



Cytotype Affects the Capability of the Whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* MED Species To Feed and Oviposit on an Unfavorable Host Plant

Sylvain Benhamou,^{a,b} Isabelle Rahioui,^b Hélène Henri,^a Hubert Charles,^b Pedro Da Silva,^b Abdelaziz Heddi,^b Fabrice Vavre,^a Emmanuel Desouhant,^a Federica Calevro,^b Laurence Mouton^a

^aUniversité de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, VetAgro Sup, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR 5558, Villeurbanne, France ^bUniv Lyon, INRAE, INSA Lyon, BF2I, UMR 203, Villeurbanne, France

Federica Calevro and Laurence Mouton contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT The acquisition of nutritional obligate primary endosymbionts (P-symbionts) allowed phloemo-phageous insects to feed on plant sap and thus colonize novel ecological niches. P-symbionts often coexist with facultative secondary endosymbionts (S-symbionts), which may also influence their hosts' niche utilization ability. The whitefly Bemisia tabaci is a highly diversified species complex harboring, in addition to the P-symbiont "Candidatus Portiera aleyrodidarum," seven S-symbionts whose roles remain poorly understood. Here, we compare the phenotypic and metabolic responses of three B. tabaci lines differing in their S-symbiont community, reared on three different host plants, hibiscus, tobacco, or lantana, and address whether and how S-symbionts influence insect capacity to feed and produce offspring on those plants. We first show that hibiscus, tobacco, and lantana differ in their free amino acid composition. Insects' performance, as well as free amino acid profile and symbiotic load, were shown to be plant dependent, suggesting a critical role for the plant nutritional properties. Insect fecundity was significantly lower on lantana, indicating that it is the least favorable plant. Remarkably, insects reared on this plant show a specific amino acid profile and a higher symbiont density compared to the two other plants. In addition, this plant was the only one for which fecundity differences were observed between lines. Using genetically homogeneous hybrids, we demonstrate that cytotype (mitochondria and symbionts), and not genotype, is a major determinant of females' fecundity and amino acid profile on lantana. As cytotypes differ in their S-symbiont community, we propose that these symbionts may mediate their hosts' suitable plant range.

IMPORTANCE Microbial symbionts are universal in eukaryotes, and it is now recognized that symbiotic associations represent major evolutionary driving forces. However, the extent to which symbionts contribute to their hosts' ecological adaptation and subsequent diversification is far from being fully elucidated. The whitefly *Bernisia tabaci* is a sap feeder associated with multiple coinfecting intracellular facultative symbionts. Here, we show that plant species simultaneously affect whiteflies' performance, amino acid profile, and symbiotic density, which could be partially explained by differences in plant nutritional properties. We also demonstrate that, on lantana, the least favorable plant used in our study, whiteflies' performance is determined by their cytotype. We propose that the host plant utilization in *B. tabaci* is influenced by its facultative symbiont community composition, possibly through its impact on the host dietary requirements. Altogether, our data provide new insights into the impact of intracellular microorganisms on their animal hosts' ecological niche range and diversification.

KEYWORDS cytotype, symbionts, plant utilization, *Bemisia tabaci*

Microbial symbionts have been associated in numerous phytophagous insects with adaptive changes that profoundly influence their interactions with host plants. For instance, symbionts can supplement their hosts with essential and otherwise limiting Charles H, Da Silva P, Heddi A, Vavre F, Desouhant E, Calevro F, Mouton L. 2021. Cytotype affects the capability of the whitefly Bernisia tabaci MED species to feed and oviposit on an unfavorable host plant. mBio 12: e00730-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .00730-21.

Citation Benhamou S, Rahioui I, Henri H,

Invited Editor Martin Kaltenpoth, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz

Editor Edward G. Ruby, University of Hawaii at Manoa

Copyright © 2021 Benhamou et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Federica Calevro, federica.calevro@inrae.fr, or Laurence Mouton, laurence.mouton@univ-lyon1.fr.

Received 22 June 2021 Accepted 4 October 2021 Published 16 November 2021 nutrients, detoxify plant defense compounds, or break down plant polymers (1, 2). In sapfeeding hemipteran insects, the acquisition of nutritional "primary" obligate bacterial endosymbionts (P-symbionts) is considered a pivotal evolutionary event that allowed them to thrive on plant sap, a diet where amino acids and vitamins essential to their growth are limited (3, 4). P-symbionts are strictly maternally inherited and are intracellular, housed in the cytoplasm of specialized host cells, the bacteriocytes, that constitute symbiosis-dedicated organs, the bacteriomes (5), localized in the insect abdomen (6–8).

In addition to P-symbionts, hemipterans often carry "secondary" facultative endosymbionts (S-symbionts) that are not essential for their hosts' survival. S-symbiont-mediated phenotypes are diverse. Some S-symbionts are reproductive parasites biasing sex ratios in favor of daughters or inducing incompatibility in uninfected zygotes (for a review, see reference 9); others are mutualists and confer benefits to their hosts (10, 11), such as protection against natural enemies (12) or thermal tolerance (13). S-symbionts can be either localized inside or outside the bacteriocytes, and, although their transmission is mainly vertical, they can also be transmitted horizontally (14), for instance, through the host plant when individuals share the same feeding sites (15, 16).

Given their labile nature, S-symbionts are suggested to form a "horizontal gene pool," and their acquisition can confer beneficial traits and contribute to the host adaptation to novel ecological niches (17, 18). Indeed, P-symbionts genomes are particularly prone to erosion and thus to a decay of their metabolic functions (19-22); S-symbionts may complement or replace parts of the degenerated functions that P-symbionts can no longer fulfill. As an example, "Candidatus Serratia symbiotica" S-symbiont has become a coprimary symbiont in the aphid Cinara cedri and contributes to the symbiotic metabolism by producing tryptophan, which cannot be synthetized by the reduced genome of the P-symbiont, Buchnera aphidicola (23). Aphid S-symbionts have also been suggested to contribute to host plant adaptation, leading to specialized host-adapted races, but this hypothesis remains controversial. Several studies failed to support an S-symbiont-mediated plant utilization (24-26), but other works found a significant relationship between the adaptation to a given host plant and the presence of specific S-symbionts within (27-29) and across (17, 30) aphid species. As an example, in the pea aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum, the S-symbiont "Candidatus Regiella insecticola" has been associated with the use of the white clover Trifolium repens (28). Similarly, the S-symbiont Arsenophonus has been associated with the specialization on the black locust Robinia pseudoacacia in the aphid Aphis craccivora (31).

Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) is a highly diversified complex of morphologically indistinguishable species. Analyses based on partial mitochondrial *mtCOI* sequences determined at least 42 putative species (32–36) that include several genetic groups, here referred to as mitochondrial groups. All *B. tabaci* species complex members harbor a P-symbiont, the gamma-proteobacterium "*Candidatus* Portiera aleyrodidarum"(37). "*Ca.* Portiera" has a highly reduced genome (357 kbp) compared to the ones of evolutionarily-related free-living bacteria and cannot fully satisfy the metabolic need of its host since some of the essential amino acid biosynthetic pathways are incomplete. Moreover, the supply of vitamins and cofactors by "*Ca.* Portiera" seems to be restricted to carotenoids (38).

In addition to "*Ca.* Portiera," seven S-symbionts have been identified in *B. tabaci* (genera *Arsenophonus, Cardinium, Fritschea, Hamiltonella, Hemipteriphilus, Rickettsia,* and *Wolbachia*), with up to four present in the same insect body (39). These S-symbionts colocalize with "*Ca.* Portiera" within bacteriocytes, and most of them can infect other tissues (6). They also have variable prevalence in *B. tabaci* populations. On the contrary, *Arsenophonus* and *Hamiltonella* are confined in the bacteriocytes and are almost fixed, but mutually exclusive, in the genetic groups in which they are found (39, 40).

Previous studies reported correlations between the S-symbiont composition and *B. tabaci* mitochondrial groups, both across (39) and within (40, 41) species. Moreover, different *B. tabaci* genetic groups have been found associated with particular biological and ecological features, such as geographic distribution (39) or host plant range (42, 43). These observations raise the possibility that S-symbionts may condition *B. tabaci* adaptation to its

environment and its diversification, even though specific S-symbiont-host plant associations have never been documented to date in this species complex (39).

Analyses of B. tabaci S-symbiont genomes suggest that some of them could play a nutritional role in collaboration with the P-symbiont. For instance, the Hamiltonella genome encodes genes (dapB, dapF, lysA) involved in lysine biosynthesis that are lost or nonfunctional in "Ca. Portiera" (44). These genes are also present in the genome of B. tabaci, acquired from bacteria through ancient gene transfer events (45). These data suggest that the lysine biosynthesis could be achieved by the complementary interaction between either "Ca. Portiera" and Hamiltonella, "Ca. Portiera" and the insect host, or by a collaboration of the three of them. In silico genomic studies (44, 46) and experimental demonstrations (47, 48) also indicate that Hamiltonella in B. tabaci and Arsenophonus in Trialeurodes vaporariorum, a related whitefly species, can provide their hosts with B vitamins that "Ca. Portiera" can no longer provide. Conversely, other Ssymbionts than Hamiltonella rely on the insect host or P- or other S-symbionts for the provision of nutrients (e.g., nonessential amino acids, nucleotides, and nucleosides) (49, 50). Therefore, S-symbionts are expected to impact their hosts' dietary requirements, acting either as sources or sinks of essential metabolites. As the phloem sap composition varies between different plant species (51–54), S-symbionts could thereby positively or negatively influence the ability of insect hosts to exploit plants and then contribute to broaden or narrow their range of suitable host plants.

