iScience



Article

Seabird nutrient subsidies enrich mangrove ecosystems and are exported to nearby coastal habitats



Appoo et al., iScience 27, 109404 April 19, 2024 © 2024 The Authors. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.isci.2024.109404

iScience





Seabird nutrient subsidies enrich mangrove ecosystems and are exported to nearby coastal habitats

Jennifer Appoo,^{1,2,5,*} Nancy Bunbury,^{2,3} Sébastien Jaquemet,¹ and Nicholas A.J. Graham⁴

SUMMARY

Eutrophication by human-derived nutrient enrichment is a major threat to mangroves, impacting productivity, ecological functions, resilience, and ecosystem services. Natural mangrove nutrient enrichment processes, however, remain largely uninvestigated. Mobile consumers such as seabirds are important vectors of cross-ecosystem nutrient subsidies to islands but how they influence mangrove ecosystems is poorly known. We assessed the contribution, uptake, cycling, and transfer of nutrients from seabird colonies in remote mangrove systems free of human stressors. We found that nutrients from seabird guano enrich mangrove plants, reduce nutrient limitations, enhance mangrove invertebrate food webs, and are exported to nearby coastal habitats through tidal flow. We show that seabird nutrient subsidies in mangroves can be substantial, improving the nutrient status and health of mangroves and adjacent coastal habitats. Conserving mobile consumers, such as seabirds, is therefore vital to preserve and enhance their role in mangrove productivity, resilience, and provision of diverse functions and services.

INTRODUCTION

Mangrove forests occupy the interface between land and sea, where they play critical roles in sustaining biodiversity and maintaining ecological functions and services.^{1,2} For example, mangrove habitat provides protection against coastal erosion and tidal surges,³ provides essential food, protection, and habitat to numerous taxa during part or all of their life cycles,⁴ and sequesters large amounts of carbon, contributing substantially to climate change adaptation and mitigation.⁵ The provisioning of these functions and services are dependent on the status and health of mangrove forests,⁶ which are increasingly threatened by human activities. Eutrophication, caused by excessive human-derived nutrient inputs, is a major threat for coastal ecosystems, including mangroves.⁷ Nutrient inputs from anthropogenic activities tend to be rich in nitrogen (N) but poor in phosphorus (P), and can reduce mangrove growth, cause death of pneumatophores and soil anoxia,⁸ and increase mortality of mangrove forests.⁹ Although strong links have been documented between human-derived nutrient enrichment and mangrove ecosystem functioning, there has been far less attention on the impacts of mangrove nutrient enrichment by natural sources.

Mobile consumers play a key role in the movement of nutrients across ecosystem boundaries.¹⁰ Seabirds comprise an important group of mobile consumers involved in the transport of nutrients from sea to land, by feeding in oceanic areas and depositing large amounts of guano in their colonies.¹¹ Enriched in both N and P compounds, seabird-derived nutrients enhance primary productivity around their colonies, and in coastal habitats such as coral reefs, resulting in increased growth rate of reef fish^{12,13} and coral, ¹⁴ as well as increased fish biomass.¹² Despite the widespread occurrence of seabirds nesting in mangroves,⁷ much less is known about the influence of seabird-derived nutrients in mangrove ecosystems. Previous studies documented positive relationships between seabird nutrient subsidies and mangrove productivity¹⁵ and nutrient status,¹⁶⁻¹⁸ but these studies only focused on mangroves in northern and central America in proximity to urban centers. How seabird-derived nutrients influence mangrove forests in regions such as the extensive and mangrove-rich Indo-Pacific, and in the absence of human influence, is unknown. Additionally, no studies have explored the vertical transfer of seabird-derived nutrients within mangrove food webs. Tides strongly mediate connectivity of mangroves with adjacent coastal habitats through the exchange of detritus, fauna, and nutrients.¹⁹ Seabird influence may extend beyond mangroves in the coastal seascape; however, the horizontal transfer of seabird-derived nutrients across mangrove boundaries has not been studied. Documenting these relationships and linkages is increasingly important given the accelerated declines in both mangrove habitats²⁰ and seabird populations^{21,22} due to numerous anthropogenic threats.

Here, we examined the contribution, uptake, and transfer of seabird-derived nutrients in mangrove habitats on Aldabra Atoll, in the Southern Seychelles, one of the largest mangrove-nesting seabird colonies in the Indian Ocean. Aldabra hosts the largest area of mangroves in the

²Seychelles Islands Foundation, Victoria, Mahé, Seychelles

¹UMR ENTROPIE, Université de La Réunion, 97744 Saint Denis Cedex 9, La Réunion, France

³Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Cornwall TR10 9FE, UK

⁴Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK

⁵Lead contact

^{*}Correspondence: jennifer.appoo@univ-reunion.fr

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109404





Seychelles archipelago, which supports the largest breeding populations of frigatebirds, *Fregata minor* and *F. ariel*, in the Indian Ocean,²³ as well as one of the largest breeding populations of red-footed boobies *Sula sula* in the region. Frigatebirds and red-footed boobies nest exclusively in mangrove forests around Aldabra's lagoon shores.²⁴ With only a small research station, human impact on Aldabra is minimal, presenting ideal conditions to assess the effects of seabird subsidies in a relatively undisturbed mangrove system. We aimed to answer the following questions: (1) What quantities of marine-derived nutrients are contributed by mangrove-nesting seabirds? (2) Are seabird-derived nutrients transferred to and assimilated by mangroves? (3) Do seabird-derived nutrients alleviate nutrient limitation and reduce nutrient resorption efficiency of mangroves? (4) Are seabird-derived nutrients trophically transferred to mangrove fauna? and (5) Are seabird-derived nutrients in mangroves exported to adjacent coastal habitats?

We achieved this using biogeochemical assays of multiple ecosystem components, including seabird guano, mangrove sediment, leaves, gastropods, crabs, adjacent macroalgae, and seawater, sampled at 10 sites with (n = 5) and without (n = 5) nesting seabirds. Using seabird demography metrics, we find that seabird nutrient subsidies in mangroves can be substantial. Nutrient assays reveal seabird-derived nutrients enrich mangroves and reduce their nutrient limitations, but do not influence their resorption efficiency. Seabird-derived nutrients enrich mangrove invertebrates through trophic transfer and are exported to nearby coastal habitats through tidal flow. By showing that seabird-derived nutrients promote nutrient status and health of mangroves, our results increase understanding about the implications of declines of seabird populations, and suggest that efforts to maintain or restore their populations and breeding grounds should be prioritized.

RESULTS

Seabird nutrient contributions to mangroves are substantial

Red-footed booby guano contained 10.1% \pm 2.92% N and 10.2% \pm 0.87% P (mean \pm SD, n = 4) and frigatebird guano had 10.9% \pm 2.06% N and 10.3% \pm 1.14% P (mean \pm SD, n = 4). Guano nitrogen isotopic values (δ^{15} N) for red-footed booby were 10.8‰ \pm 2.71‰ (mean \pm SD, n = 20) and for frigatebirds 12.9‰ \pm 3.63‰ (mean \pm SD, n = 20). Based on seabird population size and breeding metrics, and guano nutrient concentrations (see experimental procedures), estimated total annual nutrient contributions were higher for red-footed boobies (28.6 N tonne.year⁻¹; 28.9 P tonne.year⁻¹) than for frigatebirds (12.8 N tonne.year⁻¹; 12.0 P tonne.year⁻¹).

Seabird-derived nutrients are transferred and assimilated by mangroves

We recorded mangrove forest metrics at all sites, resulting in a total of 923 surveyed trees, with *R. mucronata* making up 79% of individuals and being the dominant species at all surveyed sites (Table S1). δ^{15} N in *R. mucronata* leaves and mangrove sediment was higher at seabird sites compared to non-seabird sites (mean \pm SD, leaves: seabird = 6.43% $\pm 2.63\%$, non-seabird = 2.74% $\pm 3.57\%$, p = 0.05; sediment: seabird = 6.34% $\pm 0.94\%$, non-seabird = 3.34% $\pm 1.13\%$, p = 0.002; Figures 1D and 1E; Table S2). Nutrient levels in *R. mucronata* leaves were higher in the presence of nesting seabirds (Table S2), for both N (mean \pm SD: seabird = $1.02\% \pm 0.21\%$, non-seabird = $0.73\% \pm 0.09\%$, p < 0.0001) and P (seabird = $0.09\% \pm 0.02\%$, non-seabird = $0.07\% \pm 0.01\%$, p = 0.01; Figures 2A and 2B).

