OPEN ACCESS



Characterization of the complex formed between a potent neutralizing ovine-derived polyclonal anti-TNF α Fab fragment and human TNF α

W. Mark ABBOTT^{*1}, Melanie SNOW*, Sonia ECKERSLEY*, Jonathan RENSHAW*, Gareth DAVIES*, Richard A. NORMAN*, Peter CEUPPENS*, Jerry SLOOTSTRA†, Joris J. BENSCHOP†, Yoshitomo HAMURO‡, Jessica E. LEE‡ and Peter NEWHAM*

*AstraZeneca, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG, U.K., †Pepscan Presto, Zuidersluisweg 2, 8243 RC Lelystad, The Netherlands, and †ExSAR, 11 Deer Park Drive, Suite 103, Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852, U.S.A.

Synopsis

TNF α (tumour necrosis factor α) is an early mediator in the systemic inflammatory response to infection and is therefore a therapeutic target in sepsis. AZD9773 is an ovine-derived, polyclonal anti-TNF α Fab fragment derived from a pool of serum and currently being developed as a treatment for severe sepsis and septic shock. In the present study, we show that although AZD9773 has a modest affinity for TNF α in a binding assay, the K_i in a cell-based assay is approximately four orders of magnitude lower. We show using SEC (size exclusion chromatography) that the maximum size of the complex between AZD9773 and TNF α is consistent with approximately 12 Fabs binding to one TNF α trimer. A number of approaches were taken to map the epitopes recognized by AZD9773. These revealed that a number of different regions on TNF α are involved in binding to the polyclonal Fab. The data suggest that there are probably three epitopes per monomer that are responsible for most of the inhibition by AZD9773 and that all three can be occupied at the same time in the complex. We conclude that AZD9773 is clearly demonstrated to bind to multiple epitopes on TNF α and suggest that the polyclonal nature may account, at least in part, for the very high potency observed in cell-based assays.

Key words: biotherapeutic, epitope, Fab, polyclonal, sepsis, $TNF\alpha$

Cite this article as: Abbott, W.M., Snow, M., Eckersley, S., Renshaw, J., Davies, G., Norman, R.A., Ceuppens, P., Slootstra, J., Benschop, J.J., Hamuro, Y., Lee, J.E. and Newham, P. (2013) Characterization of the complex formed between a potent neutralizing ovine-derived polyclonal anti-TNF α Fab fragment and human TNF α . Biosci. Rep. **33**(4), art:e00060.doi:10.1042/BSR20130044

INTRODUCTION

TNF α (tumour necrosis factor α) is a potent pro-inflammatory cytokine that is the first to be released in response to infection and can trigger the complete spectrum of responses characteristic of endotoxic shock [1–3]. The key role that TNF α plays in the inflammatory response has made it a very attractive target in inflammatory diseases, and biologic agents are now widely used to suppress its action in rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis and Crohn's disease [3–5]. However, despite clear evidence of a pivotal role in septic shock, anti-TNF α agents have had limited success in treating patients with these symptoms [6,7].

TNF α is a non-covalent homotrimer with a subunit molecular mass of 17 kDa, first isolated in 1985 from tissue culture

supernatant of a phorbol ester stimulated cell line [8]. It is initially synthesized as a membrane-bound form that is then cleaved to release the soluble cytokine by TACE (TNF α -converting enzyme) [9]. The crystal structures of both hTNF α (human TNF α) and mTNF α (mouse TNF α) molecules have been determined [10,11]. Each monomer consists of a β -pleated sheet sandwich, which forms the trimer. TNF α exerts its effects through two distinct receptors: TNF-R1 and TNF-R2 [12]. Several different approaches have been used to study the interaction of TNF α with its receptor. Banner et al. published the structure of LTA (lymphotoxin α), which shares 33% sequence identity with TNF α in complex with TNF-R1 [13]. This study showed that the receptor binds at the interface of the subunits with three receptor molecules binding to one trimer, with two main sites of interaction on the ligand. No structure exists for the TNF α :receptor

Abbreviations used: CLIPS, chemically linked peptides on scaffolds; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium; HDX-MS, hydrogen/deuterium exchange MS; hTNFα, human TNFα; mAb, monoclonal antibody; mTNFα, mouse TNFα; rTNFα, recombinant TNFα; SEC, size exclusion chromatography; SPR, surface plasmon resonance; TNFα, tumour necrosis factor α; TNFR, TNF receptor.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed (email mark.abbott@astrazeneca.com).

Table 1 Amino acid changes for TNF α mutants 1–20

Mutants 14–17 and 18–20 were changed progressively from mTNF α to hTNF α . The underlined residues are the additional residues in each mutant, where 13–17 are one set of mutants and 13 followed by 18–20 are a second set.

Mutant	Amino acid changes						
1	[Q6R, N7T, S8P]mTNF-α(1–156)						
2	[Q6R, N7T, S8P, A52S, D53E]mTNF-α(1–156)						
3	[S30N, Q31R]mTNF-α(1–156)						
4	[D71S, Y72H, ins 71_T_72]mTNF-α(1-156)						
5	[P101Q, K102R, D103E, L110A]mTNF-α(1–156)						
6	D71S, Y72H, ins 71_T_72, P101Q, K102R,D103E,L110A]mTNF-α(1–156)						
7	[E88T]mTNF-α(1–156)						
8	[L137R, K139D]mTNF-α(1–156)						
9	[H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q]mTNF-α(1–156)						
10	[H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q, S30N, Q31R, L137R, K139D]mTNF-α(1–156)						
11	[Q130R]mTNF-α(1–156)						
12	[D42E, K44R, F82I, I84V, E88T, Q130R]mTNF-α(1–156)						
13	[M41V, V58I, V79I, V96I, V135I, V153I]mTNF-α(1–156)						
14	[<u>H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q</u> , M41V, V58I, V79I, V96I, V135I, V153I]mTNF-α(1–156)						
15	[H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q, S30N, Q31R, M41V, V58I, V79I, V96I, V135I, L137R, K139D, V153I]mTNF-α(1–156)						
16	[<u>L1V, Q6R, N7T, S8P</u> , H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q, S30N, Q31R, M41V, V58I, V79I, V96I, V135I, L137R, K139D, V153I]mTNF-α(1–156)						
17	[L1V, Q6R, N7T, S8P, H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q, S30N, Q31R, M41V, <u>D42E, K44R, A52S, D53E</u> , V58I, V79I, <u>F82I, I84V, E88T</u> , V96I, <u>Q130R</u> , V135I, L137R, K139D, V153I]mTNF-α(1–156)						
18	[<u>L1V, Q6R, N7T, S8P, H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q, S30N, Q31R</u> , M41V, V58I, V79I, V96I, V135I, V153I]mTNFα(1–156)						
19	[L1V, Q6R, N7T, S8P, H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q, S30N, Q31R, M41V, <u>D42E, K44R</u> , V58I, V79I, V96I, <u>P101Q, K102R, D103E, L110A</u> , V135I, <u>L137R, K139D</u> , V153I]mTNFα(1–156)						
20	[L1V, Q6R, N7T, S8P, H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q, S30N, Q31R, M41V, D42E, K44R, V58I, <u>D71S, Y72H, ins 71_T_72</u> , V79I, V96I, P101Q, K102R, D103E, L110A, V135I, L137R, K139D, V153I]mTNFα(1–156)						

