Pragmatic comparative effectiveness study of multimodal fascia iliaca nerve block and continuous lumbar epidural-based protocols for periacetabular osteotomy

Megan Albertz^{1,4}, Patrick Whitlock ¹, Fang Yang³, Lili Ding³, Molly Uchtman², Marc Mecoli¹, Vanessa Olbrecht¹, David Moore¹, James McCarthy² and Vidya Chidambaran ¹*

> ¹Department of Anesthesiology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH, USA, ²Department of Orthopedics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH, USA, ³Division of Biostatistics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH, USA. ⁴Present address: Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora, CO, USA. *Correspondence to: V. Chidambaran. E-mail: Vidya.chidambaran@cchmc.org Submitted 4 October 2020; Revised 14 January 2021; revised version accepted 15 January 2021

ABSTRACT

Perioperative pain management protocols have a significant impact on early surgical outcomes and recovery. We hypothesized that multimodal protocol including fascia iliaca compartment nerve block (MM-FICNB) would decrease the length of hospital stay (LOS) by facilitating earlier mobilization, without compromising analgesia, compared to a traditional lumbar epidural-based protocol (EP). Demographics/comorbidities, surgical/block characteristics and perioperative pain/mobilization data were collected from a prospectively recruited MM-FICNB group (N=16) and a retrospective EP cohort (N=16) who underwent PAO using similar surgical techniques, physical therapy/discharge criteria. Association of MM-FICNB group with LOS (primary outcome), postoperative pain, postoperative opioid requirements in morphine equivalent rates (MER) (mcg/kg/h) and time to complete physical therapy were tested using multivariable and survival regression. Patient and surgical characteristics were similar between groups. Median time for FICNB performance was significantly less than epidural (6 versus 15 min; P < 0.001). LOS was significantly decreased in the MM-FICNB group (2.88 ± 0.72 days) compared to the EP group (4.38 ± 1.02 days); P < 0.001. MM-FICNB group had significantly lower MER on POD1 (P = 0.006) and POD2 (P < 0.001), with similar pain scores on all POD. MM-FICNB group was associated with decreased LOS and earlier mobilization (P < 0.001) by covariate-adjusted multivariate regression. Cox proportional hazard regression model showed MM-FICNB subjects had 63 (95% CI 7-571, P < 0.001) times the chance of completing physical therapy goals, compared to EP. Compared to EP, MM-FICNB protocol allowed earlier mobilization and decreased post-surgical hospitalization by 1.5 days, without compromising analgesia, with important implications for value-based healthcare and cost-effectiveness.

INTRODUCTION

Periacetabular osteotomy (PAO) is commonly performed to treat symptomatic acetabular dysplasia or retroversion in skeletally mature patients. Left untreated, these conditions often lead to continued pain and the need for hip replacement at a young age [1, 2]. PAO decreases pain associated with these conditions and delays the need for total hip replacement [3, 4]. Optimum perioperative pain control plays an important role in facilitating early function after PAO surgery. Conversely, inadequately controlled pain can hinder postoperative mobilization, increase opioid consumption, prolong hospital stays and delay recovery [5, 6].

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Hence, perioperative pain management protocols have a significant impact on early surgical outcomes and recovery.

Pain after POA is multifactorial from incisions, osteotomies, muscle rearrangements and neuropathic pain. Presence of preoperative pain, comorbidities such as Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (EDS), longer surgical duration and obesity have been shown to be associated with higher postoperative pain, limiting recovery [7–9]. Opioid minimizing multimodal analgesia protocols used in enhanced recovery after surgery protocols (ERAS) have shown benefits after hip and knee arthroplasty [10-12]. Use of regional pain management procedures (peripheral nerve blocks and neuraxial analgesia) play an important role in multimodal analgesia. For PAO surgery, regional analgesia should take into account the sensory innervation of the hip joint via articular branches of the obturator nerve, femoral nerve, sciatic nerve and superior gluteal nerve branches [13]. Options for analgesia include lumbar epidural, patient-controlled analgesia pump, nerve blocks (femoral, fascia iliaca, lumbar plexus block) or local infiltration, in conjunction with muscle relaxants. Epidural analgesia is commonly used, but due to pitfalls of failure rates (27-32% [14], the risk for rare neurologic complications, urinary retention, hypotension and motor blockade, they are currently not preferred for unilateral hip/knee major surgeries in adults [15, 16]. In our practice, perioperative pain after PAO was previously managed with continuous lumbar epidural analgesia protocol (EP). However, the above-mentioned concerns with epidural analgesia limiting mobilization on postoperative day 1 prompted the initiation of a multimodal pain management protocol comprising fascia iliaca compartment nerve block (MM-FICNB) in lieu of EP.

