

Research Articles

Angewandte Chemie www.angewandte.org



Enzyme Catalysis Hot Paper

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202211382 International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202211382 German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202211382

Discovery of a Unique Structural Motif in Lanthipeptide Synthetases for Substrate Binding and Interdomain Interactions

Shanqing Huang⁺, Ying Wang⁺, Chuangxu Cai, Xiuyun Xiao, Shulei Liu, Yeying Ma, Xiangqian Xie, Yong Liang, Hao Chen, Jiapeng Zhu, Julian D. Hegemann,* Hongwei Yao,* Wanqing Wei,* and Huan Wang*

Abstract: Class III lanthipeptide synthetases catalyze the formation of lanthionine/methyllanthionine and labionin crosslinks. We present here the 2.40 Å resolution structure of the kinase domain of a class III lanthipeptide synthetase CurKC from the biosynthesis of curvopeptin. A unique structural subunit for leader binding, named leader recognition domain (LRD), was identified. The LRD of CurKC is responsible for the recognition of the leader peptide and for mediating interactions between the lyase and kinase domains. LRDs are highly conserved among the kinase domains of class III and class IV lanthipeptide synthetases. The discovery of LRDs provides insight into the substrate recognition and domain organization in multidomain lanthipeptide synthetases.

Introduction

Lanthipeptides belong to the superfamily of ribosomally synthesized and posttranslationally modified peptide (RiPP) natural products and typically contain the β-thioether crosslinked amino acids lanthionine (Lan) and methyllanthionine

[*] Dr. S. Huang,⁺ Y. Wang,⁺ C. Cai, X. Xiao, Y. Ma, X. Xie, Prof. Dr. Y. Liang, Prof. Dr. H. Chen, Prof. Dr. H. Wang State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Chemistry and Biomedicine Innovation Center of Nanjing University, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Organic Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Naniing University No. 163 Xianlin Ave, Nanjing, 210093 (China) E-mail: wanghuan@nju.edu.cn S. Liu, Prof. Dr. H. Yao

Institute of Molecular Enzymology, School of Biology and Basic Medical Sciences, Soochow University Suzhou, 215123 (China) E-mail: hwyao@suda.edu.cn

Dr. J. D. Hegemann

School of Medicine and Life Sciences, State Key Laboratory Cultivation Base for TCM Quality and Efficacy, Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Pharmacology and Safety Evaluation of Chinese Materia Medica, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine Nanjing 210023 (China) E-mail: Julian.Hegemann@helmholtz-hips.de

(MeLan).^[1] The Lan/MeLan crosslinks are typically generated by the enzymatic dehydration of serine/threonine residues that yields dehydroalanine (Dha)/dehydrobutyrine (Dhb), respectively, and the subsequent cyclization between cysteine and Dha/Dhb catalyzed by designated cyclases. To date, five classes of lanthipeptides have been reported based on the characteristics of their biosynthetic enzymes.^[1b,2] Class I lanthipeptides utilize a combination of aminoacyltRNA-dependent dehydratases (LanBs) and cyclases (LanCs),^[3] whereas class II lanthipeptides are biosynthesized by ATP-dependent bifunctional lanthipeptide synthetases (LanMs).^[4] The biosynthesis of class III and class IV lanthipeptides relies on a group of three-domain enzymes named LanKCs and LanLs, respectively, which contain an N-terminal lyase, a central kinase, and a C-terminal cyclase domain.^[5] These three domains perform the sequential modification of the core peptides in the order of 1) NTPdependent Ser/Thr phosphorylation, 2) phosphate elimination that yields Dha/Dhb and 3) Michael addition between Cys and Dha/Dhb to generate the thioether linkages (Figure 1). LanCs, LanMs, and LanLs utilize a zinc ion in their respective cyclase domains that acts as a Lewis acid for activating the Cys thiol during Lan/MeLan formation. In contrast, the cyclase domains of LanKCs do not contain a conserved Zn binding motif, indicating that LanKC-cata-

Helmholtz Institute for Pharmaceutical Research Saarland (HIPS), Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research (HZI), Saarland University Campus

66123 Saarbrücken (Germany)

Dr W Wei

State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122 (P. R. China) E-mail: wanqwei@163.com

- [⁺] These authors contributed equally to this work.
- © 2022 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is noncommercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2022 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Prof. Dr. J. Zhu

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202211382 (1 of 10)



Figure 1. Biosynthesis of the class III lanthipeptide curvopeptin congeners. The biosynthetic gene cluster contains genes encoding the following proteins: a class III lanthipeptide synthase (CurKC), a precursor peptide (CurA), two ABC transporters (CurT1/T2) and a regulatory protein (CurR). The leader peptide is possibly removed by bifunctional M1 family aminopeptidase(s) encoded in the genome of the producing strain *Thermomonospora curvata* DSM43183.^[9] Incomplete removal of the leader peptide by the aminopeptidase(s) leads to the production of congeners with leader overhangs of various lengths.

lyzed macrocyclization is Zn-independent.^[6] In accordance with the distinct cyclase feature, LanKCs are the only lanthipeptide synthetases observed to catalyze the formation of tri-amino acid crosslinks, named labionin, through the sequential formation of a C–S and a C–C bond.^[7] To date, mechanistic investigation of LanBs, LanCs and LanMs is supported by crystallography studies,^[3a,b,4a] whereas crystal structures of LanKC/LanL enzymes or their individual domains remain unavailable. Herein, we report the first crystal structure of the kinase domain of CurKC, the class III lanthipeptide synthetase responsible for the biosynthesis of curvopeptin.^[8] A unique leader recognition domain (LRD) was identified in the kinase domain of CurKC, which consists of two N-terminal antiparallel β-sheets, a central αhelix and three C-terminal antiparallel β-sheets. This LRD motif is highly conserved among class III and class IV lanthipeptide synthetases and is responsible for both the leader peptide binding and the interaction between their lyase and kinase domains.