The aims of the present study were (i) to investigate the phenotypic and metabolic responses of *B. tabaci* and its symbiotic community to different host plants, and (ii) to decipher whether and how S-symbionts influence insect capacity to feed and produce offspring on those plants. Our results indicate that less suitable plants may constitute selective environments that particular cytotypes (designating insect line cytoplasmic features, including the mitochondrial genome and the intracellular symbiotic bacterial community) may help to exploit.

RESULTS

Plant amino acid content. The plants used here, hibiscus (*Hibiscus moscheutos*), lantana (*Lantana camara*), and tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*), have been chosen because they are natural hosts for *B. tabaci* Mediterranean (MED) species. Their foliar free amino acid contents were measured through high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) to test whether these plants have different nutritional properties. Amino acids were classified into two groups, amino acids considered essential (EAAs) (Arg, arginine; His, histidine; Ile, isoleucine; Leu, leucine; Lys, lysine; Met, methionine; Phe, phenylalanine; Thr, threonine; Trp, tryptophan; Val, valine) and nonessential (NEAAs) (Ala, alanine; Asn, asparagine; Asp, aspartate; Gln, glutamine; Glu, glutamate; Gly, glycine; Pro, proline; Ser, serine; Tyr, tyrosine) for *B. tabaci* (55). These three plants differed in their overall amount of EAAs (value of the *F* statistic [$F_{2,13}$] = 5.25, P = 0.023), which were greater in tobacco but similar in hibiscus and lantana. The opposite trend occurred for the total NEAA content ($F_{2,13}$ = 3.34, P = 0.070) (Fig. 1A). Looking at individual amino acids, the highest variation has been seen for 7 EAAs (His, Ile, Leu, Phe, Thr, Trp, and Val) and 3 NEAAs (Ala, Glu, and Ser) (Fig. 1B). Free amino acid amounts were analyzed using linear model (LM) (see details in "Statistical analyses").

Fecundity and hatching rate of *B. tabaci* **lines on different plants.** Experiments were performed with three laboratory lines [namely, AA(Q1-HW), BB(Q1-HR), and CC(Q2-ARW)] from the *B. tabaci* MED species that belong to either the mitochondrial groups Q1 or Q2 and that are associated with different S-symbionts (Table 1). Whiteflies regularly reared on hibiscus in our laboratory conditions were transferred onto lantana and tobacco or were maintained on hibiscus for one generation. Female fecundity (number of oviposited eggs) and hatching rate on the same plant they developed on were used as performance indicators (Fig. 2; experimental design in Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). There was a significant effect of the interaction between the host plant species and the insect line on fecundity [$\chi^2(4) = 18.05$, P = 0.001] (Fig. 2A). On hibiscus and tobacco, fecundity was similar and homogeneous between lines. However, fecundity was significantly lower on lantana, with differences between lines (P < 0.05). Specifically, BB(Q1-HR) females laid 1.82 times more



FIG 1 Foliar free amino acid content of three host plants of *B. tabaci* determined through HPLC analysis. (A) Essential, nonessential, and total amino acid contents; (B) individual amino acid content. The amino acid amount is expressed in nmol·mg⁻¹ of dried tissue \pm standard deviation (SD) (*n* = 5 replicates per plant). For each amino acid, comparisons between plants were performed by one-way ANOVA. Letters indicate significant differences between plants for a given amino acid (*P* < 0.05) determined by Tukey's multiple comparisons analysis. ns, nonsignificant statistical differences. Ala, alanine; Arg, arginine; Asn, asparagine; Asp, aspartate; Gln, glutamine; Glu, glutamate; Gly, glycine; His, histidine; Ile, isoleucine; Leu, leucine; Lys, lysine; Met, methionine; Phe, phenylalanine; Pro, proline; Ser, serine; Thr, threonine; Trp, tryptophan; Tyr, tyrosine; Val, valine.

eggs (mean, 21.35; standard error [SE], 1.50) than AA(Q1-HW) females (mean, 11.7; SE, 1.50) (P = 0.003), while line CC(Q2-ARW) had an intermediate fecundity (mean, 16.36; SE, 1.99). Regarding egg hatching rate, we found an additive effect of the plant species [$\chi^2(2) = 53.42$, P < 0.001] and of the insect line [$\chi^2(2) = 6.92$, P = 0.031], without interaction between these two factors [$\chi^2(4) = 5.15$, P = 0.27] (Fig. 2B). The hatching rate differed between each plant species (P < 0.05): the lowest was observed on tobacco, while it was higher on hibiscus and lantana. In general, the mean hatching rate was significantly higher in line BB(Q1-HR) than in CC(Q2-ARW) (P = 0.019). AA(Q1-HW) had an intermediate hatching rate. Fecundity and hatching rates were analyzed with a mixed generalized linear model (GLM) with a negative binomial and a binomial error structure, respectively.

In order to determine whether the deleterious effect of lantana on female fecundity was due to the fact that females had spent their entire larval development on this plant, we also measured the fecundity of females developed on one plant (the donor) and subsequently transferred on the same plant or one of the two others (recipient plants). We tested all the possible combinations (experimental design in Fig. S2A) (Fig. S2B). Results indicated that, whatever the donor plant or the insect line used, there were significant differences in *B. tabaci* fecundity between the different recipient plants [$\chi^2(2) = 142.92$, P < 0.001]: fecundity was lower on lantana than on hibiscus or tobacco (P < 0.05) (Fig. S2C). There was also a significant interaction between the donor plant and the insect line [$\chi^2(4) = 33.12$, P < 0.001]. Indeed, when larval development occurred on hibiscus or tobacco, fecundity on one of the three recipient plants was similar and homogeneous between lines. However, for lines AA(Q1-HW) and CC (Q2-ARW), fecundity was significantly lower when lantana was the donor plant, while it did not impact the line BB(Q1-HW) (Fig. S2D). Therefore, we demonstrated that both larval development, with differences between lines, and/or adult feeding on lantana

TABLE 1 Bemisia tabaci lines used in this study

	Nuclear genotype	Cytotype		Collection information		
<i>Bemisia tabaci</i> line		Mitochondrial group	Secondary endosymbionts ^a	Yr	Location	Plant
AA(Q1-HW)	AA	Q1	HW	2012	Tympaki, Greece	Eggplant (<i>Solanum</i> sp.)
BB(Q1-HR)	BB	Q1	HR	2012	Les Ponts-de-Cé, France	Mandevilla (Mandevilla sp.)
CC(Q2-ARW)	CC	Q2	ARW	2018	Lyon, France	Lantana (<i>Lantana camara</i>)

^aHW, Hamiltonella, Wolbachia; HR, Hamiltonella, Rickettsia; ARW, Arsenophonus, Rickettsia, Wolbachia.



FIG 2 Fecundity and egg hatching rate of *B. tabaci* females belonging to the three lines used here and reared on hibiscus, lantana, or tobacco. (A) Number of eggs laid per female over 7 days. (B) Egg hatching rate after 10 days. Each dot represents one measure for one female, box length represents the interquartile range, and whiskers indicate the lowest and largest data points, excluding outliers. Black horizontal bars correspond to the median, and black dots correspond to the mean. "Insect line" represents nuclear genotype (cytotype); cytotype indicates mitochondrial group plus S-symbionts. S-symbionts include A, *Arsenophonus*; H, *Hamiltonella*; R, *Rickettsia*; and W, *Wolbachia*. Brackets beneath boxes indicate the number of replicates. To decipher the line-plant interaction in panel A, letters above the boxes indicate statistical groups determined by Tukey's multiple-comparison test (P < 0.05).

negatively impact *B. tabaci* fecundity. Fecundity was analyzed with a GLM with a negative binomial error structure.

Amino acid content of *B. tabaci* **lines on different plants.** The free amino acid profile of *B. tabaci* lines was used as a proxy of their physiological state. HPLC analyses were performed on young females belonging to the three *B. tabaci* lines tested here and reared on hibiscus, lantana, or tobacco (Fig. 3; Tables S1 and S2). There was no statistical



FIG 3 Total essential amino acid content (Versus total nonessential amino acids) and individual free amino acid profiles of *B. tabaci* females belonging to the three lines used here and reared on hibiscus, lantana, or tobacco, as determined through HPLC analysis (n = 8) (mol%, mean \pm SD). For the total essential amino acids and each individual amino acid, comparisons between plant species and insect lines were performed by two-way ANOVA. There was no difference between host plant or insect lines in the total essential amino acid propriorion. Among the 19 amino acid profile). Ala, alanine; Arg, arginine; Gln, glutamine; Glu, glutamate; His, histidine; Ile, isoleucine; Leu, leucine; Lys, lysine; Met, methionine; Phe, phenylalanine; Pro, proline; Ser, serine; Tyr, tyrosine. Hm, *Hibiscus moscheutos* (hibiscus); Lc, *Lantana camara* (lantana); Nt, *Nicotiana tabacum* (tobacco). "Insect line" represents nuclear genotype (cytotype); cytotype indicates mitochondrial group plus S-symbionts. S-symbionts include A, Arsenophonus; H, Hamiltonella; R, Rickettsia; and W, Wolbachia.