Seabird-derived nutrients reduce mangrove nutrient limitations but not resorption efficiency

Nutrient ratios, an indicator for nutrient limitation, were lower at seabird sites (C:N: seabird = 43.3 ± 8.64 , non-seabird = 58.0 ± 6.95 , p < 0.0001; and C:P: seabird = 524.4 ± 122.6 , non-seabird = 643.2 ± 115.0 , p = 0.031; Figures 2C and 2D). The overall average N:P ratio of mangroves was 11.7 \pm 2.45. Nutrient resorption efficiency was similar between sites with and without seabirds (N resorption efficiency [RE-N]: seabird = $53.5\% \pm 13.1\%$, non-seabird = $59.5\% \pm 7.39\%$, p = 0.15; and P resorption efficiency [RE-P]: seabird = $50.1\% \pm 25.2\%$, non-seabird = $59.2\% \pm 10.9\%$, p = 0.24; Figures 2E and 2F; Table S2).

Seabird-derived nutrients are transferred to mangrove invertebrate food web

 δ^{15} N values were higher at seabird sites compared to non-seabird sites at all trophic levels, including for herbivorous gastropods (seabird = 13.5% \pm 4.64%, non-seabird = 4.45% \pm 3.42%, p < 0.0001; Figure 1C), herbivorous sesarmid crabs (seabird = 12.03% \pm 2.16%, non-seabird = 6.79% \pm 1.47%, p < 0.001; Figure 1B), and omnivorous portunid crabs (seabird = 11.78% \pm 1.09%, non-seabird = 8.32% \pm 1.62%, p < 0.005; Figure 1A).

Seabird-derived nutrients are exported to nearby coastal habitats

Surface seawater in the lagoon had higher NOx (nitrate + nitrite) at seabird sites than non-seabird sites only during outgoing tides (post-hoc tests: p = 0.033; Figure 3A; Table S3), whereas phosphate concentrations were higher at seabird than non-seabird sites during both incoming and outgoing tides (post hoc tests: incoming: p < 0.0001, outgoing: p = 0.0051; Figure 3B; Table S3). Macroalgae Halimeda spp. sampled on substrates adjacent to mangroves had higher $\delta^{15}N$ at seabird than non-seabird sites (seabird = $9.63\% \pm 1.62\%$, non-seabird = $5.98\% \pm 0.91\%$, p = 0.021; Figure 3C).

DISCUSSION

The ecological functions and ecosystem services provided by mangroves are reliant on the status and health of mangrove forests.⁶ It is therefore important to understand mangrove responses to natural processes that influence their status and health. Our study presents insights into







Figure 1. Nitrogen isotopic values in mangrove abiotic and biotic (trophic) components at seabird and non-seabird sites

 δ^{15} N values of portunid crabs *Thalamita crenata* (A), sesarmid crabs *Sesarma leptosoma* (B), gastropods *Littoraria* spp. (C), mangrove leaves *Rhizophora mucronata* (D), and mangrove sediment (E) at seabird and non-seabird breeding sites on Aldabra Atoll. Black points and error bars display predicted means \pm SD of linear mixed models and green and purple points display raw data. Error bars not visible in some cases because of scaling. Trophic level of each biotic component is indicated by the triangular icon in the top right of each plot.

the scale and extent of influence of cross-ecosystem nutrient subsidies on the ecology and function of Indo-Pacific mangroves and in the absence of human influence. Seabirds feed in open ocean and excrete substantial quantities of nutrients in mangrove forests where they nest, similar to other seabird nesting habitats.^{12,25} Mangroves with nesting seabirds were enriched in N and P and relieved of their nutrient limitations, although seabirds did not influence their nutrient resorption efficiencies. Through trophic pathways, seabird-derived nutrients from mangroves to nearby coastal habitats through tidal flow, showing that the influence of nutrient contributions by mangrove-nesting seabirds extends beyond mangrove boundaries. Our study highlights the important roles of mobile consumers such as seabirds in maintaining functional connectivity between oceanic systems and coastal seascapes,²⁶ and in improving the nutrient status of coastal habitats (Figure 4) and isolated island ecosystems. Our study adds more weight and nuance to the growing body of research on the influence of seabirds on highly productive coastal ecosystems.^{27–29}

Oceanic atolls are particularly nutrient deficient as they are isolated from mainland anthropogenic nutrient sources and surrounded by oligotrophic waters. On atolls with seabird colonies, seabirds represent one of the main sources of marine-derived nutrient subsidies.³⁰







Figure 2. Nutrient parameters in mangrove leaves at seabird and non-seabird sites

Nutrient concentrations, %N (A) and %P (B), nutrient ratios C:N (C) and C:P (D), and nutrient resorption efficiencies RE-N (E) and RE-P (F) of mangrove leaves *Rhizophora mucronata* at seabird and non-seabird breeding sites on Aldabra Atoll. Black points and error bars display predicted means ± SD of linear mixed models and green and purple points display raw data. Error bars not visible in some cases because of scaling. RE-N: nitrogen resorption efficiency, RE-P: phosphorus resorption efficiency.

Breeding red-footed boobies and frigatebirds delivered a combined total of 41.4 N tonne.year⁻¹ and 40.9 P tonne.year⁻¹ to Aldabra's mangroves. This represents a minimum estimate since we did not account for non-breeding individuals. Furthermore, due to the bi-annual breeding of red-footed boobies and protracted breeding of frigatebirds,³¹ seabird-derived nutrient subsidies are delivered year-round. Our estimated nutrient inputs are higher than in seabird colonies on Heron Island (16 ha, 9.4 tonne.year⁻¹ N and 1.9 tonne.year⁻¹ P),³² but lower than the inputs from penguin colonies on Macquarie Island (12,785 ha, 239 tonne.year⁻¹ N),³³ and fall within the range estimated for seabird colonies in the tropical Indian Ocean (10–200 tonne. year⁻¹ N and 5–100 tonne.year⁻¹ P).²⁵ The majority of seabirds on Aldabra nest in tall mangrove trees along the northern and eastern lagoon shores.²⁴ Accordingly, seabird nutrient contributions are disproportionately distributed, with Aldabra's southern lagoon shores and terrestrial habitats deprived of seabird-derived nutrient subsidies. Additional sources of marine subsidies on Aldabra include algal wrack from seagrass beds,³⁴ nesting sea turtles,³⁵ and shorebirds,³⁶ all of which contribute mainly to the sandy beach habitat around the atoll. Local upwellings associated to the atoll may also provide nutrient input to Aldabra's coasts.³⁷ Quantifying these additional sources and assessing their influence on the land- and seascape would provide a more holistic overview of marine nutrient budgets and functioning of marine-subsidized ecosystems on the atoll.

The mangrove forests in our study were dominated by *R. mucronata*, a species widespread in the Indo-Pacific region, and the only *Rhizophora* species occurring in the Western Indian Ocean.^{38,39} The higher δ^{15} N concentration found in sediment and leaves at seabird sites confirms that seabird-derived nutrients are transferred to mangroves. Foliar N and P concentrations of *R. mucronata* were 39% and 28% higher, respectively, at seabird sites, providing evidence of seabird-derived nutrient uptake by mangroves. Our results corroborate documented increases in nutrients at seabird sites relative to control sites for coastal *Rhizophora* species in Central and North America, for example *R. mangle* (Belize: +37% N⁴⁰; Honduras: +41% N and +20% P¹⁸; Mexico: +25% N and +17% P¹⁶; Florida: +33% N¹⁵). Mangroves on Aldabra grow in a lagoonal low-lying carbonate environment and do not receive large volumes of terrigenous sediment supply and nutrients compared to mangroves growing in deltas or estuaries.^{41,42} In this nutrient-limiting environment, our results show that seabirds contribute essential macronutrients and improve the nutrient status of mangroves (Figure 4).







Figure 3. Nutrient levels in abiotic and biotic components adjacent to mangroves at seabird and non-seabird sites

Nutrient concentrations in surface seawater, NOx (A) and phosphate (B) during incoming and outgoing tides, and δ^{15} N values of macroalgae Halimeda spp. (C) adjacent to mangroves, at seabird and non-seabird breeding sites on Aldabra Atoll. Black points and error bars display predicted means \pm SD of linear mixed models and green and purple points display raw data. NOx = nitrate + nitrite.

