# Three autoinducer molecules act in concert to control virulence gene expression in *Vibrio cholerae*

Roman Herzog<sup>1</sup>, Nikolai Peschek<sup>1,2</sup>, Kathrin S. Fröhlich<sup>®1</sup>, Kilian Schumacher<sup>1</sup> and Kai Papenfort<sup>®1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Biology I, Department of Microbiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, 82152 Martinsried, Germany and <sup>2</sup>Munich Center for Integrated Protein Science (CIPSM), Germany

Received August 06, 2018; Revised December 21, 2018; Editorial Decision December 26, 2018; Accepted December 28, 2018

# ABSTRACT

Bacteria use quorum sensing to monitor cell density and coordinate group behaviours. In Vibrio cholerae, the causative agent of the diarrheal disease cholera, quorum sensing is connected to virulence gene expression via the two autoinducer molecules, AI-2 and CAI-1. Both autoinducers share one signal transduction pathway to control the production of AphA, a key transcriptional activator of biofilm formation and virulence genes. In this study, we demonstrate that the recently identified autoinducer, DPO, also controls AphA production in V. cholerae. DPO, functioning through the transcription factor VqmA and the VqmR small RNA, reduces AphA levels at the posttranscriptional level and consequently inhibits virulence gene expression. VqmR-mediated repression of AphA provides an important link between the Al-2/CAI-1 and DPO-dependent quorum sensing pathways in V. cholerae. Transcriptome analyses comparing the effect of single autoinducers versus autoinducer combinations show that guorum sensing controls the expression of ~400 genes in V. cholerae and that all three autoinducers are required for a full quorum sensing response. Together, our data provide a global view on autoinducer interplay in V. cholerae and highlight the importance of RNA-based gene control for collective functions in this major human pathogen.

# INTRODUCTION

To efficiently interact with their environment, bacteria often work in groups to solve complex tasks. Coordination of collective functions requires communication among the members of the group, a process commonly referred to as quorum sensing (QS) (1,2). QS involves the production, release, and subsequent detection of extracellular small molecules called autoinducers.

In Vibrio cholerae, the causative agent of cholera disease, QS is intimately linked to several collective functions, including biofilm formation (3), type VI secretion (4,5), competence (6,7), phage resistance (8) and virulence gene expression (9). The canonical QS pathway of V. cholerae (Figure 1A and B) involves the two autoinducer molecules, CAI-1 ((S)-3-hydroxytridecan-4-one) and AI-2 ((2S,4S)-2-methyl-2,3,3,4-tetrahydroxytetrahydrofuran borate). CAI-1 and AI-2 are synthesized by the CqsA and LuxS enzymes and accumulate to concentrations of  $\sim 0.3 \ \mu M$ (CAI-1) and  $\sim 1-2 \mu M$  (AI-2) in cell-free supernatants of *Vibrio* species (10,11). Their cognate receptors are the membrane-bound proteins CqsS and LuxPQ, respectively (11-16). Both, CqsS and LuxPQ channel phosphate to the phospho-transfer protein LuxU, which transfers the phosphate to the response regulator LuxO (17). Phosphorylated LuxO together with the alternative sigma factor  $\sigma^{N}$  activates the expression of genes encoding four homologous regulatory small RNAs (sRNAs), called Qrr1-4 (18). The Qrr sRNAs act at the heart of the two QS systems by reciprocally controlling the production of the transcriptional regulators HapR and AphA, which regulate biofilm formation and virulence of V. cholerae (19). Importantly, the CqsS and LuxPQ receptors act as kinases in the absence of AI-2 and CAI-1, but convert to phosphatases when the autoinducers are present (20). Thus, expression of the Qrr sRNAs is repressed by AI-2 and CAI-1 (Figure 1B). In addition, two other receptor proteins, CqsR and VpsS, have been reported to channel information through LuxO, indicating the existence of at least four sensory inputs for this pathway (21).

