

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Novel organ-specific genetic factors for quantitative resistance to late blight in potato

Deissy Katherine Juyo Rojas¹, Johana Carolina Soto Sedano², Agim Ballvora³, Jens León³, Teresa Mosquera Vásquez^{1*}

1 Universidad Nacional de Colombia, sede Bogotá, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Bogotá, Colombia, **2** Universidad Nacional de Colombia, sede Bogotá, Facultad de Ciencias, Bogotá, Colombia, **3** University of Bonn, Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation Plant Breeding, Katzenburgweg, Bonn, Germany

* tmosquerav@unal.edu.co



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Juyo Rojas DK, Soto Sedano JC, Ballvora A, León J, Mosquera Vásquez T (2019) Novel organ-specific genetic factors for quantitative resistance to late blight in potato. PLoS ONE 14(7): e0213818. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213818>

Editor: Sujan Mamidi, HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, UNITED STATES

Received: February 27, 2019

Accepted: June 23, 2019

Published: July 16, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Juyo Rojas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The genotyping data supporting the conclusions of this manuscript is available in [S3 Table](#).

Funding: This research was funded by the International Development Research Centre (IDRC) and Global affairs Canada through the Canadian International Food Security Research Fund (CIFSRF) that funded the project SAN Nariño number 108125-002 to TMV, and the “Departamento Administrativo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación” COLCIENCIAS for

Abstract

Potato, *Solanum tuberosum*, is one of the major consumed food in the world, being the basis of the diet of millions of people. The main limiting and destructive disease of potato is late blight, caused by *Phytophthora infestans*. Here, we present a multi-environmental analysis of the response to *P. infestans* using an association panel of 150 accessions of *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja, evaluated in two localities in Colombia. Disease resistance data were merged with a genotyping matrix of 83,862 SNPs obtained by 2b-restriction site-associated DNA and Genotyping by sequencing approaches into a Genome-wide association study. We are reporting 16 organ-specific QTL conferring resistance to late blight. These QTL explain between 13.7% and 50.9% of the phenotypic variance. Six and ten QTL were detected for resistance response in leaves and stem, respectively. *In silico* analysis revealed 15 candidate genes for resistance to late blight. Four of them have no functional genome annotation, while eleven candidate genes code for diverse proteins, including a leucine-rich repeat kinase.

1. Introduction

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) is the most important non-cereal crop and plays a basic role for food security and nutrition [1]. Also, potatoes are an important crop for farmers and consumers because of its ability to efficiently transform inputs into a high-quality food with an excellent consumer acceptance.

The predominately cultivated potato species worldwide is *S. tuberosum* L. ($2n = 4x = 48$), this species contains two groups: Chilotanum (tetraploid potato) and Andigenum [2]. The Group Andigenum has a subset of diploid potatoes ($2n = 2x = 24$), the Group Phureja [3]. Phureja has been used worldwide as a genetic resource and has contributed to understand the genetic basis of several agronomic traits of potato. It is worth highlighting that the current potato reference genome sequence is obtained from DM1-3516 R44 a Phureja genotype [4].

Potato, as any other vegetative multiplied crop, is affected by several pests and diseases and the most devastating disease affecting this crop is late blight caused by the oomycete *Phytophthora infestans* [5–8]. Late blight has been reported in the main regions where potato is

funding the Project 110171250437 to TMV and the PhD scholarship call 727-2015 to DKJR.

Competing interests: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

grown, including more than 130 countries distributed over Asia, Africa, Oceania, Europe, South, Central and North America [9]. Thereby, great concern exists worldwide about possible emergence of new *P. infestans* strains as well as for the number of countries affected by the disease that could be increasing. Although chemical control of late blight is possible, the best alternative is through the use of resistant varieties. In potato, both, pathotype-specific (vertical resistance) and race non-specific resistance (field, horizontal, polygenic or partial resistance) have been reported [4–8].

The potato breeding programs worldwide are focused on obtaining late blight resistant cultivars through conventional breeding [10]. Despite these programs have successfully developed partial resistant cultivars [11], several challenges remain to be faced. If the selection is based on vertical resistance, the risk of a breakdown caused by an eventual emergence of new *P. infestans* races [12] exists, however, if the selection is based on horizontal resistance, this is highly dependent on the environmental conditions, as well as on the pathogen strains. Thus, the cultivar resistance could change depending upon the geographic region [13]. To face these challenges, it is important the detection of quantitative trait loci (QTL) that govern late blight resistance in both tetraploid and diploid potatoes, through methods based on genetic variants capture by DNA molecular markers such as Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and on multi-environmental phenotyping. This will reduce the time required in the process, and will also contribute to a better understanding of the genetic basis of potato—*P. infestans* interaction.

In potato, several successful association mapping studies have been achieved for traits such as diseases resistance [14–17]. For diploid potatoes, the search for sources of resistance to late blight through GWAS has been relatively scarce. Two candidate genes and three SNPs associated with quantitative resistance to late blight in the Group Phureja were identified using 371 SNP distributed along the genome [17].

One of the major limitations of the polygenic resistance is the characterization of the plant-pathogen interaction due to many influencing factors, including the biology, genetics and evolution of the pathogen in addition to the environmental conditions [18]. To facilitate the understanding of polygenic resistance, it has been proposed to subdivide the behavior of the disease in the different affected organs of the plant [19].

Studies indicate that differences in organ-specific resistances are resulting in an increase of transcription levels, although there is also the possibility that organs develop hypersensitivity response (HR) [19–21].