Responses of mangroves to nutrient enrichment are site- and species specific, with the main limiting nutrient of the site governing species nutrient requirement and tolerances.⁴³ Nutrient limitation in mangroves is usually attributed to N or P limitation.⁴⁴ Foliar N:P ratios can be used to infer nutrient limitation,⁴⁵ with N:P ratios >32 indicating P limitation in mangroves.^{7,46} Foliar N:P ratio in our study was 11.7 \pm 2.45 (mean \pm SD), suggesting mangroves in our study are N limited, which is typical for fringe mangroves influenced by frequent tidal flushing.^{7,47} N limitation at our study site is further indicated by the equally low N:P ratios documented in previous analyses on mangrove soil⁴⁸ and lagoonal sediment porewater on Aldabra.⁴⁹ At our seabird sites, uptake of seabird-derived nutrients alleviated mangrove nutrient limitations of both N and P, observed by reductions in foliar C:N and C:P ratios of *R. mucronata*. Nutrient enrichment in N-limited mangroves can generate multiple higher order effects. For example, in Florida, seabird-derived nutrients increased primary production, leaf nutritional value, and herbivory.¹⁵ Similarly, experimental nutrient enrichment in N-limited mangroves has demonstrated increases in shoot biomass and tree growth.⁴³

Resorption of nutrients prior to leaf fall, a process in which nutrients are resorbed from senescent leaves and are directly available for continued plant growth, is a vital nutrient recycling and conservation mechanism in mangroves.^{7,50} When nutrients become available, mangroves reduce their nutrient resorption efficiency.^{51,52} Based on this, we expected reductions in resorption efficiency at our seabird sites compared to non-seabird sites; however, no differences in RE-N or RE-P were detected. Furthermore, given that our study site is N limited, we would expect greater resorption of N compared to P⁵⁰; however, average RE-N values were similar to average RE-P values at both seabird and non-seabird sites. Seabird-derived nutrient enrichment has been documented to reduce mangrove resorption efficiency in Mexico¹⁶ but not in Belize.⁴⁰ Similar contrasting patterns are shown in experimental nutrient enrichment studies, ^{53,54} suggesting internal nutrient cycling by mangroves is complex, regulated by the interacting effects between species-specific physiological capacity to conserve nutrients, ⁵² nutrient demand (through increased growth rate), and supply.^{7,54}

A consequence of nutrient enrichment is that it can reduce stability and resilience of mangrove forests.^{9,55,56} Nutrient enrichment causes plants to invest more in aboveground growth and less in belowground biomass.^{57,58} Furthermore, nutrient availability increases rates of microbial decomposition of organic matter.^{51,59} A forest with lower root biomass and higher decomposition rates is likely to have reduced accretion of sediment organic matter,⁶⁰ resulting in reduced shoreline stability⁵⁵ and increased probability of mangrove death when faced with environmental stressors or events.^{9,56} On this basis, seabird-derived nutrient enrichment has been linked to declines of mangrove cays in Belize.⁴⁰ However, in contrast to Belize, some of the greatest increases in mangrove extent on Aldabra over the past two decades coincided with seabird nesting locations.⁶¹ Furthermore, mangroves on Aldabra are far from urban or agricultural centers compared to Belize, and are therefore not influenced by additional anthropogenic nutrient sources. Natural nutrient sources provide N and P in optimal ratios,^{62,63} generating contrasting responses in coastal habitat structure and functions compared to anthropogenic sources.⁶⁴ In addition, seabirds on Aldabra nest primarily in tall trees in the mangrove fringes, which receive constant tidal flushing, limiting over-enrichment of nutrients.⁹ Altogether, this suggests that seabird-derived nutrient enrichment is unlikely to be causing declines in mangroves on Aldabra. Soil nutrient content is a main driver of mangrove aboveground biomass on Aldabra.⁴⁸ Therefore, by fertilizing mangroves where they nest, seabirds likely enhance mangrove productivity in their breeding areas, further promoting suitable nesting habitat, likely creating a positive-feedback loop for seabird populations.¹⁰ Indeed, both mangroves and mangrove-nesting seabird populations on Aldabra have increased over the last few decades.^{23,61}

Multiple pathways of energy and nutrient flow exist in mangrove food webs due to the wide range of available food sources, such as plankton, benthic microalgae, mangroves, macroalgae, and macrophytes.^{4,65} By assessing the trophic transfer of seabird-derived nutrients, we found that seabirds also enriched the mangrove invertebrate food web. We detected higher δ¹⁵N at seabird sites at all trophic levels, including baseline (sediments), primary producers (mangrove leaves), primary consumers (gastropods and sesarmid crabs), and a secondary consumer (portunid crab; Figure 4). Invertebrate food web enrichment by seabird guano has been documented in other coastal ecosystems such as littoral habitats,⁶⁶ fjords,⁶⁷ and coastal ponds,⁶⁸ but not previously in mangrove forests. Invertebrates are key components of mangrove-associated macrofauna, playing substantial roles in nutrient cycling of detritus.⁷ Further research should explore additional



iScience Article



Figure 4. Summary figure illustrating the transfer of seabird-derived nutrients in mangrove forests

Seabirds forage at sea and deposit nutrient-rich guano in their mangrove breeding colonies. Seabird-derived nutrients enrich mangroves and associated invertebrate fauna, and are exported to adjacent habitats by tidal flow. Nutrient enrichment is indicated by plus (+) signs.

pathways of seabird-derived nutrient flow in mangroves, as well as how seabird nutrient enrichment affects invertebrate trophic structure, interactions, and ecological functions.

Given the absence of anthropogenic influence in Aldabra's lagoon, the higher levels of NOx and phosphate in seawater adjacent to mangroves with seabird colonies can be attributed to seabird guano inputs. Increased levels of seawater nutrients around seabird colonies in remote oligotrophic locations confirm seabird-derived nutrients as an important source of marine productivity.⁶⁹ Indeed, $\delta^{15}N$ levels of macroalgae *Halimeda* spp. growing adjacent to mangroves were also higher compared to non-seabird sites (Figure 3C), similar to other studies examining macroalgae $\delta^{15}N$ enrichment near seabird colonies.^{70,71} These results indicate horizontal transfer of seabird-derived nutrients, from mangroves through tidally mediated nutrient exchange (Figure 4). Nutrients can also be transferred to adjacent coastal habitats (such as coral reefs or seagrass areas) via mangrove leaf litter, which plays a key role in sustaining adjacent marine food webs.⁶⁵ Leaves with higher nutrient content or nutritive value are more rapidly broken down than less nutrient-rich leaves.¹⁵ Seabird-derived nutrients from mangroves may therefore extend to adjacent marine communities through trophic pathways, for example via fish communities from nearby reefs or seagrass beds that utilize mangroves as nursery or feeding habitat.

Mangroves on Aldabra support a wide range of biodiversity and ecosystem service benefits. In addition to supporting large breeding populations of seabirds, Aldabra's mangroves sustain threatened and regionally important populations of numerous marine species. Aldabra's mangroves provide nursery habitat for one of the largest green turtle populations in the region,³⁵ and play a critical role as feeding, breeding, and nursery habitats for numerous bony fish and shark species.⁷² Aldabra's mangroves support the highest biomass of herbivorous fish and the highest abundance of sharks in Seychelles.^{73,74} Furthermore, Aldabra's mangroves comprise one of Seychelles' largest blue carbon ecosystems.⁴⁸ By improving mangrove nutrient status and health, we show that seabirds nesting on Aldabra boost biodiversity and ecosystem services.

