Whole Genome Comparison Reveals High Levels of Inbreeding and Strain Redundancy Across the Spectrum of Commercial Wine Strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Anthony R. Borneman,^{*,†,1} Angus H. Forgan,* Radka Kolouchova,* James A. Fraser,^{*} and Simon A. Schmidt*

*The Australian Wine Research Institute, PO Box 197, Glen Osmond, South Australia 5064, Australia, [†]Department of Genetics and Evolution, University of Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia, and [‡]Australian Infectious Diseases Research Centre and School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia

ABSTRACT Humans have been consuming wines for more than 7000 yr. For most of this time, fermentations were presumably performed by strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that naturally found their way into the fermenting must. In contrast, most commercial wines are now produced by inoculation with pure yeast monocultures, ensuring consistent, reliable and reproducible fermentations, and there are now hundreds of these yeast starter cultures commercially available. In order to thoroughly investigate the genetic diversity that has been captured by over 50 yr of commercial wine yeast development and domestication, whole genome sequencing has been performed on 212 strains of *S. cerevisiae*, including 119 commercial wine and brewing starter strains, and wine isolates from across seven decades. Comparative genomic analysis indicates that, despite their large numbers, commercial strains, and wine strains in general, are extremely similar genetically, possessing all of the hallmarks of a population bottle-neck, and high levels of inbreeding. In addition, many commercial strains from multiple suppliers are nearly genetically identical, suggesting that the limits of effective genetic variation within this genetically narrow group may be approaching saturation.

KEYWORDS

genome sequencing industrial yeast comparative genomics fermentation

Humans have been producing and consuming wines for more than 7000 yr, making wine one of the first processed agricultural products (Sicard and Legras 2011). Until the middle of the 20th century, winemaking relied on naturally occurring yeasts to complete the fermentation process. However, spontaneous fermentations such as these, produced inconsistent results from vintage to vintage and, due to their protracted fermentation times, were often vulnerable to spoilage by undesirable yeast and/or bacteria.

One of the most significant technological advances in winemaking was the introduction of pure starter strains of the major wine yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, in the 1950s, with the first commercial active dried starters being released in 1965. Most commercial wine fermentations are now inoculated with high numbers of these selected strains directly after the grapes are crushed, to ensure consistent, reliable and reproducible fermentations (Heard and Fleet 1985; Henick-Kling *et al.* 1998). Since their introduction, hundreds of strains of *S. cerevisiae* have been have been developed into a wide variety of commercial starter cultures.

Genome sequencing has shown that, in general, vineyard and wine strains form a phylogenetically related group (Fay and Benavides 2005; Liti *et al.* 2009; Borneman *et al.* 2011). Recently, this group has also been shown to contain strains from Mediterranean oaks, which may be the historical progenitor of "domesticated" wine yeasts (Almeida *et al.* 2015). Furthermore, strains isolated from wineries or vineyards outside

Copyright © 2016 Borneman et al.

doi: 10.1534/g3.115.025692

Manuscript received December 7, 2015; accepted for publication February 3, 2016; published Early Online February 11, 2016.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental Material is available online at www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/ doi:10.1534/g3.115.025692/-/DC1

¹Corresponding author: The Australian Wine Research Institute, PO Box 197, Glen Osmond, South Australia 5064, Australia. E-mail: anthony.bomeman@awri.com.au

of Europe are unrelated to "indigenous" *S. cerevisiae* strains, except in cases of close proximity to winemaking environs (Hyma and Fay 2013). This suggests that European wine strains have accompanied the migration of winemaking around the globe, and are maintained as distinct populations through phenotypic selection (Fay *et al.* 2004; Warringer *et al.* 2011; Clowers *et al.* 2015a). Interestingly, despite their common geographic origins, and roles in the production of alcoholic beverages, wine strains are also genetically distinct from *S. cerevisiae* strains used for brewing (Borneman *et al.* 2011; Dunn *et al.* 2012).

In order to investigate the genetic diversity that has been captured by over 50 yr of commercial wine yeast development, whole genome sequencing was performed on 212 strains of *S. cerevisiae*, including 106 commercial wine starter strains from nine different commercial yeast suppliers. In addition to the wine yeast strains, 13 commercially available brewing strains were also sequenced to compare general features of the two industrial groups. Comparative genomic analysis shows that, despite their large numbers, commercial strains, and wine strains in general, remain genetically similar, with a population bottle-neck and/or high levels of inbreeding apparent between isolates. In addition, commercial strains from multiple suppliers are often genetically identical, suggesting that the limits of effective natural variation within this group may have been reached.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA isolation, extraction, and sequencing

Noncommercial wine yeast isolates were obtained from The Australian Wine Research Institute (AWRI) Microorganisms Culture Collection. Commercial strains were obtained as purified strains from the manufacturer, or were sourced as active-dried yeast preparations.

For strains from the AWRI culture collection, samples were grown overnight at 28° in YPD. For the commercial strains obtained from freeze-dried packets, 5 g of active dry yeast was rehydrated in 50 ml of water (38°) for 20–40 min to obtain an homogenous suspension of rehydrated yeast; 25 μ l of rehydrated yeast was then inoculated into 5 ml of YPD and grown overnight at 28°. For both culture types, 1.5 ml of the overnight culture was used for DNA extraction (Gentra Puregene Yeast/Bact kit, Qiagen). For strains from the White labs (http://www.whitelabs.com), and WYeast collections (https://www. wyeastlab.com), strains were grown overnight in YPD at 30° with shaking. Washed cell pellets were frozen and lyophilized, and DNA extracted via the CTAB extraction method as described (Pitkin *et al.* 1996).

Genomic libraries for AWRI strains were prepared using the Nextera XT platform (Illumina), and sequenced using Illumina Miseq, paired-end 300 bp chemistry (Ramaciottti Centre for Functional Genomics, University of New South Wales, Australia). White Labs and WYeast strains were sequenced using paired-end 100 bp chemistry (BGI).

Sequence processing and reference-based alignment

An extended Saccharomyces sensu stricto reference sequence was assembled from existing genomic sequences for *S. cerevisiae* (Goffeau *et al.* 1996), *S. paradoxus, S. mikatae, S. kudriavzevii, S. uvarum* (Scannell *et al.* 2011), and *S. arboricolus* (Liti *et al.* 2013). As a *de novo* assembled genome was not available for *S. eubayanus*, the non-*S. cerevisiae* contribution of the *S. pastorianus* genome (*S. cerevisiae–S. eubayanus* hybrid) was used as a proxy (Nakao *et al.* 2009). In addition to these reference genomes, 26 pan-genomic segments from *S. cerevisiae* were included in order to track the presence of these elements (Supplemental Material, File S1), which included key industry-associated elements from

wine, brewing, biofuel, and sake yeasts (Ness and Aigle 1995; p. 6 in Hall and Dietrich 2007; Novo *et al.* 2009; Argueso *et al.* 2009; Borneman *et al.* 2011; Akao *et al.* 2011).

Raw sequence data were quality trimmed [trimmomatic v0.22 (Bolger *et al.* 2014); TRAILING:20 MINLEN:50], and aligned to the extended *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* clade using novoalign (v3.02.12; -n 300 -i PE 100-1000 -o SAM; http://www.novocraft.com/) and converted to sorted .bam format using samtools (v1.2; Li *et al.* 2009). Single nucleotide variation between the reference genome and each strain was performed using Varscan (v2.3.8;-min-avg-qual 0-min-var-frequation 0.3-min-coverage 10; Koboldt *et al.* 2012), and this information was used to alter a coverage masked-reference sequence to reflect these differences using custom python scripts. Maximum-likelihood phylogenies were then produced from these altered reference sequences using Seaview (v4.4.2; -phyml; Gouy *et al.* 2010).

Genome analysis

Copy number analysis was performed on the per-base coverage information included in the output of samtools mileup (v1.2; Li *et al.* 2009) with a custom python script used to apply smoothing via a 10-kb sliding window, with a 5-kb step. Results were presented relative to the mean coverage of all windows containing at least 10 reads.

