001	
	Sample size	≥100 <100	0.33 (-0.09 to 0.76)	.123	98.9	<.001	.755
	Moon and (vears)	<100 >65.0	1.13 (-0.65 to 2.90) 1.08 (0.78-1.38)	.213 <.001	0.0 93.0	.611 <.001	<.001
	Mean age (years)	≥03.0 <65.0	0.13 (-0.50 to 0.76)	.689	95.0 96.8	<.001 <.001	<.001
	Statin type	Atorvastatin	1.50 (0.65–2.35)	.001	28.0	.208	<.001
		Fluvastatin	-1.91 (-3.66 to -0.16)	.032	0.0	.946	
		Pitavastatin	0.70 (-2.88 to 4.28)	.702	-	-	
		Pravastatin	0.62 (0.19–1.04)	.004	98.8	<.001	
		Rosuvastatin	-0.60 (-2.89 to 1.70)	.611	82.4	.001	
	Follow-up duration	Simvastatin >12.0	3.24 (-4.01 to 10.50) 0.34 (-0.08 to 0.76)	.381 .112	81.6 98.7	.020 <.001	.434
	Follow-up duration	≥12.0 <12.0	1.95 (-1.00 to 4.90)	.112	98.7 14.1	.325	.454
	Study quality	High	0.11 (-0.33 to 0.56)	.615	99.1	<.001	<.001
))	Low	2.09 (1.75–2.43)	<.001	0.0	.834	
Jrinary albumin	Year of publication	Before 2010	-1.65 (-3.20 to -0.11)	.036	99.3	<.001	<.001
excretion rate		2010 or after	-6.56 (-9.90 to -3.22)	<.001	-	-	
	Country	Asia	-4.92 (-9.83 to 0.00)	.050	96.2	<.001	<.001
	Committee of	Other	-0.13 (-4.36 to 4.10)	.951	99.5	<.001	
	Sample size	≥100 <100	0.88 (-3.11 to 4.88)	.665	96.2	<.001	<.001
	Mean age (years)	<100 >65.0	-3.74 (-5.34 to -2.14) -14.60 (-15.74 to -13.46)	<.001 <.001	99.2	<.001 _	<.001
	Mean age (years)	<u>></u> 05.0 <65.0	0.04 (-1.07 to 1.16)	.938	98.4	_ <.001	<.001
	Statin type	Cerivastatin	-6.78 (-8.49 to -5.07)	<.001	-	_	<.001
		Fluvastatin	0.32 (-0.32 to 0.96)	.324	97.9	<.001	
		Pitavastatin	-7.46 (-14.18 to -0.74)	.030	-	-	
		Pravastatin	2.81 (0.04–5.57)	.047	95.1	<.001	
		Rosuvastatin	-6.56 (-9.90 to -3.22)	<.001	-	-	
	Follow up duration	Simvastatin	-2.41 (-27.47 to 22.65)	.850	95.1	<.001	< 001
	Follow-up duration	≥12.0 <12.0	1.63 (0.49–2.78) -9.41 (-15.48 to -3.34)	.005 .002	98.5 97.0	<.001 <.001	<.001
	Study quality	High	2.81 (0.04–5.57)	.002	95.1	<.001	<.001
	study quality	Low	-4.87 (-6.55 to -3.19)	<.001	99.2	<.001	<
Creatinine clearance	Year of publication Country	Before 2010	-0.02 (-0.06 to 0.01)	.185	0.0	.678	<.001
		2010 or after	2.22 (-1.37 to 5.81)	.226	83.5	<.001	
		Asia	-0.30 (-4.96 to 4.36)	.900	76.7	.014	.650
		Other	0.95 (0.39–1.50)	.001	94.9	<.001	
	Sample size	≥100	-0.02 (-0.06 to 0.02)	.292	0.0	.556	.552
	Mean age (years)	<100 >65.0	1.71 (-1.09 to 4.52)	.231	94.4	<.001	
	weatt age (years)	≥03.0 <65.0	0.86 (0.32–1.41)	.002	92.8	_ <.001	—
	Statin type	Atorvastatin	4.80 (3.90–5.70)	<.002	-	-	<.001
		Cerivastatin	-4.00 (-10.85 to 2.85)	.252	_	_	
		Fluvastatin	1.50 (-9.16 to 12.17)	.782	64.7	.059	
		Pravastatin	1.56 (-2.34 to 5.46)	.433	79.7	.026	
		Rosuvastatin	-0.02 (-0.06 to 0.02)	.293	-	_	
	Faller I di	Simvastatin	3.30 (-1.74 to 8.34)	.199	0.0	.869	
	Follow-up duration	≥12.0 <12.0	0.82 (0.26 - 1.37)	.004	95.8	<.001	.153
	Study quality	<12.0 High	1.02 (-3.51 to 5.55) -0.02 (-0.06 to 0.02)	.659 .293	42.5	.157	EF/
	Study quality	High Low	-0.02 (-0.06 to 0.02) 1.48 (-1.25 to 4.21)	.295	_ 93.6	_ <.001	.556
Serum creatinine	Year of publication	Before 2010	-0.14 (-0.54 to 0.26)	.207	97.0	<.001	<.001
		2010 or after	-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.05)	.774	3.8	.374	
	Country	Asia	-0.10 (-0.38 to 0.17)	.447	96.8	<.001	.063
	-	Other	-0.00 (-0.14 to 0.13)	.946	35.4	.212	
	Sample size	≥100	-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.05)	.774	3.8	.374	<.001
		<100	-0.14 (-0.54 to 0.26)	.504	97.0	<.001	
	Mean age (years) Statin type	≥65.0 <65.0	-	- 475	- 04 1	- 001	-
		<65.0 Atorvastatin	-0.07 (-0.25 to 0.12) 0.00 (-0.19 to 0.20)	.475 .989	94.1 67.1	<.001 .081	<.001
		Cerivastatin	0.10 (-0.19 to 0.20) 0.10 (-0.04 to 0.24)	.989 .162	-	.001	<.001
		Fluvastatin	0.00 (-0.13 to 0.13)	1.000	_	_	
		Pravastatin	-0.50 (-0.59 to -0.41)	<.001	_	_	
		Rosuvastatin	-0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04)	.695	0.0	.879	
	Follow-up duration	≥12.0	0.03 (-0.06 to 0.13)	.505	0.0	.551	.004
		<12.0	-0.13 (-0.41 to 0.15)	.360	96.7	<.001	
	Study quality	High Low	0.10 (-0.06 to 0.26)	.208	-	_	.013
			-0.09 (-0.30 to 0.11)	.366	94.7	<.001	

