# **Effect of omega-3 fatty acids on sleep: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials**

## **Kaori Shimizu,\* Yui Kuramochi, and Kohsuke Hayamizu**

Department of Pharmacy, Yokohama University of Pharmacy, Totsuka-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 245-0066, Japan

(Received 27 February, 2024; Accepted 24 June, 2024; Released online in J-STAGE as advance publication 9 August, 2024)

**Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFAs) have been reported to improve sleep quality in several studies, but meta-analyses have been inconclusive. We conducted this study to investigate the effects of omega-3 LC-PUFAs on sleep in clinical trials. The study was planned in accordance with the criteria of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-2020), and was performed by searching PubMed, The Cochrane Library, and Ichushi-web databases. Randomized controlled trials and clinical trials with control groups were included. Finally, eight studies were selected for inclusion in this study. Sleep efficiency was significantly higher in the omega-3 LC-PUFA group than in the control group, while sleep latency and total sleep duration did not differ significantly. Subjectively assessed sleep was significantly improved by omega-3 LC-PUFA, but heterogeneity was so high that a subgroup analysis based on dose of omega-3 supplementation was performed. It showed low heterogeneity and significant improve‐ ment in the omega-3 LC-PUFA group compared with the control group. Omega-3 LC-PUFAs have been shown to may improve sleep quality. Further studies are needed to confirm the relation‐ ship between omega-3 LC-PUFAs and sleep. The protocol for this review was registered in UMIN000052527.**

#### *Key Words***: omega-3, sleep, meta-analysis**

I thas recently been reported that poor sleep quality is closely associated with the progression of lifestyle-related diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and t has recently been reported that poor sleep quality is closely associated with the progression of lifestyle-related diseases depression.<sup>(1-6)</sup> Thus, choosing an effective strategy to improve sleep quality is one of the most important issues for maintaining good health.

With the increase in sleep research worldwide, sleep problems have been increasingly recognized as an important issue and are being included in the national health strategies in many countries, including the United States and Japan. $(7,8)$  In the United States, "Sleep Health" has been identified as an important factor in the "Healthy People 2020" policy, with specific goals and measures to promote sleep health. $(\bar{7})$ 

Many reports have been published on the relationship between sleep and nutrients, which has become an increasing focus among the general public.<sup>(9–11)</sup> Among the various nutrients, the role of omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFAs) in sleep has been increasingly studied.(12) Various lines of evidence have indicated that omega-3 LC-PUFAs contribute to sleep health. Omega-3 LC-PUFAs are unsaturated fatty acids present in the human body, but cannot be synthesized there. Omega-3 LC-PUFAs include docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), and α-linolenic acid, which are found in fish. Omega-3 LC-PUFAs have been reported to exert

pharmacological effects such as lowering blood lipid levels, reducing the risk of developing cardiovascular disease, and improving brain function.<sup> $(13-23)$ </sup> The relationship between omega-3 supplementation and sleep quality has been proven previously. For example, Del Brutto *et al.*<sup>(24)</sup> reported in one epidemiological study that adults with good sleep quality had a higher intake of oily fish. In addition, Katagiri *et al.*(25) reported that poor sleep quality was associated with low fish intake. Since then, several human intervention trials have been conducted on infants by Judge *et al.*,<sup>(26)</sup> on children by Montgomery *et al.*,<sup>(27)</sup> and on healthy adults by Patan *et al.*<sup>(28)</sup> and Yokoi-Shimizu *et al.*,<sup>(29)</sup> with positive results in terms of sleep efficiency, onset latency, sleep duration, and subjectively assessed sleep. In 2021, Dai et al.<sup>(12)</sup> conducted a meta-analysis of omega-3 LC-PUFAs and sleep, but the results did not reveal a significant relationship between them. As mentioned above, the importance of improving sleep quality has further increased in recent years, and several new studies on sleep have been reported since the report on the meta-analysis by Dai et al.<sup>(12)</sup> Therefore, we hypothesized that omega-3 LC-PUFAs may also have an effect on sleep in humans, and the objective of this study was to investigate this.

# **Materials and Methods**

The participants, intervention, comparisons, and outcome (PICO) for this meta-analysis were as follows: P: human (chil‐ dren, adults, regardless of health status); I: intake of omega-3 LC-PUFA supplements (any formulation, including capsules, tablets, syrups, etc.) or a diet rich in omega-3 LC-PUFAs; C: placebo, standardized diet, or no intake; and standardized diet, or no intake; and O: whether omega-3 LC-PUFA intake is effective in improving sleep quality. This study was conducted in accordance with the Priority Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) guidelines.<sup>(30)</sup> The protocol used to conduct this systematic review and meta-analysis is regis‐ tered in the UMIN Clinical Trials Registry (registration number UMIN000052527).

**Eligibility criteria.** Studies considered eligible for this work included clinical trials with the following study design: (1) statistical analysis involving significance tests on the study results; (2) a study group with the intake of omega-3 LC-PUFAs; (3) a con‐ trol group with no intake of omega-3 LC-PUFAs; (4) reported in a peer-reviewed original paper, written in English or Japanese; and (5) subjects were children or adults (regardless of health status). Exclusion criteria included (1) studies using interventions with multiple components to improve sleep in addition to

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: 220201\_mi@yok.hamayaku.ac.jp

omega-3 LC-PUFAs; and (2) studies of infants, whose sleep patterns are clearly different from those of children and adults.(31–33)

