Alzheimer's disease and the immune system: A comprehensive overview with a focus on B cells, humoral immunity, and immunotherapy

Journal of Alzheimer's
Disease Reports
Volume 9: 1–20
© The Author(s) 2025
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/25424823251329188
journals.sagepub.com/home/alr





Ladan Gol Mohammad Pour Afrakoti¹, Sanam Daneshpour Moghadam² and Pezhman Hadinezhad³

Abstract

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a complex neurodegenerative disorder and the major cause of dementia. Amyloid- β (A β) and tau aggregation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and microglial dysregulation are key contributors to AD pathogenesis. Impairments in the blood-brain barrier have unveiled the contribution of the immune system, particularly B cells, in AD pathology. B cells, a crucial component of adaptive immunity, exhibit diverse functions, including antigen presentation and antibody production. While their role in neuroinflammatory disorders has been well-documented, their specific function in AD lacks adequate data. This review examines the dual role of the B cells and humoral immunity in modulating brain inflammation in AD and explores recent advancements in passive and active immunotherapeutic strategies targeting AD pathobiology. We summarize preclinical and clinical studies investigating B cell frequency, altered antibody levels, and their implications in neuroinflammation and immunotherapy. Notably, B cells demonstrate protective and pathological roles in AD, influencing neurodegeneration through antibody-mediated clearance of toxic aggregates and inflammatory activation inflammation. Passive immunotherapies targeting A β have shown potential in reducing amyloid plaques, while active immunotherapies are emerging as promising strategies, requiring further validation. Understanding the interplay between B cells, humoral immunity, microglia, and mitochondrial dysfunction is critical to unraveling AD pathogenesis. Their dual nature in disease progression underscores the need for precise therapeutic interventions to optimize immunotherapy outcomes and mitigate neuroinflammation effectively.

Keywords

adaptive immunity, Alzheimer's disease, amyloid-β, B cell, immunotherapy, tau

Received: 8 May 2024; accepted: 11 February 2025

Introduction

In 1907, Alois Alzheimer, a German psychiatrist and neuropathologist, introduced a 51-year-old woman exhibiting memory dysfunctions, confusion, and disorientation in a case report. Alzheimer's disease (AD) has been considered one of the most important neurodegenerative disorders. The pathobiology of AD is defined by the aggregation of amyloid- β (A β) and tau phosphorylation or aggregation, which disrupts neuronal functions, impairs the blood-brain barrier (BBB), and recruits peripheral immune cells into the central nervous system (CNS). The brain's immune privilege, maintained by the BBB and the absence of lymphatic vessels and antigen-presenting cells (APCs), is compromised in AD. This impairment allows peripheral immune

cells to infiltrate the CNS and interact with self-antigens, altering the brain's immune environment.³

¹Department of Immunology, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author:

Pezhman Hadinezhad, Cognitive Neurology, Dementia and Neuropsychiatry Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Email: pezhman.hadinezhad@gmail.com

²Department of Diagnostic and Public Health, School of Biotechnology, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

³Cognitive Neurology, Dementia and Neuropsychiatry Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Accordingly, the levels of brain-infiltrated T cells and B cells are elevated in the parenchyma, perivascular and meningeal spaces, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of individuals with neurological disorders and neuroinflammatory conditions, highlighting the roles of adaptive immunity in the AD pathogenesis. Due to higher rates of T cell infiltration in the brain compared with B cells, studies have primarily focused on elucidating T cell roles in AD pathology. In contrast, the functions of B cells remain relatively unexplored. 5.6

In addition to serving as a professional APC and a source of cytokine production, B cells differentiate into plasma cells, which produce antibodies, thereby contributing to various humoral and cellular activities. B cell-derived antibodies could target pathologically accumulated A β and tau, facilitating their antibody-dependent clearance mediated by microglia and astrocytes. On the other hand, these antibodies may also play pathological roles by impairing the BBB, including cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), and promoting neuronal damage.

Therefore, the current study aims to provide an overview of the interplay between innate and adaptive immunity in AD, with a particular focus on the role of B cells and humoral immunity in either the regression or progression of the disease. Besides, recent therapeutic approaches, emphasizing passive and active immunotherapy targeting B cell functions in AD, are discussed.

Alzheimer's disease

AD is considered one of the most lethal and burdening neurodegenerative disorders, primarily affecting the elderly population worldwide. While memory loss and short-term memory issues are leading signs of AD, other hallmarks include executive dysfunction, visual-spatial impairment, and neuropsychiatric disturbances. The variability in cognitive impairment among patients often begins with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), characterized by single or multiple cognitive domain impairments while maintaining functional capacities. Progression to dementia, marked by widespread cognitive and neurobehavioral decline, leads to significant life alternations and eventual loss of independence. 11

Epidemiological data demonstrate that AD prevalence is increasing globally, with projections estimating 113 million cases by 2050, ¹² particularly in middle- and low-income countries. ¹³ Age is the primary risk factor, and incidence is notably higher in women, potentially due to the differences in tau pathology. ^{14–17} Genetic factors, particularly APOE e4 allele, significantly elevate AD risk, alongside other variants TREM2 and MAPT, though to a lesser extent. ^{18–20}

Pathophysiology of AD, accumulation of $A\beta$ and tau proteins

AD pathology involves excitotoxic synapse loss and neuronal death, primarily driven by the aggregation of $A\beta$ and

tau proteins. These proteins disrupt synaptic transmission and cellular homeostasis, triggering immune responses that contribute to disease progression.^{21–24}

The initial deposition of extracellular neurotic AB plagues in the medial temporal lobe, followed by their spread throughout the cortical regions, including the isocortical areas of the temporal, frontal, and parietal lobes.^{25,26} A β oligomers, particularly A β_{42} , are highly neurotoxic and interact with receptors such as NMDA and AMPA. This interaction leads to excessive glutamate release and overactivation of NMDA receptors, causing calcium overload in neurons. The resulting excitotoxicity activates proteases like calpains and caspases, degrades synaptic proteins, destabilizes the cytoskeleton, and induces synaptic degeneration and neuronal death. ^{26–30} In addition to receptor dysfunction, Aß oligomers impair long-term potentiation, a process crucial for synaptic plasticity and memory formation. This further weakens synaptic connections, particularly in the hippocampus, a region for cortical memory. 25,31

Tau protein, related to microtubule stabilization, undergoes post-translational modifications leading to its aggregation. These aggregates, found in the intracellular space as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) and in neuropil as dystrophic neurites, disrupt axonal transport and synaptic dysfunction. ^{32,33} Tau pathology spreads trans-synaptically across connected neurons, further exacerbating synapse and neuronal loss. ^{21,25–27} This mislocalization and aggregation have been observed in regions such as the medial temporal lobe, that is associated with cognition, ³⁴ and in the brainstem nuclei, even in younger individuals. ³⁵

Mitochondrial dysfunction in AD, although significant, is beyond the direct scope of this review. Both A β and tau affect ATP production, leading to synaptic energy deficits and contributing oxidative stress. The indirectly influences synaptic degeneration and neuronal death.²⁵

The immune system is a critical driver of synaptic loss in AD. Microglia, the brain's resident immune cells, are activated in response to A β plaques and tau aggregates. Initially attempting to clear these pathological proteins, microglia transition into a neurotoxic state over time, releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and interleukin (IL)-1 β . These cytokines upregulate the complement system, tagging synapses with proteins like C1q and C3 for elimination. This results in excessive pruning of excitatory and inhibitory synapses, particularly around A β plaques. 30,36 Astrocytes further contribute to this process by engulfing synaptic terminals, especially in later disease stages, exacerbating synaptic and neuronal loss. 30

Proteomic analyses of AD brains have revealed reduced levels of synaptic proteins, such as synaptophysin and PSD95, correlating with cognitive decline. This imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory synapses contributes to neuronal hyperexcitability, amplifying AD pathology.³⁶

The APOE $\varepsilon 4$ allele, a major genetic risk factor for AD, further complicates the immune landscape. It influences A β aggregation and clearance, exacerbating the development of CAA and impairing microglial phagocytic activity. 11,25,37 Carriers of the APOE $\varepsilon 4$ allele exhibit earlier onset and greater A β accumulation compared to non-carriers, as shown in studies utilizing positron emission tomography (PET) imaging. 38,39

The dysregulation of immune responses plays a significant role in AD pathology. Peripheral immune cells, including monocytes, neutrophils, T cells, and B cells, infiltrate the brain when the BBB is compromised. The detection of antibodies against A β and the presence of T cells in CSF of AD patients underscores the involvement of adaptive immunity. Additionally, evidence of autoimmune responses, such as the activation of peripheral B cells and the production of autoantibodies, suggests that humoral immunity may both contribute to and counteract disease progression. 7.44

The roles of the immune system in AD

The immune system's involvement in AD was first described by Alois Alzheimer in 1907, with observation of glial cell morphological changes in patient brain samples. Since then, clinical studies and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have demonstrated dysregulation of the immune system as a significant contributor to AD pathogenesis.45 Chronic low-grade inflammation, often arising from aging, metabolic diseases, or pathogen exposure, has been increasingly recognized as a driver of AD progression. Elevated levels of inflammatory mediators, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), are strongly associated with higher AD risk, especially APOE4 carriers. These systematic inflammatory states correlate with accelerated neurodegeneration and brain atrophy in key regions like the hippocampus and temporal lobe, emphasizing their role in amplifying genetic susceptibilities to AD. 46,47

Immune activation facilitates the infiltration of peripheral immune cells into the brain, a key mechanism in AD progression. During systemic inflammation, immune cells such as T cells and B cells can cross a compromised BBB. Once inside the brain, these infiltrating cells interact with microglia and astrocytes, amplifying neuroinflammatory responses and contributing to hallmark AD pathologies such as A β plaques and tau tangles. Chronic inflammation shifts microglia, the brain's primary immune cells, from a homeostatic state to a pro-inflammatory phenotype, impairing their ability to clear A β and release neurotoxic cytokines like TNF- α and IL-1 β . These cytokines exacerbate oxidative stress and synaptic dysfunction, leading to cognitive decline.

Pathogen exposure further exacerbates systemic immune activation, triggering a cascade of events that impact the brain's immune environment. Infections induce trafficking of

immune cells, including B cells, into the CNS, where they release pro-inflammatory cytokines and disrupt immune balance. This pro-inflammatory state impairs microglial $A\beta$ clearance and promotes tau hyperphosphorylation, two central processes in AD pathology. This feedback loop between peripheral immune activation and neuroinflammation perpetuates AD progression. 49,50

Importantly, B cells play a dual role in AD. While their antibody production targets pathological aggregates like $A\beta$ and tau, aiding clearance through microglia activation, their dysregulation can exacerbate inflammatory processes and contribute to vascular damage, such as CAA. These findings emphasize the need to further investigate the specific contributions of B cells and their antibodies in AD to delineate their protective versus pathological roles.

Innate immune system and AD

The innate immune system is one of the two chief arms of the immune system. This innate mechanism can react the pathogens based on receptors that are encoded by the germline. 52 The characteristics of innate immunity let this system respond more rapidly but in a non-specific manner.⁵³ These receptors or pattern-recognition receptors interact so-called damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMP) such as denatured DNA and/or misfolded/aggregated proteins as well as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) like the nucleic acids, glycoproteins, and lipoproteins of pathogens which leads to the activation of intracellular signaling (NF-κB pathway) and inflammation as the main consequence of innate immune responses.⁵² Dendritic cells, monocytes, neutrophils, macrophages, and natural killer (NK) cells are cell compartments involved in the innate immune arm, and the complement system is the soluble molecular compartment.⁵³ The mentioned components are of the peripheral immune system based on CNS; nonetheless, there is a central immune system in the CNS, including cells such as microglia and astrocytes.⁵⁴

Central immune system

Microglia. Microglia are the primary innate immune cells in the CNS⁵⁵ and play crucial roles in maintaining brain homeostasis and responding to neuroinflammatory conditions, particularly in AD.⁵⁶ Microglia, the resident immune cells of the CNS, are pivotal in the brain's defense mechanisms against pathogens and cellular debris. They exhibit a dual role, functioning as both protectors and potential contributors to neurodegeneration. ^{57,58} In the context of AD, microglia can be categorized into various phenotypes, including disease-associated microglia, which adapt their transcriptional profiles in response to pathological conditions, such as the accumulation of Aβ plaques. ^{59–62}

The presence of $A\beta$ plaques triggers microglial activation, leading to the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines

such as TNF- α and IL-1 β , which further exacerbate neuroinflammation. ⁶³ Interestingly, microglia can also facilitate the clearance of A β through phagocytosis and the secretion of proteolytic enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinases. ⁶⁴ However, chronic activation of microglia can result in a detrimental feedback loop, where prolonged inflammation contributes to increased A β aggregation and neuronal death. ⁶⁵ This highlights the complexity of microglial functions, where their protective roles can become maladaptive in the context of chronic neurodegeneration. ⁶⁶

Astrocytes. Astrocytes also play dual roles in AD, contributing to both neuroprotection and neurodegeneration. Astrocytes maintain CNS homeostasis by regulating oxidative stress, synaptic remodeling, and BBB permeability. $^{67-70}$ In AD, astrocytes are able to clear A β plaques through matrix metalloproteinase production. However, astrocytes are also involved in neuroinflammation, producing cytokines like IL-1, IL-6, and TNF- α upon to recruitment to A β plaques, leading to neuronal damage.