(continued)

Table 2. Continued.

Outcomes	Factors	Subgroup	WMD and 95%Cl	p Value	l ² (%)	p Value for Q statistic	<i>p</i> Value between subgroups
Urinary protein	Year of publication	Before 2010	-1.06 (-1.77 to -0.36)	.003	97.8	<.001	<.001
excretion		2010 or after	0.05 (-0.15 to 0.24)	.621	88.7	<.001	<.001
	Country	Asia	-0.44 (-0.86 to -0.01)	.044	90.5	<.001	.003
		Other	-0.66 (-1.15 to -0.16)	.009	98.4	<.001	
	Sample size	>100	0.08 (-0.23 to 0.38)	.612	90.3	<.001	<.001
		<100	-0.90 (-1.46 to -0.34)	.002	98.1	<.001	
	Mean age (years)	≥65.0	-0.08 (-0.29 to 0.13)	.460	-	_	.479
		<65.0	-0.65 (-1.05 to -0.24)	.002	98.0	<.001	
	Statin type	Atorvastatin	-0.78 (-1.81 to 0.25)	.137	97.4	<.001	<.001
		Fluvastatin	-1.79 (-6.10 to 2.52)	.416	99.5	<.001	
		Pitavastatin	-1.00 (-1.33 to -0.67)	<.001	-	_	
		Pravastatin	-0.16 (-0.41 to 0.08)	.187	84.9	.010	
		Rosuvastatin	0.02 (-0.07 to 0.11)	.666	2.7	.311	
		Simvastatin	0.08 (-0.41 to 0.57)	.747	_	_	
	Follow-up duration	≥12.0	-0.85 (-1.37 to -0.33)	.001	98.5	<.001	.039
		<12.0	-0.20 (-0.78 to 0.37)	.489	95.6	<.001	
	Study quality	High	-0.39 (-0.95 to 0.18)	.182	94.6	<.001	.006
		Low	-0.69 (-1.24 to -0.15)	.012	98.3	<.001	







Figure 4. Comparison of creatinine clearance change between statin and control groups.