**Data collection process.** PubMed, The Cochrane Library, and Ichushi-web were used as databases for article searches. The search period was set to the entire period covered by each database. In PubMed, the search criteria were as follows: #1 "fish oils"[MeSH Terms] OR ("fish"[All Fields] AND "oils"[All Fields]) OR "fish oils"[All Fields] OR ("fish"[All Fields] AND "oil"[All Fields]) OR "fish oil"[All Fields]) OR "fish oil"[All Fields], #2 "fatty acids, omega 3"[MeSH Terms] OR ("fatty"[All Fields] AND "acids"[All Fields] AND "omega 3"[All Fields]) OR "omega-3 fatty acids"[All Fields] OR "omega 3 fatty acid"[All Fields], #3 "sleep"[MeSH Terms] OR "sleep"[All Fields] OR "sleeping"[All Fields] OR "Sleeps"[All Fields] OR "sleeps"[All Fields]  $(H1 \t OR H2)$ , AND #3. In Cochrane, searches were conducted for #1 fish oil, #2 fish, #3 omega-3 fatty acids, #4 sleep, (#1 OR #2 OR #3), AND #4. In Ichushi-web, searches were conducted on #1 (omega-3 fatty acids/TH or omega-3 fatty acids/AL), #2 n-3 fatty acids/AL, #3 (sleep/TH or sleep/AL), (#1 or #2), AND #3.

# **Selection process and data collection process.**

*(1) Primary screening using abstracts.* With the exception of duplicate articles, the primary screening using abstracts excluded *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies, as well as clinical trials conducted for purposes unrelated to sleep-improving functions.

*(2) Secondary screening using the full text.* Articles that could not be judged from the abstracts were screened using the full text. Papers that did not meet the acceptance criteria were excluded. For each study, the following variables were extracted: author name, study country, subject characteristics, intervention, control, and intake period. Sleep efficiency, sleep latency, total sleep duration, and subjectively assessed sleep were collected as endpoints of this study. The data used in the meta-analysis are values after omega-3 LC-PUFA intake.

**Assessing risk of bias (RoB) and quality of evidence.** The quality of the included studies was assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration's Risk of Bias (RoB) Assessment Tool in the seven categories.(34) Each item was rated on a 3-point scale of "high", "low", and "unclear". Two reviewers independently evaluated the results, and if there were any discrepancies or questions in the evaluation results, the RoB was determined after discussion between the two reviewers. Egger's test was used as the method for testing publication bias,<sup>(35)</sup> with  $p<0.1$  being set as significant. In cases of high heterogeneity, additional analyses were planned to search for possible causes. When omega-3 LC-PUFA were found to be effective for improving sleep quality, an analysis was performed using the leave-one-out method to assess robustness.(36,37)

**Synthesis methods.** For the synthesis of the results, we planned to evaluate them using forest plot,  $Q$  (Chi<sup>2</sup>) and  $I<sup>2</sup>$  test in RevMan 5.4 when sufficient study data (mean, SD or SE, and number of subjects in each group) were available to perform a meta-analysis.<sup>(34)</sup> Egger's test was conducted using R4.3.1 with the packages "metafor".

As a statistical method for data integration, the "random effect model" was used because of the clear differences in subjects and protocols among studies, and the inverse variance method was used as the statistical method. The post-intake values were used to evaluate the results. Since the evaluation parameters were continuous variables, "mean difference" was used for sleep efficiency, sleep latency, and total sleep time, and for subjec‐ tively assessed sleep, standard mean difference was used because of the differences in the questionnaires used to assess this. Where standard errors were listed, they were converted to standard deviations. When only percentiles were mentioned in the article, they were converted to means and standard deviations using the method of Devore.(38) For articles that only stated the values of the amount of change and pre-intake value, an estimate of the

post value was calculated with reference to the Cochrane hand‐ book and used for the meta-analysis.(31) For total sleep duration, some papers did not provide a definition of this, but values with 'total sleep duration (or time)' were included in the metaanalysis. Also, for subjectively assessed sleep, only those with an overall sleep assessment value listed were included in the metaanalysis.

**Certainty assessment.** We assessed the certainty of evidence according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE).<sup>(39)</sup> Certainty was evaluated in five categories: (1) RoB, (2) indirectness, (3) imprecision, (4) inconsistency, and (5) other considerations (e.g., publication bias). Each included study was rated on a 3-point scale of very serious, serious, or not serious, and the certainty of evidence was rated on a 4-point scale of high (A), medium (B), low (C), or very low (D). The two reviewers conducted their evaluations independently, and in cases where there were differences or questions in the evaluation results, certainty was determined through discussions between the two reviewers.

#### **Results**

**Study selection.** The search strategy resulted in the identification of 1,172 studies, 46 of which were duplicates. After pri‐ mary screening, 1,133 studies were excluded, and after secondary screening of 39 studies, 8 studies were selected after obtaining the full text and thoroughly reviewing whether they met the eligibility criteria.<sup>(27–29,40–44)</sup> These are shown in Fig. 1.

**Study characteristics.** The data of each included study are presented in Table 1. The results of the bias risk assessment for each study are described in Fig. 2.

#### **Results of syntheses.**

*Sleep efficiency.* Of the five included studies that evaluated sleep efficiency, six items from five studies had post-intake values. Patan *et al.*<sup>(28)</sup> conducted a three-group study involving the intake of DHA-rich capsules, EPA-rich capsules, or placebo. The results of the analysis are shown in Fig. 3A using a forest plot and they indicate a significant effect on sleep efficiency  ${\rm (mean)}$  difference (MD) = 1.88, [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.00, 2.77],  $Z = 4.16$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ . As for the test of heterogeneity, Q (Chi<sup>2</sup>) = 3.05,  $p = 0.69$ , and  $I^2 = 0%$ , confirming that there was low heterogeneity (defined as  $I^2 = 0-40\%$ ).<sup>(34)</sup> Based on the above, we concluded that omega-3 LC-PUFAs are effective for improving sleep efficiency.