Recently, we discovered another QS system operating in *V. cholerae* (Figure 1 and (22)). In contrast to CAI-1 and AI-2, this system does not require LuxU, LuxO or the Qrr sRNAs, but rather relies on the catabolic degradation of L-threonine by threonine dehydrogenase (encoded by *tdh*) and the concomitant synthesis of another autoinducer, called DPO (3,5-dimethylpyrazin-2-ol). DPO is sensed by VqmA, a cytoplasmic LuxR-type transcriptional regulator, which induces the transcription of the VqmR sRNA. VqmR

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +49 89 2180 74502; Email: kai.papenfort@lmu.de

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Quorum sensing in *V. cholerae* is controlled by three autoinducer molecules. The CAI-1 and AI-2 autoinducers are produced by CqsA and LuxS and detected by the membrane-bound CqsS and LuxPQ receptors, respectively. The DPO autoinducer derives from threonine catabolism, and requires the Tdh (threonine dehydrogenase) enzyme. DPO is released into the environment and binds to and activates the VqmA receptor. (A) At low autoinducer concentrations, CqsS and LuxPQ act as kinases to phosphorylate LuxU. LuxU-P transfers the phosphate to LuxO, and LuxO-P induces the expression of the Qrr1–4 sRNAs. The Qrr sRNAs act post-transcriptionally to repress *hapR* and activate *aphA*, promoting virulence gene expression and biofilm formation. AphA also activates the transcription of *vpsT*. (B) At high autoinducer concentrations, binding of CAI-1 and AI-2 to CqsS and LuxPQ, respectively, converts the receptors to phosphatases, which reduces LuxO-P levels and inhibits *qrr1–4* expression. Under these conditions, *aphA* is repressed and *hapR* is activated. The VqmA-DPO complex induces the transcription of the VqmR sRNA. VqmR inhibits biofilm formation by repressing VpsT and virulence gene expression by inhibiting AphA. In addition, HapR and AphA antagonize each other at the transcriptional level. Active factors are highlighted in blue, inactive (repressed) factors are shown in gray.

belongs to the ubiquitous class of Hfq-associated regulatory RNAs (23) and we have shown that VqmR inhibits multiple *trans*-encoded target genes through direct basepairing with their respective mRNAs (24). The target spectrum of VqmR also includes the transcript encoding VpsT, a key activator of biofilm formation in *V. cholerae* (24,25). Consequently, DPO, by acting through VqmA and VqmR, inhibits biofilm formation in *V. cholerae* (22).

Biofilm formation and pathogenicity are closely connected in V. cholerae (26,27). During the initial phases of infection, biofilms allow V. cholerae to survive the acidic environment of the stomach (28) and intravital microscopy revealed the formation of biofilms in the small intestines of infected mice (29). Therefore, perhaps not surprisingly, biofilm formation and intestinal colonization share a large set of co-regulated genes in V. cholerae. The two transcription factors, HapR and AphA, which are also regulated by QS (Figure 1), have overarching roles in both processes as they control the genes for biofilm and virulence regulation in an opposite manner (19). Specifically, AphA, together with another transcriptional regulator, called AphB (30), activates the production of the toxin-co-regulated pilus (TCP) and the cholera toxin (CTX). Both, TCP and CTX are necessary for infections in humans (31). Likewise, AphA activates VpsT production, which enhances biofilm formation (32). HapR antagonizes these functions by inhibiting the production of AphA and VpsT, as well as several other genes related to biofilm formation and virulence gene expression (33). Of note, HapR also controls Type VI secretion in *V. cholerae* (5), a process which has recently been reported to drive interspecies competition during host colonization (34,35).

In this study, we used RNA-sequencing to identify additional target mRNAs of VqmR in V. cholerae. Our analysis revealed five previously unknown target transcripts, including the aphA mRNA. We show that VqmR inhibits AphA production by interacting with the ribosome binding site (RBS) of the corresponding mRNA and that basepairing involves the Rho-independent terminator sequence of VqmR. VqmR-mediated repression of AphA is stimulated by DPO and results in reduced virulence gene expression. Reduction of AphA levels by DPO connects the two QS pathways of V. cholerae at a critical regulatory node and suggests a coactive role in gene regulation. Indeed, global RNA-sequencing analysis of autoinducer-treated cells shows that QS controls more than 400 genes in V. cholerae and that AI-2, CAI-1 and DPO work together to control biofilm formation, virulence gene expression, and other collective functions in this major human pathogen.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Strains are listed in Supplementary Table S2. *V. cholerae* and *E. coli* were grown aerobically in LB or M9 minimal medium (0.4% glucose) at  $37^{\circ}$ C. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: 50 U ml<sup>-1</sup> polymyxin B, 100 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin, 50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin, 5000 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin, and 20 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> chloramphenicol. Experiments involving AKI growth conditions were performed following previously published protocols (36).