FIG 4 Relative symbiotic density in *B. tabaci* females belonging to the three lines used here and reared on hibiscus, lantana, or tobacco (number of bacterial cells per host cell, n = 8). (A) "*Ca.* Portiera"; (B) *Hamiltonella*; (C) *Arsenophonus*; (D) *Wolbachia*; (E) *Rickettsia*. Each dot represents one measure for one female, box length represents the interquartile range, and whiskers indicate the lowest and largest data points, excluding outliers. Black horizontal bars (within the box) correspond to the median, and black dots correspond to the mean. "Insect line" represents nuclear genotype (cytotype); cytotype indicates mitochondrial group plus S-symbionts. S-symbionts include A, *Arsenophonus*; H, *Hamiltonella*; R, *Rickettsia*; and W, *Wolbachia*. Letters above the boxes indicate statistical groups among line and host plant combinations (Tukey's test, P < 0.05).

difference in the proportion of total EAAs (versus NEAAs) between plants or between insect lines ($F_{8,63} = 0.86$, P = 0.56). Nevertheless, the proportions of Arg, Glu, Leu, Lys, Met, Gln, Pro, His, Ile, and Phe differed among whiteflies from the different plant species (value of the F statistic $[F_{263}]$ varied from 5.81 to 67.00 depending on the amino acid, P < 0.05). Most of the differences occurred between whiteflies reared on lantana and the ones reared on hibiscus or tobacco, which have similar amino acid profiles (P < 0.05) (Table S3). Specifically, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, and Glu were more represented in insects reared on lantana (lantana/hibiscus mean fold change, Ile, 1.84; Leu, 2.43; Lys, 1.65; Met, 1.94; Phe, 2.08; Glu, 1.78). The opposite was found for glutamine (lantana/hibiscus mean fold change, 0.38). The amount of Glu, His, Ile, Ser, and Phe differed among insect lines (F_{2,63} varied from 3.26 to 5.24 depending on the amino acid, P < 0.05). For Ala and Tyr, the effect of the host plant species differed between lines, as there was a significant interaction of the two factors ($F_{4,63}$ varied from 3.05 to 3.29 depending on the amino acid, P < 0.05). The amount of Ala was homogeneous between insect lines on hibiscus and tobacco, but was moderately higher in CC(Q2-ARW) and significantly higher in BB(Q1-HR) on lantana. The smallest amount of Tyr was found in CC(Q2-ARW) females reared on hibiscus, while the highest levels were found in BB(Q1-HR) and CC (Q2-ARW) females on tobacco. It had an intermediate level in every other line and plant combinations (Fig. 3). Free amino acid proportions in insects were analyzed using LM.

Symbiont density. We found a significant effect of the interaction between the host plant and the insect line on the relative amount (number of bacterial cells per host cell) of "*Ca.* Portiera" ($F_{2,62} = 3.25$, P = 0.018), *Hamiltonella* ($F_{2,40} = 4.26$, P = 0.021), and *Wolbachia* ($F_{2,38} = 6.37$, P = 0.0041) (Fig. 4A, B, and D). In BB(Q1-HR) and CC(Q2-ARW) lines, "*Ca.* Portiera" density tended to be higher on lantana than on hibiscus and tobacco.

TABLE 2 Nuclear genotype and cytotype of *B. tabaci* F_1 females obtained from crosses of F_0 individuals from three parental lines^{*a*}

	Mother (F _o) line			
Father (F _o) line	AA(Q1-HW)	BB(Q1-HR)	CC(Q2-ARW)	
A(Q1-HW)	AA(Q1-HW)	BA(Q1-HR)	CA(Q2-ARW)	
B(Q1-HR)	AB(Q1-HW)	BB(Q1-HR)	CB(Q2-ARW)	
C(Q2-ARW)	AC(Q1-HW)	BC(Q1-HR)	CC(Q2-ARW)	

^{ar}F₁ females" represents the nuclear genotype (cytotype). For nuclear genotype, letters represent the maternally (first) and the paternally (second) inherited nuclear genotype. Cytotype indicates mitochondrial group plus S-symbionts. S-symbionts include A, Arsenophonus; H, Hamiltonella; R, Rickettsia; and W, Wolbachia. F₀ male genotypes are indicated by only one letter, as they are haploid.

Alternatively, the line AA(Q1-HW) exhibited the same modest "*Ca.* Portiera" density on the three plants (Fig. 4A). The same pattern was observed for *Hamiltonella* and *Wolbachia* (Fig. 4B and D), as the densities of these two S-symbionts were correlated with the density of "*Ca.* Portiera" (Spearman rho rank test, *Hamiltonella*, $\rho = 0.84$, P < 0.001; *Wolbachia*, $\rho = 0.52$, P < 0.001). The same trend was observed for the relative amount of *Arsenophonus* in line CC(Q2-ARW) ($F_{2,19} = 3.48$, P = 0.052) (Fig. 4C). The relative amount of *Rickettsia* in insects remained at the same level regardless of the host plant they developed on ($F_{2,41} = 1.04$, P = 0.36) or the insect line ($F_{1,41} = 4.03$, P = 0.051), with no interaction between the two factors ($F_{2,41} = 1.97$, P = 0.15) (Fig. 4E). Globally, for each insect line, all symbionts (except *Rickettsia*) had the same densities on hibiscus and tobacco but tended to increase on lantana. Symbiont density was analyzed with LM.

Fecundity and hatching rate of hybrids on lantana according to the cytotype. In order to disentangle whether differences in insects' performance on lantana were due to females' genotype or cytotype, we performed crosses between parental lines to obtain F₁ hybrids (Table 2, experimental design in Fig. S1B). Crosses produced females bearing the same nuclear genotype but differing in their cytotypes [e.g., AB(Q1-HW) and BA(Q1-HR)], or the opposite, i.e., females harboring the same cytotype but differing in their genotype [e.g., AA(Q1-HW), AB(Q1-HW), and AC(Q1-HW)]. There was an overall effect of insect cytotype on fecundity [$\chi^2(2) = 76.22$, P < 0.001], but, for each cytotype, there was no difference between genotypes [$\chi^2(6) = 4.66$, P = 0.59]. Females with cytotype Q1-HW laid the lowest number of eggs, whatever the nuclear genotype (Fig. 5A). We detected no influence of the insect cytotype [$\chi^2(2) = 0.034$, P = 0.76] or nuclear genotype [$\chi^2(6) = 0.44$,



FIG 5 Effect of the insects' genotype and cytotype on *B. tabaci* females' performance on lantana. (A) Number of eggs laid per female over 7 days; (B) egg hatching rate after 10 days. Each dot represents one measure for one female, box length represents the interquartile range, and whiskers indicate the lowest and largest data points, excluding outliers. Black horizontal bars correspond to the median, and black dots correspond to the mean. Brackets beneath boxes indicate the number of replicates. Letters above the boxes indicate statistical groups among nuclear genotypes and cytotypes combinations (Tukey's test, P < 0.05). All individuals are F₁ females obtained from crosses of the laboratory lines AA(Q1-HW), BB(Q1-HR), or CC(Q2-ARW). "F₁ females" represents the nuclear genotype, (cytotype). For nuclear genotype, letters represent the maternally (first) and the paternally (second) inherited nuclear genotype. Cytotype indicates mitochondrial group plus S-symbionts. S-symbionts include A, *Arsenophonus*; H, *Hamiltonella*; R, *Rickettsia*; and W, *Wolbachia*.



FIG 6 Total essential amino acid proportion (versus total nonessential amino acids) and individual free amino acid profile in *B. tabaci* females on lantana, determined through HPLC analysis on individual females (n = 8) (mol%, mean \pm SD). For the total essential amino acids and each amino acid, comparisons between groups were performed by two-way ANOVA. Letters indicate statistical differences between cytotypes (P < 0.05) determined by Tukey's multiple comparisons analysis (see Table S6 in the supplemental material for *P* values). Among the 19 amino acids analyzed, only those varying (P < 0.05) are represented (see Table S4 for complete amino acid profile). Ala, alanine; Asn, asparagine; Asp, asparate; Gln, glutamine; Glu, glutamate; Gly, glycine; Leu, leucine; Met, methionine; Phe, phenylalanine; Ser, serine; Tyr, tyrosine. All individuals are F₁ hybrid females obtained from the crosses of laboratory lineages (first) and the paternally (second) inherited nuclear genotype. Cytotype indicates mitochondrial group plus S-symbionts. S-symbionts include A, *Arsenophonus*; H, *Hamiltonella*; R, *Rickettsia*; and W, *Wolbachia*.

P = 0.30] on the egg hatching rate (Fig. 5B). Fecundity and hatching rates were analyzed with a mixed GLM with a negative binomial and a binomial error structure, respectively. These results show an influence of the cytotype and a limited effect of the genotype on female fecundity on lantana.

Free amino acid content in hybrids on lantana. To determine whether the cytotype had an impact on the insect amino acid metabolism, we performed HPLC analyses on F_1 females (Fig. 6; Tables S4 and S5). There was no statistical difference in the proportion of total EAAs (versus total NEAAs) either between insect cytotypes or genotypes ($F_{8,63} = 0.70$, P = 0.69). However, 3 out of the 10 EAAs (Leu, Met, and Phe) and 8 out of the 9 NEAAs (Ala, Asn, Asp, Gln, Glu, Gly, Ser, and Tyr) significantly differed between cytotypes (value of the F statistic [$F_{2,63}$] varied from 3.45 to 17.77 depending on the amino acid, P < 0.05). The insect's genotype had no significant effect on the percentage of each individual amino acid (value of the F statistic [$F_{6,63}$] varied from 0.21 to 1.71 depending on the amino acid, P > 0.05). Most differences occurred between the Q1-HW cytotype and the two other cytotypes (P < 0.05; Table S6). Specifically, Leu, Met, Phe, Ala, and Gly were less abundant, and Asn and Gln were more abundant in Q1-HW females. Free amino acid proportions in insects were analyzed using LM. These results indicate that whiteflies' amino acid profile mainly depends on the insect cytotype.