Astrocytes interact with $A\beta$ via receptors such as RAGE and LRPs, promoting inflammatory pathways and synaptic dysfunction. The Impaired astrocytic phagocytosis exacerbates $A\beta$ accumulation and neuronal apoptosis. Additionally, astrocyte activation increases nerve growth factor (NGF), contributing to tau hyperphosphorylation and neurodegeneration. All in all, astrocytes exhibit protective functions, their inflammatory responses often dominate in AD pathology.

Peripheral immune system. The BBB is the description of sealed continuous endothelial cells of brain microvessels which are covered pericytes and astrocyte end-feet.⁸² This special structure can limit the translocation of bloodcirculating cells to the brain parenchyma by providing low paracellular and transcellular permeability and high trans-endothelial electrical resistance. However, the dysfunction of BBB in neurodegenerative disorders, including AD has been reported in neuroimaging studies. 83 Regarding the functions of the BBB, the brain is an immune-privileged organ which means that outward immune cells could not be allowed to present in the brain parenchyma. The disruption of BBB is the crucial trigger of peripheral immune cells entering the brain area. 84 Although several studies did not find any significant association between AD development and peripheral inflammation, 85,86 many systematic reviews and meta-analyses demonstrated that there is evidence of dysregulated inflammatory responses in AD pathology^{87,88} and that there could be a relationship between peripheral inflammatory markers and higher risks of dementia.89,90

Inflammatory cytokines. Initial studies demonstrated that there is an association between the development of dementia and AD and the levels of inflammatory cytokines.

Elevated levels of TNF, IL-1 β , IL-6, and CRP in midlife are linked to an increased risk of cognitive decline and earlier AD onset, particularly in APOE $\epsilon 4$ carriers. Some inflammatory mediators peak in early AD stages and decline in advanced dementia. 96-103

Specific cytokines contribute to AD pathology. Increased levels of TNF- α , IL-1 β , TGF- β , IL-12, and IL-18 are observed in AD patients, while only TGF- β shows elevation in CSF. ⁸⁸ IFN- γ and TNF- α promote microglia recruitment to A β plaques, enhancing neuroin-flammation and inhibiting A β clearance via β -site APP-cleaving enzyme (BACE-1). ^{104–106}

Cytokines' roles have remained complex. IL-18 enhances AβPP processing and A β_{40} production, ¹⁰⁷ whereas IL-1 β overexpression reduces A β plaques and increases tau phosphorylation and microglia activation. ¹⁰⁸ IL-1 β also induces S100B secretion from astrocytes, ¹⁰⁹ leading to A β deposition, A β aggregation, and inducing cerebral amyloidosis and gliosis. ^{110,111} Conversely, IL-33 appears protective, as its reduced expression in AD correlates with higher A β deposition, while overexpression A β_{40} production. ¹¹²

Immune cells. The breakdown of the BBB, observed in various neurodegenerative disorders including AD, facilitates the infiltration of peripheral immune cells into the CNS, allowing immune system recognition of brain antigens. ^{113,114}

Monocytes are recruited into the CNS through CCL2-CCR2 signaling, 115 and studies in transgenic AD models indicate that CCR2 deficiency impairs monocyte infiltration and enhances A β clearance, suggesting their dual role in AD pathology. 116 Additionally, TREM2+ CD11b+ monocytes, distinguishable from resident microglia, 117,118 accumulate around A β plaques, and their phenotypic shift from anti-inflammatory monocytes to proinflammatory monocytes as AD progresses correlates with altered CRP, C1s, and C8a levels. 119 Monocyte-derived macrophages, particularly in later disease stages, exhibit high TREM2, CD36, and macrophage scavenger receptor (MSR-1) expression, indicating their strong phagocytic ability against A β . 120

Neutrophils also contribute to AD pathology, showing increased frequency and elevated neutrophil-specific proteins (e.g., myeloperoxidase, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin) in AD patients. ¹²¹ Their recruitment into A β -rich brain regions is mediated by LFA-1 integrin, where they secrete IL-17 and neutrophil extracellular traps, causing neurotoxicity and BBB disruption. ^{122–125} Interestingly, LFA-1 deficiency protects against memory loss and neuroinflammation. ¹²² Neutrophils also amplify microglial overactivation by releasing IL-2 and macrophage inflammatory protein, further exacerbating neuroinflammation. ¹²⁶

NK cells undergo functional alternations in AD, though their frequency remains unchanged. In transgenic AD models, microglial activation triggers a positive feedback loop; overactivation NK cells and NK cell depletion reduce neuroinflammation while improving neurogenesis and cognitive function. However, evidence regarding NK cells in AD remains limited, requiring further investigation. 128

Adaptive immune system and AD

The adaptive immune system, composed of T and B cells, plays a crucial role in immune surveillance, mediated by highly specific T cell receptors and B cell receptors (BCRs) generated through somatic recombination. ⁵³ In AD, the breakdown of BBB allows peripheral immune cells to infiltrate the CNS, altering conventional immune responses. ³ These infiltrating adaptive immune cells interact with neurons, microglia, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes, ¹²⁹ influencing neuroinflammation and disease progression. ¹³⁰

While B cells are more unexplored, T cell infiltration in AD-affected regions has been widely reported. CD3⁺ T cells, primarily CD8⁺, are found in the hippocampus, cortex, and perivascular spaces, correlating with tau pathology rather than A β plaques. ^{131,132} Chemokines like CCL3 and CXCL10 drive their recruitment, contributing to prolonged neuroinflammation. ^{133–136} However, T cells show contradictory roles; Th1/Th17 cells exacerbate A β accumulation and inflammation, whereas Tregs display both protective and harmful effects depending on disease stage. ^{137–140}

The exposure of CNS antigens due to meningeal lymphatic dysfunction suggests a potential autoimmune component in AD. 141-143 Increased brain-infiltrating antibodies and auto-antibody-positive neurons suggest immune-driven neurodegeneration, possibly through complement activation. 144-147 In addition, autoimmunity-related genes such as CR1 (complement receptor 1), CLU (clusterin), and BIN1 (bridging integrator) are linked to AD pathogenesis via large-scale GWAS studies, indicating an overlap between immune dysregulation and neurodegeneration. 148-150

Experimental models highlight the complex role of adaptive immunity in AD. Mice lacking T and B cells exhibited reduced $A\beta$ burden, suggesting a detrimental role for adaptive immune activation. However, broader immunodeficiency (including NK cells depletion) worsened $A\beta$ accumulation, impairing clearance mechanisms. 152

B cells and humoral immunity

B cells, along with T cells, are the main parts of adaptive immunity. The generation of antibodies upon encountering antigens is likely the most notable function of B cells.

Although B cells are known for their outstanding roles in antibody production, these lymphocytes take part in many other antibody-dependent and non-antibody-dependent aspects of adaptive immunity. 153–155

General concepts about B cells

Maturation and development. Initial development of B cells occurs in the fetal liver and after that, it continues in postnatal BM, a place for receiving numerous developmental signals to differentiate. 153 The early steps of B cell development are carried out via the ordered rearrangement of immunoglobulin (Ig) heavy (H) and Light (L) chain loci. 156 Hematopoietic stem cells can produce progenitor, precursor, immature (transitional), and afterward mature naïve B cells. 157 Progenitor (pro)-B cells do not express surface Ig; however, the variable (V), diversity (D), and joining (J) genes rearrangement of the H chain occurs in this stage.¹⁵⁸ By contrast, early precursor (pre)-B cells express u H chain which together with surrogate L chains makes pre-BCR able to interact with ligands. 159 Indeed, the discovery of surrogate L chains was the main step in defining how early B cells could be developed. 160 Despite the previous studies, 161,162 the signaling of pre-BCR could be a ligand-independent mechanism according to crystal structure solution assessing human pre-BCR. 163 With this regard, if pre-BCR cannot be activated, the B cells do not receive survival signals. 153,164

BAFF (B cell activating factor), primarily known for its role in B cell survival, has gained attention for its involvement in neuronal survival and potential implications in AD. Research by Tada et al. identified the expression of BAFF and its receptor (BAFF-R) in neurons, extending the function of BAFF beyond the immune system. This study demonstrated that BAFF-R signaling promotes the survival of neural cells, with BAFF-R deficiency accelerating neuronal degeneration in neurodegenerative models such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Although the study focused on amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, the findings have significant implications for AD, given the shared characteristics of neurodegeneration across these diseases. The neuroprotective effects of BAFF-R suggest that its signaling pathway might mitigate neuronal loss in AD, where neuronal death is a hallmark of disease progression. This opens up the possibility that BAFF could be targeted therapeutically to slow neurodegeneration in AD by enhancing neuronal resilience to pathological insults. 165,166

Additionally, the role of BAFF in neuroinflammation, a key factor in AD pathogenesis, has also been explored. Neuroinflammatory responses, particularly those mediated by glial cells such as microglia and astrocytes, contribute to the sustained damage seen in AD. BAFF, which is involved in the regulation of immune cells, including B cells and microglia, is thought to influence these inflammatory processes. Elevated BAFF levels in the context of

neuroinflammation may reflect an attempt to counteract neuronal damage by promoting protective immune responses. However, excessive or dysregulated BAFF signaling could potentially exacerbate inflammation, contributing to a harmful cycle of neuronal damage. The dual role of BAFF in both immune regulation and neuroprotection makes it an intriguing target for therapeutic intervention in AD, where modulating neuroinflammatory responses could slow disease progression. 167–170

The BCR signaling (a complex consisting of surface Ig and CD79A-CD79B) is the key phenomenon in the B cell maturation by mediating the crucial B lymphocyte education plan, positive and negative selection leading to the development of an immune-competent repertoire of B cells which could not react to self-antigens. 171,172 Immature B cells are lymphocytes able to migrate from the BM into the spleen and acquire CD21, CD22, and complete IgM as the surface Ig and are. 173,174 The interaction of BCR and self-antigens induces the regulatory signals in the immature B cells, which end in cell death, 175,176 energy, 177,178 or receptor editing. 179–181 This process is called central tolerance. 182 Immature B cells carry out the developmental process by migrating from BM into the spleen as transitional B cells. 183 Finally, by recognizing a cognate antigen with the help of follicular helper T cells, the mature B cells could be activated and differentiated into effector CD38⁺ antibody-producing plasma cells and CD27⁺ memory B cells. 157 The Ig class switching is a T-cell-dependent event that lets B cells produce IgG, IgE, and IgA in addition to IgM and IgD. 184 Although in each stage, B cells express some particular molecules, typically CD19 and B220 are molecules specifically related to the B cell lineage. 185

Concerning the subsets of B cells, naïve B cells could be differentiated into three subsets, including B1 B cells, conventional B2 B cells (follicular (FO) and marginal zone (MZ) B cells), and regulatory B cells (Bregs). These subsets differentiate based on the location they are in, the ability to migrate, and the T cell-dependent or -independent way of activation. 186 In 1983, a unique subset of B cells, B1 B cell, was described by Lee Herzenberg in murine. 187 It was demonstrated that despite the origin of conventional B2 B cells, the BM, B1 B cells originated from a distinct lineage in the fetal liver. 188 Based on the expression of CD5, B1 B cells are categorized into CD5⁺ B1a and CD5⁻B1b. 189 Generally, B1 B cells are responsible for providing immunity against T cell-independent antigens majorly by producing IgM responses 186; however, each B1 B cell type has a distinct role. B1a B cells could respond to bacterial infections by producing natural antibodies. On the other hand, B1b B cells are able to produce antibodies against T cell-independent type 2 antigens such as polysaccharides in the infections. 190 FO and MZ B cells all are conventional B2 B cells originating from transitional B cells. Based on signals they received,

the genes responsible for MZ B cell differentiation are expressed when weak BCR together with NOTCH2 signaling occurs. 191 On the other hand, strong BCR signaling results in the expression of FO B cell-induced genes. 192 The marginal zone is the area surrounding germinal centers and the home for MZ B cells. They are parts of innate immunity and can differentiate into short-lived plasma cells independent of BCR ligation. They could circulate in the blood and mediate the immunity against T cell-independent antigens. 193 By transporting antigens into the splenic follicles where FO B cells are present, MZ B cells could also aid the T cell-dependent responses against pathogen proteins and lipids. 194 FO B cells are the chief subset of B cells. They reside in the follicular niche where they could activate T cells and be activated in a T cell-dependent manner against T cell-dependent antigens, i.e., proteins. 186 Initial T cell-dependent responses lead to the differentiation of FO B cells into short-lived plasma cells which are not capable of migrating but could generate antigen-specific germ-line-encoded antibodies. 153 In the following, further T cell-dependent responses stimulate the development of germinal centers in which long-lived plasma cells are generated, able to migrate to the BM and produce high numbers of antibodies. 195 Besides, memory B cells are generated following primary antibody responses and have boosted BCR to detect antigens and respond to them more rapidly. 196

