ESC HEART FAILURE

ESC Heart Failure 2018; 5: 741–744

Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/ehf2.12356

## Do we need a reference standard for the muscle mass measurements?

Nadja Scherbakov<sup>1,2</sup> and Wolfram Doehner<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Stroke Research Berlin CSB, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology (CVK), Deutsches Zentrum für Herz-Kreislauf-Forschung (DZHK), Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany; <sup>3</sup>Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

This editorial refers to manuscript "Pitfalls in the measurement of muscle mass: a need for a reference standard" published by Buckinx et al. in Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle 2018: 9: 269–278.

Sarcopenia as a clinical term was suggested in 1988 by Irwin Rosenberg to refer an age-dependent skeletal muscle wasting. In recent years, sarcopenia became more and more relevant in clinical practice. Beside the progressively aging population in our society, an increasing number of patients suffering from chronical diseases contributes to the growing prevalence of sarcopenia. Indeed, muscle wasting has been found in association with several diseases such as chronic heart failure,<sup>2</sup> chronic kidney disease,<sup>3</sup> chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD),4 cancer,5 rheumatoid arthritis,6 diabetes mellitus,<sup>7</sup> peripheral arterial disease<sup>8</sup> etc. The consequences of decreasing muscle mass are wide-ranging including metabolic dysregulation with insulin resistance and dyslipidemia, diminished bone mineral content, muscle structural changes with reduction of the neuromuscular junctions and muscle fibres switch, decrease of the fitness level up to frailty with increase in falls and functional disability. 9 Muscle mass could be measured by several methods and mostly special technical equipment is required.

The recent publication "Pitfalls in the measurement of muscle mass: a need for a reference standard" by Buckinx et al. in Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle (JCSM) investigated currently used methods for measurements of the lean body mass and muscle mass in order to determine a standard technique for use by clinicians and researchers. 10 Therefore, members of the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis working group performed a literature search between 2000 and 2016 on the role and methods of muscle mass measurements and the main recommendations were summarized in this publication. The methods of muscle mass assessments applied in multiple studies were compared for several key criteria such as safety, accuracy, feasibility, cost and availability. The main conclusion of this publication was to consider Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) as a 'reference standard' for assessment of the muscle mass. Other

techniques including computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), ultrasound, biomarkers, or anthropometric measures used for muscle mass assessments, all have a number of various considerations accounting for their limited applicability in clinical practice. Every technique has its advantages and limitations in different settings of clinical and scientific application and hence it is challenging to define a 'gold standard' for muscle mass measurements.

Yet, a question is to what extent are precise measurements of the muscle mass necessary to make a clinical diagnosis of sarcopenia? Indeed, a dominating role of the muscle function and muscle strength rather than muscle bulk in the diagnosing of sarcopenia has been proposed recently. 11 Thus, several definitions of sarcopenia include two diagnostic criteria: (a) low muscle mass and (b) low muscle strength and/or muscle function. 12-15 Some of the consensus definitions even suggest starting diagnosing of sarcopenia with assessment of the muscle function or muscle strength and complete it by measurements of the muscle mass. 12,13 Thus, the Health, Aging and Body Composition (Health ABC) Study investigating 2,292 participants showed a high impact of quadriceps and handgrip strength on mortality while lean mass as assessed by DXA was not associated with mortality. 16 The recent study by Locquet et al. comparing five screening methods for sarcopenia and investigating about 300 participants over two years, showed that the best results for identifying sarcopenic individuals were achieved if screening was performed with assessment of handgrip strength (a robust measure of muscle function), age and calf circumference (a surrogate of muscle bulk). 17 Another study investigating 106 older patients with advanced cancer showed a positive association between muscle strength and overall survival at the beginning of chemotherapy.<sup>18</sup> Clearly, muscle strength is the most relevant marker of muscle quality. 16,19 In contrast, muscle mass does not ultimately mean a good muscle 742 Editorial

function. Thus, a recent study investigating 140 adults over 65 years of age showed normal muscle volume and reduced handgrip strength in 13% of participants, mainly older females.<sup>20</sup> In turn, in several interventional clinical trials increased muscle bulk was reported but this was not accompanied by significant increase in muscle functional capacity, rendering the respective therapeutic approach futile. Of course the role of muscle tissue as the body's main protein reservoir needs to be taken into account as appropriate and readily adaptive protein turnover is vital in multiple metabolic (anabolic capacity) and immune response processes (immune globulin synthesis). An age-related reduction of muscle strength has been termed dynapenia.<sup>21</sup> At tissue, cellular and molecular levels, sarcopenia-related changes of skeletal muscle are similar to those in dynapenia: decline of the protein synthesis, increased oxidative stress, inflammation, alterations in the neuromuscular junctions or neurotransmitters, metabolic changes. 21,12,22-24 However, only a combination of techniques applied for the muscle mass measurements may provide both information on the muscle mass and muscle quality.