complex that definitively identifies the area of receptor interaction. Site-directed mutagenesis studies have, however, indicated that residues essential for receptor binding are located at the interface of the subunits [14–16]. Two early studies with polyclonal antibody or mAb (monoclonal antibody) suggested that the Nterminus and the residues 25, 27 and 144 might be involved in, or be in close proximity to, receptor recognition sites [17,18].

Clinically used anti-TNF α agents have been characterized for their interaction with TNF α in a number of different studies (US patent no. 6,284,471 [19,20]). These studies have revealed a number of different epitopes, affinities and sizes of the complexes formed. In addition, a number of other studies have identified up to six different epitopes on recombinant hTNF α using mAb and polyclonal antibody, with three of these appearing to be neutralizing epitopes [18,21–24]. The aim of this study was to investigate at a detailed molecular level how AZD9773 interacts with TNF α , in particular with respect to affinity and potency, the size of the complex, different epitopes and to understand how these compare with some of the characterized anti-TNF α biological agents used in the clinic.

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of AZD9773

AZD9773 is an ovine-derived, polyclonal Fab fragment obtained from sheep immunized with hTNF α . Merino wether sheep were immunized with recombinant human TNF α and maintained at the BTG facility (Martindale Holdings Pty Ltd, Mintaro, South Australia, Australia). Blood was recovered at approximately 4weekly intervals at processing facilities at Turretfield Research Centre (Rosedale, South Australia, Australia) according to strict state and national ethical guidelines for animal welfare. The animals were not terminally bled. Sheep antibodies are very similar in structure to human antibodies [25]. Isolated papain-generated Fabs are >95% pure (see [26] for an overview of polyclonalderived biotherapeutics). In all cases, the protein was initially reconstituted in PBS at 10 mg/ml.

Preparation of TNF α proteins

Mature hTNF α (P01375) and mTNF α (P06804) were obtained from R&D Systems, Boehringer or were expressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified as described below.

Soluble mTNF α mutant DNAs (Table 1) were synthesized and cloned by GeneArt Life Technologies. The proteins were expressed in *E. coli* (BL21-Gold; DE3) at 18 °C and then a lysate supernatant purified by a three-step process using anion exchange (Q Sepharose FF GE), hydrophobic interaction (phenyl Sepharose HP GE) and gel filtration (Superdex 200 GE) chromatography.

Analysis of AZD9773 binding to TNF α

A detailed kinetic analysis of the binding of AZD9773 to $hTNF\alpha$ and $mTNF\alpha$ was performed using SPR (surface plasmon

resonance) on a Biacore 3000 instrument. AZD9773 Fab fragments were immobilized using amine-coupling chemistry to achieve a ligand immobilization level of 800RU. A 12-point concentration series of TNF α samples was prepared using three-fold serial dilutions from 30 μ M. TNF α samples were injected across the sensor surface for 360-s association times, with 600-s dissociation. The lowest concentration of TNF (0.17 nM) was injected first, followed by the samples of increasing TNF α concentration. Each TNF α injection was interspersed with a single 20-s wash injection of 10 mM glycine, pH3.0. The affinity was determined by two methods: equilibrium binding once steady-state binding had been reached, and an analysis of the k_a (association rate constant) and k_d (dissociation rate constant).

Mutant TNF α proteins were analysed for their binding to AZD9773 using SPR on a Biacore 3000 or 1000 instrument. The TNF α proteins were immobilized onto a CM5 sensor chip to give approximately 1000RU. AZD9773 was injected over this surface at a concentration of 100 μ g/ml in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH7.5), 150 mM sodium chloride and 0.005 % P20 surfactant (Biacore) at a flow rate of 30 μ l/min for 3 min. The association value in RU was recorded at the end of the 3-min injection. hTNF α and mTNF α were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

Analysis of efficacy of AZD9773 in TNF α -mediated cytotoxicity assay

L929 (mouse fibroblast) cells were cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium), 5% (v/v) FBS and 2 mM GlutaMAX at 37°C in 5% (v/v) CO2. Cells were plated in DMEM containing 1 % FBS and 2 mM GlutaMAX into 96-well tissue culture plates with 1.25×10^4 cells in 50 μ l being dispensed into each well and the cells incubated for 20 h at 37 °C. TNFa proteins and AZD9773 were diluted in DMEM and 1% FBS. Indicated concentrations of TNF α and Fab were premixed and 100 μ l added to each well after the 50 μ l of plating media had been removed. In order to determine the EC₅₀ dose for the different TNFα molecules, a dilution series of 0.02 pg/ml to 40 ng/ml was used. Cells were then incubated for a further 20 h before viability was determined by adding 20 μ l of CellTitre 96AQ One solution reagent (Pierce). The plate was then incubated for a further 4 h at 37 °C, 5 % CO₂. Absorbance values were recorded after a 5-s shake using a microtitre plate reader at a wavelength of 490 nm.

Cell survival data were analysed by fitting three four-parameter logistic curves to the three separate treatments (TNF alone, AZD9773 41 ng/ml + TNF and AZD9773 1200 ng/ml + TNF α) versus the TNF concentration on each plate. The curves were fitted with common asymptotes on each plate (top, cell survival and bottom, cell death) for the three curves and a common slope. The EC₅₀ was allowed to vary. For each plate, the shift in the AZD9773 curves relative to TNF alone was calculated and quoted as a fold change.