Humoral immunity. B cells are responsible for humoral immunity, primarily through their production of antibodies. These antibodies recognize a wide range of antigens due to rearrangement of the variable regions of heavy (H) and light (L) chain, which confer high specificity. The effector functions of antibodies depend on their isotypes, such as IgM and IgG, which activate the complement system and mediate immune responses like antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and phagocytosis. 197 In the context of AD, B cell-derived antibodies play a dual role. On one hand, antibodies target pathological aggregates like AB and tau, aiding in their clearance through mechanisms such as microglia activation and complement-mediated phagocytosis. On the other hand, antibody interactions can exacerbate neuroinflammation and vascular damage, including CAA, thereby contributing to AD pathology. 198,199 Mature B cells, which act as APCs, amplify immune responses by presenting antigens to CD4+ T cells via major histocompatibility complex molecules. This interaction is supported by co-stimulatory signals provided by molecules like CD80, CD86, and CD40, which are critical for T cell activation. In AD, these antigen presentation processes may contribute to both protective and pathological immune responses, depending on the context. 200-202 B cells also secrete cytokines that modulate adaptive immune responses. For instance, IL-4 and IL-10, secreted by regulatory B cells, exhibit anti-inflammatory effects that can mitigate AD pathology by reducing neuroinflammation and promoting AB clearance. However, dysregulated cytokine production, such as elevated levels of pro-inflammatory mediators like TNF-α and IL-6, may accelerate neurodegeneration and synaptic loss. 198 Variations in cytokine-related genes, such as polymorphisms in IL-4 and IL-10, have been linked to altered AD risk and progression across different populations. 199 B cells further influence CNS homeostasis through their production of lymphotoxin, which contributes to the development of secondary and tertiary lymphoid structures. These structures can modulate local immune responses, but their dysregulation in the CNS has been implicated in neuroinflammatory disorders, including AD. 203,204 The role of B cells in AD highlights their dual capacity to mediate neuroprotection through antibody production and immune modulation while also contributing to neuroinflammation and disease progression when dysregulated.

B cells and AD

Despite extensive studies on other immune cells, the roles of B cells in AD pathology remain underexplored but are supported by emerging evidence. B cells, key players in humoral immunity, contribute to AD through antibody production, antigen presentation, and cytokine secretion. Their involvement in AD pathology encompasses both protective and pathological aspects.

B cell infiltration has been observed in AD brains, particularly in regions like the hippocampus and cortex. These infiltrated B cells interact with resident immune cells, potentially amplifying neuroinflammatory responses. In clinical studies, autoantibodies against brain antigens and non-brain molecules were found in the CSF and serum of AD patients, suggesting B cell activation in the disease. For instance, elevated serum levels of antibodies targeting the heavy neurofilament subunit of cholinergic neurons and anti-hippocampal antibodies have been reported, indicating humoral activity within the CNS. 205,206

The antibodies produced by B cells play a dual role in AD. On the one hand, these antibodies can recognize and bind to aggregated A β and tau proteins, promoting their clearance through microglial activation and phagocytosis. For example, the administration of antibodies against A β in transgenic APP mice reduced A β plaque deposition and improved cognitive outcomes. Similarly, IVIg therapy in APP/PS1 mice diminished A β deposition, enhanced microglial activation, and shifted inflammatory responses towards M2 phenotypes, favoring plaque clearance.

On the other hand, B cell-produced antibodies may exacerbate AD pathology. For instance, autoantibodies against cerebrovascular $A\beta$ are implicated in CAA-related inflammation. The interaction of antibodies with Fc receptors expressed on microglia and neurons induces pro-inflammatory cytokine release and neuroinflammation.

Elevated expression of Fc γ RI and Fc γ RIII in AD brains has been associated with inflammation mediated by ERK and NF- κ B pathways. Moreover, antibodies can interact with tau proteins, contributing to tau aggregation and early neurodegeneration. ²⁰⁹

The depletion of B cells has provided further insights into their role in AD. Studies using anti-CD20/B220 antibodies in 3×TgAD mice demonstrated that B cell depletion significantly reduced Aβ deposition and improved spatial memory. Interestingly, this intervention also modulated microglial phenotypes, reducing neuroinflammation and shifting immune responses toward homeostasis. However, the absence of B cells may impair immune surveillance and antibody-mediated clearance mechanisms.²¹⁰

Natural autoantibodies, a subset of B cell-produced antibodies, have shown neuroprotective effects in AD. These antibodies bind to $A\beta$ oligomers and facilitate their clearance, improving spatial memory in transgenic AD mice. However, their levels decline with aging and in neurodegenerative conditions like AD, highlighting the potential of replenishing or modulating natural autoantibodies as a therapeutic approach. 211

The aging process exacerbates B cell dysfunction in AD. Immunosenescence not only reduces naïve B cell populations but also leads to an accumulation of age-associated B cells (ABCs), a distinct subset of B cells linked to chronic inflammation and autoimmunity. ABCs are characterized by the expression of CD11c and T-bet, increased secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IFN-y, and IL-6, and a heightened response to innate immune signals.²¹² In AD, ABCs have been implicated in promoting neuroinflammation and impairing microglial Aβ clearance, potentially accelerating disease progression. Clinical studies have shown that age-related changes in B cell subsets correlate with increased amyloid burden, tau pathology, and cognitive decline. Furthermore, ABCs have been found to expand disproportionately in individuals with chronic inflammatory conditions, including contributing to sustained immune activation. Additionally, sex differences in B cell responses influence AD progression. Female carriers of the APOE4 allele exhibit heightened ABC expansion, increased B cell activation, and antibody production, which may account for their greater susceptibility to AD compared to males.²¹³

FDA-approved monoclonal antibody therapies, such as aducanumab and lecanemab, further underscore the significance of B cells in AD. These therapies mimic the antibody responses of B cells, targeting aggregated A β for clearance while attempting to mitigate neuroinflammatory side effects. Their development highlights the therapeutic relevance of harnessing or modulating B cell activity in AD. ²⁰⁵

Taken together, B cells play a complex role in AD pathology, exhibiting both beneficial and detrimental effects. While their antibodies contribute to $A\beta$ and tau clearance, they may also drive neuroinflammation and BBB

disruption. Further research is essential to delineate these roles and optimize immunotherapeutic strategies targeting B cells in AD.

Table 1 provides a comprehensive summary of the roles of various immune cells the pathogenesis and progression of AD.

Recent therapeutic approaches targeting AD

Although numerous aspects of AD pathology have been studied and illuminated in the last two decades, the last approved drug by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) was memantine back in 2003. With this regard, only rivastigmine, donepezil, and galantamine, which are acetylcholinesterase enzyme inhibitors, and memantine, which is an antagonist of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor, are FDA-approved.²¹⁴ As stated, AD pathobiology is tightly associated with the extracellular accumulation of Aβ plaques (Aβ pathology) and intracellular deposition of tau proteins (tauopathy). Therefore, possible therapeutic approaches could target these two hallmarks. Although targeting A_β has shown discrepancies regarding the clinical impacts of AD cases, ^{215,216} targeting tau, on the other hand, seems to be more promising in preclinical studies, and currently they are evaluating at the clinical level. 217

Targeting $A\beta$

Targeting Aβ as an AD-promoting agent could be done in different ways. Drugs could impede the accumulation of Aβ plaques, diminish the production of Aβ by interfering with producer enzymes, and enhance the process of Aβ clearance. It could be inferred that the toxicity of AB protein is derived from its ability to aggregate. Thus, some strategies focus on hindering the aggregation of AB into oligomers. Scyllo-inositol (ELND005) is one of these and was shown to be a promising drug in AD models.²¹⁸ However, the outcomes of some phase II trials did not demonstrate significant differences for the AD Cooperative Study-Activities of Daily Living (ADCS-ADL) scale, cognitive and behavioral states. ^{219,220} Tramiprosate is another anti-aggregation agent which similar to Scylla-inositol was not able to improve the cognitive decline in mild to moderate AD cases. However, reanalyzing this drug in mild AD patients carrying the APOE ε4 allele showed clinefficacy by improving the AD Assessment Scale-Cognitive Subscale (ADAS-Cog) and stabilizing cognition for more than 78 weeks.²²¹

The application of BACE-1 inhibitors could disrupt the production of A β . Numerous studies evaluated BACE-1 inhibitors; however, the results were not promising, particularly in phase III clinical trials. It was demonstrated that lanabecestat did not improve cognitive decline in AD cases in a phase III trial. Similarly, verubecestat could

not slow down the impairment of cognition and function of AD patients, while it showed a high rate of adverse events (AEs). ²²³ To prevent the formation of Aβ plaques before the onset of AD, the efficacy of BACE-1 inhibitors has been evaluated in patients with MCI and no AD diagnosis or in individuals with normal cognition and increased AB levels. In this context, verubecestat, atabecestat, elenbecestat, and umibecestat were associated with cognitive worsening in drug-receiving cases instead of cognitive improvement, ending in the discontinuation of those BACE-1 inhibitors. Similarly, γ -secretase inhibitors are able to impede the production of Aβ. Evaluating the efficacy of semagacestat (a γ-secretase inhibitor) in a phase III trial was discontinued before the trial's completion. Indeed, semagacestat not only worsened the cognition and function (in daily living) of AD patients but also induced skin cancer and weight loss.²²⁶ Besides, avagacestat, which is a γ-secretase inhibitor, showed similar outcomes in a phase II trial, resulting in the termination of the trial because of inducing skin cancers as well as no clinical effects on cognitive decline.²¹² The harmful side effects of γ-secretase inhibitors could concern the Notch signaling as Notch is another substrate of y-secretase responsible for the regulation of cell differentiation and proliferation. 227

The other way to target $A\beta$ is to induce the removal of Aβ proteins via immunotherapy methods. This strategy has been the most effective method to hamper the progression of AD.²¹⁴ It is worth mentioning that immunotherapeutic approaches in the AD context could be categorized into active and passive based on the application of vaccines and exogenous antibodies, respectively. Active immunotherapy has higher efficacy because of its greater capacity to induce antibody production and long-lasting immune responses. Passive immunotherapy promotes immunity quickly in elderly cases that have lower responsiveness to vaccines. ²²⁸ In active immunotherapy, the strategy is based on vaccination principles, i.e., exposing the immune system to Aβ protein or its particles, which stimulates the immune cells against this protein and, consequently, immune responses occur.²²⁹ The initial attempts regarding vaccination in AD were back in 1999 when the induction of immune responses against $A\beta$ decreased the accumulation of $A\beta$ in the brains of mouse models.²³⁰ In the following, a vaccine against Aβ, AN1792, was evaluated in clinical trials. Nonetheless, the application of AN1792 was discontinued in trials due to the induction of T cell-mediated meningoencephalitis. 228-231 The development of active immunotherapy in AD cases resulted in the second generation of vaccines in which the T cell epitope was depleted; however, the presence of severe AE has always been a challenge.

