RESEARCH NOTE

Open Access

Impacts of *FcyRIIB* and *FcyRIIIA* gene polymorphisms on systemic lupus erythematous disease activity index



Mansoor Karimifar¹, Khosro Akbari¹, Reza ArefNezhad², Farshid Fathi³, Mohammad Moosaeepour⁴ and Hossein Motedayyen^{5*}

Abstract

Objective: Systemic lupus erythematous (SLE) disease is a chronic autoimmune disease with unknown etiology that can involve different organs. Polymorphisms in Fcy receptors have been identified as genetic factors in susceptibility to SLE. This study was aimed to investigate effects of two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within *FcyRIIB* and *FcyRIIIA* genes on systemic lupus erythematous disease activity index (SLEDAI) in an Iranian population.

Results: Our findings indicated TT and GG genotypes were the common genotypes of FcyRIIB and FcyRIIB SNPs in SLE patients, respectively. There were no significant differences in genotype and allele frequencies of FcyRIIB and FcyRIIB and FcyRIIB SNPs in SLE and healthy subjects. However, the frequencies of genotypes and alleles of FcyRIIB and FcyRIIA SNPs were significantly associated with some clinical manifestations used to determine SLEDAI (P < 0.001-0.5).

Keywords: Systemic lupus erythematous, Fcy receptor IIB, Fcy receptor IIIA, SLE disease activity index, Polymorphism

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a systemic autoimmune disease with unknown etiology described by the lack of immunological tolerance to self-antigens, atypical T-and B-cell reactions with the production of antibodies against self-antigens [1–4]. Genetic, environmental, and hormonal factors play important roles in disease susceptibility [5]. Genetic variations in the genes involved in immune functions contribute to the development of autoimmune disorders [1]. Thus, immune receptor genes such as *fragment crystallizable (Fc) receptor (FcR)* and *programmed cell death 1 (PDCD1)* have always been candidate genes in polymorphism studies [6, 7].

⁵ Autoimmune Diseases Research Center, Shahid Beheshti Hospital, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, 5th Kilometer of Ravand Road, Kashan, Iran

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



FcRs on the leukocytes bind to the FC region of antibodies and enhance some functions, including phagocytosis of antibody-antigen complexes and generation of signals regulating cell activities [8]. Fc gamma receptor (FCyR) plays an important role in phagocytosis of antigens opsonized with complement component. Based on its hybrid desire, it is divided into three groups (FcyRI or CD64, FcyRII or CD32, and FcyRIII or CD16), which each of them exerts different functions [9, 10]. The genes coding FcyRII (CD32) and FcyRIII (CD16) are located on chromosome 1q23.3 [11]. Several genome-wide screens have shown that single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) as a genetic variation can impair and/or change the normal functions of FcyRs and thereby results in the development of autoimmunity such as rheumatoid arthritis and SLE [12]. Some reports have indicated that SLE patients suffered from the reduced expressions of FcyRII and FcyRIII [13]. Furthermore, it is reported that the reduced expression of FcyRIIIA has a protective effect on lupus-susceptible mice through inhibiting

© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: hmotedayyen@gmail.com

the progression of lupus nephritis [14]. FcγRIIB, unlike FcγRIIC and FcγRIIA, exerts immunosuppressive impacts on some immune cells such as monocytes and B cells. FcγRIIB binding to its ligand (FC region of IgG) produces an inhibitory signal leading to a reduction in cell activation [15]. Genetic variation in *FcγRII* gene may contribute to SLE susceptibility in some populations [16]. Until now, several studies have been performed to clarify possible effects of FcγR polymorphisms, especially type IIA, IIB, and IIIA, on susceptibility to autoimmune diseases in different populations. Some reports have revealed that these SNPs play indispensible roles in disease susceptibility and sickness period in autoimmune disorders such as SLE [17–21].

Regarding the fact that Fc γ R polymorphisms act as genetic risk factor in developing autoimmunity [22] and their roles in SLE development have not yet been identified in the Iranian population, this study was aimed to determine whether two SNPs (rs1050501 and rs396991) in *Fc\gammaRIIB* and *IIIA* genes may associate with systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index (SLEDAI) in the Iranian population.

