

Citation: Guitart M, Casals M, Casamichana D, Cortés J, Valle FX, McCall A, et al. (2022) Use of GPS to measure external load and estimate the incidence of muscle injuries in men's football: A novel descriptive study. PLoS ONE 17(2): e0263494. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0263494

Editor: Fabrizio Perroni, eCampus University, ITALY

Received: July 22, 2020

Accepted: January 20, 2022

Published: February 4, 2022

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494

Copyright: © 2022 Guitart et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data are owned by a Spanish sports club that wants to remain anonymous and did not grant permission to make RESEARCH ARTICLE

Use of GPS to measure external load and estimate the incidence of muscle injuries in men's football: A novel descriptive study

Marc Guitart¹, Martí Casals^{1,2,3}*, David Casamichana⁴, Jordi Cortés⁵, Francesc Xavier Valle¹, Alan McCall⁶, Francesc Cos³, Gil Rodas^{1,7}

 Performance and Medical Department, FC Barcelona, Sant Joan Despí, Barcelona, Spain, 2 Sport and Physical Activity Studies Centre (CEEAF), Faculty of Medicine, University of Vic—Central University of Catalonia (UVic-UCC), Catalonia, Spain, 3 National Institute of Physical Education of Catalonia (INEFC), University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 4 Real Sociedad de Fútbol, Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain, 5 Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya/BarcelonaTech, Barcelona, Spain, 6 School of Applied Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 7 Sports Medicine Department, Clinic/Sant Joan de Deu Hospital, Barcelona, Spain

* marticasals@gmail.com

Abstract

Measurement of external load in players provides objective information to optimise the weekly balance between training and recovery to improve performance and prevent injuries. Our aim was to evaluate the incidence of sports-related muscle injuries of the lower limb in relation to external load, measured by global positioning system (GPS), in football players. A descriptive study was carried out. Data were collected from 71 professional male football players (30 professionals and 41 youth players) from an elite football club competing in the Spanish and European League in the 2017–2018 season. As external load variables, we measured High Metabolic Load Distance (HMLD), High Speed Running (HSR), Player Load (PL), and Total Distance (TD) through GPS. Injury rate (IR) was calculated both in relation to such GPS load metrics and to load exposure time. We considered categories (youth and professional), playing positions (centre back, full back, midfielder, and forward), and training day with respect to match-day (-4MD, -3MD, -2MD, -1MD, MD, +1MD, +2MD). The GPS load metrics HMLD, HSR, PL, and TD showed very similar patterns across categories and positions, but varied according to training session or MD. The highest loads were observed on MD and three days prior to the match (-3MD). Similarly, the overall IR, both calculated per load exposure time and per GPS load metrics, was highest on MD and -3MD. Again, no differences were observed between youth and professional players. Midfielders demonstrated the highest IR in all metrics, followed by the forwards. In conclusion, this study suggests that external load and incidence of muscle injuries are directly proportional. Therefore, the measurement of more external load variables other than load exposure time, such as the GPS metrics HMLD, HSR, PL, and TD may help to describe the pattern and magnitude of injuries. Future studies based on ours may help to further improve the understanding of the incidence of muscle injuries on the basis of external loads measurements in different football teams.

the original data publicly available. The club has the right to choose which information, results and data can be made public and has granted the access to these data to the authors only for research aims. For data requests please contact the Data Protection Officer of FC BARCELONA through the email address dpo@fcbarcelona.cat.

Funding: JC and MC acknowledge the financial support from Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación y Ministerio de Universidades (Ref: PID2019-104830RB-I00 / AEI DOI: <u>10.13039/</u>501100011033).

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Muscle injuries represent the most common and highest injury burden among professional and youth male footballers [1]. Training sessions aligned with game demands might increase the risk of such injuries. Consequently, strength and conditioning coaches and medical teams must focus on designing adequate training programmes to balance the risk of injury with the benefits of preparing players optimally.

Studies on football injuries typically report injury rates (IR) as the number of injuries per 1000 player-hours [2, 3] either among professional players [4, 5], or youth footballers [6, 7]. However, it may be more important to understand the injury incidence considering other load variables rather than just 'time'. Indeed, measures of IR based on load exposure time may be hard to interpret because they are highly dependent on the sport and context. On the contrary, other load variables, such as the Global Positioning System (GPS) metrics high metabolic load distance (HMLD), high-speed running (HSR), player load (PL), and total distance (TD) may better describe injury incidence.

Football teams commonly take advantage of GPS data to take decisions on drills within sessions [8, 9]. However, to date, we lack reliable data on IR according to GPS metrics during a certain period of time in order to describe the real pattern of the load and the rates of injuries in each team [10]. Descriptive analysis helps to understand potentially relevant problems and aims to generate new hypotheses or ideas for subsequent studies. Moreover, few studies provide information on youth and senior players training and playing with the same methodology.

The aim of our study was to reliably evaluate the incidence of sports-related muscle injuries of the lower limb both according to the exposure time and to the GPS metrics HMLD, HSR, PL, and TD, considering category, position, and day with respect to the match, during a typical season. To our knowledge, it is the first time that such analysis is performed in elite footballers.

Methodology

Study design, setting, and participants

A descriptive study was carried out in 71 players from an elite football club competing in the Spanish and European Champions League during the 2017–2018 season.