FICNB is a simple and effective compartment block that has been used for postoperative analgesia after hip surgery [17-21]. The compartment is a potential space bounded anteriorly by the fascia lata and posteriorly by the iliacus and psoas muscles. Under ultrasound guidance, injection of a large volume of local anesthetic beneath fascia iliaca travels cephalad to block the femoral, lateral cutaneous and obturator nerves. Since the PAO incision lies largely in the interval between the sartorius and the tensor fascia lata, and this anterolateral portion of the hip capsule is mostly innervated by the femoral nerve, FICNB is expected to block incisional pain. However, some studies show no difference in pain intensity after FICNB compared to sham blocks for hip surgery [22], and there are no studies evaluating the effectiveness of multimodal protocols including FICNB for mobilization and recovery after PAO in adolescents and young adults. We hypothesized that our new, MM-FICNB based analgesia protocol will allow earlier mobilization and decrease the length of hospital stay without compromising analgesia when compared to the previous EP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A pragmatic study was conducted to compare the effectiveness of a newer multimodal protocol including FICNB (MM-FICNB) with a previously employed epidural pain protocol (EP) for pain control after periacetabular osteotomy (PAO). The study was approved by our Institutional Review Board. Data collection for patients receiving EP was done using retrospective chart review, for which consent waiver was obtained; subjects receiving MM-FICNB protocol were recruited prospectively, and written consent obtained as appropriate.

Study participants

Participants of any age, sex or race who had a diagnosis of symptomatic hip dysplasia or retroversion who underwent PAO from May 2015 to January 2017 were included. During this timeframe, we transitioned clinically from using an EP (05/2015–06/2016) to a MM-FICNB protocol (07/2016–01/2017). Preoperative coagulopathy, infection at the site of injection, preexisting neurological symptoms or sensation/motor deficits, history of chemotherapy or allergy to local anesthetics would exclude patients from either procedure.

Protocol description

Intraoperative anesthetic management (common) All surgeries were performed by two experienced surgeons at similar points on the learning curve. All patients received similar preoperative evaluation and underwent standard general endotracheal anesthesia for the procedure with standard monitoring recommended by American Society of Anesthesiologists. Patients received intravenous midazolam, propofol, fentanyl and muscle relaxants for induction/ tracheal intubation and inhalation-based maintenance of anesthesia. Muscle relaxants were reversed at the end of surgery before tracheal extubation. Hydromorphone/morphine was used intraoperatively at the discretion of the anesthesia team and diazepam 0.05 mg/kg (maximum 4 mg) was administered intravenously after trachea was extubated. All patients received anti-emetics (dexamethasone and ondansetron) and appropriate antibiotics for prophylaxis. Patients in both groups received intraoperative dose of intravenous acetaminophen and ketorolac (see Table I). They also received 1000 mg tranexamic acid before incision and 1000 mg before skin closure to decrease the potential for blood loss.

and epidural based prov	perioperative protocols for the two groups—multimodal featorol (old)	ascia iliaca compartment nerve block group/MM-FICNB (new)
	MM-FICNB protocol	EP
Preoperative		
Preparation	Similar education and preoperative optimization	
Premedication	Pregabalin 50–75 mg orally about an hour before surgery	Nothing specific
	Celebrex 200 mg orally about an hour before surgery	
	Midazolam intravenous as needed	
Intraoperative		
Anesthesia	General endotracheal anesthesia (GETA): Intravenous inductior muscle relaxant per discretion of anesthesia team Maintenance muscle relaxants and opioids as needed	n with fentanyl, lidocaine propofol and e with inhalational anesthesia and
	Diazepam 0.05 mg/kg IV after tracheal extubation;	
	Intravenous acetaminophen (15 mg/kg) max 1000 mg; Ketorola	c 0.5 mg/kg (maximum 15 mg)
Procedure and proto- col	Methadone 0.1 mg/kg (max 5 mg) 111tersonud midad infrainminal fascia iliaca narra	Lumbar epidural catheter placement (L2–3 or L3–4) before induction of anesthesia, with midazolam/fentanyl
specific analgesics	block single injection (under GETA, before incision)	Loading dose 10 ml of ropivacaine 0.2%
	with 0.2% ropivacaine 1 ml/kg (max 40 ml)	Maintenance 8–10 ml/h with ropivacaine 0.125–0.2% (maximum dose 0.4 mg/kg/h)
POD 0-1	Pain service follows patient	
Activity	Early mobilization and involvement of physical/occupational the	crapy; Spirometer 10×/h while awake
Diet	Clears and advance to regular diet as tolerated	
	Start bowel regimen (stool softeners)	
Drains/catheters	Discontinue foley catheter	Continue foley catheter
Protocol-specific analgesia	Methadone 0.1 mg/kg (max 5 mg) 12 h from previous intraoperative dose	Protocol specific analgesia

(continued)

730 • *M. Albertz* et al.