As stated, AN1792 was the first vaccine against full-length $A\beta_{42}$. This vaccine was not able to improve ADAS-Cog, Disability Assessment for Dementia, and clinical dementia rating. Besides, AN1792 caused meningoencephalitis in 18 out of 300 patients (6%), which was

Table 1. The role of immune cells in AD.

| Immune cell type | Role in AD | AD pathology components | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Microglia | Phagocytosis of A β plaques, release of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β), impaired function in late AD stages. Contribute to tau propagation and neurodegeneration | Aβ plaques, tau tangles, neuroinflammation | |
| Astrocytes | Modulate inflammation, clear $A\beta$ through proteases (MMPs), but can also contribute to plaque formation, tau hyperphosphorylation, and synaptic defects through inflammatory responses | $A\beta$ plaques, tau hyperphosphorylation, synaptic dysfunction | |
| Monocytes & Macrophages | Infiltrate CNS via BBB, clear $A\beta$ through phagocytosis, can shift from anti-inflammatory to pro-inflammatory phenotype in later AD stages, interact with $A\beta$ plaques via TREM2 | $A\beta$ clearance, inflammatory responses, plaque aggregation | |
| T Cells | CD8+ T cells infiltrate CNS, promote neuroinflammation, contribute to tauopathy and synaptic dysfunction. Th1/Th17 can promote Aβ accumulation while Tregs may have protective roles | $\mbox{\sc A}\beta$ plaques, tauopathy, neuroinflammation, cognitive decline | |
| B Cells | Produce antibodies against $A\beta$ plaques, can aid in clearance or contribute to cerebrovascular inflammation (e.g., CAA), controversial role in AD progression. | $A\beta$ clearance, tauopathy, autoimmunity, cerebrovascular inflammation | |
| Neutrophils | Infiltrate CNS, promote neuroinflammation and cognitive impairment by releasing IL-17, neutrophil extracellular traps, and activating microglia, contribute to BBB dysfunction and A β plaque aggregation | Neuroinflammation, $A\beta$ plaque aggregation, BBB dysfunction | |
| Natural Killer Cells | Overactivated in AD, can exacerbate microglial activity and neuroinflammation. Depletion reduces neuroinflammation and improves cognitive function in AD models | Neuroinflammation, cognitive impairment | |
| Dendritic Cells | Activate immune responses through antigen presentation, though specific roles in AD pathogenesis are less explored | Antigen presentation, inflammation | |

AD: Alzheimer's disease; A β : amyloid- β ; BBB: blood-brain barrier; CAA: cerebral amyloid angiopathy; CNS: central nervous system; IL-1 β : interleukin-1 β ; MMPs: matrix metalloproteinases; TNF- α : tumor necrosis factor- α .

the reason for trial termination.²³¹ In an interesting study, 22 patients from the first AN1792 trial were followed up for a 15-year postmortem. In 9 out of 16 patients, evidence of Aβ plaque clearance was obvious (extreme or intermediate), and in 5 patients, very limited Aß plaque clearance was reported. Also, the levels of tau were low in regions of cortical foci with removed plaques compared with regions with plaques. This study indicated that long-lasting immune responses against A\beta in AD patients resulted in A\beta plaque removal.²³² The text generation of vaccines was developed in order to reduce T cell-mediated toxicities. CAD106 is a vaccine designed to interact with N-terminus $A\beta_{1-6}$ and induce antibodies against $A\beta$ (B cell responses), not T cell-mediated anti-Aβ responses. In the phase I trial, CAD106 demonstrated no clinical meningoencephalitis, while major patients exhibited anti-Aß antibody responses.²³³ Strong anti-Aβ antibody responses (IgG) were observed in phase IIb of CAD106 with more AE incidence rate, suggesting the tolerability of CAD106 with no CNS inflammation records.²³⁴ Nonetheless, in the newest trial of CAD106 in phase II/III, unexpected alterations in cognition and brain volume led to the termination of the trial (NCT02565511). UB-311 is another novel vaccine able to stimulate B cells and conjugated helper T cells against two synthetic $A\beta_{1-14}$. Indeed, the activation

of Th2 could enhance the immune responses while diminishing the T cell-mediated inflammatory reactions. This vaccine-induced anti-A β antibody responses in 100% of AD cases and improved ADAS-Cog in mild-to-moderate AD patients. ABvac40 is an active vaccine against the C-terminal end of A β 40 and was assessed in a phase I trial. Accordingly, no evidence of amyloid-related imaging abnormalities concerning vasogenic edema, sulcal effusions, micro hemorrhages, and hemosiderin deposits was observed. Besides, in 92% of patients, the anti-A β antibody responses occurred, implying a satisfying safety and tolerability profile with the capacity to induce immune responses in mild-to-moderate AD patients. 236

Passive immunotherapy is defined as the functions of anti-A β injected antibodies. Indeed, the principles of antibody administration originated from the nature of humoral immunity and B cell responses which can promote the phagocytosis-dependent clearance of A β and modify the secondary structure of A β monomers through an antibody-based manner to impede the oligomer formation of A β . The primary application of passive immunotherapy was a preclinical study in which peripheral application of anti-A β antibodies resulted in reduced A β plaque deposition in APP transgenic mice. They showed that the administered antibodies were able to penetrate CNS and target

Aβ, which opsonizes those proteins for microglia.²³⁹ Numab is one of the most important monoclonal antibodies applied in AD. Being an anti-Aß IgG, aducanumab was shown to be able to target both soluble and insoluble forms of Aβ in patients with prodromal or mild AD; however, amyloid-related imaging abnormalities were observed. 240 Recently, the effects of aducanumab in reducing biomarkers related to AD pathology were demonstrated in a phase III study of mild AD cases (EMERGE).²⁴¹ Nonetheless, there are still some doubts about the validity of the results as the FDA Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee published a review revealing opposite opinions about the efficacy and safety of aducanumab in the treatment of AD. 242 The first monoclonal antibodies entered in the phase III clinical trial were bapineuzumab and solanezumab. Evaluation of these drugs in patients with mild-to-moderate AD showed a lack of effectiveness in improving cognition and functional abilities.²⁴³ Although the higher incidence of AEs and amyloid-related imaging abnormalities with effusion or edema and microhemorrhage led to the termination of bapineuzumab evaluation in clinical trials, 244 the safety evaluation of solanezumab showed a safer profile according to a lower prevalence of amyloid-related imaging abnormalities. 214 The application of crenezumab in mild-to-moderate AD cases in phase II like gantenerumab application in prodromal AD patients in a phase III trial²⁴⁵ did not yield clinical effectiveness in improving the cognition scores. However, regarding the favorable safety profile of those drugs, the administration of them in higher dosages is going to be considered in future trials. As one of the recent monoclonal antibodies reaching a phase III clinical trial, lecanemab (BAN2401) exhibited a favorable safety profile in the phase I trial²⁴⁶ as well as a remarkable capacity to reduce the levels of brain Aβ and slow the cognitive decline in AD cases in an ongoing phase II trial. Accordingly, lecanemab was able to decrease ADAS-Cog in a dose-dependent manner over 18 months. Also, the diminished cognitive decline was higher in the APOE ε4 allele carriers. The near 10% incidence of amyloid-related imaging abnormalitiesedema/effusion indicated satisfying tolerability of lecanemab in the treatment of AD.²⁴⁷ Due to promising outcomes, two phase III trials, Clarity AD (NCT03887455) and AHEAD 3-45 (NCT04468659), are underway, aiming to assess the long-term efficacy and safety of lecanemab in AD cases. According to the recently published results of NCT03887455, the application of lecanemab in AD patients with MCI or mild dementia resulted in a decrease in brain Aβ burden as well as ADAS-cog and AD Composite Score (ADCOMS). This therapy induced amyloid-related imaging abnormalities in 12.6% of patients, implying that lecanemab is well-tolerated and has efficacy in improving cognitive decline. 248

Targeting tau

As stated, hyperphosphorylated tau proteins lose their capacity to bind microtubules, leading to the formation of NFTs and aggregated tau. 249 Besides, tauopathy has been demonstrated to have a better correlation with AD pathogenesis compared with AB pathology, suggesting the worthiness of tau proteins as a more promising target in AD therapy. ^{228,250} Similar to Aβ targeting methods, tau targeting strategies consist of inhibiting the hyperphosphorylation of tau proteins, their aggregation, and their clearance (immunotherapy). It has been demonstrated that glycogen synthase kinase 3-beta (GSK-3\beta) has a chief role in the hyperphosphorylation of tau proteins.²³⁷ With this regard, targeting GSK-3\beta was shown to reduce the phosphorylation of tau proteins as well as the accumulation of AB and neuronal loss in preclinical studies. ²³⁸ Lithium could be utilized in AD cases concerning its ability to block GSK-3\u03b3. In a phase II trial, the application of lithium in prodromal AD cases improved cognitive decline and decreased the concentration of phosphorylated tau proteins in CSF. 239 Also, the administration of a microdose of 300 µg lithium to AD patients impeded cognitive loss, ²⁴⁰ implying the therapeutic capacity of lithium in AD therapy. Leuco-methylthioninium bis (hydromethanesulfonate) (LMTM) is a selective inhibitor of tau aggregation. The application of LMTM in a phase III trial in mild-to-moderate AD patients resulted in no significant changes related to ADAS-Cog and ADCS-ADL scores. However, the less than 1% incidence rate of amyloid-related imaging abnormalities suggests a favorable safety profile for LMTM.²⁴¹ In the following, reanalyzing monotherapy LMTM in mild AD patients showed that 4 mg twice a day yielded similar clinical outcomes to higher doses and resulted in a reduced brain atrophy rate and an improved ADAS-cog and ADCS-ADL. 242

Immunotherapeutic approaches (passive and active) could be utilized in order to improve the process of tau clearance by targeting abnormal aggregated tau and not the physiologic ones.²⁴³ However, an obvious challenge is the location of aggregated tau inside the cells, which could hamper the interaction of antibodies and tau proteins. Studies revealed that antibodies can penetrate neurons majorly via receptor-mediated endocytosis phenomenon (Fc-related action) and less by bulk endocytosis.²¹⁷ To induce active immunization, synthetic peptides that could mimic the pathology of tau have been utilized. AADvac1 is an active vaccine against pathological tau and its application in mild-to-moderate AD patients resulted in the stimulation of anti-tau antibody response (IgG) in all the patients associated with lower hippocampal atrophy rate and cognitive decline. Besides, no evidence of meningoencephalitis or vasogenic edema was reported.²⁵¹ A recent phase II trial (ADAMANT) exhibited the satisfactory tolerability of AADvac1 in patients with mild AD with low AE incidence rate and also high levels of IgG antibodies.

| Drug/intervention | Mechanism | Phase of trial | Outcome/improvement |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Semorinemab | lgG4 monoclonal antibody targeting tau | Phase II | No improvement in AD hallmarks |
| Tilavonemab | Monoclonal antibody targeting N-terminus of tau | Phase I (safety assessment) | No promising outcomes in phase II trial, treatment terminated |
| Zagotenemab | Monoclonal antibody targeting tau | Phase I & II | Missed primary endpoint in AD treatment |
| Lecanemab | Anti-Aβ protofibril antibody | Phase III ongoing | Decreased brain A β burden, improved ADAS-Cog, ADCOMS |
| Gosuranemab | Anti-tau monoclonal antibody | Phase II | No effectiveness on cognitive decline, trial discontinued |
| Lithium | GSK-3 β inhibitor | Phase II | Improved cognitive decline, decreased phosphorylated tau |
| AADvac1 (vaccine) | Active immunotherapy targeting tau | Phase II | Stimulated anti-tau antibodies, reduced hippocampal atrophy, no significant cognitive improvement |
| Verubecestat | BACE-I inhibitor | Phase III | No slowing of cognitive decline, high rate of adverse events |
| LMTM (Tau inhibitor) | Tau aggregation inhibitor | Phase III | No significant changes, but reduced brain atrophy in some cases |

However, cognitive and functional analysis showed no significant differences upon vaccination.²⁴⁴ Passive immunotherapy is mediated by antibodies able to target specific sites of tau proteins. In this context, monoclonal administered antibodies should penetrate the neurons and block tau proteins. Besides, they could target tau proteins outside the neurons and guarantee the inhibition of AD progression.217 Accordingly, gosuranemab, which is an anti-tau monoclonal antibody, was administered in patients with progressive supranuclear palsy, a rare neurological disease that involves neuron death by the aggregation of tau proteins. In this condition, gosuranemab resulted in a 98% reduction of unbound N-terminus tau levels in the CSF and a safety profile similar to the control group.²⁴¹ However, the application of gosuranemab in a phase II trial of patients with early AD was discontinued due to drug ineffectiveness on cognitive decline and functional impairment (NCT03352557). By targeting all six human tau isoforms, semorinemab (RO705705) is an IgG4 monoclonal antibody able to block extracellular tau proteins in AD patients. Despite a favorable safety profile, semorinemab was not able to improve AD hallmarks. Also, a phase II trial demonstrated that semorinemab failed in ameliorating AD conditions. ²⁴⁵ Another monoclonal antibody targeting the N-terminus of tau is tilavonemab (ABBV-8E12) which was well-tolerated in phase I of AD cases.²⁵² Nonetheless, the evaluation of tilavonemab in the phase II trial in patients with early AD did not show promising outcomes (NCT02880956) and thus, the administration of tilavonemab in AD treatment was terminated. Zagotenemab (LY3303560) is another monoclonal antibody targeting an early pathological conformation of tau. Although the safety assessment of zagotenemab was performed in two phase I trials (NCT02754830 and

NCT02754830), the recently reported outcomes of a phase II trial showed that zagotenemab missed its primary endpoint in AD treatment. ²²⁸

