BMJ Nutrition, Prevention & Health

Relationship between consumption of high fat, sugar or sodium (HFSS) food and obesity and non-communicable diseases

Sasinee Thapsuwan ^(D), Sirinya Phulkerd ^(D), Aphichat Chamratrithirong ^(D), Rossarin Soottipong Gray ^(D), Nongnuch Jindarattanaporn ^(D), Nutnicha Loyfah ^(D), Natjera Thongcharoenchupong ^(D), Umaporn Pattaravanich ^(D)

ABSTRACT

To cite: Thapsuwan S, Phulkerd S, Chamratrithirong A, *et al.* Relationship between consumption of high fat, sugar or sodium (HFSS) food and obesity and noncommunicable diseases. *BMJ Nutrition, Prevention & Health* 2024;**7**:e000794. doi:10.1136/ bmjnph-2023-000794

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjnph-2023-000794).

Institute for Population and Social Research, Mahidol University, Phuttamonthon, Nakorn Pathom, Thailand

Correspondence to Dr Sirinya Phulkerd; sirinya.phu@mahidol.ac.th

Received 4 October 2023 Accepted 22 January 2024 Published Online First 13 February 2024

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

The objective of this study was to investigate the relationship between high in fat, sugar or salt consumption, obesity and non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in the population in Thailand, and other associated factors. This study used data from participants age 15 years or older from the 2021 Health Behaviour of Population Survey. The final analytical sample included 74894 respondents with complete data. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to investigate the relationship between socio-demographic characteristics, unhealthy food, NCDs and obesity. People with obesity had the significant ORs for sugar-sweetened beverages or SSB (OR 1.208; 95% Cl 1.159 to 1.259), high-fat foods (OR 1.162; 95% CI 1.120 to 1.207) and snacks (OR 1.048; 95% CI 1.004 to 1.093), but had the significant protective ORs for meat products (OR 0.964; 95% CI 0.930 to 0.999), instant food (OR 0.903; 95% CI 0.865 to 0.942) and fast food (OR 0.871; 95% CI 0.831 to 0.913). People with any NCDs had the significant protective ORs for meat products $(p \le 0.001)$, instant food $(p \le 0.05)$ and fast food $(p \le 0.01)$. People with diabetes tended not to drink SSB while people with heart disease tended not to consume SSB and snacks. The findings suggest a need for prevention and control strategies such as promoting healthy snacking behaviour, modifying food environments and paying specific attention to the population most at risk of obesity and NCDs.

INTRODUCTION

Food consumption behaviour particularly foods high in fat, sugar or salt (HFSS) content is known to be associated with poor diet, obesity and diet-related non-communicable diseases (NCDs). A Canadian study found a significant correlation between liking foods high in salt and fat and lower diet quality.¹ There is considerable evidence of a significant relationship between consumption of high fat foods, meats, savoury snacks and sweets and obesity and various NCDs (eg, diabetes) and risk factors for cardiovascular disease.² A balanced intake of fat, sugar and salt could yield substantial savings for direct

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Consuming unhealthy foods inevitably leads to obesity and non-communicable diseases (NCDs).

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- ⇒ The study identified the significant association between specific high in fat, sugar or salt groups and obesity and NCDs.
- ⇒ High consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, high-fat foods and snacks was observed in the study population living with obesity and some NCDs.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

- ⇒ The prevention and control of obesity and NCDs must take into account different socio-demographic characteristics, including the patient's disease.
- \Rightarrow Interventions for modification of the food environment for people at risk of obesity and NCD to encourage healthier eating habits are needed.

healthcare costs.³ Evidence suggests that risk of obesity and diet-related NCDs can be reduced through changing dietary patterns by reducing HFSS food consumption and replacing it with healthier eating.⁴

Dietary risk factors are of particular concern in Thailand, where 82 of every 100000 deaths are due to NCDs.⁵ Thailand has experienced a rising prevalence of obesity and NCDs in the past 30 years.⁶ NCDs remain the number one cause of premature morbidity and mortality in Thailand, and obesity is one of major risk factors of NCDs.⁷ According to the National Health Examination Survey data in 2014 and 2019,⁸ the prevalence of obesity has increased from 37.5% to 42.2%, cardiovascular disease from 43.8% to 56.8%, diabetes from 8.9% to 9.5% and hypertension from 24.7% to 25.4%. The increase was among all socioeconomic groups and in urban and rural populations. A concomitant increase in per capita consumption of sugar from 95 to 125 g/day,⁹ total fat



BMJ Nutrition, Prevention & Health

supply from 67.6 to 69.0 g/day^{10} and sodium on average up to 4352 g/day was observed.¹¹ Sugar, fat and salt intake (kilogram per capita) were significantly associated with obesity¹² and influenced the risk of developing NCDs.¹³¹⁴

There is a concern about the impact of NCDs burden on individual and community health as well as national economies. In 2019, NCDs cost Thailand's economy more than 1.6 trillion baht (US\$50 billion) annually, representing 9.7% of Thailand's gross domestic product (GDP).¹⁵ NCDs treatment and productivity loss due to absenteeism, presenteeism or early withdrawal from the labour force accounted for the loss of 139 billion baht (approximately US\$4 billion), with dramatic impact on population quality of life, socioeconomic development and long-term fiscal sustainability of public services. Investment in cost-effective health policy and clinical interventions for the prevention and control of NCDs can yield a favourable return to investment. For example, implementation of WHO's salt reduction policy package (SHAKE), for over 15 years could yield more than 10 baht return for every 1 baht invested.

As the population in Thailand is ageing,¹⁶ resources required for NCDs treatment will surely increase significantly in the coming years. Treating individuals with NCDs and obesity thus becomes increasingly challenging. Cost-effective solutions need to be developed to appropriately manage these individuals through changing certain behaviours such as unhealthy diets. Information about characteristics of the population living with NCDs and obesity and their food consumption is needed to inform policy decisions.

However, there is a dearth of food consumption data among people living with NCDs and obesity in Thailand at the population level. Most studies in Thailand have examined food consumption in the general population.¹⁷ Therefore, this study investigated the relationship between HFSS consumption and obesity and NCDs in the population who are living with obesity and NCDs, and other associated factors.

METHODS

Study design and participants

This study used data from participants from the 2021 Health Behaviour of Population Survey (HBPS). The HBPS is a nationally-representative population-based household survey on health behaviours administered by the Thailand's National Statistical Office (NSO) of the Ministry of Digital Economy and Society (see online supplemental file 4).