Figure 5. Comparison of serum creatinine change between statin and control groups.



Figure 6. Comparison of urinary protein excretion between statin and control groups.

creatinine levels, or blood urea nitrogen levels [60]. However, this study included patients with diabetic nephropathy. In addition, the results of this study are consistent with those of an important meta-analysis in that participants with CKD did not require dialysis, and the effect of statins on eGFR changes was clear compared to that of the control group [61], which suggested that statin therapy may slow CKD progression by ameliorating the eGFR in patients with CKD. However, the evidence of progression to end-stage kidney disease relies on data from the SHARP Study 2010 alone, and the treatment effects of statins on this outcome remain uncertain despite the report of more than 2000 events [62]. Su et al. conducted a meta-analysis of 57 RCTs and found that statins had no significant effect on the risk of kidney failure; nonetheless, statin use could improve the decline of eGFR and proteinuria [63]. However, most studies did not involve patients with CKD. Yan et al. included six RCTs and investigated the role of high-intensity statin therapy in patients with CKD. They pointed out that patients with CKD treated with high-intensity statin therapy had a significantly reduced risk of stroke, although high-intensity statin therapy was not associated with all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction, heart failure, and renal protection [64]. Sanguankeo et al. conducted a meta-analysis of 10 RCTs and found that statins significantly improved eGFR and the beneficial effect was mainly observed for high-intensity statins [65]. However, these studies did not provide comprehensive results regarding renal function, and several published articles were not included in the meta-analysis. Therefore, the current meta-analysis was conducted to clarify the treatment efficacy of statins on renal function in patients with CKD.

The findings of this analysis were not unexpected as statins have been shown to demonstrate pleiotropic effects [15]. Statins, independent of their cholesterollowering effect, could ameliorate endothelial function and reduce inflammatory and fibrogenic processes in the renal interstitium [14], thereby improving renal function. However, we could not find any improvement in eGFR and serum creatinine levels. Trials investigating the effect of statins on kidney function and protein excretion yielded controversial results, with some confirming the renoprotective effect and proteinuria reduction [12] and others showing no effect [66]. In this study, we did not find a significant effect of statins on serum creatinine, although statin use was associated with a high level of urinary albumin excretion compared with that in the control group. However, this result was mainly based on a study conducted by Asselbergs et al. [36], which needed further large-scale RCT verification.

Subgroup analyses found that the beneficial effect of statins was mainly detected for studies published before 2010; studies conducted in other countries; sample size < 100; mean age of patients \geq 65.0 years; the use of ator-vastatin, pitavastatin, or pravastatin; or studies with low quality. The statistical power, type I error in individual trials, and quality of the included studies could explain the above results. Moreover, the baseline eGFR in elderly patients was higher than that in younger patients from several included trials, and the beneficial effects of statins might have been focused on patients with mild CKD [26,43,50]. Additionally, these results could guide further direction for the accurate evaluation of the effects of statins on renal function in patients with CKD.

This study had several limitations. First, in this review, studies that were unpublished or published in a language other than English were not included; this might have led to publication bias. Second, the heterogeneity across included studies was high and not fully interpreted through sensitivity and subgroup analyses. Third, analysis based on data from the study level and individual patient data were not available, and the detailed analyses stratified by patient characteristics were restricted. Finally, this study was not registered, and the transparency of this study was restricted.

Conclusion

We found that patients with CKD treated with statins could experience renal function improvement by lowering the urinary albumin and protein excretions or by increasing creatinine clearance, especially with the use of atorvastatin, pitavastatin, or pravastatin. Further large-scale RCTs should be conducted to assess the long-term effects of statins on renal outcomes in patients with CKD.