Main text

Methods

Study samples

The study populations comprised of 80 unrelated SLE patients and 95 sex-and age-matched healthy individuals without history of autoimmune disorders and cancers (Additional file 1: Table S1). The patients approved for SLE disease by the specialist based on the SLE American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria [23]. Patients were interviewed by the specialist and disease activity index (DAI) was provided by a questionnaire according to SLEDAI-2K (30 days) guideline. The questionnaire contained 24 items, including seizure, psychosis, organic brain syndrome, visual disturbance, cranial nerve disorder, lupus headache, cerebrovascular accident (CVA), vasculitis, arthritis, myositis, urinary casts, hematuria, proteinuria, pyuria, rash, alopecia, mucosal ulcer, pleurisy, pericarditis, low complement, increased DNA binding, fever, thrombocytopenia, and leukopenia. There were eight scores (range: 0 to 8) for answering each item depending on symptom severities. Patients with more than 6 score were considered active. The researchers were blinded to patient information and contributed to this study without any costs. Participants were Persian ethnic and chosen from Isfahan province of Iran. The study was confirmed by the Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (ethic code: Ir.mui.rct.1396.3.668) and carried out based on the Helsinki declaration. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before entering the study.

Assessment of SNPs in extracted DNA

EDTA-treated blood samples (5 ml) were collected from participants. Genomic DNA was extracted from the leukocytes using a QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qia gene, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The yield and purity of DNAs were determined by nano drop (BioTek, Epoch, USA) and guality of DNAs were evaluated by electrophoresis gel. Afterwards, two SNPs were investigated by real-time polymerase chain reaction with high resolution melting (real-time PCR-HRM) analysis. Each reaction for FcyIIB and IIIA SNPs were carried out in a 10 µl mixture (Additional file 2: Table S2). Real-time PCR-HRM was done using Rotor Gene 6000 machine (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The machine was programmed as described previously [24]. To determine SNPs, the melting curves were analyzed in the temperature range of 65 °C to 95 °C at the end of each run. All reactions were carried out in duplicate. Primer sequences were indicated in Additional file 3: Table S3.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS (v18; SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to evaluate the associations of genotype and allele frequencies with SLE susceptibility and SLEDAI. Bonferroni correction, as a post hoc analysis, was used to the pairwise comparisons with significance level of 0.017. P-value < 0.05 was statistically considered significant.

Results

Description of patients

In this study, the most frequent clinical manifestations among 80 SLE patients were rash, arthritis, and leucopenia, while the most common laboratory manifestations were anti-nuclear antibodies (100%), anti-ds DNA (97.5%) and low complement (83.75%). The clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients with SLE are shown in Table 1.

Associations of genotype and allele frequencies of FcyRIIB and FcyRIIIA with SLE susceptibility

Our data revealed that there were no significant differences in $Fc\gamma RIIB$ genotype frequencies between patient and control groups (Table 2). Allelic analysis indicated that C and T allele frequencies of $Fc\gamma RIIB$ in patients did not significantly differ from those of control group (Table 2).

In addition, statistical analyses indicated that $Fc\gamma RIIIA$ genotype frequencies were not significantly different from those of healthy individuals (Table 2). As shown in Table 2, no significant differences were observed in T and

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1} \\ \textbf{Table 2} \\ \textbf{Table 1} \\ \textbf{Table 2} \\ \textbf{$

The clinical and laboratory manifestations	Total (n = 80)
Seizure	10 (12.5%)
Psychosis	4 (5%)
Rash	66 (82.5%)
Organic Brain Syndrome	2 (2.5%)
Visual disturbance	2 (2.5%)
Cranial nerve disorder	3 (3.75%)
Lupus headache	4 (5%)
CVA	0 (0.0%)
Vasculitis	2 (2.5%)
Myositis	4 (5%)
Urinary casts	6 (7.5%)
Hematuria	6 (7.5%)
Proteinuria	8 (10%)
Pyuria	5 (6.25%)
Alopecia	2 (2.5%)
Pleurisy	4 (5%)
Precardia	7 (8.75%)
Low complement	59 (73.75%)
Increased DNA binding	25 (31.25%)
Fever	11 (13.755)
Thrombocytopenia	9 (11.25%)
Leukopenia	27 (26.25%)

G allele frequencies between patient and control groups. Other results indicated that T allele was the most frequent allele of FcyRIIIA SNP (Table 2).