*Sleep latency.* Of the five included studies that evaluated sleep latency, six items from five studies had post-intake values. Similar to the above, the study by Patan *et al.*<sup>(28)</sup> involved three groups. The results of the meta-analysis are shown in Fig. 3B using a forest plot. The results showed that  $Z = 1.03$ ,  $p = 0.30$ , and  $MD = -0.44$  (95% CI −1.27, 0.39), indicating no significant effect. The result of the test for heterogeneity was  $Q(Chi^2)$  = 8.46,  $p = 0.13$ , and  $I^2 = 41\%$ , confirming moderate heterogeneity (defined as  $I^2 = 30-60\%$ ).<sup>(34)</sup> Based on the above, it was determined that heterogeneity had some influence on the synthesis results, and we judged that omega-3 LC-PUFAs do not have a significant effect on sleep latency.

*Total sleep duration.* Of the four included studies that evalu‐ ated total sleep time, five items from four studies had post-intake values. Similar to the above, the study by Patan et al.<sup>(28)</sup> involved three groups. The results of the meta-analysis are shown in Fig. 3C using a forest plot. The results showed that  $Z = 0.68$ ,  $p =$ 0.50, and MD = 5.45 (95% CI -10.33, 21.23), indicating no significant effect. As for the results from the test of heterogeneity, Q  $(Chi<sup>2</sup>) = 9.85$ ,  $p = 0.04$ , and  $I<sup>2</sup> = 59%$ , confirming the possibility that there is moderate heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 30-60\%$ ).<sup>(34)</sup> Based on the above, it was determined that heterogeneity had some influence on the synthesis results, and we judged that omega-3 LC-PUFAs do not have a significant effect on total sleep duration.



Fig. 1. Diagram of the study flow.

*Subjectively assessed sleep.* Of the four included studies in which the overall evaluation of sleep involved a subjective sleep assessment, six items from four studies with post-intake values were found. Two studies each had subjective results with two overall subjective sleep assessments.  $(41, 42)$ <sup>The</sup> results of the metaanalysis are shown in Fig. 3D using a forest plot. The results showed  $Z = 2.28$ ,  $p = 0.02$ , and MD = -0.41 (95% CI -0.76, −0.06), indicating a significant effect on subjectively assessed sleep. As for the results from the test of heterogeneity,  $Q (Chi<sup>2</sup>) =$ 42.68,  $p<0.00001$ , and  $I^2 = 88\%$ , confirming the high heterogeneity (defined as  $I^2 = 75{\text -}100\%$ ).<sup>(34)</sup> Given the above, we decided to conduct a subgroup analysis based on different omega-3 intake levels to investigate the causes of the high het‐ erogeneity. With sleep as the primary endpoint, the lowest omega-3 intake at which an effect on sleep was observed was 600 mg DHA/day. Excluding the study by Purzand *et al.*, (43) which featured a lower omega-3 intake and an exceptionally positive effect of it on sleep, we found heterogeneity results of Q  $\overline{C}$ (Chi<sup>2</sup>) = 5.43, *p* = 0.25, and I<sup>2</sup> = 26%, confirming that there was low heterogeneity (defined as  $I^2 = 0-40\%$ ).<sup>(34)</sup> The subgroup synthesis results of the meta-analysis are shown in Fig. 3E using a forest plot. For the synthesis results,  $Z = 3.14$ ,  $p = 0.03$ , and MD  $= -0.16$  (95% CI  $-0.30$ ,  $-0.01$ ), indicating that omega-3 LC-PUFAs are effective for improving subjectively assessed sleep.

**Reporting biases.** The results of the examination of publi‐ cation bias are shown using funnel plots (Supplemental Fig. 1**\***). The results revealed mild visual asymmetry, and we confirmed it by Egger's test all results were  $p > 0.1$  (sleep efficiency:  $p = 0.20$ , sleep latency:  $p = 0.74$ , total sleep duration:  $p = 0.71$ , and objectively assessed sleep:  $p = 0.89$ ,<sup>(35)</sup> and we judged the risk of reporting bias to be low.

**206** doi: 10.3164/jcbn.24-36 \*See online. https://doi.org/10.3164/jcbn.24-36

**Certainty of evidence.** Based on the GRADE assess‐ ment,<sup>(39)</sup> the risks of bias, indirectness, imprecision, inconsistency, and other considerations (publication bias) were assessed for each outcome. The certainty of evidence for sleep efficiency and subjectively assessed sleep, which showed a significant effect of synthesis, is presented below.

*Sleep efficiency.* Regarding blindness bias, one study was found in which the blindness could not be confirmed because of fish and meat intake.(41) However, Hansen *et al.*(41) used a device to measure sleep efficiency, which is an outcome that is not affected by blinding considerably. Regarding other risks of bias, there are three studies with conflict of interest (COI) concerns (funded by a company that deals with the omega-3 ingredient). Based on the above, the overall RoB was judged to be "medium (−1)". Indirectness was determined to be "low (0)" because there were no factors that had a significant impact on the results of the study. The imprecision was rated as "medium  $(-1)$ " because the number of subjects was less than 400 subjects although there were multiple reports in the study. Regarding inconsistency, heterogeneity was low  $(I^2 = 0\%)$ , and the results of additional analysis using the leave-one-out method showed that the integration effect was consistently significant, ranging between 1.77 [0.85, 2.69] and 2.07 [0.95, 3.18] when any one data was excluded (Supplemental Table 1**\***). Because of the low heterogeneity and high robustness of the results, we evaluated the inconsistency as "low (0)". Publication bias was rated as "low (0)" because no significant difference was found in the metaanalysis test for publication bias (Egger's test). Based on the above results, we rated the certainty of evidence for sleep efficiency as B (medium).