The aim of the presented study is to identify QTL for resistance to late blight in landrace accessions of *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja. We employed a GWAS using phenotypic data from the characterization of disease resistance conducted in two localities and genotyping data obtained from GBS and 2b-RAD approaches. Here, we report 16 organ-specific QTL for resistance to late blight in *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja. The analysis of DNA sequence corresponding to the QTL reveals 15 candidate defense genes that contribute to a better understanding of the genetic control of late blight resistance in diploid potato, and could be used in the future in potato breeding programs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Plant material

The association panel consists of 150 accessions of *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja from the Working Collection of the Potato Breeding Program at Universidad Nacional de Colombia (S1 Table). From them, 109 are landrace accessions belonging to the Colombian Core Collection (CCC). Five are Colombian commercial genotypes, denoted as commercial cultivars,

Criolla Colombia (CrCol), Criolla Guaneña (CrGN), Criolla Latina (CrLSE1), Criolla Paisa (CrPSE1), and Criolla Galeras (CrGL). Four are diploid accessions from the *IPK Germplasm Bank of Germany* (Leibniz-Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung), which correspond to materials collected in Colombia, Peru and Bolivia by the International Potato Center (CIP). Three are from a segregating F1 population derived from a cross between the susceptible parental 48A.3 and the resistant parental 2A4, that exhibit different levels of resistance to *P. infestans*, and 20 are a new set of landrace accessions (CA) that were collected in Nariño province, located in the south of Colombia, in 2013. The cultivars ICA Unica and Diacol Capiro were the resistant and the susceptible controls to late blight, respectively.

Each accession was multiplied vegetatively, late blight-free, using seed potato tubers at the experimental station, Centro Agropecuario Marengo of Universidad Nacional de Colombia, with an altitude of 2,516 meters above sea level (masl), annual average temperature of 13.7°C, and cumulated rainfall of 669.9 mm/year. The production of seeds was carried out during three consecutive crop cycles under the Colombia traditional conditions of potato crop [22].

2.2 Genotyping approaches

GBS libraries were prepared and analyzed at the Génome Québec Innovation Centre from McGill University, while the 2b-RAD was conducted at the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC). The *PstI/MspI* enzymes were used for GBS digestion and the selective amplification was performed [23]. The *AlfI* enzyme was used for 2b-RAD approach. The DNA fragments generated were sequenced using the MiSeq system from Illumina.

DNA sequencing raw data from GBS and 2b-RAD were analyzed for SNP polymorphisms detection, according to the NGSTools Eclipse Plugin (NGSEP) [24]. The SNP calling was performed by alignments to the current potato reference genome from *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja clone DM1-3 (v4.03) [4], in order to obtain an annotated SNP matrix.

The filters applied to the annotated SNP matrix allowed to select those SNPs with quality score higher than 40 ($-q$ 40), 0.05 Minor Allele Frequency (MAF), minimum distance of 5 bp between variants, and SNPs located in non-repetitive regions according to the RepeatMasker annotated repeats [4]. Then, the SNP matrix was filtered using SAS software (SAS institute Inc.). The criteria such as a MAF higher than 0.05 and less than 10% of distorted molecular markers were allowed and those SNPs with Mendelian segregation of 1:1 were selected.

2.3 Evaluation of potato response to late blight disease

The evaluation of the association panel response to late blight was carried out in two localities during different crop cycles, starting on May 2010 until July 2014. The combination of localities and the crop cycles conformed seven environments, each one with specific conditions, that were coded ENV1 to ENV7 (Table 1). The localities were: La Unión in Antioquia, (5° 58'22"N 75° 21'40" W) and Subachoque, in Cundinamarca (4° 55' 41" N, 74° 10' 25" W). These localities were selected for being important potato production regions in Colombia, and having a high natural inoculum pressure of *P. infestans*. The experimental design used for the phenotypic evaluation consisted of an incomplete block design with three biological replicates (plant clones) per genotype, distributed in blocks of 12 experimental units of three tubers. Ica Unica and Diacol Capiro were the control cultivars for resistance and susceptibility, respectively [25]. The plants were evaluated for their response to *P. infestans* under natural infection conditions and inoculum pressure of RG57 (EC-1 clonal lineage), mating type A1, which is the predominant pathogen presented in both localities [26].

The severity of late blight was estimated and scored according to the Percentage of Direct Visual Estimation of the disease (PDVE) [27] in leaves and stems following the concepts

Table 1. Description of each environment for the phenotyping evaluation for resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in Group Phureja. The name of each location, the crop season, mean temperatures, mean relative humidity (RH), mean precipitation and code are shown.

Location	Crop season	Temp. (C°) ^a	RH (%) ^a	Mean precipitation (mm/crop season) ^a	Code
La Unión (Antioquia)	May 2010 –August 2010	15.1	86.6	16.5	ENV1*
La Unión (Antioquia)	November 2010 –February 2011	14.2	88.6	8.5	ENV2*
La Unión (Antioquia)	February 2013 –May 2013	14.8	89.1	9.4	ENV3
La Unión (Antioquia)	March 2014—July 2014	13.6	93.4	4.4	ENV4
Subachoque (Cundinamarca)	May 2010 –August 2010	14.4	86.3	4.4	ENV5*
Subachoque (Cundinamarca)	December 2010- April 2011	13.3	85.7	2.6	ENV6*
Subachoque (Cundinamarca)	November 2013—February 2014	13.1	80.0	2.6	ENV7

^a Average of environmental parameters (IDEAM www.ideam.gov.co).

* Data from [19]

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213818.t001>

presented by Bock et al., (2010) [28] and the procedure described by Álvarez et al., [17]. Evaluations were conducted by expert raters in late blight, who made the estimations along the experiments. The diseased leaves and stems were assessed independently per plant and for each organ. The severity was estimated as the percentage of the affected area for leaves and stems through a visual direct estimation. For stems, the PDVE was averaged over the number of stems of each genotype. The evaluation started 40 days after sowing (das) and were performed weekly until the susceptible control was completely affected (PDVE = 100%). Disease progress in time for each plant was analyzed by estimating the Area Under Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC) and the Relative Area Under Disease Progress Curve (rAUDPC) to the total area [29, 30]. The rAUDPC value for each genotype per environment was calculated by using the PROC MEANS procedure of SAS.

In order to evaluate the resistance response of the Phureja genotypes to late blight infection, previous data of the resistance in leaves in the population were taken into account. These data correspond to the phenotypic evaluation of late blight response of 104 diploid accessions of the Group Phureja (CCC), in the same two localities from May 2010 to February 2011 (ENV1, ENV2, ENV5, and ENV6) [17] (Table 1) and these evaluations were integrated for the analysis with new evaluations for the same plant material conducted from February 2013 until July 2014 (ENV3, ENV4, and ENV7).