The NSO used a stratified two-stage sampling design to obtain a nationally-representative sample of persons age 15 years or older. The population universe was stratified into four geographical regions (Central, North, Northeast and South) and Bangkok (Metropolis). This study included data from 37 provinces of Thailand (9 sampled provinces for each of the four regions and Bangkok). Within each province, a systematic sampling of 5250 enumeration areas (EA) was conducted, with 2798 EAs in urban and 2452 EAs in rural areas. Within each EA, 16 households for each EA were systematically, randomly selected. Finally, a total of 84000 households, which comprise 44768 and 39232 households in urban and rural areas, respectively, were included in the survey.

This study used the data collected from the general population for assessing self-reported medical diagnosis of obesity and NCDs which mainly included hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and heart disease. The targeted population were in the age of 15 years or older. A structured questionnaire was administered in person by a trained interviewer. An interviewer training workshop was held by the NSO. The training was hands-on and focused on interviewing techniques and data collection using computer tablets. All the interviewers learnt through a step-by-step process using practical exercises and roleplaying to build confidence and proficiency.

A total of 73654 households participated in the survey during 1 February to 31 May 2021. The response rate was 95.2%, with no replacement. The final analytical sample comprised 74894 respondents with complete data (see table 1 and online supplemental table S1).

Variables

In this study the outcome measures are obesity and NCDs, and the independent variables are unhealthy foods consisting of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB), high-fat foods, snacks, meat products, instant food and western fast food. Covariate variables were used in the analysis such as sex, age, marital status, place of residence, education and income. This study focused on major diet-related

Table 1 Numbe	r of sampled households and respon	dents (age 15 years or older)	
		Number of final analy	rtical sample
Region	Sampled households	Households	Respondents
Bangkok	4000	3242	9722
Central	26608	22037	22802
North	18048	16298	13510
Northeast	20528	19168	19466
South	14816	12909	9394
Total	84000	73654	74894

NCDs which are hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and heart disease. $^{18\,19}$

Measurement

Dependent variables: obesity and NCDs

Each respondent was asked 'Have you ever been diagnosed by a doctor as having any of the following non-communicable diseases (hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia and heart disease)?' Response options were 'yes' (1) or 'no' (0). If the response was yes, the respondent was then asked to tell the name(s) of the disease(s). Self-reported data on height and weight of each respondent was collected for Asia-Pacific body mass index (Asia-Pacific BMI) calculation. BMI is an anthropometric index that is commonly used as a simple index to classify overweight and obesity.²⁰ Obesity in this study was defined as a BMI=25 kg/m² or above.²¹

Independents variables Unhealthy foods

Each respondent was asked that during the past 30 days, how often did s/he consume each of the following food groups: SSBs (such as soft drink, tea, coffee, energy drink, juice with sugar, beauty drink), high-fat foods (such as curry with coconut milk and baked foods such as cake, donuts, cookies), snacks (such as potato chips, fried fish strips, crispy corn, wafer, crispy seaweed), meat products (such as bacon, sausage, ham, meat balls, sour pork, pickled fish, salted fish), instant food (such as instant noodles, instant porridge) and western fast food (such as pizza, sandwich, hamburger, fried chicken)? Frequency of consumption was grouped into six categories: none, 1-3 days per month, every day, 5-6 days per week, 3-4 days per week and 1-2 days per week. This study grouped the first and second categories in 'not normally consume (0)' and the remaining categories in 'normally consume'(1).

Controlled variables

Sex: male (0) and female (1).

Age: 15–29 (0), 30–44 (1), 45–59 (2) and 60 years or older (3).

Marital status: single (0), married (1) and widowed/divorced/separated (2).

Place of residence: rural (0) and urban (1).

Education: lower than primary school (0), primary school (1), secondary school (2) and bachelor's degree or higher (3).

Monthly baht cash income: low income (less than 3000 (0)), middle income (3000–7000 (1)), high income (7001–13 000 (2)) and highest income (13001 or above (3)).

Statistical analysis

This study analysed the relationship between obesity and NCDs, and unhealthy food consumption in the population age 15 years or older in Thailand. Descriptive analysis was used to describe each of the independent variables. Pearson's χ^2 test was conducted to calculate the relationship between these variables. Binary logistic regression

models were created to examine the adjusted OR and its 95% CI for the association between obesity and NCDs (hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and heart disease) and unhealthy food controlled for sex, age, marital status, place of residence, education and income. Five logistic regression models were developed during the analysis for each of the five dependent variables, namely Model 1 for obesity, Model 2 for hypertension, Model 3 for diabetes, Model 4 for dyslipidaemia and Model 5 for heart disease. An observed relationship with a p value of 0.05 or less (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Prevalence of obesity and NCDs, and link of sociodemographic characteristics and unhealthy food consumption with obesity and NCDs

Table 2 (see online supplemental table S2) presents the characteristics of respondents who had obesity or NCDs. Of the total 74894 respondents nearly 30% were obese. Considering the type of NCDs, 20% had hypertension, 10% had diabetes, 10% had dyslipidaemia and 2% had heart disease.

Obesity and NCDs were more prevalent among respondents who were woman, older, married, lived in rural area, had primary school education and had the low income. Around 30% of respondents with obesity consumed SSB, high-fat foods, processed foods, snacks, instant food and western fast food. From 10% to 20% of respondents with NCDs consumed SSB, high-fat foods, snacks, processed foods, snacks, instant food and western fast food.

Statistically significant relationships were found between most socio-demographic variables (sex, age, marital status, education, income) and obesity and all types of NCDs. Place of residence was found to be associated only with hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidaemia. There was also a statistically-significant relationship between consumption of all unhealthy food groups and obesity and NCDs.

Association of socio-demographic characteristics and unhealthy food consumption with obesity and NCDs

Table 3 (see online supplemental table S3) shows a model for classifying variables according to the disease that the sample is to see the relationship between independent variables and disease. The results from the binary logistic regression analysis shows the adjusted OR of socio-demographic characteristics and unhealthy foods in relation to obesity and having hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and heart disease. The analysis shows that women had significant ORs for obesity (OR 1.117; 95% CI 1.080 to 1.155), hypertension (OR 1.196; 95% CI 1.146 to 1.248), diabetes (OR 1.304; 95% CI 1.235 to 1.377) and dyslipidaemia (OR 1.480; 95% CI 1.401 to 1.564) than men. People who were at age 60 years or older, were widowed/divorced/separated and lived in urban areas had significant ORs for hypertension, diabetes,