*Subjective sleep assessment.* Regarding blindness bias, one study was found in which the blindness could not be confirmed because of fish and meat intake.(41) Regarding other risks of bias, there are one study with COI concerns (funded by a company that deals with the omega-3 ingredient). Based on the above, the overall RoB was judged to be "medium (−1)". Indirectness was rated "medium  $(-1)$ " because two of the studies did not have sleep effects as a primary endpoint. The imprecision was rated as "low (0)" because the number of subjects was more than 400 subjects and there were multiple reports in the study. Regarding inconsistency, heterogeneity  $I^2 = 88\%$ , which confirmed a considerably high heterogeneity, and we considered that the subgroup analysis by amount of omega-3 intake would have identified the cause of the heterogeneity. The results of the analysis using the leave-one-out method confirmed that the robustness of the synthesis effect was not very high, as the synthesis effect was not significant in the meta-analysis only when the study by Cohen *et*  $aI^{(42)}$  was excluded. For these reasons, we rated the inconsistency as "medium (−1)". Other biases were rated "low (0)" because no significant difference was found in Egger's test for publication bias. Based on the above results, we rated the certainty of evidence for subjective sleep assessment as "B (medium)".

indicate that omega-3 LC-PUFA intake significantly improved sleep efficiency and subjectively assessed sleep compared with those in the control group.

**Discussion**

A meta-analysis of omega-3 and sleep conducted by Dai *et al.*(12) concluded that the intake of omega-3 LC-PUFAs may improve some aspects of sleep and reduce total sleep disturbance scores in infants, with no effect observed in children or adults. Four of the eight studies included in this study were published after this report by Dai et al.,<sup>(12)</sup> allowing a more reliable meta-analysis and providing the present results. With the increasing importance of improving sleep quality worldwide and more studies being conducted on this topic each year, more studies will be added in

Details of all assessments, including sleep latency and total

The purpose of this review was to systematically examine the relationship between omega-3 LC-PUFA supplementation and sleep by conducting a meta-analysis of the effects of such supple‐ mentation on sleep efficiency, sleep latency, total sleep duration, and subjectively assessed sleep. The overall results of this study

sleep duration, are presented in Table 2.



Fig. 2. Assessment of RoB for eight included studies: summary of items of bias. RoB for all trials is presented as low (green), high (red), or unclear (yellow) RoB in each assessment item. See color figure in the on-line version.

the future and more reliable meta-analyses can be conducted.

In the present study, sleep efficiency was the only objective outcome for which omega-3 LC-PUFAs were found to be effec‐ tive. The reason for this is that sleep efficiency is calculated as the ratio of actual sleep time to the time spent sleeping, and actual sleep time decreases as the time spent awake in the middle of sleep increases, resulting in a decline of sleep efficiency. Therefore, only sleep efficiency, differing from sleep latency and total sleep time, is related to amount of time spent awake. We hypothesized that omega-3 was only observed to have an effect on sleep efficiency because omega-3 LC-PUFAs were found to be effective in reducing the amount of time spent awake. A metaanalytic evaluation of three of the included studies with values for the amount of time awake confirmed that omega-3 LC-PUFA intake significantly reduced the amount of time awake  $[Z = 3.21]$ (*p* = 0.001),<sup>(28,29,42)</sup> MD = −7.46 (95% CI −12.01, −2.91), Q(Chi<sup>2</sup>) = 2.15,  $p = 0.54$ ,  $I^2 = 0\%$  (Supplemental Fig. 2<sup>\*</sup>). In a study by Yokoi-Shimizu *et al.*,<sup>(29)</sup> the Oguri-Shirakawa-Azumi (OSA) sleep inventory middle-age  $(MA)$  version,<sup> $(48)$ </sup> which was used for subjectively assessing sleep, showed a significant effect of omega-3 LC-PUFAs only on frequent dreaming among the five factors (sleepiness on rising, initiation and maintenance of sleep, frequent dreaming, refreshing, and sleep length) that can be assessed with OSA. These findings suggest that omega-3 LC-PUFAs may have an effect on reducing the amount of time spent awake. The causes of increased wakefulness in the middle of the night include alcohol consumption, insomnia, nocturia, psychiatric disorders such as depression, and sleep apnea,(49) but the most significant factor is thought to be stress.<sup>(50–52)</sup> In addition, when stress causes disturbances in the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic autonomic nervous systems, it becomes difficult to fall asleep and maintain sleep, resulting in intermittent and shallow sleep, which is thought to cause awakenings in the middle of the night when noises or changes in room temperature occur.<sup>(53-55)</sup> Omega-3 LC-PUFAs have also been proven effective in regulating the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems in several studies, and meta-analyses have confirmed their effectiveness.(56) In the studies included in this meta-analysis, Hansen et al.<sup>(41)</sup> also measured heart rate variability before and after supplementation and found that only the omega-3 LC-PUFA ingestion group had increased high-frequency (HF) power during sleep. HF power is considered an indicator of parasympathetic activity,(57,58) and omega-3 LC-PUFA intake was found to increase parasympathetic activity during sleep. As to the mechanism by which omega-3 LC-PUFAs affect sleep, several studies have identified the regulatory effect of melatonin production as a mechanism. Melatonin is a hormone secreted by the pineal gland that plays an important role in regulation of the autonomic nervous system.<sup>(59,60)</sup> Several animal studies have reported that omega-3 fatty acids are present as component lipids of all cell membranes in the body and that ingestion may modulate melatonin production by altering the membrane phospholipid composition of the pineal gland,<sup>(61-64)</sup> which produces melatonin.<sup>(65,66</sup>) This suggests that omega-3 may improve sleep efficiency by regulating the balance of the autonomic nervous system through the regulation of melatonin pro‐ duction and reducing awakening during sleep.