Heterozygosity levels were calculated by recording the total number of heterozygous and homozygous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) called for each strain relative to the reference using Varscan (Koboldt *et al.* 2012). Results were smoothed using a 10-kb sliding window, with a 5-kb step via custom python scripts.

Identity-by-state (IBS) analysis was performed by recording the total number of shared alleles between all pairwise combinations of strains across all genomic locations in which a SNP was recorded in at least one strain, and for which data were missing in less than two strains. IBS state 2 (IBS2) represents identical diploid genotypes (*e.g.*, AA:AA, AT:AT), IBS1 loci share one allele (*e.g.*, AA:AT; AC:AT), while IBS0 loci are completely different (*e.g.*, AA:AT; AT:CG). Results were then smoothed using a 50-kb sliding window, with a 25-kb step, with individual windows further categorized according to four genomic states IBS2 (< 5% IBS1, < 1% IBS0), IBS2|1 (\geq 5% IBS1, < 1% IBS0), IBS2|1 (\geq 5% IBS1, \geq 1% IBS0).

Data availability

All mapped sequence data have been deposited in the NCBI short read archive under the accession number SRP066835 (BioProject accession PRJNA303109). All AWRI-designated strains sequenced in this study are available from the AWRI Wine Microorganism Culture Collection, while the WL- and WY-designated strains are available from Dr. James A. Fraser.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Whole-genome sequence data were generated for 212 *S. cerevisiae* strains, of which 106 are commercially available strains from nine different yeast supply companies. In addition to these 212 strains, another 24, from a variety of sources, and for which existing whole-genome sequence was available, were used for comparison purposes, resulting in a total of 236 strains for which analysis was performed (Table 1).

A whole-genome maximum-likelihood phylogeny was constructed based upon 1,455,253 bp of genome sequence, which exceeded coverage thresholds for SNP calling in all 236 strains (Figure 1). The resulting phylogeny displayed very clear stratification, with all but four of the commercial wine strains, and nine of the strains from the AWRI culture

Table 1 Yeast strains sequenced in this study

Strain	Other name	Origin	Clade
AWRI81		Australia 1940: sherry	Vin7
AWRI170		Australia 1947: champagne	Wine
AWRI213		Australia 1949: wine	Wine
AW/RI228		Australia 1949: grapes	Wine
AW/RI266		Pre1937	Wine
Δ\//RI292		Australia 1949: sherry	Vin7
AVVII272		Australia	Vin7
AVVI(1/22 AVV/RI723		Australia	Vin7
AVVI(1/23		Ausualia Canada 1941: Takay	VIII/
AVVI(1/24 A\A/DI725		Callada 1701, TOKay Switzorland: pro1967	Other
		Switzenand, pre 1967	Other
		England, pre 1967, apple skin	Utier 7
		Germany, pre 1966	VI[1/
AVVRI/03		Australia 1971; wine	VIN/
	VVEI	South Africa; pre 1971	vvine
AVVRI/6/	VVE14	South Africa; pre 1971	Vin/
AWRI778		Australia 1973; wine	Vin/
AWRI/92	Isolate of WE1	New Zealand, pre1975	Wine
AWRI/93	Isolate of AWRI/92 (WE1)	New Zealand, pre1975	Wine
AWRI795	Isolate of WE14	New Zealand, pre1975	Vin7
AWRI796 ^a	AWRI796	Maurivin; South Africa; pre1975	Wine
AWRI814		Australia 1964; wine	Wine
AWRI833		Australia 1979; wine	Wine
AWRI834		Australia 1979; champagne	Wine
AWRI838			PdM
AWRI858 ^a	Oenoferm	Erbsloh	Wine
AWRI896			Wine
AWRI931	UCD C-14		Other
AWRI932	UCD C-237	USA, 1939; grapes	Wine
AWRI934	UCD 48-41		Other
AWRI935	UCD C-9	USA, 1940; wine	Vin7
AWRI937	UCD 55-97		Wine
AWRI939	UCD 62-9	1962; Sake	Other
AWRI947			Wine
AWRI971			Wine
AWRI1001		France	PdM
AWRI1017		Australia	Wine
AWRI1077	UCD 513	Distilling	Wine
AWRI1078	UCD 514	Wine	Wine
AWRI1082	NCYC 761	Nigeria 1973; palm wine	Other
AWRI1083	NCYC 738	England 1972; brewery	Other
AWRI1427 ^a	Lalvin WSK 27	Lallemand	Wine
AWRI1428 ^a	Uvaferm PM	Lallemand	PdM
AWRI1429 ^a	Lalvin DV10	Lallemand: France	PdM
AWRI1430 ^a	EnofermAssmunshansen	Lallemand	Wine
AWRI1431 ^a	Lalvin W15	Lallemand: Switzerland: wine	Wine
AWRI1432 ^a	Vin7	Anchor Yeast	Vin7
AWRI1435 ^a	Oenoferm Klosterneuberg	Erbsloh	Wine
AWRI1436 ^a	Lalvin S6U	Lallemand	Vin7
AWRI1451ª	Levuline CHP	Lallemand: France	PdM
AWRI1474		Australia 2003	Vin7
AW/RI1482		Australia 2004: wine	Wine
ΔW/RI1483 ^a	Lalvin ICV D254	Lallemand: France: wine	Wine
AW/RI1484 ^a	Lalvin Rhone L 2224	Lallemand: France: vinevard	Wine
AW/RI1485ª	Lalvin RC212	Lallemand: France: wine	Wine
Δ\M/RI1486 ^a	Lalvin RM/5	Lallemand	\M/ino
Δ\//RI1/187a	Lalvin Rhong L2056	Lallemand	\\/inc
Λ\Λ/PI1/88ª		Lallemand: France: wine	\\/inc
AVVIN1400			VVIIIe
AVVICI 1407- AVVIDI 1407-	Levuine Drug		vvine
AVVIN1470	Laivin Rhone L2323		vvine
AVVIA1471- AVVIA1471-		Lallemand; France	vvine
AVVICI 1472-			vvine
AVVKI 1493"	Laivin / IB	Lallemand	Other

(continued)

Table 1, continued

Strain	Other name	Origin	Clade
AWRI1494 ^a	Enoferm M2	Lallemand	Wine
AWRI1495 ^a	Uvaferm CM 522	Lallemand	Wine
AWRI1496 ^a	Enoferm SYRAH	Lallemand; France	Wine
AWRI1497 ^a	Lalvin T73	Lallemand; Spain	Wine
AWRI1498 ^a	Lalvin EC1118	Lallemand	PdM
AWRI1501	S. cerevisiae × S. paradoxus	AWRI	PdM
AWRI1502 ^a	AWRI Fusion	Maurivin	PdM
AWRI1503ª	AWRI1503	Maurivin	PdM
AWRI1504	S. cerevisiae × S. mikatae	AWRI	PdM
AWRI1505 ^a	Cerebray	Maurivin	PdM
AWRI1537ª	Vin13	Anchor Yeast	Wine
AVVRI15/5"		Anchor Yeast	PdIVI
AVVRI1616° AVA/DI142Ea	PDM Low-line C19	Maurivin	Paivi
AVVRI1023°	Levuine C19	Cenofrance	Paivi Wine
AVVRI1027 ⁻ A\A/DI1629a	Laivin BATT Platinum	Lailemano, Spain Mourivin	PAM
Δ\//RI1639ª	Distinction	Maurivin	PdM
AWR(1637 AWRI1642ª	Advantage	Maurivin	PdM
AWRI1686	Advantage	Australia 1988	Wine
AWRI1688ª	Zymaflore VI.3c	Laffort	Wine
AWRI1697	Isolate of N96	Australia, 2009	PdM
AWRI1705		Germany, pre1981	Wine
AWRI1706		Australia, pre1981; wine	Wine
AWRI1709			Wine
AWRI1712		Australia; wine	Wine
AWRI1714		Australia 1981; wine	Wine
AWRI1716		Australia, pre1980	Wine
AWRI1719		Australia 1981; wine	Wine
AWRI1722	CBS 7045	wine	Other
AWRI1724		Australia; wine	Wine
AWRI1727			Wine
AWRI1729			Other
AWRI1736		Australia; wine	Wine
AWRI1742		Australia; wine	Wine
AWRI1743		Australia; wine	Wine
AVVRI1744		France; wine	Wine
AVVRI1/54		France	Wine
AVVRI 1757		France; wine	Wine
AWRI1757 AWRI1758		France	Wine
AWR1750		France	Wine
AWRI1760		France	Wine
AWRI1761		France: 1982	Wine
AWRI1762		France: 1982	PdM
AWRI1775		Australia; sherry	PdM
AWRI1776		. ,	Wine
AWRI1778			Wine
AWRI1781		France	Wine
AWRI1782		France	Wine
AWRI1784		France	Wine
AWRI1787			Wine
AWRI1795			PdM
AWRI1796		Australia 1984; wine	PdM
AWRI1833ª	Uvaterm 43	Lallemand	Wine
AWRI1899ª	Fermichamp	DSM; France	Vin7
AWRI1901		France	Wine
AVVRI1902		France	PdM
	NUYU /38	England 1972; brewery	Other
		France	rdM
		Italy	Vin/
AVVKI1710 AVA/DI1021		Germany	vin/
AVVKI1721		South Africa	vvine