Forty-one players were from youth categories: 22 from the under 18 (U-18) team (mean age \pm SD = 16.01 \pm 0.71 years, weight = 67.1 \pm 8.72 kg, and height = 176.3 \pm 8.11 cm); and 19 from the U-19 team (mean age \pm SD = 17.02 \pm 0.70 years, weight = 69.1 \pm 7.34 kg, and height = 177.7 \pm 8.11 cm). Thirty players were from the professional senior category FC Barcelona B, that plays in the 2nd Spanish division (mean age \pm SD = 20.5 \pm 5.45 years, weight = 74.0 \pm 5.45 kg, height = 179.9 \pm 7.64 cm).

Description of FCB structured microcycle training model during season

Data were collected from the U-18 team (45 weeks and 206 sessions of training, and 54 official matches); the U-19 team (44 weeks and 203 sessions of training, and 55 official matches, of which 45 at the national level and 10 at the international level); and the professional male team (46 weeks and 222 sessions of training, and 45 official matches). International duty matches and training were excluded. We analysed all training weeks, regardless of their structure or the number of days between matches. GPS load metrics were analysed with respect to the number of days before (-MD) or after (+MD) a match, respectively for loading and recovery sessions. The + 1MD was the session held the day after match day, in which the players were divided into two groups: those who had played for >60 minutes during the match (they performed recovery tasks i.e., rondo, mobility, or low intensity runs); and those who had played for <60

minutes or had not participated (they performed compensatory load tasks, i.e., strength circuits, reduced matches, or high intensity runs). These two groups were combined for the analysis. Specific targets for each training day can be found in <u>S1 Appendix</u>. The external loads of all preseason training sessions and preseason friendly games were categorized as preseason.

Collection and analysis of GPS load metrics

GPS data were collected using the WIMU PROTM device (RealtrackSystems S.L., Almeria, Spain). Intra- and inter-unit reliability was acceptable (intra-class correlation coefficient value was 0.65 for the x-coordinate, and 0.85 for the y-coordinate) for the systems analysed [11]. The data collected were analysed using the SPROTM Software (version 927; RealtrackSystems, Almeria Spain), which exports the data in RAW format.

Definition of the external load variables

The external load for training and match has been studied considering the following volume variables: total time (TT; min); high metabolic load distance (HMLD, m->25.5W·kg⁻¹-distance covered above 21 km·h⁻¹, which is the threshold defined for this study); high speed running (HSR; m speeds above 21 km·h⁻¹); player load (PL, arbitrary units); total distance (TD; mt.). Intensity variables of external load were also calculated: HMLD·min; HSR·min; PL·min; and TD·min. Averages for all variables (volume and intensity) were calculated as the mean for all sessions [12]. They were then stratified for each category (youth/professional); playing position (centre-back, full-back, midfielder, forward); and training/match day (-4MD, -3MD, -2MD, -1MD, MD, +1MD, +2MD, Preseason). Variables are defined in more detail in Table 1 [13, 14].

Muscle injury recording

This study followed consensus guidelines on the definitions and data collection procedures for football injury studies described by UEFA [15]. Injuries had to occur during training or match. If they caused the player to be absent from at least the following training session or match, they were classified as time-loss (TL) injuries. On the contrary, no time-loss (NTL) injuries were defined as injuries requiring medical assessment (MA) but not causing

VARIABLE	DEFINITION	INJURY INCIDENC	E
Total time (TT)	Total Time (hours) from the start to the end, pauses included.	$IR_{TT} = N/TT (10^{-3}h)$	Injury rate with respect to time
Total Distance (TD)	Total distance covered (m): this includes walking, jogging, HSR, and sprinting.	$\frac{IR_{TD} = N/TD}{(10^{-7}m)}$	GPS -
High Metabolic Load Distance (HMLD)	Distance covered (m) by a player when his Metabolic Power is above 25.5W/kg (corresponding to running at a constant speed of 5.5m/s, or to significant acceleration and deceleration activities).	$IR_{HMLD} = N/HMLD$ (10 ⁻⁵ m)	ect to exte
High-speed running distance (HSR)	Total distance covered (m) at a speed of >21km/h.	$IR_{HSR} = N/HSR$ (10 ⁻⁵ m)	h respe
Player Load (PL)*	Load Index (arbitrary units; AU) computed using the following formulas: $PL_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{(X_{n}-X_{n-1})^{2}+(Y_{n}-Y_{n-1})^{2}+(Z_{n}-1)^{2}}{100}}$ $PLaccumulated = \sum_{n=0}^{m} PL_{n}x0.01$ n is the order index over time; t _n is the present time; PL _n is the Player Load calculated at t _n (Instant Player Load); X _n , Y _n , and Z _n are the values of BodyX, BodyY and BodyZ at t _n .	$\frac{IR_{PL} = N/PL}{(10^{-5} AU)}$	Injury rate wit load variables n

Notes: $IR_{TT} = Injury Rate per total time$; $IR_{TD} = Injury Rate per Distance$; $IR_{HMLD} = Injury Rate per HMLD$; $IR_{HSR} = Injury Rate per HSR$; $IR_{PL} = Injury Rate per PL$; N = number of injuries.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.t001

withdrawal from training or matches. Injuries were classified using the OSICS_10 coding system (Orchard Sports Injury Classification System), and muscle injuries were indicated by the code (code OSICS -M--). In this study, we specifically analysed muscle injuries to the lower limb. All the diagnoses were made by the same team doctor. A recurring injury was defined as an injury of the same type occurring at the same anatomical location as a previous lesion within two months after return to play [6, 7]. To calculate injury severity [16], we based on a UEFA proposal [15, 17, 18] from the first week of the preseason. Severity was determined according to the number of days from injury occurrence until the end of medical leave, ranging from mild (1–7 days), to moderate (8–28 days), and severe (>28 days).