2.4 Analysis of genetic stability

The GGE-biplot criteria were centered by two (centered G + GE), without scaling and singular value partitioning (SVP). GGE-biplot analysis was performed using the R package GEA-R [31].

2.5 Statistical analysis

The rAUDPC values were tested for variance components of genotype, genotype by environment, and experimental error through an ANOVA (S2 Table). All analyses were performed using the SAS software. AUDPC data for the trials of late blight resistance evaluation of the CCC population were included for best linear unbiased predictors (BLUPs) estimation and association analysis. These data correspond to the phenotypic evaluation of late blight disease response of 104 diploid accessions of the Group Phureja CCC, at La Unión from May to August 2010, from May to August 2010 at Subachoque, from November 2010 to February 2011 at La Unión, and from December 2010 to April 2011 at Subachoque [17] (Table 1).

The phylogenetic relationships of the 150 potato genotypes were determined based on the allele frequency of each SNP, the genotypes were assigned to an eventual population, using a Bayesian modeling in the software STRUCTURE v.2.3 [32–33]. To generate a kinship matrix (K) only the set of SNP from GBS was used in the R package Genome Association and Prediction Integrated Tool (GAPIT) [34]. The population structure matrix was applied as the matrix Q in the association model.

2.6 Genome-wide association study for late blight resistance

GWAS was performed for marker main and marker by locality interaction effects simultaneously using a linear mixed model in PROC MIXED procedure in SAS program (SAS version 9.2) following the model:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + M_i + T_j + M_i * T_j + e_{ijk}$$

Where Y_{ijk} is the phenotypic value; μ is the general mean; M_i is the random effect of i -th marker genotype; T_j is the locality effect fixed of j -th locality; $M_i * T_j$ is the interaction random effect of i -th marker with j -th local; and e_{ijk} is the residual. To determine traits of interest in the genome wide detection analysis, a log-of-odds (LOD) threshold with P -value ≤ 0.00001 , and 1000 permutations was determined. The QTL model comprises an iterative multiloci procedure. Therefore, the most informative SNP (QTL) was set as a fixed factor during each calculation iteration step. All remaining markers were again incorporated in the next iteration round and re-analyzed. The starting point of each calculation round was determined by the result of the previous iteration. P -values of significant markers were corrected using the false discovery rate (FDR) of lower than 0.05, implemented in the SAS procedure PROC MULT-TEST according to Benjamini and Yekutieli (2005) [35]. This procedure was repeated until no marker could be detected, which led to a reduction in significant markers and thereby a reduced number of false-positive QTL. A confidence interval of 1000 bp was chosen on both sides of the most significant SNP and designated as putative QTL. SNPs were combined to one joint QTL depending on their estimated (significant) P -value from the first iteration of the multi loci procedure. Therefore, the size of the genetic interval was dependent on the significance value of flanking SNPs.

2.7 QTL mapping and candidate gene proposed

The DNA sequences harboring SNPs identified as statistically associated with the phenotypic data of the response to late blight were explored through BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) analysis to the current potato reference genome (v4.04)[4]. These sequences were searched also in the potato consensus QTL map in order to find co-localizations of SNPs with previous reported QTL.

3. Results

3.1 GBS and 2b-RAD genotyping in *Solanum tuberosum* Group Phureja

Plant genome-wide genotyping for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) detection was performed by GBS and 2b-RAD approaches, using Illumina Hi-seq (Illumina, Inc.), which produced both in total 349,965,885 reads. Using GBS 302,965,885 reads of 90 bp were obtained, while with 2b-RAD 46,502,388 reads of 36 bp. On average, 88% of the reads, were aligned to unique positions in the potato reference genome (v4.04) [4]. The initial average coverage metric per sample ranged between 0.0044x to 0.0494x for 2b-RAD and in GBS between 0,03 to 0.6x. The coverage metric total to 2b-RAD was 2x and in GBS was 32.5x.




Fig 1. Number of SNPs mapped for each chromosome in the potato genome. Numbers on the right of the bars show the total SNPs identified through GBS and 2b-RAD located in each chromosome as indicated by roman numerals on y-axis.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213818.g001>

Based on NGSEP analyses a total of 87,656 SNPs were identified. From them, 85,755 SNPs were obtained from GBS and 1,901 from 2b-RAD. Finally, the subsequent filtering criteria reduced the matrix to 83,862 SNPs (S3 Table). These SNPs are distributed across the 12 potato chromosomes with an average of 6,988 for each chromosome. The higher densities of SNPs were found in chromosomes I, III, and IV with 10,427; 8,237 and 8,160 SNPs, respectively (Fig 1). From the resulting matrix of 83,862 SNPs, 60% (49,948) corresponds to transitions while 40% (33,914) are transversions, with a ratio of 1.47 (S4 Table).

3.2 Evaluation of late blight response in an association panel of Group Phureja

Both AUDPC and rAUDPC values for the genotypes of each locality and season showed a continuous distribution. The AUDPC values observed among the genotypes were the highest in the ENV6 (ranged from 1,400 to 13,325), followed by ENV5 (from 503.5 to 11,964.2) and then, by ENV2 (from 1,416.6 to 10,227.7). In Table 2, the number of evaluated genotypes, the AUDPC and rAUDPC ranges are shown (S5 Table).

3.3 Stability analysis

In order to evaluate the performance of Phureja genotypes under different environments; a genotype x environment analysis was conducted through a GGE-biplot analysis of Multi-

Table 2. Distribution of the AUDPC and rAUDPC values in the Group Phureja association panel. Number of genotypes evaluated, range of AUDPC and rAUDPC values.

Location/code	Evaluated genotypes	AUDPC range	Mean AUDPC	rAUDPC range	Mean rAUDPC
ENV1	112	437.5–12,835	5345.09	0–3.67	0.44
ENV2	112	1416.6–10227.7	4321.9	0.28–2.08	0.28
ENV3	111	15.6–257.1	106.9	0–0.46	0.25
ENV4	114	0–248.3	77.7	0–0.23	0.17
ENV5	112	503.5–11964.2	5125.3	0.07–1.89	0.19
ENV6	112	1,400–13,325	6894.3	0.25–2.37	0.22
ENV7	137	4.16–1713.4	911.3	0–0.40	0.22

Shapiro-Wilk normality test: Stem. W = 0.83535, p-value < 2.2e-16. Foliage. W = 0.70973, p-value < 2.2e-16.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213818.t002>

Table 3. Summary of genome associations for resistance to late blight by location. Plant organ, localization, genome position, QTL for late blight resistance, its significance and gene annotation were the QTL resides.