(continued)

Table 1, continued

Strain	Other name	Origin	Clade
AWRI1939		Australia, 1989	PdM
AWRI1942	UCD 713	France; wine	Wine
AWRI1946	UCD 725	France; wine	Wine
AWRI1950 ^a	Oenoferm LWE317-28	Erbsloh	Wine
AWRI1962		Australia, 1990	PdM
AWRI2006	IOC B 2000	Institut Oenologique de Champagne	Wine
AWRI2013	Blastocel Grand Cru		Wine
AWRI2077 ^a	Lalvin ICV D21	Lallemand; France; wine; 1999	Wine
AWRI2078 ^a	Lalvin CY3079	Lallemand; France	Wine
AWRI2079 ^a	Enoferm T306	Lallemand; Australia; wine	Wine
AWRI2255 ^a	Uvaferm HPS	Lallemand	Wine
AWRI2260 ^a	Lalvin QA23	Lallemand	PdM
AWRI2308		Australia 2011; wine	Wine
AWRI2340	IOC 18-2007	Institut Oenologique de Champagne	PdM
AWRI2/68		Australia 2013; wine	Wine
AWRI2//6ª		Anchor Yeast	PdM
AVVRI2848°	Actifiore BUZI3	Lattort	Wine
	Actifiore F33		vvine
	Actificite RIVISZ		Paivi
AVVRI2031° AVVRI2052a	Actiliore Rose	Laffort	Wine
AWKI2032° AWDI2052a	Zymallore F13 Zymaflore E82	Laffort, France	Wine
AWRI2033 ⁻ AWRI2854a	Zymallore Fos Zymaflore FX10	Laffort	Wine
AWN(2034 AW/RI2855a	Zymaflore PB2	Laffort: Franco	Wine
AWN(2000 AWR)2856a	Zymaflore RX60		Wine
ΔWRI2858 ^a	Zymaflore VI 1	Laffort	Wine
AWR12859ª	Zymaflore VI 2	Laffort: France	Wine
AWRI2860 ^a	Zymaflore X16	Laffort	Wine
AWRI2861ª	Zymaflore X5	Laffort	Wine
AWRI2863ª	Collection Cepage Chardonnay	Oenobrands	Wine
AWRI2864 ^a	Collection Cepage Merlot	Oenobrands: France	Wine
AWRI2865 ^a	Collection Cepage Pinot	Oenobrands	Other
AWRI2866 ^a	Collection Cepage Sauvignon Blanc	Oenobrands: France	Wine
AWRI2867 ^a	Collection Cepage Syrah	Oenobrands	Wine
AWRI2868 ^a	Fermichamp	Oenobrands	Vin7
AWRI2869ª	Fermicru 4F9	Oenobrands; France	PdM
AWRI2870 ^a	Fermicru AR2	Oenobrands; France	Wine
AWRI2871 ^a	Fermicru LS2	Oenobrands; France	PdM
AWRI2872 ^a	Fermicru LVCB	Oenobrands; Chile	PdM
AWRI2873 ^a	Fermicru Rose	Oenobrands	PdM
AWRI2874 ^a	Fermicru VR5	Oenobrands; France	Wine
AWRI2875 ^a	Fermicru XL	Oenobrands	Wine
AWRI2876 ^a	Fermirouge	Oenobrands; France	Wine
AWRI2877 ^a	Fermivin	Oenobrands; France	Wine
AWRI2878 ^a	NT112	Anchor Yeast	PdM
AWRI2879ª	NT202	Anchor Yeast	PdM
AWRI2880 ^a	NT50	Anchor Yeast	PdM
AWRI2881ª	Vin2000	Anchor Yeast	PdM
AWRI2882ª	WE14	Anchor Yeast	Wine
AWRI2895ª		Enartis	Wine
		Enartis	Wine
AWRI2897°		Enartis	Wine
AVVRIZ070° A\A/DI2000a	ES 434 Aroma White	Enarus	vvine
AVVRI2077- AVA/DI2000a		Enartic	vvine
	EJ 12J Vintaga White	Enarus	vvine
AVVINZ701- AVA/RI2002a	Porlago	Enartis	
	FZEERM	Enartis	Wino
	EZERM 11	Enartis	Vin7
		Enartis	
		Enartis	Other
Δ\//RI2907 ^a	Maurivin B	Maurivin	Wine
,		maanviil	A A ILLE

(continued)