Statistical analysis

Absolute (n) and relative (%) frequencies for categorical variables, and measures of central tendency and dispersion for continuous variables were calculated.

Average of external load variables (volume and intensity) were calculated as the mean over all season. Global and stratified averages by category (youth/professional), position (centre back, full back, midfielder, forward), and matchday (-4MD, -3MD, -2MD, -1MD, MD, +1MD, +2MD, Preseason) are presented. The Box plots shown contain markers for the median of the data and a box indicating the interquartile range (IQR).

To calculate the injury incidence, we computed the load variables and subdivided the exposure into game exposure (GE) and training exposure (TE). These data were recorded by a member of the technical staff. We calculated IR_{TT} as the number of injuries per 1000 hours (10³h) of player-hours of exposure; IR_{HMLD} as the number of injuries per 100,000 playermeters (10⁵m) of HMLD; IR_{HSR} as the number of injuries per 10⁵ player-meters (10⁵m) of HSR; IR_{PL} as the number of injuries per 10⁵ arbitrary units (10⁵AU) of PL; and IR_{TD} as the number of injuries per 10⁷ player-meters (10⁷m) of TD. All incidences were also computed separately for TL and NTL injuries, and according to the different levels of severity.

All analyses were performed using the R statistical package (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing), version 3.6.0, specifically the *data.table* and *epitools* (https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/epitools/index.html) packages.

Ethics approval

Players provided written informed consent to participate. We excluded players who had not started the competitive period of the season with their teams. The study protocol was approved by the FCB Medical Committee and the local research ethics committee on Science and Ethics of the Barça Innovation Hub (Football Club Barcelona; n° 2019FCB28). This study conformed to the recommendations of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

External load

The total analysis included 631 training sessions and 154 official matches, for a total of 12,340 hours of training and 2,077 hours of match play.

Fig 1 shows GPS load metrics measurements: HMDL (m), HSR (m), PL (AU), and TD (m) for each category, position, and training/match day.

The global median (IQR) of HMLD, HSR, PL, and TD were 641.86m (408.47–975.60), 122.53m (49.55–270.56), 58.80AU (41.10–80.50), and 4,328m (3,174–5,923), respectively.

All four parameters showed very similar distributions according to position and category, and they varied according to training/match day, with the highest loads observed on match



Fig 1. Boxplots without outliers of GPS load metrics by category, position, and training/match day. HMLD is high metabolic load distance; HSR is high speed running; n_p is number of players; and n_s is number of sessions. Note: Distance refers to Total Distance; Load refers to Player Load.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.g001

day (MD) and three days prior to the match (-3MD). These differences appear to be more pronounced for HSR (median of MD = 444.41 metres and median of -3MD = 227.63 metres), in comparison to the other variables.

In Fig 2, we show the variables for external load intensity: HMLD/min, HSR/min, PL/min, and TD/min.

The global median (IQR) of HMLD, HSR, PL, and TD intensities were 8.94 m/min (6.39–13.31), 1.75 m/min (0.72–3.94), 0.80 AU/min (0.70–1.00), and 59.7 m/min (50.60–75.20), respectively.

These parameters also evinced very alike distributions according to position and category, and oscillated according to training/match day, with the most demanding loads again observed on match day (MD) and three days prior to the match (-3MD). In this case, the difference of MD and -3MD with the other days is high for all intensity parameters.

Frequency of muscle injuries

During the entire season, we registered a total of 34 episodes of muscle injuries, of which 19 were TL injuries (Table 2).

Incidence of muscle injuries

Muscle injury incidence per exposure hours. The overall incidence per exposure hours (Fig 3) was 2.57 injuries/ 10^{3} h, with the highest values on MD ($4.55/10^{3}$ h), followed by -3MD ($4.07/10^{3}$ h).