Geolocation information

Tianjin Medical University; Tianjin; P.R. China.

Disclosure statement

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant number 71704130 and the Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin under Grant number 18JCQNJC11500.

Data availability statement

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

References

- [1] James MT, Hemmelgarn BR, Tonelli M. Early recognition and prevention of chronic kidney disease. Lancet. 2010;375(9722):1296–1309.
- [2] Coresh J, Byrd-Holt D, Astor BC, et al. Chronic kidney disease awareness, prevalence, and trends among U.S. adults, 1999 to 2000. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2005;16(1): 180–188.
- [3] Stevens LA, Coresh J, Greene T, et al. Assessing kidney function–measured and estimated glomerular filtration rate. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(23):2473–2483.
- [4] de Zeeuw D, Hillege HL, de Jong PE. The kidney, a cardiovascular risk marker, and a new target for therapy. Kidney Int Suppl. 2005;(98):S25–S29.
- [5] Hallan SI, Coresh J, Astor BC, et al. International comparison of the relationship of chronic kidney disease prevalence and ESRD risk. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006; 17(8):2275–2284.
- [6] Chen J, Wildman RP, Gu D, et al. Prevalence of decreased kidney function in Chinese adults aged 35 to 74 years. Kidney Int. 2005;68(6):2837–2845.
- [7] Zhang QL, Rothenbacher D. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in population-based studies: systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2008;8:117.

- [8] K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;39(1):S1–S266.
- [9] Foster MC, Hwang SJ, Larson MG, et al. Cross-classification of microalbuminuria and reduced glomerular filtration rate: associations between cardiovascular disease risk factors and clinical outcomes. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167(13):1386–1392.
- [10] Sharma K, Ramachandrarao S, Qiu G, et al. Adiponectin regulates albuminuria and podocyte function in mice. J Clin Invest. 2008;118(5):1645–1656.
- [11] White SL, Chadban SJ, Jan S, et al. How can we achieve global equity in provision of renal replacement therapy? Bull World Health Organ. 2008;86(3): 229–237.
- [12] Douglas K, O'Malley PG, Jackson JL. Meta-analysis: the effect of statins on albuminuria. Ann Intern Med. 2006;145(2):117–124.
- [13] Fried LF, Orchard TJ, Kasiske BL. Effect of lipid reduction on the progression of renal disease: a meta-analysis. Kidney Int. 2001;59(1):260–269.
- [14] Zoja C, Corna D, Rottoli D, et al. Effect of combining ACE inhibitor and statin in severe experimental nephropathy. Kidney Int. 2002;61(5):1635–1645.
- [15] Geng Q, Ren J, Song J, et al. Meta-analysis of the effect of statins on renal function. Am J Cardiol. 2014; 114(4):562–570.
- [16] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097.
- [17] Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials. 1996;17(1):1–12.
- [18] DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7(3):177–188.
- [19] Ades AE, Lu G, Higgins JP. The interpretation of random-effects meta-analysis in decision models. Med Decis Making. 2005;25(6):646–654.
- [20] Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from different experiments. Biometrics. 1954;10(1):101–129.
- [21] Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. 2002;21(11):1539–1558.
- [22] Tobias A. Assessing the influence of a single study in the meta-analysis estimate. Stata Tech. Bull. 1999;47: 15–17.
- [23] Altman DG, Bland JM. Interaction revisited: the difference between two estimates. BMJ. 2003;326(7382): 219.
- [24] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997;315(7109):629–634.
- [25] Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics. 1994;50(4):1088–1101.
- [26] Nielsen S, Schmitz O, Møller N, et al. Renal function and insulin sensitivity during simvastatin treatment in type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic patients with microalbuminuria. Diabetologia. 1993;36(10): 1079–1086.
- [27] Tonolo G, Ciccarese M, Brizzi P, et al. Reduction of albumin excretion rate in normotensive microalbuminuric type 2 diabetic patients during long-term

simvastatin treatment. Diabetes Care. 1997;20(12): 1891–1895.