Measurement of handgrip strength and gait speed are well established in clinical practice. However, a discrepancy of reference values is present between various definitions of sarcopenia. Thus, cutoff values for the handgrip strength range between less than 16 to 20 kg for women and between 26 to 30 kg for men. 14,12 This applies also to the gait speed with values less than 0.8 to 1.0 m/s and short physical performance battery (SPPB) with less than 8 to 9 points as a reference for the low muscle strength. 13,15,25 Consequently, the reported prevalence of sarcopenia is wide-ranging. Thus, a study investigating over 3,000 elderly women participating in the EPIDémiologie de l'OStéoporose study revealed a sarcopenia prevalence ranging between 3.3% and 20% depending on one of the six used definitions. 26,27 A recent meta-analysis investigating over 58,000 individuals older than 60 years worldwide, reported a prevalence of sarcopenia ranging between 6% and 19% depending on sex, method of muscle mass assessment and geographic distribution.<sup>28</sup> This meta-analysis was based on three of the seven operational sarcopenia consensus definitions.<sup>29</sup> Moreover, a prevalence

of disease-associated sarcopenia varies across clinical trials. For instance, in chronic heart failure a prevalence between 19.5% and 68%<sup>2,30</sup> and in COPD between 15% and 25%<sup>31,32</sup> has been described. Thus, a high prevalence of sarcopenia in clinical trials and registries is a common observation that requires better recognition as a relevant complication or comorbidity with consequent appreciation in comprehensive and holistic treatment concepts.

Nevertheless, sarcopenia is reversible. A recent observational study investigating 4,000 community-dwelling older adults aged ≥65 years showed reversibility of sarcopenia in 20% and 14% of the patients at 2 and 4 years' follow-up, respectively.<sup>33</sup> Factors associated with the reversibility of sarcopenia were younger age, higher body mass index, absence of impairment in performing of instrumental activities of daily living.<sup>34</sup> Surprisingly, neither physical activity nor protein level or vitamin D intake were associated with the reversibility of sarcopenia in this study.<sup>34</sup> Another study, investigating 30 patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumour who were treated with imatinib, showed a reversibility of sarcopenia in 60% of the patients.35 A reversibility of disuse atrophy of type I and II muscle fibres 24 weeks after re-use has been recently shown in an experimental study.<sup>36</sup> In addition, resistance training is known to promote an improvement of muscle strength, muscle mass, quality of the muscle tissue, better physical performance and independence.37,38 Therefore, recognizing sarcopenia by whatever method best suited to a specific clinical setting is highly relevant as it may impact on adequate treatment strategies and eventually lead to reduced frailty and better outcome.

## **Conflict of interest**

W.D. has received personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, Sphingotec, Vifor Pharma, and ZS Pharma as well as research support from Sanofi, Vifor Pharma and ZS Pharma. We acknowledge support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the Open Access Publication Fund of Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin.

## References

- Rosenberg IH. Sarcopenia: origins and clinical relevance. J Nutr 1997; 127: 990S-991S.
- Fülster S, Tacke M, Sandek A, Ebner N, Tschöpe C, Doehner W, Anker SD, von Haehling S. Muscle wasting in patients with chronic heart failure: results from the studies investigating co-morbidities aggravating heart failure (SICA-HF). Eur Heart J 2013; 34: 512–519.
- Patel SS, Molnar MZ, Tayek JA, Ix JH, Noori N, Benner D, Heymsfield S, Kopple JD, Kovesdy CP, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Serum creatinine as a marker of muscle mass in chronic kidney disease: results of a cross-sectional study and review of literature. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2013; 4: 19–29.
- Kneppers AEM, Langen RCJ, Gosker HR, Verdijk LB, Cebron Lipovec N, Leermakers
- PA, Kelders MCJM, de Theije CC, Omersa D, Lainscak M, Schols AMWJ. Increased Myogenic and Protein Turnover Signaling in Skeletal Muscle of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients With Sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017; **18**: 637.
- Barkhudaryan A, Scherbakov N, Springer J, Doehner W. Cardiac muscle wasting in individuals with cancer cachexia. ESC Heart Fail 2017; 4: 458–467.