Our study provides important insights to nutrient contribution, cycling, and transfer in a system with strong land-sea connectivity and without human stressors. We present critical data to start unraveling the mechanisms and extent of nutrient connectivity in mangroves, linked to the cross-ecosystem ocean-derived subsidies provided by seabirds to islands. Given the critical roles of mobile consumers such as seabirds in maintaining ecosystem health and functions, conservation and management actions should focus on restoring seabird populations and their breeding grounds. Such efforts will present maximum benefits for people and biodiversity at multiple scales.⁷⁵

Limitations of the study

Additional data on mangrove hydro-edaphic characteristics such as salinity, pH, or hydroperiod would help to interpret and strengthen our conclusions. Specifically, salinity strongly influences mangrove growth and development, even under nutrient enrichment.⁷⁶ Hydroperiod, which is the frequency, duration, and depth of water in mangroves, plays an important role in nutrient availability. Nevertheless, we provide seawater nutrient concentrations as evidence for nutrient availability, and previous studies on Aldabra indicate hydroperiod, not salinity, is an important driver for mangrove growth.⁴⁸ Although our study focuses on the impacts of guano contributions, nesting seabirds may also have





physical impacts by defoliating trees.⁷⁷ Incorporating data on physical disturbance, such as the number of defoliated trees, would provide additional insights on seabird impacts in mangroves.

STAR*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - O Lead contact
 - Materials availability
 - O Data and code availability
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Study site
 - O Experimental design
 - O Seabird nutrient contributions
 - Mangrove forest structure
 - Mangrove foliar nutrient analyses
 - O Isotope sampling and analyses
 - O Surface seawater nutrient concentrations
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109404.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Seychelles Islands Foundation staff at head office and on Aldabra Atoll for providing administrative and logistical support during the fieldwork, especially Ervin Ally, Elma Balette, Sebastian Cowin, Nikita Pothin, Michelle Jones, Christopher Jones, Annabelle Cupidon, Guilly Mellie, and Francis Solomon. Funding was provided by the African World Heritage Fund through a Moses Mapesa Research grant to J.A., the PADI Foundation marine research grant to J.A., and the Bertarelli Foundation under the Bertarelli Marine Science Program to N.A.J.G. J.A. was supported by a doctoral fellowship from the Reunion Island Regional Council. Fieldwork was conducted with approval of the Seychelles Bureau of Standards under permit number A0157 and the Seychelles Islands Foundation. Icons for Figure 4 were obtained from University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Integration and Application Network (https://ian.umces.edu/media-library/), Freepik (https://www.freepik.com/), and Birds Caribbean (https://www.birdscaribbean.org/).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: J.A., N.B., N.A.J.G., and S.J.; methodology: J.A. and N.B.; data collection: J.A.; formal analysis: J.A.; writing – original draft: J.A.; writing – review and editing: N.A.J.G., N.B., and S.J.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: November 24, 2023 Revised: January 19, 2024 Accepted: February 29, 2024 Published: March 4, 2024

REFERENCES

- Walters, B.B., Rönnbäck, P., Kovacs, J.M., Crona, B., Hussain, S.A., Badola, R., Primavera, J.H., Barbier, E., and Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2008). Ethnobiology, socio-economics and management of mangrove forests: A review. Aquat. Bot. 89, 220–236. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.aquabot.2008.02.009.
- Worthington, T.A., Andradi-Brown, D.A., Bhargava, R., Buelow, C., Bunting, P., Duncan, C., Fatoyinbo, L., Friess, D.A., Goldberg, L., Hilarides, L., et al. (2020). Harnessing Big Data

to Support the Conservation and Rehabilitation of Mangrove Forests Globally. One Earth 2, 429–443. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.oneear.2020.04.018.

- Ewel, K.C., Twilley, R.R., and Ong, J.E. (1998). Different kinds of mangrove forests provide different goods and services. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. Lett. 7, 83–94.
- Nagelkerken, I., Blaber, S.J.M., Bouillon, S., Green, P., Haywood, M., Kirton, L.G., Meynecke, J.-O., Pawlik, J., Penrose, H.M.,

Sasekumar, A., and Somerfield, P. (2008). The habitat function of mangroves for terrestrial and marine fauna: A review. Aquat. Bot. *89*, 155–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot. 2007.12.007.

- Alongi, D.M. (2020). Global Significance of Mangrove Blue Carbon in Climate Change Mitigation. Science 2, 67. https://doi.org/10. 3390/sci2030067.
- 6. Salem, M.E., and Mercer, D.E. (2012). The Economic Value of Mangroves: A

Meta-Analysis. Sustainability 4, 359–383.

CelPress

OPEN ACCESS

- https://doi.org/10.3390/su4030359. 7. Reef, R., Feller, I.C., and Lovelock, C.E. (2010). Nutrition of mangroves. Tree Physiol. 30, 1148–1160. https://doi.org/10.1093/ treephys/tpq048.
- Mandura, A.S. (1997). A mangrove stand under sewage pollution stress: Red Sea. Mangroves Salt Marches 1, 255–262
- Mangroves Salt Marshes 1, 255–262.
 Lovelock, C.E., Ball, M.C., Martin, K.C., and C Feller, I. (2009). Nutrient Enrichment Increases Mortality of Mangroves. PLoS One 4, e5600. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0005600.
- McInturf, A.G., Pollack, L., Yang, L.H., and Spiegel, O. (2019). Vectors with autonomy: what distinguishes animal-mediated nutrient transport from abiotic vectors? Biol. Rev. 94, 1761–1773. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv. 12525.
- Doughty, C.E., Roman, J., Faurby, S., Wolf, A., Haque, A., Bakker, E.S., Malhi, Y., Dunning, J.B., and Svenning, J.-C. (2016). Global nutrient transport in a world of giants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113, 868–873. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1502549112.
- 12. Graham, N.A.J., Wilson, S.K., Carr, P., Hoey, A.S., Jennings, S., and MacNeil, M.A. (2018). Seabirds enhance coral reef productivity and functioning in the absence of invasive rats. Nature 559, 250–253. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41586-018-0202-3.
- Benkwitt, C.E., Taylor, B.M., Meekan, M.G., and Graham, N.A.J. (2021). Natural nutrient subsidies alter demographic rates in a functionally important coral-reef fish. Sci. Rep. 11, 12575. https://www.nature.com/ articles/s41598-021-91884-y.
- Savage, C. (2019). Seabird nutrients are assimilated by corals and enhance coral growth rates. Sci. Rep. 9, 4284. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-019-41030-6.
- Onuf, C.P., Teal, J.M., and Valiela, I. (1977). Interactions of Nutrients, Plant Growth and Herbivory in a Mangrove Ecosystem. Ecology 58, 514–526. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 1939001
- Adame, M., Fry, B., Gamboa, J., and Herrera-Silveira, J. (2015). Nutrient subsidies delivered by seabirds to mangrove islands. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 525, 15–24. https://doi. org/10.3354/meps11197.
- 17. Irick, D.L., Gu, B., Li, Y.C., Inglett, P.W., Frederick, P.C., Ross, M.S., Wright, A.L., and Ewe, S.M.L. (2015). Wading bird guano enrichment of soil nutrients in tree islands of the Florida Everglades. Sci. Total Environ. 532, 40–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2015.05.097.
- McFadden, T.N., Kauffman, J.B., and Bhomia, R.K. (2016). Effects of nesting waterbirds on nutrient levels in mangroves, Gulf of Fonseca, Honduras. Wetl. Ecol. Manag. 24, 217–229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-016-9480-4.
- Feller, I.C., Lovelock, C.E., Berger, U., McKee, K.L., Joye, S.B., and Ball, M.C. (2010).
 Biocomplexity in Mangrove Ecosystems. Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci 2, 395–417. https://doi.org/10. 1146/annurev.marine.010908.163809.
- Friess, D.A., Rogers, K., Lovelock, C.E., Krauss, K.W., Hamilton, S.E., Lee, S.Y., Lucas, R., Primavera, J., Rajkaran, A., and Shi, S. (2019). The State of the World's Mangrove Forests: Past, Present, and Future. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 44, 89–115. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-environ-101718-033302.
- Paleczny, M., Hammill, E., Karpouzi, V., and Pauly, D. (2015). Population Trend of the World's Monitored Seabirds, 1950-2010.

PLoS One 10, e0129342. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0129342.