In the studies included in this meta-analysis, sleep efficiency, sleep latency, and total sleep duration were measured using sleep measurement devices or a questionnaire about sleep.(40) Sleep measurement devices include a wristwatch and mat-type devices that calculate sleep status by comprehensively evaluating blood pressure,(45,46) body movement, respiration, and other factors. The data obtained through the sleep diary used in the study by Doornbos *et al.*<sup>(40)</sup> have been confirmed to correlate with sleep measurement devices and were considered acceptable for inclusion in the meta-analysis. $(47)$ 

Among the eight studies included in this work, the subjects were adults in six studies and children in two. $(27,44)$  Regarding sleep in infants and children/adults, according to the sleep structure examined by polysomnography, in infants under 1 year of age, about half of the daily sleep is rapid eye movement (REM) sleep until about 1 month after birth. After that time, the percentage of REM sleep decreases rapidly, reaching about 20% by the time the infant is 3 years old, almost the same level as that of adults.(31,32) In other words, we considered that the sleep pattern remains unchanged above the age of 3 years. Regarding sleep duration, the National Sleep Foundation in the U.S. recommends that infants aged 0–3 months get 14–17 h of sleep, based on a range of data and various studies on sleep and health, and that their sleep duration differs from that of adults.(33) This metaanalysis confirmed the beneficial effect of omega-3 on sleep efficiency, and an analysis of only studies in adults similarly showed a positive effect of omega-3.  $[Z = 3.82, p = 0.0001, \text{MD} = 1.77]$  $(95\% \text{ CI } 0.86, 2.67)$ ]. For subjective assessment, a stratified analysis of only adults, excluding the study by Purzand *et al.*, (43) showed a beneficial effect of omega-3  $[Z = 2.10, p = 0.04, MD =$ −0.20, 95% CI (−0.40, −0.01)]. Thus, the effects of omega-3 on sleep efficiency and subjectively assessed sleep were similarly confirmed when stratified analysis was performed for adults only. The amount of omega-3 consumed in the adopted studies ranged from 220 to 2,060 mg/day of omega-3 LC-PUFAs, and the amount of omega-3 LC-PUFAs that had an effect on sleep ranged



Test for overall effect: Z=2.14 (*p*=0.03)

Fig. 3. Synthesis effects of omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids on sleep outcomes. (A) sleep efficiency (%), (B) sleep latency (min), (C) total sleep duration (min), (D) subjectively assessed sleep, and (E) subjectively assessed sleep subgroup analysis.

Favors control Favors omega-3



<sup>a</sup>Blinding was not confirmed in the included studies, but they used a device for measurement, an outcome that is not significantly affected by blinding. **bBlinding was not confirmed in the included studies**. Included studies with conflict of interest (COI) concerns (funded by a company that deals with omega-3 ingredients). <sup>d</sup>One study for which the reason for the attrition was not stated. <sup>e</sup>Two of the studies did not have sleep effects as a primary endpoint. The number of subjects was more than 400 and there were multiple reports in the study. 9<sup>12</sup> = 0%, Leave-one-out method showed that the integration effect was consistently significant.  $^{\text{h}}l^2$  = 41%,  $^{\text{h}}l^2$  = 59%,  $^{\text{J}}l^2$  = 88%, Leave-one-out method confirmed that the robustness of the synthesis effect was not very high. <sup>k</sup>No significant difference was found in Egger's test for publication bias.

from 300 to 2,060 mg/day. In studies in which sleep was evaluated as the primary endpoint, effectiveness was found in the range of 600 to 2,060 mg of omega-3 LC-PUFAs. The duration of intake ranged from 12 weeks to about 9 months, and there were no studies with shorter durations of intake. Since omega-3 LC-PUFAs often exert their effects by inserting themselves into cell membranes after ingestion, $(61-64)$  we considered it reasonable that the effects were confirmed when the consumption period was 12 weeks or longer.

For the subjective assessment of sleep, various assessment items were used in the studies included in this meta-analysis: the Insomnia Severity Index and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index by Cohen *et al.*, (42,67–69) Child Sleep Habits Questionnaire scores by Montgomery *et al.*,  $(27,70)$  sleep quality score  $(71)$  and daily functioning score by Hansen  $et$   $al$ ,  $(41)$  and sleep problems by Purzand *et al.*. (43) Patan *et al.*(28) and Yokoi-Shimizu *et al.*(29) also conducted subjective assessments, but they were not used in the present study because they did not involve comprehensive assessments of sleep. The OSA sleep inventory MA version used by Yokoi-Shimizu *et al.*<sup>(29,48)</sup> for subjective evaluation is categorized into five factors (sleepiness on rising, initiation and mainte‐ nance of sleep, frequent dreaming, refreshing, and sleep length) and does not have an assessment index for overall evaluation. Patan *et al.*<sup>(28)</sup> used the visual analog scale (VAS) for responses to questions about various states of sleep, with no results assessing overall sleep status. Since there are various measures for the subjective assessment of sleep, standardized mean difference was used to evaluate it, but additional analysis was performed because heterogeneity was very high with  $I^2 = 88\%$ . Purzand *et al.*(43) used sleep as a secondary endpoint in their study of menopause, and although their intake of DHA/EPA of 300 mg/day was the lowest among the studies in this metaanalysis, the effect of omega-3 was extraordinarily high. In addi‐ tion, studies that evaluated sleep as the primary endpoint showed a benefit from 600 mg of omega-3 (DHA/EPA), and the study by Purzand *et al.*<sup>(43)</sup> was judged to be of low quality and unreliable. Upon excluding that study, heterogeneity was  $I^2 = 26\%$ , indicating the effectiveness of omega-3 LC-PUFAs in subjective sleep assessment.