Editorial 743

- Pineda-Juárez JA, Lozada-Mellado M, Ogata-Medel M, Hinojosa-Azaola A, Santillán-Díaz C, Llorente L, Orea-Tejeda A, Alcocer-Varela J, Espinosa-Morales R, González-Contreras M, Castillo-Martínez L. Body composition evaluated by body mass index and bioelectrical impedance vector analysis in women with rheumatoid arthritis. *Nutrition* 2018; 53: 49–53.
- Trierweiler H, Kisielewicz G, Hoffmann Jonasson T, Rasmussen Petterle R, Aguiar Moreira C, Zeghbi Cochenski Borba V. Sarcopenia: a chronic complication of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 2018; 10: 25.
- Addison O, Prior SJ, Kundi R, Serra MC, Katzel LI, Gardner AW, Ryan AS. Sarcopenia in Peripheral Arterial Disease: Prevalence and Effect on Functional Status. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2018; 99: 623–628.
- 9. Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Ross R. Low relative skeletal muscle mass (sarcopenia) in older persons is associated with functional impairment and physical disability. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002; **50**: 889–896.
- Buckinx F, Landi F, Cesari M, Fielding RA, Visser M, Engelke K, Maggi S, Dennison E, Al-Daghri NM, Allepaerts S, Bauer J, Bautmans I, Brandi ML, Bruyère O, Cederholm T, Cerreta F, Cherubini A, Cooper C, Cruz-Jentoft A, McCloskey E, Dawson-Hughes B, Kaufman JM, Laslop A, Petermans J, Reginster JY, Rizzoli R, Robinson S, Rolland Y, Rueda R, Vellas B, Kanis JA. Pitfalls in the measurement of muscle mass: a need for a reference standard. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2018; 9: 269–278.
- 11. Cederholm T, Morley JE. Sarcopenia: the new definitions. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2015; **18**: 1–4.
- 12. Chen LK, Liu LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Bahyah KS, Chou MY, Chen LY, Hsu PS, Krairit O, Lee JS, Lee WJ, Lee Y, Liang CK, Limpawattana P, Lin CS, Peng LN, Satake S, Suzuki T, Won CW, Wu CH, Wu SN, Zhang T, Zeng P, Akishita M, Arai H. Sarcopenia in Asia: consensus report of the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014; 15: 95–101.
- 13. Fielding RA, Vellas B, Evans WJ, Bhasin S, Morley JE, Newman AB, Abellan van Kan G, Andrieu S, Bauer J, Breuille D, Cederholm T, Chandler J, De Meynard C, Donini L, Harris T, Kannt A, Keime Guibert F, Onder G, Papanicolaou D, Rolland Y, Rooks D, Sieber C, Souhami E, Verlaan S, Zamboni M. Sarcopenia: an undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition: prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2011; 12: 249–256.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, Martin FC, Michel JP, Rolland Y, Schneider

- SM, Topinková E, Vandewoude M, Zamboni M, European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age Ageing* 2010; **39**: 412–423.
- 15. Morley JE, Abbatecola AM, Argiles JM, Baracos V, Bauer J, Bhasin S, Cederholm T, Coats AJ, Cummings SR, Evans WJ, Fearon K, Ferrucci L, Fielding RA, Guralnik JM, Harris TB, Inui A, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kirwan Mantovani G, Muscaritoli M, Newman AB, Rossi-Fanelli F, Rosano GM, Roubenoff R, Schambelan M, Sokol GH, Storer TW, Vellas B, von Haehling S, Yeh SS, Anker SD, Society on Sarcopenia, Cachexia and Wasting Disorders Trialist Workshop, Sarcopenia with limited mobility: an international consensus. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2011; **12**: 403–409.
- Newman AB, Kupelian V, Visser M, Simonsick EM, Goodpaster BH, Kritchevsky SB, Tylavsky FA, Rubin SM, Harris TB. Strength, but not muscle mass, is associated with mortality in the health, aging and body composition study cohort. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2006; 61: 72–77.
- 17. Locquet M, Beaudart C, Reginster JY, Petermans J, Bruyère O. Comparison of the performance of five screening methods for sarcopenia. *Clin Epidemiol* 2017; **10**: 71–82.
- Versteeg KS, Blauwhoff-Buskermolen S, Buffart LM, de van der Schueren MAE, Langius JAE, Verheul HMW, Maier AB, Konings IR. Higher Muscle Strength Is Associated with Prolonged Survival in Older Patients with Advanced Cancer. Oncologist 2018; 23: 580–585.
- Goodpaster BH, Park SW, Harris TB, Kritchevsky SB, Nevitt M, Schwartz AV, Simonsick EM, Tylavsky FA, Visser M, Newman AB. The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass, and quality in older adults: the health, aging and body composition study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2006; 61: 1059–1064.
- Chang KV, Wu WT, Huang KC, Jan WH, Han DS. Limb muscle quality and quantity in elderly adults with dynapenia but not sarcopenia: An ultrasound imaging study. *Exp Gerontol* 2018; 108: 54–61.
- Clark BC, Manini TM. What is dynapenia? Nutrition 2012; 28: 495–503.
- Ebner N, Sliziuk V, Scherbakov N, Sandek A. Muscle wasting in ageing and chronic illness. ESC Heart Fail 2015; 2: 58–68.
- Scherbakov N, Sandek A, Doehner W. Stroke-related sarcopenia: specific characteristics. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015; 16: 272–276.
- 24. Schaap LA, Pluijm SM, Deeg DJ, Harris TB, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Colbert LH, Pahor M, Rubin SM, Tylavsky FA, Visser M, Health ABC Study. Higher