It could be inferred that the notion behind immunotherapy in AD came from the capacity of B cells to produce autoantibodies against Aβ proteins in cases with AD.²⁴⁷ From then, studies investigated the levels of circulated autoantibodies in AD patients to illuminate the roles of B cell-produced antibodies in AD^{248,249} leading to the consideration of B cell-mediated humoral responses as therapeutic agents in AD treatment. It is worth mentioning that the major therapeutic approaches in the context of AD treatment were based on targeting AB pathogenesis over the past 25 years. 214 However, neither non-immunotherapy methods, e.g., approaches based on the inhibition of accumulation of Aβ and its generation nor immunotherapy methods consisting of active and passive immunotherapy to enhance the clearance of AB deposit) demonstrate satisfying outcomes in improving cognitive and functional impairment in AD cases, except for some studies. Therefore, the strategies in the AD treatment changed to the context of tauopathy targeting. In this regard, clinical trials concerning anti-tau investigation are in their initial steps. In addition to strategies such as preventing the hyperphosphorylation of tau and its aggregation, immunotherapy targeting pathologic tau has received attention recently, so no clinical trial has reached phase III. Nevertheless, ongoing trials are evaluating active immunotherapy like NCT04445831 (a phase I/II clinical trial evaluating ACI-35, an active vaccine) and passive immunotherapy such as NCT03828747 (semorinemab assessment in moderate AD), NCT04867616 (the evaluation of bepranemab in a phase II trial), NCT04619420 (a phase II study aiming to investigate the roles of JNJ-63733657 in cognitive decline in AD cases), NCT04971733 (E2814 evaluation in a phase I/II clinical trial in mild AD), and NCT04149860 (a phase I safety assessment of Lu AF87908 in AD patients). Also, the roles of IVIg in ameliorating the pathogenesis of AD have been demonstrated in preclinical studies with promising outcomes. However, their application in clinical trials was not as pleasing as preclinical studies. It was shown in a phase III clinical trial that two doses of IVIg for 18 months (0.2 and 0.4 g/kg every 2 weeks) exhibited a favorable safety profile with no clinical evidence regarding AD treatment. ²⁵⁰

Table 2 outlines the current therapeutic interventions for AD under clinical trials, detailing their mechanisms of action, clinical phases, and reported outcomes.

Conclusion

Under physiological conditions, synaptic maintenance is supported by innate immune cells such as microglia and astrocytes, which clear debris and sustain homeostasis. The brain's immune privilege, maintained by an intact BBB, CSF flow, and the meningeal and dural lymphatic systems, typically prevents the interaction of peripheral immune cells with CNS antigens. In AD, the breakdown of these protective systems disrupts CNS homeostasis. Extracellular neuritic $A\beta$ plaques and intracellular NFTs, hallmarks of AD, persist in the brain due to the limited clearance capacity of microglia and astrocytes, leading to sustained neuroinflammatory responses and dystrophic neurites.

A dysfunctional BBB allows peripheral immune cells, including B cells, to infiltrate the brain parenchyma. This infiltration alters the immune landscape and may promote the recognition of CNS antigens as "non-self," a hallmark of autoimmune pathology. While the presence of B cells in the brain during AD has been demonstrated, their role remains complex and controversial. B cells can produce autoantibodies targeting $A\beta$ and tau proteins. In some studies, these autoantibodies correlate with worsened AD pathology, potentially through the promotion of proinflammatory cascades mediated by Fc receptor interactions on microglia and astrocytes. This aligns with findings that B cell depletion improves spatial memory and reduces $A\beta$ plaque formation in preclinical models, suggesting a detrimental role for B cells in these contexts.

Conversely, B cell-derived antibodies may have protective effects. Autoantibodies against $A\beta$ oligomers and tau tangles can facilitate their clearance through mechanisms such as antibody-dependent phagocytosis mediated by microglia. This dual role of B cells highlights their potential as both pathological mediators and therapeutic targets. The use of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) and monoclonal antibodies targeting $A\beta$ plaques, such as FDA-approved therapies Aducanumab and Lecanemab, demonstrates the therapeutic relevance of modulating B cell activity in AD.

While these therapies focus primarily on $A\beta$ clearance, emerging data suggest that targeting tau pathology might yield more favorable outcomes. B cell-derived antibodies could play a critical role in such strategies, as they can target both $A\beta$ and tau aggregates.

Despite advancements in understanding the immune system's role in AD, significant gaps remain in elucidating the precise functions of B cells. Immunotherapy trials targeting $A\beta$ pathology have shown mixed results, potentially reflecting the complex interplay between protective and detrimental B cell functions. For example, while active immunization strategies failed to demonstrate clear cognitive benefits, passive antibody therapies have shown promise, albeit with limitations related to side effects such as amyloid-related imaging abnormalities.

Future research should aim to clarify the conditions under which B cell activity contributes to neuroprotection versus neuroinflammation. This understanding will inform the development of more targeted immunotherapies, potentially focusing on tau pathology, given its closer association with cognitive decline in AD. By addressing the dual roles of B cells and refining strategies to harness their therapeutic potential, we may advance the efficacy of immunotherapy in AD.

Acknowledgments

The authors have no acknowledgments to report.

ORCID iD

Pezhman Hadinezhad https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8746-198X

Statements and declarations

Author contributions

Ladan Gol Mohammad Pour Afrakoti (Conceptualization; Writing – original draft); Sanam Daneshpour Moghadam (Conceptualization; Data curation; Writing – original draft); Pezhman Hadinezhad, M.D (Conceptualization; Project administration).

Consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical considerations

Not applicable.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Alzheimer A, Stelzmann RA, Schnitzlein HN, et al. An English translation of Alzheimer's 1907 paper, 'Über eine eigenartige Erkrankung der Hirnrinde'. Clin Anat 1995; 8: 429–431.
- Rojo LE, Fernández JA, Maccioni AA, et al. Neuroinflammation: implications for the pathogenesis and molecular diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Arch Med Res* 2008; 39: 1–16.
- 3. Chen X and Holtzman DM. Emerging roles of innate and adaptive immunity in Alzheimer's disease. *Immunity* 2022; 55: 2236–2254.
- Machado-Santos J, Saji E, Tröscher AR, et al. The compartmentalized inflammatory response in the multiple sclerosis brain is composed of tissue-resident CD8+ T lymphocytes and B cells. *Brain* 2018; 141: 2066–2082.
- Kowarik MC, Grummel V, Wemlinger S, et al. Immune cell subtyping in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with neurological diseases. *J Neurol* 2014; 261: 130–143.
- de Graaf MT, Smitt PAES, Luitwieler RL, et al. Central memory CD4+ T cells dominate the normal cerebrospinal fluid. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom* 2011; 80B: 43–50.
- Ahn JJ, Abu-Rub M and Miller RH. B cells in neuroinflammation: new perspectives and mechanistic insights. *Cells* 2021: 10: 1605.
- 8. Bouras C, Riederer BM, Kövari E, et al. Humoral immunity in brain aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Res Rev* 2005; 48: 477–487.
- 9. Joe E and Ringman JM. Cognitive symptoms of Alzheimer's disease: clinical management and prevention. *BMJ* 2019; 367: 16217.
- 10. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med* 2004; 256: 183–194.
- 11. Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al. NIA-AA research framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2018; 14: 535–562.
- 12. Brodaty H, Breteler MMB, DeKosky ST, et al. The world of dementia beyond 2020. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2011; 59: 923–927.
- 13. Wu Y-T, Beiser AS, Breteler MMB, et al. The changing prevalence and incidence of dementia over time—current evidence. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2017; 13: 327–339.
- Mielke M, Vemuri P and Rocca W. Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: assessing sex and gender differences. Clin Epidemiol 2014; 6: 37–48.
- Scheltens P, De Strooper B, Kivipelto M, et al. Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 1577–1590.
- Babapour Mofrad R, Tijms BM, Scheltens P, et al. Sex differences in CSF biomarkers vary by Alzheimer disease stage and APOE ε4 genotype. *Neurology* 2020; 95: e2378–e2388.
- Buckley RF, Mormino EC, Rabin JS, et al. Sex differences in the association of global amyloid and regional tau deposition measured by positron emission tomography in clinically normal older adults. *JAMA Neurol* 2019; 76: 542.

- van der Lee SJ, Wolters FJ, Ikram MK, et al. The effect of APOE and other common genetic variants on the onset of Alzheimer's disease and dementia: a community-based cohort study. *Lancet Neurol* 2018; 17: 434–444.
- Kunkle BW, Grenier-Boley B, Sims R, et al. Genetic meta-analysis of diagnosed Alzheimer's disease identifies new risk loci and implicates Aβ, tau, immunity and lipid processing. *Nat Genet* 2019; 51: 414–430.
- Karch CM, Cruchaga C and Goate AM. Alzheimer's disease genetics: from the bench to the clinic. *Neuron* 2014; 83: 11–26.
- 21. Colom-Cadena M, Spires-Jones T, Zetterberg H, et al. The clinical promise of biomarkers of synapse damage or loss in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2020; 12: 21.
- Knopman DS, Amieva H, Petersen RC, et al. Alzheimer disease. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2021; 7: 33.
- 23. Henstridge CM, Tzioras M and Paolicelli RC. Glial contribution to excitatory and inhibitory synapse loss in neurodegeneration. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2019; 13: 63.
- 24. Golde TE, DeKosky ST and Galasko D. Alzheimer's disease: the right drug, the right time. *Science* 2018; 362: 1250–1251.
- Montine TJ, Phelps CH, Beach TG, et al. National institute on aging–Alzheimer's association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease: a practical approach. *Acta Neuropathol* 2012; 123: 1–11.
- Arnold SE, Hyman BT, Flory J, et al. The topographical and neuroanatomical distribution of neurofibrillary tangles and neuritic plaques in the cerebral cortex of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Cereb Cortex* 1991; 1: 103–116.
- 27. Griffiths J and Grant SGN. Synapse pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2023; 139: 13–23.
- Thinakaran G and Koo EH. Amyloid precursor protein trafficking, processing, and function. *J Biol Chem* 2008; 283: 29615–29619.
- 29. Haass C and Willem M. Secreted APP modulates synaptic activity: a novel target for therapeutic intervention? *Neuron* 2019; 101: 557–559.
- Benarroch EE. Glutamatergic synaptic plasticity and dysfunction in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2018; 91: 125–132.
- 31. Spires-Jones TL and Hyman BT. The intersection of amyloid beta and tau at synapses in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 2014; 82: 756–771.
- 32. Pooler AM, Noble W and Hanger DP. A role for tau at the synapse in Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. *Neuropharmacology* 2014; 76(Pt A): 1–8.
- 33. Eftekharzadeh B, Daigle JG, Kapinos LE, et al. Tau protein disrupts nucleocytoplasmic transport in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 2018; 99: 925–940. e7.
- de Calignon A, Polydoro M, Suárez-Calvet M, et al. Propagation of tau pathology in a model of early Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 2012; 73: 685–697.
- 35. Braak H, Thal DR, Ghebremedhin E, et al. Stages of the pathologic process in Alzheimer disease: age categories from 1 to 100 years. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2011; 70: 960–969.

- Wu JW, Hussaini SA, Bastille IM, et al. Neuronal activity enhances tau propagation and tau pathology in vivo. *Nat Neurosci* 2016; 19: 1085–1092.
- Delacourte A, David JP, Sergeant N, et al. The biochemical pathway of neurofibrillary degeneration in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1999; 52: 1158.
- Kim J, Basak JM and Holtzman DM. The role of apolipoprotein E in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 2009; 63: 287–303.
- Graff-Radford J, Yong KXX, Apostolova LG, et al. New insights into atypical Alzheimer's disease in the era of biomarkers. *Lancet Neurol* 2021; 20: 222–234.
- 40. Jack CR, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, et al. Age, sex, and APOE ε4 effects on memory, brain structure, and β-amyloid across the adult life span. *JAMA Neurol* 2015; 72: 511–519.
- 41. Tiwari S, Atluri V, Kaushik A, et al. Alzheimer's disease: pathogenesis, diagnostics, and therapeutics. *Int J Nanomedicine* 2019; 14: 5541–5554.
- Reiman EM, Chen K, Liu X, et al. Fibrillar amyloid-β burden in cognitively normal people at three levels of genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A 2009; 106: 6820–6825.
- 43. Huynh T-PV, Davis AA, Ulrich JD, et al. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer's disease: the influence of apolipoprotein E on amyloid-β and other amyloidogenic proteins. *J Lipid Res* 2017; 58: 824–836.
- Tsai J, Grutzendler J, Duff K, et al. Fibrillar amyloid deposition leads to local synaptic abnormalities and breakage of neuronal branches. *Nat Neurosci* 2004; 7: 1181–1183.
- Greenberg SM, Bacskai BJ, Hernandez-Guillamon M, et al. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy and Alzheimer disease—one peptide, two pathways. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2020; 16: 30–42.
- Jevtic S, Sengar AS, Salter MW, et al. The role of the immune system in Alzheimer disease: etiology and treatment. Ageing Res Rev 2017; 40: 84–94.
- 47. Sarlus H and Heneka MT. Microglia in Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Invest* 2017; 127: 3240–3249.
- 48. Prinz M and Priller J. The role of peripheral immune cells in the CNS in steady state and disease. *Nat Neurosci* 2017; 20: 136–144.
- 49. Cherry JD, Olschowka JA and O'Banion MK. Neuroinflammation and M2 microglia: the good, the bad, and the inflamed. *J Neuroinflammation* 2014; 11: 98.
- Zhang Z, Zhang Z, Lu H, et al. Microglial polarization and inflammatory mediators after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Mol Neurobiol* 2017; 54: 1874–1886.
- Fakhoury M. Microglia and astrocytes in Alzheimer's disease: implications for therapy. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 2018; 16: 508–518.
- 52. Kigerl KA, de Rivero Vaccari JP, Dietrich WD, et al. Pattern recognition receptors and central nervous system repair. *Exp Neurol* 2014; 258: 5–16.
- Sierra A, Beccari S, Diaz-Aparicio I, et al. Surveillance, phagocytosis, and inflammation: how never-resting microglia influence adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *Neural Plast* 2014; 2014: 610343.

