SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 22 July 2015 Accepted: 22 February 2016 Published: 10 March 2016

OPEN Reduction Impairs the Antibacterial **Activity but Benefits the LPS Neutralization Ability of Human Enteric Defensin 5**

Cheng Wang^{1,*}, Mingqiang Shen^{1,*}, Naixin Zhang¹, Song Wang¹, Yang Xu¹, Shilei Chen¹, Fang Chen¹, Ke Yang^{1,2}, Ting He², Aiping Wang¹, Yongping Su¹, Tianmin Cheng¹, Jinghong Zhao² & Junping Wang¹

Oxidized human defensin 5 (HD5_{ox}), a Paneth cell-secreted antibacterial peptide with three characteristic disulfide bonds, protects the host from invasion by morbigenous microbes in the small intestine. HD5_{0x} can be reduced by thioredoxin (Trx) in vitro, while the biochemical properties of the reduced linear peptide, HD5_{RED}, remain unclear. Here, we first confirm that HD5_{RED} does exist *in vivo*. Furthermore, we reveal that the recruitment of HD5_{RED} to the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria and to the anionic lipid A is lower than that of HD5_{OX}, and HD5_{RED} is less efficient in penetrating bacterial outer and inner membranes and inducing membrane depolarization, which confers an attenuated antibacterial activity to HD5_{RED}. However, due to its higher structural flexibility, the binding of HD5_{RED} to bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is markedly stronger than that of HD5_{OX}. Consequently, HD5_{RED} is more effective in suppressing the production of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF- α in LPS-stimulated macrophages by blocking the interaction between LPS and LPS-binding protein, thus suggesting that HD5_{RFD} might act as a scavenger to neutralize LPS in the gut. This study provides insights into the antibacterial and immunoregulatory effects of HD5_{RED} and expands the known repertoire of the enteric defensins.

Defensins are small (2-5 kDa), Cys-rich, endogenously produced, amphiphilic peptides that serve a fundamental role in the first line of host immune defence against invading microbes¹. Based on their sequence homology and disulfide pairings, human defensins are classified into two major subfamilies, α and $\beta^{2,3}$, which are primarily discovered in neutrophils and epithelia, respectively^{4,5}.

Oxidized human defensin 5 (HD5_{0X}), a 32-residue α defensin, is stored in the secretory granules of Paneth cells in the small intestine as a propeptide (E20-R94) and is processed by anionic and/or meso isoforms of trypsin during secretion or shortly thereafter at a cleavage site between R62 and A636. Because HD5_{0X} exhibits the widest spectrum of antibacterial activity among the α defensions⁷, it is a promising candidate that may be used to target pathogens that are clinically resistant to traditional antibiotics8. We have previously prepared bioactive HD5_{0x} using Pichia pastoris and designed a more potent antibiotic peptide based on its active region^{9,10}. Recently, the thioredoxin (Trx) system was found to catalyze the reduction of intestinal defensins^{11,12}. Notably, the antibacterial activity of human β defensin (HBD) 1, a ubiquitously expressed peptide in human epithelia, is enhanced upon disulfide reduction¹³. Based on the abundance of HD5_{OX} in the intestine¹⁴, the impact of disulfide reduction on its antibacterial activity warrants investigation.

Human defensins are also noted for their immunoregulatory properties. For instance, HBDs have been shown to recruit immature dendritic cells, memory T cells, macrophages, and monocytes via G_i-protein-coupled receptors^{15,16}, and human neutrophil peptides (HNPs) are capable of chemoattracting CD4+/CD45RA+ naive and CD8+ T cells¹⁷. Enteric HD5_{OX} can induce the production of IL-8 and IL-2 from intestinal epithelial cells and CD4⁺T

¹State Key Laboratory of Trauma, Burns and Combined Injury, Institute of Combined Injury of PLA, Chongging Engineering Research Center for Nanomedicine, College of Preventive Medicine, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, 400038, China. ²Department of Nephrology, Xingiao Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, 400037, China. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.W. (email: wangjunping@tmmu.edu.cn or wangjunp@yahoo.com)

Α



Retention Time (min)

Figure 1. Distributions of HD5 and Trx in intestinal mucosa benefit the formation of HD5_{RED}. (A) Immunofluorescence microscopy revealing the distributions of HD5 and Trx in human ileal mucosa. The target proteins were probed by a goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 antibody. Nuclei were stained using DAPI (blue). The normal rabbit IgG was used as a negative control. Scale bar indicates $200 \,\mu$ m. The regions of interest are magnified in embedding graphs, in which the scale bar indicates $50 \,\mu$ m. (B) Trx catalyzed the reduction of HD5_{OX} *in vitro*. Values provided inside and outside the parentheses are theoretical and observed masses of HD5_{OX} and HD5_{RED}, respectively. Theoretical mass was determined by ProtParam (http://web.expasy.org/ protparam/).

cells, respectively^{18,19}. Additionally, some defensins are able to suppress the pro-inflammatory effect of bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS). For example, HBD1 and HNP1 can block the interaction between LPS and low concentrations of LPS-binding protein (LBP), which is the initial step of LPS-TLR4 signalling, thus inhibiting the production of TNF- α from LPS-stimulated macrophages²⁰. LPS is a potent elicitor of inflammation and sepsis²¹, yet it strongly induces the production of enteric defensins²². Because the defective expression of human defensin 5 (HD5) is related to diarrhoea²³ and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)²⁴, two enteric disorders that are associated with an overreaction of host cells to LPS^{25–27}, we speculated that HD5 may antagonize LPS *in vivo*.

In this study, we found that HD5_{OX} was less efficient in neutralizing LPS than HD5_{RED}. Similar to other synthetic innate defence regulator peptides (IDRs)²⁸, HD5_{RED} exhibited a structural flexibility that appears to be tailored to binding LPS and blocking the interaction between LPS and low concentrations of LBP. However, HD5_{RED} killed bacteria less efficiently than HD5_{OX}. We investigated the underlying mechanism by measuring the recruitment of HD5_{RED} to bacteria and anionic lipid A, outer and inner membrane penetration, and changes in membrane potential. The results obtained indicate that HD5_{OX} reduction may benefit immunoregulation at the expense of bactericidal attenuation.

Results and Discussion

HD5_{RED} **exists in the small intestine.** We initially employed an immunofluorescence microscopy to observe the distributions of HD5 and thioredoxin (Trx), a multifunctional oxidoreductase that can catalyze the reduction of human defensins¹¹⁻¹³, in the ileum. As shown in Fig. 1A, HD5 was located at the base of the intestinal crypts, and Trx was found in both the mucosal surface and crypts. The local distributions of HD5 and Trx provide a conducive condition for the formation of HD5_{RED}. Because the storage of HD5 in human ileal mucosa is approximately 90–250 µg/cm², we then used 30 µM (107.5 µg/mL) HD5_{OX} in a recently reported reduction condition to mimic the interaction between HD5_{OX} and Trx *in vitro*¹², resulting in a product with increased hydrophobicity (Fig. 1B). The liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) system confirmed the existence of HD5_{RED}.





as the molecular masses differed by approximately 6 Da (3,582.5 Da for HD5_{OX}, 3,588.0 Da for HD5_{RED}), corresponding to the reduction of three disulfide bonds. It is plausible that the reduction of Trx to the disulfide bonds of HD5_{OX} may contribute to the production of HD5_{RED} *in vivo*.