P- and S-symbiont densities in hybrids. To determine whether, apart from differences in S-symbiont infection status, the phenotypic and physiological variations observed between cytotypes were correlated with different symbiont densities, we analyzed symbiotic density in F₁ females on lantana (Fig. 7). There was neither a significant difference between cytotypes in the relative amount of "*Ca.* Portiera" ($F_{2,61} = 2.34$, P = 0.11) and *Hamiltonella* ($F_{1,41} = 3.25$, P = 0.079), nor between genotypes within each cytotype for "*Ca.* Portiera" ($F_{6,61} = 1.36$, P = 0.25), *Hamiltonella* ($F_{4,41} = 1.28$, P = 0.29), and *Arsenophonus* ($F_{2,19} = 1.00$, P = 0.39) (Fig. 7A to C). Alternatively, there were significant differences between cytotypes in the relative amount of *Wolbachia* ($F_{1,40} = 4.80$, P = 0.034; 1.74 times more abundant in Q2-ARW than in Q1-HW) and



FIG 7 Relative symbiotic densities in *B. tabaci* females on lantana (number of bacterial cells per host cell, n = 8). (A) *"Ca.* Portiera"; (B) *Hamiltonella*; (C) *Arsenophonus*; (D) *Wolbachia*; (E) *Rickettsia*. Each dot represents one measure for one female, box length represents the interquartile range, and whiskers indicate the lowest and largest data points, excluding outliers. Black horizontal bars (within boxes) correspond to the median, and black dots correspond to the mean. All individuals are F₁ females obtained from crosses of the laboratory lines AA(Q1-HW), BB(Q1-HR), or CC(Q2-ARW). "F₁ females" represents the nuclear genotype (cytotype). For nuclear genotype, letters represent the maternally (first) and the paternally (second) inherited nuclear genotype. Cytotype indicates mitochondrial group plus *S*-symbionts. S-symbionts include A, *Arsenophonus*; H, *Hamiltonella*; R, *Rickettsia*; and W, *Wolbachia*. Letters above the boxes indicate statistical groups among nuclear genotype and cytotype combinations (Tukey's test, P < 0.05).

Rickettsia ($F_{1,41} = 0.95$, P = 0.0037; 1.35 times more abundant in Q2-ARW than in Q1-HR), but not between genotypes within each cytotype for *Wolbachia* ($F_{4,40} = 2.416$, P = 0.065) and *Rickettsia* ($F_{4,41} = 4.12$, P = 0.55) (Fig. 7D and E). Symbiont density was analyzed using LM.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we showed that the foliar free amino acid content of three natural host plants for *B. tabaci* MED species, hibiscus, lantana, and tobacco, is nutritionally unbalanced, dominated by nonessential amino acids (NEAAs) (e.g., Glu, Asp, and Ala) with a limited amount of essential amino acids (EAAs). Our data corroborate previous reports for tobacco (56), but data we obtained for hibiscus and lantana are new. They are in agreement with data available for the phloem sap of a large spectrum of plants (51, 52, 54). Interestingly, these three plant species differ in their free amino acid composition: they thus constitute a nutrient-contrasted environment that may lead to different selective pressures for whiteflies and their symbionts.

Plant species influenced all the traits of *B. tabaci* we measured. Importantly, whitefly oviposition was significantly reduced on lantana, indicating that this plant is relatively less favorable for MED Q1 and Q2 than hibiscus or tobacco. This result confirms data previously reported in western Africa, for which oviposition on lantana of MED Q1 individuals was reduced compared to cotton (57). In this study, we also demonstrated that, whatever the plant females were transferred on for oviposition, their fecundity was reduced if they had developed on lantana. Taken together, our results indicate that

lantana is a particularly challenging host for *B. tabaci* complex members. This could explain why, in the field, populations reported on this plant are restricted to MED (39, 41, 58), including in areas where other *B. tabaci* species co-occur, such as the close relative polyphagous MEAM1 (Middle East Asia minor 1) species (59). It is tempting to associate this impairment with the lowest nutritional quality of lantana, which contains the smallest amount of the four EAAs, Ile, Leu, Phe, and Val, among the three plants considered in our study. Besides the fact that these amino acids are considered being essential for the development of all metazoans, studies specifically focusing on white-flies have shown that small amounts of Ile in artificial diets were correlated with a reduction of their oviposition ability (60). Moreover, experiments conducted on aphids have suggested that plant amino acid content could influence their reproduction (61).

Differences in free amino acid profiles of B. tabaci were observed between individuals reared on lantana and the ones that developed on hibiscus and tobacco, indicating that the insect physiological state varies between an unfavorable and a favorable plant. In whiteflies reared on hibiscus and tobacco, the most represented amino acids were Gln, Ala, and Pro (NEAAs) and Arg (EAA). Similar observations have been reported in MED individuals reared on tomato and poinsettia (62, 63) and in the close relative species, MEAM1, on cotton, cucumber, eggplant, and tomato (63-65), which are also considered favorable hosts for MED and MEAM1 species (43, 66-68). In whiteflies reared on lantana, five EAAs (Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, and Phe) and one NEAA (Glu) were more represented than in whiteflies reared on the two other plants. Others, such as glutamine, were less represented. Glutamine plays a central role in amino acid metabolism, as it is the main precursor of the EAAs synthesized by the nutritional symbionts (55, 69). Therefore, the specific amino acid profile found on lantana may indicate an increased production of EAAs, supported by the consumption of the glutamine pool, possibly compensating for specific nutrient deficiencies in the plant. Indeed, some of the most represented EAAs in insects were also less abundant in lantana than in the other two plants. Similarly, MEAM1 individuals reared on low-amino-acid-content plants show a large reduction in glutamine pool regarding other amino acids (64). Alternatively, an increased EAA production in insects may result from larger amounts of EAA precursors in the host plant (i.e., NEAAs). However, there seems to be no correlation between the amount of specific NEAAs in hibiscus, lantana, and tobacco and the relative proportion of specific EAAs in whiteflies reared on those plants. For instance, neither the total NEAAs nor each individual NEAA content was specifically higher in lantana, while insects reared on lantana showed higher proportions of Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, and Phe than other plants. Thus, the increased EAAs production in insects reared on lantana is more likely the result of the insect's metabolic demand, rather than the plant's NEAA content.

Previous studies suggest that plant amino acid content influences symbiont density in B. tabaci. A decrease of EAAs abundance in plants has been associated with an increase of "Ca. Portiera" relative amount (65), possibly to meet the host metabolic demand. In our study, both P- and S-symbionts densities were higher on lantana. The observed increase in "Ca. Portiera" density in whiteflies reared on lantana may compensate for specific EAA deficiencies of this plant. This hypothesis is supported by the observation, reported above, that high "Ca. Portiera" relative amounts also correlate with an increase of the EAA content in whiteflies reared on lantana. Overall, these findings are consistent with data available for the aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum, where an antibiotic treatment targeting the P-symbiont B. aphidicola has resulted in a decrease of EAAs and an accumulation of EAA precursors in the insect body (70). Similar to the increase of "Ca. Portiera" density, higher S-symbiont densities in whiteflies reared on lantana could also be important to meet the insect metabolic need on an unfavorable plant, as some of those S-symbionts retained nutritional functions (44-46, 71). However, whiteflies have poor fecundity when reared on lantana. One possible explanation is that high symbiont relative amounts, consistent with an increase of the EAA content in insect body, may not fully satisfy the insect metabolic needs. Moreover, other factors than nutrition, such as mechanical defenses and defensive compounds produced by the plant and not known yet, may also affect whitefly performance.

In addition to the differences in insect fecundity, hatching rate, free amino acid profile, and symbiont densities between plant species, differences had also been observed between whitefly lines on lantana, which was not the case for the two other plants. Our analysis of hybrid females (that share the same nuclear background but different cytotypes) showed that they present different fecundities and free amino acid profiles on lantana. On the contrary, hybrid females harboring the same cytotype but different nuclear genotypes did not show such differences. These data indicate that the cytotype determines both insects' fecundity and free amino acid profile. The whiteflies' cytotype comprises different genetic entities, the host mitochondrial genome and the symbionts. A substantial contribution of the mitochondrial genome to plant resources utilization appears unlikely. Indeed, previous analyses showed that mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) divergence between MED Q1 and Q2 populations is low, ranging from 0.15 to 1.09% (72). Additionally, Q1-HW and Q1-HR, supposedly the closest cytotypes regarding their mtDNA, are also the most different phenotypically in their responses to plants. Differences related to genetic and/or gene expression variability of the P-symbiont among cytotypes also seems unlikely, given the low genetic variability and the constant metabolic capabilities of "Ca. Portiera" within the B. tabaci species complex (38, 44, 73–75) and the almost complete loss in the "Ca. Portiera" genome of elements for gene expression regulation (that seems to be ensured by the whitefly host) (73). Conversely, considering their genomic capabilities and based on the results presented above, S-symbionts are promising candidates to explain the differences observed between the three B. tabaci lines considered here. We also do not exclude the possibility that these observations result from nongenetic maternal effects (76).