Data were summarized by calculating a geometric average of the fold changes for each mutant and the human and mouse controls and obtaining a pooled estimate of variability across all of the mutants and controls. There was evidence that this pooled variability differed depending on the dose of AZD9773, so separate calculations of pooled variability were produced for each dose.

Analysis of AZD9773:hTNF α complex using SEC (size exclusion chromatography)

The hTNFα:AZD9773 complex was investigated using SEC. A Superdex 200 PC3.2/30 column (GE Healthcare) was equilibrated into PBS + azide on an Ettan system (GE Healthcare) at a flow rate of 50 μ l/min. hTNF α (Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH) and AZD9773 were incubated at a molar ratio of 20, 40, 80 and 200:1 (AZD9773:trimeric TNF α), keeping either AZD9773 or hTNF α constant, respectively. Incubations were carried out at room temperature (20°C) overnight and the complexes were subjected to SEC analysis in 50 μ l volumes. TNF α (8.98 mg/ml; \sim 172.5 μ M) and AZD9773 (11.9 mg/ml; \sim 238 μ M) were also analysed uncomplexed in 10 μ l volumes. Chromatograms were obtained by monitoring the absorbance at 280 nM. A set of molecular standard markers (Sigma MWGF1000-1KT) ranging from 29 to 669 kDa was used to calibrate the column. The apparent molecular mass of samples was estimated by fitting the retention/elution volume to the calibration curve obtained from the molecular mass standards.

Epitope mapping using linear and constrained peptide libraries

A total of 2500 different peptides were generated using proprietary CLIPS (chemically linked peptides on scaffolds) technology (Pepscan), peptide arrays that reconstruct conformational and discontinuous epitopes of hTNF α [27]. These were synthesized and screened as first described by Slootstra et al. in 1996 [28]. The peptides included all overlapping linear 15- and 30-mer peptides, all overlapping single-looped 15-mer peptides, and matrix scans that reconstruct discontinuous epitopes.

The libraries were screened for binding by incubation with AZD9773 at three different concentrations: 1, 10 and 100 μ g/ml.

Epitope mapping using HDX-MS (hydrogen/deuterium exchange MS)

The general H/D exchange epitope mapping procedure has been described previously [29]. Briefly, exchanged protein samples were added to 2 M urea and 1 M TCEP [tris-(2carboxyethyl)phosphine; pH3.0] and passed over an immobilized pepsin column at 0 °C. The digested fragments were separated by a C18 column and mass spectrometric analyses were performed with a Thermo LTQTM mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher).

RESULTS

Generation of AZD9773

AZD9773 is >95% pure Fab, as observed by SDS/PAGE and analytical SEC. Approximately 10% of the product was able to bind hTNF α , as determined by affinity purification (results not shown). Although the product could be affinity purified on



Figure 1 Analysis of binding affinity and efficacy of AZD9773

(A) The steady-state fit for hTNF- α binding to immobilized AZD9773 at the 360 s time point is shown. A full dose response was performed three times on two separate occasions. Fitting the data to a steady-state binding model enabled the K_d to be calculated as 124 nM (95% Cl, 65–235 nM). (B) TNF- α was immobilized and AZD9773 binding determined by injection at 100 μ g/ml for 3 min. The response was measured in RU and normalized to 1000 RU immobilized TNF- α . The measurements are means \pm S.D. C, the K_i for AZD9773 against hTNF- α was calculated using the Cheng-Prusoff equation: $K_i = IC_{50}/(1 + (A/ED_{50}))$, where IC_{50} is the concentration that produces 50% inhibition, ED₅₀ is the dose that produces 50% of the maximum achievable effect and A is the concentration of hTNF- α . This revealed a K_i of 36 pM.

a TNF α column, this was not done as it has two disadvantages: firstly, it would have required very large quantities of TNF α , and secondly, the elution conditions would likely elute some TNF α as it is a non-covalent trimer.

Characterization of AZD9773 binding to TNF α

The binding of AZD9773 was analysed using two separate approaches for SPR. The affinity of AZD9773 was determined by immobilizing the Fab directly onto a sensor chip, injecting different concentrations of hTNF α , and then allowing the system to reach steady-state binding after 360 s. 800RU of Fab was immobilized to the sensor chip surface, which equates to approximately 80RU of anti-TNF α Fab. Higher levels of Fab immobilization resulted in non-saturable binding suggestive of non-specific binding and thus were not used. When $TNF\alpha$ over a concentration range of up to 30 μ M was injected over the surface, the apparent $K_{\rm d}$ (dissociation constant) for binding was 124 nM (Figure 1A). However the kinetic analysis was complex, with a Hill slope of 0.5 tentatively suggesting multiple phases in the binding interaction. The presence of lower-affinity Fabs may bias the K_d according to the concentration range measured. Consequently, different values for K_d were obtained, depending on the concentration range of TNF α employed (for TNF $\alpha \leq 1 \mu$ M, K_d was 17 nM [95% CI, 14–20], whereas testing to a maximal concentration of 30 μ M



Figure 2 Analysis of the size of the AZD9773/TNF- $\!\alpha$ complex using SEC

AZD9773 and hTNF α were mixed at the ratios shown for 16 h at room temperature and then injected over a Superdex 200 column equilibrated in PBS. The column was equilibrated with molecular mass standards of between 29 and 669 kDa.

gave a K_d of 62 nM) (Figure 1A). It seems likely that this reflects the polyclonal nature of AZD9773 with Fabs possessing a range of affinities.

The specificity of AZD9773 was determined using SPR, but in this case TNF α was immobilized and then AZD9773 injected over the surface. This showed that while AZD9773 bound strongly to hTNF α , it did not bind above background levels to mTNF α (Figure 1B). AZD9773 did not bind rat or porcine rTNF α (recombinant TNF α) but did bind canine and primate rTNF α (results not shown).

Characterization of potency of AZD9773

The ability of AZD9773 to inhibit TNF α -mediated cellular toxicity was evaluated using L929 cells. The K_i (inhibition constant) for AZD9773 versus hTNF α was determined to be 36pM (Figure 1C). As expected, AZD9773 did not inhibit murine rTNF α biological activity. As AZD9773 only contains about 10% of Fabs that bind hTNF α , the real K_i may be as low as about 4 pM. Thus, the potency in this assay is much greater than the mean hTNF α -binding affinity.