To determine whether HD5_{RED} exists *in vivo*, we obtained the luminal fluid (LF) from the terminal ileum of four healthy donors underwent enteroscope (Fig. 2A). Once removed, the LF samples were alkylated by iodoaceta-mide (IAM) and analyzed by acetic acid urea-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (AU-PAGE) immunoblotting with a rabbit polyclonal anti-HD5 antibody. We observed that there were two bands in LF migrating in similar manners to HD5_{OX} and IAM-alkylated HD5_{RED} (^{IAM}HD5_{RED}), respectively (Fig. 2B, Supplementary Fig. 1), and that co-loading ^{IAM}HD5_{RED} and LF resulted in a single but wider band in the region of ^{IAM}HD5_{RED} (Supplementary Fig. 2). Furthermore, in the mass spectrum (1,000–4,500 Da) of LF obtained by the matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF), we observed a polyethylene glycol (PEG)-like signal around 3,000 Da²⁹. It is highly possible that the laxative taken before enteroscope was attributable. Nevertheless, we identified two signals with molecular masses of 3,582.6 Da and 3,931.1 Da (Fig. 2C), which was similar to that of HD5_{OX} (3,583.1 Da) and ^{IAM}HD5_{RED} (3,930.8 Da), respectively. Of note, the signal intensity of ^{IAM}HD5_{RED} was much lower than that of HD5_{OX}, which was different from the observation in AU-PAGE immunoblotting. This result might have occurred because the ionization efficiency of ^{IAM}HD5_{RED} in LF was lower than that of HD5_{OX} in MALDI-TOF.

Previously, it was discovered that due to the cleavage of trypsin to propeptide, HD5 existed as unprocessed peptide in intestinal tissue and mature peptide in LF⁶. Our data expanded the result that mature HD5 existed as HD5_{OX} and HD5_{RED} in LF. The roles of defensin peptides in host immunity have been widely studied^{7,30,31}, but little attention was paid to the reduced form of defensins. Along with the finding that HBD1_{RED} exists in human



Figure 3. Reduction attenuates the antibacterial activity of HD5_{OX} against Gram-negative bacteria. Three Gram-negative strains, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium, ATCC 14028), *Escherichia coli (E. coli*, ATCC 25922), and *E. coli* ML35 (ATCC 43827), were exposed to HD5_{OX} and HD5_{RED}, respectively. Peptides were prepared in sterile water, with the final concentrations of 0.78, 1.56, 3.13, 6.25, 12.5, and $25 \,\mu$ g/mL. The antibacterial activity is shown as the ratio of the number of surviving colonies after treatment with peptides to the number of surviving colonies after treatment with sterile water (HD5_{OX}) or DTT solution (HD5_{RED}, 0.016, 0.032, 0.063, 0.13, 0.25, and 0.5 mM DTT, respectively). The results are presented as the mean \pm SD. Zero survival points are not plotted.

	HD5 _{ox}		HD5 _{RED}	
	LD50	LD90	LD50	LD90
ATCC 14028 S. Typhimurium	4.6 ± 0.5	9.6 ± 0.7	7.9 ± 1.1	19.5 ± 1.6
ATCC 25922 E. coli	3.2 ± 0.7	7.6 ± 1.1	6.5 ± 0.8	12.9 ± 1.3
ATCC 43827 E. coli ML35	4.7 ± 0.8	11.6 ± 1.7	9.9 ± 0.6	22.5 ± 2.3

Table 1. Lethal Doses (LDs, µg/mL) that Kill 50% and 90% of Bacteria.

colon, ileum, and skin¹³, our study supported the existence of $HD5_{RED}$ in the small intestine. As demonstrated previously¹², the presence of zinc ions in the local area may protect $HD5_{RED}$ from digestion by the enzymes in the enteric canal, although linear peptides are theoretically more susceptible to degradation by digestive enzymes.

Reduction attenuates the antibacterial activity of HD5_{OX}. HD5_{OX} is an endogenous bactericidal agent. To investigate the effect of disulfide reduction on the antibacterial activity of HD5_{OX}, we subsequently performed a virtual colony count assay in which three Gram-negative strains, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium, ATCC 14028), *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*, ATCC 25922), and *E. coli* ML35 (ATCC 43827) were focused. Generally, HD5_{OX} exerted a more potent action against these bacteria than HD5_{RED}, especially at peptide concentrations greater than $3.125 \,\mu$ g/mL (Fig. 3). The concentrations of HD5_{RED} needed to inactivate 90% of these bacteria were 19.5 ± 1.6 , 12.9 ± 1.3 , and $22.5 \pm 2.3 \,\mu$ g/mL, which were 2.0-, 1.7-, and 1.9-fold higher than those of HD5_{OX}, respectively (Table 1). The efficiency of HD5_{RED} against Gram-positive *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*) was less than HD5_{OX} as well (Supplementary Fig. 3). Notably, this finding differs from the results obtained for HBD1, in which the antibacterial activity is enhanced upon reduction¹³. This result might be due to the diverse structure-activity relationships observed between α and β defensins. For example, HBD3 can function well without disulfide bonds³², whereas loss of disulfide bonds attenuates the antibacterial property of HNP1³³.

Existing data demonstrate that the antibacterial action of defensins is related to their recruitment to bacterial membranes and the subsequent membrane destruction^{30,34}. To gain deeper insights into the observed loss of antibacterial activity, we measured the surface charge of *E. coli* ML35 cells treated with peptides. The zeta potential of cells in the peptide-free condition or in the presence of HD5_{RED} was approximately -37 mV, which was significantly less than that of cells treated with HD5_{OX} (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, we conducted a biolayer interferometry (BLI) to analyze the recruitment of HD5_{RED} to lipid A, which is considered a target for defensins on the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria³⁵. Consistent with the idea that an integrated tertiary structure is needed for HD5_{OX} to efficiently bind and inhibit anthrax lethal factor (LF) and HIV gp-120³³, we found that given a fixed concentration (1000 nM), fewer HD5_{RED} than HD5_{OX} molecules were recruited to the lipid A loaded on the amine-reactive second-generation (AR2G) biosensors in the presence of 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer (Fig. 4B). The equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of HD5_{RED} binding to lipid A was 140 ± 30 nM (Supplementary Table. 1), which was 1.9-fold higher than that of HD5_{OX} (75 ± 24 nM), suggesting that the ability of HD5_{OX} to bind bacteria is impaired by disulfide reduction.