NameNa							Prevalen	Prevalence of NCDs	s																
N N		Prevale	nce of ob	esity			Hyperter	noist			Di	betes				Dys	ipidaemia				Heart dis	ease			
Met Met <th></th> <th>z</th> <th></th> <th>%</th> <th></th> <th>۵.</th> <th>z</th> <th></th> <th>%</th> <th></th> <th>z</th> <th></th> <th>%</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>z</th> <th></th> <th>%</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>z</th> <th></th> <th>%</th> <th></th> <th></th>		z		%		۵.	z		%		z		%			z		%			z		%		
100101 </th <th>Variables</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> <th>value</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> <th>Yes</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Yes</th> <th></th> <th>valt</th> <th></th> <th>No</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> <th>P value</th> <th></th> <th>40</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> <th>P value</th>	Variables	Yes	No	Yes	No	value	Yes	No	Yes				Yes		valt		No	Yes	No	P value		40	Yes	No	P value
91 91<	Total	21906	52 988			I	15271	59623	20.4							7468			06			3332	2.1	97.9	I
10010101011	Sex																								
111 <th1< td=""><td>Male</td><td>9040</td><td>23185</td><td></td><td>71.9</td><td></td><td>5808</td><td>26416</td><td>18</td><td>82</td><td>26(</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>N</td><td>2545</td><td></td><td></td><td>92.1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1597</td><td>1.9</td><td>98.1</td><td></td></th1<>	Male	9040	23185		71.9		5808	26416	18	82	26(N	2545			92.1			1597	1.9	98.1	
yyy <th< td=""><td>Female</td><td>12866</td><td>29.803</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9.463</td><td>33207</td><td>22.2</td><td>77.8</td><td>486</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4916</td><td></td><td></td><td>88.5</td><td></td><td></td><td>1 735</td><td>2.2</td><td>97.8</td><td></td></th<>	Female	12866	29.803				9.463	33207	22.2	77.8	486					4916			88.5			1 735	2.2	97.8	
cmlcm	Pearson χ^2	39.135				***	194.937					7.042			***	267.	701				5.185				*
12601001	Age group (years)																								
111 <th1< td=""><td>15-29</td><td>2232</td><td>9123</td><td>19.7</td><td>80.3</td><td></td><td>68</td><td>11285</td><td>0.6</td><td>99.4</td><td>41</td><td>113</td><td></td><td></td><td>10</td><td>38</td><td>1131</td><td></td><td>99.7</td><td></td><td></td><td>1326</td><td>0.2</td><td>99.8</td><td></td></th1<>	15-29	2232	9123	19.7	80.3		68	11285	0.6	99.4	41	113			10	38	1131		99.7			1326	0.2	99.8	
111 <th1< td=""><td>30-44</td><td>5503</td><td>12919</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>776</td><td>17646</td><td>4.2</td><td>95.8</td><td>418</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>N</td><td>453</td><td>17.97</td><td></td><td>97.5</td><td></td><td></td><td>8319</td><td>0.6</td><td>99.4</td><td></td></th1<>	30-44	5503	12919				776	17646	4.2	95.8	418				N	453	17.97		97.5			8319	0.6	99.4	
ordio 050 113 011 013 </td <td>4559</td> <td>8215</td> <td>15833</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4642</td> <td>19408</td> <td>19.3</td> <td>80.7</td> <td>23.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>225(</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>90.6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3651</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>98.3</td> <td></td>	4559	8215	15833				4642	19408	19.3	80.7	23.				-	225(90.6			3651	1.7	98.3	
number100 <th< td=""><td>60 years or older</td><td>5956</td><td>15113</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9785</td><td>11284</td><td>46.4</td><td>53.6</td><td>475</td><td></td><td>22</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>4727</td><td></td><td></td><td>77.6</td><td></td><td></td><td>0 036</td><td>4.9</td><td>95.1</td><td></td></th<>	60 years or older	5956	15113				9785	11284	46.4	53.6	475		22		-	4727			77.6			0 036	4.9	95.1	
Interfacie304103104 <t< td=""><td>Pearson χ^2</td><td>789.972</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>***</td><td>14538.17</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>32.034</td><td></td><td></td><td>***</td><td>5987</td><td>.954</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1247.218</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>***</td></t<>	Pearson χ^2	789.972				***	14538.17	4				32.034			***	5987	.954				1247.218				***
36432031136369646080780380	Marital status																								
1 1	Single	3264	12208		78.9		696	14 503	6.3	93.7	355				N	502	1497(96.8			5356	0.7	99.3	
outditationed 010 040 02 040 <t< td=""><td>Married</td><td>14629</td><td>31340</td><td></td><td>68.2</td><td></td><td>9.319</td><td>36 65 1</td><td>20.3</td><td>79.7</td><td>4.7</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(</td><td>4.60</td><td></td><td></td><td>06</td><td></td><td></td><td>5024</td><td>2.1</td><td>97.9</td><td></td></t<>	Married	14629	31340		68.2		9.319	36 65 1	20.3	79.7	4.7				(4.60			06			5024	2.1	97.9	
m ⁷ 6433 1 420.05 1 420.05 1 420.16 1	Widowed/ divorced/ separated	4013	9440	29.8			4.983	8469	37	8	2.3				01	2.35			82.5			2952	3.7	96.3	
Interfacie102626270768920151048013043166161616161616110262617018107068021510420717171717171101720162127038042017156410480571680571471717110172015712204713754717171717171717110172108137056107137207171717171717110177171717171717171717171711017814705814705814715717171717171711017814705814705814717171717171717110178147058147171717171717171717171717171101781470581470581481581	Pearson χ^2	646.393				***	4200.760					10.789			***	1637	.566				312.161				***
1026 262 30 717 60 717 716 60 717 740 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 2 940 940 2 940	Place of residence																								
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	Urban	10.289					6989	28125		80.1	329					3.35			90.5			84 403	2	98	
0006 154 164 164 164 164 164 1016 1	Rural	11617	28.162				8.282	31 498	20.8	79.2	420				_	4.11			89.6			8929	2.1	97.9	
305 65.4 7.3 6.4 7.3 7.3 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 7.1 7.4 <th< td=""><td>Dearson χ^2</td><td>0.085</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9.594</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>645</td><td></td><td></td><td>***</td><td>13.7</td><td>22</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.146</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Dearson χ^2	0.085					9.594					645			***	13.7	22				1.146				
3055 653 264 730 373 2760 374 176 379 470 1715 38 8109 173 867 713 867 134 136 <td>Education</td> <td></td>	Education																								
8169 1706 313 601 7103 18972 27.2 3513 2561 135 665 2624 632 25381 27 6800 1036 27.4 105 242 2096 17.3 1105 1105 1105 240 242 2095 17.3 249 25.4 1330 54	Lower than primary school	3055	8536	26.4	73.6		4.331	7260			210				6	1998		17.2	82.8			1151	3.8		
6800 1636 294 0.6 2242 2026 1.1 1.12 2041 4.8 5.2 1.6 1.8 1	rimary school	8169	17905				7103	18972	27.2		35.				10	345(86.8	-		5381	2.7		
3882 1017 7.4 156 1301 5.4 9.6 9.5 1307 1377 1.3 11931 11 <td>Secondary school</td> <td>6800</td> <td>16368</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.242</td> <td>20926</td> <td>9.7</td> <td></td> <td>112</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>A:</td> <td>116</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>95</td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td>2 928</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Secondary school	6800	16368				2.242	20926	9.7		112				A:	116			95	·		2 928	-		
113.831 515.7.03 515.7.03	Bachelor's degree or higher	3.882	10179				1595	12 465	11.3		75				(0	852	1320		93.9			3872	1.3		
mth) 5962 15.4 7.4 641 15190 29.7 70.3 3276 18.255 15.2 84.8 3043 18559 14.1 8559 20 65 2096 32 96.8 5016 12.16 29.2 70.8 77 1978 15199 11.5 88.5 11.2 88.5 11.2 88.6 378 167.99 22 97.8 5406 12.16 29.2 70.8 13.4 86.6 10.94 17.54 64 171 1717 1717 64 93.6 13.4 96.7 97.8<	Pearson χ^2	119.831				***	5157.089					39.974			***	1856	0.044				370.725				***
5962 15.4 7.4 6411 15190 29.7 70.3 32.7 18.325 15.2 84.8 3043 18559 14.1 85.9 696 2096 3.2 96.8 5016 12.16 29.2 708 1322 23 77 1978 15199 11.5 88.5 11.2 88.5 11.2 88.5 11.2 11.7	Income (baht per mon	ith)																							
10-7000 5016 12.16 29.2 70.8 51.9 17.1 19.7 19.2 15.55 11.2 88.8 37.8 16.790 2.2 97.8 3 001 5466 12883 29.8 70.2 15.89 13.4 86.6 1094 17254 6 94 1717 1717 64 93.6 18112 1.3 98.7 001 or 5462 12.305 30.7 93.3 2.455 15.312 13.8 86.2 1171 1717 64 93.6 18.12 13. 98.7 001 or 5462 12.305 30.7 93.3 2.455 15.312 13.8 86.2 1171 1717 64 93.6 14.9 95.7 001 or 5462 12.305 16.5 13.8 165.6 6.6 93.4 1330 164.36 7.5 92.5 1715 14.7 96.7 10.1 10.1 17.1 17.1 1717 16.3	Low (less than 3000)	5962	15.64	27.6			6411	15190	29.7	70.3	32.				~	3043			85.9			906 0	3.2	96.8	
-3 000 5466 1283 29.8 70.2 2450 1589 13.4 86.6 1094 17254 6 94 1171 17177 6.4 93.6 13.8 98.7 001 or 5462 12305 30.7 69.3 15.312 13.8 86.2 1182 16586 6.6 93.4 1330 16436 7.5 92.5 251 17515 1.4 98.6 01 or 5462 12305 80.2 138 86.2 1182 16586 6.6 93.4 1330 16436 7.5 92.5 251 17515 1.4 98.6 50.15 30.7 69.3 2.455 153 130 16436 7.5 92.5 251 17515 1.4 98.6 50.156 2254.224 1232.437 822.031 233.663 7.5 233.663 7.5 95.051 7.5 95.6 7.4 95.6 96.6 96.6	Middle (3000–7000)	5016	12.16	29.2			3955	13222	23	22	19.				10	1924			88.8			6 7 9 9	2.2	97.8	
001 or 5462 12305 30.7 69.3 2.455 15312 13.8 86.2 1182 16586 6.6 93.4 1330 16436 7.5 92.5 251 17515 1.4 98.6 50.156 m 2254.224 m 1232.437 m 822.031 m 233.863	High (7001–3 000)	5466	12883				2450	15899	13.4	86.6	105			94		117			93.6			8112	1.3	98.7	
50.156 *** 2254.224 *** 1232.437 *** 822.031 *** 233.863	Highest (13 001 or above)	5462	12 305		69.3		2.455	15312	13.8	86.2	118					133(92.5			7515	1.4	98.6	
	Pearson χ^2	50.156				***	2254.224					32.437			***	822.	031				233.863				***