Correlations of FcyRIIB and FcyRIIIA with SLEDAI

To determine the associations of FcyRIIB and FcyRIIIA with SLEDAI, the relationships of genotype and allele

frequencies of these SNPs with some clinical parameters, which were the most frequent clinical manifestations of SLE patients, were evaluated. Our data indicated that genotype and allele frequencies of FcγRIIB were significantly associated with the incidences of leucopenia, rash, mucosal ulcer, arthritis, thrombocytopenia in SLE patients (P < 0.001-0.05, Table 3). The results of multiple comparisons revealed that there were significant correlations between distribution frequencies of FcγRIIB CC, CT and TT genotypes versus (vs) other genotypes and these clinical characteristics used to determine SLEDAI (P < 0.001-0.05, Table 3).

Furthermore, other results of statistical analyses showed that the frequencies of some genotype and allele of FcyRIIIA played significant roles in determining SLE-DAI. Similar to FcyRIIB, our results indicated that some genotype and allele frequencies of FcyRIIIA were significantly correlated to SLEDAI (P < 0.001-0.05, Table 5). The frequencies of FcyRIIIA TT, TG and GG genotypes vs other genotypes were significantly associated with SLEDAI (P < 0.001-0.05, Table 3).

Discussion

Numerous investigations stated that defects in genes related to the immune system can participate in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases such as SLE, but the roles of genetic agents have not clearly reported so far [25]. Thus, this study investigated rs1050501 and rs396991 SNPs and their associations with SLEDAI in an Iranian population from Isfahan province.

Fc γ Rs have key roles in the immune system through regulating antibody effector functions. Fc γ RIIB expresses on different immune cells such as macrophages, B cells, granulocytes, and dendritic cells (DCs) [26]. This receptor suppresses the stimulation of B cells and reduces the

Positions	Genotype and allele	Patients	Controls	OR (95% CI)	P value
	frequencies	2n = 160	2n=190		
Rs1050501	CC	25 (31.25%)	28 (29.47%)		0.64
	CT	30 (37.5%)	36 (37.89%)		
	TT	75 (46.8%)	31 (32.63%)		
	С	85 (53.2%)	92 (48.43%)	0.93 (0.61-1.43)	0.77
	Т	38 (47.5%)	98 (51.57%)		
Rs396991	TT	25 (31.25%)	42 (44.21%)		0.73
	TG	17 (21.25%)	35 (36.84%)		
	GG	101 (63.1%)	18 (18.94%)		
	Т	59 (36.9%)	119 (62.63%)	1.02 (0.66-1.57)	0.92
	G	25 (31.25%)	71 (37.36%)		

Table 2 The genotypes and allele frequencies of FcyRIIB (rs1050501) and FcyRIIIA (rs396991) SNPs in patient and control groups

Values are indicated as count (percent)

The significance level was considered as P < 0.05

Table 3 The correlations of rs1050501 and rs396991 with some clinical manifestations used to SLEDAI																
Positions	Genotype and allele frequencies	Rash	ے	P value	Thrombocytopenia	P value		Leukopenia		P value	Ulcer		P value	Arthritis		P value
		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	PN N		Yes	No		Yes	No	
Rs1050501	S	4	18	0.001	16	9 <0.0	< 0.001	14	11	0.001	16	6	0.001	9	19	0.001
	CT	8	17	0.001	19	6 <0.0	< 0.001	17	8	0.001	16	6	0.001	6	16	0.001
	Ħ	14	16	0.08	21	9 <0.0	< 0.001	20	10	0.001	19	11	0.001	12	18	0.001
	U	22	53	0.02	51	24 0.0	0.001	45	30	0.03	48	27	0.03	21	54	0.001
	Т	36	49		61	24	- *	57	28		54	31		33	52	
Rs396991	Ħ	1	27	0.001	25	13 0.0	0.01	17	21	0.41	22	16	0.65	12	26	0.01
	TG	6	16	0.02	13	12 0.6	0.65	15	10	0.021	17	œ	0.02	13	12	0.42
	66	9	00	0.001	6	8	0.72 8	8	6	0.71	10	7	0.03	6	8	0.51
	T	31	70	0.001	63	38 0.0	0.01	49	52	0.08	61	40	0.051	37	64	0.03
	IJ	21	32		51	28		32	28		37	22		31	28	