- Dias, M.P., Martin, R., Pearmain, E.J., Burfield, I.J., Small, C., Phillips, R.A., Yates, O., Lascelles, B., Borboroglu, P.G., and Croxall, J.P. (2019). Threats to seabirds: A global assessment. Biol. Conserv. 237, 525–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019. 06.033.
- Šúr, M., Bunbury, N., and Van De Crommenacker, J. (2013). Frigatebirds on Aldabra Atoll: population census, recommended monitoring protocol and sustainable tourism guidelines. Bird. Conserv. Int. 23, 214–220. https://doi.org/10. 1017/S0959270913000087.
- Burger, A.E., and Betts, M. (2001). Monitoring populations of Red-footed Boobies Sula sula and frigatebirds Fregata spp. breeding on Aldabra Atoll, Indian Ocean. Bull. Br. Ornithol. Club 121, 236–246.
- Otero, X.L., De La Peña-Lastra, S., Pérez-Alberti, A., Ferreira, T.O., and Huerta-Diaz, M.A. (2018). Seabird colonies as important global drivers in the nitrogen and phosphorus cycles. Nat. Commun. 9, 246. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-017-02446-8.
- Buelow, C., and Sheaves, M. (2015). A birdseye view of biological connectivity in mangrove systems. Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 152, 33–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss. 2014.10.014.
- Young, H.S., Hurrey, L., and Kolb, G.S. (2011). Effects of Seabird-Derived Nutrients on Aquatic Systems. In Seabird Islands, C.P.H. Mulder, W.B. Anderson, D.R. Towns, and P.J. Bellingham, eds. (Oxford University Press), pp. 242–260.
- Kazama, K. (2020). Bottom-Up Effects on Coastal Marine Ecosystems Due to Nitrogen Input from Seabird Feces. Ornithol. Sci. 18, 117. https://doi.org/10.2326/osj.18.126.
- Signa, G., Mazzola, A., and Vizzini, S. (2021). Seabird influence on ecological processes in coastal marine ecosystems: An overlooked role? A critical review. Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 250, 107164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss. 2020.107164.
- Polis, G.A., and Hurd, S.D. (1996). Linking marine and terrestrial food webs: allochtonous input from the ocean supports high secondary productivity on small islands and coastal land communities.pdf. Am. Nat. 147, 396–423.
- Diamond, A.W. (1971). The Ecology of the Sea Birds of Aldabra. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 260, 561–571.
- Staunton Smith, J., and Johnson, C. (1995). Nutrient inputs from seabirds and humans on a populated coral cay. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 124, 189–200. https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps124189.
- Erskine, P.D., Bergstrom, D.M., Schmidt, S., Stewart, G.R., Tweedie, C.E., and Shaw, J.D. (1998). Subantarctic Macquarie Island - a model ecosystem for studying animalderived nitrogen sources using 15 N natural abundance. Oecologia 117, 187–193. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s004420050647.
- Haupt, P. (2019). Reef fish associations with benthic habitats at a remote protected coral reef ecosystem in the Western Indian Ocean, Aldabra Atoll, Seychelles (Rhodes University).
- Pritchard, A., Sanchez, C., Bunbury, N., Burt, A., Currie, J., Doak, N., Fleischer-Dogley, F., Metcalfe, K., Mortimer, J., Richards, H., et al. (2022). Green turtle population recovery at Aldabra Atoll continues after 50 yr of

protection. Endanger. Species Res. 47, 205–215. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr0117

- Pistorius, P.A., and Taylor, F.E. (2008). Population status and conservation of Crab Plovers Dromas ardeola on Aldabra, Indian Ocean. Wader Study Group Bull. 115, 36–40.
- Heywood, K.J., Barton, E.D., and Simpson, J.H. (1990). The effects of flow disturbance by an oceanic island. J. Mar. Res. 48, 55–73. https://doi.org/10.1357/ 002224090784984623.
- Duke, N.C., Lo, E., and Sun, M. (2002). Global distribution and genetic discontinuities of mangroves – emerging patterns in the evolution of Rhizophora. Trees (Berl.) 16, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-001-0141-7.
- Triest, L., Van Der Stocken, T., De Ryck, D., Kochzius, M., Lorent, S., Ngeve, M., Ratsimbazafy, H.A., Sierens, T., Van Der Ven, R., and Koedam, N. (2021). Expansion of the mangrove species Rhizophora mucronata in the Western Indian Ocean launched contrasting genetic patterns. Sci. Rep. 11, 4987. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84304-8.
- Simpson, L.T., Canty, S.W.J., Cissell, J.R., Steinberg, M.K., Cherry, J.A., and Feller, I.C. (2021). Bird rookery nutrient over-enrichment as a potential accelerant of mangrove cay decline in Belize. Oecologia 197, 771–784. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-021-05056-w.
- Castañeda-Moya, E., Rivera-Monroy, V.H., Chambers, R.M., Zhao, X., Lamb-Wotton, L., Gorsky, A., Gaiser, E.E., Troxler, T.G., Kominoski, J.S., and Hiatt, M. (2020). Hurricanes fertilize mangrove forests in the Gulf of Mexico (Florida Everglades, USA). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 4831–4841. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1908597117.
- Worthington, T.A., Zu Ermgassen, P.S.E., Friess, D.A., Krauss, K.W., Lovelock, C.E., Thorley, J., Tingey, R., Woodroffe, C.D., Bunting, P., Cormier, N., et al. (2020). A global biophysical typology of mangroves and its relevance for ecosystem structure and deforestation. Sci. Rep. 10, 14652. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-020-71194-5.
- Feller, I.C., Lovelock, C.E., and McKee, K.L. (2007). Nutrient Addition Differentially Affects Ecological Processes of Avicennia germinans in Nitrogen versus Phosphorus Limited Mangrove Ecosystems. Ecosystems 10, 347–359. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-007-9025-z.
- Alongi, D. (2018). Impact of Global Change on Nutrient Dynamics in Mangrove Forests. Forests 9, 596. https://doi.org/10.3390/ f9100596.
- Güsewell, S. (2004). N : P ratios in terrestrial plants: variation and functional significance. New Phytol. 164, 243–266. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01192.x.
- Lovelock, C.E., Feller, I.C., Ball, M.C., Ellis, J., and Sorrell, B. (2007). Testing the Growth Rate vs. Geochemical Hypothesis for latitudinal variation in plant nutrients. Ecol. Lett. 10, 1154–1163. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1461-0248.2007.01112.x.
- Boto, K., and Wellington, J. (1983). Phosphorus and nitrogen nutritional status of a northern Australian mangrove forest. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 11, 63–69. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps011063.
- Constance, A., Oehri, J., Bunbury, N., Wiesenberg, G.L., Pennekamp, F., A'Bear, L., Fleischer-Dogley, F., and Schaepman-Strub, G. (2022). Soil nutrient content and water

iScience Article



level variation drive mangrove forest aboveground biomass in the lagoonal ecosystem of Aldabra Atoll. Ecol. Indicat. 143, 109292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind. 2022.109292.

- Von Hoyningen-Huene, A.J.E., Schneider, D., Fussmann, D., Reimer, A., Arp, G., and Daniel, R. (2022). DNA- and RNA-based bacterial communities and geochemical zonation under changing sediment porewater dynamics on the Aldabra Atoll. Sci. Rep. 12, 4257. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-07980-0.
- Lin, Y.-M., Liu, X.-W., Zhang, H., Fan, H.-Q., and Lin, G.-H. (2010). Nutrient conservation strategies of a mangrove species Rhizophora stylosa under nutrient limitation. Plant Soil 326, 469–479. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11104-009-0026-x.
- Feller, I.C., Whigham, D.F., O'Neill, J.P., and Mckee, K.L. (1999). Effects of Nutrient Enrichment on within-Stand Cycling in a Mangrove Forest. Ecology 80, 2193–2205.
- 52. Feller, I.C., Whigham, D.F., McKee, K.L., and Lovelock, C.E. (2003). Nitrogen limitation of growth and nutrient dynamics in a disturbed mangrove forest, Indian River Lagoon, Florida. Oecologia 134, 405–414. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00442-002-1117-z.
- Feller, I.C., Lovelock, C.E., and Piou, C. (2009). Growth and Nutrient Conservation in Rhizophora mangle in Response to Fertilization along Latitudinal and Tidal Gradients. Smithsonian Contrib. Mar. Sci. 38.
- Wei, L., Kao, S.-J., and Liu, C. (2019). Mangrove species maintains constant nutrient resorption efficiency under eutrophic conditions. J. Trop. Ecol. 36, 36–38. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0266467419000336.
- Deegan, L.A., Johnson, D.S., Warren, R.S., Peterson, B.J., Fleeger, J.W., Fagherazzi, S., and Wollheim, W.M. (2012). Coastal eutrophication as a driver of salt marsh loss. Nature 490, 388–392. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature11533.
- Feller, I.C., Dangremond, E.M., Devlin, D.J., Lovelock, C.E., Proffitt, C.E., and Rodriguez, W. (2015). Nutrient enrichment intensifies hurricane impact in scrub mangrove ecosystems in the Indian River Lagoon, Florida, USA. Ecology 96, 2960–2972. https:// doi.org/10.1890/14-1853.1.
- Chapin, F.S. (1980). The Mineral Nutrition of Wild Plants. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Systemat. 11, 233–260. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es 11.110180.001313.
- Tilman, D. (1990). Constraints and Tradeoffs: Toward a Predictive Theory of Competition and Succession. Oikos 58, 3. https://doi.org/ 10.2307/3565355.
- Huxham, M., Langat, J., Tamooh, F., Kennedy, H., Mencuccini, M., Skov, M.W., and Kairo, J. (2010). Decomposition of mangrove roots: Effects of location, nutrients, species identity and mix in a Kenyan forest. Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 88, 135–142. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ecss.2010.03.021.
- Hayes, M.A., Jesse, A., Tabet, B., Reef, R., Keuskamp, J.A., and Lovelock, C.E. (2017). The contrasting effects of nutrient enrichment on growth, biomass allocation and decomposition of plant tissue in coastal wetlands. Plant Soil 416, 193–204. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11104-017-3206-0.
- Constance, A., Haverkamp, P.J., Bunbury, N., and Schaepman-Strub, G. (2021). Extent change of protected mangrove forest and its relation to wave power exposure on Aldabra Atoll. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 27, e01564.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2021. e01564.