Table 1, continued

AWR12908*Maurivin BP725Maurivin; France; vineyardWineAVRR2909*Maurivin; Cru BlancMaurivin; France; vineyardWineAVR12910*Maurivin; EleganceMaurivin; France; vineyardWineAVR12911*Maurivin; EleganceMaurivin; France; vineyardWineAVR12911*Maurivin; EleganceMaurivin;WineAVR12911*Maurivin FarmeurMaurivin;WineAVR12914*Maurivin JoavignonMaurivin; FranceWineAVR12914*Lalvin CLallemand; FranceWineAVR12927*Lalvin CLOSLallemand; Juski; wineWineAVR12927*Lalvin R-HSTLalemand; Juski; wineWineAVR12933*Lalvin CLOSLallemand; Juski; wineWineAVR12933*Lalvin Barolo BRL97Lalemand; Juski; wineWineStar26(Dunn et al. 2012)WineSe Aractuel BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc MoroccBread G17(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc MoroccBread G17(Dunn et al. 2013)OtherSc SardCoundough S11(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS73604X(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherSt14(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS14(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS14(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS14(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS15(Skelly et al. 2013)Other <td< th=""></td<>
AWR2909*Maurvin Cu BlancMaurvin; France; vineyardWineAWR2910*Maurvin EleganceMaurvin; fortugalPdMAWR2911*Maurvin FirmeurMaurvinWineAWR2912*Maurvin FirmeurMaurvinWineAWR2914*Maurvin SaurginonMaurvin, FranceWineAWR2914*Maurvin JUOA MaxithiolMaurvin, FranceWineAWR2921*Lalvin CLalemand; FranceWineAWR2921*Lalvin R-HSTLalemand; JSA; wineWineAWR2928*Enoferm RP15Lalemand; SpainWineAWR2931*Lalvin CLOSLalemand; ItalyWineAWR2933*Lalvin GLOSLalemand; ItalyWineS288CLabora BLP7Lalemand; ItalyWineSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc BrazFuel BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc Hefaelk W205(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardCourdough S11(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardCourdough S11Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStatAu(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherDBVPG6765(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherSK1(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStatAu(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStatAu(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherTymP38(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStatAu(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStatAu(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherTymP311(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStatAu <t< td=""></t<>
AWRI2910*Maurivin EleganceMaurivin, PortugalPdMAWRI2911*Maurivin EP2MaurivinWineAWRI2912*Maurivin SauvignonMaurivin, FranceWineAWRI2913*Maurivin SauvignonMaurivin, FranceWineAWRI2914*Lalvin CLallemand; FranceWineAWRI2921*Lalvin CLallemand; FranceWineAWRI2921*Lalvin CLallemand; SavinaWineAWRI2921*Lalvin CLOSLallemand; USA; wineWineAWRI2933*Lalvin CLOSLallemand; LalyWineAWRI2933*Lalvin CLOSLallemand; LalyWineAWRI2933*Lalvin Barolo BRL97Lalemand; LalyWineSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)WineSc Braze Lei BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc Harber LCYC1044(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc Hefaele W205(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc Hefaele W205(Dunn et al. 2012)VineSc SardSourdough S11(Dunn et al. 2012)WineStaf4Sc Kelly et al. 2013)Other73640X(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherWOPS052272(Skelly et al. 2013)WineYJM981(Skelly et al. 2013)WineYJM978(Skelly et al.
AWRI2911*Maurivin EP2MaurivinWineAWRI2912*Maurivin PrimeurMaurivin, FranceWineAWRI2913*Maurivin UOA MaxithiolMaurivin, FranceWineAWRI2914*Maurivin UOA MaxithiolMaurivinWineAWRI2921*Lalvin CLallemand; FranceWineAWRI2921*Lalvin R-HSTLallemand; JSA; wineWineAWRI2921*Lalvin R-HSTLallemand; SpainWineAWRI2931*Lalvin CLOSLallemand; SpainWineAWRI2933*Lalvin Barolo BRL97Lallemand; ItalyWineS288CConnor tal. 2012)OtherSpainSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc BrazFuel BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc BrazFuel BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc HefeAle W205(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc SardSourdough S11(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherStadCanonau 1446(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardSourdough S11(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherT38A04X(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStA1(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStA1(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStA1(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStA1(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherT38A04X(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherStA1(Skelly et al. 2013)WineStA1(Skelly et al. 2013)WineStA1(Skelly et al. 2013)WineStA2(Skelly et al. 2013)Wi
AWRI2912aMaurivin PrimeurMaurivinOtherAWRI2913aMaurivin SauvignonMaurivin, FranceWineAWRI2913aMaurivin UOA MaxithiolMaurivin, FranceWineAWRI2921aLalvin CLallemand; FranceWineAWRI2927aLalvin R-HSTLallemand; USA; wineWineAWRI2937aLalvin R-HSTLallemand; USA; wineWineAWRI2937aLalvin CLOSLallemand; USA; wineWineAWRI2933aLalvin CLOSLallemand; SpainWineSc RarzLaboratory reference strainOtherSc BrazFuel BG1Unn et al. 2012)OtherSc BrazFuel BG1Unn et al. 2012)AleSc HarzFuel BG1Unn et al. 2012)AleSc HarzFuel BG1Unn et al. 2012)AleSc HarzFuel BG1Unn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardCannonau 1446Unn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardSourdough S11Unn et al. 2012)OtherZr3614NSkelly et al. 2013)OtherSK11Skelly et al. 2013)OtherYJM978Skelly et al. 2013)OtherYJM978Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS2A_Sc_TV2Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS2A_Sc_TV2Skelly et al. 2013)OtherYJM978Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS2A_Sc_DBVPG1106Skelly et al. 2013)OtherS2A_Sc_TV2Bergström et al. 2014)WineS2A_Sc_TV3Bergström et al. 2014)OtherS3A_Sc_TV3Bergström et al. 2014) <td< td=""></td<>
AWRI2913°Maurivin SauvignonMaurivin; FranceWineAWRI2914°Maurivin UOA MaxithiolMurivinWineAWRI2921°Lalvin C.Lalemand; FranceWineAWRI2927°Lalvin R-HSTLalemand; AustriaWineAWRI2928°Enoferm RP15Lalemand; SpainWineAWRI2931°Lalvin CLOSLalemand; SpainWineAWRI2933°Lalvin Barolo BRL97Lalemand; ItalyWineS286C
AWRI2914ªMaurivin UOA MaxithiolMaurivinWineAWRI2921ªLalvin CLallemand; FranceWineAWRI2928ªLalvin R-HSTLallemand; USA; wineWineAWRI2928ªEnoferm RP15Lallemand; USA; wineWineAWRI2931ªLalvin CLOSLallemand; SpainWineAWRI2933ªLalvin Barolo BRL97Lallemand; SpainOtherSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)WineSc BrazFuel BG1Sc BritAle(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc BrazFuel BG1Sc BritAle(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc HefeAle W205(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc SardSourdough S11(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardSourdough S11(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSt14(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherDVPG6765(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherSK1(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherVJM978(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherYJM978(Skelly et al. 2013)WineS1AA_S_C_Y12(Skelly et al. 2013)Wine23AA_S_C_Y12(Skelly et al. 2013)Wine23AA_S_C_Y12(Bergström et al. 2014)Wine23AA_S_C_Y1373(Bergström et al. 2014)Wine20A_S_C_DBVPG1373(Bergström et al. 2014)Wine
AWRI2921*Lalvin CLalemand; FranceWineAWRI2927*Lalvin R-HSTLalemand; MustriaWineAWRI2928*Enoferm RP15Lalemand; USA; wineWineAWRI2931*Lalvin CLOSLalemand; SpainWineAWRI2933*Lalvin Barolo BRL97Lalemand; ItalyWineS288CLalvin Barolo BRL97Lalemand; ItalyWineSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)WineSc BritAle NCYC1044Vone et al. 2012)AleSc Hridela W205(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc Hridela W205(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc SardCannonau 1446(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardCannonau 1446(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherSc SardSourdough S11(Skelly et al. 2013)Other273614N(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherSK1(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherUWOPS052272(Skelly et al. 2013)WineYJM978(Skelly et al. 2014)WineYJM978(Skelly et al. 2014)<
AWRI2927° Lalvin R-HST Lallemand; Austria Wine AWRI2928° Enoferm RP15 Lallemand; USA; wine Wine AWRI2931° Lalvin CLOS Lallemand; Spain Wine AWRI2933° Lalvin Barolo BRL97 Lallemand; Italy Wine S288C Laboratory reference strain Other Sc Ancona Wine 28-AN (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc Brazznel BG1 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc MoroccoBread G17 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Z73614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other Z73614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other SK1 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) <t< td=""></t<>
AWRI2928 ^a Enoferm RP15 Lallemand; USA; wine Wine AWRI2931 ^a Lalvin CLOS Lallemand; Spain Wine AWRI2933 ^a Lalvin Barolo BRL97 Lallemand; Spain Wine S288C Laboratory reference strain Other Sc Ancona Wine 28-AN (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc BrazFuel BG1 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc BritAle NCYC1044 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc HefeAle W205 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc GardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 273614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 273614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other BVPG6755 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other St3AA, Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014)
AWRI2931 ^a Lalvin CLOS Lallemand; Spain Wine AWRI2933 ^a Lalvin Barolo BRL97 Lallemand; Italy Wine S288C Laboratory reference strain Other S288C (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc Ancona Wine 28-AN (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc BrazFuel BG1 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc BrazFuel BG1 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc HefsAle W205 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc AncocoBread G17 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardSourdough S11 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardSourdough S11 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other VJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2014) Wine YS128
AWRI2933°Lalvin Barolo BRL97Lallemand; ItalyWineS288CLaboratory reference strainOtherSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)WineSc BrazFuel BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc BrazFuel BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc BritAle NCYC1044(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc Hordsconsona 1446(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardSourdough S11(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardSourdough S11(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherS78604X(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherBVPG6755(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherSK1(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherUWOPS0S2272(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherYJM978(Skelly et al. 2013)WineYJM978(Skelly et al. 2014)WineZ5A_Sc_DBVPG1106(Bergström et al. 2014)WineZ5A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4(Bergström et al. 2014)WineZ6A_Sc_TJM975(Bergström et al. 2014)WineZ6A_Sc_DBVPG1373(Bergström et al. 2014)WineYIA_Sc_DBVPG1373(Bergström et al. 2014)Wine
S288CLaboratory reference strainOtherSc Ancona Wine 28-AN(Dunn et al. 2012)WineSc BrazFuel BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc BrazFuel BG1(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc BritAle NCYC1044(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc HefeAle W205(Dunn et al. 2012)AleSc SardCannonau 1446(Dunn et al. 2012)WineSc SardCannonau 1446(Dunn et al. 2012)OtherSc SardCourdough S11(Dunn et al. 2012)Other273614N(Skelly et al. 2013)Other378604X(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherDBVPG6765(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherUWOPS052272(Skelly et al. 2013)OtherYJM978(Skelly et al. 2013)WineYJM978(Skelly et al. 2014)WineYJM978(Skelly et al. 2014)WineYJM975(Bergström et al. 2014)WineYJM975(Bergström et al. 2014)WineYJM975(Bergström et al. 2014)WineYAA_Sc_DBVPG1373(Bergström et al. 2014)Wine
Sc Ancona Wine 28-AN (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc BrazFuel BG1 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc BrizAle NCYC1044 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc HefeAle W205 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc MoroccoBread G17 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc SardSourdough S11 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Z73614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other Z73614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YSAA_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine Z3AA_Sc_V112 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine Z3AA_Sc_V112 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other Z1A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other Z3AA_Sc_V11975 (
Sc BrazFuel BG1 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc BritAle NCYC1044 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc BritAle NCYC1044 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc BritAle W205 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc MoroccoBread G17 (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc SardSourdough S11 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other 273614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other VJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2014) Wine Y21A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_V122 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other
Sc BritAle NCYC1044 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc HefeAle W205 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc MoroccoBread G17 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc SardSourdough S11 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other 273614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine Y21A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine S23AA_Sc_Y142 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
Sc HefeAle W205 (Dunn et al. 2012) Ale Sc MoroccoBread G17 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc SardSourdough S11 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Z73614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other Z73614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other SK1 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine 221A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 213A_Sc_V12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 214A_Sc_LWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_SC_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 808_Sc_VJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG60444 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 91A_Sc_DBVPG61373 (Bergst
Sc MoroccoBread G17 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc SardSourdough S11 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other 273614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine SK1 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 21A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 21A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 21A_Sc_W0S03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 21A_Sc_W0S03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Ot
Sc SardCannonau 1446 (Dunn et al. 2012) Wine Sc SardSourdough S11 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other 273614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine Z12A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine Z38A_Sc_VIVOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
Sc SardSourdough S11 (Dunn et al. 2012) Other 273614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine SK1 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine 150A, Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 213A, Sc_V12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 278A_Sc_VV0PS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG60344 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
273614N (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine SK1 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 278A_Sc_V12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 278A_Sc_VMOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
378604X (Skelly et al. 2013) Other DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine SK1 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 233A_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
DBVPG6765 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine SK1 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 278A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
SK1 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
UWOPS052272 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
YJM978 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 278A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other
YJM981 (Skelly et al. 2013) Wine YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 278A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
YPS128 (Skelly et al. 2013) Other 150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 278A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
150A_Sc_DBVPG1106 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 278A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) wine
221A_Sc_L1528 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 278A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine
253AA_Sc_Y12 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 278A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) wine
278A_Sc_UWOPS03-461.4 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) wine
281_Sc_W303 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) wine
308A_Sc_YJM975 (Bergström et al. 2014) Wine 60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) wine
60A_Sc_DBVPG6044 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other 91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) wine
91A_Sc_DBVPG1373 (Bergström et al. 2014) wine
97A_Sc_Y55 (Bergström et al. 2014) Other
WLP800 ^a Pilsner Lager White Labs Ale
WLP028 ^a Edinburgh Scottish Ale White Labs Ale
WLP001 ^a California Ale White Labs Ale
WLP023 ^a Burton Ale White Labs Ale
WLP002 ^a English Ale White Labs Ale
WLP004 ^a Irish Ale White Labs Ale
WY1084 ^a Irish Ale WYeast Ale
WLP013 ^a London Ale White Labs Ale
WLP500 ^a Monastery Ale White Labs Other
WLP775 ^a English Cider White Labs Wine
WLP705 ^a Sake White Labs Wine
WLP099 ^a Super High Gravity Ale White labs Wine
WLP862 ^a Cry Havoc White Labs Ale