Determination of size of AZD9773/TNF α **complex**

Analysis showed that at AZD9773:hTNF α ratios >40:1, all TNF α was complexed by the Fab and that the size of the complex increased with increasing ratio to reach a maximum of about 650 kDa at 80:1 (Figure 2). This suggests that approximately 12 Fabs bind one TNF α trimer. It is difficult to predict the exact value owing to the limitations of SEC as the shape of the complex is unknown and an elongated structure would appear to have an artificially high molecular mass. However, the polyclonal hTNF binding nature of AZD9773 is apparent from this data.



Figure 3 Two-dimensional alignment of single-loop 15-mer peptides according to TNF-α sequence (x-axis) and observed Pepscan ELISA value (y-axis)
 (A) 1 μg/ml sample concentration. (B) 10 μg/ml sample concentration. (C), 100 μg/ml sample concentration.

Epitope mapping of AZD9773

We then attempted to define more precisely the location of binding sites on TNF α for the Fabs through three different approaches.

Peptide-based methods

In the first approach, a number of different linear and constrained peptide libraries were screened. The constrained libraries were built to try and mimic discontinuous epitopes. The peptides were screened for binding to AZD9773 by ELISA. The data for singlelooped peptides are shown in Figure 3. Data from the linear and other structurally constrained libraries identified the same regions (not shown). The analysis identified five regions on the $TNF\alpha$ molecule that were specifically bound by AZD9773. These are: 1VRSSSR₆, 17VANPQAEG₂₄ and 29LNRR₃₂ (observed at low and high concentrations), and 105 TPEGAEA111 and 135 EINRP139 (observed at higher concentrations only). When sample concentrations were increased even further, no additional binding regions were identified. The identified five binding regions are all located on the outside of the TNF α trimer, and at least four of the regions are in close proximity to the proposed binding sites of the TNF α receptor.

HDX-MS

In the second approach, the epitope of TNF α against AZD9773 was identified by HDX-MS [29,30]. Two different types of HDX-MS experiments of TNF α were performed: on-exchange without

AZD9773, and on/off-exchange with and without immobilized AZD9773.

The on-exchange results of $\text{TNF}\alpha$ (Figure 4A) unveil the dynamic properties of $\text{TNF}\alpha$ in solution. $\text{TNF}\alpha$ is a moderately dynamic protein and its backbone amide hydrogen atoms exchange over a wide time range. Some regions of the protein, such as residues 99–109, were completely deuterated within the shortest time point employed (150 s at 0 °C, pH7.0), while other regions, such as residues 58–62, 122–124 and 155–157, were not deuterated at all even after the longest time point (5000 s at 0 °C, pH7.0). The on-exchange results suggest that a wide time window needs to be surveyed during on/off-exchange experiments for epitope mapping.

The on/off-exchange results of TNF α with and without immobilized AZD9773 (Figure 4B) indicate those regions of TNF α likely to be the HDX-MS-identified epitope. The backbone amide hydrogen atoms in the epitope should exchange more slowly when the antigen binds to its antibody. Therefore the backbone amides in the epitope should carry deuterium atoms after on-exchange in the ligand-free state (in solution) and after off-exchange in the antigen-bound state (in the column). To eliminate background noise, control experiments were also performed (on-column/off-column). The segments that carried significantly more deuterium atoms after on-solution/off-column than after on-column/off-column are the HDX-MS-identified epitopes. Two segments, residues 15–29 and 43–44, had significantly more deuterium atoms after on-solution/off-column experiments (>10% on average) than after on-column/off-column off-column experiments. Two

659



Figure 4 HDX-MS for epitope mapping of AZD9773

(A) On-exchange results of hTNF α at 0 °C, pH7.0. Each block represents peptide analysed and contains four time points: 150, 500, 1500 and 5000 s. The deuteration level at each time point for each peptide is colour coded, as shown on the right. (B) Each block represents a segment monitored and contains five time points: 150 and 500 s at 3°C, pH7.0; 150, 500 and 1500 s at 23°C, pH7.0; 150, 500 and 1500 s at 23°C, pH7.0 from top to bottom. Dark blue indicates no difference between the two on/off-exchange experiments. Other colours indicate more deuterium atoms after on-solution/off-column exchange than after on-column/off-column exchange, as shown on the right.

other segments, residues 39-43 and 137-144, had marginally more deuterium atoms (5-10%). All four segments were spatially close to each other.

Mutagenesis of hTNF α sequence

The third approach taken to map the epitope(s) was to make a series of TNF α mutants (n = 20) (Table 1). These mutants sought to explore the reasons for the difference in binding seen between hTNF α and mTNF α by using both a primary amino acid sequence alignment and superposed structures of hTNF α (PDB code 1TNF) and mTNF α (PDB code 2TNF) that highlighted ten areas on the surface of the protein that were in a proximity limit of about 20 Å (1 Å = 0.1 nm) to each other. From this information, three approaches were used to design the mutants. A first set of 12 were made with essentially one region at a time changed from the mouse sequence to the human equivalent. Mutants 13-17 then progressively changed mTNF α to hTNF α in an additive manner. Mutant 13 contained six amino acid changes that were thought to be in the core of TNF α . The third set (mutants 18–20) started with mutant 13 and then progressively added changes based on the strength of evidence from the peptides, HDX-MS and the data from mutants 1-12.

All 20 mutants were successfully expressed and purified. They migrated as a single peak on a size exclusion column with a molecular mass consistent with being a trimer. The molecular mass as determined by electrospray mass spectrometry was in all cases as expected. The proteins were at least 80% pure when analysed by non-reducing SDS/PAGE and at least 90% pure with reducing SDS/PAGE.