- inflammatory marker levels in older persons: associations with 5-year change in muscle mass and muscle strength. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2009; **64**: 1183–1189.
- Studenski SA, Peters KW, Alley DE, Cawthon PM, McLean RR, Harris TB, Ferrucci L, Guralnik JM, Fragala MS, Kenny AM, Kiel DP, Kritchevsky SB, Shardell MD, Dam TT, Vassileva MT. The FNIH sarcopenia project: rationale, study description, conference recommendations, and final estimates. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2014; 69: 547–558
- 26. Dupuy C, Lauwers-Cances V, Guyonnet S, Gentil C, Abellan Van Kan G, Beauchet O, Schott AM, Vellas B, Rolland Y. Searching for a relevant definition of sarcopenia: results from the cross-sectional EPIDOS study. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2015; 6: 144–154.
- Scherbakov N, Doehner W. Searching for a relevant definition of sarcopenia: results from the cross-sectional EPIDOS study. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2016; 7: 100–101.
- 28. Shafiee G, Keshtkar A, Soltani A, Ahadi Z, Larijani B, Heshmat R. Prevalence of sarcopenia in the world: a systematic review and meta- analysis of general population studies. *J Diabetes Metab Disord* 2017; **16**: 21.
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Orav JE, Kanis JA, Rizzoli R, Schlögl M, Staehelin HB, Willett WC, Dawson-Hughes B. Comparative performance of current definitions of sarcopenia against the prospective incidence of falls among community-dwelling seniors age 65 and older. Osteoporos Int 2015; 26: 2793–2802.
- Pasini E, Aquilani R, Gheorghiade M, Dioguardi FS. Malnutrition, muscle wasting and cachexia in chronic heart failure: the nutritional approach. *Ital Heart J* 2003; 4: 232–235.
- Jones SE, Maddocks M, Kon SS, Canavan JL, Nolan CM, Clark AL, Polkey MI, Man WD. Sarcopenia in COPD: prevalence, clinical correlates and response to pulmonary rehabilitation. *Thorax* 2015: 70: 213–218.
- 32. Byun MK, Cho EN, Chang J, Ahn CM, Kim HJ. Sarcopenia correlates with systemic inflammation in COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2017; **12**: 669–675.
- 33. Yu R, Wong M, Leung J, Lee J, Auyeung TW, Woo J. Incidence, reversibility, risk factors and the protective effect of high body mass index against sarcopenia in community-dwelling older Chinese adults. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2014; 14: 15–28.
- 34. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: Self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist* 1969; 9: 179–186.
- Moryoussef F, Dhooge M, Volet J, Barbe C, Brezault C, Hoeffel C, Coriat R, Bouché O. Reversible sarcopenia in

744 Editorial

- patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumor treated with imatinib. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2015: **6**: 343–350.
- Sarcopenia Muscle 2015; **6**: 343–350.

  36. Fabis J, Danilewicz M, Zwierzchowski JT, Niedzielski K. Atrophy of type I and II muscle fibers is reversible in the case of grade >2 fatty degeneration of the supraspinatus muscle: an experimental
- study in rabbits. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2016; **25**: 487–492.
- Westcott WL. Resistance training is medicine: effects of strength training on health. Curr Sports Med Rep 2012; 11: 209–216.
- 38. Cunha PM, Tomeleri CM, Nascimento MAD, Nunes JP, Antunes M, Nabuco

HCG, Quadros Y, Cavalcante EF, Mayhew JL, Sardinha LB, Cyrino ES. Improvement of cellular health indicators and muscle quality in older women with different resistance training volumes. *J Sports Sci* 2018; https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1479103