- [28] Imai Y, Suzuki H, Saito T, et al. The effect of pravastatin on renal function and lipid metabolism in patients with renal dysfunction with hypertension and hyperlipidemia. Pravastatin and Renal Function Research Group. Clin Exp Hypertens. 1999;21(8):1345–1355.
- [29] Santos AF, Keitel E, Bittar AE, et al. Safety and efficacy of simvastatin for hyperlipidemia in renal transplant recipients: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Transplant Proc. 2001;33(1–2): 1194–1195.
- [30] Nakamura T, Ushiyama C, Hirokawa K, et al. Effect of cerivastatin on urinary albumin excretion and plasma endothelin-1 concentrations in type 2 diabetes patients with microalbuminuria and dyslipidemia. Am J Nephrol. 2001;21(6):449–454.
- [31] Gheith OA, Sobh MA, Mohamed Kel S, et al. Impact of treatment of dyslipidemia on renal function, fat deposits and scarring in patients with persistent nephrotic syndrome. Nephron. 2002;91(4):612–619.
- [32] Nakamura T, Ushiyama C, Hirokawa K, et al. Effect of cerivastatin on proteinuria and urinary podocytes in patients with chronic glomerulonephritis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2002;17(5):798–802.
- [33] Bianchi S, Bigazzi R, Caiazza A, et al. A controlled, prospective study of the effects of atorvastatin on proteinuria and progression of kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;41(3):565–570.
- [34] Fellström B, Holdaas H, Jardine AG, et al. Effect of fluvastatin on renal end points in the Assessment of Lescol in Renal Transplant (ALERT) trial. Kidney Int. 2004;66(4):1549–1555.
- [35] Yasuda G, Kuji T, Hasegawa K, et al. Safety and efficacy of fluvastatin in hyperlipidemic patients with chronic renal disease. Ren Fail. 2004;26(4):411–418.
- [36] Asselbergs FW, Diercks GF, Hillege HL, et al. Effects of fosinopril and pravastatin on cardiovascular events in subjects with microalbuminuria. Circulation. 2004; 110(18):2809–2816.
- [37] Tonelli M, Isles C, Craven T, et al. Effect of pravastatin on rate of kidney function loss in people with or at risk for coronary disease. Circulation. 2005;112(2): 171–178.
- [38] Nakamura T, Sugaya T, Kawagoe Y, et al. Effect of pitavastatin on urinary liver-type fatty acid-binding protein levels in patients with early diabetic nephropathy. Diabetes Care. 2005;28(11):2728–2732.
- [39] Atthobari J, Brantsma AH, Gansevoort RT, et al. The effect of statins on urinary albumin excretion and glomerular filtration rate: results from both a randomized clinical trial and an observational cohort study. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2006;21(11):3106–3114.
- [40] Goicoechea M, de Vinuesa SG, Lahera V, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on inflammatory and fibrinolytic parameters in patients with chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006;17(12):S231–S235.
- [41] Nakamura T, Sugaya T, Kawagoe Y, et al. Effect of pitavastatin on urinary liver-type fatty-acid-binding protein in patients with nondiabetic mild chronic kidney disease. Am J Nephrol. 2006;26(1):82–86.