The ability of these mutants to bind AZD9773 was evaluated using SPR (Figure 5A). In the first set of 12, mutants 9 and 10 bound most strongly; they had four common changes (H20P, V22A, E24G, E27Q) on a surface-exposed loop of TNF α and had been detected from the peptide and HDX-MS epitope mapping approaches. This loop would therefore appear to be a strong epitope. SEC showed a mass of about 230 kDa (results not shown) for the complex between mutant 9 and AZD9773, suggesting that just a single epitope was present. Weak binding was detected in mutants 1 and 2, which had three common changes near the N-terminus (Q6R, N7T, S8P). This region had been strongly identified from the peptide-based approaches but not HDX-MS. It therefore seems likely that this is an epitope. In the second set of mutants (13-17), binding increased as more amino acids were changed from mouse to the human equivalent, suggesting contributions from different parts of TNF α and therefore potentially multiple epitopes. However, it is noteworthy that even in mutant 17, 26 out of the 33 amino acid changes between mouse and hTNF α have been made, yet it still only binds approximately onethird as well as hTNF α , which suggests that the remaining seven residues (D71S, Y72H, Ins 71_T_72, P101Q, K102R, D103E, L110A) contribute very significantly to binding. Of the last set of three mutants, mutants 18 and 19 bound poorly, whereas mutant 20 bound well, although still only approximately 50% as well as hTNF α . As mutant 20 had 27 out of 33 changes, this suggested that the other six residues (A52S, D53E, F82I, I84V, E88T and Q130R) contributed significantly to binding. It is difficult to draw definitive conclusions from the data because there may be interactions between different residues that are changed in differing orders. However, taken altogether, the binding data suggest that there is an epitope around residues 20-27 and tentatively suggest epitopes around residues 71-73 and a patch around residues 52, 53, 82, 84, 88 and 130, along with smaller contributions from other regions such as the eight N-terminal amino acids.

Those mutants that bound AZD9773 were analysed for their ability to induce cytotoxicity in L929 cells (Supplementary Table S1, available at http://www.bioscirep.org/bsr/033/ bsr033e060add.htm) and their susceptibility to inhibition by AZD9773 (Figure 5B). We have shown 85% CIs rather than 95% CIs and pairwise comparisons between mutants to indicate



Figure 5 Analysis of mutant TNF- α proteins for their binding to AZD9773 and inhibition of their cytotoxicity by AZD9773 (A) Each mutant was immobilized on a separate channel of a CMS sensor chip to give approximately 1000 RU. Binding of AZD9773 was determined by injecting 100 μ g/ml for 3 min, after which the response was determined and corrected to 1000 RU. Values are means \pm S.D. of at least two experiments. (B) The cytotoxicity of each mutant was determined using a series of dilutions from 0.02 pg/ml to 40 ng/ml by itself and then in the presence of 41 ng/ml and 1200 ng/ml AZD9773 (41 or 1200 ng/ml) and TNF α alone (error bars are 85% Cls).

trends, as more amino acids are changed from mouse to their human equivalent. AZD9773 inhibited mutant 9, suggesting that the region between residues 20 and 27 is, or is at least part of, an inhibitory epitope. There was no clear inhibition of mutants 1 and 2. Although mutant 9 clearly binds AZD9773 and is inhibited in the L929 assay, there must be other parts of TNF α that contribute to the neutralizing activity of AZD9773 as the response is significantly less than with hTNF α .

The changes made between mutants 15 and 17 and then to hTNF α all contribute to an increased sensitivity to AZD9773, suggesting multiple inhibitory epitopes. With mutants 18-20, the largest increase in sensitivity occurred with the additional residues between 18 and 19. Mutant 19 is interesting as although it only binds AZD9773 quite weakly, it is almost as sensitive to inhibition as hTNF α . The complex between mutant 19 and AZD9773 was analysed by SEC and shown to have a molecular mass of about 500 kDa (results not shown), which suggests that there are likely to be three Fabs bound per monomer. Although these three potential epitopes only constitute just over 10% of the binding response, they would seem to be responsible for most of the AZD9773 efficacy. The inhibition data strongly indicate that the region between residues 20 and 27 is an inhibitory epitope and that there are other major contributions to inhibition from other epitopes, potentially encompassing the N-terminus and residues 42 and 44.

DISCUSSION

The key findings from this study are that AZD9773 is a very potent functional inhibitor of TNF α , despite only having moderate binding affinity. AZD9773 is clearly shown to bind to multiple sites on hTNF α by virtue of the size of the complex in solution, as well as the presence of multiple epitopes as revealed by a combination of different approaches.

AZD9773 is a complex product as it not only represents a polyclonal antibody response to a protein antigen, it is also derived from pooled sheep serum. Thus, it might be expected to contain at least many tens of different antibodies against different epitopes. The product is a Fab fragment produced after papain cleavage, which results in different pharmacokinetic properties to a standard immunoglobulin therapeutic, notably a markedly shorter plasma half-life (cleared in hours rather than days) and more rapid tissue distribution, which may confer pharmacological advantages. In this study, we have sought to characterize the complex between AZD9773 and hTNF α in detail, with respect to the kinetics of binding, efficacy in a functional assay, molecular size and epitope determination for both neutralizing and non-neutralizing epitopes. In addition, as far as possible with a complex polyclonal product, we sought to compare the epitopes with those of commercial monoclonal antibodies.

Analysis of the binding data using SPR with the Fab immobilized showed a variable K_d depending on the concentration of TNF α injected over the surface. As AZD9773 is a polyclonal Fab, this is not surprising and the measured K_d values will almost certainly represent a wide range of affinities. In an assay based on TNF α -induced killing of L929 mouse fibroblasts, the active fraction of AZD9773 had a K_i of 4pM, which is approximately four orders of magnitude more potent than the average binding affinity. This might reflect the presence of a small fraction of very high-affinity antibodies, which are detected in an assay that uses TNF α at pM concentrations. Lewis and colleagues [31] recently suggested that the signalling competent tumour necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFRI) molecule is a network induced by $TNF\alpha$ that results in a conformational change to an active state of the receptor. If this were the case, simple affinity of AZD9773 for the trimer may be very different to potency in cell-based assays, where binding to just one molecule in the network could result in a breakdown of that network and loss of signalling. Alternatively, it might be a consequence of a polyclonal antibody response being synergistic against different epitopes. As a first step toward clearly demonstrating and understanding the polyclonal nature of AZD9773, we characterized the size of the complex formed with hTNF α and defined the different epitopes involved in binding.