Results and Discussion

CurKC displays a three-domain organization: an N-terminal lyase domain (residues 1–217, denoted as $CurKC_{lyase}$), a central kinase domain (residues 218–489, denoted as

CurKCkinase), and a C-terminal cyclase domain (residues 594-866, denoted as CurKC_{cvclase}) (Figure S1-S3). Heterologous expression of full-length CurKC, CurKC_{lyase}, CurKCkinase, CurKClyase-kinase (residues 1-489) and CurKCkinasecvclase (residues 218-866) in E. coli BL21(DE3) all yielded soluble proteins (Figure S4). CurKC_{cyclase}, however, was not detected in soluble or insoluble fractions after expression in E. coli BL21(DE3), suggesting that CurKC_{cyclase} is incapable of folding into a stable protein independently. A C-terminal truncated variant of the CurA peptide, named CurAs, was employed as a substrate for enzymatic assays as it is significantly more accessible by chemical synthesis than the full length CurA (Figure 2). In the presence of ATP and Mg^{2+} ions, CurKC modified CurA_s by one- and two-fold dehydration along with partial phosphorylation, as determined by matrix-assisted laser desorption time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) (Figure S5). The incomplete dehydration of CurAs by CurKC was in agreement with previous studies showing that the disruption of the C-terminal Lan formation impairs the modification of Ser/Thr residues at the N-terminal core peptide.[5b] CurKCkinase modified CurAs by one-fold phosphorylation, indicating that the kinase domain of CurKC can fold and function independently. Co-incubation of $\mbox{CurKC}_{\mbox{lyase}}$ and CurKCkinase with CurAs led to a similar result as the incubation of CurAs with CurKClyase-kinase: a single dehydraGDCh

Research Articles





Figure 2. A) Crystal structure of the CurKC_{kinase}—adenosine complex. The adenosine molecule is shown in a stick representation. B) Close-up view of the adenosine binding site. Adenosine and interacting residues are shown as sticks, and the water molecule is shown as a gray sphere. Hydrogen bonds are shown as yellow dashed lines. Oxygen and nitrogen atoms are colored red and blue, respectively. C) MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the in vitro enzymatic modification of CurA₅ peptide by CurKC and CurKC variants. CurA₅ (M): calcd: 2714.27 Da, obs.: 2714.34 Da; (M-2H₂O): calcd: 2678.23 Da, obs.: 2678.67 Da; (M-H₂O): calcd: 2696.25 Da, obs.: 2696.75 Da; (M + Na): calcd: 2737.26 Da, obs.: 2737.90 Da; (M-2H₂O + HPO₃): calcd: 2758.21 Da, obs.: 2758.71 Da; (M-H₂O + HPO₃): calcd: 2776.23 Da, obs.: 2776.82 Da; (M + HPO₃): calcd: 2794.25 Da, obs.: 2794.85 Da. D) Close-up view of the ATP binding site in the CurKC_{kinase}–ATP docking structure. ATP and interacting residues are shown as sticks, and the magnesium ions are shown as cyan spheres.

tion of the residue Ser2 (Figure S5 and S6). This result shows that $CurKC_{lyase}$ retains the function as a lyase to catalyze the phosphate elimination of a phosphorylated serine (pSer) (Figure S5). Similar results were obtained previously when using the artificially separated kinase and lyase domains of the class IV lanthipeptide synthetase VenL with its peptide substrate VenA.^[5d]

To understand the mechanism of catalysis, we attempted to crystallize CurKC and its soluble variants. Only CurKC_{kinase} yielded crystals of sufficient quality for diffraction. Crystal structures of CurKC_{kinase} in its *apo* form and in complex with adenosine was obtained with resolution limits of 2.40 Å and 2.55 Å, respectively (Table S1, Figure S7A,B and Figure S8).^[10] In both crystal structures, two identical CurKC_{kinase} protomers exist in one asymmetric unit with root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) values of 0.34 Å, although CurKC_{kinase} is a monomer in solution (Figure S7A–C). Structural comparison of *apo*-CurKC_{kinase} and the CurKC_{kinase}-adenosine complex reveals that the binding of adenosine caused no significant alteration of the overall conformation of CurKC_{kinase} (Figure S7D).

In the CurKC_{kinase}–adenosine binary complex, CurKC_{kinase} adopts a typical two-lobed structure as that observed for protein kinases such as PknB and PknG from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Figure 2A and Figure S9A).^[11] The N-lobe (residues 218–309) comprises five β -strands and an α -helix (residues 267–282, denoted as helix C), and the Clobe (residues 310–472) is composed of six α -helices and two β -sheets. The adenosine resides in a deep cleft formed between the two lobes.

The binding between CurKC and ATP- γ -S is saturated at an $\approx 1:1.2$ molar ratio, as determined by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) analysis (Figure S10), indicating that CurKC contains a single ATP binding site. In the CurKC_{kinase}-adenosine complex, the adenine motif is bound to the backbone carbonyl oxygen of residue E306 and the backbone amide nitrogen of residue I308 (Figure 2B). A water molecule that coordinates to the amide oxygen of I380 forms additional hydrogen bonds to adenine N6 and N7. Double mutation of E306 and I308 to Ala resulted in CurKC E306 A/I308 A with significantly impaired its phosphorylation and dehydration activity, supporting the roles of E306 and I308 for adenine binding (Figure 2C).

To provide a comprehensive view of ATP binding by CurKC_{kinase}, we replaced the adenosine with ATP and complemented two Mg²⁺ ions using the tLeaP module of the AMBER 16 software package.^[12] The system was equilibrated by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (Figure S11). In the docked CurKC_{kinase}-ATP binary structure, the two Mg²⁺ ions were chelated by the D363/N368 and D381/E383 pairs and interacted with the β - and γ -phosphates of ATP (Figures 2D). Ala substitutions of residues D363, N368, D381, and E383 abolished the activity of CurKC (Figure 2C), confirming their roles in ATP and Mg²⁺ binding and catalysis.