Fecundity was higher in Q1-HR and Q2-ARW than in Q1-HW whiteflies. These two cytotypes also showed higher proportions of the EAAs Leu, Met, and Phe, while the opposite was observed for the NEAA Gln. As discussed above, this amino acid signature suggests higher EAA production in Q1-HR and Q2-ARW cytotypes. Therefore, insect fecundity could be mediated by a potential direct or indirect impact of S-symbionts on the amino acid metabolism of their hosts. In *B. tabaci*, S-symbionts could complement the P-symbiont and directly contribute to EAAs biosynthesis, as some of them (e.g., *Hamiltonella* and *Rickettsia*) retained biosynthesis genes lost in "*Ca.* Portiera" (44, 71). Metabolic complementation between P- and S-symbionts may be facilitated in this insect model by their colocalization in the same bacteriocytes (6).

The overall effect of the cytotype on whiteflies may depend on the infection by a single S-symbiont species or result as the net effect of the entire symbiotic community. For example, *Rickettsia* is associated with both cytotypes Q1-HR and Q2-ARW with similar fecundity and free amino acid profile. Interestingly, Rickettsia from MEAM1 conserved genes involved in the biosynthesis pathways of EAAs Phe (tyrB), Val, Leu, and Ile (ilvE) (71). Therefore, *Rickettsia* could benefit its host by contributing to the production of these EAAs. Interestingly, infection by Rickettsia has been associated with fitness benefits in MEAM1 natural populations from the United States (77). However, it was not the case in populations from Israel, suggesting geographic differences in the interaction of this symbiont and its host (78). Additionally, fitness benefits associated with the infection by Rickettsia populations from the United States were no longer observed years later (79), possibly due to the interaction of the symbiont with the host's nuclear genetic background (80). Under the hypothesis that Rickettsia provides fitness benefits to its insect host on lantana, this S-symbiont is expected to be found in higher prevalence in B. tabaci natural populations colonizing lantana than other plants. However, in a survey done in Burkina Faso (West Africa), a lower prevalence of Rickettsia was reported in MED Q3 populations colonizing lantana (26.6%) than tobacco (90%) (41), but additional studies are needed to tackle this question.

In conclusion, this work shows that host plant utilization by *B. tabaci* is determined by the insect cytotype, most likely by the S-symbiont composition. These results raise two immediate questions. The first addresses the individual roles of S-symbionts. As discussed above, the effect of the cytotype may result from the infection by one or multiple S-symbiont species, and future research should investigate the contribution of each single S-symbiont to the host phenotype. In this perspective, recent studies have made significant advances in manipulating *B. tabaci* symbiotic community, using antibiotic and thermal treatments (47, 48) that could be actually used to eliminate specific S-symbionts and determine the effect of this treatment on phenotypic and physiological parameters of the insect host. The second question relates to the underlying mechanisms by which S-symbionts contribute to their host phenotype. We propose that they may contribute to broadening the range of their suitable host plants through their metabolic contribution. Future investigations should consider the use of artificial diets of controlled amino acid composition (60, 70) to accurately determine the influence of S-symbionts on their host's amino acid dietary requirements.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insects. Three *B. tabaci* lines were used in this study, namely, AA(Q1-HW), BB(Q1-HR), and CC(Q2-ARW) (Table 1). The first two letters (AA, BB, and CC) arbitrarily designate female diploid nuclear genotype. Characters within brackets represent the insect cytotype [e.g., (Q1-HW)], composed of the mitochondrial group (Q1 or Q2) and its associated symbiotic community (A, *Arsenophonus*; H, *Hamiltonella*; R, *Rickettsia*; W, *Wolbachia*). These lines were reared in stock cages on hibiscus plants in climate-controlled rooms at $26 \pm 1^{\circ}$ cand 60% relative humidity with a 14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod. Whitefly mitochondrial group and symbiont infection status were checked on eight individuals per line before and after the experiments, which ensured that the expected cytotype was correct. The mitochondrial group was identified by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), based on the use of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 gene sequence (*mtCO1*) as described in Henri et al. (81). Whitefly symbiont infection status was determined using the quantitative PCR (qPCR) protocol described below.

Plants. Plants were grown in insect-free climate-controlled rooms at $26 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and 70% relative humidity with a 14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod. Hibiscus (*Hibiscus moscheutos*, Malvaceae) and tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*, Solanaceae) were grown from seeds. Lantana (*Lantana camara*, Verbenaceae) was propagated from plant cuttings in pots using 75:25 (vol/vol) mix of potting soil and vermiculite. Plants were watered twice a week. Fully developed leaves (younger than 2-month-old plants) were used to perform phenotypic and physiological measures on whiteflies.

Collection of whiteflies for phenotypic and physiological measures on different plants. We explored the phenotypic and metabolic responses of B. tabaci and its symbionts to different host plants by performing transfers from the rearing plant hibiscus to lantana, tobacco, or hibiscus itself (experimental design shown in Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). Synchronized whitefly females were obtained by allowing adults from the hibiscus stock cages (F_0 generation) to mate and oviposit for 7 days on either hibiscus, lantana, or tobacco leaf disks fixed on their adaxial face on a 1-cm layer of 2% agar (Sigma-Aldrich) in petri dishes (90 mm) stored under the same conditions as the stock cages. This procedure was repeated three times per plant and per whitefly line, accounted as "batches" in the following statistical analyses. Petri dishes were monitored every day: after the first emergence, all adults were removed, and 5 h later, newly emerged adults were collected (F1 generation). The sex of the emerged individuals was determined based on their genitalia by observation under a stereomicroscope (Leica EZ4; Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). As newly emerged adults do not immediately reproduce, this procedure ensured female virginity, which was confirmed by the absence of females in the offspring (B. tabaci is a haplodiploid species; only fertilized eggs engender females). Newly emerged females were isolated on new leaf disks of the same plant species they developed on. Young females (0 to 7 days) were collected and stored at -80°C for subsequent HPLC analyses. Others were left to oviposit for 7 days. After 7 days, the number of oviposited eggs of F₁ females was recorded under a stereomicroscope, and individuals were stored at -80°C for the determination of symbiont density. Ten days after the female removal, the number of larvae was counted to estimate the hatching rate (number of larvae/number of oviposited eggs). Females that had not laid eggs were discarded, and 14 to 27 valid measures of fecundity and hatching rate per condition were obtained.

We also tested whether the insect development on one of the three plants tested here had an impact on female fecundity. Briefly, F_0 adults regularly reared on hibiscus were allowed to lay eggs on hibiscus, lantana, or tobacco (donor host plant). Upon emergence, F_1 virgin females were transferred on the same plant they develop on or on one of the two others (recipient plants) All donor and recipient host plant combinations were tested (experimental design shown in Fig. S2A).

Collection of F₁ hybrids for phenotypic and physiological measures on lantana. We performed all possible crosses between the three lines, AA(Q1-HW), BB(Q1-HR), and CC(Q2-ARW) (Table 2). Virgin females and males from the parental lines reared on hibiscus were collected from leaf disks. Crosses were done on lantana (90-mm petri dish) by bringing together groups of 15 females and 15 males, and mated females were left for 1 week on the same lantana leaf disk to oviposit. Each cross was performed in three replicates, accounted as batches in the following statistical analyses. Upon emergence, F_1 virgin females were isolated on fresh lantana leaf disks (55-mm petri dish) for measures of fecundity, hatching rate, symbiont density, and free amino acid content by following the same protocol as described above ("Collection of whiteflies for phenotypic and physiological measures on different plants") (experimental design shown in Fig. S1B). For each cross, 8 F_1 females were screened for all S-symbionts present in F_0 parents, which ensured that the F_1 females' infection status was the same as their mother.

Free amino acid extraction from plant leaves. Free amino acid contents in hibiscus, lantana, and tobacco were assessed from leaves of controlled age (Table S7). Fresh leaves were cut into pieces, lyophilized, and mechanically crushed (TissueLyser; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) using stainless steel balls

Fragment size (bp)	Hybridization temp (°C)	Reference

63

63

60

60

60

60

55

55

60

60

63

63

130

130

193

193

199

199

400

400

155

155

765

765

TABLE 3 qPC	R primers ι	used in this	study
Organism	Gene	Primer	Р

 β -actin

16S

GltA

Fts7

dnaK

16S

wf-Bactin-F

wf-Bactin-R

Port73-F

glt375-F

glt574-R

dnaK-F

dnaK-R

ArsF3

ArsR3

F2

R2

Port266-R

Organism

Bemisia tabaci

"Ca. Portiera"

Rickettsia

Wolbachia

Hamiltonella

Arsenophonus

(1.4 mm) for 1 min at 20 Hz. Then, 4 mg of tissues were suspended in 20 μ l of ultrapure water with a
known amount of norvaline (10 nmol) used as an internal standard. Free amino acids were extracted
from 200 μ l of this crude homogenate with trichloroacetic acid (TCA; 5% [wt/vol] final concentration),
maintained at room temperature for 2 h, vortexed every 30 min, and then centrifuged (10,000 $ imes$ g for 10 min
at 4°C). TCA was eliminated from the supernatant by chloroform/water partition (three successive extractions
with 400 μ l of chloroform), and the final aqueous supernatant was dried under vacuum. Samples were stored
at -20° C and then mixed with 100 μ l of ultrapure water for amino acid analysis. Five biological replicates, con-
sisting of leaves from different plants, were performed for each host plant species.