Analytical SEC clearly revealed that AZD9773 was capable of binding to multiple different sites on TNF α as the molecular size was consistent with approximately 12 Fabs bound per TNF α trimer. Although this is a very approximate size estimation of the complex because of the limitations of SEC, it is strongly suggestive that much of the TNF α surface will be covered with Fab molecules. The TNF α trimer has a surface area of approximately

Approximate region		HDX-MS	Peptides	Chimaeras	Class	
1–8	VRSSSRTP	-	****	**	(Inhibitory)	
15–32	HVVANPQAEGQLQWLNRR	****	****	****	Inhibitory	
39–44	NGVELR	****	-	(**)	(Inhibitory)	
71–73	STH	-	-	(****)	Non-inhibitory	
102–111	QRETPEGAEA	-	*	(*)	Non-inhibitory	
136–145	INRPDYLDFA	*	*	-	No evidence	

 Table 2 Classification of inhibitory and non-inhibitory epitopes

 Asterisks indicate the strength of evidence from a particular method supporting a region being an epitope.



Figure 6 (A) hTNF α trimer:AZD9773 epitopes. (B) TNF receptor epitopes

19000 Å². Comparisons with published structures of antigens with Fabs very tentatively suggest that it could be possible to get 8–12 Fabs bound to the trimer, probably towards the lower end of this range. This conclusion was also suggested from experiments where we attempted without success to select out phage scFv molecules binding to TNF α from phage antibody libraries when using the complex for screening (results not shown).

Epitope mapping approaches further demonstrated the polyclonal nature of AZD9773 and have started to help us understand which epitopes do and do not contribute to efficacy. The most definitive way to map an epitope is to crystallize the antigen with the antibody, generally a Fab fragment [30,32,33]. However, this requires pure monoclonal antibody, which is in contrast to the polyclonal AZD9773 product. We therefore used three alternative approaches to derive a consensus on epitopes recognized by AZD9773: linear and constrained overlapping peptides, HDX-MS and mutagenesis to generate chimaeras of hTNF and mTNF α . An overall summary of the data is shown in Table 2 and the putative epitopes shown on TNF α structure in Figure 6 (an alignment of hTNF α , ovine and mTNF α sequences are shown in Supplementary Figure S1 at http://www.bioscirep.org/bsr/033/bsr033e060add.htm). It is clear from Figure 6 that there is significant overlap between the inhibitory epitopes and those parts of TNF α thought to interact with the TNF receptors. The region for which there is strongest evidence is between residues 15 and 32, which was identified by all three methods and encompasses an epitope required for biologic activity. Notably, this region contains a QXQ motif that Yone et al. in 1995 speculated to be part of a conformational inhibitory epitope together with F144, which interestingly is a tyrosine in the ovine sequence [18]. However, it is clear from the data on a mutant containing this region (mutant 9) that it only contributes to a small part of the binding activity and functional efficacy of AZD9773. In the first series of mutants (mutants 1-12), where one region at a time was changed from mouse to human, it was perhaps somewhat surprising that of the other mutant $TNF\alpha$ molecules, only those containing amino acid changes at the N-terminus bound to AZD9773 and then only weakly. This suggests that other epitopes are likely to result from combinations of changes that had not been explored. Therefore a second set of mutants was made where mTNF α was changed progressively to hTNF α . This second set of mutants clearly further demonstrated the polyclonal nature of AZD9773, as binding and sensitivity to inhibition increased with increasing number of changes. However, it was also clear that binding and inhibition did not necessarily change coincidentally with different mutants. This enabled a tentative definition of further inhibitory and non-inhibitory epitopes. Antibodies against the peptides derived from the N-terminus have been shown previously to be inhibitory [17]. Yone et al. in 1995 suggested that a goat polyclonal antibody reacts to residues 42-49, which encompasses this putative inhibitory epitope, although they did not attempt to determine if it was inhibitory [18].

The epitopes identified for two commercial anti-TNF α agents by Kim et al. in 2007 are clearly different to those identified for AZD9773 [19]. They identified a number of residues between 138 and 142 that, when mutated to glycine, bound infliximab and etanercept much more weakly. We did find some evidence from the peptide mapping and HDX-MS that this region was involved in binding. Owing to the nature of the mutants that were made, no definitive conclusions could be reached as to whether it is part of an inhibitory epitope for AZD9773.

Taken together, our data reveal the polyclonal nature of AZD9773 and suggest that this is a major determinant of the unexpectedly low apparent K_i . Although the reason for this requires further work, phenomena similar to that reported by Marks in 2004 for multiple monoclonal antibodies to botulinum toxin may represent a plausible explanation [34]. He speculated that the increase in functional potency could result from conformational changes induced upon binding, resulting in increased affinity from other monoclonal antibody species and/or increasing

the surface area of the toxin that interacts with the receptor. He showed that combining monoclonal antibody binding to nonoverlapping peptides leads to a large increase in functional potency and an approximately 100-fold increase in binding affinity. In contrast, we used a polyclonal Fab, but it is conceivable that a similar type of phenomenon is being observed, especially when we consider that one of the AZD9773:hTNF binding regions we have identified (residues 15–32) contains the QXQ motif [18]. Thus, engagement of this region by Fab species in AZD9773 may enhance the affinity of other Fab species for their epitopes. In order to prove this, it would be necessary to clone out different antibodies from AZD9773 reactive to different epitopes using methods similar to those described by Cheung et al. in 2012 [35].

In summary, the data in this study show that AZD9773 is a very potent agent and also clearly reveal its polyclonal nature through a number of different approaches. We tentatively suggest that the polyclonal nature of AZD9773 may account in part for the very high potency.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Mark Abbott and Peter Newham directed the work. Mark Abbott wrote most of the manuscript, including editing contributions from other authors. Melanie Snow purified and characterized the $TNF\alpha$ mutants and performed most of the experimental work with SPR on these mutants. She also performed most of the analytical SEC. She wrote the text for these sections. Sonia Eckersley performed all the cell-based assays for TNF α activity and wrote this section. Jonathan Renshaw purified two of the mutants and performed some analytical SEC work on these mutants. Gareth Davies performed the SPR kinetic analysis and wrote this section. Richard Norman designed the TNF α mutants with input from Mark Abbott and Melanie Snow. He also produced Figure 6. Peter Ceuppens performed all the statistical analysis of the data on the TNF α mutants in the cellbased assays. Jerry Slootstra and Joris Benschop performed the peptide mapping work and wrote this section. Yoshitomo Hamuro and Jessica E. Lee performed the HDX-MS work and wrote this section.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Andrew Jones from Mudskipper, who provided editing assistance funded by AstraZeneca. We are grateful to Clive Copley and Catherine Marsden for discussions on the phage library work mentioned in the Discussion.