Plant organ	Location	Genome position	QTL name	P-value	R ²	Gen annotation (Arabidopsis and Tomato)
Leaves	Subachoque (Cundinamarca)	Chr 1 Pos 37547438	RPiLSub1	6,2616E-08	18.8%	Protein Glycoside hydrolase, catalytic domain
		Chr 3 Pos 54281967	RPiLSub3	9,0818E-09	25.1%	Chloroplast protein with Zinc finger domain
		Chr 6 Pos 40366150	RPiLSub6	2,5174E-06	16.3%	Integral membrane transporter family protein
	La Unión (Antioquia)	Chr 3 Pos 3162604	RPiLLU3a	9,15844E-11	28.5%	Ubiquitin receptor protein associated with PEX2 and PEX12.
		Chr 3 Pos 54281967	RPiLLU3b	4,49269E-09	24.3%	Chloroplast protein with Zinc finger domain
		Chr 11 Pos 7171775	RPiLLU11	8,99280E-06	13.7%	Receptor-like protein kinase
Stem	Subachoque (Cundinamarca)	Chr4 Pos 9090691	RPiSSub4	2,04E-06	17.3%	Leucine-rich repeat protein kinase family protein
		Chr 6 Pos 11035921	RPiSSub6	1,14E-09	25.8%	SIT4 phosphatase-associated family protein
		Chr 7 Pos 53724116	RPiSSub7	1,07E-09	25.8%	Actin filament-based movement. Endocytosis
		Chr 10 Pos 51118650	RPiSSub10	1,02E-07	20.8%	DHHC-type zinc finger family protein
		Chr 12 Pos 57373550	RPiSSub12	1,27E-08	23.2%	No functional annotation
	La Unión (Antioquia)	Chr 5 Pos 45069210	RPiSLU5	3,00E-08	22.2%	Ser/Thr protein kinase, BLUS1
		Chr 10 Pos 4646061	RPiSLU10	3,53E-11	27.7%	No functional annotation
		Chr 12 Pos 3076623	RPiSLU12a	1,60E-14	33.3%	Serine/threonine-protein kinase. Plasma membrane
		Chr 12 Pos 38707075	RPiSLU12b	7,64E-23	50.9%	No functional annotation
		Chr 12 Pos 56839213	RPiSLU12c	6,32E-18	38.4%	No functional annotation

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213818.t003>

Environment Trials (MET). The rAUDPC values of each genotype in each plant organ (leaves and stems) were analyzed independently. The GGE-Biplot analysis shows that the two principal components explained 77.5% and 91.1% of the total variance caused by $G_{plant} + G_{pathogen} + E$ for Phureja resistance to late blight in leaves and stem, respectively (Table 3 and Fig 2). The leaves analysis showed the two mega-environments conformation, MET 1 (ENV1, ENV2, ENV5, and ENV6) and MET 2 (ENV3, ENV4 and ENV7). However, for stem analysis no mega-environments were detected. The rAUDPC values of the genotypes were able to discriminate among seven environments tested. The behavior of genotypes for both leaves and stem fall into two sectors of the graphic. For leaves resistance, the genotypes behavior seems to be




Fig 2. Which Won Where/What graphic of GGE-Biplot analysis for leaves and stem resistance evaluation. (a) GGE-Biplot analysis for phenotype evaluation against *P. infestans* in leaves. (b) GGE-Biplot analysis for phenotype evaluation against *P. infestans* in stem. ENV codes are shown in Table 1.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213818.g002>

quite similar in MET 1, where, the genotypes exhibit more susceptibility in the environment ENV1. In MET 2, the environments ENV3 and ENV4 show also the most susceptible genotypes (Fig 2).

On the other hand, the genotypes CCC003 (32) and CCC101 (103) were identified as extreme resistant and susceptible to late blight, respectively evaluated in leaves, while the genotypes CCC086 (91) and CC133 (131) were identified in stem as extreme resistant and susceptible, respectively. The numbers in the parentheses are the running ones as shown in the Fig 2. According to the rAUDPC, 44 genotypes are resistant in the stem evaluation. For leaves, five genotypes CCC003 (32), CCC051 (68), CCC005 (34), CCC115 (114), and the control Unica (233) were the most resistant.

The population structure analysis shows a continuous increase in the goodness of fit, Ln [P (D)], compared with the number of populations (S1 Fig). Although there was convergence between the number of iterations and the number of possible populations, stability was not achieved in the determination of the number of populations after probabilistic allocation indicating the lack of population structure.

Based on the kinship analysis, the diversity panel used in this study consisted of two possible major groups (S2 Fig). A first cluster associated the genotypes of commercial cultivars and advanced clones (Ca) with some genotypes of CCC. The second cluster included the remaining genotypes of CCC and the new landraces collected (S2 Fig).

3.4 Stable QTL identified for late blight resistance in leaves and stem

In total 16 organ-specific QTL distributed in nine of the 12 chromosomes were identified (Table 3, Fig 3 and S3 Fig). The QTL detected explain from 13.7% to 50.9% of the phenotypic variance (late blight resistance) (Table 3). Six QTL were detected through the leaves evaluation, three under the locality Subachoque and the other three for the locality La Unión. Two of these QTL were considered stable as they were detected in the same organ (leaves) in the two




Fig 3. SNPs mapped in the potato chromosomes of Group Phureja. Genomic distances are given in Mbp according to the PGSC V4.03 pseudomolecules [4]. In red are presented the QTL for late blight resistance detected in the phenotypic response for leaves, while in blue are presented those detected for stems. In black are presented the markers that limit each chromosome in the potato genome. Diagram plotted using MapChart software.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213818.g003>

localities, RPiSub3 and RPiLU3b for Subachoque and La Union, respectively. The QTL RPiSub3 explains 25.1% while the QTL RPiLU3b explains the 24.3% of the resistance to *P. infestans* (Table 3). On the other hand, ten QTL were detected for late blight stem resistance. Five QTL were detected in Subachoque locality and the other five in La Unión. The QTL coded as RPiSLU12b explains the highest phenotypic variance of 50.9% identified through the stem evaluation.