Six studies included in this work used sleep as the primary endpoint, and two evaluated only secondary endpoints. For two reports,(42,43) the subjects were peri- and postmenopausal women, and subjective assessment of sleep was also included in the assessment of menopause. These two studies were included only in the meta-analysis of subjectively assessed sleep, which reduced the certainty of the evidence. For sleep efficiency, sleep latency, and sleep duration, we evaluated only those studies in which sleep was the primary endpoint, and we took this into consideration in our evaluation of the certainty of the evidence. To include a wide range of studies on omega-3 and sleep, we also

included studies that evaluated sleep with secondary endpoints. However, we still believe that the quality of the results of subjec‐ tively assessed sleep will vary between studies that focus mainly on sleep and those that do not, so if more intervention studies on omega-3 and sleep are conducted in the future, it may be possible to conduct a meta-analysis employing only studies with sleep as the primary endpoint. None of the eight included studies involved the consumption of experimental diets containing alphalinolenic acid as the main ingredient of omega-3 LC-PUFAs, and all of them involved the consumption of EPA and DHA as the main ingredients. Therefore, this study can be considered a metaanalysis of studies in which the effects of EPA and DHA, among other omega-3s, were observed. Although α-linolenic acid is known to have low conversion efficiency, it is known to be converted to EPA and DHA at a conversion rate of about 5%.<sup>(72,73)</sup> Based on the present results to achieve optimal effects on sleep, it is considered better to consume seafood and supplements rich in EPA and DHA than diets and supplements rich in α-linolenic acid.

The limitations of the results in this study include the differ‐ ences in the health status of the subjects, psychological factors, and the duration and amount of intake from each study. In addi‐ tion, studies that did not use sleep evaluation as the primary end‐ point were also included, and the measures for evaluating subjective evaluation items varied. Moreover, although they were evaluated using standardized mean differences, they could not be validated using the same evaluation measures. The number of included studies was eight, which is not sufficient. Additionally, since the selection process for this research review included only studies reported in English or Japanese, the existence of other rel‐ evant studies reported in other languages cannot be ruled out, and the possibility of a bias related to language cannot be overlooked.

This study evaluated the effects of omega-3 LC-PUFAs on sleep quality via a meta-analysis. Sleep efficiency, sleep latency, sleep duration, and subjectively assessed sleep were set as end‐ points, and the possibility of omega-3 LC-PUFAs affecting sleep efficiency and subjectively assessed sleep was considered. The results revealed that omega-3 LC-PUFAs may improve sleep quality. The number of studies on omega-3 LC-PUFAs and sleep has increased over the years, but we believe that further evaluation of this issue is needed in the future.

#### **Author Contributions**

KS performed study conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and statistical analysis. YK assessed the quality of evidence and performed certainty assessment. KH studied the study concept and design, and supervised the study.

#### **Abbreviations**



### **References**

- 1 Lee S, Kim JH, Chung JH. The association between sleep quality and quality of life: a population-based study. *Sleep Med* 2021; **84**: 121–126.
- 2 Zammit GK, Weiner J, Damato N, Sillup GP, McMillan CA. Quality of life in people with insomnia. *Sleep* 1999; **22 Suppl 2**: S379–S385.
- 3 Yokoyama E, Kaneita Y, Saito Y, *et al*. Association between depression and insomnia subtypes: a longitudinal study on the elderly in Japan. *Sleep* 2010; **33**: 1693–1702.
- 4 Sella E, Miola L, Toffalini E, Borella E. The relationship between sleep quality and quality of life in aging: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Psychol Rev* 2023; **17**: 169–191.
- 5 Hayashino Y, Fukuhara S, Suzukamo Y, *et al*. Relation between sleep quality and quantity, quality of life, and risk of developing diabetes in healthy workers in Japan: the High-risk and Population Strategy for Occupational Health Promotion (HIPOP-OHP) Study. *BMC Public Health* 2007; **7**: 129.
- 6 Baglioni C, Battagliese G, Feige B, *et al*. Insomnia as a predictor of depres‐ sion: a meta-analytic evaluation of longitudinal epidemiological studies. *J Affect Disord* 2011; **135**: 10–19.
- 7 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Health People 2020. https:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/healthy\_people/hp2020.htm. Accessed 17 Feb 2024.
- 8 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. Sleep Guidelines for Health Promotion 2014. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/kenkou\_ iryou/kenkou/suimin/index.html. Accessed 17 Feb 2024.
- 9 Takada M, Nishida K, Gondo Y, *et al*. Beneficial effects of *Lactobacillus* casei strain Shirota on academic stress-induced sleep disturbance in healthy adults: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Benef Microbes* 2017; **8**: 153–162.
- 10 Bannai M, Kawai N, Ono K, Nakahara K, Murakami N. The effects of glycine on subjective daytime performance in partially sleep-restricted healthy volunteers. *Front Neurol* 2012; **3**: 61.
- 11 Abe A, Morishima S, Kapoor MP, *et al*. Partially hydrolyzed guar gum is associated with improvement in gut health, sleep, and motivation among healthy subjects. *J Clin Biochem Nutr* 2023; **72**: 189–197.
- 12 Dai Y, Liu J. Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid and sleep: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and longitudinal studies. *Nutr Rev* 2021; **79**: 847–868.
- 13 Fujimoto Y, Tsuji T, Ozasa H, Itakura H. The efficacy and safety of 12 week daily ingestion of a beverage containing EPA and DHA on the moderately high fasting blood triglyceride in a randomized controlled trial. *J Jpn Soc Clin Nutr* 2011; **33**: 120–135.
- 14 Yokoyama M, Origasa H, Matsuzaki M, *et al*. Effects of eicosapentaenoic acid on major coronary events in hypercholesterolaemic patients (JELIS): a randomised open-label, blinded endpoint analysis. *Lancet* 2007; **369**: 1090– 1098.
- 15 Yurko-Mauro K, McCarthy D, Rom D, et al. Beneficial effects of docosahexaenoic acid on cognition in age-related cognitive decline. *Alzheimers Dement* 2010; **6**: 456–464.
- Nilsson A, Radeborg K, Salo I, Björck I. Effects of supplementation with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on cognitive performance and cardiometabolic risk markers in healthy 51 to 72 years old subjects: a randomized controlled cross-over study. *Nutr J* 2012; **11**: 99.
- 17 Liao Y, Xie B, Zhang H, *et al*. Efficacy of omega-3 PUFAs in depression: a meta-analysis. *Transl Psychiatry* 2019; **9**: 190.
- 18 Hu Y, Hu FB, Manson JE. Marine omega-3 supplementation and cardiovas‐ cular disease: an updated meta-analysis of 13 randomized controlled trials involving 127 477 participants. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2019; **8**: e013543.
- 19 Delgado-Lista J, Perez-Martinez P, Lopez-Miranda J, Perez-Jimenez F. Long