^a Commercial strains.

collection, clustering within a large, and highly related, clade containing other strains of either wine, or European origin, which is consistent with previous studies (Fay and Benavides 2005; Liti *et al.* 2009; Borneman *et al.* 2011; Dunn *et al.* 2012). This wine clade was characterized by little overall genetic variation, and the presence of a prominent subclade comprised of a third of all of the wine strains (Figure 1). This subclade could be further divided into two distinct lineages. The first, comprising the majority, was dominated by strains associated with the *Prize de Mousse* (PdM) collection of champagne yeasts, such as PDM, EC1118, and N96. The second lineage was dominated by other fructophillic strains, such as Fermichamp, and the *Saccharomyces* interspecific hybrids S6U and VIN7.

Given that the phylogeny may be confounded by attempts at breeding between strains (either natural or as part of a strain development program), IBS analysis was also employed in order to ascertain the pairwise level of relatedness for all 27,730 pairwise combinations of strains (Figure S1). This data reinforced the highly related nature of the PdM clade, with the majority of the group displaying almost identical genotypes, such that these strains could be considered to have arisen from a single progenitor strain, or



highly interrelated progenitor population (Figure 1B). The exceptions to this are AWRI1501, NT116, NT202, and NT112, which all display a higher than average amount of IBS2|1 events, normally indicative of parent-progeny relationships. AWRI1501 is a 1*n*:1*n S. cerevisiae* × *S. paradoxus* interspecific hybrid, with the *S. cerevisiae* parent being a spore of AWRI838 (Bellon *et al.* 2015). The NT series of wine yeast are also the result of structured breeding, sharing a common PdM-series parent (N96). In addition to NT series strains that fell within the PdM clade, there was a higher degree of relatedness than expected from the structure of the phylogeny between the PdM clade and the strains AWRI1537 (Vin13) and AWRI2897 (ES 181). These two strains, which are adjacent on the phylogeny, display a pattern that, like the NT series, is consistent with these strains being hybrid progeny of a cross between a PdM-clade parent and a second, wine yeast, strain.

Like the wine clade, of the 13 commercially available "brewing" strains that were sequenced, the nine ale strains formed a clade that included other known ale isolates (Figure 1). Interestingly, three of the remaining strains (WLP705; sake, WLP099; high gravity ale, and WLP775; cider) were distributed throughout the wine yeast clade (Figure S1). These out-of-industry positions in the phylogeny were supported by the fact both WLP705 and WLP099 contain wine-specific maker loci, while lacking the pan-genomic hallmarks of true sake yeasts from the Far-East and ale-specific marker loci, respectively (Akao *et al.* 2011; Borneman *et al.* 2013) (Figure S2), and suggests that phenotypic spill-over can occur between industries for some strains.

Evidence for interspecific hybridization

There are numerous examples of interspecific *Saccharomyces* hybrids from both brewing and winemaking environments, including the lager yeast, *S. pastorianus* (*S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus*; (Dunn and Sherlock 2008; Nakao *et al.* 2009; Libkind *et al.* 2011) and some commercially available wine strains, such as VIN7 (*S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii*; Bradbury *et al.* 2006; Borneman *et al.* 2012; Dunn *et al.* 2012), S6U (*S. cerevisiae* × *S. uvarum*; Naumova *et al.* 2005; Almeida *et al.* 2014; Pérez-Torrado *et al.* 2015), EP2 (*S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii*; Dunn *et al.* 2012), and NT50 (*S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii*; Bradbury *et al.* 2006; Dunn *et al.* 2012). All of the *S. cerevisiae* strains analyzed in this study were therefore interrogated for the presence of significant genomic contributions from other members of the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* clade (Figure 2A).