#### **Oligonucleotides and plasmids**

Plasmids and DNA oligonucleotides are listed in Supplementary Tables S3 and S4, respectively. Details on plasmid construction are provided in the Supplementary Methods section.

#### Northern Blot analysis

Total RNA was prepared and transferred as previously described (37). Membranes were hybridized in Roti-Hybri-Quick buffer (Roth) at 42°C with [<sup>32</sup>P] end-labelled DNA oligonucleotides, or 63°C when using riboprobes. Signals were visualized using a Typhoon phosphorimager (Amersham) and band intensities were quantified using the GelQuant software (biochemlabsolutions). Oligonucleotides for Northern Blot analyses are provided in Supplementary Table S4.

#### Western Blot analysis and fluorescence assays

Western Blot analyses of GFP and FLAG fusion proteins followed previously published protocols (38). Signals were visualized using a Fusion FX EDGE imager (Vilber) and band intensities were quantified using the BIO-1D software (Vilber). Fluorescence assay of *V. cholerae* and *E. coli* strains were performed as previously described (22,37).

## Preparation of secreted protein fractions

The cell densities (OD<sub>600</sub>) of AKI cultures were determined after 16h of continuous shaking. Two milliliter of each culture were centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C and 1.6 ml of the supernatants were transferred to a new reaction tube. To precipitate secreted proteins, 0.4 ml of 25% ice-cold trichloroacetic acid was added (5% final conc.) followed by 15 min incubation on ice. Protein pellets were obtained by centrifugation (13 000 rpm, 30 min, 4°C) and washed two times with ice-cold acetone (13 000 rpm, 15 min, 4°C). The supernatants were carefully removed and the pellets were allowed to air dry. Pellets were resuspended in individual volumes of SDS loading buffer relative to the OD<sub>600</sub> measurements of the respective culture.

## Sample collection for RNA-seq analyses

RNA-seq experiment to identify VqmR targets: Biological triplicates of  $\Delta vqmR$  cells carrying either the pBAD-Ctr or the pBAD-vqmR plasmid were grown to OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.5

in LB media. Cells were treated with 0.2% (final conc.) L-Arabinose and harvested after 15 min. Addition of Stop Mix (95% [vol/vol] EtOH and 5% [vol/vol] phenol) terminated ongoing transcription and translation. The samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until RNA preparation. Autoinducer RNA-seq: Biological triplicates of a  $\Delta luxS$ , cqsA, tdh triple mutant strain were grown overnight in M9 minimal media, supplemented with single or combinations of the following autoinducers (5 µM final conc. each): autoinducer 2 (AI-2), choleraautoinducer-1 (CAI-1), or 3,5-dimethylpyrazin-2-ol (DPO) or water (mock). Bacteria were diluted 1:500 in fresh media containing the same autoinducers and samples were collected at  $OD_{600} = 0.2$ . Stop Mix (95% EtOH, 5% phenol, [vol/vol]) prevented further transcription and translation. Cells were pelleted (4000 rpm, 15 min,  $4^{\circ}$ C), resuspended in Tri-reagent (Sigma) and stored at -80°C until further processing.

#### Construction of cDNA libraries and Illumina sequencing

Total RNA was digested with DNaseI and depletion of ribosomal RNA was performed using the Ribo-Zero kit (Epicentre) for Gram-negative bacteria. Integrity of the prepared RNA was confirmed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Directional cDNA libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB E7760) according to the manufacturer's instructions and cDNA library quality was tested on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. The libraries were sequenced using a HiSeq 1500 machine (Illumina) in single-read mode with 100 bp read length. The sequencing data has been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the GSE115711 accession code.