- 54. Madore C, Yin Z, Leibowitz J, et al. Microglia, lifestyle stress, and neurodegeneration. *Immunity* 2020; 52: 222–240.
- Mathys H, Davila-Velderrain J, Peng Z, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 2019: 570: 332–337.
- Krasemann S, Madore C, Cialic R, et al. The TREM2-APOE pathway drives the transcriptional phenotype of dysfunctional microglia in neurodegenerative diseases. *Immunity* 2017; 47: 566–581. e9.
- Simard AR, Soulet D, Gowing G, et al. Bone marrowderived microglia play a critical role in restricting senile plaque formation in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 2006; 49: 489–502.
- Meda L, Cassatella MA, Szendrei GI, et al. Activation of microglial cells by β-amyloid protein and interferon-γ. *Nature* 1995; 374: 647–650.
- Bolmont T, Haiss F, Eicke D, et al. Dynamics of the microglial/amyloid interaction indicate a role in plaque maintenance. *J Neurosci* 2008; 28: 4283–4292.
- Condello C, Yuan P, Schain A, et al. Microglia constitute a barrier that prevents neurotoxic protofibrillar Aβ42 hotspots around plaques. *Nat Commun* 2015; 6: 6176.
- Hickman SE, Allison EK and El Khoury J. Microglial dysfunction and defective β-amyloid clearance pathways in aging Alzheimer's disease mice. *J Neurosci* 2008; 28: 8354–8360.
- Wang S and Colonna M. Microglia in Alzheimer's disease: a target for immunotherapy. *J Leukoc Biol* 2019; 106: 219–227.
- Joshi P, Turola E, Ruiz A, et al. Microglia convert aggregated amyloid-β into neurotoxic forms through the shedding of microvesicles. *Cell Death Differ* 2014; 21: 582–593.
- 64. Colombo E and Farina C. Astrocytes: key regulators of neuroinflammation. *Trends Immunol* 2016; 37: 608–620.
- 65. Labzin LI, Heneka MT and Latz E. Innate immunity and neurodegeneration. *Annu Rev Med* 2018; 69: 437–449.
- Princiotta Cariddi L, Mauri M, Cosentino M, et al. Alzheimer's disease: from immune homeostasis to neuroinflammatory condition. *Int J Mol Sci* 2022; 23: 13008.
- 67. Wyss-Coray T, Loike JD, Brionne TC, et al. Adult mouse astrocytes degrade amyloid-β in vitro and in situ. *Nat Med* 2003; 9: 453–457.
- 68. Matsuoka Y, Picciano M, Malester B, et al. Inflammatory responses to amyloidosis in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Pathol* 2001; 158: 1345–1354.
- Nagele RG, D'Andrea MR, Lee H, et al. Astrocytes accumulate Aβ42 and give rise to astrocytic amyloid plaques in Alzheimer disease brains. *Brain Res* 2003; 971: 197–209.
- Yin K-J, Cirrito JR, Yan P, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases expressed by astrocytes mediate extracellular amyloid-β peptide catabolism. *J Neurosci* 2006; 26: 10939–10948.
- Lesné S, Docagne F, Gabriel C, et al. Transforming growth factor-β1 potentiates amyloid-β generation in astrocytes and in transgenic mice. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278: 18408–18418.
- Blasko I, Veerhuis R, Stampfer-Kountchev M, et al. Costimulatory effects of interferon-γ and interleukin-1β or

- tumor necrosis factor α on the synthesis of A β 1–40 and A β 1–42 by human astrocytes. *Neurobiol Dis* 2000; 7: 682–689.
- Zhao J, O'Connor T and Vassar R. The contribution of activated astrocytes to Aβ production: implications for Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. J Neuroinflammation 2011; 8: 150.
- Lian H, Yang L, Cole A, et al. NFκB-activated astroglial release of complement C3 compromises neuronal morphology and function associated with Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 2015; 85: 101–115.
- Söllvander S, Nikitidou E, Brolin R, et al. Accumulation of amyloid-β by astrocytes result in enlarged endosomes and microvesicle-induced apoptosis of neurons. *Mol Neurodegener* 2016; 11: 38.
- Daneman R and Prat A. The blood-brain barrier. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015; 7: a020412.
- Montagne A, Nation DA, Sagare AP, et al. APOE4 Leads to blood-brain barrier dysfunction predicting cognitive decline. *Nature* 2020; 581: 71–76.
- 78. Eriksson UK, Pedersen NL, Reynolds CA, et al. Associations of gene sequence variation and serum levels of C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 with Alzheimer's disease and dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2011; 23: 361–369.
- Ravaglia G, Forti P, Maioli F, et al. Blood inflammatory markers and risk of dementia: the conselice study of brain aging. *Neurobiol Aging* 2007; 28: 1810–1820.
- Lai KSP, Liu CS, Rau A, et al. Peripheral inflammatory markers in Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 175 studies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2017; 88: 876–882.
- Swardfager W, Lanctôt K, Rothenburg L, et al. A meta-analysis of cytokines in Alzheimer's disease. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 68: 930–941.
- 82. Koyama A, O'Brien J, Weuve J, et al. The role of peripheral inflammatory markers in dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2013; 68: 433–440.
- Darweesh SKL, Wolters FJ, Ikram MA, et al. Inflammatory markers and the risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis. *Alzheimers Dement* 2018; 14: 1450–1459.
- 84. Wu K-M, Zhang Y-R, Huang Y-Y, et al. The role of the immune system in Alzheimer's disease. *Ageing Res Rev* 2021; 70: 101409.
- 85. Tan ZS, Beiser AS, Vasan RS, et al. Inflammatory markers and the risk of Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2007; 68: 1902–1908.
- Engelhart MJ, Geerlings MI, Meijer J, et al. Inflammatory proteins in plasma and the risk of dementia. *Arch Neurol* 2004; 61: 668.
- 87. Schmidt R, Schmidt H, Curb JD, et al. Early inflammation and dementia: a 25-year follow-up of the Honolulu-Asia aging study. *Ann Neurol* 2002; 52: 168–174.
- 88. Walker KA, Gottesman RF, Wu A, et al. Systemic inflammation during midlife and cognitive change over 20 years: the ARIC study. *Neurology* 2019; 92: e1256–e1267.

- 89. Tao Q, Ang TFA, DeCarli C, et al. Association of chronic low-grade inflammation with risk of Alzheimer disease in ApoE4 carriers. *JAMA Netw Open* 2018; 1: e183597.
- 90. Morgan AR, Touchard S, Leckey C, et al. Inflammatory biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease plasma. *Alzheimers Dement* 2019; 15: 776–787.
- Galimberti D, Fenoglio C, Lovati C, et al. Serum MCP-1 levels are increased in mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2006; 27: 1763–1768
- 92. Saleem M, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, et al. Inflammatory markers in mild cognitive impairment: a meta-analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2015; 47: 669–679.
- 93. Leung R, Proitsi P, Simmons A, et al. Inflammatory proteins in plasma are associated with severity of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e64971.
- 94. Diniz BS, Teixeira AL, Ojopi EB, et al. Higher serum sTNFR1 level predicts conversion from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2011; 22: 1305–1311.
- Shen X-N, Niu L-D, Wang Y-J, et al. Inflammatory markers in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment: a meta-analysis and systematic review of 170 studies. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2019; 90: 590–598.
- Brosseron F, Krauthausen M, Kummer M, et al. Body fluid cytokine levels in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: a comparative overview. *Mol Neurobiol* 2014; 50: 534–544.
- 97. Motta M, Imbesi R, Di Rosa M, et al. Altered plasma cytokine levels in Alzheimer's disease: correlation with the disease progression. *Immunol Lett* 2007; 114: 46–51.
- Taylor JM, Moore Z, Minter MR, et al. Type-I interferon pathway in neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration: focus on Alzheimer's disease. *J Neural Transm* 2018; 125: 797–807.
- Gorlé N and Vandenbroucke RE. Interferons: a molecular switch between damage and repair in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. Mech Ageing Dev 2019; 183: 111148.
- 100. Yamamoto M, Kiyota T, Horiba M, et al. Interferon-γ and tumor necrosis factor-α regulate amyloid-β plaque deposition and β-secretase expression in Swedish mutant APP transgenic mice. Am J Pathol 2007; 170: 680–692.
- Sutinen EM, Pirttilä T, Anderson G, et al. Pro-inflammatory interleukin-18 increases Alzheimer's disease-associated amyloid-β production in human neuron-like cells. J Neuroinflammation 2012; 9: 199.
- 102. Ghosh S, Wu MD, Shaftel SS, et al. Sustained interleukin-1β overexpression exacerbates tau pathology despite reduced amyloid burden in an Alzheimer's mouse model. *J Neurosci* 2013; 33: 5053–5064.
- 103. de Souza DF, Leite MC, Quincozes-Santos A, et al. S100b secretion is stimulated by IL-1β in glial cultures and hippocampal slices of rats: likely involvement of MAPK pathway. *J Neuroimmunol* 2009: 206: 52–57.
- Mori T, Koyama N, Arendash GW, et al. Overexpression of human S100B exacerbates cerebral amyloidosis and gliosis

- in the Tg2576 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Glia* 2010; 58: 300–314.
- 105. Peskind ER, Griffin WST, Akama KT, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid S100B is elevated in the earlier stages of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurochem Int* 2001; 39: 409–413.
- 106. Chaves ML, Camozzato AL, Ferreira ED, et al. Serum levels of S100B and NSE proteins in Alzheimer's disease patients. *J Neuroinflammation* 2010; 7: 6.
- 107. Chapuis J, Hot D, Hansmannel F, et al. Transcriptomic and genetic studies identify IL-33 as a candidate gene for Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry* 2009; 14: 1004–1016.
- 108. Henry RJ, Ritzel RM, Barrett JP, et al. Microglial depletion with CSF1R inhibitor during chronic phase of experimental traumatic brain injury reduces neurodegeneration and neurological deficits. J Neurosci 2020; 40: 2960–2974.
- Sweeney MD, Sagare AP and Zlokovic BV. Blood-brain barrier breakdown in Alzheimer disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2018; 14: 133–150.
- 110. Kiyota T, Gendelman HE, Weir RA, et al. CCL2 Affects β-amyloidosis and progressive neurocognitive dysfunction in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2013; 34: 1060–1068.
- 111. Jay TR, Miller CM, Cheng PJ, et al. TREM2 Deficiency eliminates TREM2+ inflammatory macrophages and ameliorates pathology in Alzheimer's disease mouse models. J Exp Med 2015; 212: 287–295.
- 112. Thome AD, Faridar A, Beers DR, et al. Functional alterations of myeloid cells during the course of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* 2018; 13: 61.
- 113. Koronyo Y, Salumbides BC, Sheyn J, et al. Therapeutic effects of glatiramer acetate and grafted CD115 + monocytes in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 2015; 138: 2399–2422.
- 114. Saresella M, Marventano I, Calabrese E, et al. A complex proinflammatory role for peripheral monocytes in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2013; 38: 403–413.
- 115. Guo H, Zhao Z, Zhang R, et al. Monocytes in the peripheral clearance of amyloid-β and Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2019; 68: 1391–1400.
- Hochstrasser T, Weiss E, Marksteiner J, et al. Soluble cell adhesion molecules in monocytes of Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Exp Gerontol* 2010; 45: 70–74.
- 117. Wu C-Y, Bawa KK, Ouk M, et al. Neutrophil activation in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis of protein markers in blood and cerebrospinal fluid. Ageing Res Rev 2020; 62: 101130.
- Zenaro E, Pietronigro E, Della Bianca V, et al. Neutrophils promote Alzheimer's disease–like pathology and cognitive decline via LFA-1 integrin. *Nat Med* 2015; 21: 880–886.
- Siffrin V, Radbruch H, Glumm R, et al. In vivo imaging of partially reversible Th17 cell-induced neuronal dysfunction in the course of encephalomyelitis. *Immunity* 2010; 33: 424–436.