ට

Table 2 Cont	Continued																							
						Prevalent	Prevalence of NCDs	S																
	Prevale	Prevalence of obesity	sity			Hypertension	sion				Diabetes	ŷ				Dyslipidaemia	emia			He	Heart disease			
	z		%			z		%			z		%		1	z	0	%		z		%		
Variables	Yes	No	Yes	No	value	Yes	No	Yes	No	P value Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	value	Yes N	No Y	Yes N	No P	P value Yes	s No	Yes	No	P value
Sugar-sweetened beverages	erages,																							
Not normally consume 4549	e 4549	12599	26.5	73.5		5205	11 944	30.3	69.7		2815	14334	16.4	83.6		2425 1	14723 1	14.1 8	85.9	591	16557	7 3.4	96.6	
Normally consume	17357	40.389	30.1	6.9		10 066	47 679	17.4	82.6		4715	53030	8.2	91.8		5043 5	52702 8	8.7 9	91.3	970	56775	5 1.7	98.3	
Pearson χ^2	79.620				***	1358.800				***	993.966				***	430.800			***		202.200			***
High-fat foods																								***
Not normally consume 6.095	e 6.095	16.154	27.4	72.6		5218	17 031	23.5	76.5		2595	19655	11.7	88.3		2438 1	19811 1	11 8	89	596	21653	3 2.7	97.3	
Normally consume	15811	36.834	30	70		10.053	42.592	19.1	80.9		4935	47709	9.4	90.6		5030 4	47614 9	9.6	90.4	965	51679	9 1.8	98.2	
Pearson χ^2	52.645				***	183.025				***	90.585				***	34.272			***		54.808			***
Snacks																			***	*				***
Not normally consume 17133	e 17133	40470	29.7	70.3		13417	44 187	23.3	76.7		6523	51080	11.3	88.7		6456 5	51148 1	11.2 8	88.8	1410	0 56192	2 2.4	97.6	
Normally consume	4773	12518	27.6	72.4		1854	15436	10.7	89.3		1007	16284	5.8	94.2		1012 1	16277 5	5.9 9	94.1	151	17140	0.0	99.1	
Pearson χ^2	29.366				***	1294.204				***	444.863				***	423.822			***		161.546			***
Meat products																								
Not normally consume 7491	e 7491	17674	29.8	70.2		5864	19300	23.3	76.7		2715	22 450	10.8	89.2		3107 2	22 057 1	12.3 8	87.7	670	24493	3 2.7	97.3	
Normally consume	14415	35314	29	71		9407	40.323	18.9	81.1		4815	44914	9.7	90.3		4.362 4	45368 8	8.8	91.2	891	48839	9 1.8	98.2	
Pearson χ^2	4.918				*	198.105				***	22.472				***	237.941			***		62.092			* **
Instant food																								
Not normally consume 17508	e 17508	40550	30.2	69.8		13543	44516	23.3	76.7		6652	51407	11.5	88.5		6600 5	51457 1	11.4 8	88.6	1401	11 56656	3 2.4	97.6	
Normally consume	4398	12438	26.1	73.9		1728	15107	10.3	89.7		878	15957	5.2	94.8		868 1	15968 5	5.2 9	94.8	160	16676	-	66	
Pearson χ^2	102.663				***	1371.485				***	562.280				***	561.297			***		136.833			* **
Western fast food																								
Not normally consume 18842	e 18842	44373	29.8	70.2		13749	49 465	21.7	78.3		6670	56545	10.6	89.4		6790 5	56424 1	10.7 8	89.3	1420	0 61794	4 2.2	97.8	
Normally consume	3064	8615	26.2	73.8		1522	10158	13	87		860	10819	7.4	92.6		678 1	11 001 5	5.8 9	94.2	141	11538	3 1.2	98.8	
Pearson χ^2	60.764				***	462.480				***	110.762				***	267.739			***	* 52.147	147			***
*P value≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.00. NCD, non-communicable disease.	'p≤0.00. lisease.																							