Despite their structural similarity, several structural differences are observed between CurKC_{kinase} and kinases PknB/PknG. The D381–F382–E383 motif in CurKC_{kinase} is highly conserved among LanKC and LanL enzymes and chelates Mg^{2+} through the D381/E383 pair in the docked CurKC_{kinase}–ATP model (Figure 2D and S12). Both D381 and E383 are indispensable for the kinase activity of CurKC (Figure 2C). In contrast, the homologous D293-F294-G295 motif in PknB lacks a second Mg^{2+} coordinating residue (Figure S9B and S12). The loop region connecting sheets β 1 and β 2 (spanning residues 230–236, named Loop1, Figure 2A) in CurKC_{kinase} is highly conserved among LanKC enzymes but shares no sequence similarity to its structural homologs in PknG/PknB, the P-loop (Figure S12).^[13]

CurKC and CurKC_{kinase} are capable of modifying CurA_s but not CurA_{S_CP}, indicating that both enzymes are leaderdependent (Figure S5 and S16). Indeed, CurKC binds to the CurA leader peptide (CurA_{LP}) and the CurA_s peptide with similar K_D values of $5.5\pm0.7 \,\mu$ M and $3.5\pm0.3 \,\mu$ M, respectively, but showed no binding with CurA_{S_CP} (Figure 3A and Figure S17 and S18), indicating that CurA_{LP} is essential for enzymatic recognition. CurKC_{lyase-kinase} and CurKC_{kinase} bind to CurA_{LP} with K_D values of $(84\pm12) \,\mu$ M and $(801\pm171) \,\mu$ M, respectively, whereas CurKC_{lyase} showed no measurable binding affinity to CurA_{LP} (Figure 3A). These results indicate that the kinase domain of CurKC is responsible for leader binding. The gradually decreased binding affinities of CurKC_{lyase-kinase} and CurKC_{kinase} to CurA_{LP} compared with CurKC might be due to the structural destabilization caused by protein truncation.

Leader peptide recognition in many RiPP biosynthetic enzymes is dependent on the so-called RiPP recognition element (RRE) motif, which typically consists of three Nterminal β-strands and a C-terminal winged helix-turn-helix motif containing a total of three alpha-helices (Figure \$19).^[3a,14] These RRE motifs usually accommodate leader peptides in the cleft between the β 3-strand and α 3helix through both hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonding. CurKCkinase contains an N-terminal region spanning residues 222-309 that we named leader recognition domain (LRD), consisting of two N-terminal antiparallel β-sheets, a central a-helix, and three C-terminal antiparallel \beta-sheets (Figure 3B). The " 3β -1 α - 2β " organization is distinct from typical RRE folds observed in other RiPP enzymes, and this structural unit is referred to as $LRD_{3\beta-1\alpha-2\beta}$ to highlight its structural features. To understand its function, we expressed and purified CurKC_{LRD} as a fusion protein conjugated to the C-terminus of the B1 domain of streptococcal protein G (GB1). Subsequent proteolytic removal of the GB1 tag led to the production of CurKC_{LRD} as a soluble protein. MST assays showed that $CurKC_{LRD}$ binds to $CurA_{LP}$ with a K_D of (1.2 ± 0.3) mM, which is comparable to CurKC_{kinase}. (Figure 3A). With the LRD motif truncated, $CurKC_{kinase-\Delta LRD}$ completely lost its binding affinity to CurA_{LP} (Figure S20), indicating the role of the LRD motif for peptide recognition. To further corroborate the interaction between CurKC_{LRD} and CurA_{LP}, NMR titration experiments were carried out with ¹⁵N-labeled GB1–CurKC_{LRD}. Chemical shift changes of the CurKC_{LRD} signals in the ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra were observed when titrated with various concentrations of CurA_{LP}, indicating a specific interaction between CurKC_{LRD} and CurA_{LP} (Figure S21 and S22). Due to the limitation of protein concentration and stability, we were not able to pinpoint specific residues of $CurKC_{LRD}$ that interact with CurA_{LP} by three-dimensional NMR experiments with ¹⁵N and ¹³C doubly labeled GB1-CurKC_{LRD}. Nevertheless, these results strongly supported the role of CurKC_{LRD} as the leader recognition site.

Due to the inaccessibility to a co-crystal structure of CurKC with the $CurA_{LP}$ peptide, we performed a docking study using CurKCkinase and the CurA(-16)-4 peptide to understand the substrate binding mode. As the dehydration of residue Ser2 in CurAs by CurKC was most efficient, the pentapeptide CurA₍₋₁₎₋₄ extracted from the MD representative snapshot of CurA was first docked into the active site of CurKCkinase. Then, the peptide was gradually extended at the N-terminus of CurA with residue length intervals of five amino acids to gain a starting conformation for the CurKCkinase-ATP-CurA(-16)-4 ternary complex (Figure 3D and Figure S23). MD simulation results showed that the binding model was most stable when the region of CurA_{LP} spanning residues (-16) to (-13) was bound to CurKC_{LRD} in the cleft between helix C and sheet ß5 through hydrophobic interactions between residues V269/L272/L279/L282



Research Articles





Figure 3. A) Binding affinity between the CurA_{LP} peptide and the CurKC variants as measured by MST. Data represent the mean \pm s.d. from three replicates. B) Structural representation of the LRD in CurKC. C) The interior view of CurKC_{LRD} shows a cleft between the β 1-strand and the helix C as a potential leader binding site. D) A docking model of the CurKC_{kinase}-ATP-CurA₍₋₁₆₎₋₄ ternary complex. Important interacting residues are shown as sticks. The leader and core segments of peptide CurA₍₋₁₆₎₋₄ are shown in red and yellow, respectively.