₹
\Box
Q
S
0
÷
0
Sr
5
č
.0
at
st
Ð
Ē
Ja
F
g
inica
.⊆
e C
Φ
E
0
ĉ
È
with
_
669
ő
68
rs39
d rs39(
nd rs39
and rs39
1 anc
501 and
1 anc
501 and
1050501 anc
501 and
1050501 anc
1050501 anc
1050501 anc
tions of rs1050501 and
tions of rs1050501 and
tions of rs1050501 and
1050501 anc
correlations of rs1050501 and
tions of rs1050501 and
ne correlations of rs1050501 and
The correlations of rs1050501 and
The correlations of rs1050501 and
The correlations of rs1050501 and
ne correlations of rs1050501 and

development of autoimmunity. Some reports have shown that $Fc\gamma RIIB$ SNP results in an amino acid substitution of threonine for isoleucine at position 232 (T232I), leading to decreased suppressor activities and thereby enhances susceptibility to SLE [27].

In this case-control study, genotype frequencies of FcyRIIB SNP showed that TT genotype frequency was higher in patient than healthy group, although the difference was not statistically significant. In agreement with this finding, Jeon et al. reported that a tendency for increase of TT genotype in SLE patients compared with healthy individuals, however, no significant difference was observed in this genotype between patient and healthy groups [9]. This finding proposes a key question why there is an inconsistency between TT genotype and T allele frequency in patients with SLE. As mentioned previous, T allele frequency showed a reduction in patients compared with healthy subjects. Despite a tendency for increase of CC genotype in SLE patients, the differences in CC and CT genotype frequencies between patient and healthy groups were not statistically significant. The increased frequency of CC genotype in patients was consistent with the tendency for increase of C allele number. Our data revealed that although there were some changes in the frequencies of genotypes and alleles between SLE patients and healthy subjects, these differences were not statistically considerable and rs1050501 was not associated with SLE susceptibility. In contrast with these observations, Zhu et al. in a meta-analysis study concluded that C allele of rs1050501 could be effective in SLE susceptibility and disease progression [28]. Furthermore, another study conducted by Pan et al. on 119 SLE patients from 95 nuclear families has indicated that C and T alleles of FcyRIIB were significantly associated with SLE and CT genotype was the most frequent genotype of FcyRIIB in SLE patients [29]. Although rs1050501 failed to show impact on SLE susceptibility in our study population, other statistical analyses indicated that genotype and allele frequencies of FcyRIIB could be considered as genetic factors to clarify SLEDAI in Iranian SLE patients. We observed that the frequencies of $Fc\gamma RIIB$ genotypes and alleles were significantly correlated to some clinical parameters used to determine SLEDAI. Similar to this finding, a published short report manifested that the polymorphism of homozygous FcyRIIB-I232 was dramatically related to the elevated SLEDAI score [30]. Another study on other genotypes and alleles of FcyRIIB in an Indian population has revealed that the T allele was correlated to severity and clinical parameters of SLE [18].