- Allgeier, J.E., Layman, C.A., Mumby, P.J., and Rosemond, A.D. (2014). Consistent nutrient storage and supply mediated by diverse fish communities in coral reef ecosystems. Global Change Biol. 20, 2459–2472. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/qcb.12566.
- Benkwitt, C.E., Wilson, S.K., and Graham, N.A.J. (2019). Seabird nutrient subsidies alter patterns of algal abundance and fish biomass on coral reefs following a bleaching event. Global Change Biol. 25, 2619–2632. https:// doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14643.
- Allgeier, J.E., Layman, C.A., Montaña, C.G., Hensel, E., Appaldo, R., and Rosemond, A.D. (2018). Anthropogenic versus fish-derived nutrient effects on seagrass community structure and function. Ecology 99, 1792– 1801. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2388.
- 65. Sandoval, L., Mancera-Pineda, J., Leal-Flórez, J., Blanco-Libreros, J., and Delgado-Huertas, A. (2022). Mangrove carbon sustains artisanal fish and other estuarine consumers in a major mangrove area of the southern Caribbean Sea. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 681, 21–35. https:// doi.org/10.3354/meps13910.
- Gagnon, K., Rothäusler, E., Syrjänen, A., Yli-Renko, M., and Jormalainen, V. (2013). Seabird Guano Fertilizes Baltic Sea Littoral Food Webs. PLoS One 8, eó1284. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061284.
- Zmudczyńska-Skarbek, K., Balazy, P., and Kuklinski, P. (2015). An assessment of seabird influence on Arctic coastal benthic communities. J. Mar. Syst. 144, 48–56. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.11.013.
- Vizzini, S., Signa, G., and Mazzola, A. (2016). Guano-Derived Nutrient Subsidies Drive Food Web Structure in Coastal Ponds. PLoS One 11, e0151018. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0151018.
- Lorrain, A., Houlbrèque, F., Benzoni, F., Barjon, L., Tremblay-Boyer, L., Menkes, C., Gillikin, D.P., Payri, C., Jourdan, H., Boussarie, G., et al. (2017). Seabirds supply nitrogen to reef-building corals on remote Pacific islets. Sci. Rep. 7, 3721. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-017-03781-y.
- Rankin, L., and Jones, H. (2021). Nearshore ecosystems on seabird islands are potentially influenced by invasive predator eradications and environmental conditions: a case study at the Mercury Islands, New Zealand. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 661, 83–96. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps13590.
- Benkwitt, C.E., Gunn, R.L., Le Corre, M., Carr, P., and Graham, N.A.J. (2021). Rat eradication restores nutrient subsidies from seabirds across terrestrial and marine ecosystems. Curr. Biol. 31, 2704–2711.e4. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cub.2021.03.104.
- Stevens, J.D. (1984). Life-history and ecology of sharks at Aldabra Atoll, Indian Ocean. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 222, 79–106. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1984.0050.
- 73. Friedlander, A.M., Ballesteros, E., Beets, J., Brown, E.K., Fay, J.M., Haupt, P., Henning, B., Rose, P., and Sala, E. (2015). Biodiversity and ecosystem health of the Aldabra group, Southern Seychelles: Scientific report to the government of Seychelles. Natl. Geogr. Pristine Seas. https://media. nationalgeographic.org/assets/file/ PristineSeasSeychellesScientificReport.pdf.
- Koester, A., Gordó Vilaseca, C., Bunbury, N., Ferse, S.C.A., Ford, A., Haupt, P., A'Bear, L., Bielsa, M., Burt, A.J., Letori, J., et al. (2023). Impacts of coral bleaching on reef fish

abundance, biomass and assemblage structure at remote Aldabra Atoll, Seychelles: insights from two survey methods. Front. Mar. Sci. 10, 1230717. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmars.2023.1230717.

- Sandin, S.A., Becker, P.A., Becker, C., Brown, K., Erazo, N.G., Figuerola, C., Fisher, R.N., Friedlander, A.M., Fukami, T., Graham, N.A.J., et al. (2022). Harnessing island–ocean connections to maximize marine benefits of island conservation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 119, e2122354119. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.2122354119.
- Chen, Y., and Ye, Y. (2014). Effects of Salinity and Nutrient Addition on Mangrove Excoecaria agallocha. PLoS One 9, e93337. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0093337.
- Mulder, C.P.H., Jones, H.P., Kameda, K., Palmborg, C., Schmidt, S., Ellis, J.C., Orrock, J.L., Wait, A., Wardle, D.A., Yang, L., et al. (2011). Impacts of Seabirds on Plant and Soil Properties. In Seabird Islands, C.P.H. Mulder, W.B. Anderson, D.R. Towns, and P.J. Bellingham, eds. (Oxford University Press), pp. 135–176. https://doi.org/10.1093/ acprof.osobl/9780199735693.003.0005.
- 78. R Core Team (2022). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., Debroy, S., and Sarkar, D.; R Core Team (2023). Nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3, 1–162.
- Lenth, R.V. (2023). emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package version 1.8.6.
- Stoddart, D.R., Taylor, J.D., Fosberg, F.R., and Farrow, G.E. (1971). Geomorphology of Aldabra Atoll. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 260, 31–65.
- Hamylton, S., Hagan, A., Bunbury, N., Fleischer-Dogley, F., and Spencer, T. (2018). Mapping the Lagoon at Aldabra Atoll, Western Indian Ocean. Atoll Res. Bull. 619, 45–59.
- Walton, R., Baxter, R., Bunbury, N., Hansen, D., Fleischer-Dogley, F., Greenwood, S., and Schaepman-Strub, G. (2019). In the land of giants: habitat use and selection of the Aldabra giant tortoise on Aldabra Atoll. Biodivers. Conserv. 28, 3183–3198. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01813-9.
- Macnae, W. (1971). Mangroves on Aldabra. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 260, 237–247. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb. 1971.0013.
- Farrow, G.E., and Brander, K.M. (1971). Tidal Studies on Aldabra. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 260, 93–121.
- Haverkamp, P.J., Shekeine, J., De Jong, R., Schaepman, M., Turnbull, L.A., Baxter, R., Hansen, D., Bunbury, N., Fleischer-Dogley, F., and Schaepman-Strub, G. (2017). Giant tortoise habitats under increasing drought conditions on Aldabra Atoll—Ecological indicators to monitor rainfall anomalies and related vegetation activity. Ecol. Indicat. 80, 354–362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind. 2017.05.029.
- Kjeldahl, J. (1883). Neue Methode zur Bestimmung des Stickstoffs in organischen Körpern. Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem. 22, 366–382. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF01338151.
- Riddick, S.N., Dragosits, U., Blackall, T.D., Daunt, F., Wanless, S., and Sutton, M.A. (2012). The global distribution of ammonia





emissions from seabird colonies. Atmos. Environ. X. 55, 319–327. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.atmosenv.2012.02.052.