# **Conflict of Interest**

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

chain omega-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. *Br J Nutr* 2012; **107 Suppl 2**: S201–S213.

- 20 Bhatt DL, Steg PG, Miller M, et al. Cardiovascular risk reduction with icosapent ethyl for hypertriglyceridemia. *N Engl J Med* 2018; **380**: 11–22.
- 21 Zhang B, Xiong K, Cai J, Ma A. Fish consumption and coronary heart dis‐ ease: a meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 2020; **12**: 2278.
- 22 Yuan Q, Xie F, Huang W, *et al*. The review of alpha-linolenic acid: sources, metabolism, and pharmacology. *Phytother Res* 2022; **36**: 164–188.
- 23 Kim KB, Nam YA, Kim HS, Hayes AW, Lee BM. α-Linolenic acid: nutraceu‐ tical, pharmacological and toxicological evaluation. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2014; **70**: 163–178.
- 24 Del Brutto OH, Mera RM, Ha JE, Gillman J, Zambrano M, Castillo PR. Dietary fish intake and sleep quality: a population-based study. *Sleep Med* 2016; **17**: 126–128.
- 25 Katagiri R, Asakura K, Kobayashi S, Suga H, Sasaki S. Low intake of vegeta‐ bles, high intake of confectionary, and unhealthy eating habits are associated with poor sleep quality among middle-aged female Japanese workers. *J Occup Health* 2014; **56**: 359–368.
- 26 Judge MP, Cong X, Harel O, Courville AB, Lammi-Keefe CJ. Maternal con‐ sumption of a DHA-containing functional food benefits infant sleep patterning: an early neurodevelopmental measure. *Early Hum Dev* 2012; **88**: 531–537.
- 27 Montgomery P, Burton JR, Sewell RP, Spreckelsen TF, Richardson AJ. Fatty acids and sleep in UK children: subjective and pilot objective sleep results from the DOLAB study—a randomized controlled trial. *J Sleep Res* 2014; **23**: 364–388.
- 28 Patan MJ, Kennedy DO, Husberg C, *et al*. Differential effects of DHA- and EPA-rich oils on sleep in healthy young adults: a randomized controlled trial. *Nutrients* 2021; **13**: 248.
- 29 Yokoi-Shimizu K, Yanagimoto K, Hayamizu K. Effect of docosahexaenoic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid supplementation on sleep quality in healthy subjects: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Nutrients* 2022; **14**: 4136.
- 30 Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, *et al*. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021; **372**: n71.
- 31 Grigg-Damberger MM. The visual scoring of sleep in infants 0 to 2 months of age. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2016; **12**: 429–445.
- 32 Roffwarg HP, Muzio JN, Dement WC. Ontogenetic development of the human sleep-dream cycle. *Science* 1966; **152**: 604–619.
- 33 Hirshkowitz M, Whiton K, Albert SM, *et al*. National Sleep Foundation's sleep time duration recommendations: methodology and results summary. *Sleep Health* 2015; **1**: 40–43.
- 34 Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Inter‐ ventions, 2008.
- 35 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997; **315**: 629–634.
- 36 Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT, Rothstein HR. *Introduction to Meta-Analysis, 2nd Edition*, WIley, 2021.
- 37 Willis BH, Riley RD. Measuring the statistical validity of summary metaanalysis and meta-regression results for use in clinical practice. *Stat Med* 2017; **36**: 3283–3301.
- 38 Devore JL. *Probability and Statistics for Engineering and the Sciences*. Bel‐ mont, CA: Duxbury Press, 1995.
- 39 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, *et al*. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008; **336**: 924–926.
- 40 Doornbos B, van Goor SA, Dijck-Brouwer DA, Schaafsma A, Korf J, Muskiet FA. Supplementation of a low dose of DHA or DHA+AA does not prevent peripartum depressive symptoms in a small population based sample. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2009; **33**: 49–52.
- 41 Hansen AL, Dahl L, Olson G, *et al*. Fish consumption, sleep, daily func‐ tioning, and heart rate variability. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2014; **10**: 567–575.
- 42 Cohen LS, Joffe H, Guthrie KA, *et al*. Efficacy of omega-3 for vasomotor symptoms treatment: a randomized controlled trial. *Menopause* 2014; **21**: 347–354.
- 43 Purzand B, Rokhgireh S, Shabani Zanjani M, *et al*. The comparison of the effect of soybean and fish oil on supplementation on menopausal symptoms in postmenopausal women: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Complement Ther Clin Pract* 2020; **41**: 101239.
- 44 Vuholm S, Teisen MN, Mølgaard C, Lauritzen L, Damsgaard CT. Sleep and physical activity in healthy 8-9-year-old children are affected by oily fish con‐ sumption in the FiSK Junior randomized trial. *Eur J Nutr* 2021; **60**: 3095– 3106.
- 45 Kayed K, Hesla PE, Røsjø O. The actioculographic monitor of sleep. *Sleep* 1979; **2**: 253–260.
- 46 Kawai H, Togashi Y, Ishibashi T, Iwadate R, Mitsumoto A. The efficacy of a mattress type sleep measuring device in analyzing sleep in healthy university students: comparison with actigraphy. *BPB Reports* 2019; **2**: 125–129.
- 47 Kiers J, Bakker GC, Bakker-Zierikzee AM, Smits M, Schaafsma A. Sleep improving effects of enriched milk: a randomised double-blind trial in adult women with insomnia. *Nutrafoods* 2007; **6**: 19–27.
- 48 Yamamoto Y. Standardization of revised version of OSA sleep inventory for middle age and aged. *Brain Science and Mental Disorder* 1999; **10**: 401–409.
- 49 Bohlin G, Kjellberg A. Self-reported arousal during sleep deprivation and its relation to performance and physiological variables. *Scand J Psychol* 1973; **14**: 78–86.
- 50 Ekstedt M, Åkerstedt T, Söderström M. Microarousals during sleep are associated with increased levels of lipids, cortisol, and blood pressure. *Psychosom Med* 2004; **66**: 925–931.
- 51 Hall M, Thayer JF, Germain A, *et al*. Psychological stress is associated with heightened physiological arousal during NREM sleep in primary insomnia. *Behav Sleep Med* 2007; **5**: 178–193.
- 52 Williams E, Magid K, Steptoe A. The impact of time of waking and concurrent subjective stress on the cortisol response to awakening. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2005; **30**: 139–148.
- 53 Pressman MR, Fry JM. Relationship of autonomic nervous system activity to daytime sleepiness and prior sleep. *Sleep* 1989; **12**: 239–245.
- 54 Miglis MG. Sleep and the autonomic nervous system. In: Miglis MG, ed. *Sleep and Neurologic Disease*, Elsevier Academic Press, 2017: 227–244.
- 55 Kim H, Jung HR, Kim JB, Kim DJ. Autonomic dysfunction in sleep disor‐ ders: from neurobiological basis to potential therapeutic approaches. *J Clin Neurol* 2022; **18**: 140–151.
- 56 Xin W, Wei W, Li XY. Short-term effects of fish-oil supplementation on heart rate variability in humans: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013; **97**: 926–935.
- 57 Malik M. Heart rate variability. *Curr Opin Cardiol* 1998; **13**: 36–44.
- 58 Rajendra Acharya U, Paul Joseph K, Kannathal N, Lim CM, Suri JS. Heart