To analyze the membrane destruction of bacteria exposed to peptides, we first performed a 1-N-phenylnaphthylamine (NPN) uptake assay, in which the *E. coli* ML35 strain and $6.25 \,\mu$ g/mL of HD5_{OX} and HD5_{RED} were used. NPN is a hydrophobic dye, and its fluorescence intensity is increased when it is incorporated into the outer membrane of disintegrated bacteria. As the fluorescence intensity of HD5_{RED}-treated cells was lower than that of HD5_{OX}-treated cells (Fig. 5A), it is evident that HD5_{RED} destroys the outer membrane less effectively than HD5_{OX}. Because *E. coli* ML35 lacks lactose permease, this bacterium is often employed to determine



Figure 4. Reduction attenuates the recruitment of HD5_{0X} to bacterial membrane. (A) Zeta potential determination of *E. coli* ML35 cells exposed to HD5_{0X} and HD5_{RED}. At 12.5 and 50 µg/mL, HD5_{RED} peptides are both less efficient in altering the bacterial surface charge than HD5_{0X}. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. (B) BLI-based binding kinetics of HD5_{0X} and HD5_{RED} to Lipid A. The binding thicknesses of peptides at 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 nM were processed by subtracting the reference (bindings in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer), respectively. Red dotted lines divide the kinetic curves into the association and disassociation portions. The K_D values are shown as the mean \pm SD from three independent assays.

the effect of antibiotic peptides on the bacterial inner membrane by measuring the production of 2-nitrophenol (ONP) generated from the hydrolyzation of cytoplasmic β -galactosidase to 2-nitrophenyl β -D-galactopyranoside (ONPG)³⁶. We then performed an inner membrane permeabilization assay and found that, consistent with the findings of a study that native disulfide bonds are required for the efficient membrane penetration by HD5_{OX}³⁷, HD5_{RED} exerted an attenuated potency for disintegrating the bacterial inner membrane (Fig. 5B).

Previously, a study on the interaction of defensin peptides with *E. coli* ML35 showed that membrane destruction slightly lagged behind cell death at the early stage³⁸, which may be due to the fact that the initial disruption of the membrane potential affects osmotic regulation and bacterial respiration³⁰. It can be reasoned that in addition to measuring mechanical disruption, detecting the membrane potential change is also important for understanding the effect of defensins on the bacterial membrane. Therefore, we employed the bis-(1,3-dibutylbarbituric acid) trimethine oxonol [DiBAC4(3)], a potential-sensitive dye, and conducted a flow cytometry assay. The result showed that the ability of HD5_{RED} to induce membrane depolarization was significantly lower than that of HD5_{OX} (Fig. 5C,D), which indicates that HD5_{RED} cannot efficiently interact with the bacterial membrane.

Changes in function follow changes in structure. Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy revealed that HD5_{RED} is less effective in forming rigid β -sheet structures in aqueous, as demonstrated by an increased signal intensity at 200 nm, which is indicative of an increase in random coil structures (Fig. 6A). Moreover, in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) micelles, a model used to mimic the prokaryotic membrane³⁹, HD5_{OX} maintained the β -sheet structures, whereas HD5_{RED} transformed into a peptide containing α -helical structures, manifested by a strong positive peak at wavelengths between 190 and 193 nm and double minima at wavelengths of 205 and 220 nm. This result might have occurred because without the constraint of three disulfide bonds, the hydrophobic residues of HD5_{RED} were exposed to the solution and hydrophobically interacted with the lipid membrane, resulting in a conformational transformation. It can be supported by that due to the flexible N-terminus (Gly¹ to Tyr¹⁰), HBD3 adopts a α -helical-containing structure in SDS micelles despite the β -sheet conformation⁴⁰. Because a rigid structure is beneficial for the linear peptide in the destruction of Gram-negative bacteria¹⁸, we propose that the loss of antibacterial activity of HD5_{RED} is related to its structural flexibility.

HD5_{RED} **binds and neutralizes LPS.** Upon examining the biochemical properties of synthetic IDRs, the structural flexibility was noted. For example, the bovine bactenecin linear derivative 1018 adopts various folds in different media, relative to the natural peptide, to adapt to different functions²⁸. Additionally, the linear analogue







Figure 6. The improved structural flexibility allows the LPS-binding of HD5_{RED}. (A) Circular dichroism spectra of HD5_{OX} and HD5_{RED} (100 µg/mL) in sterile water and in the solution containing 20 mM SDS or 100 µg/mL of LPS. (B) Heat release of the interaction between 1 mg/mL of the peptides and 10 µg/mL of LPS. Shown is the relationship between the enthalpy value (Δ H) and the weight ratio of peptides to LPS. The results are presented as the mean \pm SD. (C) Impact of 4 µg/mL of LPS on the antibacterial activities of peptides (12.5 µg/mL) against *E. coli*. The antibacterial efficiency is presented as the bacterial lethal rate, which is calculated by dividing the number of killed colonies by the number of colonies remaining after treatment with sterile water or 0.25 mM DTT. Statistical significance was determined by the Student's t-test. n.s, not significant; **p < 0.01.

of horse shoe crab *Tachypleus tridentatus* Tachyplesin-1 can transform its conformation in LPS micelles, which contributes to its LPS-binding and -neutralization abilities⁴¹. We therefore analyzed the secondary structures of HD5_{OX} and HD5_{RED} in the presence of 100 μ g/mL of LPS and found that the spectrum of HD5_{OX} was slightly red-shifted (Fig. 6A). Comparatively, HD5_{RED} adopted a different conformation with two negative ellipticities at 217 nm and 206 nm, which is indicative of a β -sheet like structure⁴¹.

To determine the effect of the conformational transformation on LPS-binding, we performed an isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and discovered that similar to a recent study demonstrating that HD5_{OX} can bind LPS⁴², HD5_{OX} (1 mg/mL) exothermically interacted with 10 µg/mL of LPS (Fig. 6B). However, unlike the enthalpy value (\triangle H), a parameter that reflects the contributions of van der Waals interaction force and hydrogen bond in intermolecular interactions, tends to decrease in titrations of representative binding signals (Supplementary Fig. 4), the \triangle H progressively increased. It can be explained by that the binding response between HD5_{OX} and LPS is so