While the proportions of non-*S. cerevisiae* sequences were highly variable, but generally very low, 17 strains were found to contain greater than 10% of at least one chromosome of at least one other *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* species, with contributions from *S. kudriavzevii* (n = 10) being observed most frequently (Figure 2A). Of these 17, 12 appear to contain an almost complete, second non-*S. cerevisiae* genome (Figure 3). At least five of these (AWRI1501, AWRI1502, AWRI1503, AWRI1504, and AWRI1505), were laboratory generated via rare mating events between a wine

strain of *S. cerevisiae* and other *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* members for the production of new commercial strains (Bellon *et al.* 2011, 2013, 2015). Of interest, despite being used as an ale-brewing yeast, the genome of WLP862 clearly classifies it as lager yeast (*S. pastorianus*) (Figure 3). The remaining strains all displayed highly variable levels of aneuploidy, with strain NT50, for example, predicted to comprise a tetraploid *S. cerevisiae* genome with only a single copy of *S. kudriavzevii* chromosome XIII. Furthermore, both S6U and WLP862 were shown to contain significant genomic contributions from three different species; however, the third species was shown to contribute a minor (~10%) portion of its genome (Figure 3).

Pan-genomic analysis

In addition to the strain-specific genomic contributions from Saccharomyces species other than S. cerevisiae, there were also significant intraspecific differences in several loci that have been shown to comprise the accessory, or noncore elements of the pan genome of S. cerevisiae (Figure 2B). Of these loci, two in particular, the "wine-circle" and the "RTM1-cluster," broadly define strains used for winemaking and brewing, respectively (Borneman et al. 2011, 2013). Of the 124 strains found to carry the wine-circle, 111 (90%) are found within the generic wine clade; 35 strains were shown to carry the RTM1-cluster, of which 33 (94%), were from outside the wine clade. All 11 of the brewing strains carried the RTM1-cluster, but lacked the wine-circle. Interestingly, of the 13 strains from outside of the wine-clade that proved positive for the wine circle, 11 (85%) also contain the RTM1cluster. At least four of these are commercial wine yeast strains, and the vast majority were highly heterozygous (see below). This suggests that they represent interclade hybrids between wine and nonwine parental strains that contain marker genes for both clades, and mosaic hybrids such as those commonly observed in natural populations (Liti et al. 2009; Hyma and Fay 2013; Clowers et al. 2015b).

Like the wine-circle, the yeast stress response gene *MPR1* (Shichiri *et al.* 2001) was also shown to be primarily associated with the wineclade. Of the 197 strains that were shown to possess *MPR1*, 182 were within the wine clade (92% of the wine clade strains), with the remaining 15 in the nonwine group (38%). As for the wine-circle, all of the strains from within the ale subclade were shown to lack *MPR1*.

Finally, there were several loci, including the biotin-prototrophy genes *BIO1* and *BIO6* (Hall and Dietrich 2007), that were found almost exclusively in strains of Far-Eastern origin, such as those used for the production of sake. These genetic loci do not appear to have been introgressed into either brewing or winemaking strains, and may provide a source of useful unharnessed genetic variation for future wine yeast strain development.

Of the accessory loci that have previously been identified in wine strains, the aryl-alcohol cluster had been identified in only one strain: AWRI796 (Borneman *et al.* 2011). This current study identified another three strains in which this cluster is present: AWRI1494 (Enoferm M2), AWRI1483 (Lalvin ICV D254), and AWRI2255 (Uvaferm HPS). While

Figure 1 Genetic analysis of wine yeast strains. (A) An unrooted maximum-likelihood phylogeny of 236 strains of *S. cerevisiae*. Strains isolated from, or used in, ale brewing (red), or winemaking (blue and green) are highlighted. Dark- and light-green have been used to designate strains belonging to two main subclades within the wine yeasts. (B) Identity-by-state (IBS) analysis of the PdM clade and related strains (black boxes). SNPs were compared pairwise, across the collection of strains, with each variant position scored according to the pattern of nucleotide conservation. IBS state 2 (IBS2) represents identical diploid genotypes (e.g., AA:AA, AT:AT), IBS1 loci share one allele (e.g., AA:AT; AC:AT), while IBS0 loci are completely different (e.g., AA:TT; AT:CG). Results were then smoothed using a 50-kb sliding window with a 25-kb step, with individual windows categorized according to four genomic states IBS2 (< 5% IBS1, <1% IBS0; green), IBS2I1 (\geq 5% IBS1, < 1% IBS0; blue), IBS2I11 0 (\geq 5% IBS1, \geq 1% IBS0; red), and IBS2I0 (< 5% IBS1, \geq 1% IBS0; red).





Figure 2 Genomic content differs across strains. (A) Sequence coverage was used to determine the genomic contribution of sequences from the Saccharomyces sensu stricto group in each strain. Each tile represents one of the 16 chromosomes of each species, except for the S. cerevisiae sequence set, which also contains 26 strain-variable accessory (pan-genome) loci. Strains are ordered according to the genome-wide SNP



Figure 3 Inter-specific hybrids. Sequence coverage is displayed for strains that contained at least a 10% contribution from at least one chromosome from a non-*S. cerevisiae*. Coverage values are normalized to the genome wide average, with values color coded according to the donor species.

Enoferm M2 and AWRI796 appear to be genomically equivalent (see below), Lalvin ICV D254 and Uvaferm HPS (which are also equivalent to each other) are distinct from this pair (> 2% IBS0 events), and display no evidence for recent common parentage. This suggests either multiple independent horizontal transfer events occurred with this rare accessory locus, or that the strains shared a past common ancestor, but any clear IBS signal for this event has been lost.

Heterozygosity and genome renewal

As *S. cerevisiae* strains are generally able to undergo sporulation and mating type switching, the formation of homozygous diploids has been postulated to occur frequently in nature, leading to "genome renewal" (Mortimer *et al.* 1994; Bradbury *et al.* 2006). In order to determine the level of heterozygosity present in each strain, SNP calls made against S288c were classed as either homozygous or heterozygous based on the frequency of multiple alleles at each nucleotide position in the genome (a minimum frequency of 30% was required to call a heterozygous SNP). Data were then collected for 10 kb genomic windows (5 kb step), in which the proportion of heterozygous and homozygous SNPs were calculated (Figure 4). Levels of heterozygosity ranged considerably across the strains, but also displayed significant variation within the genome of individual strains, with evidence for large blocks of homozygosity present within otherwise heterozygous genomes (Figure S3).

Using a genome-wide 0.75 quartile cut-off of zero heterozygous SNPs to class strains as homozygous (to account for false negative variant calls), 55 strains were considered to be homozygous, with 15 of these being the result of single-spore isolation prior to sequencing (Liti *et al.* 2009).

However, even when considering only commercial wine strains, 15% (16 of 106) are predicted to be homozygous. These homozygous strains are likely to be the products of sporulation and selfing (Mortimer *et al.* 1994), although there may be cases in which specific phenotypes have been introduced via backcrossing. This appears to be the case for AWRI2914 (Maurivin UOA Maxithiol)—a strain that appears genetically similar, albeit homozygous, to heterozygous diploid commercial strains such as AWRI1487 (Lalvin Rhone L2056) and AWRI2928 (Enoferm RP15), but which contains the Irc7 paralog from *S. paradoxus* (Roncoroni *et al.* 2011).