3.5 QTL and candidate genes for organ-specific resistance to late blight

The DNA genome sequences harboring associated SNPs to late blight resistance were analyzed *in silico* using BLAST against the current potato reference genome (v4.04) and to the potato consensus QTL map [4]. Those genes which co-localized with the SNPs associated to late blight resistance were considered as candidate genes for late blight resistance. In total, 15 candidate genes were identified, ten for stem resistance and five for leaves resistance. Among the total of candidate genes, those corresponding to the QTL RPiSub12, RPiSLU10, RPiSLU12b, and RPiSLU12c have not been previously annotated, while the other 11 candidate genes, have known functional annotation in the current potato genome, with diverse protein domains (Table 3). Interestingly, the QTL RPiSub4, which explains 17.3% of the resistance to late blight in stem, co-localized with a gene coding for a leucine-rich repeat containing protein kinase, a class of genes shown to be involved in plant immunity.

4. Discussion

We identified 16 organ-specific QTL for resistance to *P. infestans* and 15 candidate genes that might have a putative function in the defense response of potato against this pathogen. For that an association panel of 150 accessions from *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja was evaluated under seven environments conditions and genotyped through GBS and 2b-RAD. The locations chosen for the phenotypic evaluation to late blight organ-specific disease response (La Unión-Antioquia and Subachoque-Cundinamarca) are important potato production regions in Colombia with high natural pathogen pressure. Moreover, these are geographic regions wherein the late blight disease response in the Group Phureja has been evaluated before and the *P. infestans* populations in these regions have been explored [36]. Nevertheless, it would be interesting to explore the actual genetic diversity of the *P. infestans* populations in these two locations, in order to elucidate connections between this variability and the variability found both in the defense response of the evaluated genotypes and also in the identified QTL. Furthermore, this would allow directing the potato breeding programs towards the development of resistant adapted materials for these important potato production regions.

In the genetic stability analysis, the addition of the phenotypic data (ENV1, ENV2, ENV5, and ENV6) shows a major variation of the resistance behavior in the genotypes and revealed the presence of Meta Environments. In the phenotypic evaluation of stems, the genotypes behavior was less dissimilar with a clear resistance trend. On the other hand, in the leaves phenotypic evaluation, the MET 1 (Fig 3) grouped the phenotypic data, which were obtained when the climate phenomenon called La Niña affected Colombia, consequently, those crop cycles presented higher relative humidity than MET 2. While in the MET 2 those environments considered as normal years with an average relative humidity, were grouped. Therefore, as previously reported, the environments with higher relative humidity seem to be the most favorable for the late blight disease development [7, 37].

The lack of population structure in the Group Phureja was previously described by Juyo et al. [38] based on 42 SSR markers and is corroborated by the current results using SNPs from GBS and 2bRAD. In cultivated potato and its wild relatives, the outcrossing (including

intervarietal hybridization) and high heterozygosity are features that contribute to extreme genetic diversity and are probably important factors that have enabled the survival and spread of potato [39]. The kinship relations between the two possible groups found were to be expected, because the commercial genotypes of the Group Phureja come mainly from crosses between genotypes of the population CCC.

In this study 16 organ-specific QTL conferring resistance in potato Group Phureja against *P. infestans* were identified, explaining high levels of phenotypic variance or late blight resistance (13.7% to 50.9%) thus these regions could be considered as QTL with major effects. Ten of these QTL were detected through the stem evaluation while the other six QTL were detected through the leaves evaluation. Despite that, it is known that the response in a plant to a pathogen can be organ specific [19], according to our understanding this is the first organ-specific late blight resistance QTL detection study through the phenotyping in leaves and stems of *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja.

The organ specific analyses have been considered as a useful way to dissect and understand the plant pathogen polygenic resistance. Detection of organ-specific pathogen resistance QTL has already been published in several crops such as maize (*Zea mays*) [40], tetraploid potato [19–21] and wheat [41]. The resistance responses in different plant organs have been associated with the ability and speed of defense related metabolites production, as well as how these metabolites can be transported to other plant organs and then increase the plant pathogen basal defense. Dannan et al., (2009) [19] reported that one of the advantages of the high level of resistance to late blight exhibited by the potato stem compared to the leaves, is the ability of the stem to recover the plant leaves and thus could have a significant potential in controlling late blight epidemics in the field.

Several resistance QTL to late blight have been reported in *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja [4, 36, 37], however, none of those QTL were identified in this study. This could be explained by the high effect of the environments where the phenotypic evaluations were performed. Also, the plant materials employed, their genetic backgrounds, and the phenotyping restricted to specific plant organ could have an important influence in the QTL detection. Nevertheless, we are reporting a stable QTL, which receives its name according to the locality in which it was detected, RPiLSub3 and RPiLLU3b, in Subachoque and La Unión conditions respectively. In Subachoque this QTL explains 25.1% while in La Unión explains the 24.3% of the resistance to *P. infestans*. The phenotypic variance explained by a QTL could change depending on the environment where the phenotypic data were collected and also by the evaluated organ. This variability has been reported in QTL for maize [42], barley [43], and *Brassica rapa* [44].

The genome regions harboring the SNPs associated with resistance to late blight harbor genes coding for proteins carrying diverse domains and were established as candidate genes for resistance to late blight. We propose 15 candidate genes, four of them have not functional annotation so far. Interestingly, two of these anonymous QTL, are those explaining the highest percentage of phenotypic variances, RPiSLU12c and RPiSLU12b, which explain 38.4% and 50.9% of the resistance to late blight, respectively. These genomic regions have a big potential to be explored in the near future for functional validation and establish their annotation.