rate variability: a review. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 2006; **44**: 1031–1051.

- 59 Juszczak M, Michalska M. The role of the pineal gland and melatonin in the regulation of adenohypophysial hormone synthesis and secretion. *Postepy Hig Med Dosw* 2006; **60**: 653–659. (in Polish)
- 60 Hossain MF, Uddin MS, Uddin GMS, *et al*. Melatonin in Alzheimer's dis‐ ease: a latent endogenous regulator of neurogenesis to mitigate Alzheimer's neuropathology. *Mol Neurobiol* 2019; **56**: 8255–8276.
- 61 Sherratt SCR, Juliano RA, Copland C, Bhatt DL, Libby P, Mason RP. EPA and DHA containing phospholipids have contrasting effects on membrane structure. *J Lipid Res* 2021; **62**: 100106.
- 62 Hashimoto M, Hossain S, Yamasaki H, Yazawa K, Masumura S. Effects of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid on plasma membrane fluidity of aortic endothelial cells. *Lipids* 1999; **34**: 1297–1304.
- 63 Stillwell W, Wassall SR. Docosahexaenoic acid: membrane properties of a unique fatty acid. *Chem Phys Lipids* 2003; **126**: 1–27.
- 64 Sherratt SCR, Mason RP. Eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid have distinct membrane locations and lipid interactions as determined by Xray diffraction. *Chem Phys Lipids* 2018; **212**: 73–79.
- 65 Lavialle M, Champeil-Potokar G, Alessandri JM, *et al*. An (n-3) polyunsatu‐ rated fatty acid-deficient diet disturbs daily locomotor activity, melatonin rhythm, and striatal dopamine in syrian hamsters. *J Nutr* 2008; **138**: 1719– 1724.
- 66 Sarda N, Gazzah N, Gharib A, Molière P, Durand G, Lagarde M. Dietary n-3 fatty acids modulate the melatonin in the rat pineal gland. *8th International Conference on Prostaglandins and Related Compounds* 1992; **38**: 12.
- 67 Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. *Sleep Med* 2001; **2**: 297– 307.
- 68 Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pitts‐ burgh sleep quality index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 1989; **28**: 193–213.
- 69 Carpenter JS, Andrykowski MA. Psychometric evaluation of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. *J Psychosom Res* 1998; **45**: 5–13.
- 70 Owens JA, Spirito A, McGuinn M. The Children's Sleep Habits Question‐ naire (CSHQ): psychometric properties of a survey instrument for schoolaged children. *Sleep* 2000; **23**: 1043–1051.
- 71 Stumpf WE, Privette TH. Light, vitamin D and psychiatry. Role of 1,25 dihy‐ droxyvitamin D3 (soltriol) in etiology and therapy of seasonal affective dis‐ order and other mental processes. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1989; **97**: 285– 294.
- 72 Plourde M, Cunnane SC. Extremely limited synthesis of long chain polyunsaturates in adults: implications for their dietary essentiality and use as supplements. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2007; **32**: 619–634.
- 73 Pawlosky RJ, Hibbeln JR, Novotny JA, Salem N Jr. Physiological compart‐ mental analysis of alpha-linolenic acid metabolism in adult humans. *J Lipid Res* 2001; **42**: 1257–1265.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives BY NC ND License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).