- [42] Nanayakkara PW, van Guldener C, ter Wee PM, et al. Effect of a treatment strategy consisting of pravastatin, vitamin E, and homocysteine lowering on carotid intima-media thickness, endothelial function, and renal function in patients with mild to moderate chronic kidney disease: results from the Anti-Oxidant Therapy in Chronic Renal Insufficiency (ATIC) study. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167(12):1262–1270.
- [43] Rahman M, Baimbridge C, Davis BR, et al. Progression of kidney disease in moderately hypercholesterolemic, hypertensive patients randomized to pravastatin versus usual care: a report from the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). Am J Kidney Dis. 2008;52(3):412–424.
- [44] Sawara Y, Takei T, Uchida K, et al. Effects of lipid-lowering therapy with rosuvastatin on atherosclerotic burden in patients with chronic kidney disease. Intern Med. 2008;47(17):1505–1510.
- [45] Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on kidney outcomes and cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes: an analysis from the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Am J Kidney Dis. 2009;54(5):810–819.
- [46] Koren MJ, Davidson MH, Wilson DJ, et al. Focused atorvastatin therapy in managed-care patients with coronary heart disease and CKD. Am J Kidney Dis. 2009;53(5):741–750.
- [47] Fassett RG, Robertson IK, Ball MJ, et al. Effect of atorvastatin on kidney function in chronic kidney disease: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Atherosclerosis. 2010;213(1):218–224.
- [48] Fassett RG, Coombes JS, Packham D, et al. Effect of pravastatin on kidney function and urinary protein excretion in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Scand J Urol Nephrol. 2010;44(1):56–61.
- [49] Ruggenenti P, Perna A, Tonelli M, et al. Effects of addon fluvastatin therapy in patients with chronic proteinuric nephropathy on dual renin-angiotensin system blockade: the ESPLANADE trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010;5(11):1928–1938.
- [50] Abe M, Maruyama N, Okada K, et al. Effects of lipidlowering therapy with rosuvastatin on kidney function and oxidative stress in patients with diabetic nephropathy. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2011;18(11):1018–1028.
- [51] Fassett RG, Robertson IK, Ball MJ, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on biomarkers of inflammation in chronic kidney disease. Clin Nephrol. 2014;81(2):75–85.
- [52] Haynes R, Lewis D, Emberson J, et al. Effects of lowering LDL cholesterol on progression of kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;25(8):1825–1833.
- [53] de Zeeuw D, Anzalone DA, Cain VA, et al. Renal effects of atorvastatin and rosuvastatin in patients with diabetes who have progressive renal disease (PLANET I): a randomised clinical trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2015;3(3):181–190.

- [54] Takazakura A, Sakurai M, Bando Y, et al. Renoprotective effects of atorvastatin compared with pravastatin on progression of early diabetic nephropathy. J Diabetes Investig. 2015;6(3):346–353.
- [55] Ohsawa M, Tamura K, Wakui H, et al. Effects of pitavastatin add-on therapy on chronic kidney disease with albuminuria and dyslipidemia. Lipids Health Dis. 2015;14:161.
- [56] Shehata M, Hamza M. Impact of high loading dose of atorvastatin in diabetic patients with renal dysfunction undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. Cardiovasc Ther. 2015;33(2):35–41.
- [57] Yazbek DC, de Carvalho AB, Barros CS, et al. Effect of statins on the progression of coronary calcification in kidney transplant recipients. PLoS One. 2016;11(4): e0151797.
- [58] Kimura G, Kasahara M, Ueshima K, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on renal function in patients with dyslipidemia and chronic kidney disease: assessment of clinical usefulness in CKD patients with atorvastatin (ASUCA) trial. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2017;21(3):417–424.
- [59] Palmer SC, Craig JC, Navaneethan SD, et al. Benefits and harms of statin therapy for persons with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Int Med. 2012;157(4):263–275.
- [60] Shen X, Zhang Z, Zhang X, et al. Efficacy of statins in patients with diabetic nephropathy: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Lipids Health Dis. 2016; 15(1):179.
- [61] Palmer SC, Navaneethan SD, Craig JC, et al. HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) for people with chronic kidney disease not requiring dialysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;5:Cd007784.
- [62] Sharp CG. Study of Heart and Renal Protection (SHARP): randomized trial to assess the effects of lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol among 9,438 patients with chronic kidney disease. Am Heart J. 2010;160(5):785–794.e710.
- [63] Su X, Zhang L, Lv J, et al. Effect of statins on kidney disease outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;67(6):881–892.
- [64] Yan YL, Qiu B, Wang J, et al. High-intensity statin therapy in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2015;5(5): e006886.
- [65] Sanguankeo A, Upala S, Cheungpasitporn W, et al. Effects of statins on renal outcome in chronic kidney disease patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015;10(7):e0132970.
- [66] Baigent C, Landray MJ, Reith C, et al. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin plus ezetimibe in patients with chronic kidney disease (Study of Heart and Renal Protection): a randomised placebocontrolled trial. Lancet. 2011;377(9784):2181–2192.