Both qualitative and quantitative resistance have been described in the *S. tuberosum*—*P. infestans* interaction [17, 36, 37, 45]. Thus, it is not surprising that within the set of candidate genes was found a gene coding for a leucine-rich repeat protein kinase family, a protein typically related to qualitative resistance, co-localizing with the RPiSSub4 QTL. This finding reinforces the thesis that the qualitative resistance governed by the Avr-R interactions and the quantitative resistance governed by QTL can act simultaneously. On the other hand, the fact that the majority of the identified candidate genes do not belong to classical protein domains of resistance genes, goes accordingly to previous findings where it has been shown that the

proteins carrying the classical resistance domains could be just a few of the whole set of proteins that governs the quantitative resistance [18].

Here four candidate genes coding for protein kinases were identified co-localizing with the QTL RPiLLU11, RPiSSub4, RPiSLU5, and RPiSLU12a. The role of kinases has been broadly described in plant resistance [46–49]. Their roles have been described as a receptor molecule and are also involved in the defense signaling pathway [50]. In *S. tuberosum* some protein kinases have been reported such as calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) decode calcium (Ca^{2+}) signals and activate different signaling pathways involved in hormone signaling and both abiotic and biotic stress responses. In this study, the authors identified different organ-specific expression levels among the genes *CDPKs*. The higher expression of these genes was in roots, stolons, and microtubers compared with the level in leaves and stems (i.e. StCDPK7). Moreover, the potato CDPKs can be sorted into two classes, those which are ubiquitously expressed in different tissues and those that have specific expression pattern which are approximately one-third of the CDPK family [51].

The results presented here demonstrate the great potential of the Group Phureja as an alternative source of resistance to potato late blight. In fact, the diploid nature of this group facilitates its use in molecular breeding programs, whose aim is transferring resistance into tetraploid potatoes gene pool. This article shows that the responses of potato plants to *P. infestans* infection are organ-specific. These differential responses can have an important impact on the behavior of the genotype and therefore on its production. Therefore, these results open the door to continue exploring this type of resistance, so in the near future we can develop strategies that integrate the specific responses in the potato improvement and production programs.

It is known that the dynamics with which effectors and *R* genes interact in resistant potato genotypes versus susceptible genotypes differ in the level of transcription, as well as in the speed with which it is induced in tuber [21] and leaves [52]. Now, our results open the way for studies of expression demonstrating the above in potato leaves of the Group Phureja and for the first time in potato stem.

The identified QTL in this research are an advance in the discovery of new organ-specific genetic factors involved in the resistance response of *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja to *P. infestans* infection. Also, the genomic regions associated and the candidate genes proposed are resistance sources to be functionally validated in the near future. The environment specific QTL here described hides interesting molecular mechanisms to be explored to a better understanding the genotype-environment interactions in the potato - *P. infestans* interaction. Finally, the results could be integrated into potato genetic breeding programs focused on marker assisted selection, as well as, for the development of local adapted new cultivars or by combining or pyramiding these QTL to develop environment independent resistant cultivars.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Plant material origin. Geographic origin and passport data of plant material. (XLSX)

S2 Table. Analysis of variance. a. Analysis of variance for genotype, environment and genotype x environment among the association panel for a. leaves phenotyping. b. stem phenotyping ($p < 0.001$). (PDF)

S3 Table. GBS and 2b-RAD genotyping data. SNP matrix obtained by Genotyping by sequencing and 2b-RAD approaches. (XLSX)

S4 Table. Transitions and transversion data. Transitions and transversion SNPs from the genotyping matrix of 83,862 SNPs.
(PDF)

S5 Table. rAUDPC evaluation data. rAUDPC values by genotype for leaves and stem resistance evaluations.
(XLSX)

S1 Fig. Likelihood of population assignment to Group Phureja genotypes employing two genotyping strategies. a. GBS and b. 2b-RAD. K indicate the specific number of population generated by the STRUCTURE software.
(PDF)

S2 Fig. Heatmap and dendrogram of a kinship matrix. Kinship estimated using the efficient massive mapping algorithm (EMMA) based on 4,216 SNP-GBS from Group Phureja genotypes.
(PDF)

S3 Fig. Manhattan plots and the most informative SNP (putative QTL) for genome wide association study (GWAS) of resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in Group Phureja. On the x-axis, different color tones correspond to different chromosomes within the genome from 1 to 12. The dashed horizontal line indicates the significant threshold at $-\log_{10}(p\text{-value}) = 8$. a.- d. Manhattan plot and putative QTL for resistance to *P.infestans* in foliage. a-b Correspond to SNP associated in La Union environment, c-d Correspond to SNP associated in Subachoque environment. e.-h. Manhattan plot for resistance to *P.infestans* in stem. e-f Correspond to SNP associated in La Union environment, g-h. Correspond to SNP associated in Subachoque environment.
(PDF)

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Klaus Dehmer for supporting this work with plant material consisting in diploid accessions from the *IPK Germplasm Bank of Germany* (Leibniz-Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung. We would like to thank to Jorge Duitama from “Universidad de los Andes” for the bioinformatics support; to Diana Duarte, Boby Mathew, and Said Dadshani from INRES Institute of University of Bonn for their scientific support and to María Cecilia Delgado for her assistance in the establishment of the experiments in field conditions.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Deissy Katherine Juyo Rojas, Agim Ballvora, Jens Léon, Teresa Mosquera Vásquez.

Data curation: Deissy Katherine Juyo Rojas.

Formal analysis: Deissy Katherine Juyo Rojas, Johana Carolina Soto Sedano.

Investigation: Deissy Katherine Juyo Rojas, Johana Carolina Soto Sedano, Teresa Mosquera Vásquez.

Writing – original draft: Deissy Katherine Juyo Rojas, Johana Carolina Soto Sedano, Agim Ballvora, Jens Léon.

Writing – review & editing: Teresa Mosquera Vásquez.