Primer sequence

5'-TCTTCCAGCCATCCTTCTTG-3

5'-CGGTGATTTCCTTCTGCATT-3'

5'-GTGGGGAATAACGTACGG-3'

5'-CTCAGTCCCAGTGTGGCTG-3'

5'-TGGTATTGCATCGCTTTGGG-3'

5'-TTGCAGAGCTTGGACTTGAA-3'

5'-CATATCTCCGCCACCAGTAA-3'

5'-GGTTCAGAAAAAGTGGCAG-3'

5'-CGAGCGAAAGAGGAGTGAC-3'

5'-GTCGTGAGGAARGTGTTARGGTT-3'

5'-CCTYTATCTCTAAAGGMTTCGCTGGATG-3'

5'-TTTCTTTAAGCACTGCAGCACG-3'

Whitefly free amino acid extraction. Free amino acids in whiteflies were extracted from single individual insects adapting a protocol initially developed for detection in aphid embryos (82). An absolute analysis of amino acid content, as the one obtained from plant leaves, was impossible, as we were not able to weigh living individuals, even with a precision balance, because of their very low weight (around 30 μ g) and their constant movements. Samples were mechanically crushed (TissueLyser; Qiagen) with stainless steel balls (1.4 mm) for 1 min at 20 Hz in 100 μ l of ultrapure water with a known quantity of norvaline (1 nmol) used as the internal standard. Free amino acids were extracted from 75 μ l of this crude homogenate with 300 µl of ethanol (EtOH; 80% [wt/vol] final concentration), maintained at room temperature for 2 h, vortexed every 30 min, and then centrifuged (10,000 \times g for 10 min at 4°C), and the supernatant was dried under vacuum. Samples were stored at -20° C and then mixed with 5 μ l of ultrapure water for amino acid analysis. Four biological replicates were performed for each condition.

Amino acid HPLC analysis. Amino acid quantification was performed using HPLC (Agilent 1100; Agilent Technologies) with a guard cartridge and a reverse-phase C₁₈ column (Zorbax Eclipse AAA; 3.5 µm, 150 by 4.6 mm; Agilent Technologies), according to the procedure specifically developed for this system (83) and subsequently adapted to aphid tissues (82). The derivatization process, at room temperature, was automated using the Agilent 1313A autosampler. Detection was performed by a fluorescence detector set at 340 and 450 nm of excitation and emission wavelengths, respectively (266/ 305 nm for proline). Under these conditions, oxidations can lead to several cysteine forms, which does not allow its accurate detection and quantification, so only 19 amino acids were quantified. For this quantification, norvaline was used as the internal standard, and the response factor of each amino acid was determined using a 250-µM standard mix of amino acids. The software used for the analysis was ChemStation for LC three-dimensional systems.

Symbiont quantification. Symbiont density was measured on single insects using qPCR. Briefly, DNA was extracted from each individual using the NucleoSpin 96 tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH, Düren, Germany). Samples were crushed with sterile stainless steel balls (1.4 mm) in 60 µl of lysis buffer added with 8 µl of proteinase K (22 mg/ml) by a TissueLyser (Qiagen). DNA was extracted following the instructions from the manufacturer, eluted in 100 μ l of buffer, and stored at -20° C until use. Each gPCR consisted of 5 µl SYBR Green 2× mastermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA), 2 µl nuclease-free water, 2 µl DNA sample, and 0.5 μ l of forward and reverse primer (500 nM final concentration). The following genes, 16S rRNA for Arsenophonus, dnaK for Hamiltonella, gltA for Rickettsia, ftsZ for Wolbachia, and β -actin for B. tabaci, were used for data normalization (Table 3). Quantifications included eight biological replicates with two technical replicates for each sample. Samples were run on a CFX-96 real-time PCR machine and analyses were done using the CFX Manager software v3.1 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA). The relative amount of each symbiont was normalized using β -actin (normalized relative quantity [NRQ]). For each gene, two samples were used as calibrators to check for interplate variability. Interplate calibration was performed when interplate variability between calibrators was higher than 0.5 quantification cycle (C_a), which was the case for Arsenophonus 16S rRNA.

Statistical analyses. Data analysis was performed using R software (R Development Core Team; http://www.R-project.org). When necessary, the normality of the residual error and the homogeneity of the variance were checked to ensure that the modeling approach was appropriate. HPLC data (free amino acid amount in nmol·mg⁻¹ for the plant leaves and free amino acid proportions (mol%) in insects) were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each amino acid. Amino acid proportions in insects were analyzed using proportions as continuous variables without transformation. Phenotypic measures (fecundity and hatching rate) were analyzed by a mixed generalized linear model (GLMM) (with a log link and a negative binomial or a binomial error structure, respectively). For the phenotypic 88

88

89

89

89

89

90

90

91

91

92

92

measures on the three host plants, the plant species and the insect line were set as fixed effects. For the phenotypic measures of F_1 hybrids on lantana, the fixed effects included the insect cytotype and its genotype; the latter was integrated into the model as a fixed nested effect within the cytotype. For both experiments, experimental batches were set as a random effect. Symbiont NRQs were calculated using the EasyqpcR package (84) based on the method proposed by Hellemans and collaborators (85) and analyzed by a linear model (LM) applied to log-transformed data. Multiple comparison analyses were performed by Tukey's test (86) to investigate differences between groups using the emmeans function of the emmeans package (87).

Data availability. All data sets generated and analyzed in the present study are available in Zenodo at https://zenodo.org/record/5520874#.YWsOJhrMJPY.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. FIG S1, EPS file, 0.5 MB. FIG S2, EPS file, 0.6 MB. TABLE S1, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. TABLE S2, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. TABLE S3, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. TABLE S5, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. TABLE S5, DOCX file, 0.01 MB. TABLE S6, DOCX file, 0.01 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Institut National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation et l'Environnement (INRAE); INSA (Institut National des Sciences Appliquées), Lyon; the Scientific Breakthrough Project Micro-be-have (Microbial impact on insect behavior) of Université de Lyon, within the program Investissements d'Avenir (ANR-11-IDEX-0007; ANR-16-IDEX-0005), which also awarded S.B. a Ph.D. fellowship; and the French National Research Agency program grant ANR-16-CEA02-0014 HMicMac (host-microbiota coadaptations, mechanisms, and consequences). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, decision to submit the work for publication, or preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Frago E, Dicke M, Godfray HCJ. 2012. Insect symbionts as hidden players in insect-plant interactions. Trends Ecol Evol 27:705–711. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tree.2012.08.013.
- Hansen AK, Moran NA. 2014. The impact of microbial symbionts on host plant utilization by herbivorous insects. Mol Ecol 23:1473–1496. https:// doi.org/10.1111/mec.12421.
- Baumann P. 2005. Biology of bacteriocyte-associated endosymbionts of plant sap-sucking insects. Annu Rev Microbiol 59:155–189. https://doi .org/10.1146/annurev.micro.59.030804.121041.
- Douglas AE. 2009. The microbial dimension in insect nutritional ecology. Funct Ecol 23:38–47. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01442.x.
- 5. Buchner P. 1965. Endosymbioses of animals with plant microorganisms. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York, NY.
- Gottlieb Y, Ghanim M, Gueguen G, Kontsedalov S, Vavre F, Fleury F, Zchori-Fein E. 2008. Inherited intracellular ecosystem: symbiotic bacteria share bacteriocytes in whiteflies. FASEB J 22:2591–2599. https://doi.org/ 10.1096/fj.07-101162.
- Matsuura Y, Kikuchi Y, Hosokawa T, Koga R, Meng XY, Kamagata Y, Nikoh N, Fukatsu T. 2012. Evolution of symbiotic organs and endosymbionts in lygaeid stinkbugs. ISME J 6:397–409. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.103.
- Koga R, Nikoh N, Matsuura Y, Meng XY, Fukatsu T. 2013. Mealybugs with distinct endosymbiotic systems living on the same host plant. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 83:93–100. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01450.x.
- Hurst GDD, Frost CL. 2015. Reproductive parasitism: maternally inherited symbionts in a biparental world. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7: a017699-21. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a017699.
- Oliver KM, Degnan PH, Burke GR, Moran NA. 2010. Facultative symbionts in aphids and the horizontal transfer of ecologically important traits. Annu Rev Entomol 55:247–266. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085305.

- 11. Feldhaar H. 2011. Bacterial symbionts as mediators of ecologically important traits of insect hosts. Ecol Entomol 36:533–543. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1365-2311.2011.01318.x.
- Oliver KM, Russell JA, Moran NA, Hunter MS. 2003. Facultative bacterial symbionts in aphids confer resistance to parasitic wasps. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:1803–1807. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0335320100.
- Russell JA, Moran NA. 2006. Costs and benefits of symbiont infection in aphids: variation among symbionts and across temperatures. Proc Biol Sci 273:603–610. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3348.
- Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A. 2008. Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial symbionts. Annu Rev Genet 42:165–190. https://doi .org/10.1146/annurev.genet.41.110306.130119.
- Caspi-Fluger A, Inbar M, Mozes-Daube N, Katzir N, Portnoy V, Belausov E, Hunter MS, Zchori-Fein E. 2012. Horizontal transmission of the insect symbiont *Rickettsia* is plant-mediated. Proc Biol Sci 279:1791–1796. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.2095.
- Li S, Ahmed MZ, Lv N, Shi P, Wang X, Huang J-L, Qiu B-L. 2017. Plant-mediated horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* between whiteflies. ISME J 11: 1019–1028. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.164.
- Henry LM, Peccoud J, Simon JC, Hadfield JD, Maiden MJC, Ferrari J, Godfray HCJ. 2013. Horizontally transmitted symbionts and host colonization of ecological niches. Curr Biol 23:1713–1717. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cub.2013.07.029.
- Jaenike J. 2012. Population genetics of beneficial heritable symbionts. Trends Ecol Evol 27:227–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2011.10.005.
- Moran NA. 1996. Accelerated evolution and Muller's rachet in endosymbiotic bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:2873–2878. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.93.7.2873.