Obesity Hypertension Diat Variables OR (95% CI) OR (95% CI) Diat Sex (Ref=male) 1.117 (1.080 to 1.155)** 1.196 (1.146 to 1.248)*** 1.30 Age group (years) (Ref=15-29) 30-44 1.483 (1.396 to 1.576)** 5.338 (4.931 to 8.146)*** 5.42 Age group (years) (Ref=15-29) 30-44 1.483 (1.396 to 1.559)** 50.488 (70.730 to 115.766)*** 5.01 Age group (years or older 1.4491 (1.300 to 1.599)*** 50.488 (70.730 to 115.766)*** 5.01 Anried 1.4404 (1.320 to 1.494)*** 1.552 (1.462 to 1.347)*** 1.10 Married 1.4404 (1.320 to 1.494)*** 1.552 (1.462 to 1.326)*** 1.10 Widowed/ 1.404 (1.320 to 1.494)*** 1.592 (1.462 to 1.327)*** 1.10 Urban 1.016 (0.983 to 1.051) 1.266 (1.213 to 1.320)*** 1.10 Urban 1.016 (0.983 to 1.051) 1.266 (1.213 to 1.320)*** 1.10 Viroured 1.208 (1.149 to 1.271)** 0.772 (0.720 to 0.828)*** 0.786 Viroured 1.208 (1.195 to 1.337)** 1.100 0.772 (0.720 to 0.828)*** 0.786	Diabetes	Model 4	Model 5
o Cl) 146 to 1.248)*** 931 to 8.146)*** 22.808 to 37.235)*** 70.730 to 115.766)*** 150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 815 to 0.934)***		Dyslipidaemia	Heart disease
146 to 1.248)*** 931 to 8.146)*** 0.730 to 115.766)*** 150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 213 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 815 to 0.934)***	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
146 to 1.248)*** 931 to 8.146)*** 0.730 to 115.766)*** 150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 223 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)			
931 to 8.146)*** 22.808 to 37.235)*** 70.730 to 115.766)*** 150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 649 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)	1.304 (1.235 to 1.377)***	1.480 (1.401 to 1.564)***	1.010 (0.906 to 1.126)
931 to 8.146)*** 22.808 to 37.235)*** 70.730 to 115.766)*** 150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 649 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 815 to 0.934)***			
22.808 to 37.235)*** 70.730 to 115.766)*** 150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 223 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 815 to 0.934)***	5.428 (3.904 to 7.548)***	6.714 (4.793 to 9.405)***	2.072 (1.336 to 3.214)**
70.730 to 115.766)*** 150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 923 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 815 to 0.934)***	21.075 (15.287 to 29.056)***	25.207 (18.123 to 35.062)***	5.400 (3.557 to 8.198)***
150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 923 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 815 to 0.934)***	50.082 (36.277 to 69.139)***	67.538 (48.494 to 94.061)***	13.881 (9.129 to 21.109)***
150 to 1.347)*** 462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 923 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 815 to 0.934)***			
462 to 1.735)*** 213 to 1.320)*** 923 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)	1.784 (1.589 to 2.003)***	1.235 (1.115 to 1.367)***	1.160 (0.942 to 1.427)
213 to 1.320)*** 923 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 746 to 0.843)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)	1.907 (1.687 to 2.156)***	1.355 (1.214 to 1.512)***	1.302 (1.042 to 1.627)*
213 to 1.320)*** 923 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.843)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)			
923 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)	1.128 (1.071 to 1.189)***	1.081 (1.025 to 1.139)**	1.126 (1.013 to 1.253)*
923 to 1.023) 720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 746 to 0.843)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)			
720 to 0.828)*** 649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 746 to 0.843)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)	1.002 (0.941 to 1.066)	1.039 (0.975 to 1.107)	0.985 (0.869 to 1.117)
649 to 0.766)*** 818 to 0.907)*** 746 to 0.843)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)	0.868 (0.795 to 0.948)**	0.925 (0.837 to 0.999)*	0.905 (0.756 to 1.084)
818 to 0.907)*** 746 to 0.843)*** 815 to 0.934)*** 953 to 1.045)	0.788 (0.708 to 0.877)***	0.821 (0.739 to 0.913)***	0.981 (0.794 to 1.212)
 9) 0.861 (0.818 to 0.907)*** 57)** 0.793 (0.746 to 0.843)*** 16)*** 0.873 (0.815 to 0.934)*** 1ally consume) 19)*** 0.998 (0.953 to 1.045) 			
r7)** 0.793 (0.746 to 0.843)*** 66)*** 0.873 (0.815 to 0.934)*** nally consume) 59)*** 0.998 (0.953 to 1.045)	0.883 (0.829 to 0.941)***	0.938 (0.879 to 1.001)	0.829 (0.728 to 0.944)**
6)*** 0.873 (0.815 to 0.934)*** ally consume) 5)*** 0.998 (0.953 to 1.045)	0.740 (0.683 to 0.800)***	0.851 (0.787 to 0.920)***	0.768 (0.654 to 0.902)**
ally consume) 9)*** 0.998 (0.953 to 1.045)	0.893 (0.818 to 0.975)*	1.058 (0.971 to 1.153)	0.810 (0.677 to 0.969)*
:9)*** 0.998 (0.953 to 1.045)			
ich-fat foods (Ref=not normally consume)	0.824 (0.779 to 0.872)***	1.073 (1.013 to 1.137)*	0.872 (0.780 to 0.976)*
Normally consume 1.162 (1.120 to 1.207)*** 1.136 (1.086 to 1.188)*** 1.05	1.055 (0.998 to 1.116)	1.225 (1.157 to 1.296)***	0.984 (0.881 to 1.098)
Snacks (Ref=not normally consume)			
Normally consume 1.048 (1.004 to 1.093)* 0.975 (0.917 to 1.038) 1.11	1.111 (1.028 to 1.200)**	1.109 (1.027 to 1.198)**	0.771 (0.644 to 0.923)**