References

1. FAOSTAT. FAOSTAT. In: FAOSTAT [Internet]. 2018 [cited 18 Oct 2018]. Available: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home>
2. Spooner DM, Núñez J, Trujillo G, Herrera M del R, Guzmán F, Ghislain M. Extensive simple sequence repeat genotyping of potato landraces supports a major reevaluation of their gene pool structure and classification. *PNAS*. 2007; 104: 19398–19403. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0709796104> PMID: 18042704
3. Ghislain M, Andrade D, Rodríguez F, Hijmans RJ, Spooner DM. Genetic analysis of the cultivated potato *Solanum tuberosum* L. Phureja Group using RAPDs and nuclear SSRs. *Theor Appl Genet*. 2006; 113: 1515–1527. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-006-0399-7> PMID: 16972060
4. Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, Xu X, Pan S, Cheng S, Zhang B, Mu D, et al. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature*. 2011; 475: 189–195. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10158> PMID: 21743474
5. Ballvora A, Ercolano MR, Weiss J, Meksem K, Bormann CA, Oberhagemann P, et al. The R1 gene for potato resistance to late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) belongs to the leucine zipper/NBS/LRR class of plant resistance genes. *Plant J*. 2002; 30: 361–371. PMID: 12000683
6. Leonards-Schippers C, Gieffers W, Schäfer-Pregl R, Ritter E, Knapp SJ, Salamini F, et al. Quantitative resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in potato: a case study for QTL mapping in an allogamous plant species. *Genetics*. 1994; 137: 67–77. PMID: 7914505
7. Mosquera, Cuéllar D, Álvarez M, Angarita M, Delgado M, Juyó D. *Genética de la Papa frente a la Gota*. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia; 2013.
8. Nowicki M, Foolad MR, Nowakowska M, Kozik EU. Potato and Tomato Late Blight Caused by *Phytophthora infestans*: An Overview of Pathology and Resistance Breeding. *Plant Disease*. 2011; 96: 4–17. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-11-0458> PMID: 30731850
9. Centre for Agriculture and Biosciences International. CABI.org [Internet]. 2018 [cited 18 Oct 2018]. Available: <https://www.cabi.org/>
10. Gebhardt C, Valkonen JPT. Organization of Genes Controlling Disease Resistance in the Potato Genome. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 2001; 39: 79–102. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.39.1.79> PMID: 11701860
11. Torres L, Cuesta X, Monteros C, Rivadeneira J. Variedades. In: International Potato Center [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2 Mar 2014]. Available: <http://cipotato.org/region-quito/informacion/inventario-de-tecnologias/variedades/>
12. Fry WE, Birch PRJ, Judelson HS, Grünwald NJ, Danies G, Everts KL, et al. Five Reasons to Consider *Phytophthora infestans* a Reemerging Pathogen. *Phytopathology*. 2015; 105: 966–981. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-01-15-0005-FI> PMID: 25760519
13. Barquero M, Gómez L, Brenes A. Resistencia al tizón tardío (*Phytophthora infestans*) en clones promisorios de papa en Costa Rica. *Agronomía Costarricense*. 2005; 29: 31–45.
14. Pajeroska-Mukhtar K, Stich B, Achenbach U, Ballvora A, Lübeck J, Strahwald J, et al. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in the Allene Oxide Synthase 2 Gene Are Associated With Field Resistance to Late Blight in Populations of Tetraploid Potato Cultivars. *Genetics*. 2009; 181: 1115–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.094268> PMID: 19139145
15. Gebhardt C, Ballvora A, Walkemeier B, Oberhagemann P, Schüller K. Assessing genetic potential in germplasm collections of crop plants by marker-trait association: a case study for potatoes with quantitative variation of resistance to late blight and maturity type. *Molecular Breeding*. 2004; 13: 93–102. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:MOLB.0000012878.89855.df>
16. Simko I. One potato, two potato: haplotype association mapping in autotetraploids. *Trends Plant Sci*. 2004; 9: 441–448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2004.07.003> PMID: 15337494
17. Álvarez MF, Angarita M, Delgado MC, García C, Jiménez-Gomez J, Gebhardt C, et al. Identification of Novel Associations of Candidate Genes with Resistance to Late Blight in *Solanum tuberosum* Group Phureja. *Front Plant Sci*. 2017; 8: 1040. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01040> PMID: 28674545
18. Corwin JA, Kliebenstein DJ. Quantitative Resistance: More Than Just Perception of a Pathogen. *Plant Cell*. 2017; 29: 655–665. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00915> PMID: 28302676
19. Danan S, Chauvin J-E, Caromel B, Moal J-D, Pellé R, Lefebvre V. Major-effect QTLs for stem and foliage resistance to late blight in the wild potato relatives *Solanum sparsipilum* and *S. spegazzinii* are mapped to chromosome X. *Theor Appl Genet*. 2009; 119: 705–719. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-009-1081-7> PMID: 19533081
20. Gao L, Bradeen JM. Contrasting Potato Foliage and Tuber Defense Mechanisms against the Late Blight Pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*. Wong S-M, editor. *PLOS ONE*. 2016; 11: e0159969. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159969> PMID: 27441721