in helix C of CurKC_{LRD} and residues L(-15) and L(-13) of CurA_{LP} (Figure S23 and S24). Residues V269/L272/L279/ L282 of CurKC are highly conserved in LanKC enzymes (Figure S25). Residues L(-15) and L(-13) of CurA_{LP} are part of the proposed amphipathic "LXXLXXL" motif conserved in class III/IV leader peptides, which is important (Figure S26).^[6,9b] recognition enzymatic The for "LXXLXXL" motifs in the leader peptides of several class III/V lanthipeptides display tendency to adopt an α-helical conformation.25 However, secondary-structure prediction and circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy analysis indicated that CurA_{LP} has no tendency for a-helix formation (Figure S26), which agrees with the extended conformation of CurA_{LP} in the docking model. In addition to the hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding was also observed in the docking model between residues M(-16)/D(-14)/Q-(-12)/M(-10) of CurA_{LP} and residues K253/A263/R271/ E275 of CurKC_{LRD} (Figure S27).

Mutagenesis studies were then performed to evaluate the key interacting residues between CurKC_{LRD} and CurA_{LP} revealed in the docking model. Single and double mutations of residues V269/L272/L279/L282 all resulted in CurKC mutants with significantly impaired leader binding affinity and catalytic activities (Figure 4A, 4 C and Figure S28). Similarly, mutations of K253/R271/E275 decreased the CurKC_{LRD}-CurA_{LP} binding affinity by 20–500-fold and al-



Figure 4. A,B) Binding affinities between $CurA_{LP}$ and CurKC variants as measured by MST. Assays were performed using fluorophore-labeled CurKC variants (2.5 nM) and unlabeled $CurA_{LP}$. Data represent the mean ± s.d. from three replicates. C) Modification of $CurA_S$ by CurKC and CurKC variants as determined by MALDI-TOF MS analysis. $CurA_S$ (M): calcd: 2714.27 Da, obs.: 2714.34 Da; (M–2H₂O): calcd: 2678.23 Da, obs.: 2678.67 Da; (M–H₂O): calcd: 2696.25 Da, obs.: 2696.75 Da; (M–2H₂O + HPO₃): calcd: 2758.21 Da, obs.: 2758.71 Da; (M–H₂O + HPO₃): calcd: 2776.23 Da, obs.: 2776.82 Da; (M + HPO₃): calcd: 2794.25 Da, obs.: 2794.85 Da. D) Modification of monophosphorylated $CurA_S$ by $CurKC_{lyase-LRD}$ or $CurKC_{lyase-LRD}$ variants. Assay composition: 20 mM Tricine (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA,10 µM protein, and 100 µM monophosphorylated $CurA_S$ by $CurKC_{kinase}$. $CurKC_{kinase}$ was inactivated by incubation at 95 °C, and $CurA_{s-phos}$ was purified by HPLC before assays. $CurA_S$ (M): calcd: 2714.27 Da, obs.: 2714.10 Da; (M-H₂O): calcd: 2696.25 Da, obs.: 2696.98 Da; (M + HPO₃): calcd: 2794.25 Da, obs.: 2794.25 Da, obs.: 2776.82 Da; (M + HPO₃): calcd: 2794.25 Da, obs.: 2794.85 Da. D) Modification of monophosphorylated $CurA_S$ by $CurKC_{lyase-LRD}$ variants. Assay composition: 20 mM Tricine (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA,10 µM protein, and 100 µM monophosphorylated $CurA_S$ by $CurKC_{kinase}$. $CurKC_{kinase}$ was inactivated by incubation at 95 °C, and $CurA_{s-phos}$ was purified by HPLC before assays. $CurA_S$ (M): calcd: 2714.27 Da, obs.: 2714.10 Da; (M-H₂O): calcd: 2696.25 Da, obs.: 2696.98 Da; (M + HPO₃): calcd: 2794.25 Da, obs.: 2794.98 Da.

most abolished their catalytic activities (Figure 4B and Figure S28). Residues E254 and A263 are suggested to interact with CurA_{LP} through backbone amides, and their Ala mutations had little impact on the binding affinity (Figure 4B and Figure S27). In addition, residue R276 is located on the surface of helix C opposite to the leader binding cleft and has no contact with CurA_{LP} in the docking model (Figure S29). Accordingly, no significant impact on the leader binding or enzymatic activity towards the peptide

CurA_S was observed when R276 was mutated to Ala (Figure S30). Collectively, these data support the predicted CurKC_{LRD}–CurA_{LP} binding mode.

The LRD motif (residues 222–309) is located C-terminally to the lyase domain of CurKC (residues 1–217) in the amino acid sequence of CurKC, raising the possibility that the LRD motif might also facilitate the function of CurKC_{lyase} during phosphate elimination. With monophosphorylated CurA_s (CurA_{s-phos}) as a substrate, CurKC_{lyase-LRD} (residues 1–309) efficiently eliminated the phosphate group by yielding a one-fold dehydrated product (Figure 4D). In contrast, CurKC_{lyase} was unable to modify the CurA_{S-phos} peptide. Furthermore, the lyase activity of CurKC_{lyase-LRD}– V269 A_L272 A and CurKC_{lyase-LRD}–L279 A_282 A were significantly impeded (Figure 4D), suggesting that the LRD motif is important for the enzymatic phosphate elimination.

Class III leader peptides often contain a spacer segment as a ruler to determine that Ser/Thr residues of proper positions C-terminally to the "LXXLXXL" recognition motif can be modified.^[15] In the docking model of the CurKC_{kinase}-ATP-CurA₍₋₁₆₎₋₄ ternary complex, a potential spacer segment spanning Gly(-11) to Asp(-2) of ≈ 35 Å in length showed no direct interactions with CurKC_{kinase} (Figure 3D). This spacer is sufficiently long to deliver the core peptide residue Ser2 to the active site of the lyase domain (Figure S31). As a single leader anchoring site, the location of CurKC_{LRD} at the interface of the kinase and lyase domains appears to be beneficial to mediate the translocation of the CurA_{CP} between the two active sites for sequential Ser phosphorylation and phosphate elimination.