- Rispe C, Moran NA. 2000. Accumulation of deleterious mutations in endosymbionts: Muller's ratchet with two levels of selection. Am Nat 156: 425–441. https://doi.org/10.2307/3079175.
- Mccutcheon JP, Moran NA. 2011. Extreme genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:13–26. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2670.
- Bennett GM, Moran NA. 2015. Heritable symbiosis: the advantages and perils of an evolutionary rabbit hole. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112: 10169–10176. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1421388112.
- Lamelas A, Gosalbes MJ, Manzano-Marín A, Peretó J, Moya A, Latorre A. 2011. Serratia symbiotica from the aphid Cinara cedri: a missing link from facultative to obligate insect endsymbiont. PLoS Genet 7:e1002357. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002357.
- Leonardo TE. 2004. Removal of a specialization-associated symbiont does not affect aphid fitness. Ecol Lett 7:461–468. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461 -0248.2004.00602.x.
- 25. Ferrari J, Scarborough CL, Godfray HCJ. 2007. Genetic variation in the effect of a facultative symbiont on host-plant use by pea aphids. Oecologia 153:323–329. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0730-2.
- McLean AHC, Van Asch M, Ferrari J, Godfray HCJ. 2011. Effects of bacterial secondary symbionts on host plant use in pea aphids. Proc Biol Sci 278: 760–766. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1654.
- Tsuchida T, Koga R, Shibao H, Matsumoto T, Fukatsu T. 2002. Diversity and geographic distribution of secondary endosymbiotic bacteria in natural populations of the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum*. Mol Ecol 11: 2123–2135. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2002.01606.x.
- Tsuchida T, Koga R, Fukatsu T. 2004. Host plant specialization governed by facultative symbiont. Science (80-) 303:1989–1989. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.1094611.
- Leonardo TE, Muiru GT. 2003. Facultative symbionts are associated with host plant specialization in pea aphid populations. Proc R Soc London Ser B Biol Sci 270:S209–S212. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2003.0064.
- Henry LM, Maiden MCJ, Ferrari J, Godfray HCJ. 2015. Insect life history and the evolution of bacterial mutualism. Ecol Lett 18:516–525. https://doi .org/10.1111/ele.12425.
- Wagner SM, Martinez AJ, Ruan YM, Kim KL, Lenhart PA, Dehnel AC, Oliver KM, White JA. 2015. Facultative endosymbionts mediate dietary breadth in a polyphagous herbivore. Funct Ecol 29:1402–1410. https://doi.org/10 .1111/1365-2435.12459.
- Dinsdale AB, Cook LG, Riginos C, Buckley YM, De Barro PJ. 2010. Refined global analysis of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aleyrodoidea: Aleyrodidae) mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 to identify species level genetic boundaries. Ann Entomol Soc Am 103:196–208. https://doi .org/10.1603/AN09061.
- De Barro PJ, Liu S-S, Boykin LM, Dinsdale AB. 2011. *Bemisia tabaci:* a statement of species status. Annu Rev Entomol 56:1–19. https://doi.org/10 .1146/annurev-ento-112408-085504.
- Firdaus S, Vosman B, Hidayati N, Jaya Supena ED, Supena J, Visser RGF, van Heusden AW. 2013. The *Bemisia tabaci* species complex: additions from different parts of the world. Insect Sci 20:723–733. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1744-7917.12001.
- Roopa HK, Asokan R, Rebijith KB, Hande RH, Mahmood R, Kumar NKK. 2015. Prevalence of a new genetic group, MEAM-K, of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in Karnataka, India, as evident from mtCOI sequences. Florida Entomol 98:1062–1071. https://doi.org/10.1653/024.098 .0409.
- Hu J, Zhang X, Jiang Z, Zhang F, Liu Y, Li Z, Zhang Z. 2018. New putative cryptic species detection and genetic network analysis of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hempitera: Aleyrodidae) in China based on mitochondrial COI sequences. Mitochondrial DNA A DNA Mapp Seq Anal 29:474–484. https://doi .org/10.1080/24701394.2017.1307974.
- Thao ML, Baumann P. 2004. Evolutionary relationships of primary prokaryotic endosymbionts of whiteflies and their hosts. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:3401–3406. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.6.3401-3406.2004.
- Santos-Garcia D, Farnier PA, Beitia F, Zchori-Fein E, Vavre F, Mouton L, Moya A, Latorre A, Silva FJ. 2012. Complete genome sequence of "Candidatus *Portiera aleyrodidarum*" BT-QVLC, an obligate symbiont that supplies amino acids and carotenoids to *Bemisia tabaci*. J Bacteriol 194: 6654–6655. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01793-12.
- Zchori-Fein E, Lahav T, Freilich S. 2014. Variations in the identity and complexity of endosymbiont combinations in whitefly hosts. Front Microbiol 5:1–8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00310.
- Gueguen G, Vavre F, Gnankine O, Peterschmitt M, Charif D, Chiel E, Gottlieb Y, Ghanim M, Zchori-Fein E, Fleury F. 2010. Endosymbiont metacommunities, mtDNA diversity and the evolution of the *Bemisia tabaci*

(Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) species complex. Mol Ecol 19:4365–4378. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04775.x.

- Gnankiné O, Mouton L, Henri H, Terraz G, Houndeté T, Martin T, Vavre F, Fleury F. 2013. Distribution of *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) biotypes and their associated symbiotic bacteria on host plants in West Africa. Insect Conserv Divers 6:411–421. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4598.2012.00206.x.
- 42. Malka O, Santos-Garcia D, Feldmesser E, Sharon E, Krause-Sakate R, Delatte H, van Brunschot S, Patel M, Visendi P, Mugerwa H, Seal S, Colvin J, Morin S. 2018. Species-complex diversification and host-plant associations in *Bernisia tabaci*: a plant-defence, detoxification perspective revealed by RNA-Seq analyses. Mol Ecol 27:4241–4256. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14865.
- Vyskočilová S, Seal S, Colvin J. 2019. Relative polyphagy of "Mediterranean" cryptic *Bemisia tabaci* whitefly species and global pest status implications. J Pest Sci 92:1071–1088. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-019-01113-9.
- Rao Q, Rollat-Farnier P-A, Zhu D-T, Santos-Garcia D, Silva FJ, Moya A, Latorre A, Klein CC, Vavre F, Sagot M-F, Liu S-S, Mouton L, Wang X-W. 2015. Genome reduction and potential metabolic complementation of the dual endosymbionts in the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. BMC Genomics 16:226–238. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1379-6.
- 45. Luan J-B, Chen W, Hasegawa DK, Simmons AM, Wintermantel WM, Ling KS, Fei Z, Liu SS, Douglas AE. 2015. Metabolic coevolution in the bacterial symbiosis of whiteflies and related plant sap-feeding insects. Genome Biol Evol 7:2635–2647. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evv170.
- 46. Santos-Garcia D, Juravel K, Freilich S, Zchori-Fein E, Latorre A, Moya A, Morin S, Silva FJ. 2018. To B or not to B: comparative genomics suggests *Arsenophonus* as a source of B vitamins in whiteflies. Front Microbiol 9: 2254. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02254.
- 47. Wang Y-B, Ren F-R, Yao Y-L, Sun X, Walling LL, Li N-N, Bai B, Bao X-Y, Xu X-R, Luan J-B. 2020. Intracellular symbionts drive sex ratio in the whitefly by facilitating fertilization and provisioning of B vitamins. ISME J 14:2923–2935. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-0717-0.
- Ren FR, Sun X, Wang TY, Yao YL, Huang YZ, Zhang X, Luan JB. 2020. Biotin provisioning by horizontally transferred genes from bacteria confers animal fitness benefits. ISME J 14:2542–2553. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396 -020-0704-5.
- Douglas AE. 2014. Molecular dissection of nutrient exchange at the insect-microbial interface. Curr Opin Insect Sci 4:23–28. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.cois.2014.08.007.
- Zytynska S, Tighiouart K, Frago E. 2021. Benefits and costs of hosting facultative symbionts in plant-sucking insects: a meta-analysis. Mol Ecol 30: 2483–2494. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15897.
- Weibull J. 1987. Seasonal changes in the free amino acids of oat and barley phloem sap in relation to plant growth stage and growth of *Rhopalosiphum padi*. Ann Applied Biology 111:729–737. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1744-7348.1987.tb02031.x.
- 52. Weibull J, Ronquist F, Brishammar S. 1990. Free amino acid composition of leaf exudates and phloem sap: a comparative study in oats and barley. Plant Physiol 92:222–226. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.92.1.222.
- 53. Douglas AE. 1993. The nutritional quality of phloem sap utilized by natural aphid populations. Ecol Entomol 18:31–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1365-2311.1993.tb01076.x.
- Sandström J, Pettersson J. 1994. Amino acid composition of phloem sap and the relation to intraspecific variation in pea aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*) performance. J Insect Physiol 40:947–955. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0022-1910(94)90133-3.
- Upadhyay SK, Sharma S, Singh H, Dixit S, Kumar J, Verma PC, Chandrashekar K. 2015. Whitefly genome expression reveals host-symbiont interaction in amino acid biosynthesis. PLoS One 10:e0126751-16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126751.
- Noguchi M, Tamaki E. 1962. Studies on nitrogen metabolism in tobacco plants. A. Part II. Diurnal variation in the amino acid composition of tobacco leaves. Arch Biochem Biophys 98:197–205. https://doi.org/10 .1016/0003-9861(62)90173-X.
- 57. Romba R, Gnankiné O. 2018. Comparative biology parameters of Q1 and Q3 genotypes of Bemisia tabaci MED (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) on two host plants in Burkina Faso, West Africa. African Entomol 26:116–123. https://doi.org/10.4001/003.026.0116.
- Romba R, Gnankine O, Drabo SF, Tiendrebeogo F, Henri H, Mouton L, Vavre F. 2018. Abundance of *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and its parasitoids on vegetables and cassava plants in Burkina Faso (West Africa). Ecol Evol 8:6091–6103. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4078.
- Saleh D, Laarif A, Clouet C, Gauthier N. 2012. Spatial and host-plant partitioning between coexisting *Bemisia tabaci* cryptic species in Tunisia. Popul Ecol 54:261–274. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-012-0303-z.