Figure 7. HD5_{RED} lowers the initiation of LPS-TLR4 signalling. (A) LBP block assay. Peptides were prepared in sterile water, with a final concentration of 50 µg/mL. Polymixin B (200 ng/mL) was used as a positive control. Statistical significance was determined by the Student–Newman–Keuls multiple comparisons test. n.s, not significant; **p < 0.01, relative to the value of the group solely treated with LPS. (B) ELISA was used to detect the TNF- α content in the supernatant of RAW264.7 cells. After adherence, cells were incubated with 5 ng/mL of LPS for 9 h in the absence or presence of 50 µg/mL of the peptides. The results are shown as the mean ± SD. n.s, not significant; **p < 0.01. (C) Immunofluorescence microscopy showing TNF- α in the cytoplasm of RAW264.7 cells. TNF- α was probed by a goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 555 antibody. The nuclei (blue) were stained using DAPI. (D) Quantitative RT-PCR illustrating that ^{Acm}HD5_{RED} suppressed the production of TNF- α at the transcriptional level. n.s, not significant; **p < 0.01.

weak that the heat release is counteracted by conformational alterations (heat absorption). For HD5_{RED}, despite the greater conformational alterations, the heat release was higher than that of HD5_{OX}. Moreover, as shown by a representative binding signal at weight ratios \geq 8.0, the LPS-binding of HD5_{RED} seems to be much stronger than that of HD5_{OX}. The results of an antibacterial assay supported that the efficiency of 12.5 µg/mL of HD5_{OX} was virtually unaffected by the presence of 4 µg/mL of LPS, whereas the activities of HD5_{RED} and ^{Acm}HD5_{RED}, an analogue of HD5_{RED} in which the cysteine sulfhydryls are protected by acetamidomethyl (Acm), were significantly impaired (Fig. 6C).

LPS is localized in the outer layer of Gram-negative bacteria and is released during bacterial growth and disintegration⁴³. The active centre of LPS is lipid A, whose hydrophilic backbone and hydrophobic region are responsible for the specific binding to recognition molecules and the activation of cells, respectively⁴⁴. By interacting with LPS-binding protein (LBP), lipid A is extracted from LPS micelles and transferred to membrane CD14⁴⁵, which initiates the Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) signalling pathway and activates the transcription factor NF- κ B, leading to the production of TNF- α , IL-6, and other inflammatory mediators⁴⁶. This phenomenon is characteristic of host innate immune responses to bacterial pathogens. However, the uncontrolled production of these cytokines would likely contribute to morbidity as a result of endothelial damage, coagulopathy, and systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS)²¹.

Because most antibiotics promote the release of LPS while killing bacteria⁴³, much research has been devoted to the development of novel compounds that simultaneously inactivate bacteria and sequester LPS⁴⁷. Antimicrobial peptides are promising candidates as some of them can suppress the initiation of TLR4-NF- κ B signalling by binding LPS and blocking the interaction between LPS and low concentrations of LBP^{20,48}. As HD5_{RED} was more potent than HD5_{OX} in LPS-binding, we further conducted a LBP block assay in which 200 ng/mL of polymixin B (PB) was employed as a positive control. The result showed that 50 µg/mL of HD5_{OX} had less effect on the interaction between LPS and LBP. Comparatively, PB, HD5_{RED}, and ^{Acm}HD5_{RED} significantly inhibited the LPS-LBP interaction (Fig. 7A). The dose-dependent LBP-blocking was also observed for HD5_{RED} and ^{Acm}HD5_{RED} (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Because $HD5_{RED}$ is prone to oxidation during the 9 h of *in vitro* incubation, we subsequently employed ^{Acm}HD5_{RED} to assess the effect of linear HD5 on LPS-induced inflammation. Compared with HD5_{OX}, ^{Acm}HD5_{RED} sharply decreased the release of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF- α from mouse macrophage RAW264.7





cells (Fig. 7B). Additionally, the accumulation of TNF- α in the cytoplasm of cells treated with $^{Acm}HD5_{RED}$ was markedly lower than that observed in the cells treated with $HD5_{OX}$ (Fig. 7C). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay further revealed that $^{Acm}HD5_{RED}$ could suppress the production of TNF- α at the transcriptional level (Fig. 7D). Collectively, these findings suggest that $HD5_{RED}$ may act as an immunoregulator, possiblely by blocking the initiation of TLR4 signalling via inhibition of the interaction between LPS and LBP.

Notably, the role of LBP in LPS-TLR4 signalling is conflictive, as low concentrations of LBP are able to mediate the binding of LPS to membrane CD14, but high concentrations of LBP may mediate the transference of LPS to lipoproteins, which attenuates the stimulation of LPS to TLR4 signalling^{49,50}. Because the expression of LBP in intestine is increased upon the stimulation of inflammatory mediators⁵¹, it was previously proposed that the local production of low concentrations of LBP by epithelial cells was to enhance the sensitivity of the immune system to LPS, thus resulting in high local concentrations of LBP to neutralize LPS⁵². Our findings of the immuoregulatory effect of HD5_{RED} provide an interesting supplement to the relationship between LBP and LPS-stimulated inflammation. As HD5_{RED} is a component of the innate immunity, when LPS is released from bacteria, HD5_{RED} binds LPS and blocks the interaction between LPS and low concentrations of LBP at the early stage, which suppresses the downstream cascade reaction, including the release of inflammatory mediators. However, when the release of LPS surpasses the neutralization of HD5_{RED}, the increasing content of inflammatory mediators induces the expression of LBP from intestinal epithelia, which then transfers excrescent LPS to lipoproteins and lowers the inflammatory responses. Therefore, we presume that HD5_{RED} and high concentrations of LBP are both beneficial to the intestinal homeostasis.

Normally, the *Bacteroides* and *Parabacteroides* genera, two Gram-negative rods, constitute approximately 30% of the bacterial load in the gut⁵³, representing a heavy burden in terms of LPS stimuli for host cells. In healthy individuals, because LPS can induce the expression of Trx^{54} , and because Trx has the ability to catalyze the reduction of HD5_{OX}, there might be a balance between HD5_{OX} and HD5_{RED}, which plays an undetected role in controlling LPS-induced inflammation. In patients with IBD, radiation-induced intestine injury, or other enteric diseases, the content of HD5 and the balance between HD5_{RED} and HD5_{OX} in the enteric canal might be altered. The pathological significance of the balance needs further investigation in the future.

Conclusions

As shown in Fig. 8, Paneth cell-secreted $HD5_{OX}$, which contains three specific disulfide bonds, can strongly target and destroy microbes and increase LPS release, which, if left unchecked, would induce a severe inflammatory response. Consequently, partial $HD5_{OX}$ peptides are reduced by Trx to produce $HD5_{RED}$. $HD5_{RED}$ is less effective in killing bacteria but more potent in binding LPS and blocking the interaction between LPS and low concentrations of LBP, thus suppressing the activation of TLR4-signalling and decreasing the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines that benefit the host in a manner that is complementary to $HD5_{OX}$.