Load intensity						HSR intensity								
					Global (n _p = 71)	- •								
					0.7F00DV									
					Voutb (0 = 41)	_								
					Professional (n. = 30)									
					POSITION									
_		•			Centre back (np=16)	- +								
		•			Full back $(n_p = 15)$	- +		-						
		•			Midfielder (n _p = 23)	- •								
-		0			Forward (n _p =25)		_							
					MATCHDAY									
		•			-4 MD (n_ = 714)									
					-3 MD (n. = 1.528)									
_		-	-		-2 MD (n _s = 1,924)	- 0								
					-1 MD (n,=2,272)									
			0		MD (n. = 2.077)									
_					+1 MD (n, =653)	-								
_		4	-		+2 MD (n, =603)	- •								
					Pre-season (n. = 1,051)									
		-					1	1						
0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0		0	5	10	15					
		AU/min					meters/min							
	HN	ALD intensit	v				Distance inten	sity						
	HM	/LD intensit	у		Global (n = 71)	_	Distance intens	sity						
	HN • • • •	MLD intensit	у —		Global (n _p = 71)		Distance intens	sity	-					
_	HN 	MLD intensit	у —	-	Global (n _p = 71) CATEGORY		Distance intens	sity	-					
		MLD intensit	у —		Global (n _p = 71) CATEGORY Youth (n _p = 41)		Distance intens	sity						
		MLD intensit	у —	_	$\label{eq:Global} \begin{array}{c} Global \left(n_p \!=\! 71\right) \\ \\ CATEGORY \\ Youth \left(n_p \!-\! 41\right) \\ \\ Professional \left(n_p \!=\! 30\right) \end{array}$	_	Distance intens	sity	_					
		MLD intensit	у —		Global (n _p =71) CATEGORY Youth (n _p -41) Professional (n _p =30) POSITION		Distance intens	sity	_					
		MLD intensit	у —		Global (np=71) CATEGORY Youth (np=41) Professional (np=30) POSITION Centre back (np=16)	_	Distance intens	sity	_					
	۸H	MLD intensit	У — —		Global $(n_p = 71)$ CATEGORY Youth $(n_p = 41)$ Professional $(n_p = 30)$ POSITION Centre back $(n_p = 16)$ Full back $(n_p = 16)$			sity	-					
		MLD intensit	У — —		Global $(n_p=71)$ CATEGORY Youth $(n_p=41)$ Professional $(n_p=30)$ POSITION Centre back $(n_p=16)$ Full back $(n_p=16)$		Distance intens	sity	_					
		ALD intensit	У — — —		$\label{eq:generalized_states} \begin{aligned} & \text{Global} (n_p = 71) \\ & \text{CATEGORY} \\ & \text{Youth} (n_p = 41) \\ & \text{Professional} (n_p = 30) \\ & \text{POSITION} \\ & \text{Centre back} (n_p = 16) \\ & \text{Full back} (n_p = 15) \\ & \text{Midfleider} (n_p = 23) \\ & \text{Forward} (n_p = 25) \end{aligned}$		Distance intens	sity	-					
		ALD intensit	y 		Global (ng=71) CATEGORY Youth (ng=41) Professional (ng=30) POSINON Centre back (ng=15) Midfielder (ng=23) Forward (ng=25) MATEGDDX			sity	-					
		ALD intensit	у — — —		Global (ng=71) CATEGORY Youth (ng=41) Professional (ng=30) POSITION Gentre back (ng=16) Full back (ng=15) Midfleider (ng=23) Forward (ng=25) MATCHDAY (MD) (ng=214)		Distance intension	sity	-					
		ALD intensit	y 		$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{split} \hline Global(n_g=71) \\ \hline & \textbf{CATEGORY} \\ Volati (n_g=41) \\ Professional (n_g=70) \\ Potters back (n_g=16) \\ Full back (n_g=71) \\ Full back (n_g=25) \\ Horder (n_g=25) \\ \hline & \textbf{MATCHDAY} \\ -400 (n_g=716) \\ -400 (n_g=716) \\ \hline \end{cases}$		Distance intension	sity	-					
		ALD intensit	y 		Okobal (ng = 71) CATEGORY Youh (ng = 41) Professional (ng = 30) POSITION Contro back (ng = 15) Final back (ng = 15) Matchdow (ng = 25) MATCHDAY - 410 (ng = 714) - 210 (ng = 1527)		Distance intens	sity	-					
		ALD intensit	у 		$\label{eq:response} \begin{split} \hline & \text{Bickel}(n_{\mu}\!=\!71) \\ \hline & \text{CATEGORY} \\ & \text{Youth}(n_{\mu}\!=\!41) \\ & \text{Professional}(n_{\mu}\!=\!30) \\ \hline & \text{POSITION} \\ & \text{Certre back}(n_{\mu}\!=\!65) \\ & \text{Mattheward}(n_{\mu}\!=\!25) \\ & \text{Mattheward}(n_{\mu}\!=\!25) \\ \hline & \text{Mattheward}(n_{\mu}\!=\!25) \\ \hline & \text{Mattheward}(n_{\mu}\!=\!25) \\ & \text{Mattheward}(n_{\mu}\!=\!25) \\ & \text{Mattheward}(n_{\mu}\!=\!25) \\ & \text{Mattheward}(n_{\mu}\!=\!270) \\ & \text{All}(n_{\mu}\!=\!720) \\ & \text{All}(n_{\mu}\!=\!720) \\ & \text{All}(n_{\mu}\!=\!720) \\ & \text{All}(n_{\mu}\!=\!720) \\ & \text{All}(n_{\mu}\!=\!270) \\ & \text{All}(n_{\mu}\!=\!2$		Distance intens	sity	-					
		ALD intensit	y 		Global (n, – 71) CATEGORY Yodn (n, – 41) Professional (n, – 20) POSITION Centre back (n, – 16) Finit back (n, – 15) Model (n, – 23) Finit back (n, – 17) Julio (n, – 714) Julio (n, – 153) Julio (n, – 1524) Julio (n, – 1524) Julio (n, – 2027)		Distance intens		-					
		ALD intensit	y 		Okbal (n, – 71) CATEGORY Youh (n, – 41) Professional (n, – 30) POSITION Centre back (n, – 15) Mill dock (n, = 15) Molt (n, – 17) Promar (n, – 75) - 410 (n, – 714) - 310 (n, – 1743)		Distance intens	sity	-					
		ALD intensit	y 		Bibble (ng = 71) CATEGORY Youh (ng = 41) Professional (ng = 30) POSITION Centre back (ng = 16) Frild back (ng = 16) Matter (ng = 72) Forward (ng = 27) MATCHDAY -4 MD (ng = 714) -3 MD (ng = 1524) -3 MD (ng = 2727) MD (ng = 6277) +1 MD (ng = 637) -2 MD (ng = 637)		Distance intension	sity						
		ALD intensit	y 		$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Global}(n_{p}\!=\!71) \\ \mbox{CATEGORY} \\ \mbox{Varburs}(n_{p}\!=\!41) \\ \mbox{Varburs}(n_{p}\!=\!41) \\ \mbox{Poststrom}(n_{p}\!=\!30) \\ \mbox{Poststrom}(n_{p}\!=\!51) \\ \mbox{Mathematical}(n_{p}\!=\!31) \\ \mbox{Mathematical}(n_{p}\!=\!21) \\ Mathemat$		Distance inters							
			y 		Biobal (n, – 71) CATEGORY Youn (n, – 41) Professional (n, – 30) POSITION Cantro back (n, – 15) Full back (n, – 15) Forward (n, – 25) Forward (n, – 25) Mattedue (n, – 23) Forward (n, – 55) Jub (n, – 154) Jub (n, – 154) Jub (n, – 154) Jub (n, – 2077) +110 (n, – 603) Presession (n, – 1051)		Distance intens	sity	-					
		ALD intensit	y 		Global (ng - 71) CATEGORY Youth (ng - 41) Professional (ng - 30) POSITION Centre back (ng - 16) Fail back (ng - 15) Medident (ng - 23) Poward (ng - 74) - 300 (ng - 152) - 400 (ng - 714) - 300 (ng - 1524) - 110 (ng - 272) - 110 (ng		Distance interse		-					