## RESULTS

# Identification and validation of additional VqmR target mRNAs

QS regulates hundreds of genes in Vibrios (33) and global transcriptome profiles show that QS-controlled genes can be classified into low- and high-cell density. In our previous work, we used high-cell density cultures and VqmR pulse expression followed by global transcriptome analysis to identify target mRNAs of VqmR in V. cholerae (24). To identify additional targets of VqmR, here we investigated exponentially growing cells ( $OD_{600} = 0.5$ ) and scored global transcriptome changes using RNA-sequencing. Differentially expressed genes were determined by comparing cells induced for VqmR expression from a pBAD promoter for 15 min to an empty vector control. These analyses identified 11 mRNAs showing at least 2.5-fold regulation by VqmR (Table 1). VqmR-mediated repression of five transcripts (vpsT, vca0068, vc1865, vc1063 and vca0591-vca0590) was also observed in our previous analyses (24), supporting our approach. Newly identified target candidates included the mRNAs of two conserved hypothetical proteins (vc0789 and vc0865), ulaA (encoding part of an ascorbate transport system), ndk (encoding nucleoside diphosphate kinase), as well as aphA (encoding a major transcriptional regulator

Table 1. Genes differentially regulated by VqmR pulse expression

Gene	Description <sup>a</sup>	Fold change <sup>b</sup>
vc0789 vc1865 <sup>c</sup> vc0068 <sup>c</sup> vc0865 ulaA vc0591 <sup>c</sup> vc0590 <sup>c</sup> vc1063 <sup>c</sup> aphA ndk	Hypothetical protein Hypothetical protein Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein Hypothetical protein PTS system ascorbate-specific transporter Peptide ABC transporter Peptide ABC transporter permease Acyl-CoA thioesterase II PadR family transcriptional regulator Nucleoside-diphosphate kinase	$\begin{array}{r} -12.0 \\ -9.6 \\ -5.7 \\ -3.4 \\ -3.3 \\ -3.2 \\ -3.0 \\ -2.9 \\ -2.8 \\ -2.5 \end{array}$
vpsT <sup>c</sup>	LuxR family transcriptional regulator	-2.5

<sup>a</sup>Description based on the annotation at KEGG (https://www.genome.jp/kegg).

<sup>b</sup>Fold change obtained by transcriptomic analysis of pBAD-driven VqmR expression using RNA-seq. Genes that were at least 2.5-fold differentially regulated and were statistically significant (Bonferroni  $\leq$  1E–10) are listed. <sup>c</sup>VqmR target genes previously reported in (24).

of QS in *V. cholerae*, see Figure 1). All transcripts were repressed by VqmR, which was also confirmed using quantitative real-time PCR (Supplementary Figure S1A).

Previous work focussing on the molecular mechanism of VqmR-mediated gene regulation showed that the VqmR sRNA employs one of two conserved domains (R1 and R2, see Figure 2A) to base-pair with target mRNAs (24). To test if the newly identified targets, i.e. vc0789, vc0865, ulaA, ndk and aphA, were also regulated at the post-transcriptional level by VqmR, we used a wellestablished GFP-based reporter system tailored to score post-transcriptional gene control in bacteria (39). In this system, the 5' UTR (untranslated region) and the sequence corresponding to the first 20 amino-acids of the target genes are fused to gfp under the control of the P<sub>TetO</sub> promoter. These plasmids were introduced into *Escherichia coli* along with a second plasmid expressing the vqmR gene from a P<sub>Tac</sub> promoter. We discovered significantly reduced GFP production for all five candidate targets when VqmR was present (Figure 2B). We repeated these experiments in an E. coli strain lacking hfq, and no target regulation occurred (Supplementary Figure S1B). To investigate which of the two conserved base-pairing domains of VqmR mediated target repression, we individually deleted the R1 and R2 sequences in vqmR and measured GFP production. We discovered that repression of vc0865 and ulaA was significantly impaired in the absence of domain R2, while downregulation of ndk and vc0789 was impaired when domain R1 was removed. Unexpectedly, VqmR-mediated repression of *aphA* did not require either of the two base-pairing domains (Figure 2B).

#### VqmR interacts with aphA via a third base-pairing domain

The data presented in Figure 2B suggested that VqmR inhibits *aphA* by base-pairing using some unknown sequence element of VqmR. To test this possibility, we generated truncated VqmR variants, *i.e.* we deleted the first 30, 60 and 90 nucleotides of VqmR (these VqmR variants are called T $\Delta$ 30, T $\Delta$ 60, and T $\Delta$ 90, respectively) and monitored AphA::GFP levels. None of these mutants abro-

gated VqmR repression (Figure 2C, bars 1–5). In addition, we also constructed internal deletions in vqmR, removing nucleotides 91–101, 91–111 and 91–121. Again, these mutants did not affect repression of AphA::GFP (Figure 2C, bars 6–8).