Although CurKC_{lyase} was unable to recognize and modify the CurA_{S-phos} peptide, co-incubation of CurKC_{lyase} and CurKC_{kinase} fully converted CurA_{S-phos} to one-fold dehydrated CurA_S with similar efficiency as CurKC_{lyase-kinase} (Figure S5), suggesting that CurKC_{lyase} and CurKC_{kinase} might function cooperatively as a complex in the same manner as their covalently linked counterpart CurKC_{lyase-kinase}. Indeed, CurKC_{lyase} binds to CurKC_{kinase} with a K_D of $170 \pm 28 \,\mu$ M, supporting that the CurKC_{lyase} and CurKC_{kinase} could form a complex in solution (Figure 5A).

To understand the formation of the CurKC_{lyase}-CurKC_{kinase} complex, we performed structural prediction on CurKC_{lyase}, CurKC_{kinase} and CurKC_{lyase-kinase} by AlphaFold2 (Figure S32).^[16] The predicted structure of CurKC_{kinase} is highly similar to our crystal structure of CurKCkinase with a RMSD of only 0.91 Å. In the predicted structure of $CurKC_{\mbox{\tiny lyase-kinase}},$ the Loop1 and Loop2 of the LRD motif appear to be the major contributors to the CurKC_{lvase}-CurKCkinase association (Figure S33A). Indeed, CurKClvase binds to CurKC_{LRD} with a K_D of $139 \pm 33 \,\mu$ M, whereas $CurKC_{kinase_\Delta LRD}$ showed no binding affinity to $CurKC_{lvase}$ (Figure 5A and Figure S34). Mutations of residues S233/ R234 in the LRD and residue N103 in CurKC_{lvase}, which are located at the domain interface in the predicted structure of CurKC_{lvase-kinase}, impaired the binding between CurKC_{lvase} and CurKCkinase (Figure 5A), supporting the role of LRD in the domain interactions.

The characteristic LRD_{3β-1α-2β} motifs are found in all characterized LanKCs with a similar organization, as indicated by sequence analysis and structural prediction using AlphaFold2 (Figure S12, S25 and S35). Important hydrophobic residues for leader binding are well conserved in these LRD_{3β-1α-2β} motifs of LanKC enzymes, suggesting their universal function (Figure S25). To examine the role of the LRD_{3β-1α-2β} motifs in LanKC enzymes for lyase–kinase interdomain interaction, we selected AciKC from the biosynthesis of the class III lanthipeptide catenulipeptin as a model enzyme and expressed its lyase (residues 1–219) and

kinase (220-495) domains as separate proteins, named AciKC_{lvase} and AciKC_{kinase}, respectively. AciKC_{lvase} binds to AciKC_{kinase} with a K_D of 43.9±10.9 μ M (Figure 5B). Similar to CurKC, structural prediction indicates that Loop1 and Loop2 of AciKC_{LRD} might be the major contributors to the AciKC_{lvase}-AciKC_{kinase} interaction (Figure S36A). Mutations of residues at the $AciKC_{LRD}\text{-}AciKC_{lyase}$ interface, including S114, N236 and R258, resulted in decreased binding affinity (Figure 5B), supporting the role of AciKC_{LRD} for interdomain interactions. Interestingly, AciKC_{lyase} binds to CurKC_{kinase} with a K_D of $(209 \pm 71) \mu$ M, and CurKC_{lyase} binds to AciKC_{kinase} with a K_D of (14.8±8.3) μ M (Figure 5C and Figure S37), indicating that the association of the lyase and kinase domains of AciKC and CurKC is interchangeable in vitro. Again, mutations in the Loop 1/2 of CurKC_{LRD} impaired the AciKC_{lyase}-CurKC_{kinase} binding (Figure S34), suggesting that the CurKC_{LRD} participates in the interdomain association.

The lyase and kinase domains of class III and class IV lanthipeptide synthetases share sequence similarity.^[6] LRD_{3 β -1 α -2 β} motifs are also found at the interface of the lyase and kinase domains in the predicted structures of LanL enzymes (Figure S12, S25, S36 and S37B). To explore the role of the LRD motifs in LanL enzymes, VenL was selected as a model enzyme.^[5d] MST assays showed that VenL_{kinase} (residues 213–513) binds to $VenL_{lvase}$ (residues 1–212) with a $K_{\rm D}$ value of $32.3 \pm 2.9 \,\mu\text{M}$ (Figure 5C). In addition, Ven- L_{kinase} binds to CurKC $_{lyase}$ with a ${\it K}_{D}$ of $24.3\pm3.5\,\mu M,$ and VenL_{lyase} binds to CurKC_{kinase} with a K_D of $177 \pm 39 \,\mu\text{M}$ (Figure 5A-5 C and Figure S37). Again, mutations in the Loop 1/2 of CurKC_{LRD} resulted in an approximately twofold decrease in the $CurKC_{kinase}$ -VenL_{1yase} binding (Figure S37A). Collectively, these data indicate that the LRDs in class III and IV lanthipeptide synthetases play a role in the cross-class binding of class III/IV lyase and kinase domains.