FcyRIIIA gene is located on chromosome 1q23.3 and encodes for FcyRIIIA, a glycosylated heterogeneous form of FcyR [31, 32]. This receptor binds to immune complexes with low tendency and interestingly interacts with IgG2 subclass [33, 34]. It is stated that differences in genotypes and alleles of FcyRIIIA may be associated with autoimmunity [35]. Other results of this study indicated that GG genotype of rs396991 polymorphism had higher frequency in patients than healthy subjects. However, this difference was not statistically significant. On the contrary, TT, GT genotype frequencies were lower in patients than control group. These findings were agreed with the increased frequency of T allele in healthy subjects compared with SLE patients. Our data demonstrated that the frequency of G allele was in contrast with GG genotype frequency of FcyRIIIA SNP. Similar to the data of FcyRIIB SNP, allele and genotype frequencies of FcyRIIIA SNP in patients were not significant different from those of control group. These observations are consistent with the results of a study conducted on SLE patients showing the differences in genotypes and alleles of FcyRIIIA SNP between SLE and healthy subjects were not statistically significant [36]. Alansari et al. conducted a study on five SNPs in $Fc\gamma R$ gene in three ethnic groups of SLE patients. The authors reported that genotype and allele frequencies of five SNPs in patients with SLE did not statistically differ from those of healthy subjects and subsequently concluded FcyR SNPs could not contribute to SLE susceptibility [37]. However, there are some studies revealing FcyRIIB and FcyRIIIA SNPs may participate in SLE development [38]. It is shown that 232TT genotype had an increased frequency in SLE patients compared with healthy subjects [39]. Dhaouadi et al. stated significant differences in allele and genotype frequencies of FcyRIIIA SNP between SLE and normal subjects in a Tunisian population [40]. In addition, other studies have reported that the decreased expression of FcyRIIIA contributed to SLE severity, but no significant relationship was observed between FcyRIIIA expression level and SLEDAI (38). In contrast, we found that the frequencies of some genotypes and alleles of FcyRIIIA were significantly associated with SLEDAI. This discrepancy may attribute to ethnic and geographic differences, interstudy heterogeneity in the studied SNPs and alleles, and sample size used in different studies.

Overall, although the current study failed to show the impacts of FcyRIIB and FcyRIIIA SNPs on SLE susceptibility, our data for the first time indicate that FcyRIIB and FcyRIIIA SNPs are associated with SLEDAI in the Iranian population.

Limitation

It should be noted that sample size may be a limitation of the study which may correlate to no correlations of these SNPs with SLE susceptibility. Therefore, more robust studies in different populations with larger sample size are needed to support our data and determine the effects of other $Fc\gamma R$ SNPs in SLE development.

Abbreviations

SLE: Systemic lupus erythematosus; SNPs: Single nucleotide polymorphisms; SLEDAI: Systemic lupus erythematous disease activity index; FcyR: Fragment crystallizable (Fc) receptor; PDCD1: Programmed cell death 1; ACR: American College of Rheumatology; CVA: Cerebrovascular accident; DC: Dendritic cell.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13104-021-05868-2.

Additional file 1. Table. S1) Demographic characteristics of participants.

Additional file 2. Table S2) Components and their volumes used to PCR-HRM.

Additional file 3. Table. S3) Primer sequences used to PCR-HRM.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all subjects who participated in the study.

Authors' contributions

MK and KHA participated in the disease diagnosis, sample collections, and obtained funding for the work. FF and RA participated in the design of some experiments and statistical analysis of the data. MM carried out some of the experiments. HM participated in the study design and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (Grant No: 396668).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available in the ClinVar repository, Accession Numbers VCV000005467.1–VCV000225994.2.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This work was confirmed by the Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (ethic code: Ir.mui.rct.1396.3.668). Written informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from all subjects before entering the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable. Consent to publish the data was obtained from participants prior to entering the study.

Competing interests

The authors have no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Rheumatology, Alzahra Hospital, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ²Department of Anatomy, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. ³Department of Immunology, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ⁴Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ⁵Autoimmune Diseases Research Center, Shahid Beheshti Hospital, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, 5th Kilometer of Ravand Road, Kashan, Iran. Received: 30 April 2021 Accepted: 30 November 2021 Published online: 18 December 2021