Fig 2. Boxplots without outliers of GPS load metrics HMLD, HSR, PL, and TD per minute for each category, position, and training/match day. $n_p =$ Number of players; $n_s =$ Number of sessions.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.g002

	Time loss (TL)	No time loss (NTL)	Total
CATEGORY			
Youth	11	11	22
Professional	8	4	12
TOTAL	19	15	34
POSITION			
Centre Back	2	3	5
Full back	1	2	3
Midfielders	9	8	17
Forwards	7	2	9
TOTAL	19	15	34
MATCH DAY			
-4MD	0	2	2
-3MD	6	3	9
-2MD	1	4	5
-1MD	1	3	4
MD	9	2	11
Pre-season	2	1	3
TOTAL	19	15	34

Table 2. Muscle injury type.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.t002

N	lo time lost	Muscle	injuries		Time lost	N _{NTL}	NTL	N	Time (x10 ³ hours)
Global (n _p = 71)		1.14	0.23 0.53 0.68	1.44		15	19	34	13.21
CATEGORY									
Youth $(n_p = 41)$		1.34	0.24 0.24 0.86	1.34		11	11	22	8.18
Professional (n _p = 30)		0.80	0.2 0.99 0.	40 1.59		4	8	12	5.03
POSITION									
Centre back (n _p = 16)		1.17	0.39 0.39 0.78			3	2	5	2.56
Full back (n _p = 15)		0.73	0.36 0.36			2	1	3	2.75
Midfielder $(n_p = 23)$		1.97	0.25 0.74	1.23 2.21		8	9	17	4.07
Forward (n _p = 25)		0.52	0.26 0.78 0	.78 1.83		2	7	9	3.83
MATCHDAY									
-4 MD (n _s = 714)		1.74				2	0	2	1.15
-3 MD (n _s = 1,528)		1.35	0.45 0.90	1.35	2.71	3	6	9	2.21
-2 MD (n _s = 1,924)		1.69	0.42 0.42			4	1	5	2.36
-1 MD (n _s = 2,272)		1.26	0.42 0.42			3	1	4	2.37
MD (n _s = 2,077)		0.83	0.83	1.66	1.24 3.73	2	9	11	2.42
+1 MD (n _s = 653)			l			0	0	0	0.58
+2 MD (n _s = 603)						0	0	0	0.78
$Pre-season\left(n_s=1,051\right)$		0.75	0.75 0.75	1.50		1	2	3	1.34
Г 4	2))	2	4	= No = Mil	Time	.ost	Moderate Severe
		Injury I	rate						

Fig 3. Incidence of TL and NTL injuries per 10^{3} h of exposure stratified by category, position, and days to/from the match. Numbers inside bars represents the incidence according to the severity.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.g003

The incidence of TL injuries was higher on MD $(3.71 \times 10^3 h)$, followed by -3MD $(2.71 \times 10^3 h)$. As for categories, the highest values were reported in professionals $(1.59 \times 10^3 h)$, in comparison to youth $(1.34 \times 10^3 h)$. Finally, as for positions, the highest rate was observed among midfielders $(2.21 \times 10^3 h)$, followed by forwards $(1.82 \times 10^3 h)$.

Muscle injury incidence per HMLD. The overall incidence of muscle injuries per 10^5 m of HMLD (Fig 4) was similar to the incidence per hours of exposure: the highest incidence was observed on -3MD ($0.41x10^5$ m), followed by MD ($0.29x10^5$ m) and preseason. Moreover, overall incidence of muscle injuries per 10^5 m of HMLD was higher in the professional category ($0.25x10^5$ m) than in the youth ($0.20x10^5$ m); and midfielders presented the highest values ($0.35x10^5$ m), followed by forwards ($0.27x10^5$ m).