Table I: (continued)		
	MM-FICNB protocol	EP
Analgesia common to both groups	+ Oxycodone orally 0.1 mg/kg (5–7.5 mg) every 4 h when toleratir	ig diet 1 nO 15 m.s./i.s. (m.s. 660 m.s.) och Mos Jaco 2 s./Jaco
1)	+ Acetaminopien 15 mg/kg 1V (max 1000 mg) × 5 doses followeد + لا ما ما الما المارية (ما 10 ما 10 ما الما ما	1 r/O 15 mg/kg (max o50 mg) qon Max dose 5 g/ day u scuirin or enovenarin)
	+ Diazebam 0.05 mg/kg (max 5 mg) IV 04h PRN muscle spasms	
	+ Methocarbamol 15 mg/kg (max 1000 mg) q8h IV	
	+ Hydromorphone 0.1 mcg/kg every 4 h IV PRN severe pain	
Opioid side effect management	PRN nausea/vomiting: Ondansetron 4 mg IV q6h; PRN pruritis: N depression/oversedation: Naloxone 1–2 mcg/kg (for over-sedatic	albuphine 0.05 mg/kg; PRN respiratory m); 10–20 mcg/kg (for life threatening respiratory depression);
POD 2		
Protocol-specific analgesia	Nothing specific	Discontinue epidural catheter and analgesia
	Switch IV to acetaminophen PO 650 mg q6h (max 75 mg/kg/day o	rr 3 g/day)
	Switch IV to PO diazepam 0.05–0.075 mg/kg (max 5 mg) q4h PRN	I muscle spasm
	Switch methocarbamol IV to PO 15 mg/kg (500–1000 mg) q8h $$	
	Continue oxycodone PO every 4 h	
	Hydromorphone 0.1 mcg/kg every 4 h IV PRN severe pain	
Diet	Regular; Bowel regimen (stool softener/Senna)	
Activity	Physical/occupational therapy; Spirometer $10{ imes}/h$ while awake	
Drains/Foley	None	Discontinue foley catheter
Discharge planning	Discharge home once physical therapy goals completed	
POD 3 and beyond		
All	Continue activity; Continue incentive spir Home if excellent mobilization and pain	ometry; Continue bowel regimen and diet; control with PO oxycodone, acetaminophen and diazepam.
RN, pro re nata, or when necess	ary; IV, intravenous; PO, per os/oral; POD, postoperative day.	

732 • *M. Albertz* et al.

The regional procedures described below were performed by experienced members of the perioperative pain service.

Epidural protocol

In the epidural group, a lumbar (L2-3, L3-4) epidural catheter (20 G) was placed before induction of anesthesia, with the patient in sitting position, using a loss of resistance with saline technique (18 G epidural Tuohy needle). The epidural infusion with 0.2% ropivacaine was started intraoperatively and continued until postoperative day 2 at 10–12 ml/h. On a postoperative day (POD) 2, the epidural catheter was removed, followed by removal of the foley catheter 6 h later.

MM-FICNB protocol

The MM-FICNB group received preoperative pregabalin 50–75 mg and celecoxib 200 mg orally. Single-injection FICNB was performed under ultrasound guidance using an in-plane technique prior to incision, under GETA. After identifying the iliacus muscle lateral to the femoral artery, the needle ($22 \text{ G} \times 2''$ (50 mm) with facet tip ©Pajunk medical systems, L.P., Georgia, USA) was visualized entering the fascia lata and the fascia iliaca and 0.2% ropiva-caine—1 ml/kg up to 40 ml was injected after aspiration, beneath the fascia lata (Figure 1). Methadone (0.1 mg/kg max 5 mg) was administered intraoperatively before incision, and another dose 12 h after. Pregabalin was continued every 12 h after surgery for a total of four doses.

Postoperative pain regimen (common to both groups) Postoperatively, both groups were followed by the perioperative pain team with standardized order sets as described in Table I.

Physical therapy and discharge

Postoperatively, physical therapists provided patient/caregiver education regarding mobility, transfers and discharge planning. Physical therapy goals to be satisfied for discharge included ability to perform supine to sit to stand at edge of bed (EOB) with supervision with assistive device toe-touch weight bearing on affected lower extremity (TTWB), ambulate functional distances with supervision with TTWB and negotiate stairs TTWB with crutches with minimum assist. Physical therapist documented completion of staged goals in patient's electronic medical record. Occupational therapy worked with patients for activities of daily life training and caregiver education. Discharge goals remained the same over the entire study period.



Fig. 1. Ultrasound image of landmarks in infrainguinal fascia iliaca compartment nerve block (FICNB). Using the in-plane ultrasound technique, a high volume of local anesthetic is injected underneath the fascia iliaca to provide analgesia targeting the femoral, lateral femoral cutaneous and obturator nerves that innervate the anterolateral and anteromedial aspect of the hip and thigh. The yellow arrow represents trajectory of needle entry and tip of arrow indicates the location of local anesthetic deposition.

Data collection

The following preoperative data were collected: demographics, comorbidities, anxiety scores, pain scores, home medications; intraoperatively, time taken for the performance of the procedure (epidural, FICNB), duration of surgery, blood loss, fluids administered, opioid doses administered. Postoperative pain scores [Numeric Rating Scale (0-10)] and rescue intravenous opioids administered on POD0 (day of surgery), POD1 and POD2 were collected. All rescue doses of intravenous opioids were converted to morphine equivalent rates (MER-units mcg/ kg/h) for ease of comparison, using a conversion of 1 mg morphine = 0.2 mg hydromorphone = 1 mg methadone. We collected data on POD when physical therapy goals were achieved stand EOB with supervision, ambulate functional distances (few steps and at least 15 steps) and negotiate stairs] as well as the date of discharge. Any untoward effects in either group was also recorded.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the length of hospital stay (LOS), calculated by subtracting the procedure date from the discharge date. Secondary outcomes were analgesia (pain scores and intravenous rescue opioid doses) and mobilization (completion of physical therapy goals).

Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation, or median and interquartile range for continuous variables, and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables) were generated for demographics and other data characteristics by group. Groups were compared for continuous baseline variables using two-sample *t*-tests (if normally distributed) or Wilcoxon rank-sum test (if not normally distributed). For categorical baseline variables, Fisher's exact or Chisquare tests were used. We calculated standardized mean difference (SMD) to quantify differences between the groups. Conventionally, SMD of 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 or higher are considered small, median and large, respectively. Test for partially overlapping data was used for subjects who had repeat surgery as this accounts for the dependency between repeated measures. For secondary mobilization outcome (time to final physical therapy), LOS was used as censored time to event when final physical therapy goal was not achieved before discharge, and Log-rank test was used to compare between groups. We developed four multivariable regression models with MM-FICNB group as independent variable for; (i) LOS adjusted for duration of surgery, comorbidity (EDS), total MER and intraoperative fluids; (ii) average pain scores over postoperative days, (iii) total postoperative MER [adjusted for preoperative pain, comorbidity (EDS), duration of surgery] and (iv) time to final physical therapy goal [adjusted for postoperative pain scores, comorbidity (EDS) and preoperative pain score] using Cox proportional hazard model for time to event data. All tests were two-sided with a significant level alpha = 0.05. Additionally, we used *P*-values cut-off of 0.0125 for statistical significance to account for multiple testing on one primary outcome and three secondary outcomes listed above. All statistical analyses were run in SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Power analysis

The study was powered to detect a significant difference in the primary outcome LOS. With n = 32 (16 each group), a two-sample *t*-test has more than 85% power to detect a one day difference in LOS (assuming a common std of 0.9) with a significance level of 0.05.

RESULTS

Over the study timeframe, 16 consecutive subjects who received EP (until June 2016) and 16 consecutive patients under the MM-FICNB protocol (initiated in July 2016) satisfied inclusion criteria. Three patients underwent hip surgery on each side at least a year apart—one of them received the epidural protocol both the times, while the

other two received EP for the first hip and MM-FICNB protocol with the second hip surgery.

Group characteristics

EP and MM-FICNB groups were similar in demographics (Table II), preoperative pain and comorbidities. About half the patients in both groups were using analgesic medications preoperatively, mostly non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Surgical characteristics (surgical duration, reported blood loss) were not different among the groups. Time for performing ultrasound-guided FICNB was about 10 min less than an epidural block (P < 0.001). A higher proportion of subjects in EP group had postoperative adverse outcomes (5/16; headache-1, lethargy-1, nausea-2, unrelated sacroiliac joint issues-1) compared to MM-FICNB group (1/16; numbness lateral thigh-1, which resolved over 3–4 months) (P = 0.172).

Primary outcome (LOS)

The average LOS for the entire cohort was 3.63 ± 1.16 days. We found LOS to be significantly longer in the EP group (4.38 ± 1.02 days) compared to the MM-FICNB group (2.88 ± 0.72 days) (P < 0.001, two-sample *t*-test, tests on partially overlapped data gave similar results) (Table III). Using multivariable regression adjusted for covariates, we found that the only factor that influenced LOS was the group (MM-FICNB) [Beta: -1.557 (SE 0.368); P < 0.001]. Multivariable regression results are provided in Table IV.

Secondary outcomes

Pain and opioid outcomes

Intraoperative MER were not significantly different between the two groups. Postoperative MER and pain scores for the groups are presented in Table III. MM-FICNB group used significantly more MER on POD0 but significantly less opioid MER on POD1 and POD2. Pain scores were not significantly different on any postoperative day, although there was a trend for lower mean pain scores in the MM-FICNB group after POD0 while remaining stable in the EP. Multivariable regression with total MER and average pain over the entire stay, adjusted for covariates showed that the group (MM-FICNB) did not play a statistically significant role in determining pain outcomes (Table IV).

Time to mobilization

Time after surgery when subjects met physical therapy goals are provided in Table III. Subjects in both the EP and MM-FICNB groups met goals for EOB supine-sit-

Variables	<i>Epidural</i> (n = 16)	MM-FICNB (n = 16)	SMD	P-value
Age (years) Median (Q1, Q3)	17 (14.5, 18)	17 (15, 18)	0.155	0.970*
Sex (M/F)	1/15	1/15	0	1.00
Weight (kg) mean \pm SD	60.61 ± 10.35	67.16 ± 14.75	0.514	0.156
Preoperative pain score (NRS)	2 (0, 4)	0 (0, 3.5)	0.278	0.401*
Median (Q1, Q3)				
Laterality left/right	3/13	8/8	0.697	0.0627**
Comorbidities n (%)				
Ehlers–Danlos syndrome	3 (18.75)	4 (25)	0.152	1
Scoliosis	3 (18.75)	0 (0.00)	0.679	0.226
Psych (ADHD, depression)	2 (12.5)	1 (6.25)	0.216	1
Home pain meds n (%)				
NSAID (ibuprofen, naproxen)	4 (25)	5 (31.25)	0.139	1
Gabapentin	3 (18.75)	2 (12.5)	0.173	1
Pregabalin	0 (0.00)	1 (6.25)	0.365	1
Time for block (min) Median (Q1, Q3)	15 (13, 18)	6 (4, 7)	1.773	< 0.001*
Duration of surgery (h) Mean±SD	5.09 ± 0.97	4.79 ± 0.91	0.317	0.377
Blood loss (ml/kg) Median (Q1, Q3)	8.99 (6.90, 10.26)	8.12 (4.40, 13.33)	0.317	0.757*
Intraoperative fluids (ml/kg) Median (Q1, Q3)	67.93 (61.54, 85.3)	60.26 (45.37, 67.32)	0.552	0.080*
Intraoperative blood administration (ml/kg) Median (Q1, Q3)	4.03 (1.48, 5.77)	2.92 (1.66, 6.43)	0.186	0.720*

Table II. Patient, surgical and block characteristics of subjects in the epidural and multimodal fascia iliaca block protocol (MM-FICNB) groups

ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; NRS, numerical rating scale; SMD, standardized mean difference. Continuous variables were compared using *t*-tests or Wilcoxon tests. Categorical variables were compared using Fishers exact test or Chi-square test. *P*-values with * sign: used Wilcoxon test.