6

Table 3 Continued					
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
	Obesity	Hypertension	Diabetes	Dyslipidaemia	Heart disease
Variables	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Normally consume	Normally consume 0.964 (0.930 to 0.999)*	0.901 (0.863 to 0.942)***	1.018 (0.963 to 1.075)	0.761 (0.721 to 0.803)***	0.813 (0.730 to 0.906)***
Instant food (Ref=not normally consume)	normally consume)				
Normally consume	Normally consume 0.903 (0.865 to 0.942)*** 0.855 (0.803	0.855 (0.803 to 0.910)***	0.899 (0.830 to 0.974)**	0.918 (0.847 to 0.995)*	0.845 (0.709 to 1.006)
Western fast food (Re	Western fast food (Ref=not normally consume)				
Normally consume	Normally consume 0.871 (0.831 to 0.913)***	0.908 (0.850 to 0.970)**	1.076 (0.992 to 1.167)	0.798 (0.731 to 0.871)***	0.902 (0.753 to 1.082)
Cox and Snell R^2	0.02	0.198	0.091	0.089	0.017
*P value≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001. NCD, non-communicable disease; R	*P value≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001. NCD, non-communicable disease; Ref. reference.				

dyslipidaemia and heart disease. People aged 45–59 years had the highest OR for obesity.

For the education variable, primary and secondary education had the highest ORs for obesity (OR 1.277; 95% CI 1.205 to 1.353), and those in secondary and bachelor and over educations had significant protective ORs for hypertension (OR 0.705; 95% CI 0.649 to 0.766), diabetes (OR 0.788; 95% CI 0.708 to 0.877) and dyslipidaemia (OR 0.821; 95% CI 0.739 to 0.913). People with low and middle incomes had significantly protective ORs against hypertension (OR 0.861; 95% CI 0.818 to 0.907), diabetes (OR 0.883; 95% CI 0.829 to 0.941) and heart disease (OR 0.829; 95% CI 0.728 to 0.944) compared with had high income. Those in the middle and highest income had significant ORs for obesity (OR 1.085; 95% CI 1.035 to 1.137; and OR 1.134; 95% CI 1.076 to 1.196, respectively). Those with middle and highest income had significant protective ORs for hypertension (OR 0.793; 95% CI 0.746 to 0.843), diabetes (OR 0.740; 95% CI 0.683 to 0.800) and dyslipidaemia (OR 0.851; 95% CI 0.787 to 0.920). There was a strong association between people who were age 45-59 years, married, had secondary school education and earned a monthly high and highest income more than 7000 baht and obesity (p≤0.001).

There was a statistically significant association between consumption of some unhealthy foods and obesity and having hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and heart disease. A significant association was observed between consumption of all unhealthy foods and obesity. People with obesity had significant ORs of consuming SSB, high-fat foods and snacks, but had significant protective ORs for meat products, instant food and western fast food.

People with NCDs had significant ORs for SSB and high-fat foods ($p \le 0.001$), and significant protective ORs for meat products, instant food and western fast food $(p \le 0.001)$. Those with hypertension had significant ORs for high-fat foods (OR 1.136; 95% CI 1.086 to 1.188), but had significant protective ORs for meat products (OR 0.901; 95% CI 0.863 to 0.942), instant food (OR 0.855; 95% CI 0.803 to 0.910) and western fast food (OR 0.908; 95% CI 0.850 to 0.970). Those with diabetes had significant protective ORs for SSB (OR 0.824; 95% CI 0.779 to 0.872) and instant food (OR 0.899; 95% CI 0.830 to 0.974), but had significant OR for snacks (OR 1.111; 95% CI 1.028 to 1.200). Those with dyslipidaemia had the highest ORs for SSB (OR 1.073; 95% CI 1.013 to 1.137), snacks (OR 1.109; 95% CI 1.027 to 1.198) and fat (OR 1.225; 95% CI 1.296) while they had significant protective ORs for meat (OR 0.761; 95% CI 0.721 to 0.803), instant foods (OR 0.918; 95% CI 0.847 to 0.995) and western foods (OR 0.798; 95% CI 0.731 to 0.871). Those with heart disease had significant protective ORs for SSB (OR 0.872; 95% CI 0.780 to 0.976), snacks (OR 0.771; 95% CI 0.644 to 0.923) and meat products (OR 0.813; 95% CI 0.730 to 0.906).

DISCUSSION

Analysis of data from a national, cross-sectional study of food consumption found statistically-significant associations between HFSS consumption and obesity and NCDs in the population in Thailand. Prevalence of obesity and NCDs varied with different socio-demographic characteristics. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to analyse the relationship between obesity and NCDs and consumption of different HFSS groups using the largestscale population-based survey data in Thailand.

Overall prevalence of obesity in Thailand was 29.2% while overall prevalence of major NCDs—hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and heart disease were 20.4%, 10.1%, 10.0% and 2.1%, respectively. Thailand had the higher prevalence of obesity and diabetes than in many countries in Southeast Asia such as Bangladesh (25.9% and 8.3%, respectively) and Nepal (28.8% and 5.8%, respectively).²² However, Thailand had low prevalence of hypertension, dyslipidaemia and heart disease compared with the two countries.²³ The differing results among countries may be due to demographic and/or socio-cultural differences as well as methodological differences such as differences of time or differences of sampling.

The relationship between unhealthy diet and obesity has been well-established. However, to our knowledge, this is the first study to analyse the influence of consumption of specific food groups on people with diet-related illnesses in Thailand. This analysis indicates that people with obesity had the high significant ORs for SSB, high-fat foods and snacks and had the significant protective ORs for meat products, instant food and western fast food. This finding may be explained by the definition of snacking behaviour in the survey, as consumption of foods and drinks between meals.²⁴ Some studies confirmed the role of snacking in obesity. Individuals with obesity were found to consume snacks more frequently than people without obesity.²⁵ Snacking was also linked with skipping a meal, which often includes foods with meat products, instant food and western fast food. Several studies found that people with higher snacking frequency tended to skip meals or have less frequent consumption of meals.²⁶⁻²⁹ Therefore, there is a need for modification of food environments of people at risk of obesity to encourage healthier eating behaviour. It is also important to take into account that obesity is highly complex. There are many physiological adaptions that happen in obesity leading the body to fight weight loss. Obesity cannot simply be resolved alone by advising people to 'eat less and exercise more'. Multicomponent policies and actions for tackling this complex disease are thus needed.