These results showed that none of the canonical basepairing sequences of VqmR are involved in *aphA* repression and led us to conclude that VgmR-mediated repression of aphA possibly depended on a sequence element located in the Rho-independent terminator of VgmR. To probe this hypothesis, we exchanged the terminator of vqmR with the terminator sequence of an unrelated sRNA of V. cholerae, named Vcr089 (24). Although the level of production of this chimeric sRNA was comparable to wild-type VgmR (Supplementary Figure S2A), repression of AphA::GFP was significantly reduced ( $\sim$ 1.7-fold versus  $\sim$ 13.5-fold; Figure 2C, bar 9 versus 2). In the reciprocal experiment, we exchanged the terminator of vcr089 with the vgmR terminator and discovered that AphA::GFP repression increased to ~3-fold (Figure 2C, bar 10), while Vcr089 itself had no effect on AphA::GFP levels (Figure 2C, bar 11). Of note, the limited repression of AphA::GFP by the Vcr089 sRNA carrying the VqmR terminator (bar 10) might well be explained by the reduced stability of this chimeric sRNA when compared to the native VqmR sRNA (Supplementary Figure S2A)

These experiments prompted us to search for a possible base-pairing interaction using the RNA hybrid algorithm (40) with the aphA 5' UTR and the VqmR terminator sequence as inputs. Indeed, these analyses revealed a potential RNA duplex involving the loop of the VqmR terminator element and the sequence directly upstream of the aphA start codon (Figure 2D). To test this prediction, we altered cytosine to guanine at position 133 of VqmR and measured production of AphA::GFP (Figure 2E and Supplementary Figure S2B). Mutation at this position strongly reduced AphA::GFP repression, while sRNA levels were unaffected. Likewise, a compensatory mutation from guanine to cytosine at position -3 of aphA::gfp fully restored repression by the mutated VqmR, whereas repression by wildtype VqmR was inhibited (Figure 2E and Supplementary Figure S2B). Thus, VqmR uses its Rho-independent terminator, and specifically the loop sequence, to repress AphA production. In accordance with the previously defined basepairing sequences of VqmR, we termed this sequence R3 (Figure 2A).

The data presented in Figure 2D and E suggested that VqmR inhibits aphA by sequestering its RBS, which will block translation initiation and consequently reduce protein levels. To test this hypothesis, we first mutated the Shine-Dalgarno element in the RBS of the aphA::gfp reporter at three consecutive positons and monitored AphA::GFP levels. In all three cases, GFP production was strongly reduced (Supplementary Figure S3A). Next, we performed toeprinting analysis (41) of the aphA mRNA (Supplementary Figure S3B). Addition of purified 30S ribosomes along with initiator tRNA<sup>fMet</sup> to the aphA mRNA resulted in a termination signal located 16 nucleotides downstream of translation initiation, which is in accordance with the annotated AUG start codon. To mimic base-pairing of VqmR at the predicted position in aphA, we used an LNA (locked nucleic acid) oligonucleotide matching to the



**Figure 2.** VqmR target genes and base-pairing of VqmR with the *aphA* 5' UTR. (A) Secondary structure of VqmR (24). The VqmR base-pairing sequences are highlighted in red (R1), blue (R2) and green (R3). Arrows and brackets mark the truncation start sites and the internal deletion regions investigated in C, respectively. (B) *E. coli* harbouring plasmids carrying the five genes denoted on the x-axis each fused to *gfp* were co-transformed with a control plasmid (pCtr) or the indicated VqmR expressing plasmids. Transcription of *vqmR* and *gfp* was driven by constitutive promoters. Cells were cultivated in LB to  $OD_{600} = 0.5$  and GFP production was measured. GFP levels of strains carrying the control plasmid were set to 1. Error bars represent the SD of three biological replicates. (C) *E. coli* cells carrying the *aphA::gfp* reporter were tested for repression by various VqmR mutants. Cells were grown in LB to  $OD_{600} = 0.5$  and GFP production was measured. Error bars indicate the SD of three biological replicates. (D) Predicted base-pairing of the VqmR R3 sequence (green) with the 5' UTR of *aphA*. The arrows indicate the single nucleotide mutations tested in E and the start codon is underlined. (E) Repression of AphA:::GFP and AphA\*::GFP (G-3C) by VqmR and VqmR\* (C133G). Cells were grown in LB to  $OD_{600} = 0.5$  and GFP levels were measured using Western Blot. RNAP served as the loading control.