P-values with ** sign: used Chi-square test.

stand with assistance on POD 1. In EP, nausea (4 subjects), dizziness (2 subjects) and 'inability to feel legs' (1 patient) were documented as reasons for lack of mobilization on POD1; one subject in FICNB group complained of numbness in the lateral thigh on POD1. Subjects in MM-FICNB group could ambulate using TTWB precautions (P < 0.001) and navigate stairs (P < 0.001) earlier than the EP group (Table II). About half the EP group did not achieve the final goal at the time of hospital discharge, and LOS was substituted for these patients. However, all of them followed up with physical therapy after discharge.

Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for covariates showed that MM-FICNB group was associated with earlier completion of final physical therapy goals. (P < 0.001) (Table IV). Proportional hazard assumption was tested and satisfied. The median time to negotiate steps reduced from 4 days in the EP group to 2.5 days in the MM-FICNB group, or 37.5% (P = 0.006 Wilcoxon rank-sum test, P < 0.001 log-rank test). Cox proportional hazard regression model with adjustment for covariates showed that a patient in the MM-FICNB group who has not yet negotiated steps at a certain time has 63 (95% CI 7–571,

Outcomes	Epidural	MM-FICNB	P-value
Primary outcome			
Length of hospital stay (days); Mean (SD)	4.38 (1.02)	2.88 (0.72)	< 0.001
Secondary outcomes			
MER intraoperative; Mean (SD)	48.70 (17.91)	58.90 (26.30)	0.210
MER POD 0; Median (Q1, Q3)	0 (0, 4.29)	8.74 (6.59, 12.16)	< 0.001*
MER POD 1; Median (Q1, Q3)	1.45 (1.08, 3.23)	0 (0, 0.9)	0.006*
MER POD2; Median (Q1, Q3)	1.64 (1.06, 3.17)	0 (0, 0)	< 0.001*
POD 0 pain scores; Mean (SD)	4.11 (1.73)	4.47 (1.58)	0.544
POD 1 pain scores; Mean (SD)	4.67 (1.45)	3.91 (1.64)	0.175
POD 2 pain scores; Mean (SD)	4.47 (1.94)	3.54 (1.28)	0.122
Time to achieve supine to sit to stand EOB (POD); Median (Q1, Q3)	1.5 (1, 2)	1 (1, 1)	0.211*
Time to achieve ambulation—few steps in room using TTWB (POD); Median (Q1, Q3)	2 (2, 2)	1 (1, 1)	<0.001*
Time to be able to ambulate minimum 15 steps with supervision and TTWB (POD); Median (Q1, Q3)	4 (3, 4)	2 (1, 2)	<0.001*
Time to negotiate stairs (at least 4 stairs) (POD); Median (Q1, Q3)	4 (3.5, 5.5)	2.5 (1.5, 3)	0.006*

Table	III. Comp	parison of	f primary	and seco	ondary	v outcomes	between	the ep	pidural	and	multim	odal	fascia	iliaca
block 1	protocol ((MM-FIC	NB) grou	ups										

P-values with * sign: used Wilcoxon test; others used two-sample t test.

MER, morphine equivalent rate in mcg/kg/h; POD, postoperative day; EOB, edge of bed; TTWB, tor touch weight bearing precautions on surgical side.

P < 0.001) times the chance of negotiating steps at the next point in time compared to patient in the EP group (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

Our study shows that the use of MM-FICNB protocol facilitated earlier mobilization and decreased length of hospital stay by 1.5 days, compared to the EP protocol. In addition, subjects receiving the MM-FICNB protocol required less intravenous rescue opioid doses on postoperative days 1 and 2 when compared to patients receiving EP, achieving comparable analgesia. There were fewer side effects in the MM-FICNB group and the FICNB took significantly less time to perform than the epidural.

To our knowledge, no prior study has evaluated LOS or mobilization goals as outcomes with the use of FICNB as part of a MM regimen. LOS is important to study as an outcome given recent trends indicating a transition from traditional 'fee-for-service' models to 'bundled payments' whereby health care providers are encouraged to deliver care more efficiently while improving quality, cost and outcomes [23]. LOS after PAO was shown in prior studies to be 4-5 days [24], similar to what we found in the EP protocol (4.38 \pm 1.02 days). In our previous studies investigating the cost-effectiveness of analgesic regimens after spine fusion, we found that average daily in-patient costs in an orthopedic ward \approx \$2654 (direct costs \approx \$1639; indirect costs≈\$1015) [25]. Thus, MM-FICNB protocol, associated with significantly decreased length of stay $(2.88 \pm 0.72 \text{ days})$ has the potential to significantly improve value-based care, decreasing cost without affecting analgesia. LOS after orthopedic surgeries could be affected by preoperative comorbidity, prior pain/disability, surgical complexity, intraoperative fluid administration and perioperative complications [15]. These have been accounted for in the multivariate regression model. A previous study evaluated a modified surgical technique for PAO and found that rectus-sparing approach without routine arthrotomy could decrease postoperative pain as well as LOS to 3-4 days [24].