References

- Fathi F, Sadeghi E, Lotfi N, Hafezi H, Ahmadi M, Mozafarpoor S, et al. Effects of the programmed cell death 1 (PDCD1) polymorphisms in susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus. Int J Immunogenet. 2020;47(1):57–64.
- Fathi F, Atapour A, Eskandari N, Keyhanmehr N, Hafezi H, Mohammadi S, et al. Regulatory T-cells and their impacts on cytokine profile of end-stage renal disease patients suffering from systemic lupus erythematosus. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2019;33:2058738419863238.
- Zhang Q, Liang Y, Yuan H, Li S, Wang J-B, Li X-M, et al. Integrated analysis of IncRNA, miRNA and mRNA expression profiling in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arch Med Sci. 2019;15(4):872.
- Tao C-Y, Shang J, Chen T, Yu D, Jiang Y-M, Liu D, et al. Impact of antimalarial (AM) on serum lipids in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine. 2019;98:14.
- Yang J, Zhu J-M, Wu S, Li J, Wang M-R, Wang T-T, et al. Association study between the TP53 Rs1042522G/C polymorphism and susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus in a Chinese Han population. Rheumatol Int. 2017;37(4):523–9.
- Fathi F, Ebrahimi M, Eslami A, Hafezi H, Eskandari N, Motedayyen H. Association of programmed death-1 gene polymorphisms with the risk of basal cell carcinoma. Int J Immunogenet. 2019;46(6):444–50.
- Fathi F, Zamani B, Piroozmand A, Mozafarpoor S, Seyedhashemi E, ArefNezhad R, et al. Programmed cell death 1 (PDCD1) gene haplotypes and susceptibility of patients to basal cell carcinoma. Mol Biol Rep. 2021;8:1–7.
- 8. Lichtman AH, Abbas AK, Pillai S. Immunologia cellulare e molecolare: Elsevier Italia; 2015.
- Jeon J-Y, Kim K-Y, Kim B-S, Jung J-Y, Kim H-A, Suh C-H. FcyRIIB gene polymorphisms are associated with disease risk and clinical manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus in Koreans. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2015;236(3):185–91.
- Werwitzke S, Trick D, Sondermann P, Kamino K, Schlegelberger B, Kniesch K, et al. Treatment of lupus-prone NZB/NZW F1 mice with recombinant soluble Fcy receptor II (CD32). Ann Rheum Dis. 2008;67(2):154–61.
- Tsang-A-Sjoe MW, Nagelkerke SQ, Bultink IE, Geissler J, Tanck MW, Tacke CE, et al. Fc-gamma receptor polymorphisms differentially influence susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus and lupus nephritis. Rheumatology. 2016;55(5):939–48.
- 12. van Velde NC, Mottram PL, Hogarth PM. FcγRII and multi-system autoimmune disease. New York: Springer; 2006.
- Szücs G, Kavai M, Kiss E, Csipö I, Szegedi G. Correlation of IgG Fc receptors on granulocytes with serum immune complex level in systemic lupus erythematosus. Scand J Immunol. 1995;42(5):577–80.
- Hepburn A, Mason J, Davies K. Expression of Fcγ and complement receptors on peripheral blood monocytes in systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology. 2004;43(5):547–54.
- 15. Yu X, Lazarus AH. Targeting FcγRs to treat antibody-dependent autoimmunity. Autoimmun Rev. 2016;15(6):510–2.
- Zuñiga R, Ng S, Peterson MG, Reveille JD, Baethge BA, Alarcón GS, et al. Low-binding alleles of Fc
 receptor types IIA and IIIA are inherited independently and are associated with systemic lupus erythematosus in Hispanic patients. Arthrit Rheum. 2001;44(2):361–7.
- 17. Tsao B. Genetic susceptibility to lupus nephritis. Lupus. 1998;7(9):585-90.
- Pradhan V, Patwardhan M, Nadkarni A, Ghosh K. Fc γ R IIB gene polymorphisms in Indian systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients. Indian J Med Res. 2011;134(2):181.
- Nimmerjahn F, Ravetch JV. Fcγ receptors as regulators of immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008;8(1):34–47.
- Bombardier C, Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, Caron D, Chang CH, Austin A, et al. Derivation of the SLEDAI. A disease activity index for lupus patients. Arthrit Rheumat. 1992;35(6):630–40.
- Manger K, Repp R, Jansen M, Geisselbrecht M, Wassmuth R, Westerdaal N, et al. Fcγ receptor IIa, IIIa, and IIIb polymorphisms in German patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: association with clinical symptoms. Ann Rheum Dis. 2002;61(9):786–92.