- 120. Park J, Baik SH, Mook-Jung I, et al. Mimicry of centralperipheral immunity in Alzheimer's disease and discovery of neurodegenerative roles in neutrophils. *Front Immunol* 2019; 10: 2231.
- 121. Prolo P, Chiappelli F, Angeli A, et al. Physiologic modulation of natural killer cell activity as an index of Alzheimer's disease progression. *Bioinformation* 2007; 1: 363–366.
- 122. Masera RG, Prolo P, Sartori ML, et al. Mental deterioration correlates with response of natural killer (NK) cell activity to physiological modifiers in patients with short history of Alzheimer's disease. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2002; 27: 447–461.
- 123. Martins LCA, Rocha NP, Torres KCL, et al. Disease-specific expression of the serotonin-receptor 5-HT2C in natural killer cells in Alzheimer's dementia. *J Neuroimmunol* 2012; 251: 73–79.
- 124. Jadidi-Niaragh F, Shegarfi H, Naddafi F, et al. The role of natural killer cells in Alzheimer's disease. *Scand J Immunol* 2012; 76: 451–456.
- Zhang Y, Fung ITH, Sankar P, et al. Depletion of NK cells improves cognitive function in the Alzheimer disease mouse model. *J Immunol* 2020; 205: 502–510.
- 126. Solana C, Tarazona R and Solana R. Immunosenescence of natural killer cells, inflammation, and Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Alzheimers Dis* 2018; 2018: 3128758.
- 127. Rogers J, Luber-Narod J, Styren SD, et al. Expression of immune system-associated antigens by cells of the human central nervous system: relationship to the pathology of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 1988; 9: 339–349.
- 128. Cugurra A, Mamuladze T, Rustenhoven J, et al. Skull and vertebral bone marrow are myeloid cell reservoirs for the meninges and CNS parenchyma. *Science* 2021; 373: eabf7844.
- 129. Merlini M, Kirabali T, Kulic L, et al. Extravascular CD3+ T cells in brains of Alzheimer disease patients correlate with tau but not with amyloid pathology: an immunohistochemical study. *Neurodegener Dis* 2018; 18: 49–56.
- Ciccarelli O, Barkhof F, Bodini B, et al. Pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis: insights from molecular and metabolic imaging. *Lancet Neurol* 2014; 13: 807–822.
- 131. Sakaguchi S, Yamaguchi T, Nomura T, et al. Regulatory T cells and immune tolerance. *Cell* 2008; 133: 775–787.
- 132. Beers DR, Henkel JS, Zhao W, et al. Endogenous regulatory T lymphocytes ameliorate amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in mice and correlate with disease progression in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain* 2011; 134: 1293–1314.
- 133. Haddad-Tóvolli R, Dragano NRV, Ramalho AFS, et al. Development and function of the blood-brain barrier in the context of metabolic control. *Front Neurosci* 2017; 11: 224.
- Wojcieszak J, Kuczyńska K and Zawilska JB. Role of chemokines in the development and progression of Alzheimer's disease. *J Mol Neurosci* 2022; 72: 1929–1951.

- 135. Karaahmet B, Le L, Mendes MS, et al. Repopulated microglia induce expression of Cxcl13 with differential changes in tau phosphorylation but do not impact amyloid pathology. *J Neuroinflammation* 2022; 19: 173.
- 136. Reynolds AD, Stone DK, Hutter JAL, et al. Regulatory T cells attenuate Th17 cell-mediated nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurodegeneration in a model of Parkinson's disease. *J Immunol* 2010; 184: 2261–2271.
- 137. Baruch K, Rosenzweig N, Kertser A, et al. Breaking immune tolerance by targeting Foxp3+ regulatory T cells mitigates Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Nat Commun* 2015; 6: 7967.
- 138. Itzhaki RF. Herpes simplex virus type 1 and Alzheimer's disease: increasing evidence for a major role of the virus. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2014; 6: 202.
- Ciccocioppo F, Lanuti P, Pierdomenico L, et al. The characterization of regulatory T-cell profiles in Alzheimer's disease and multiple sclerosis. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 8788.
- D'Andrea MR. Evidence linking neuronal cell death to autoimmunity in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Res* 2003; 982: 19–30.
- 141. Hao S, Wang R, Zhang Y, et al. Prediction of Alzheimer's disease-associated genes by integration of GWAS summary data and expression data. *Front Genet* 2019; 9: 653.
- 142. Späni C, Suter T, Derungs R, et al. Reduced β-amyloid pathology in an APP transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease lacking functional B and T cells. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 2015; 3: 71.
- 143. Clark R and Kupper T. Old meets new: the interaction between innate and adaptive immunity. *J Invest Dermatol* 2005; 125: 629–637.
- 144. Marsh SE, Abud EM, Lakatos A, et al. The adaptive immune system restrains Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis by modulating microglial function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016; 113: 15254–15261.
- 145. Eibel H, Kraus H, Sic H, et al. B cell biology: an overview. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2014; 14: 434.
- LeBien TW and Tedder TF. B lymphocytes: how they develop and function. *Blood* 2008; 112: 1570–1580.
- 147. Li R, Rezk A, Li H, et al. Antibody-independent function of human B cells contributes to antifungal T cell responses. *J Immunol* 2017; 198: 3245–3254.
- 148. Mizoguchi A, Mizoguchi E, Takedatsu H, et al. Chronic intestinal inflammatory condition generates IL-10producing regulatory B cell subset characterized by CD1d upregulation. *Immunity* 2002; 16: 219–230.
- FW ALT, Blackwell TK, Depinho RA, et al. Regulation of genome rearrangement events during lymphocyte differentiation. *Immunol Rev* 1986; 89: 5–30.
- 150. Goodnow CC, Vinuesa CG, Randall KL, et al. Control systems and decision making for antibody production. *Nat Immunol* 2010; 11: 681–688.
- Hardy RR, Carmack CE, Shinton SA, et al. Resolution and characterization of pro-B and pre-pro-B cell stages in normal mouse bone marrow. *J Exp Med* 1991; 173: 1213–1225.

- Melchers F. The pre-B-cell receptor: selector of fitting immunoglobulin heavy chains for the B-cell repertoire. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2005; 5: 578–584.
- 153. Gauthier L, Rossi B, Roux F, et al. Galectin-1 is a stromal cell ligand of the pre-B cell receptor (BCR) implicated in synapse formation between pre-B and stromal cells and in pre-BCR triggering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002; 99: 13014–13019.
- 154. Bradl H, Wittmann J, Milius D, et al. Interaction of murine precursor B cell receptor with stroma cells is controlled by the unique tail of $\lambda 5$ and stroma cell-associated heparan sulfate. *J Immunol* 2003; 171: 2338–2348.
- 155. Bankovich AJ, Raunser S, Juo ZS, et al. Structural insight into pre-B cell receptor function. *Science* 2007; 316: 291–294.
- Mårtensson I-L, Almqvist N, Grimsholm O, et al. The pre-B cell receptor checkpoint. FEBS Lett 2010; 584: 2572–2579.
- Monroe JG. ITAM-mediated tonic signalling through pre-BCR and BCR complexes. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2006; 6: 283–294.
- 158. Edry E and Melamed D. Receptor editing in positive and negative selection of B lymphopoiesis. *J Immunol* 2004; 173: 4265–4271.
- Chung JB, Silverman M and Monroe JG. Transitional B cells: step by step towards immune competence. *Trends Immunol* 2003; 24: 342–348.
- Rolink AG, Schaniel C, Andersson J, et al. Selection events operating at various stages in B cell development. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2001; 13: 202–207.
- Nemazee D. Mechanisms of central tolerance for B cells. Nat Rev Immunol 2017; 17: 281–294.
- 162. Goodnow CC, Crosbie J, Adelstein S, et al. Altered immunoglobulin expression and functional silencing of selfreactive B lymphocytes in transgenic mice. *Nature* 1988; 334: 676–682.
- Nossal GJ and Pike BL. Evidence for the clonal abortion theory of B-lymphocyte tolerance. *J Exp Med* 1975; 141: 904–917.
- 164. Fulcher DA and Basten A. Reduced life span of anergic self-reactive B cells in a double-transgenic model. *J Exp Med* 1994; 179: 125–134.
- 165. Roark J. Persistence of functionally compromised anti-double-stranded DNA B cells in the periphery of nonautoimmune mice. *Int Immunol* 1997; 9: 1615–1626.
- Tada S, Yasui T, Nakatsuji Y, et al. BAFF Controls neural cell survival through BAFF receptor. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e70924.
- 167. Nemazee D and Weigert M. Revising B cell receptors. *J Exp Med* 2000; 191: 1813–1818.
- 168. Tiegs SL, Russell DM and Nemazee D. Receptor editing in self-reactive bone marrow B cells. *J Exp Med* 1993; 177: 1009–1020.
- 169. Yan H, Wang W, Cui T, et al. Advances in the understanding of the correlation between neuroinflammation and microglia in Alzheimer's disease. *Immunotargets Ther* 2024; 13: 287–304.

- 170. Si Z-Z, Zou C-J, Mei X, et al. Targeting neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: from mechanisms to clinical applications. *Neural Regen Res* 2023; 18: 708.
- Retter MW and Nemazee D. Receptor editing occurs frequently during normal B cell development. *J Exp Med* 1998; 188: 1231–1238.
- 172. Loder BF, Mutschler B, Ray RJ, et al. B cell development in the spleen takes place in discrete steps and is determined by the quality of B cell receptor–derived signals. *J Exp Med* 1999; 190: 75–90.
- 173. Thaler FS, Thaller AL, Biljecki M, et al. Abundant glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD)-reactive B cells in gad-antibody—associated neurological disorders. *Ann Neurol* 2019; 85: 448–454.
- 174. Odendahl M, Mei H, Hoyer BF, et al. Generation of migratory antigen-specific plasma blasts and mobilization of resident plasma cells in a secondary immune response. *Blood* 2005; 105: 1614–1621.
- 175. Hayakawa K, Hardy RR, Parks DR, et al. The 'Ly-1 B' cell subpopulation in normal immunodefective, and auto-immune mice. *J Exp Med* 1983; 157: 202–218.
- 176. Rothstein TL, Griffin DO, Holodick NE, et al. Human B-1 cells take the stage. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2013; 1285: 97–114.
- 177. Dorshkind K and Montecino-Rodriguez E. Fetal B-cell lymphopoiesis and the emergence of B-1-cell potential. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2007; 7: 213–219.
- 178. Haas KM, Poe JC, Steeber DA, et al. B-1a and B-1b cells exhibit distinct developmental requirements and have unique functional roles in innate and adaptive immunity to S. pneumoniae. *Immunity* 2005; 23: 7–18.
- 179. Lechner M, Engleitner T, Babushku T, et al. Notch2-mediated plasticity between marginal zone and follicular B cells. *Nat Commun* 2021; 12: 1111.
- 180. Cariappa A, Tang M, Parng C, et al. The follicular versus marginal zone B lymphocyte cell fate decision is regulated by Aiolos, Btk, and CD21. *Immunity* 2001; 14: 603–615.
- 181. Doyon-Laliberté K, Aranguren M, Poudrier J, et al. Marginal zone B-cell populations and their regulatory potential in the context of HIV and other chronic inflammatory conditions. *Int J Mol Sci* 2022; 23: 3372.
- 182. Allman D and Pillai S. Peripheral B cell subsets. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2008; 20: 149–157.
- 183. Cinamon G, Zachariah MA, Lam OM, et al. Follicular shuttling of marginal zone B cells facilitates antigen transport. *Nat Immunol* 2008; 9: 54–62.
- 184. O'Connor BP, Raman VS, Erickson LD, et al. BCMA Is essential for the survival of long-lived bone marrow plasma cells. *J Exp Med* 2004; 199: 91–98.
- 185. McHeyzer-Williams LJ and McHeyzer-Williams MG. Antigen-specific memory B cell development. Annu Rev Immunol 2005; 23: 487–513.
- Sabatino JJ, Pröbstel A-K and Zamvil SS. B cells in autoimmune and neurodegenerative central nervous system diseases. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2019; 20: 728–745.