Multimodal approaches use pharmacologic interventions targeting different pathways, and as part of ERAS

Parameter estimates		
Variable	Parameter estimate (SD)	P-value
Primary outcome: Length of stay		
MM-FICNB group	-1.557(0.368)	< 0.001*
Duration of surgery (h)	0.053 (0.190)	0.785
Total postoperative MER	0.032 (0.038)	0.398
Intraoperative fluids (ml/kg)	0.006 (0.007)	0.398
EDS	0.431 (0.390)	0.279
Secondary outcome: average postoperative pair	n score	
Preoperative pain score	0.034 (0.096)	0.725
EDS	0.266 (0.563)	0.641
Duration of surgery (h)	-0.649 (0.254)	0.017
MM-FICNB group	-0.630 (0.466)	0.188
Secondary outcome: total postoperative MER		
Preoperative pain score	-0.004 (0.349)	0.992
EDS	-0.270(2.037)	0.895
Duration of surgery (h)	-1.865(0.920)	0.053
MM-FICNB group	3.337 (1.685)	0.058
Secondary outcome: final physical therapy goa	1	
	HR (95% CI)	P-value
EDS	0.484 (0.128, 1.829)	0.285
Preoperative pain score	1.02 (0.831, 1.252)	0.851
Mean pain score POD0	1.008 (0.638, 1.593)	0.972
Mean pain score POD1	1.38 (0.766, 2.485)	0.283
Mean pain score POD2	0.673 (0.419, 1.08)	0.1
MM-FICNB group	62.977 (6.946, 570.996)	< 0.001*

Table IV. Multivariable regression models for outcomes as dependent variables and group (multimodal Fascia iliaca compartment nerve block (MM-FICNB)) as dependent variable, adjusted for relevant covariates.

EDS, Ehlers–Danlos syndrome; POD, postoperative day; MER, morphine equivalence rate in mcg/kg/h; HR, hazard ratio in survival analysis. **P*-values significant at P < 0.0125.

pathways [15], aim to minimize opioids as opioid adverse effects increase morbidity and delay discharge after orthopedic surgery [26]. Our MM-FICNB protocol included celecoxib, pregabalin and methadone, in addition to acetaminophen and ketorolac (common to both protocols). Systematic reviews have found that preoperative oral

celecoxib (a selective cyclooxygenase 2 inhibitor), compared with placebo, resulted in reduced morphine consumption, improved mobilization and decreased time to discharge up to 24–48 h after hip surgery [27]. Pregabalin has demonstrated anti-hyperalgesic properties; a recent Cochrane review and trial found that pregabalin improved



Kaplan-Meier curve with 95% Cl

Fig. 2. Plots of the Kaplan–Meier product limit estimates of time to complete physical therapy goals (negotiate stairs) in epidural (dashed lines) and multimodal fascia iliaca nerve block (MM-FICNB) (grey line) groups with corresponding 95% Hall–Welner bands shown (shaded areas) shows significantly (P < 0.001) earlier completion by MM-FICNB group.

pain control up to 24 and 48 h (upto a week), reduced morphine consumption and improve mobilization after knee and hip arthroplasty [28, 29], However, the regimen included dosing of celecoxib for 3 days and pregabalin for 7 days. Of note, recent meta-analyses and ERAS recommendations for hip surgeries (adults) do not show benefits from preoperative gabapentinoids for postoperative analgesia [15, 30]. A review showed intraoperative methadone use associated with significant reductions in postoperative analgesic requirements, compared to patients administered shorter-acting intraoperative opioids [31]. This is attributed to its unique pharmacokinetic profile and additional effects on the N-methyl-d-aspartate and serotoninergic receptors. While the optimal dose is yet unknown, preincisional use has been found to be beneficial in hip surgery patients [32]. Our study design did not allow us to determine if these medications independently influenced outcomes. Importantly, ERAS pathways stress on preoperative patient education, optimization including physiotherapy and interdisciplinary collaboration [15, 33].

Although epidural analgesia is opioid sparing [34], lumbar epidural infusions of even relatively low-concentrations (bupivacaine 0.1%) result in temporary lower extremity motor weakness in 36% of patients [35]. This might explain why mobilization outcomes were superior for the MM-FICNB group in our study. Even if some degree of unilateral motor weakness could be expected after FICNB [36], this would still preserve the other extremity for ambulation. After hip arthroplasty, FICNB was found to not

impede postoperative ambulation; the primary factor influencing ambulation distance was body mass index [37]. On a precautionary note, negative impact of early mobilization was presented by a retrospective review of a standard (weight bearing at 2 months) versus accelerated mobilization protocol (exercise training and weight bearing starting on the day of surgery as tolerated) after PAO. They found a higher incidence of pelvic fracture in the earlier mobilization group upon follow-up over 2 years [38]. Similar to our findings that EDS did not affect mobilization after PAO, another recent study showed comparable patientreported outcomes in females with or without joint hypermobility after hip arthroscopy and capsular plication [39].