FUNDING

This work was supported by AstraZeneca.

REFERENCES

 Beutler, B. A., Milsark, I. W. and Cerami, A. (1985) Cachectin/tumor necrosis factor: production, distribution, and metabolic fate *in vivo*. J. Immunol. **135**, 3972–3977

- Michie, H. R., Manogue, K. R., Spriggs, D. R., Revhaug, A., O'Dwyer, S., Dinarello, C. A., Cerami, A., Wolff, S. M. and Wilmore, D. W. (1988) Detection of circulating tumor necrosis factor after endotoxin administration. N. Engl. J. Med. **318**, 1481–1486
- 3 van der Poll, T. and van Deventer, S. J. (1999) Cytokines and anticytokines in the pathogenesis of sepsis. Infect Dis. Clin. North Am. **13**, 413–426
- 4 Feldmann, M. (2002) Development of anti-TNF therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **2**, 364–371
- 5 Tracey, D., Klareskog, L., Sasso, E. H., Salfeld, J. G. and Tak, P. P. (2008) Tumor necrosis factor antagonist mechanisms of action: a comprehensive review. Pharmacol. Ther. **117**, 244–279
- 6 Qiu, P, Cui, X., Barochia, A., Li, Y., Natanson, C. and Eichacker, P.Q. (2011) The evolving experience with therapeutic TNF inhibition in sepsis: considering the potential influence of risk of death. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs **20**, 1555–1564
- 7 Rice, T. W., Wheeler, A. P., Morris, P. E., Paz, H. L., Russell, J. A., Edens, T. R. and Bernard, G. R. (2006) Safety and efficacy of affinity-purified, anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha, ovine Fab for injection (CytoFab) in severe sepsis. Crit. Care Med. **34**, 2271–2281
- 8 Aggarwal, B. B., Kohr, W. J., Hass, P. E., Moffat, B., Spencer, S. A., Henzel, W. J., Bringman, T. S., Nedwin, G. E., Goeddel, D. V. and Harkins, R. N. (1985) Human tumor necrosis factor. Production, purification, and characterization. J. Biol. Chem. **260**, 2345–2354
- 9 Black, R. A., Rauch, C. T., Kozlosky, C. J., Peschon, J. J., Slack, J. L., Wolfson, M. F., Castner, B. J., Stocking, K. L., Reddy, P., Srinivasan, S. et al. (1997) A metalloproteinase disintegrin that releases tumour-necrosis factor-alpha from cells. Nature **385**, 729–733
- Eck, M. J. and Sprang, S. R. (1989) The structure of tumor necrosis factor-alpha at 2.6 A resolution. Implications for receptor binding. J. Biol. Chem. **264**, 17595–17605
- 11 Baeyens, K. J., De Bondt, H. L., Raeymaekers, A., Fiers, W. and De Ranter, C. J. (1999) The structure of mouse tumour-necrosis factor at 1.4 A resolution: towards modulation of its selectivity and trimerization. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. 55, 772–778
- 12 Vandenabeele, P, Declercq, W., Beyaert, R. and Fiers, W. (1995) Two tumour necrosis factor receptors: structure and function. Trends Cell Biol. **5**, 392–399
- 13 Banner, D. W., D'Arcy, A., Janes, W., Gentz, R., Schoenfeld, H. J., Broger, C., Loetscher, H. and Lesslauer, W. (1993) Crystal structure of the soluble human 55 kD TNF receptor–human TNF beta complex: implications for TNF receptor activation. Cell **73**, 431–445
- 14 Yamagishi, J., Kawashima, H., Matsuo, N., Ohue, M., Yamayoshi, M., Fukui, T., Kotani, H., Furuta, R., Nakano, K. and Yamada, M. (1990) Mutational analysis of structure-activity relationships in human tumor necrosis factor-alpha. Protein Eng. **3**, 713–719
- 15 Van, O. X., Tavernier, J., Prange, T. and Fiers, W. (1991) Localization of the active site of human tumour necrosis factor (hTNF) by mutational analysis. EMBO J. **10**, 827–836
- 16 Zhang, X. M., Weber, I. and Chen, M. J. (1992) Site-directed mutational analysis of human tumor necrosis factor-alpha receptor binding site and structure-functional relationship. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 24069–24075
- 17 Socher, S. H., Riemen, M. W., Martinez, D., Friedman, A., Tai, J., Quintero, J. C., Garsky, V. and Oliff, A. (1987) Antibodies against amino acids 1–15 of tumor necrosis factor block its binding to cell-surface receptor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 84, 8829–8833
- 18 Yone, K., Bajard, S., Tsunekawa, N. and Suzuki, J. (1995) Epitopic regions for antibodies against tumor necrosis factor alpha. Analysis by synthetic peptide mapping. J. Biol. Chem. **270**, 19509–19515
- 19 Kim, M. S., Lee, S. H., Song, M. Y., Yoo, T. H., Lee, B. K. and Kim, Y. S. (2007) Comparative analyses of complex formation and binding sites between human tumor necrosis factor-alpha and its three antagonists elucidate their different neutralizing mechanisms. J. Mol. Biol. **374**, 1374–1388