Finally, we examined whether the catalytic function of the heterologous complex formed by kinase and lyase domains of distinct enzyme origins can be retained. Using $\mbox{Cur} A_S$ as a model peptide substrate, $\mbox{Ven} L_{\mbox{kinase}}$ displayed good substrate tolerance and converted CurAs to a monophosphorylated product (Figure 5D). Co-incubation of CurKC_{lyase}, VenL_{kinase}, and CurA_s led to the formation of one-fold dehydrated CurA_s (Figure 5D). As CurKC_{lvase} is not able to process the phosphorylated peptide substrate independently, these results indicated that CurKC_{lvase} binds to VenLkinase and therefore gained access to phosphorylated CurA_s for catalysis. Thus, these results demonstrate that the complex of $CurKC_{lyase}$ and $VenL_{kinase}$ retained the catalytic function of each domain when using the CurAs peptide as substrate. The data also implies that $\mbox{Ven}\ensuremath{L_{\mbox{\tiny RD}}}$ is both able to recognize CurAs as a substrate and to shuttle the CurAs core peptide between the $VenL_{kinase}$ and $CurKC_{lyase}$ active sites.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202211382 (7 of 10)

© 2022 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



Figure 5. A) MST analysis of the binding affinities between CurKC_{lyase} and CurKC_{kinase} variants, as well as CurKC_{kinase}–CurKC_{lyase} N103A. Assays were performed using fluorophore-labeled CurKC_{lyase} or CurKC_{lyase} N103A (2.5 nM) and unlabeled CurKC_{kinase} variants. Data represent the mean \pm s.d. from three replicates. B) MST analysis of the binding affinities between AciKC_{lyase} and AciKC_{kinase} or CurKC_{kinase} variants, as well as AciKC_{kinase}– AciKC_{lyase}_S114A. Data represent the mean \pm s.d. from three replicates. The binding assays were carried out using fluorophore-labeled AciKC_{kinase} or AciKC_{lyase}_S114A (2.5 nM) and increasing amounts of unlabeled proteins. C) Interdomain binding affinities between the kinase and lyase domains of CurKC, AciKC, and VenL. D) In vitro modification of CurA_S by VenL_{kinase} and VenL_{kinase}/CurKC_{lyase}. CurA_S (M): calcd: 2714.27 Da, obs.: 2714.93 Da; (M-H₂O): calcd: 2696.25 Da, obs.: 2696.96 Da; (M + Na): calcd: 2737.26 Da, obs.: 2737.13 Da; (M + HPO₃): calcd: 2794.25 Da, obs.: 2794.98 Da. Assay composition: 20 mM Tricine (pH 8.0), 1 mM DTT, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ATP, 15 µM protein, and 100 µM CurA_s. The reactions were quenched by the addition of MeOH after incubation at 28 °C for 15 h.

Conclusion

Class III and class IV lanthipeptide synthetases are highly modular three-domain enzymes. Although the heterologous expression of their separate cyclase domains has not yet been successful, previous studies have shown that their kinase and lyase domains can fold and function as individual proteins.^[Sc-f] As the first crystal structure of the kinase domain of a LanKC enzyme, CurKC_{kinase} displays overall structural similarity to the protein kinases PknB/PknG. However, CurKC_{kinase} shows almost no structural similarity with the class II synthetase CylM despite their common function of NTP-dependent phosphorylation of Ser/Thr residues in peptide substrates (Figure S38),^[4a] suggesting that class II and class III/IV synthetases are of distinct evolutionary origins.

Leader peptide binding is crucial for all three catalysis steps of LanKC enzymes.^[5c] For multidomain enzymes, the leader peptide of a substrate might anchor at a single binding site, or translocate among different binding sites to meet the requirement of each catalytic domain. In the case of the class I dehydratase NisB, the leader peptide of its substrate NisA binds to a single LP binding site for both glutamylation and elimination.^[17] The crystal structure of $CurKC_{kinase}$ reveals an unprecedented $LRD_{3\beta-1\alpha-2\beta}$ motif that plays essential roles in leader binding. Distinct from the previously discovered RRE motifs found in RiPP biosynthetic enzymes, the LRD_{3 β -1 α -2 β} motifs form a hydrophobic cleft between helix C and sheet $\beta 5$ for leader binding. Our docking model indicated that this cleft likely interacts with the Met/Leu residues in the <u>MLDLQGM</u> motif in $CurA_{LP}$ mainly through hydrophobic interactions. A conserved (M/ L)XX(L/V)XXL motif in class III/IV leader peptides is proposed to be essential for enzymatic recognition, and alterations of the hydrophobic M/V/L residues usually impedes substrate binding and modification.^[9b] Our structural analysis and mutagenesis studies were in good agreement with previous biochemical results of other LanKC enzymes. It is noteworthy that in the class IV synthetase SgbL, a ≈ 100 amino acid long motif (SgbL₂₀₈₋₃₁₈), which is equivalent to the $LRD_{3\beta\cdot 1\alpha\cdot 2\beta}$ motif of CurKC, was already identified as peptide binding site by hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) analysis and binding assays. $^{\rm [5f]}$ Similar to CurKC $_{\rm LRD},$ the SgbL $_{\rm 208\text{-}318}$ motif is predicted to adopt a structure comprising of four antiparallel β -sheets and a central α -helix. The HDX-MS experiment strongly indicated the α -helix and sheet $\beta 4$ as the major LPbinding units, which is highly similar to our model of CurA_{LP}-CurKC_{LRD} binding. Hence, it makes sense that we also observed how enzymatic activity was retained when using domains from enzymes originating from different classes in trans. In contrast to the previous studies that were based on HDX-MS data and a mere prediction of the SgbL kinase domain structure, our study provides the first real three-dimensional structure of a class III kinase domain including its leader peptide binding site. This structure allowed us in turn to not only show the general function of this domain for leader binding, but also what residues in the protein form crucial contacts with the leader peptide. Furthermore, our study for the first time demonstrates the role of the LRD $_{3\beta\text{-}1\alpha\text{-}2\beta}$ motif for mediating the interactions between the catalytic centers for peptide phosphorylation and phosphate elimination, which is key for understanding how the substrate can be shuttled back and forth between active sites in a sequential manner. The binding of ATP has little impact on the leader binding and lyase-kinase association (Figure S39). This greatly expands our understanding of how the biosynthesis of both class III and IV lanthipeptides are accomplished on a molecular level.