- Lanzavecchia A. Antigen-specific interaction between T and B cells. *Nature* 1985; 314: 537–539.
- 188. Weber MS, Prod'homme T, Patarroyo JC, et al. B-cell activation influences T-cell polarization and outcome of anti-CD20 B-cell depletion in central nervous system auto-immunity. *Ann Neurol* 2010; 68: 369–383.
- 189. Constant SL, Schweitzer N, Westcott JY, et al. B lymphocytes can be competent antigen-presenting cells for priming CD4+ T cells to protein antigens in vivo. *J Immunol* 1995; 155: 3734–3741.
- Harris DP, Haynes L, Sayles PC, et al. Reciprocal regulation of polarized cytokine production by effector B and T cells. *Nat Immunol* 2000; 1: 475–482.
- 191. Barr TA, Brown S, Mastroeni P, et al. TLR and B cell receptor signals to B cells differentially program primary and memory Th1 responses to Salmonella enterica. *J Immunol* 2010; 185: 2783–2789.
- Shen P, Roch T, Lampropoulou V, et al. IL-35-producing B cells are critical regulators of immunity during autoimmune and infectious diseases. *Nature* 2014; 507: 366–370.
- 193. Fillatreau S, Sweenie CH, McGeachy MJ, et al. B cells regulate autoimmunity by provision of IL-10. *Nat Immunol* 2002; 3: 944–950.
- 194. Randall TD, Carragher DM and Rangel-Moreno J. Development of secondary lymphoid organs. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2008; 26: 627–650.
- 195. Xiao X, Putatunda R, Zhang Y, et al. Lymphotoxin β receptor-mediated NFκB signaling promotes glial lineage differentiation and inhibits neuronal lineage differentiation in mouse brain neural stem/progenitor cells. *J Neuroinflammation* 2018; 15: 49.
- 196. Ounanian A, Seigneurin J-M, Guilbert B, et al. Antibodies to viral antigens, xenoantigens, and autoantigens in Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Lab Anal* 1990; 4: 367–375.
- 197. Lopez OL, Rabin BS and Huff FJ. Serum auto-antibodies in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1991; 84: 441–444.
- 198. Qu B-X, Gong Y, Moore C, et al. Beta-amyloid autoantibodies are reduced in Alzheimer's disease. J Neuroimmunol 2014; 274: 168–173.
- 199. Wilcock DM, DiCarlo G, Henderson D, et al. Intracranially administered anti-Aβ antibodies reduce β-amyloid deposition by mechanisms both independent of and associated with microglial activation. J Neurosci 2003; 23: 3745–3751.
- 200. Singh VK and Fudenberg HH. Increase of immunoglobulin G₃ subclass is related to brain autoantibody in Alzheimer's disease but not in Down's syndrome. *Autoimmunity* 1989; 3: 95–101.
- Singh VK. Neuroautoimmunity: pathogenic implications for Alzheimer's disease. *Gerontology* 1997; 43: 79–94.
- 202. Faigon M, Hadas E, Alroy G, et al. Monoclonal antibodies to the heavy neurofilament subunit (NF-H) of torpedo cholinergic neurons. *J Neurosci Res* 1991; 29: 490–498.
- Relkin N. Clinical trials of intravenous immunoglobulin for Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Immunol* 2014; 34: 74–79.

- 204. Sudduth TL, Greenstein A and Wilcock DM. Intracranial injection of gammagard, a human IVIg, modulates the inflammatory response of the brain and lowers Aβ in APP/PS1 mice along a different time course than anti-Aβ antibodies. J Neurosci 2013; 33: 9684–9692.
- Kim K, Wang X, Ragonnaud E, et al. Therapeutic B-cell depletion reverses progression of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Commun* 2021; 12: 2185.
- 206. Park J-C, Noh J, Jang S, et al. Association of B cell profile and receptor repertoire with the progression of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell Rep* 2022; 40: 111391.
- Xiong LL, Xue LL, Du RL, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals B cell-related molecular biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. *Exp Mol Med* 2021; 53: 1888–1901.
- Vaz M and Silvestre S. Alzheimer's disease: recent treatment strategies. Eur J Pharmacol 2020; 887: 173554.
- 209. Foroutan N, Hopkins RB, Tarride J-E, et al. Safety and efficacy of active and passive immunotherapy in mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Clin Invest Med* 2019; 42: E53–E65.
- 210. Novak G, Streffer JR, Timmers M, et al. Long-term safety and tolerability of atabecestat (JNJ-54861911), an oral BACE1 inhibitor, in early Alzheimer's disease spectrum patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study and a two-period extension study. Alzheimers Res Ther 2020; 12: 58.
- 211. Rafii MS, Skotko BG, McDonough ME, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase II study of oral ELND005 (scyllo-Inositol) in young adults with Down syndrome without dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2017; 58: 401–411.
- Doody RS, Thomas RG, Farlow M, et al. Phase 3 trials of solanezumab for mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease. N Eng J Med 2014; 370: 311–321.
- Coric V, Salloway S, van Dyck CH, et al. Targeting prodromal Alzheimer disease with avagacestat. *JAMA Neurol* 2015; 72: 1324.
- 214. Holmes C, Boche D, Wilkinson D, et al. Long-term effects of Aβ42 immunisation in Alzheimer's disease: follow-up of a randomised, placebo-controlled phase I trial. *Lancet* 2008; 372: 216–223.
- 215. Schenk D, Barbour R, Dunn W, et al. Immunization with amyloid-β attenuates Alzheimer-disease-like pathology in the PDAPP mouse. *Nature* 1999; 400: 173–177.
- 216. Gilman S, Koller M, Black RS, et al. Clinical effects of Aβ immunization (AN1792) in patients with AD in an interrupted trial. *Neurology* 2005; 64: 1553–1562.
- Nicoll JAR, Buckland GR, Harrison CH, et al. Persistent neuropathological effects 14 years following amyloid-β immunization in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 2019; 142: 2113–2126.
- 218. Winblad B, Andreasen N, Minthon L, et al. Safety, tolerability, and antibody response of active $A\beta$ immunotherapy with CAD106 in patients with Alzheimer's disease:

- randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, first-in-human study. *Lancet Neurol* 2012; 11: 597–604.
- 219. Vandenberghe R, Riviere M, Caputo A, et al. Active Aβ immunotherapy CAD106 in Alzheimer's disease: a phase 2b study. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)* 2017; 3: 10–22.
- 220. Wang CY, Wang P, Chiu M, et al. UB-311, a novel UBITh ® amyloid β peptide vaccine for mild Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)* 2017; 3: 262–272.
- 221. Lacosta A-M, Pascual-Lucas M, Pesini P, et al. Safety, tolerability and immunogenicity of an active anti-Aβ40 vaccine (ABvac40) in patients with Alzheimer's disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase I trial. Alzheimers Res Ther 2018; 10: 12.
- 222. Deane R, Sagare A, Hamm K, et al. IgG-assisted age-dependent clearance of Alzheimer's amyloid β peptide by the blood–brain barrier neonatal Fc receptor. *J Neurosci* 2005; 25: 11495–11503.
- 223. Wang A, Das P, Switzer RC, et al. Robust amyloid clearance in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease provides novel insights into the mechanism of amyloid-β immunotherapy. J Neurosci 2011; 31: 4124–4136.
- 224. Bard F, Cannon C, Barbour R, et al. Peripherally administered antibodies against amyloid β-peptide enter the central nervous system and reduce pathology in a mouse model of Alzheimer disease. *Nat Med* 2000; 6: 916–919.
- 225. Budd Haeberlein S, Aisen PS, Barkhof F, et al. Two randomized phase 3 studies of aducanumab in early Alzheimer's disease. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis* 2022; 9: 197–210.
- 226. Alexander GC, Emerson S and Kesselheim AS. Evaluation of Aducanumab for Alzheimer disease. *JAMA* 2021; 325: 1717.
- Vandenberghe R, Rinne JO, Boada M, et al. Bapineuzumab for mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease in two global, randomized, phase 3 trials. Alzheimers Res Ther 2016; 8: 18.
- 228. Salloway S, Marshall GA, Lu M, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of bapineuzumab in patients with mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease: a phase 2, open-label extension study. *Curr Alzheimer Res* 2018; 15: 1231–1243.
- Sperling RA, Jack CR, Black SE, et al. Amyloid-related imaging abnormalities in amyloid-modifying therapeutic trials: recommendations from the Alzheimer's association research roundtable workgroup. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011; 7: 367–385.
- 230. Cummings JL, Cohen S, van Dyck CH, et al. ABBY: a phase 2 randomized trial of crenezumab in mild to moderate Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2018; 90: e1889–e1897.
- 231. Ostrowitzki S, Lasser RA, Dorflinger E, et al. A phase III randomized trial of gantenerumab in prodromal Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2017; 9: 95.
- 232. Logovinsky V, Satlin A, Lai R, et al. Safety and tolerability of BAN2401 – A clinical study in Alzheimer's disease with a protofibril selective aβ antibody. Alzheimers Res Ther 2016: 8: 14.
- Swanson CJ, Zhang Y, Dhadda S, et al. A randomized, double-blind, phase 2b proof-of-concept clinical trial in

- early Alzheimer's disease with lecanemab, an anti-A β protofibril antibody. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2021; 13: 80.
- 234. van Dyck CH, Swanson CJ, Aisen P, et al. Lecanemab in early Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2023; 388: 9–21.
- 235. Ait-Bouziad N, Chiki A, Limorenko G, et al. Phosphorylation of the overlooked tyrosine 310 regulates the structure, aggregation, and microtubule- and lipid-binding properties of tau. *J Biol Chem* 2020; 295: 7905–7922.
- 236. Hawkes CA, Carare RO and Weller RO. Amyloid and tau in the brain in sporadic Alzheimer's disease: defining the chicken and the egg. Acta Neuropathol 2014; 127: 617–618.
- Lauretti E, Dincer O and Praticò D. Glycogen synthase kinase-3 signaling in Alzheimer's disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res* 2020; 1867: 118664.
- Serenó L, Coma M, Rodríguez M, et al. A novel GSK-3β inhibitor reduces Alzheimer's pathology and rescues neuronal loss in vivo. *Neurobiol Dis* 2009; 35: 359–367.
- 239. Forlenza OV, Diniz BS, Radanovic M, et al. Disease-modifying properties of long-term lithium treatment for amnestic mild cognitive impairment: randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry* 2011; 198: 351–356.
- Nunes MA, Viel TA and Buck HS. Microdose lithium treatment stabilized cognitive impairment in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Curr Alzheimer Res 2013; 10: 104–107.
- 241. Gauthier S, Feldman HH, Schneider LS, et al. Efficacy and safety of tau-aggregation inhibitor therapy in patients with mild or moderate Alzheimer's disease: a randomised, controlled, double-blind, parallel-arm, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2016; 388: 2873–2884.
- 242. Wilcock GK, Gauthier S, Frisoni GB, et al. Potential of low dose leuco-methylthioninium bis(hydromethanesulphonate) (LMTM) monotherapy for treatment of mild Alzheimer's disease: cohort analysis as modified primary outcome in a phase III clinical trial. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2017; 61: 435–457.
- 243. Bittar A, Bhatt N and Kayed R. Advances and considerations in AD tau-targeted immunotherapy. *Neurobiol Dis* 2020; 134: 104707.

- 244. Novak P, Kovacech B, Katina S, et al. ADAMANT: a placebo-controlled randomized phase 2 study of AADvac1, an active immunotherapy against pathological tau in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Aging* 2021; 1: 521–534.
- 245. Ayalon G, Lee S-H, Adolfsson O, et al. Antibody semorinemab reduces tau pathology in a transgenic mouse model and engages tau in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Transl Med* 2021; 13: eabb2639.
- 246. Fosnacht AM, Patel S, Yucus C, et al. From brain disease to brain health: primary prevention of Alzheimer's disease and related disorders in a health system using an electronic medical record-based approach. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis* 2017; 4: 157–164.
- 247. Gaskin F, Finley J, Fang Q, et al. Human antibodies reactive with beta-amyloid protein in Alzheimer's disease. *J Exp Med* 1993; 177: 1181–1186.
- 248. Nath A, Hall E, Tuzova M, et al. Autoantibodies to amyloid β -peptide (A β) are increased in Alzheimer's disease patients and A β antibodies can enhance A β neurotoxicity: implications for disease pathogenesis and vaccine development. Neuromolecular Med 2003; 3: 29–40.
- 249. Du Y, Dodel R, Hampel H, et al. Reduced levels of amyloid β-peptide antibody in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2001; 57: 801–805.
- 250. Relkin NR, Thomas RG, Rissman RA, et al. A phase 3 trial of IV immunoglobulin for Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2017; 88: 1768–1775.
- 251. Novak P, Schmidt R, Kontsekova E, et al. FUNDAMANT: an interventional 72-week phase 1 follow-up study of AADvac1, an active immunotherapy against tau protein pathology in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res Ther 2018; 10: 108.
- 252. West T, Hu Y, Verghese PB, et al. Preclinical and clinical development of ABBV-8E12, a humanized anti-tau anti-body, for treatment of Alzheimer's disease and other tauopathies. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis* 2017; 4: 236–241.