Fig 4. Incidence of TL and NTL injuries per 10^5 m of high metabolic load distance stratified by category, position, and days to/from the match. Numbers inside bars represent the incidence according to the severity.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.g004

Muscle injury incidence per HSR. The overall muscle injury incidence per 10^5 m of HSR (Fig 5) was the highest on -3MD (1.59x10⁵m), followed by the preseason (1.36x10⁵m); in the professional category (0.94x10⁵m); and among midfielders (1.60x10⁵m) (Fig 5).

Muscle injury incidence per PL. The overall muscle injury incidence per 10^5 a.u. of PL (Fig 6) was the highest on -3MD (5.06×10^5 a.u.), followed by the match day (4.39×10^5 a.u.); in the professional category (3.03×10^5 a.u.); and among midfielders (4.03×10^5 a.u.).

Muscle injury incidence per TD. The overall muscle injury incidence per 10^7 m of TD (Fig 7) was higher on -3MD (6.61x10⁷m), followed by the match day (5.91x10⁷m); in the professional category (4.10x10⁷m); and among midfielders (5.52x10⁷m).

Discussion

Our study showed that MD and -3MD were the days with both the highest external load, and the highest injury incidence either calculated per exposure time or per all the GPS load metrics (HMLD, HSR, PL, and TD). Also, professional players and midfielders displayed the highest injury rate for all the GPS metrics, but not for exposure time.

External loads

Differences in GPS load metrics between professional and youth players. In agreement with previous studies, there were no differences on the GPS load metrics between youth and professionals [19]. In fact, as previously shown, HMLD, HSR, PL, and TD were just slightly higher in youth, in comparison to the professional category (Figs 1 and 2) [20]. In our study, a common training methodology was shared among the three teams involved, using very similar training exercises and the same weekly load structure, and this can explain such lack of differences between categories. It is important to consider this comparison since it is common for youth players to be called up to train with the senior professional team. Therefore, from a performance and injury perspective, it would be important for these players to be prepared and able to cope with the volumes and intensities of the senior team.

Differences in GPS load metrics according to playing position. Our findings showed similar external GPS load metrics according to playing position, even if full backs and forwards



Fig 5. Incidence of TL and NTL injuries per 10^5 m of high-speed running stratified by category, position, and days to/from the match. Numbers inside bars represents the incidence according to the severity.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.g005

	No time	lost			Muso	le injuri	es				Time lo	st >	N _{NTI}	NTL	N	Load (x10 ⁵ AU)
Global (n _p = 71)					2.13	0.4	1.00	1.28	2.70				15	19	34	7.03
CATEGORY																
Youth $(n_p = 41)$					2.51	0.5 0).5	1.60	2.51				11	11	22	4.38
Professional $(n_p = 30)$					1.51	0.4	1.89)	0.76	3.03			4	8	12	2.64
POSITION																
Centre back (np = 16)					2.45	0.82	0.82	1.63					3	2	5	1.22
Full back $(n_p = 15)$					1.35	0.68	0.68						2	1	3	1.48
Midfielder (n _p = 23)				3.58		0.4	1.34		2.24		4.03		8	9	17	2.23
Forward $(n_p = 25)$					0.96	0.5	1.43		1.43	3.34			2	7	9	2.09
MATCHDAY		ſ				_										
-4 MD (n _s = 714)		l		3.70			_		_				2	0	2	0.54
-3 MD (n _s = 1,528)					2.53	0.84		1.69		2.53		5.06	3	6	9	1.19
-2 MD (n _s = 1,924)				3.82		0.95	0.95						4	1	5	1.05
-1 MD (n _s = 2,272)				3.	14	1.0	5 1.05						3	1	4	0.95
MD (n _s = 2,077)					0.97	0.97		1.95		1.46	4.39		2	9	11	2.05
+1 MD (n _s = 653)													0	0	0	0.26
+2 MD (n _s = 603)													0	0	0	0.35
$Pre-season(n_s = 1,051)$					1.57		1.57	1.9	57	3.14			1	2	3	0.64
	5	4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3		1	5	No TimeMild	Lost	Mo Sev	derate /ere
					li II	njury rate	8									

Fig 6. Incidence of TL and NTL injuries per 10^5 au of PL stratified by category, position, and days to/from the match. Numbers inside bars represents the incidence according to the severity.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.g006

showed slightly higher HSR volume (m) and intensity (m*min). On the contrary, past research demonstrated that defenders cover less high intensity distances compared to other outfield positions [21-23]. These differences might be explained by tactical roles of full backs and forwards, which are unique to the playing style of each club.

Incidence of muscle injuries

Differences between IR per exposure hours and IR per GPS load metrics. We did not find any differences between IR_{TT} calculated according to the exposure hours and IR calculated according to the GPS load metrics HMDL, HSR, PL, and TD. The information in terms of exposure hours is very general, whereas HMDL, HSR, PL, and TD are more specific for players' physical demands. Although HMDL, HSR, PL, and TD were greater at MD, the IR



Fig 7. Incidence of NTL and TL injuries per 10⁷m distance of TD stratified by category, position, and days to/ from the match. Numbers inside bars represents the incidence according to the severity.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263494.g007

calculated according to these GPS metrics was higher at -3MD (0.41×10^5 m HMLD; 1.60 x 10^5 m HSR; 5.06 x 10^5 a.u. PL; 6.61 x 10^7 m TD). IR calculated according to TT was higher at MD (3.73×1000 h), followed by -3MD, very comprehensive in the model of the FCB structured microcycle.