Of those strains displaying the highest levels of heterozygosity, the ale yeasts and baking strains figured prominently, which may be due to the common occurrence of polyploidy in these strains. Of the commercial wine yeasts, AWRI2912 (Maurivin Primeur), AWRI2865 (Collection Cepage Pinot), AWRI1493 (71B), and AWRI2906 (Top Floral), were shown to have the highest levels of heterozygosity, and were all located outside of the European wine yeast clade. As mentioned previously, it is likely that some of these strains have undergone recent interclade

phylogeny, and colored as in Figure 1A. (B) A detailed display of the *S. cerevisiae* accessory elements of the pan-genome. Sequences of each locus can be found in File S1, and a high resolution figure, containing strain names is presented in Figure S2.





hybridization events, as all contain both the wine-circle and the ale-yeast *RTM1* cluster of marker genes (Figure 2C). Interestingly, there is some evidence that the trade off for the increased genetic diversity afforded by this interclade hybridization, is fermentation robustness, with 71B being considered less robust than most wine strains in some environments (Aranda *et al.* 2006; Schmidt *et al.* 2011).

Genomic equivalency and strain redundancy

From the combined genomic data available, it is apparent that, even if the large PdM clade is excluded, there are many yeast strains that appeared genetically identical. For some of these, this was due to multiple, independent isolates of the same strain being sequenced (*e.g.*, AWRI1083, NCYC 738 and AWRI1910, and NCYC 738), or the direct



Figure 5 Genetic equivalence is common across wine yeast strains. (A) IBS2, IBS1, and IBS0 values were summed for each pair of strains, with IBS0:IBS2 and IBS1:IBS2 proportions calculated for each pair. Pairs displaying IBS0:IBS2 ≤ 0.05% and IBS1:IBS2 ≤ 1% were classified as being genetically equivalent at the SNP level. Groups of equivalent strains are bound by black boxes. (B) The composition of strain-specific pan genome in equivalent strain groups. Equivalence groups that contain variable accessory loci are boxed in red. The individual variable strain(s) are highlighted by asterisks.

derivative of another strain being sequenced (AWRI767, WE 14 and AWRI795, and a spontaneous mutant of WE 14). Using these control comparisons as a baseline for false-positives in the SNP calling protocol, a baseline of 0.05% total IBS0, and 1% total IBS1 events between strains was chosen to reflect strains that show overall genetic equivalence (Figure S4). By applying these parameters, there were 69 strains that displayed genomic equivalence with at least one other strain (Figure 5). These could be further divided into 23 distinct equivalence groups, with the largest of these (two in total) being defined by six strains each, and with 13 groups containing at least two independent commercial isolates.

However, despite being genomically redundant at the level of SNP polymorphism, there were seven equivalency groups where one strain displayed a different pattern of accessory loci to the other member(s), with this generally involving a single accessory locus (Figure 5B). For example, the high throughput SNP pipeline showed that AWRI796, AWRI1494 (Enoferm M2), and AWRI1431 (Lalvin W15) differed only by up to 29 called heterozygous differences, yet Lalvin W15 lacks the entire 45 kb aryl-alcohol cluster (Figure 5). Likewise, strain AWRI1482, a member of the large equivalence group that includes the commercial strains AWRI1487 (Lalvin Rhone L2056) and

AWRI2928 (Enoferm RP15), lacks the MPR1 locus that is present in all other strains of this clade (Figure 5).

These differences in accessory loci, and the potential for small numbers of SNPs between otherwise redundant strains, likely reflect the variation that can arise during the independent isolation (and the possibility of long-term passaging) of new strains from "identical" progenitor material, or, in limited cases in this dataset, from the isolation of mutant strains from parental populations. The concerted loss of large tracts of DNA, such as the 45-kb aryl-alcohol cluster of AWRI796, supports the view of subtelomeric genomic plasticity leading to high rates of concerted gene gain and loss in these regions (Argueso et al. 2009).

The PdM clade

Within the PdM clade, there were an additional 163 pairs of strains that passed the 0.05% total IBS0, and 1% total IBS1, test for genetic equivalency. Unlike the majority of the nonPdM strains, a continuum of values were observed (Figure S4), such that there were many more pairs that fell just outside of the threshold. This reinforces the fact that, while the PdM clade has a very recent common ancestry, the highly desirable winemaking traits of PdM yeasts have seen a wide variety of



Figure 6 Genetic variation in the PdM clade of wine yeasts. (A) Pan genomic variation across the PdM clade. (B) Loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) variation. The heterozygous SNP percentage is plotted as in Figure 4B, for the PdM clade. Three common regions of LOH in subsets of strains within the clade are highlighted in yellow.

isolates and strain-development programs focusing on these strains. This has resulted in a large collection of similar, but not identical, strains, as highlighted by subtle heterozygosity and pan-genome differences (Figure 6). Several strains within the group have lost one or more accessory regions relative to the other members of the clade (Figure 6A), with 11 strains lacking the accessory locus that was first identified at the subtelomeric region of chromosome XV of EC1118 (Novo et al. 2009), and six strains lacking the MPR1 stress resistance gene (Shichiri et al. 2001).

When heterozygosity patterns are examined, there are numerous examples of localized loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) in members of the PdM clade, with some regions conserved across multiple isolates (Figure 6B). There is a characteristic LOH event that encompasses most of chromosome IV in N96 (AWRI 1575 and AWRI1697), as well as AWRI1775 and AWRI1762. A smaller LOH event in the same area is also found in AWRI838, AWRI2340, AWRI1638 (Platinum), and AWRI2776 (NT 116). Likewise, there is a conserved LOH on the right

arm of chromosome II in at least nine isolates, and the left arm of chromosome VII in another ten strains.

chromosome

These data point to LOH events, resulting in the loss of SNPs, but also potentially heterozygous subtelomeric accessory genes being a common occurrence across this large, conserved group of highly successful wine yeasts, with the concomitant phenotypic consequences of these large structural changes likely driving differences in their commercial performance.

Conclusions

Despite sequencing a large number of wine strains of S. cerevisiae, including the majority of those that are commercially distributed, all appear to represent a highly inbred population that contains relatively little genetic variation compared to the global pool of S. cerevisiae diversity. Indeed, a large percentage of the strains analyzed in this study fall within one exceptionally related clade. Strain development efforts should therefore be focused on introgressing new variation, from

outside of the wine yeast clade, into these economically important yeasts in order to increase the genetic, and therefore phenotypic, diversity that can be exploited in this industry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to Chris Curtin for assisting with the selection of strains for sequencing, and Paul Chambers for critical review of this manuscript. This work was supported by Australian grape growers and winemakers through their investment body, Wine Australia, with matching funds from the Australian Government. The Australian Wine Research Institute is a member of the Wine Innovation Cluster in Adelaide.