Unhealthy diet is considered as one of major risk factors of NCDs.³⁰ Overall in this study, a statistically-significant association was found between HFSS consumption and NCDs. This is not surprising. There is clear evidence regarding the contribution of unhealthy consumption to an occurrence of metabolic syndrome which includes hypertension, dyslipidaemia and disturbed metabolism of glucose or insulin³¹ which can lead to increased risk of

developing diabetes and cardiovascular disease, as well as chronic respiratory diseases and cancer.^{32 33} The present study confirms this finding that people with obesity and some NCDS had the significant protective OR for some certain HFSS products. People with obesity and hypertension, for example, had the protective ORs for meat products, instant food and western fast foods. These HFSS are rich in highly processed meat and refined carbohydrate, sodium and fat which can lead to increased risks of obesity and hypertension.^{34 35} Therefore, dietary modification such as low-fat foods, and small quantities or reduced consumption of red meat, high sodium foods, high-energy dense foods, is commonly recommended for people with those diseases. The Dietary Approach to Stop Hypertension diet, for example, is one among recommended treatments for NCDs by increasing consumption of fruits, vegetables, low-fat dairy products, whole grains, lean meats and fish and reducing consumption of sugar sweetened desserts and beverages.³⁶ However, the study population with certain NCDs tended to consume more SSB, snacks and high-fat foods. This highlights that unhealthy snacking may be a common dietary behaviour among this population. The findings suggest a need for limiting unhealthy snacking to reduce and control risk of NCDs, together with promotion of healthy snacking, taking into consideration context, such as socio-demographic characteristics, convenience and price.

Obesity and NCDs varied according to sociodemographic characteristics of the sample population. Obesity was observed in people who were woman, aged 45-59 years, married, had a higher level of education and had a higher income. This could be partly due to an unhealthy labour/working environment that can cause late working hours or overwork, perhaps contributing to unhealthy daily routines such as eating on the run, sedentary behaviour or inadequate sleep.³⁷ Having higher socioeconomic status and greater risk of obesity may be explained by increased access to surplus/excess foods, and/or a lower level of engagement in manual labour occupations.³⁸ By contrast, people living with any of the study NCDs tended to be woman, age 60 years or older, widowed/divorced/separated, had a lower education and had a lower monthly income. This may be explained by the nature of NCDs which are commonly slow in progression, and, thus, more prevalent later in life.³⁹ NCDs can cause a significant burden, especially those with low socioeconomic status.⁴⁰ Those in low socioeconomic status have higher risk in accessing or affording preventative services and treatment as compared with their counterparts in high socioeconomic status. This can cause a delay in seeking care and lead to late diagnosis, further aggravating their economic vulnerability and a cycle of poverty and illness. The findings suggest that obesity and NCDs deserve closer attention by the Thailand government, particularly through a perspective of health equity and social determinants of health.

There are some limitations of this study. First, misclassification of food groups consumed may have occurred due to the nature of self-reported data. NCDs were also self-reported based on previous diagnoses. Respondents may exaggerate diseases or consumption in order to make them seem worse, or they may under-report diseases or consumption due to social desirability bias. Respondents might also simply be mistaken or misremember the questions in the survey. Individual height and weight were also self-reported, and thus could have influenced BMI values. Therefore, this self-report could have reduced the validity and reliability of the survey tools, and then have biased the results. Second, validity of the tool in this study was assessed through consultative meetings with experts and the pretest process of the NSO to review its clarity, comprehensibility and appropriateness for the population. Reliability of the tool was not assessed, which is a major limitation. Many validated food frequency questionnaires had a formal assessment to test both content validity and reliability before data collection. Third, the food categories in the study were considered less healthy or unhealthy as they are often high in sugar, fat and sodium according to the Department of Health's recommendation. However, it is possible that respondents could have reported that they consumed certain items that fell into one of the 'unhealthy or less healthy' categories even though the items they were referring to could be considered 'healthy' (eg, lean meat products, unprocessed nutrient-dense snack foods). Therefore, careful consideration is needed to interpret the results accurately. Fourth, the results of this study may have been insufficient to provide concrete evidence on the direct effect of HFSS consumption on obesity and NCDs. Future research using a longitudinal design or a cohort study is needed to elucidate any causal relationships. A strength of this study is that it used data from a population-based survey, which can be considered nationally-representative of the population in Thailand.

CONCLUSIONS

The prevalence of obesity and NCDs is fairly high in the population in Thailand, and varies with socio-demographic characteristics. This may warrant special attention from the government for specific subpopulations. The study identified the strong association between specific HFSS groups and obesity and NCDs. High consumption of SSB, high-fat foods, and snacks was observed in the study population living with obesity and some NCDs. This suggests the need for interventions related to snacking behaviour by limiting unhealthy snacking and promoting healthier snacking, such as through modification of the food environment. Prevention and control strategies should also consider the population most exposed to obesity and NCDs, taking into account different socio-demographic characteristics. However, additional research is needed to confirm direct effect of HFSS consumption on obesity and NCDs.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the National Statistical Office (NSO) for approving the use of data from the 2021 Health Behaviour of Population Survey (HBPS).

Contributors ST, SP, AC, RSG and UP: conceptualisation. ST: formal analysis. ST and SP: Investigation. ST, SP, AC, RSG and UP: Methodology. ST and SP: Writing—original draft. ST, SP, AC, RSG. UP, NJ, NL and NT: Writing—review and editing.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study was exempt from review by Institutional Review Board of Institute for Population and Social Research of Mahidol University (COE. No. 2023/03-044) because the study is non-human subject research. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the Institutional requirements. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed by Professor NP Steyn, UCT, South Africa.