In conclusion, our study shows that LRD_{3β-1α-2β} motifs are conserved among class III and class IV lanthipeptide synthetases and mediate the association between the lyase and kinase domains. The binding modes between LRD_{3β-1α-2β} motifs and lyase domains are highly conserved across LanKC and LanL enzymes and facilitate the binding of domains taken from distinct classes. These findings highlight the modularity and the close evolutionary relationship between the lyase–kinase domains of LanKC and LanL enzymes, despite the lack of homology among their cyclase domains.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the NSF of China (Grant 21922703 and 91953112 to H.W. and 31870735 to H. Y.), National Key R&D Program of China (2019YFA0905800), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant BK20190004 and BK20202004 to H.W. and BK20200335 to W.W.). We thank the National Center for Protein Sciences Shanghai (NCPSS) beamlines BL18 U and BL19U allowance. We thank the staffs of the National Center for Protein Sciences Shanghai (NCPSS) beamlines BL18 U and BL19U allowance. We thank the staffs of the National Center for Protein Sciences Shanghai (NCPSS) beamlines BL18 U and BL19 U and the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) BL17 U, Shanghai, People's Republic of China, for assistance during data collection. We thank the High Performance Computing Center (HPCC) of Nanjing University for the numerical calculations on its blade cluster system. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords: Biosynthesis · Domain Interactions · Lanthipeptides · Substrate Recognition

- a) M. Montalban-López, T. A. Scott, S. Ramesh, I. R. Rahman, A. J. van Heel, J. H. Viel, V. Bandarian, E. Dittmann, O. Genilloud, Y. Goto, M. J. Grande Burgos, C. Hill, S. Kim, J. Koehnke, J. A. Latham, A. J. Link, B. Martinez, S. K. Nair, Y. Nicolet, S. Rebuffat, H. G. Sahl, D. Sareen, E. W. Schmidt, L. Schmitt, K. Severinov, R. D. Sussmuth, A. W. Truman, H. Wang, J. K. Weng, G. P. van Wezel, Q. Zhang, J. Zhong, J. Piel, D. A. Mitchell, O. P. Kuipers, W. A. van der Donk, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2021, 38, 130–239; b) L. M. Repka, J. R. Chekan, S. K. Nair, W. A. van der Donk, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, 117, 5457– 5520.
- [2] a) F. J. Ortiz-López, D. Carretero-Molina, M. Sanchez-Hidalgo, J. Martin, I. Gonzalez, F. Roman-Hurtado, M. de la Cruz, S. Garcia-Fernandez, F. Reyes, J. P. Deisinger, A. Muller, T. Schneider, O. Genilloud, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 12654-12658; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 12754-12758; b) A. M. Kloosterman, P. Cimermancic, S. S. Elsayed, C. Du, M. Hadjithomas, M. S. Donia, M. A. Fischbach, G. P. van Wezel, M. H. Medema, PLoS Biol. 2020, 18, e3001026; c) M. Xu, F. Zhang, Z. Cheng, G. Bashiri, J. Wang, J. Hong, Y. Wang, L. Xu, X. Chen, S. X. Huang, S. Lin, Z. Deng, M. Tao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 18029-18035; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 18185-18191; d) H. Saad, S. Aziz, M. Gehringer, M. Kramer, J. Straetener, A. Berscheid, H. Brotz-Oesterhelt, H. Gross, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 16472-16479; Angew. Chem. 2021, 133, 16608-16615; e) F. Román-Hurtado, M. Sanchez-Hidalgo, J. Martin, F. J. Ortiz-Lopez, O. Genilloud, Antibiotics 2021, 10, 403-419.

- [3] a) M. A. Ortega, Y. Hao, Q. Zhang, M. C. Walker, W. A. van der Donk, S. K. Nair, *Nature* **2015**, *517*, 509–512; b) B. Li, J. P. J. Yu, J. S. Brunzelle, G. N. Moll, W. A. van der Donk, S. K. Nair, *Science* **2006**, *311*, 1464–1467; c) N. Garg, L. M. A. Salazar-Ocampo, W. A. van der Donk, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, *110*, 7258–7263.
- [4] a) S. H. Dong, W. Tang, T. Lukk, Y. Yu, S. K. Nair, W. A. van der Donk, *eLife* 2015, *4*, e07607; b) L. L. Xie, L. M. Miller, C. Chatterjee, O. Averin, N. L. Kelleher, W. A. van der Donk, *Science* 2004, *303*, 679–681; c) B. Li, D. Sher, L. Kelly, Y. X. Shi, K. Huang, P. J. Knerr, I. Joewono, D. Rusch, S. W. Chisholm, W. A. van der Donk, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, *107*, 10430–10435.
- [5] a) W. M. Müller, T. Schmiederer, P. Ensle, R. D. Sussmuth, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2436–2440; Angew. Chem.
 2010, 122, 2486–2490; b) N. A. Jungmann, B. Krawczyk, M. Tietzmann, P. Ensle, R. D. Sussmuth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15222–15228; c) H. Wang, W. A. van der Donk, ACS Chem. Biol. 2012, 7, 1529–1535; d) Y. Goto, B. Li, J. Claesen, Y. Shi, M. J. Bibb, W. A. van der Donk, PLoS Biol. 2010, 8, e1000339; e) J. D. Hegemann, W. A. van der Donk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 5743–5754; f) J. D. Hegemann, L. Shi, M. L. Gross, W. A. van der Donk, ACS Chem. Biol. 2019, 14, 1583–1592; g) J. D. Hegemann, R. D. Sussmuth, ChemBio-Chem 2021, 22, 3169–3172; h) A. Grigoreva, J. Andreeva, D. Bikmetov, A. Rusanova, M. Serebryakova, A. H. Garcia, D. Slonova, S. K. Nair, G. Lippens, K. Severinov, S. Dubiley, iScience 2021, 24, 102480.
- [6] J. D. Hegemann, R. D. Süssmuth, RSC Chem. Biol. 2020, 1, 110–127.
- [7] a) K. Meindl, T. Schmiederer, K. Schneider, A. Reicke, D. Butz, S. Keller, H. Guhring, L. Vertesy, J. Wink, H. Hoffmann, M. Bronstrup, G. M. Sheldrick, R. D. Sussmuth, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, *49*, 1151–1154; *Angew. Chem.* 2010, *122*, 1169–1173; b) G. H. Völler, J. M. Krawczyk, A. Pesic, B. Krawczyk, J. Nachtigall, R. D. Sussmuth, *ChemBioChem* 2012, *13*, 1174–1183.
- [8] B. Krawczyk, G. H. Voller, J. Voller, P. Ensle, R. D. Sussmuth, *ChemBioChem* **2012**, *13*, 2065–2071.
- [9] a) S. Chen, B. Xu, E. Chen, J. Wang, J. Lu, S. Donadio, H. Ge, H. Wang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2019**, *116*, 2533–2538;
 b) V. Wiebach, A. Mainz, R. Schnegotzki, M. J. Siegert, M. Hugelland, N. Pliszka, R. D. Sussmuth, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 16777–16785; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 16920–16929.
- [10] All crystal structures have been deposited in the PDB database under accession numbers 7WJ6 for the $CurKC_{kinase}$, 7WJ7 for the $CurKC_{kinase}$ -adenosine complex.
- [11] L. N. Johnson, M. E. Noble, D. J. Owen, Cell 1996, 85, 149– 158.
- [12] H. M. A. D. A. Case, K. Belfon, I. Y. Ben-Shalom, J. T. Berryman, S. R. Brozell, D. S. Cerutti, T. E. Cheatham, III, G. A.