Materials and Methods

Peptide preparation. Peptides were all prepared by Chinese Peptide Company (FDA 3004518843). HD5_{OX} and Acm HD5_{RED} were synthesized using a machine-assisted solid-phase chemical method⁵⁵. HD5_{OX} has been used in our previous study¹⁰. HD5_{RED} was prepared by incubating 1 mg/ mL of HD5_{OX} with 40 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) at 37 °C for 2 h. Peptides were all purified using an Agilent 1260 HPLC system equipped with a Phenomenex/Luna C18 column (5 µm, 4.6 × 150 mm) and dried by vacuum-centrifuge. HD5_{RED} was stored under argon gas at -20 °C. After receiving the peptides, we determined their purities and molecule masses by reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of

flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF), respectively, which were shown in Supplementary Fig. 6. Peptides were prepared in sterile water, with a storage concentration of 1 mg/mL. To protect HD5_{RED} from oxidation, 20 mM DTT was added into the solution.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. Immunofluorescence microscopy was carried out with a Zeiss LSM780 laser scanning confocal microscope. The terminal ileum samples were obtained from a healthy donor underwent enteroscopy. The experiment was approved by the Third Military Medical University Institutional Review Board. A rabbit polyclonal anti-HD5 antibody was prepared according to a published study¹³. HD5_{RED} (1 mg) conjugated to the keyhole limpet haemocyanin (KLH) was used as the immunogen. The antisera collected at the 7th week were initially purified by GE HiTrap Protein G and HD5_{RED}-containing HP columns, where the GE NHS-activated Sepharose 4 Fast Flow was used. To eliminate the cross reaction of antibodies recognizing KLH, the eluant was further purified by a KLH-containing HP column, where the non-specific antibodies were covalently bound. Specificity of the remaining antibody was verified by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Supplementary Table 2). Citrate buffer (10 mM, pH 6.0) was used for heat-induced antigen retrieval. The frozen sections were incubated with a normal rabbit IgG (Boster BA1041, 1:200), the rabbit polyclonal anti-HD5 antibody (1:200), and a rabbit polyclonal anti-thioredoxin (Trx) antibody (Abcam ab86255, 1:200), respectively. The goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 was employed to determine the location of HD5 and Trx.

To detect TNF- α in the cytoplasm of murine macrophage RAW264.7 cells, the cells (1×10^5 /well) were cultured on glass cover slips in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS. After adherence, the cells were then washed and incubated with LPS (Sigma L2880, 5 ng/mL) in the absence or presence of peptides (50 µg/mL, pre-incubated at 37 °C for 30 min). A primary antibody (Abcam ab1793, 1:100) and a goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Invitrogen, Alexa Fluor 555) were subsequently used to stain TNF- α . Nuclei were stained using DAPI.

HD5_{0X} reduction. A mixture of $30 \,\mu$ M HD5_{0X}, $15 \,\mu$ M human thioredoxin (Trx, Prospec PRO569), $500 \,n$ M rat liver thioredoxin reductase (TrxR, Sigma T9698), $1 \,m$ M NADPH (Sigma N5130), and $2 \,m$ M EDTA was incubated in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by adding 6% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). The solution was then centrifuged (10,000 g × 10 min) at 4°C and analyzed with an Agilent 6410 liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) instrument using a linear gradient of 5–45% acetonitrile that contained 0.1% TFA.

Acetic acid urea-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (AU-PAGE) immunoblotting. The luminal fluid (LF) samples were obtained from the terminal ileum of four healthy donors underwent enteroscopy. For each donor, approximately 2 mL of the LF was collected using a sterile fine catheter. Once removed, it was alkylated by incubating with 50 mM iodoacetamide (IAM) at room temperature for 1 h, and the samples were subsequently processed as previously described⁶. Briefly, it was initially diluted to a final concentration of 20% (v/v) by acetic acid. The protease inhibitor cocktail (1:100, v/v) was added, and the precipitates were removed by centrifugation (12,000 g \times 15 min) at 4 °C. The supernatant was then diluted 1:19 (v/v) with 5 mM ammonium acetate (pH 6.0) and purified by the GE ÄKTApurifier equipped with a HiTrap CM FF column at a running speed of 0.5 mL/min. Peptides were eluted by 5 mM ammonium acetate containing different concentrations of NaCl, which was further subjected to desalination by a HiPrep 26/10 Desaltiing column.

The eluants were lyophilized and dissolved with $200 \,\mu\text{L}$ of 5% acetic acid, which were named as LF1, LF2, LF3, and LF4, respectively. A total of $20 \,\mu\text{L}$ of each sample was analyzed on a 15% AU-PAGE gel. HD5_{OX} and ^{IAM}HD5_{RED} (500 ng/lane) were employed as the positive controls. ^{IAM}HD5_{RED} was prepared by incubating 500 ng HD5_{RED} with 1 mM IAM at room temperature for 2 h. The electrophoresis was carried out in 5% acetic acid, and methyl green was used as the tracking dye. Proteins were transferred to a Millipore PVDF membrane (0.22 μ m) with a Bio-Rad semi-dry transfer cell at 1.5 mA/cm² for 40 min. The membrane was subsequently blocked in 5% skim milk and incubated with the rabbit polyclonal anti-HD5 antibody (1:100) at 4 °C over night. Protein bands were displayed with the Pierce ECL Plus regent (Thermo 32132).

LF MALDI-TOF. The α -cyano-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid (CHCA) was prepared in a solution containing 50% acetonitrile and 0.2% TFA, with a final concentration of 10 mg/mL. Aliquot of 1 µL of LF2 was mixed with 1 µL CHCA and loaded on the sample plate. HD5_{0X} and ^{IAM}HD5_{RED} were employed as the positive controls. Co-crystallization was actualized at room temperature. The mass spectrometric analysis was performed in the linear mode on a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (MALDI 7090, Shimadzu). The molecular masses ranged from 1,000 to 4,500 Da were obtained and processed by MALDI Solutions Data Acquisition software.

Antibacterial assay. A virtual colony count protocol⁷ for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium, ATCC 14028), *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*, ATCC 25922), *E. coli* ML35 (ATCC 43827), and *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*, ATCC 29212) was used. Bacteria were cultured in Tryptic Soytone Broth (TSB) until they reached the mid-logarithmic-phase and were then diluted to 1×10^6 CFU/mL with 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 1% TSB. The peptides were prepared by two-fold serial dilution from 250 to 7.8 µg/mL in sterile water. To determine the influence of 4 µg/mL of LPS on the antibacterial activities of peptides against *E. coli*, the concentration of peptides was fixed at 125 µg/mL. Mixtures of 90 µL of bacteria and 10 µL of the peptides (or sterile water in the absence and presence of DTT) were co-incubated at 37 °C for 1 h, and the reaction was then quenched by adding 100 µL of doubly concentrated Mueller-Hinton broth. Bacterial growth was monitored using a Molecular Devices M2e microplate reader. A Visual Basic program was developed to facilitate the analysis. This assay was conducted in duplicate and repeated three times.