In children, suprainguinal FICNB was shown to be effective (lower opioid consumption and shorter PACU times) following hip arthroscopy, compared to no block [40]. Suprainguinal FICNB may be superior to infrainguinal FICNB (used in our study) for hip surgery [41], the rationale being that more cranial deposition of local anesthetic leads to better spread under the fascia iliaca with better blockade of the obturator nerve medial to the psoas muscle [42]. There are no prior studies comparing FICNB with epidural analgesia for hip surgery. Other regional techniques (intrathecal morphine, local anesthetic infiltration and lumbar plexus block) were shown to be superior to FICNB for analgesia after hip arthroscopy; however, only short-term outcomes (1–2h upto 24 after surgery) were evaluated in these studies [43–45].

Limitations of the study include a small sample size, inability to randomize interventions due to the pragmatic nature of the study, non-contemporary comparison groups and possible Hawthorne effect (alteration of behavior among subjects due to their awareness of being observed as part of the study) in the prospectively recruited group. The study strengths include standardization of all other variables (two surgeons at similar points in their learning curve, similar techniques and discharge criteria), consecutive patient sampling which excludes bias, inclusion of efficiency (performance times) and cost-efficacy relevant outcomes like length of hospital stay. Other psychological factors including preoperative depression, anxiety and pain catastrophizing also play a role in pain and recovery after hip surgery and need attention in future studies [9]. The cost-effectiveness of such MM regimens needs to be investigated prospectively in larger cohorts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Jason Tillman, lead database administrator, Biomedical Informatics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, for helping with data compilation from electronic medical records.

Data Availability

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None of the authors have any conflicts to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Ganz R, Klaue K, Vinh TS, Mast JW. A new periacetabular osteotomy for the treatment of hip dysplasias. Technique and preliminary results. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1988; 26–36.
- Kamath AF. Bernese periacetabular osteotomy for hip dysplasia: surgical technique and indications. World J Orthop 2016; 7: 280–6.
- Bogunovic L, Hunt D, Prather H et al. Activity tolerance after periacetabular osteotomy. Am J Sports Med 2014; 42:1791–5.
- Clohisy JC, Ackerman J, Baca G *et al.* Patient-reported outcomes of periacetabular osteotomy from the prospective ANCHOR cohort study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol* 2017; **99**:33–41.
- Novais EN, Heare TC, Hill MK, Mayer SW. Surgical hip dislocation for the treatment of intra-articular injuries and hip instability following traumatic posterior dislocation in children and adolescents. J Pediatr Orthop 2016; 36:673–9.
- Heyworth BE, Novais EN, Murray K, Cvetanovich G et al. Return to play after periacetabular osteotomy for treatment of acetabular dysplasia in adolescent and young adult athletes. *Am J Sports Med* 2016; 44:1573–81.
- Castori M. Pain in Ehlers-Danlos syndromes: manifestations, therapeutic strategies and future perspectives. *Expert Opin Orphan Drugs* 2016; 4:1145–58.
- Yang MMH, Hartley RL, Leung AA *et al.* Preoperative predictors of poor acute postoperative pain control: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2019; 9:e025091.
- Singh JA, Lewallen D. Age, gender, obesity, and depression are associated with patient-related pain and function outcome after revision total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Rheumatol* 2009; 28:1419–30.
- Halawi MJ, Grant SA, Bolognesi MP. Multimodal analgesia for total joint arthroplasty. *Orthopedics* 2015; 38:e616–25.
- Soffin EM, YaDeau JT. Enhanced recovery after surgery for primary hip and knee arthroplasty: a review of the evidence. Br J Anaesth 2016; 117:iii62–72.
- Kaye AD, Urman RD, Cornett EM *et al.* Enhanced recovery pathways in orthopedic surgery. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol* 2019; 35:S35–9.
- Birnbaum K, Prescher A, Heßler S, Heller K-D. The sensory innervation of the hip joint—an anatomical study. *Surg Radiol Anat* 1997; 19:371–5.
- Hermanides J, Hollmann MW, Stevens MF, Lirk P. Failed epidural: causes and management. Br J Anaesth 2012; 109:144–54.
- Wainwright TW, Gill M, McDonald DA et al. Consensus statement for perioperative care in total hip replacement and total knee replacement surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS[®]) Society recommendations. Acta Orthop 2020; **91**:3–19.