Differences in IR between professionals and youth players. In our study, we did not see a big difference between categories in IR calculated for any of the external load variables (exposure hours, HMDL, HSR, PL, and TD). However, in agreement with previous studies, all variables were slightly higher among professionals ($IR_{TT} = 1.59$; $IR_{HMLD} = 0.26$; $IR_{HSR} = 0.95$; $IR_{PL} = 3.03$; $IR_{TD} = 4.10$), in comparison to youth players ($IR_{TT} = 1.34$; $IR_{HMLD} = 0.21$; $IR_{HSR} = 0.84$; $IR_{PL} = 2.51$; $IR_{TD} = 3.3$). Our results could be explained by the fact that we analysed three teams from the same club, with the same training methodology and the same game model. In the future, more clubs with training methodologies and game models that are different from ours should be analysed to confirm our findings.

Differences in IR according to playing position. By analysing injury incidence according to playing position, we found that midfielders showed the highest IR for all metrics studied, followed by forwards, with a notable difference with centre-backs and full-backs. Another study by Bacon et al. [24], provided positional incidence rates per 1000 h. The authors found that central midfielders displayed the highest risk of injury (14.22 ± 15.46 per 1000 h), and lateral midfielders had the lowest risk of injury (2.15 ± 2.49 per 1000 h). We postulate that, in general, there are no differences between categories of players in our club: the training is similar, and it is common for players to train and compete in teams in different groups of age during a season.

Limitations

The most important limitation of this study is the small sample (71 players). Moreover, only one club was involved, and just a season was analysed. However, we included 631 training sessions and 154 official matches, for a total of 12,340 hours of training and 2,077 hours of match play. Similar future studies using external load variables other than time must be carried out in more football clubs, with different training methodologies, practices, and game models. These studies will allow to better understand the influence of specific training methodologies on injury incidence based on external load exposure.

Practical applications

Our data could help youth and professional teams to better understand the patterns of external loads (such as exposure time, PL, TD, HMLD, and HSR), and their incidence on muscle injuries, in different categories, positions, and days. Finally, these findings could be used to make daily management decisions, such as: i) share players between different categories in the same club; ii) exchange demarcation players within the same game model, interpreting how they could modify their physical behaviour; and iii) manage the tasks in the training sessions.

Conclusions

This is the first study that reliably describes the incidence of muscle injuries in male professional and youth players according to GPS metrics. We show that the IR was higher on MD when calculated per hours, and on -3MD when calculated per HMLD, PL, TD and HSR. Midfielders demonstrated the highest IR in all metrics, followed by the forwards. Finally, to confirm our findings, future studies must incorporate more football players, both from youth and professional football, and include several seasons in the analysis.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. Specific targets for each training day relative to the match. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the players of the Football Club Barcelona for their participation in this study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Marc Guitart, Martí Casals, Francesc Xavier Valle, Francesc Cos, Gil Rodas.

Formal analysis: Martí Casals, Jordi Cortés.

Methodology: Marc Guitart, Martí Casals, Jordi Cortés, Alan McCall, Gil Rodas.

Project administration: Martí Casals.

Supervision: Marc Guitart, Martí Casals, Jordi Cortés, Gil Rodas.

Validation: Martí Casals.

Visualization: Martí Casals, Jordi Cortés.

- Writing original draft: Marc Guitart, Martí Casals, David Casamichana, Jordi Cortés, Francesc Xavier Valle, Alan McCall, Francesc Cos, Gil Rodas.
- Writing review & editing: Marc Guitart, Martí Casals, David Casamichana, Jordi Cortés, Francesc Xavier Valle, Alan McCall, Francesc Cos, Gil Rodas.

References

- Bahr R, Clarsen B, Ekstrand J. Why we should focus on the burden of injuries and illnesses, not just their incidence. Br J Sports Med. 2018; 52(16):1018–21. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-098160 PMID: 29021247
- Dick R, Agel J, Marshall SW. National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System commentaries: introduction and methods. J Athl Train. 2009; 44(2):173–82.
- Stovitz S, Shrier I. Injury rates in team sport events: tackling challenges in assessing exposure time. 2012 [cited 2020 Nov 16]; Available from: https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/46/14/960.short.
- Ekstrand J, Hägglund M, Waldén M. Epidemiology of muscle injuries in professional football (soccer). Am J Sports Med. 2011; 39(6):1226–32. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546510395879 PMID: 21335353
- Ekstrand J, Waldén M, Hägglund M. Hamstring injuries have increased by 4% annually in men's professional football, since 2001: A 13-year longitudinal analysis of the UEFA Elite Club injury study. Br J Sports Med. 2016; 50(12):731–7. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095359 PMID: 26746908
- Bianco A, Spedicato M, Petrucci M, Messina G, Thomas E, Sahin FN, et al. A Prospective Analysis of the Injury Incidence of Young Male Professional Football Players on Artificial Turf. Asian J Sport Med. 2016; 7(1):28425. https://doi.org/10.5812/asjsm.28425 PMID: 27217929
- 7. Ergün M, Denerel HN, Binnet MS, Ertat KA. Injuries in elite youth football players: A prospective threeyear study. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc. 2013; 47(5):339–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.3944/aott.2013.3177</u> PMID: 24164944
- Lacome M, Simpson BM, Cholley Y, Lambert P, Buchheit M. Small-sided games in elite soccer: Does one size fit all? Int J Sports Physiol Perform [Internet]. 2018 May 1 [cited 2021 Mar 7]; 13(5):568–76. Available from: https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/ijspp/13/5/article-p568.xml. https:// doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2017-0214 PMID: 28714774
- Gaudino P, Alberti G, Iaia FM. Estimated metabolic and mechanical demands during different smallsided games in elite soccer players. Hum Mov Sci [Internet]. 2014; 36:123–33. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2014.05.006 PMID: 24968370