Data availability statement No data are available.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Sasinee Thapsuwan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7967-2779 Sirinya Phulkerd http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9373-3120 Aphichat Chamratrithirong http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5094-8770 Rossarin Soottipong Gray http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9877-8253 Nongnuch Jindarattanaporn http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6241-7851 Nutnicha Loyfah http://orcid.org/0009-0002-6445-600X Natjera Thongcharoenchupong http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6281-7241 Umaporn Pattaravanich http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3750-9172

REFERENCES

- Carbonneau E, Lamarche B, Provencher V, et al. Liking for foods high in salt and fat is associated with a lower diet quality but liking for foods high in sugar is not – Results from the PREDISE study. Food Qual Prefer 2021;88:104073.
- 2 Green R, Milner J, Joy EJM, et al. Dietary patterns in India: a systematic review. Br J Nutr 2016;116:142–8.
- 3 Meier T, Senftleben K, Deumelandt P, et al. Healthcare costs associated with an adequate intake of sugars, salt and saturated fat in Germany: a health econometrical analysis. PLoS One 2015;10:e0135990.
- 4 Paris JMG, Falkenberg T, Nöthlings U, et al. Changing dietary patterns is necessary to improve the sustainability of Western diets from a one health perspective. *Sci Total Environ* 2022;811:151437.
- 5 Department of Disease Control. Report: situation on NCDs, diabetes, hypertension and related risk factors 2019. Bangkok Aksorn graphic and design publishing limited partnership; 2019.
- 6 Tongdee S. An association between obesity and cardiovascular risk Desease among Healthcare workers in Somdejphrajotaksin hospital. *Phrae Medical Journal and Clinical Sciences* 2019;27:38–50.
- 7 Akhter N, Begum K, Nahar P, et al. Risk factors for noncommunicable diseases related to obesity among first- and secondgeneration Bangladeshi migrants living in north-east or south-east England. Int J Obes (Lond) 2021;45:1588–98.
- 8 Aekplakorn W. The 6th Thai National Health Examination Survey. Aksorn graphic and design publishing limited partnership: Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital; 2021.

BMJ Nutrition, Prevention & Health

- 9 Department of Disease Control. *Excessive Sugar*. Bangkok: National Office Of Buddhism printing, 2011.
- 10 Knoema. Thailand total fat supply. 2023. Available: https://knoema. com/atlas/Thailand/topics/Agriculture/Food-Supply-Fat-Supplygcapitaday/Total-fat-supply
- 11 Department of Disease Control. Strategy to reduce salt and sodium intake in Thailand 2016-2025; 2016.
- 12 Dasgupta R, Pillai R, Kumar R, et al. Sugar, salt, fat, and chronic disease epidemic in India: is there need for policy interventions? *Indian J Community Med* 2015;40:71–4.
- 13 Gupta RK, Kumari R, Hussain S, et al. A cross-sectional study to evaluate awareness about Noncommunicable diseases among rural adolescents in North West India. J Dent Allied Sci 2018;7:60.
- 14 Amerzadeh M, Takian AH. Reducing sugar, fat, and salt for prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) as an adopted health policy in Iran. *Mjiri* 2020;34:136.
- 15 UN Interagency Task Force on NCDs. Prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases in Thailand the case for investment. place unknown Ministry of Public Health; 2023.
- 16 Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia. Population ageing in Thailand: lessons from one of the most aged ASEAN member states. ERIA research project report. Jakarta, Indonesia Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia; 2021.
- 17 Social Statistics Division. Health Behaviour of Population Survey 2021. Statistical Forecasting Division: National Statistical Office; 2021.
- 18 Peng W, Chen S, Chen X, et al. Trends in major non-communicable diseases and related risk factors in China 2002–2019: an analysis of nationally representative survey data. The Lancet Regional Health -Western Pacific 2023:100809.
- 19 Grosso G, Di Cesare M. Dietary factors and non-communicable disease risk in Europe: evidence for European nutritional guidelines? *Eur J Public Health* 2021;31.
- 20 World Health Organization (WHO). Body mass index (BMI). 2023. Available: https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/topicdetails/GHO/body-mass-index?introPage=intro_3.html [Accessed 07 Jul 2023].
- 21 World Health Organization (WHO). Body mass index-BMI. 2023. Available: euro.who.int/ en/health-topics/disesase-prevention/ nutrition/a-healthy-lifestyle/body-mass-index-bmi [Accessed 07 Jul 2023].
- 22 World Health Organization (WHO). National STEPS survey for noncommunicable diseases risk factors in Bangladesh 2018; 2018.
- 23 Dhimal M, Bista B, Bhattarai S, et al. Noncommunicable disease risk factors: STEPS survey Nepal 2019. Kathmandu, Nepal Nepal Health Research Council; 2020.
- 24 Chaplin K, Smith AP. Definitions and perceptions of Snacking. *Curr Top Nutraceutical Res* 2011;9:53.

- 25 Aljefree NM, Shatwan IM, Almoraie NM. Impact of the intake of snacks and lifestyle behaviors on obesity among university students living in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2022;10:400.
- 26 Savige G, Macfarlane A, Ball K, et al. Snacking behaviours of adolescents and their association with skipping meals. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2007;4:1–9.
- 27 Sjöberg A, Hallberg L, Höglund D, et al. Meal pattern, food choice, nutrient intake and lifestyle factors in the Göteborg adolescence study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003;57:1569–78.
- 28 Howarth NC, Huang TT-K, Roberts SB, et al. Eating patterns and dietary composition in relation to BMI in younger and older adults. Int J Obes (Lond) 2007;31:675–84.
- 29 Nicklas TA, Myers L, Reger C, et al. Impact of breakfast consumption on nutritional adequacy of the diets of young adults in Bogalusa, Louisiana: ethnic and gender contrasts. J Am Diet Assoc 1998;98:1432–8.
- 30 World Health Organization (WHO). Noncommunicable disease; 2023.
- 31 Alberti KGMM, Zimmet P, Shaw J, et al. The metabolic syndrome--a new worldwide definition. Lancet 2005;366:1059–62.
- 32 Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, *et al.* Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American heart association/national heart, lung, and blood institute scientific statement. *Circulation* 2005;112:2735–52.
- 33 Agyei-Mensah S, de-Graft Aikins A. Epidemiological transition and the double burden of disease in Accra, Ghana. J Urban Health 2010;87:879–97.
- 34 Shi Z, Papier K, Yiengprugsawan V, et al. Dietary patterns associated with hypertension risk among adults in Thailand: 8-year findings from the Thai cohort study. *Public Health Nutr* 2019;22:307–13.
- 35 Wang L, Manson JE, Buring JE, *et al.* Meat intake and the risk of hypertension in middle-aged and older women. *J Hypertens* 2008;26:215–22.
- 36 Suri S, Kumar V, Kumar S, et al. DASH dietary pattern: a treatment for non-communicable diseases. Curr Hypertens Rev 2020;16:108–14.
- 37 Kim B-M, Lee B-E, Park H-S, et al. Long working hours and overweight and obesity in working adults. Ann Occup Environ Med 2016;28:36.
- 38 Dinsa GD, Goryakin Y, Fumagalli E, et al. Obesity and socioeconomic status in developing countries: a systematic review. Obes Rev 2012;13:1067–79.
- 39 World Health Organization (WHO). Assessing national capacity for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases: report of the 2019 global survey; 2020.
- 40 World Health Organization (WHO). Noncommunicable disease and poverty: the need for pro-poor strategies in the Western Pacific region: a review; 2007.