Zeta potential determination. *E. coli* ML35 (ATCC 43827) cells grown to the mid-logarithmic phase were centrifuged, resuspended, and diluted to the absorbance (600 nm) of 0.2 in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)

containing 1% TSB. A total of 900 μ L of the cells and 100 μ L of the peptides (or sterile water in the absence and presence of 1 mM DTT) were incubated and loaded into a disposable zeta cell with gold electrode. Samples were equilibrated at 25 °C for 2 min. Zeta potential was determined using the Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, UK). A total of 6 measurements of 100 runs were carried out for each sample. This assay was repeated twice and the data were analyzed for statistical significance using a Student–Newman–Keuls multiple comparisons test.

Biolayer interferometry (BLI). The recruitment of peptides to lipid A (Sigma L5399) was determined using Forte Bio's "Octet Red 96" BLI (Pall Life Sciences, US). The amine-reactive second-generation (AR2G) biosensor was activated using 20 mM 1-ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropy] carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC, Sigma E1769) and 10 mM sulfo-N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (s-NHS, Sigma 56485). Lipid A was immobilized on biosensors at the concentration of 20 μ g/mL until the binding curves reached the plateau. Ethanolamine (1 M, pH 8.5) was used to quench the immobilization. Peptides were prepared in the running buffer (5 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4), with concentrations of 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 nM, respectively. Association and disassociation, 5 min for each, were carried out at a shaking speed of 600 rpm. Biosensors were regenerated by 0.1 M Glycine-HCl buffer (pH 2.0). The specificity of lipid A interacting with peptides was demonstrated by an experiment using 800 nM peptides (Supplementary Fig. 7). The binding thicknesses were processed using Fortebio Data Analysis 7.0 software, in which the methods for y-axis alignment, inter-step correction, and Savitzky-Golay filtering were specified. The equilibrium dissociation constant (K_{D}), calculated as the ratio of the dissociation rate constant (K_{off}) to the association rate constant (K_{on}), was generated by a 1:1 fitting model. This experiment was repeated three times.

Outer membrane penetration. A 1-N-phenylnaphthylamine (NPN) uptake assay was performed to probe the lipid exposure of the *E. coli* ML35 (ATCC 43827) outer membrane. Bacteria grown to the mid-logarithmic phase were centrifuged and resuspended in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Mixtures of 190 μ L cells (1 \times 10⁸ CFU/mL) and 10 μ L peptides (or sterile water in the absence and presence of 0.13 mM DTT) were co-incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Then, an aliquot of 10 μ L of NPN (Sigma 104043) was added, resulting in a final concentration of 10 μ M. After excitation at 350 nm, the fluorescent intensity was measured in the range from 380–450 nm at intervals of 2 nm using a Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro microplate reader. This assay was conducted in duplicate and repeated three times.

Inner membrane permeabilization. A total of $80 \,\mu\text{L}$ of *E. coli* ML35 ($1 \times 10^7 \,\text{CFU/mL}$) grown to the mid-logarithmic phase, $10 \,\mu\text{L}$ 2-nitrophenyl β -D-galactopyranoside (ONPG, Sigma N1127, 25 mM), and $10 \,\mu\text{L}$ peptides (or sterile water in the absence and presence of 0.25 mM DTT) were co-incubated at 37 °C for 2 h with 50 s of shaking every 5 min. The production of 2-nitrophenol (ONP), a marker of inner membrane penetration¹⁰, was determined by measuring the absorbance at 405 nm. This experiment was conducted in duplicate and repeated three times.

Membrane depolarization. *E. coli* ML35 cells grown to the mid-logarithmic phase were diluted with 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) to 5×10^{6} CFU/mL. A total of 180 µL of the cells were exposed to 20 µL of peptides (or sterile water in the absence and presence of 0.25 mM DTT) at 37 °C for 1 h, and the mixture was then incubated with 1 µg/mL bis-(1,3-dibutylbarbituric acid) trimethine oxonol [DiBAC4(3), Dojindo D545] for 10 min. DiBAC4(3) is a potential-sensitive anionic dye that passes into cells with depolarized membranes according to the Nernst equation, resulting in increased fluorescence that can be detected using flow cytometry^{13,56}. The bacteria were subsequently centrifuged (4,500 g, 10 min) and resuspended in 300 µL cold PBS for two times. The percentage of depolarized cells was measured using a BD FACSVerse flow cytometer, and 50,000 events per sample were obtained. By analyzing the FSC-H/FSC-W and SSC-H/SSC-W scatterplots, the widened splashes of cells treated with peptides relative to cells treated with sterile water were discarded. The data were processed using FlowJo software (version 7.6.1) and analyzed for statistical significance using a Student–Newman–Keuls multiple comparisons test.

Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. The conformational properties of the peptides were analyzed using an Applied Photophysics Chirascan instrument at 27 °C. A cell with a 1-mm path length was utilized. The CD spectra were obtained from 190–280 nm at 1 nm intervals. The time-per-point was 0.5 s, and the scanning time was approximately 73 s. Peptides $(100 \,\mu\text{g/mL}, 250 \,\mu\text{L})$ prepared in sterile water were examined in the presence of 20 mM sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and $100 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ LPS. Before scanning, peptides were incubated with SDS and LPS at room temperature for 1 h. The data obtained from three independent scans were averaged and smoothed by Pro-Data Chirascan software (version 4.1).

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). Interactions between peptides and LPS were monitored using a MicroCal ITC200 system (Malvern, UK) at 25 °C. The concentration of the peptides in the syringe was 1 mg/ mL (40 μ L). LPS was prepared in sterile water at a concentration of 10 μ g/mL (300 μ L per cell). Twenty injections (0.2 μ L for the first injection and 2 μ L for the remaining injections) were applied at 120 s intervals and at a stirring rate of 1,000 rpm. The data were processed by subtracting the baseline heat release from titrations of sterile water into LPS and from 20 mM DTT into LPS. The experiment was repeated three times.

LPS-binding protein (LBP) block assay. The effects of peptides on the interaction between LPS and low concentrations of LBP were determined as previously described²⁰. Briefly, the plates of a LBP ELISA kit (Boster EK1274) were blocked by the incubation of 1% BSA prepared in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) at 37 °C for 1 h, which were subsequently washed with 0.1% Tween-20. Aliquots of 100 μ L of mouse LBP (Sigma SRP6034, 60 ng/mL) were added and incubated at 37 °C for 1.5 h. Peptides were prepared in sterile water, with

concentrations of 12.5, 25, and 50 μ g/mL, respectively. Biotinylated LPS (50 ng/mL) was added in the absence or presence of peptides (pre-incubated at 37 °C for 30 min). Polymyxin B (200 ng/mL) was used as a positive control. The binding of LPS to LBP was determined using a chromogenic reaction between HRP-conjugated streptavidin (Boster BA1088) and TMB (Sigma 860336). Data were processed by subtracting the absorbance in the absence of LPS. Statistical significance was determined by the Student–Newman–Keuls multiple comparisons test. The experiment was conducted in duplicate and repeated three times.