21. Gao L, Tu Z, Millett BP, Bradeen JM. Insights into organ-specific pathogen defense responses in plants: RNA-seq analysis of potato tuber-*Phytophthora infestans* interactions. *BMC Genomics*. 2013; 14: 340. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-340> PMID: 23702331
22. Alarcón J, Torrado E, Nuñez P, Forigua V. Manejo fitosanitario del cultivo de la papa (*Solanum tuberosum* subsp. andigena y *S. phureja*). *Medidas para la temporada invernal*. Produccion; 2011.
23. Sonah H, Bastien M, Iquira E, Tardivel A, Légaré G, Boyle B, et al. An Improved Genotyping by Sequencing (GBS) Approach Offering Increased Versatility and Efficiency of SNP Discovery and Genotyping. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8: e54603. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054603> PMID: 23372741
24. Duitama J, Quintero JC, Cruz DF, Quintero C, Hubmann G, Foulquié-Moreno MR, et al. An integrated framework for discovery and genotyping of genomic variants from high-throughput sequencing experiments. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2014; 42: e44. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1381> PMID: 24413664
25. Ñustez C. Variedades colombianas de papa. 2011. <http://www.papaunc.com/variedades-liberadas-por-la-universidad-nacional-de-colombia>
26. Vargas AM, Ocampo LMQ, Céspedes MC, Carreño N, González A, Rojas A, et al. Characterization of *Phytophthora infestans* Populations in Colombia: First Report of the A2 Mating Type. *Phytopathology*. 2008; 99: 82–88. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-99-1-0082> PMID: 19055438
27. Nita M, Ellis MA, Madden LV. Reliability and accuracy of visual estimation of phomopsis leaf blight of strawberry. *Phytopathology*. 2003; 93: 995–1005. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.8.995> PMID: 18943866
28. Bock CH, Poole GH, Parker PE and Gottwald TR. 2010. Plant disease severity estimated visually, by digital photography and image analysis, and by hyperspectral imaging. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci*. 29:59–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352681003617285>
29. Campbell CL and Madden LV. *Introduction to plant disease epidemiology*. NY, John Wiley & Sons; 1990.
30. Forbes G, Perez W, Andrade-Piedra J. Field assessment of resistance in potato to *Phytophthora infestans*: International cooperators guide. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.4160/9789290604402>
31. Pacheco Á, Vargas M, Alvarado G, Rodríguez F, Crossa J, Burgueño J. GEA-R (Genotype x Environment Analysis with R for Windows) Version 4.1 [Internet]. 2018. Available: <https://data.cimmyt.org/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=hdl:11529/10203>
32. Fry B, Myers K, Mayton H, Small I, Danies G. Late Blight Update 2015. Available from: <http://www.hort.cornell.edu/expo/proceedings/2016/Potato.%20Late%20blight%20update%202015.%20Fry.pdf>
33. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*. 2000; 155: 945–959. PMID: 10835412
34. Lipka AE, Tian F, Wang Q, Peiffer J, Li M, Bradbury PJ, et al. GAPIT: genome association and prediction integrated tool. *Bioinformatics*. 2012; 28: 2397–2399. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts444> PMID: 22796960
35. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. False Discovery Rate—Adjusted Multiple Confidence Intervals for Selected Parameters. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 2005; 100: 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1198/016214504000001907>
36. Costanzo S, Simko I, Christ BJ, Haynes KG. QTL analysis of late blight resistance in a diploid potato family of *Solanum phureja* × *S. stenotomum*. *Theor Appl Genet*. 2005; 111: 609–617. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-005-2053-1> PMID: 15931506
37. Simko I, Costanzo S, Ramanjulu V, Christ BJ, Haynes KG. Mapping polygenes for tuber resistance to late blight in a diploid *Solanum phureja* × *S. stenotomum* hybrid population. *Plant Breeding*. 2006; 125: 385–389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2006.01232.x>
38. Juyó D, Sarmiento F, Álvarez M, Brochero H, Gebhardt C, Mosquera T. Genetic diversity and population structure in diploid potatoes. *Crop Science*. 2014. 55(2). <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2014.07.0524>
39. Spooner DM, Gavrilenko T, Jansky SH, Ovchinnikova A, Krylova E, Knapp S, et al. Ecogeography of ploidy variation in cultivated potato (*Solanum* sect. *Petota*). *Am J Bot*. 2010; 97: 2049–2060. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1000277> PMID: 21616851
40. Balmer D, de Papajewski DV, Planchamp C, Glauser G, Mauch-Mani B. Induced resistance in maize is based on organ-specific defence responses. *The Plant Journal*. 2013; 74: 213–225. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbj.12114> PMID: 23302050
41. Golkari S, Gilbert J, Prashar S, Procnier JD. Microarray analysis of *Fusarium graminearum*-induced wheat genes: identification of organ-specific and differentially expressed genes. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. 2007; 5: 38–49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2006.00213.x> PMID: 17207255

42. Asaro A, Ziegler G, Ziyomo C, Hoekenga OA, Dilkes BP, Baxter I. The Interaction of Genotype and Environment Determines Variation in the Maize Kernel Ionome. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 2016; 6: 4175–4183. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.034827> PMID: 27770027
43. Tétard-Jones C, Kertesz MA, Preziosi RF. Quantitative trait loci mapping of phenotypic plasticity and genotype-environment interactions in plant and insect performance. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci*. 2011; 366: 1368–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0356> PMID: 21444311
44. Dechaine JM, Brock MT, Iniguez-Luy FL, Weinig C. Quantitative trait loci × environment interactions for plant morphology vary over ontogeny in *Brassica rapa*. *New Phytol*. 2014; 201: 657–669. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12520> PMID: 26012723
45. Ghislain M, Trognitz B, Herrera M del R, Solis J, Casallo G, Vásquez C, et al. Genetic loci associated with field resistance to late blight in offspring of *Solanum phureja* and *S. tuberosum* grown under short-day conditions. *Theor Appl Genet*. 2001; 103: 433–442. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-001-0545-1>
46. Huard-Chauveau C, Percheviel L, Debieu M, Rivas S, Kroj T, Kars I, Bergelson J., et al. An atypical kinase under balancing selection confers broad-spectrum disease resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Genet*. 2013; 9: e1003766. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003766> PMID: 24068949
47. Kohorn BD. Cell wall-associated kinases and pectin perception. *J Exp Bot*. 2016; 67: 489–494. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv467> PMID: 26507892
48. Roux F, Noël L, Rivas S, Roby D. ZRK atypical kinases: emerging signaling components of plant immunity. *New Phytologist*. 2014; 203: 713–716. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12841> PMID: 24841753
49. Vásquez AX, Soto Sedano JC, López Carrascal CE, Unraveling the Molecules Hidden In The Gray Shadows of Quantitative Disease Resistance to Pathogens. *Acta Biológica Colombiana*. 2018; 23: 5–16. <https://doi.org/10.15446/abc.v23n1.66487>
50. Tena G, Boudsocq M, Sheen J. Protein kinase signaling networks in plant innate immunity. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*. 2011; 14: 519–529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2011.05.006> PMID: 21704551
51. Fantino E, Segretin ME, Santin F, Mirkin FG, Ulloa RM. Analysis of the potato calcium-dependent protein kinase family and characterization of StCDPK7, a member induced upon infection with *Phytophthora infestans*. *Plant Cell Rep*. 2017; 36: 1137–1157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-017-2144-x> PMID: 28451820
52. Bradeen JM, Iorizzo M, Mollov DS, Raasch J, Kramer LC, Millett BP, et al. Higher Copy Numbers of the Potato RB Transgene Correspond to Enhanced Transcript and Late Blight Resistance Levels. *MPMI*. 2009; 22: 437–446. <https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-22-4-0437> PMID: 19271958