- Young, H.S., McCauley, D.J., Dunbar, R.B., and Dirzo, R. (2010). Plants cause ecosystem nutrient depletion via the interruption of birdderived spatial subsidies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 2072–2077. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0914169107.
- Kauffman, J.B., and Donato, D.C. (2012). Protocols for the Measurement, Monitoring and Reporting of Structure, Biomass and Carbon Stocks in Mangrove Forests (Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR)). https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor/ 003749.
- Chave, J., Andalo, C., Brown, S., Cairns, M.A., Chambers, J.Q., Eamus, D., Fölster, H., Fromard, F., Higuchi, N., Kira, T., et al. (2005).

Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests. Oecologia 145, 87–99. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00442-005-0100-x.

- Chapin, F.S., and Cleve, K.V. (1989). Approaches to studying nutrient uptake, use and loss in plants. In Plant physiological ecology: field methods and instrumentation (Springer Netherlands), pp. 185–207.
- Donovan, M.K., Adam, T.C., Shantz, A.A., Speare, K.E., Munsterman, K.S., Rice, M.M., Schmitt, R.J., Holbrook, S.J., and Burkepile, D.E. (2020). Nitrogen pollution interacts with heat stress to increase coral bleaching across the seascape. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 5351–5357. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1915395117.
- 94. Caut, S., Angulo, E., Pisanu, B., Ruffino, L., Faulquier, L., Lorvelec, O., Chapuis, J.-L.,

Pascal, M., Vidal, E., and Courchamp, F. (2012). Seabird Modulations of Isotopic Nitrogen on Islands. PLoS One 7, e39125. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0039125.

iScience

Article

- Diamond, A.W. (1979). Dynamic Ecology of Aldabran Seabird Communities. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 286, 231–240.
- 96. Aminot, A., and Kérouel, R. (2007). Dosage automatique des nutriments dans les eaux marines : méthodes en flux continu (Ifremer) (Editions Quae).
- Zuur, A.F., and leno, E.N. (2016). A protocol for conducting and presenting results of regression-type analyses. Methods Ecol. Evol. 7, 636–645. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 2041-210X.12577.



STAR*METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Biological samples		
Mangrove leaves (Rhizophora mucronata)	This study	Table S2
Sediment	This study	Table S2
Gastropod (<i>Littoraria</i> spp.)	This study	Table S2
Sesarmid crab (Sesarma leptosoma)	This study	Table S2
Portunid crab (Thalamita crenata)	This study	Table S2
Macroalgae (Halimeda spp.)	This study	Table S2
Guano (<i>Sula sula</i>)	This study	N/A
Guano (Fregata spp.)	This study	N/A
Deposited data		
Nitrogen, phosphorus and $\delta^{15}N$	This study	Dryad Digital Repository https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.0rxwdbs79
values of seabird guano		
$\delta^{15}N$ of mangrove leaves, sediment, gastropod, sesarmid crab, portunid crab and halimeda	This study	Dryad Digital Repository https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.0rxwdbs79
Nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations in	This study	Dryad Digital Repository https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.0rxwdbs79
mangrove green and brown leaves		
Seawater nutrient concentrations	This study	Dryad Digital Repository https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.0rxwdbs79
Software and algorithms		
R software (version 4.3.0)	R Core Team ⁷⁸	https://www.r-project.org/
R package <i>nlme</i> (version version 3.1-162)	Pinheiro et al. ⁷⁹	https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/nlme/nlme.pdf
R package emmeans (version 1.8.6)	Lenth ⁸⁰	https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/index.html
Custom code to complete all analyses	This study	Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10521660

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jennifer Appoo jennifer.appoo@univ-reunion.fr.

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

- All the raw data has been deposited at Dryad Digital Repository and are publicly available as of the date of publication. DOIs are listed in the key resources table.
- All original code has been deposited on Zenodo and is publicly available as of the date of publication. DOIs are listed in the key resources table.
- Any additional information required for reanalyzing the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

METHOD DETAILS

Study site

Aldabra Atoll (9°24' S, 46°20' E) is part of the Seychelles archipelago (Figure S1). The atoll comprises four main islands (land area 15,500 ha, mean elevation 8 m asl), encircling a large shallow lagoon (20,500 ha) and separated by deep (10–30 m depth) narrow channels.^{81,82} Aldabra's mangroves occur primarily along the lagoon shores, covering 1,720 ha.⁸³ Mangrove habitat on the northern and south-eastern lagoon shores consists of tall trees forming closed canopies, while mangrove areas on Aldabra's southern shores are smaller and scattered (see Table S1).



Seven mangrove species occur, with *Rhizophora mucronata, Bruguiera gymnorhiza, Ceriops tagal* and *Avicennia marina* being the most common.⁸⁴ Mangrove sediments are mainly sand (53%) and loamy sand (26%) and with an average salinity of 8.3 \pm 3.1 (practical salinity scale).⁶¹ Aldabra is influenced by a semi-diurnal meso-tidal regime (range: 2–3 m), resulting in strong tidal currents that drain approximately 75% of the lagoon at low tide through the main channels.^{82,85} Rainfall patterns on Aldabra show marked seasonal variations influenced by monsoon winds. The majority of rain is concentrated between November and April with a mean of 975 mm.year⁻¹, however rainfall does not influence primary productivity of the mangroves.⁸⁶

On Aldabra, great and lesser frigatebirds, *Fregata minor* and *F. ariel* respectively, nest together in four separate colonies, with a combined breeding population estimated at 16,534 pairs (SIF, *unpubl. data*). The red-footed booby *Sula sula* nests together with or outside the frigatebird colonies, totaling 36,720 pairs (SIF, *unpubl. data*). Great frigatebird breeding peaks in October, while lesser frigatebirds and red-footed boobies have two breeding peaks, in June and October, and February and August, respectively (SIF, *unpubl. data*). The distribution of mangrove-nesting seabirds is not uniform, with seabirds found only in mangroves on the northern, eastern and south-eastern lagoon shores.³¹ Mangroves and seabirds on Aldabra are recognized globally, as a Ramsar Wetland Site of International Importance and an Important Bird Area (BirdLife International), respectively. Furthermore, Aldabra's mangroves and seabirds have been strictly protected since designation of the atoll as a Strict Nature Reserve in 1981 and UNESCO World Heritage Site in 1982.

Experimental design

We investigated the effects of breeding seabirds on Aldabra's mangroves during the rainy season and the nesting period of all three species (November 2022 to March 2023). Sampling was conducted at 10 sites comprising five sites with no or low numbers of nesting seabirds (< 20 nests) and five sites with high numbers of nesting seabirds (250–700 nests; Figure S1). Sites were distributed along the lagoon shore around the atoll and situated at least 1 km apart. All sampling sites were located on the seaward fringe of mangroves, which is where the majority of seabirds roost and nests on Aldabra. Within each site, we conducted mangrove measurements within eight quadrats of 5 x 5 m, separated by a minimum of 50 m. Mangrove sampling was conducted at spring low tide when mangroves and intertidal areas were exposed.

Seabird nutrient contributions

To determine the amount of nutrients deposited by mangrove-nesting seabirds, we collected droppings around nests of red-footed boobies and frigatebirds. For the latter, droppings were sampled around nests with big chicks. Given the difficulty of correctly identifying frigatebird species with chicks, the samples were generalized to represent both great and lesser frigatebirds. Droppings were kept cool in the field and then stored frozen in the laboratory until further processing. Total nitrogen (N) content in seabird droppings was obtained by mineralization according to the Kjeldahl method⁸⁷ (Büchi KjelMaster K-375, Switzerland). Total phosphorus (P) was determined by preliminary dry combustion (600°C), then dissolving the residual material in 6 M HCl. P concentration was obtained by extraction using ammonium molybdate and ascorbic acid and measured with a continuous flow colorimeter (Proxima, Alliance Instrument, USA). Nutrient analyses were conducted at the CIRAD laboratory of agronomy in Reunion Island (France). Additional seabird droppings were collected for isotope analysis (see below).