TNF- α **release detection.** The murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 was obtained from the cell bank of Chinese academy of sciences (CAS, Shanghai). Cells were grown in DMEM containing 10% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate, seeded into the wells of a 24-well plate at a density of 5 × 10⁵ cells/ well and cultured at 37 °C overnight. The cells were then washed and incubated with LPS (5 ng/mL) for 9 h in the absence or presence of peptides (50 µg/mL, pre-incubated at 37 °C for 30 min). The TNF- α content in the supernatant was determined by ELISA (Boster EK0527). Statistical comparisons of the data were performed using a Student–Newman–Keuls multiple comparisons test. This assay was performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The RNA of RAW264.7 cells was extracted using the TaKaRa (Dalian, CHN) RNAiso Plus reagent. A total of 900 ng of RNA was reverse-transcribed using a TaKaRa PrimeScriptTM RT-PCR kit (DRR014A). The following forward (F) and reverse (R) primers were used: TNF- α : F, 5'-GGAACTGGCAGAAGAGGC-3'; R, 5'-CACTTGGTGGTTGCTACG-3'; and β -actin, F, 5'-GAGACCTTCAACACCCCAGC-3'; R, 5'-ATGTCACGCACGATTTCCC-3'. Data were obtained using Bio-Rad iQ5 standard edition optical system software (version 2.1) and analyzed for statistical significance using a Student–Newman–Keuls multiple comparisons test. The experiment was conducted in duplicate and repeated three times.

References

- 1. Selsted, M. E. & Ouellette, A. J. Mammalian defensins in the antimicrobial immune response. Nat Immunol 6, 551-557 (2005).
- 2. Lehrer, R. I. & Lu, W. alpha-Defensins in human innate immunity. Immunol Rev 245, 84-112 (2012).
- 3. Pazgier, M., Hoover, D. M., Yang, D., Lu, W. & Lubkowski, J. Human beta-defensins. Cell Mol Life Sci 63, 1294–1313 (2006).
- 4. Ganz, T. et al. Defensins. Natural peptide antibiotics of human neutrophils. J Clin Invest 76, 1427-1435 (1985).
- 5. Liu, L., Zhao, C., Heng, H. H. & Ganz, T. The human beta-defensin-1 and alpha-defensins are encoded by adjacent genes: two peptide families with differing disulfide topology share a common ancestry. *Genomics* **43**, 316–320 (1997).
- 6. Ghosh, D. et al. Paneth cell trypsin is the processing enzyme for human defensin-5. Nat Immunol 3, 583-590 (2002).
- 7. Ericksen, B., Wu, Z., Lu, W. & Lehrer, R. I. Antibacterial activity and specificity of the six human {alpha}-defensins. Antimicrob Agents Ch 49, 269–275 (2005).
- 8. Leeb, M. Antibiotics: a shot in the arm. Nature 431, 892-893 (2004).
- Wang, A. et al. High level expression and purification of bioactive human alpha-defensin 5 mature peptide in Pichia pastoris. Appl Microbiol Biot 84, 877–884 (2009).
- 10. Wang, C. et al. Design of a potent antibiotic Peptide based on the active region of human defensin 5. J Med Chem 58, 3083–3093 (2015).
- 11. Jaeger, S. U. *et al.* Cell-mediated reduction of human beta-defensin 1: a major role for mucosal thioredoxin. *Mucosal Immunol* **6**, 1179–1190 (2013).
- 12. Zhang, Y., Cougnon, F. B., Wanniarachchi, Y. A., Hayden, J. A. & Nolan, E. M. Reduction of human defensin 5 affords a high-affinity zinc-chelating peptide. ACS Chem Biol 8, 1907–1911 (2013).
- 13. Schroeder, B. O. *et al.* Reduction of disulphide bonds unmasks potent antimicrobial activity of human beta-defensin 1. *Nature* **469**, 419–423 (2011).
- Salzman, N. H., Ghosh, D., Huttner, K. M., Paterson, Y. & Bevins, C. L. Protection against enteric salmonellosis in transgenic mice expressing a human intestinal defensin. *Nature* 422, 522–526 (2003).
- 15. Yang, D. *et al.* Beta-defensins: linking innate and adaptive immunity through dendritic and T cell CCR6. *Science* **286**, 525–528 (1999).
- Rohrl, J., Yang, D., Oppenheim, J. J. & Hehlgans, T. Human beta-defensin 2 and 3 and their mouse orthologs induce chemotaxis through interaction with CCR2. J Immunol 184, 6688–6694 (2010).
- Yang, D., Chen, Q., Chertov, O. & Oppenheim, J. J. Human neutrophil defensins selectively chemoattract naive T and immature dendritic cells. J Leukocyte Biol 68, 9–14 (2000).
- de Leeuw, E., Burks, S. R., Li, X., Kao, J. P. & Lu, W. Structure-dependent functional properties of human defensin 5. FEBS Lett 581, 515–520 (2007).
- 19. Lu, W. & de Leeuw, E. Pro-inflammatory and pro-apoptotic properties of Human Defensin 5. Biochem Bioph Res Co 436, 557-562 (2013).
- Scott, M. G., Vreugdenhil, A. C., Buurman, W. A., Hancock, R. E. & Gold, M. R. Cutting edge: cationic antimicrobial peptides block the binding of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to LPS binding protein. *J Immunol* 164, 549–553 (2000).
- 21. Cohen, J. The immunopathogenesis of sepsis. Nature 420, 885-891 (2002).
- 22. Ayabe, T. *et al.* Secretion of microbicidal alpha-defensins by intestinal Paneth cells in response to bacteria. *Nat Immunol* **1**, 113–118 (2000).
- 23. Kelly, P. *et al.* Reduced gene expression of intestinal alpha-defensins predicts diarrhea in a cohort of African adults. *J Infect Dis* **193**, 1464–1470 (2006).
- 24. Shi, J. Defensins and Paneth cells in inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 13, 1284–1292 (2007).
- Li, F. X. et al. Down-regulation of aquaporin3 expression by lipopolysaccharide via p38/c-Jun N-terminal kinase signalling pathway in HT-29 human colon epithelial cells. World J Gastroentero 21, 4547–4554 (2015).
- 26. Frolova, L., Drastich, P., Rossmann, P., Klimesova, K. & Tlaskalova-Hogenova, H. Expression of Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2), TLR4, and CD14 in biopsy samples of patients with inflammatory bowel diseases: upregulated expression of TLR2 in terminal ileum of patients with ulcerative colitis. J Histochem Cytochem 56, 267–274 (2008).
- Gribar, S. C., Anand, R. J., Sodhi, C. P. & Hackam, D. J. The role of epithelial Toll-like receptor signaling in the pathogenesis of intestinal inflammation. J Leukocyte Biol 83, 493–498 (2008).
- 28. Wieczorek, M. *et al.* Structural studies of a peptide with immune modulating and direct antimicrobial activity. *Chem Biol* **17**, 970–980 (2010).
- Enjalbal, C. et al. MALDI-TOF MS analysis of soluble PEG based multi-step synthetic reaction mixtures with automated detection of reaction failure. J Am Soc Mass Spectr 16, 670–678 (2005).