- 22. Takai T. Roles of Fc receptors in autoimmunity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2002;2(8):580–92.
- Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthrit Rheum. 1997;40(9):1725.
- Taheri RA, Motedayyen H, Ghotloo S, Masjedi M, Mosaffa N, Mirshafiey A, et al. The effect of lipopolysaccharide on the expression level of immunomodulatory and immunostimulatory factors of human amniotic epithelial cells. BMC Res Notes. 2018;11(1):1–5.
- da Cruz HLA, Cavalcanti CAJ, de Azêvedo SJ, de Lima CAD, Fragoso TS, Barbosa AD, et al. Differential expression of the inflammasome complex genes in systemic lupus erythematosus. Immunogenetics. 2020;89:1–8.
- Morris AB, Farley CR, Pinelli DF, Adams LE, Cragg MS, Boss JM, et al. Signaling through the inhibitory Fc receptor FcyRIIB induces CD8+T cell apoptosis to limit T cell immunity. Immunity. 2020;52(1):136–50.
- Espéli M, Bashford-Rogers R, Sowerby JM, Alouche N, Wong L, Denton AE, et al. FcγRllb differentially regulates pre-immune and germinal center B cell tolerance in mouse and human. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):1–14.
- Zhu X-W, Wang Y, Wei Y-H, Zhao P-P, Wang X-B, Rong J-J, et al. Comprehensive Assessment of the Association between FCGR s polymorphisms and the risk of systemic lupus erythematosus: Evidence from a Meta-Analysis. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):1–13.
- Pan F, Zhang K, Li X, Xu J, Hao J, Ye D. Association of Fcγ receptor IIB gene polymorphism with genetic susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus in Chinese populations—A family-based association study. J Dermatol Sci. 2006;43(1):35–41.
- Hu W, Zhang Y, Sun X, Zhang T, Xu L, Xie H, et al. FcyRIIB-I232T polymorphic change allosterically suppresses ligand binding. Elife. 2019;8:e46689.
- Hollox EJ, Detering JC, Dehnugara T. An integrated approach for measuring copy number variation at the FCGR3 (CD16) locus. Hum Mutat. 2009;30(3):477–84.
- Liu B, Maier LA, Hamzeh N, MacPhail K, Mroz MM, Liu H, et al. Polymorphism of FCGR3A gene in chronic beryllium disease. Genes Immun. 2019;20(6):493–9.
- Niwa R, Natsume A, Uehara A, Wakitani M, Iida S, Uchida K, et al. IgG subclass-independent improvement of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity by fucose removal from Asn297-linked oligosaccharides. J Immunol Methods. 2005;306(1–2):151–60.
- Grecco M, Santos VC, Pereira KMC, Andrade LEC, Silva NP. Fc gamma receptor Illa polymorphism is not associated with susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus in Brazilian patients. Revista Brasi Reumatol. 2016;56(6):515–20.
- González-Escribano M, Aguilar F, Sánchez-Román J, Núñez-Roldán A. FcgRIIA, FcqRIIA and FcqRIIB polymorphisms in Spanish patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Eur J Immunogenet. 2002;29(4):301–6.
- Yap S, Phipps M, Manivasagar M, Tan S, Bosco J. Human Fc gamma receptor IIA (FcyRIIA) genotyping and association with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) in Chinese and Malays in Malaysia. Lupus. 1999;8(4):305–10.
- Alansari A, Gul A, Inanc M, Ordi J, Teh L-S, Ollier WE, et al. Fc receptor gamma subunit polymorphisms and systemic lupus erythematosus. Saudi Med J. 2004;25(10):1445–8.
- Zhou M, Li L-H, Peng H, Li R, Feng C-C, Xu W-D, et al. Decreased ITGAM and FcyRIIIA mRNA expression levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Exp Med. 2014;14(3):269–74.
- Kyogoku C, Dijstelbloem HM, Tsuchiya N, Hatta Y, Kato H, Yamaguchi A, et al. Fcy receptor gene polymorphisms in Japanese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: contribution of FCGR2B to genetic susceptibility. Arthritis Rheum. 2002;46(5):1242–54.
- Dhaouadi T, Sfar I, Hassine LB, Turki S, Khalfallah N, Ben T. PTPN22, CTLA4, FcyRIIa, FcyRIIIa and FcyRIIIb polymorphisms in Tunisian patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Int J Clin Rheumatol. 2019;14(1):9.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