- Cisneros, V. W. D. Cruzeiro, T. A. Darden, R. E. Duke, G. Giambasu, M. K. Gilson, H. Gohlke, A. W. Goetz, R. Harris, S. Izadi, S. A. Izmailov, K. Kasavajhala, M. C. Kaymak, E. King, A. Kovalenko, T. Kurtzman, T. S. Lee, S. LeGrand, P. Li, C. Lin, J. Liu, T. Luchko, R. Luo, M. Machado, V. Man, M. Manathunga, K. M. Merz, Y. Miao, O. Mikhailovskii, G. Monard, H. Nguyen, K. A. O'Hearn, A. Onufriev, F. Pan, S. Pantano, R. Qi, A. Rahnamoun, D. R. Roe, A. Roitberg, C. Sagui, S. Schott-Verdugo, A. Shajan, J. Shen, C. L. Simmerling, N. R. Skrynnikov, J. Smith, J. Swails, R. C. Walker, J. Wang, J. Wang, H. Wei, R. M. Wolf, X. Wu, Y. Xiong, Y. Xue, D. M. York, S. Zhao, and P. A. Kollman, *University of California, San Francisco* 2022.
- [13] a) T. A. Young, B. Delagoutte, J. A. Endrizzi, A. M. Falick, T. Alber, *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **2003**, *10*, 168–174; b) M. N. Lisa, M. Gil, G. Andre-Leroux, N. Barilone, R. Duran, R. M. Biondi, P. M. Alzari, *Structure* **2015**, *23*, 1039–1048.
- [14] a) B. J. Burkhart, G. A. Hudson, K. L. Dunbar, D. A. Mitchell, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2015, 11, 564-570; b) C. A. Regni, R. F. Roush, D. J. Miller, A. Nourse, C. T. Walsh, B. A. Schulman, EMBO J. 2009, 28, 1953–1964; c) J. Koehnke, G. Mann, A. F. Bent, H. Ludewig, S. Shirran, C. Botting, T. Lebl, W. Houssen, M. Jaspars, J. H. Naismith, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2015, 11, 558-563; d) S. R. Wecksler, S. Stoll, A. T. Iavarone, E. M. Imsand, H. Tran, R. D. Britt, J. P. Klinman, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7031-7033; e) T. L. Grove, P. M. Himes, S. Hwang, H. Yumerefendi, J. B. Bonanno, B. Kuhlman, S. C. Almo, A. A. Bowers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 11734-11744; f) T. Sumida, S. Dubiley, B. Wilcox, K. Severinov, S. Tagami, ACS Chem. Biol. 2019, 14, 1619–1627; g) C. Dou, Z. Long, S. Li, D. Zhou, Y. Jin, L. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Zheng, L. Li, X. Zhu, Z. Liu, S. He, W. Yan, L. Yang, J. Xiong, X. Fu, S. Qi, H. Ren, S. Chen, L. Dai, B. Wang, W. Cheng, Cell Res. 2022, 32, 302-314.
- [15] W. M. Müller, P. Ensle, B. Krawczyk, R. D. Sussmuth, *Biochemistry* 2011, 50, 8362–8373.
- [16] J. Jumper, R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K. Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates, A. Zidek, A. Potapenko, A. Bridgland, C. Meyer, S. A. A. Kohl, A. J. Ballard, A. Cowie, B. Romera-Paredes, S. Nikolov, R. Jain, J. Adler, T. Back, S. Petersen, D. Reiman, E. Clancy, M. Zielinski, M. Steinegger, M. Pacholska, T. Berghammer, S. Bodenstein, D. Silver, O. Vinyals, A. W. Senior, K. Kavukcuoglu, P. Kohli, D. Hassabis, *Nature* 2021, 596, 583–589.
- [17] L. M. Repka, K. J. Hetrick, S. H. Chee, W. A. van der Donk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 4200–4203.

Manuscript received: August 2, 2022 Accepted manuscript online: September 13, 2022 Version of record online: October 7, 2022