mBio

- Thompson WMO. 2006. Influence of amino acids on cassava biotype Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) when feeding on an artificial system. J Entomology 3:198–203. https://doi.org/10.3923/je .2006.198.203.
- Stout MJ, Thaler JS, Thomma BPHJ. 2006. Plant-mediated interactions between pathogenic microorganisms and herbivorous arthropods. Annu Rev Entomol 51:663–689. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151117.
- 62. Pan H, Su Q, Jiao X, Zhou L, Liu B, Xie W, Wang S, Wu Q, Xu B, Zhang Y. 2013. Relative amount of symbionts in *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) Q changes with host plant and establishing the method of analyzing free amino acid in *B. tabaci*. Commun Integr Biol 6:e23397. https://doi.org/10.4161/cib.23397.
- 63. Guo L, Su Q, Yin J, Yang Z, Xie W, Wang S, Wu Q, Cui H, Zhang Y. 2019. Amino acid utilization may explain why *Bemisia tabaci* Q and B differ in their performance on plants infected by the tomato yellow leaf curl virus. Front Physiol 10:1–8.
- Crafts-Brandner SJ. 2002. Plant nitrogen status rapidly alters amino acid metabolism and excretion in *Bemisia tabaci*. J Insect Physiol 48:33–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-1910(01)00140-8.
- Liu Y-H, Sha MMR, Song Y, Liu T-X. 2020. Host plant affects symbiont abundance in *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Alyerodidae). Insects 11: 501–517. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11080501.
- 66. Liu B, Yan F, Chu D, Pan H, Jiao X, Xie W, Wu Q, Wang S, Xu B, Zhou X, Zhang Y. 2012. Difference in feeding behaviors of two invasive whiteflies on host plants with different suitability: implication for competitive displacement. Int J Biol Sci 8:697–706. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.4108.
- 67. lida H, Kitamura T, Honda K. 2009. Comparison of egg-hatching rate, survival rate and development time of the immature stage between B- and Q-biotypes of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) on various agricultural crops. Appl Entomol Zool 44:267–273. https://doi.org/10.1303/aez.2009.267.
- Jiao X, Xie W, Wang S, Wu Q, Pan H, Liu B, Zhang Y. 2013. Differences in host selection and performance between B and Q putative species of *Bemisia tabaci* on three host plants. Entomol Exp Appl 147:1–8. https:// doi.org/10.1111/eea.12040.
- Price DRG, Feng H, Baker JD, Bavan S, Luetje CW, Wilson ACC. 2014. Aphid amino acid transporter regulates glutamine supply to intracellular bacterial symbionts. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:320–325. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1306068111.
- Liadouze I, Febvay G, Guillaud J, Bonnot G. 1995. Effect of diet on the free amino acid pools of symbiotic and aposymbiotic pea aphids, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. J Insect Physiol 41:33–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(94)00085-U.
- Opatovsky I, Santos-Garcia D, Ruan Z, Lahav T, Ofaim S, Mouton L, Barbe V, Jiang J, Zchori-Fein E, Freilich S. 2018. Modeling trophic dependencies and exchanges among insects' bacterial symbionts in a host-simulated environment. BMC Genomics 19:402–416. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4786-7.
- Vyskočilová S, Tay WT, van Brunschot S, Seal S, Colvin J. 2018. An integrative approach to discovering cryptic species within the *Bemisia tabaci* whitefly species complex. Sci Rep 8:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29305-w.
- Zhu D, Zou C, Ban F, Wang H, Wang X, Liu Y-Q. 2019. Conservation of transcriptional elements in the obligate symbiont of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. PeerJ 7:e7477. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7477.
- Zhu D, Rao Q, Zou C, Ban F-X, Zhao J-J, Liu S. 2021. Genomic and transcriptomic analyses reveal metabolic complementarity between whiteflies and their symbionts. Insect Sci 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12943.
- Santos-Garcia D, Mestre-Rincon N, Ouvrard D, Zchori-Fein E, Morin S. 2020. *Portiera* gets wild: genome instability provides insights into the evolution of both whiteflies and their endosymbionts. Genome Biol Evol 12:2107–2124. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evaa216.
- English S, Pen I, Shea N, Uller T. 2015. The information value of nongenetic inheritance in plants and animals. PLoS One 10:e0116996-17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116996.

- 77. Himler AG, Adachi-Hagimori T, Bergen JE, Kozuch A, Kelly SE, Tabashnik BE, Chiel E, Duckworth VE, Dennehy TJ, Zchori-Fein E, Hunter MS. 2011. Rapid spread of a bacterial symbiont in an invasive whitefly is driven by fitness benefits and female bias. Science 332:254–256. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1199410.
- Cass BN, Yallouz R, Bondy EC, Mozes-Daube N, Horowitz AR, Kelly SE, Zchori-Fein E, Hunter MS. 2015. Dynamics of the endosymbiont *Rickettsia* in an insect pest. Microb Ecol 70:287–297. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248 -015-0565-z.
- 79. Bockoven AA, Bondy EC, Flores MJ, Kelly SE, Ravenscraft AM, Hunter MS. 2020. What goes up might come down: the spectacular spread of an endosymbiont is followed by its decline a decade later. Microb Ecol 79: 482–494. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-019-01417-4.
- Hunter MS, Asiimwe P, Himler AG, Kelly SE. 2017. Host nuclear genotype influences phenotype of a conditional mutualist symbiont. J Evol Biol 30: 141–149. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12993.
- Henri H, Terraz G, Gnankiné O, Fleury F, Mouton L. 2013. Molecular characterization of genetic diversity within the Africa/Middle East/Asia Minor and Sub-Saharan African groups of the *Bernisia tabaci* species complex. Int J Pest Manag 59:329–338. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670874.2013.869374.
- Rabatel A, Febvay G, Gaget K, Duport G, Baa-Puyoulet P, Sapountzis P, Bendridi N, Rey M, Rahbé Y, Charles H, Calevro F, Colella S. 2013. Tyrosine pathway regulation is host-mediated in the pea aphid symbiosis during late embryonic and early larval development. BMC Genomics 14:235–217. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-235.
- Henderson JW, Ricker RD, Bidlingmeyer BA, Woodward C. 2000. Rapid, accurate, sensitive, and reproducible HPLC analysis of amino acids and the Agilent 1100 HPLC. amino acid analysis using Zorbax Eclipse-AAA columns and the Agilent 1100 HPLC. Publication no. 59800-1193E. Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA.
- Le Pape S. 2012. EasyqpcR: EasyqpcR for easy analysis of real-time PCR data at IRTOMIT-INSERM U1082. http://irtomit.labo.univ-poitiers.fr/, http://bio conductor.riken.jp/packages/stats/bioc/EasyqpcR/. Accessed January 2021.
- Hellemans J, Mortier G, De Paepe A, Speleman F, Vandesompele J. 2007. qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. Genome Biol 8:R19. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r19.
- Tukey JW. 1949. Comparing individual means in the analysis of variance. Int Biometric Soc 5:99–114. https://doi.org/10.2307/3001913.
- Russell L. 2020. emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. R package version 1.4.8. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package= emmeans. Accessed 15 January 2021.
- Sinisterra XH, McKenzie CL, Hunter WB, Powell CA, Shatters RG. 2005. Differential transcriptional activity of plant-pathogenic begomoviruses in their whitefly vector (*Bemisia tabaci*, Gennadius: Hemiptera Aleyroadidae). J Gen Virol 86:1525–1532. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.80665-0.
- Caspi-Fluger A, Inbar M, Mozes-Daube N, Mouton L, Hunter MS, Zchori-Fein E. 2011. *Rickettsia* "in" and "out": two different localization patterns of a bacterial symbiont in the same insect species. PLoS One 6:e21096-9. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021096.
- Vavre F, Fleury F, Lepetit D, Fouillet P, Boulétreau M. 1999. Phylogenetic evidence for horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in host-parasitoid associations. Mol Biol Evol 16:1711–1723. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals .molbev.a026084.
- Moran NA, Degnan PH, Santos SR, Dunbar HE, Ochman H. 2005. The players in a mutualistic symbiosis: insects, bacteria, viruses, and virulence genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:16919–16926. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507029102.
- Duron O, Bouchon D, Boutin S, Bellamy L, Zhou L, Engelstädter J, Hurst GD. 2008. The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: *Wolbachia* do not walk alone. BMC Biol 6:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-6-27.