- Price RJ, Hawkins RD, Hulse MA, Hodson A. The Football Association medical research programme: An audit of injuries in academy youth football. Br J Sports Med. 2004; 38(4):466–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2003.005165</u> PMID: 15273188
- Bastida-Castillo A, Gómez-Carmona CD, De la Cruz-Sánchez E, Reche-Royo X, Ibáñez SJ, Ortega JP. Accuracy and inter-unit reliability of ultra-wide-band tracking system in indoor exercise. Appl Sci. 2019; 9(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/app9050819 PMID: 31844558
- Anderson L, Orme P, Di Michele R, Close GL, Morgans R, Drust B, et al. Quantification of training load during one-, two- and three-game week schedules in professional soccer players from the English Premier League: implications for carbohydrate periodisation. J Sports Sci. 2016 Jul 2; 34(13):1250–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1106574 PMID: 26536538
- Reche-Soto P, Cardona-Nieto D, Diaz-Suarez A, Bastida-Castillo A, Gomez-Carmona C, Garcia-Rubio J, et al. Section III-Sports Training Player Load and Metabolic Power Dynamics as Load Quantifiers in Soccer. J Hum Kinet [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Mar 27]; 69:259–69. Available from: http://www.johk.pl. https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2018-0072 PMID: 31666908
- 14. Casamichana D, Martín-García A, Gómez Díaz A, S Bradley P, Castellano J. Accumulative weekly load in a professional football team: with special reference to match playing time and game position. Biol Sport. 2021;115–24.
- Fuller CW, Ekstrand J, Junge A, Andersen TE, Bahr R, Dvorak J, et al. Consensus statement on injury definitions and data collection procedures in studies of football (soccer) injuries. Scand J Med Sci Sport [Internet]. 2006 Apr 1 [cited 2020 Mar 24]; 16(2):83–92. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j. 1600-0838.2006.00528.x.
- Mechelen W Van, Hlobil H, Medicine HK-S, 1992 U. Incidence, severity, aetiology and prevention of sports injuries. Springer Int [Internet]. 1992 [cited 2020 Mar 24]; Available from: https://link.springer. com/article/10.2165/00007256-199214020-00002.
- Ekstrand J, Hägglund M, Waldén M. Injury incidence and injury patterns in professional football: The UEFA injury study. Br J Sports Med. 2011; 45(7):553–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2009.060582</u> PMID: 19553225
- Hägglund M, Waldén M, Bahr R, Ekstrand J. Methods for epidemiological study of injuries to professional football players: Developing the UEFA model. Br J Sports Med. 2005; 39(6):340–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2005.018267 PMID: 15911603</u>
- Abade EA, Gonçalves B V., Leite NM, Sampaio JE. Time-motion and physiological profile of football training sessions performed by under-15, under-17, and under-19 elite portuguese players. Int J Sports Physiol Perform [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2020 Jun 11]; 9(3):463–70. Available from: https://journals. humankinetics.com/view/journals/ijspp/9/3/article-p463.xml. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2013-0120 PMID: 23920425
- Coppalle S, Ravé G, Moran J, Salhi I, Abderrahman A Ben, Zouita S, et al. Internal and External Training Load in Under-19 versus Professional Soccer Players during the In-Season Period. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2021 Jan 11 [cited 2021 Mar 10]; 18(2):558. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/2/558. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020558 PMID: 33440830
- Mohr M, Krustrup P, Bangsbo J. Match performance of high-standard soccer players with special reference to development of fatigue. J Sports Sci. 2003; 21(7):519–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/</u>0264041031000071182 PMID: 12848386
- 22. Di Salvo V, Baron R, Tschan H, Calderon Montero FJ, Bachl N, Pigozzi F. Performance characteristics according to playing position in elite soccer. Int J Sports Med. 2007; 28(3):222–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-924294</u> PMID: 17024626
- Suarez-Arrones L, Torreño N, Requena B, Sáez De Villarreal E, Casamichana D, Barbero-Alvarez JC, et al. Match-play activity proile in professional soccer players during oficial games and the relationship between external and internal load. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2015; 55(12):1417–22. PMID: 25289717
- Bacon CS, Mauger AR. Prediction of Overuse Injuries in Professional U18-U21 Footballers Using Metrics of Training Distance and Intensity. J Strength Cond Res [Internet]. 2017 Nov [cited 2020 Mar 24]; 31(11):3067–76. Available from: http://journals.lww.com/00124278-201711000-00016. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000001744 PMID: 27930446