- 20 Kaymakcalan, Z., Sakorafas, P., Bose, S., Scesney, S., Xiong, L., Hanzatian, D. K., Salfeld, J. and Sasso, E. H. (2009) Comparisons of affinities, avidities, and complement activation of adalimumab, infliximab, and etanercept in binding to soluble and membrane tumor necrosis factor. Clin. Immunol. **131**, 308–316
- 21 Bringman, T. S. and Aggarwal, B. B. (1987) Monoclonal antibodies to human tumor necrosis factors alpha and beta: application for affinity purification, immunoassays, and as structural probes. Hybridoma 6, 489–507
- 22 Corti, A., Fassina, G., Marcucci, F. and Cassani, G. (1992) Antigenic regions of tumor necrosis factor alpha and their topographic relationships with structural/functional domains. Mol. Immunol. **29**, 471–479
- 23 Karim, B., Beliard, R., Huart, J. J. and Bourel, D. (1994) Four epitopes on tumor necrosis factor-alpha defined by murine anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha monoclonal antibodies. Immunol. Lett. 41, 139–145
- 24 Nagahira, K., Fukuda, Y., Terakawa, M., Hashino, J., Nasu, T., Nakazato, H. and Nakanishi, T. (1995) Epitope mapping of monoclonal antibodies to tumor necrosis factor-alpha by synthetic peptide approach. Immunol. Lett. 46, 135–141
- 25 Jenne, C. N., Kennedy, L. J. and Reynolds, J. D. (2006) Antibody repertoire development in the sheep. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 30, 165–174
- 26 Newcombe, C. and Newcombe, A. R. (2007) Antibody production: polyclonal-derived biotherapeutics. J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 848, 2–7
- 27 Timmerman, P, Puijk, W. C. and Meloen, R. H. (2007) Functional reconstruction and synthetic mimicry of a conformational epitope using CLIPS technology. J. Mol. Recognit. 20, 283–299
- 28 Slootstra, J. W., Puijk, W. C., Ligtvoet, G. J., Langeveld, J. P. and Meloen, R. H. (1996) Structural aspects of antibody-antigen interaction revealed through small random peptide libraries. Mol. Divers. 1, 87–96

- 29 Coales, S. J., Tuske, S. J., Tomasso, J. C. and Hamuro, Y. (2009) Epitope mapping by amide hydrogen/deuterium exchange coupled with immobilization of antibody, on-line proteolysis, liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. **23**, 639–647
- 30 Gerhardt, S., Abbott, W. M., Hargreaves, D., Pauptit, R. A., Davies, R. A., Needham, M. R., Langham, C., Barker, W., Aziz, A., Snow, M. J. et al. (2009) Structure of IL-17A in complex with a potent, fully human neutralizing antibody. J. Mol. Biol. **394**, 905–921
- 31 Lewis, A. K., Valley, C. C. and Sachs, J. N. (2012) TNFRI signaling is associated with backbone conformational changes of receptor dimers consistent with overactivation in the R92Q TRAPS mutant. Biochemistry **51**, 6545–6555
- 32 Muller, Y. A., Chen, Y., Christinger, H. W., Li, B., Cunningham, B. C., Lowman, H. B. and de Vos, A. M. (1998) VEGF and the Fab fragment of a humanized neutralizing antibody: crystal structure of the complex at 2.4 A resolution and mutational analysis of the interface. Structure 6, 1153–1167
- 33 Lowe, D. C., Gerhardt, S., Ward, A., Hargreaves, D., Anderson, M., Ferraro, F., Pauptit, R. A., Pattison, D. V., Buchanan, C., Popovic, B. et al. (2011) Engineering a high-affinity anti-IL-15 antibody: crystal structure reveals an alpha-helix in VH CDR3 as key component of paratope. J. Mol. Biol. **406**, 160–175
- 34 Marks, J. D. (2004) Deciphering antibody properties that lead to potent botulinum neurotoxin neutralization. Mov Disord. 19 (Suppl. 8), S101–S108
- 35 Cheung, W. C., Beausoleil, S. A., Zhang, X., Sato, S., Schieferl, S. M., Wieler, J. S., Beaudet, J. G., Ramenani, R. K., Popova, L., Comb, M. J. et al. (2012) A proteomics approach for the identification and cloning of monoclonal antibodies from serum. Nat. Biotechnol. **30**, 447–452

Received 24 April 2013/1 July 2013; accepted 17 July 2013

Published as Immediate Publication 17 July 2013, doi 10.1042/BSR20130044

© 2013 The Author(s) This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence (CC-BY) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

OPEN ACCESS



SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Characterization of the complex formed between a potent neutralizing ovine-derived polyclonal anti-TNF α Fab fragment and human TNF α

W. Mark ABBOTT^{*1}, Melanie SNOW*, Sonia ECKERSLEY*, Jonathan RENSHAW*, Gareth DAVIES*, Richard A. NORMAN*, Peter CEUPPENS*, Jerry SLOOTSTRA†, Joris J. BENSCHOP†, Yoshitomo HAMURO†, Jessica E. LEE† and Peter NEWHAM*

*AstraZeneca, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG, U.K., †Pepscan Presto, Zuidersluisweg 2, 8243 RC Lelystad, The Netherlands, and †ExSAR, 11 Deer Park Drive, Suite 103, Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852, U.S.A.

http://www.secondegoleweiling.angle.com/secondegoleweiling.com/secondegoleweiling.angle.com/secondegoleweilin	roteins						
htnf.pro (51) PSEGLYL YSQVLFKGQGCPSTEVLLTHT SRIAVSYQTKVNLLSALKSP				95 % Cls			
ovine_tnfa.pro (51) PHUGLYLUYSQVLFKGUGCPDAVVLLIH USHFAIDSYQKVNLLSAUKSP 101			GeoMean EC ₅₀	n	Lower	Upper	CIR
htnf.pro (101) CORETREGAEAKPWYEPIYLGGVFQLEKGDRLSAEINEPBYLDFAESGQV	Mutant	1	17	1	3	108	6.4
ovine_tnfa.pro (101) CIRETTEGAETKPWYEP IYEGGVFOLEKGDELSAEUNEPKYLDFAESGOV		2	36	1	6	230	6.4
htnf.pro (151) YFGIIAL		9	11	5	5	25	2.3
mtnf.pro (150) YFGUIAL ovine_tnfa.pro (151) YFGUIAL		13	2760	2	745	10216	3.7
		14	1192	2	322	4414	3.7
Figure S1 Alignment of hTNF α , mTNF α and ovine TNF α		15	7718	2	2085	28569	3.7
		16	2815	2	760	10420	3.7
		17	350	2	95	1297	3.7
		18	3355	2	906	12421	3.7
		19	35	2	10	131	3.7
		20	215	2	58	797	3.7
	Control	Human	50	5	22	115	2.3
		Mouse	17	4	7	43	2.5

Received 24 April 2013/1 July 2013; accepted 17 July 2013				
Published as Immediate Publication 17 July 2013, doi 10.1042/BSR20130044	_			

 $^{1}\,$ To whom correspondence should be addressed (email mark.abbott@astrazeneca.com).

© 2013 The Author(s) This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence (CC-BY) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.