We used N and P concentrations in seabird droppings to estimate the total annual N and P input from breeding seabirds using previously used methods:^{12,88}

Nutrient_{gi} =
$$Q_{gi} \times Dr_i \times \left[(Bd_i \times T_i \times f_i) + \left(\frac{P_i}{2} \times Bd_i \right) \right]$$

where Nutrient_{gi}, t.yr⁻¹ is the annual input per nutrient type and species, Q_{gi} , mg.g⁻¹ is the concentration of N or P measured in seabird droppings for each species, Bd_i is number of breeding adults for that species, T_i is the length of the breeding period (number of days from courtship to chick-rearing, f_i is the proportion of time spent at the colony during breeding to account for absence due to feeding forays and P_i is the productivity of the species (fledged chicks per breeding pair). Defecation rate for red-footed boobies was obtained from Young et al.,⁸⁹ For frigatebirds, we estimated defecation rates based on red-footed booby measurements, scaled allometrically to body size following Staunton-Smith and Johnson,³² and using a median value of the adult body sizes of lesser and great frigatebirds. Values for the length of the breeding period, proportion of time at the colony, productivity and adult body sizes for each species were obtained from Riddick et al.,⁸⁰ Our estimates do not account for nutrient contributions by non-breeding individuals since we lacked data on numbers and diurnal movement patterns.

Mangrove forest structure

We assessed forest characteristics in each quadrat following methods previously employed on Aldabra.⁴⁸ We recorded the species of all trees >2 m in height and measured their diameter at breast height (DBH, 1.3 m height) using a measuring tape, following guidelines for trees with anomalies such as prop roots.⁹⁰ We counted the number of seedlings and used an inclinometer (Suunto PM-5/66 PC, Vantaa, Finland) to measure tree height to the nearest \pm 0.5 m. We applied the allometric equation by Chave et al.,⁹¹ to determine aboveground biomass (ABG) following Constance et al.,⁴⁸ Aboveground biomass (ABG, kg) per tree was obtained from the wood density (ρ , g.cm⁻³), the DBH (D, cm), and height (H, m).

$$ABG = 0.0509 \times \rho \times D^2 \times H$$

iScience Article



Mangrove foliar nutrient analyses

To test the effects of seabird subsidies on mangrove nutrients, we measured leaf nutrient concentrations of the dominant species *R. mucronata.* In each sampling quadrat, we collected six young fully expanded green leaves exposed to the sun and six yellow (senescent) leaves from at least three individual trees. The leaves were pooled per quadrat to form one composite green and yellow leaf sample. Leaves were rinsed with fresh water, oven-dried at 60°C for a minimum of 48 hrs and powdered using a ball mill. Total carbon (C) and N were determined using an elemental-analyser (Elementar Vario Micro Cube, Lancaster University, UK). Total P content was determined by the Olsen-Dabin method using 0.5 M sodium hydrogen carbonate solution with a continuous flow colorimeter (Proxima, Alliance Instrument, USA) at the CIRAD laboratory of agronomy in Reunion Island (France). Sub-samples were obtained for isotope analysis.

We derived foliar nutrient ratios (C:N, C:P mass basis) to explore nutrient limitations to mangrove growth.^{7,16} As an indicator of nutrient cycling, we calculated the nutrient resorption efficiency of N (RE-N) and P (RE-P), which corresponds to the percentage of N or P recovered from senescing leaves prior to leaf fall,^{43,50} based on the equation by Chapin and Cleve:⁹²

 $RE \% = \frac{N \text{ or } P \text{ green leaves} - N \text{ or } P \text{ senescent leaves}}{N \text{ or } P \text{ green leaves}} \times 100$

Isotope sampling and analyses

To assess the transfer of seabird-derived nutrients in mangroves we conducted isotopic analyses on seabird droppings, mangrove sediment and green *R. mucronata* leaves. Surface sediments were sampled by inserting a PVC corer (\emptyset = 22 mm) in the top 2 cm sediment layer at three random positions in each quadrat. To assess the trophic transfer of seabird-derived nutrients to mangrove fauna we conducted isotopic analyses on mangrove invertebrates comprising of gastropods and crabs occupying different trophic levels. As primary consumers, we collected herbivorous gastropods of the genus *Littoraria* from mangrove roots and trunk within each quadrat, and leaf-eating sesarmid crab *Sesarma leptosoma* on mangrove trees at each site. As secondary consumers, we collected omnivorous portunid crab *Thalamita crenata* on the intertidal mudflat adjacent to mangrove forests. We sampled foot muscle from gastropods, body muscle from sesarmid crabs and limb muscle from portunid crabs. We collected macroalgae on rocky outcrops of the intertidal mudflat and within 50 m of the mangrove fringe for isotopic analyses to assess nutrient uptake in the adjacent habitat. We selected macroalgae since they incorporate nutrients over a relatively long period (weeks to months), constituting an ideal proxy for ambient nutrient conditions.⁹³ At each site, we randomly collected thalli of the macroalgae *Halimeda* spp. The latter has proven a good proxy for seabird nutrients since it responds positively to seabird subsidies.⁶³

Isotopic values of seabird-derived nutrients are enriched in nitrogen (δ^{15} N) due to the high trophic position of seabirds in the marine food chain and enrichment of δ^{15} N in seabird guano after deposition.⁹⁴ δ^{15} N is therefore used to trace seabird-derived nutrients in recipient communities.²⁸ All samples for isotopic analyses were dried at 60°C and ground into a homogeneous powder using a ball mill. Isotopic ratios were measured using an Isoprime 100 Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer with international standards IAEA 600, USGS 41 and CH6, at the stable isotope facility at Lancaster University (Lancaster, UK). Accuracy based on internal standards was within 0.1 ‰ SD and selected samples were run in triplicate to further ensure accuracy of readings.

Surface seawater nutrient concentrations

The semi-diurnal tidal fluctuations at Aldabra generate large and rapid changes in water levels, causing strong tidal currents.⁸² Most of the guano inputs by mangrove-nesting seabirds on Aldabra are deposited directly in the water or are washed away during the subsequent high tide when deposited at low tide.⁹⁵ To assess nutrient export from the seabird colonies, we sampled surface seawater in 30-ml containers at each site on two occasions; on the incoming tide while the lagoon fills and on the outgoing tide while the lagoon drains. Samples were collected in duplicate at each location and occasion and treated at 80° C in a drying oven for a minimum of 2.5 hrs. Samples were stored at room temperature in the dark until laboratory analysis. NO_x (nitrate NO₃⁻ + nitrite NO₂⁻) and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) concentrations were determined using an AA3 auto-analyser (Seal Analytical) following the method of Aminot and Kérouel.⁹⁶ Samples were processed at IRD laboratory in Plouzané (France) and had an accuracy of 0.5 μ mol.L⁻¹ and 0.7 μ mol.L⁻¹, for NO_x and PO₄³⁻, respectively.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

We assessed the influence of seabirds on nutrient parameters using univariate tests of differences between seabird and non-seabird sites. We formulated linear mixed models (LMMs) for each nutrient parameter (%N, %P, C:N, C:P, RE-N, RE-P) of mangrove leaves and δ^{15} N for mangrove leaves, sediment, gastropod, crabs and macroalgae with seabird status (seabird/no seabird) as fixed effect and site as random effect. For some parameters, variances were heterogeneous between the predictor levels (i.e., higher within seabird than non-seabird sites), therefore we included the 'Varldent' error structure on seabird status, which allows different variance of predictor levels. We assessed residual spatial autocorrelation of each model by plotting residuals against location and found no spatial autocorrelation in any of these models. This was confirmed by comparing models with and without spatial weights matrix using Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC), and models without had the lowest AIC values. Model diagnostics were performed by plotting residuals against fitted values and explanatory variables to verify assumptions of homogeneity of variance and independence following Zuur and Ieno.⁹⁷





We assessed differences in surface seawater nutrient concentrations separately for NOx and phosphate. We used LMMs with seabird status, including an interaction with tidal regime (incoming/ outgoing) as fixed effects and site as random effect. These models included the 'Varldent' error structure on seabird status. Where significant differences were detected, we performed post-hoc tests using the *emmeans* package.⁸⁰ Model residuals were assessed to verify model assumptions and to confirm there were no spatial dependencies.⁹⁷ All models were formulated using the function 'Ime' from package *nIme*⁷⁹ and analyses were conducted on R version 4.3.0.⁷⁸