LITERATURE CITED

- Akao, T., I. Yashiro, A. Hosoyama, H. Kitagaki, H. Horikawa *et al.*,
 2011 Whole-genome sequencing of sake yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Kyokai no. 7. DNA Res. 18: 423–434.
- Almeida, P., C. Gonçalves, S. Teixeira, D. Libkind, M. Bontrager *et al.*, 2014 A Gondwanan imprint on global diversity and domestication of wine and cider yeast *Saccharomyces uvarum*. Nat. Commun. 5: 4044.
- Almeida, P., R. Barbosa, P. Zalar, Y. Imanishi, K. Shimizu *et al.*, 2015 A population genomics insight into the Mediterranean origins of wine yeast domestication. Mol. Ecol. 24: 5412–5427.
- Aranda, A., E. Jiménez-Martí, H. Orozco, E. Matallana, and M. Del Olmo, 2006 Sulfur and adenine metabolisms are linked, and both modulate sulfite resistance in wine yeast. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54: 5839–5846.
- Argueso, J. L., M. F. Carazzolle, P. A. Mieczkowski, F. M. Duarte, O. V. C. Netto *et al.*, 2009 Genome structure of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain widely used in bioethanol production. Genome Res. 19: 2258–2270.
- Bellon, J. R., J. M. Eglinton, T. E. Siebert, A. P. Pollnitz, L. Rose *et al.*, 2011 Newly generated interspecific wine yeast hybrids introduce flavour and aroma diversity to wines. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 91: 603–612.
- Bellon, J. R., F. Schmid, D. L. Capone, B. L. Dunn, and P. J. Chambers, 2013 Introducing a new breed of wine yeast: interspecific hybridisation between a commercial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine yeast and *Saccharomyces mikatae*. PLoS One 8: e62053.
- Bellon, J. R., F. Yang, M. P. Day, D. L. Inglis, and P. J. Chambers, 2015 Designing and creating *Saccharomyces* interspecific hybrids for improved, industry relevant, phenotypes. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 99: 8597–8609.
- Bergström, A., J. T. Simpson, F. Salinas, B. Barré, L. Parts *et al.*, 2014 A high-definition view of functional genetic variation from natural yeast genomes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31: 872–888.
- Bolger, A. M., M. Lohse, and B. Usadel, 2014 Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30: 2114–2120.
- Borneman, A. R., B. A. Desany, D. Riches, J. P. Affourtit, A. H. Forgan *et al.*, 2011 Whole-genome comparison reveals novel genetic elements that characterize the genome of industrial strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. PLoS Genet. 7: e1001287.
- Borneman, A. R., B. A. Desany, D. Riches, J. P. Affourtit, A. H. Forgan et al., 2012 The genome sequence of the wine yeast VIN7 reveals an allotriploid hybrid genome with Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Saccharomyces kudriavzevii origins. FEMS Yeast Res. 12: 88–96.
- Borneman, A. R., I. S. Pretorius, and P. J. Chambers, 2013 Comparative genomics: a revolutionary tool for wine yeast strain development. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 24: 192–199.
- Bradbury, J. E., K. D. Richards, H. A. Niederer, S. A. Lee, P. Rod Dunbar et al., 2006 A homozygous diploid subset of commercial wine yeast strains. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 89: 27–37.
- Clowers, K. J., J. Heilberger, J. S. Piotrowski, J. L. Will, and A. P. Gasch, 2015a Ecological and genetic barriers differentiate natural populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32: 2317–2327.
- Clowers, K. J., J. L. Will, and A. P. Gasch, 2015b A unique ecological niche fosters hybridization of oak-tree and vineyard isolates of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Ecol. 24: 5886–5898.

- Dunn, B., and G. Sherlock, 2008 Reconstruction of the genome origins and evolution of the hybrid lager yeast Saccharomyces pastorianus. Genome Res. 18: 1610–1623.
- Dunn, B., C. Richter, D. J. Kvitek, T. Pugh, and G. Sherlock, 2012 Analysis of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* pan-genome reveals a pool of copy number variants distributed in diverse yeast strains from differing industrial environments. Genome Res. 22: 908–924.
- Fay, J. C., H. L. McCullough, P. D. Sniegowski, and M. B. Eisen, 2004 Population genetic variation in gene expression is associated with phenotypic variation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genome Biol. 5: R26.
- Fay, J. C., and J. A. Benavides, 2005 Evidence for domesticated and wild populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. PLoS Genet. 1: 66–71.
- Goffeau, A., B. G. Barrell, H. Bussey, R. W. Davis, B. Dujon et al., 1996 Life with 6000 genes. Science 274(546): 563–567.
- Gouy, M., S. Guindon, and O. Gascuel, 2010 SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Mol. Biol. Evol. 27: 221–224.
- Hall, C., and F. S. Dietrich, 2007 The reacquisition of biotin prototrophy in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* involved horizontal gene transfer, gene duplication and gene clustering. Genetics 177: 2293–2307.
- Heard, G. M., and G. H. Fleet, 1985 Growth of natural yeast flora during the fermentation of inoculated wines. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 50: 727–728.
- Henick-Kling, T., W. Edinger, P. Daniel, and P. Monk, 1998 Selective effects of sulfur dioxide and yeast starter culture addition on indigenous yeast populations and sensory characteristics of wine. J. Appl. Microbiol. 84: 865–876.
- Hyma, K. E., and J. C. Fay, 2013 Mixing of vineyard and oak-tree ecotypes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in North American vineyards. Mol. Ecol. 22: 2917–2930.
- Koboldt, D. C., Q. Zhang, D. E. Larson, D. Shen, M. D. McLellan *et al.*, 2012 VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome sequencing. Genome Res. 22: 568–576.
- Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan *et al.*, 2009 The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25: 2078– 2079.
- Libkind, D., C. T. Hittinger, E. Valério, C. Gonçalves, J. Dover *et al.*, 2011 Microbe domestication and the identification of the wild genetic stock of lager-brewing yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 14539–14544.
- Liti, G., D. M. Carter, A. M. Moses, J. Warringer, L. Parts *et al.*, 2009 Population genomics of domestic and wild yeasts. Nature 458: 337–341.
- Liti, G., A. N. Nguyen Ba, M. Blythe, C. A. Müller, A. Bergström et al., 2013 High quality de novo sequencing and assembly of the Saccharomyces arboricolus genome. BMC Genomics 14: 69.
- Mortimer, R. K., P. Romano, G. Suzzi, and M. Polsinelli, 1994 Genome renewal: a new phenomenon revealed from a genetic study of 43 strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* derived from natural fermentation of grape musts. Yeast 10: 1543–1552.
- Nakao, Y., T. Kanamori, T. Itoh, Y. Kodama, S. Rainieri *et al.*,
 2009 Genome sequence of the lager brewing yeast, an interspecies hybrid. DNA Res. 16: 115–129.
- Naumova, E. S., G. I. Naumov, I. Masneuf-Pomarède, M. Aigle, and D. Dubourdieu, 2005 Molecular genetic study of introgression between Saccharomyces bayanus and S. cerevisiae. Yeast 22: 1099–1115.
- Ness, F., and M. Aigle, 1995 *RTM1*: a member of a new family of telomeric repeated genes in yeast. Genetics 140: 945–956.
- Novo, M., F. Bigey, E. Beyne, V. Galeote, F. Gavory *et al.*, 2009 Eukaryoteto-eukaryote gene transfer events revealed by the genome sequence of the wine yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* EC1118. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106: 16333–16338.
- Pérez-Torrado, R., S. S. González, M. Combina, E. Barrio, and A. Querol, 2015 Molecular and enological characterization of a natural Saccharomyces uvarum and Saccharomyces cerevisiae hybrid. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 204: 101–110.
- Pitkin, J. W., D. G. Panaccione, and J. D. Walton, 1996 A putative cyclic peptide efflux pump encoded by the TOXA gene of the plant-pathogenic fungus Cochliobolus carbonum. Microbiology 142(Pt 6): 1557–1565.

- Roncoroni, M., M. Santiago, D. O. Hooks, S. Moroney, M. J. Harsch *et al.*, 2011 The yeast *IRC7* gene encodes a β-lyase responsible for production of the varietal thiol 4-mercapto-4-methylpentan-2-one in wine. Food Microbiol. 28: 926–935.
- Scannell, D. R., O. A. Zill, A. Rokas, C. Payen, M. J. Dunham *et al.*,
 2011 The awesome power of yeast evolutionary genetics: new genome sequences and strain resources for the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* genus.
 G3 (Bethesda) 1: 11–25.
- Schmidt, S. A., S. Dillon, R. Kolouchova, P. A. Henschke, and P. J. Chambers, 2011 Impacts of variations in elemental nutrient concentration of Chardonnay musts on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fermentation kinetics and wine composition. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 91: 365– 375.
- Shichiri, M., C. Hoshikawa, S. Nakamori, and H. Takagi, 2001 A novel acetyltransferase found in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Sigma1278b that detoxifies a proline analogue, azetidine-2-carboxylic acid. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 41998–42002.
- Sicard, D., and J.-L. Legras, 2011 Bread, beer and wine: yeast domestication in the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* complex. C. R. Biol. 334: 229–236.
- Skelly, D. A., G. E. Merrihew, M. Riffle, C. F. Connelly, E. O. Kerr et al., 2013 Integrative phenomics reveals insight into the structure of phenotypic diversity in budding yeast. Genome Res. 23: 1496–1504.
- Warringer, J., E. Zörgö, F. A. Cubillos, A. Zia, A. Gjuvsland *et al.*,
 2011 Trait variation in yeast is defined by population history. PLoS Genet. 7: e1002111.

Communicating editor: J. C. Fay