- Rawal N. Epidural technique for postoperative pain: gold standard no more? *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2012; 37:310–7.
- Kumie FT, Gebremedhn EG, Tawuye HY. Efficacy of fascia iliaca compartment nerve block as part of multimodal analgesia after surgery for femoral bone fracture. World J Emerg Med 2015; 6:142–6.
- Dulaney-Cripe E, Hadaway S, Bauman R et al. A continuous infusion fascia iliaca compartment block in hip fracture patients: a pilot study. J Clin Med Res 2012; 4:45–8.
- O'Reilly N, Desmet M, Kearns R. Fascia iliaca compartment block. BJA Educ 2019; 19:191–7.
- Dalens B, Vanneuville G, Tanguy A. Comparison of the fascia iliaca compartment block with the 3-in-1 block in children. *Anesth Analg* 1989; 69:705–13.
- Bangshoej J, Thougaard T, Fjeldsøe-Nielsen H, Viggers S. Preoperative pain management of patients with hip fractures: blind fascia iliaca compartment block compared to ultrasound guided femoral nerve block—a randomized controlled trial. *Open J Anesthesiol* 2020; **10**:371–80.
- 22. Shariat AN, Hadzic A, Xu D *et al.* Fascia lliaca block for analgesia after hip arthroplasty: a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2013; **38**:201–5.
- Sood N, Huckfeldt PJ, Escarce JJ et al. Medicare's bundled payment pilot for acute and postacute care: analysis and recommendations on where to begin. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)* 2011; 30:1708–17.
- 24. Peters CL, Erickson JA, Anderson MB, Anderson LA. Preservation of the rectus femoris origin during periacetabular osteotomy does not compromise acetabular reorientation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2015; **473**:608–14.
- Chidambaran V, Subramanyam R, Ding L et al. Cost-effectiveness of intravenous acetaminophen and ketorolac in adolescents undergoing idiopathic scoliosis surgery. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2018; 28:237–48.
- Olbrecht VA, Ding L, Spruance K *et al.* Intravenous acetaminophen reduces length of stay via mediation of postoperative opioid consumption after posterior spinal fusion in a pediatric cohort. *Clin J Pain* 2018; **34**:593–9.
- Shin JJ, McCrum CL, Mauro CS, Vyas D. Pain management after hip arthroscopy: systematic review of randomized controlled trials and cohort studies. *Am J Sports Med* 2018; 46:3288–98.
- 28. Li F, Ma J, Kuang M *et al.* The efficacy of pregabalin for the management of postoperative pain in primary total knee and hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res* 2017; **12**:49.
- 29. Clarke H, Page GM, McCartney CJ *et al.* Pregabalin reduces postoperative opioid consumption and pain for 1 week after hospital discharge, but does not affect function at 6 weeks or 3 months after total hip arthroplasty. *Br J Anaesth* 2015; **115**:903–11.
- 30. Verret M, Lauzier F, Zarychanski R, the Canadian Perioperative Anesthesia Clinical Trials (PACT) Group *et al.* Perioperative use of gabapentinoids for the management of postoperative acute pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Anesthesiology* 2020; 133:265–79.
- Murphy GS, Szokol JW. Intraoperative methadone in surgical patients: a review of clinical investigations. *Anesthesiology* 2019; 131:678–92.
- 32. Porter EJ, McQuay HJ, Bullingham RE *et al.* Comparison of effects of intraoperative and postoperative methadone: acute tolerance to the postoperative dose? *Br J Anaesth* 1983; **55**:325–32.

- 33. Chua MJ, Hart AJ, Mittal R, Harris IA *et al.* Early mobilisation after total hip or knee arthroplasty: a multicentre prospective observational study. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**:e0179820.
- Pöpping DM, Elia N, Van Aken HK *et al.* Impact of epidural analgesia on mortality and morbidity after surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann Surg* 2014; 259:1056–67.
- Ahmed A, Baig T. Incidence of lower limb motor weakness in patients receiving postoperative epidural analgesia and factors associated with it: an observational study. *Saudi J Anaesth* 2016; 10:149–53.
- 36. Behrends M, Yap EN, Zhang AL et al. Preoperative fascia iliaca block does not improve analgesia after arthroscopic hip surgery, but causes quadriceps muscles weakness: a randomized, doubleblind trial. Anesthesiology 2018; 129:536–43.
- 37. Mudumbai SC, Kim TE, Howard SK et al. An ultrasound-guided fascia iliaca catheter technique does not impair ambulatory ability within a clinical pathway for total hip arthroplasty. Korean J Anesthesiol 2016; 69:368–75.
- Ito H, Tanino H, Sato T *et al.* Early weight-bearing after periacetabular osteotomy leads to a high incidence of postoperative pelvic fractures. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014; 15:234.
- Stone AV, Mehta N, Beck EC *et al.* Comparable patient-reported outcomes in females with or without joint hypermobility after hip

arthroscopy and capsular plication for femoroacetabular impingement syndrome. *J Hip Preserv Surg* 2019; **6**:33–40.

- Alrayashi W, Zurakowski D, Sullivan CA et al. The effect of suprainguinal fascia iliaca block on the recovery of patients after arthroscopic hip surgery. Pediatr Anesth 2019; 29:829–34.
- Eastburn E, Hernandez MA, Boretsky K. Technical success of the ultrasound-guided supra-inguinal fascia iliaca compartment block in older children and adolescents for hip arthroscopy. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2017; 27:1120–4.
- Desmet M, Balocco AL, Van Belleghem V. Fascia iliaca compartment blocks: different techniques and review of the literature. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2019; 33:57–66.
- 43. Kearns R, Macfarlane A, Grant A *et al*. A randomised, controlled, double blind, non-inferiority trial of ultrasound-guided fascia iliaca block vs. spinal morphine for analgesia after primary hip arthroplasty. *Anaesthesia* 2016; 71:1431–40.
- 44. Garner M, Alsheemeri Z, Sardesai A, Khanduja V. A prospective randomized controlled trial comparing the efficacy of fascia iliaca compartment block versus local anesthetic infiltration after hip arthroscopic surgery. *Arthroscopy* 2017; 33:125–32.
- 45. Wolff AB, Hogan GW, Capon JM *et al.* Pre-operative lumbar plexus block provides superior post-operative analgesia when compared with fascia iliaca block or general anesthesia alone in hip arthroscopy. J Hip Preserv Surg 2016; 3:338–45.