- 30. Brogden, K. A. Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or metabolic inhibitors in bacteria? Nat Rev. Microbiol 3, 238-250 (2005).
- Jager, S., Stange, E. F. & Wehkamp, J. Antimicrobial peptides in gastrointestinal inflammation. *Int J Inflam* 2010, 910283 (2010).
 Hoover, D. M., Wu, Z., Tucker, K., Lu, W. & Lubkowski, J. Antimicrobial characterization of human beta-defensin 3 derivatives.
- Antimicrob Agents Ch 47, 2804–2809 (2003).
 33. Wei, G. *et al.* Through the looking glass, mechanistic insights from enantiomeric human defensins. *J Biol Chem* 284, 29180–29192 (2009).
- Wilnes, M., Cammue, B. P., Sahl, H. G. & Thevissen, K. Antibiotic activities of host defense peptides: more to it than lipid bilayer
 - perturbation. Nat Prod Rep 28, 1350-1358 (2011).
- 35. Peschel, A. How do bacteria resist human antimicrobial peptides? *Trends Microbiol* **10**, 179–186 (2002).
- 36. Tran, D. *et al.* Microbicidal properties and cytocidal selectivity of rhesus macaque theta defensins. *Antimicrob Agents Ch* **52**, 944–953 (2008).
- Wanniarachchi, Y. A., Kaczmarek, P., Wan, A. & Nolan, E. M. Human defensin 5 disulfide array mutants: disulfide bond deletion attenuates antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus. *Biochemistry* 50, 8005–8017 (2011).
- Lehrer, R. I. et al. Interaction of human defensins with Escherichia coli. Mechanism of bactericidal activity. J Clin Invest 84, 553–561 (1989).
- Langham, A. A., Waring, A. J. & Kaznessis, Y. N. Comparison of interactions between beta-hairpin decapeptides and SDS/DPC micelles from experimental and simulation data. *BMC Biochem* 8, 11 (2007).
- 40. Liu, S. P. *et al.* Linear analogues of human beta-defensin 3: Concepts for design of antimicrobial peptides with reduced cytotoxicity to mammalian cells. *Chembiochem* **9**, 964–973 (2008).
- Saravanan, R. *et al.* Structure, activity and interactions of the cysteine deleted analog of tachyplesin-1 with lipopolysaccharide micelle: Mechanistic insights into outer-membrane permeabilization and endotoxin neutralization. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1818, 1613–1624 (2012).
- 42. Moser, S., Chileveru, H. R., Tomaras, J. & Nolan, E. M. A Bacterial Mutant Library as a Tool to Study the Attack of a Defensin Peptide. *Chembiochem* 15, 2684–2688 (2014).
- Shinozuka, Y., Uematsu, K., Takagi, M. & Taura, Y. Comparison of the amounts of endotoxin released from Escherichia coli after exposure to antibiotics and ozone: an *in vitro* evaluation. J Vet Med Sci 70, 419–422 (2008).
- 44. Rietschel, E. T. *et al.* Bacterial endotoxin: molecular relationships of structure to activity and function. *FASEB J* **8**, 217–225 (1994). 45. Takeda, K. The lipid A receptor. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **667**, 53–58 (2010).
- 46. Maeshima, N. & Fernandez, R. C. Recognition of lipid A variants by the TLR4-MD-2 receptor complex. Front Cell Infect Mi 3, 3 (2013).
- 47. Hancock, R. E. Peptide antibiotics. Lancet 349, 418-422 (1997).
- Kaconis, Y. *et al.* Biophysical mechanisms of endotoxin neutralization by cationic amphiphilic peptides. *Biophys J* 100, 2652–2661 (2011).
- Wurfel, M. M., Kunitake, S. T., Lichenstein, H., Kane, J. P. & Wright, S. D. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-binding protein is carried on lipoproteins and acts as a cofactor in the neutralization of LPS. J Exp Med 180, 1025–1035 (1994).
- Lamping, N. et al. LPS-binding protein protects mice from septic shock caused by LPS or gram-negative bacteria. J Clin Invest 101, 2065–2071 (1998).
- Vreugdenhil, A. C., Snoek, A. M., Greve, J. W. & Buurman, W. A. Lipopolysaccharide-binding protein is vectorially secreted and transported by cultured intestinal epithelial cells and is present in the intestinal mucus of mice. *J Immunol* 165, 4561–4566 (2000).
- Vreugdenhil, A. C., Dentener, M. A., Snoek, A. M., Greve, J. W. & Buurman, W. A. Lipopolysaccharide binding protein and serum amyloid A secretion by human intestinal epithelial cells during the acute phase response. *J Immunol* 163, 2792–2798 (1999).
- Ott, S. J. et al. Reduction in diversity of the colonic mucosa associated bacterial microflora in patients with active inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 53, 685–693 (2004).
- Ejima, K., Koji, T., Nanri, H., Kashimura, M. & Ikeda, M. Expression of thioredoxin and thioredoxin reductase in placentae of pregnant mice exposed to lipopolysaccharide. *Placenta* 20, 561–566 (1999).
- 55. Wu, Z., Ericksen, B., Tucker, K., Lubkowski, J. & Lu, W. Synthesis and characterization of human alpha-defensins 4–6. *J Pept Res* 64, 118–125 (2004).
- Nuding, S. et al. Antibacterial activity of human defensins on anaerobic intestinal bacterial species: a major role of HBD-3. Microbes Infect 11, 384–393 (2009).

Acknowledgements

We appreciate Dr. Huang Y. (Biomedical Analysis Center, TMMU, CHN) for the assistance in MALDI-TOF experiment. Meanwhile, we thank Yan X. (School of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, SNNU, CHN) for the assistance in circular dichroism spectroscopy. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81502960, 81271898, and 81172600), the Academician Fund of Chongqing City (No. CSTC, 2007AB5022) and the Fund from PLA (No. BSW11J009).

Author Contributions

C.W. completed most of the experiments and wrote the paper. M.S. conducted the circular dichroism spectroscopy and isothermal titration calorimetry. N.Z. performed the immunofluorescence microscopy. S.W., Y.X., S.C., F.C., K.Y., T.H. and A.W. supported the experimental operations. Y.S. and T.C. provided valuable suggestions on the data analysis. J.Z. revised the manuscript. J.W. the corresponding author of this study, designed and supervised the project.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Wang, C. *et al.* Reduction Impairs the Antibacterial Activity but Benefits the LPS Neutralization Ability of Human Enteric Defensin 5. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 22875; doi: 10.1038/srep22875 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/