VqmR seed sequence of VqmR-*aphA* RNA duplex (corresponding to nucleotides 131–138 of VqmR; compare Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure S3A). Indeed, titration of the LNA oligonucleotide reduced 30S binding in a concentration dependent manner and led to the detection of a second termination signal corresponding to the VqmR binding site (Supplementary Figure S3B). Together, our *in vivo* and *in vitro* data indicate that interaction with the VqmR sRNA inhibits translation initiation of the *aphA* mRNA and that VqmR competes with 30S ribosomes for binding of the *aphA* RBS.

#### VqmR and DPO inhibit AphA protein production

Next, we were interested to test the effect of VqmR on AphA protein production *in vivo*. To this end, we engineered an *aphA::3XFLAG* construct and introduced it onto the chromosome of *V. cholerae* wild-type and  $\Delta vqmR$  strains at the *aphA* locus. These strains were transformed with either a vector control (pCtr) or a VqmR over-expression plasmid



vqmR mutants carrying the indicated plasmids were cultivated in M9 minimal media supplemented with casamino acids (0.4% final conc.). At the indicated growth phases, total RNA and protein samples were collected. AphA::3XFLAG production was monitored on Western Blots and RNAP served as the loading control. VqmR and aphA::3XFLAG mRNA levels were probed on Northern Blots using 5S rRNA as loading control. (B) Total RNA and protein samples were collected from V. cholerae wild-type,  $\Delta vqmA$ ,  $\Delta vqmR$  and  $\Delta tdh$  strains at low cell density (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.2). Cells were cultivated in M9 minimal media and one set of cultures was supplemented with DPO (100 µM final conc.). AphA::3XFLAG production was determined using Western Blot. Northern Blot was used to probe the expression of aphA-3XFLAG and VqmR. RNAP and 5S rRNA served as loading controls for the Western and Northern Blot analyses, respectively. (C) V. cholerae  $\Delta tdh$  cells were cultivated in M9 medium supplemented with the indicated DPO concentrations (x-axis) and total RNA and protein samples were harvested at low cell densities ( $OD_{600} = 0.2$ ). AphA production was analyzed on Western Blots (left y-axis), sRNA levels (VqmR and Qrr4) were determined on Northern Blots (right y-axis). Error bars represent the SD of five (AphA) and three (sRNAs) biological replicates, respectively.

(pVqmR) and cultivated in M9 minimal medium containing casein acid hydrolysate (casamino acids) as a threonine source for DPO production. At selected time-points, total RNA and protein samples were collected and examined by Northern and Western blotting, respectively. As expected, in wild-type, levels of AphA protein decreased at high cell density along with a reduction in *aphA* mRNA abundance (Figure 3A, lanes 1–4). In V. cholerae cells lacking vgmR, AphA protein levels remained unaffected at low cell density  $(OD_{600} \text{ of } 0.2)$ , which was also recapitulated at the *aphA* mRNA level and is in accordance with limited VqmR expression under this condition (Figure 3A, lane 1 vs. 5). At higher cell densities ( $OD_{600}$  of 1.0, 1.5 and 3 h after cells reached an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1.5), AphA protein levels were  $\sim$ 3–4fold higher in the vqmR mutant when compared to wildtype cells (lanes 2–4 versus 6–8). In contrast, V. cholerae  $\Delta vqmR$  cells over-expressing VqmR displayed significantly reduced AphA protein and mRNA levels under all conditions (Figure 3A, lane 9–12). Of note, plasmid-borne VqmR expression had a stronger effect on AphA protein levels, when compared to the reduction in *aphA* mRNA. These results could indicate that, when over-expressed, VgmRmediated repression of aphA acts predominantly by inhibiting translation initiation.

Elevated levels of AphA in  $\Delta v qmR$  cells cultivated to high cell density (Figure 3A) indicated that VqmR has a negative effect on AphA levels when DPO accumulates in the environment. To explore this possibility, we cultivated V. cholerae cells in M9 minimal medium lacking aminoacids (eliminating endogenous DPO production) to low cell density ( $OD_{600} = 0.2$ ) and compared AphA production in the presence and absence of exogenously supplied synthetic DPO (100 µM final conc.). In wild-type cells, addition of DPO reduced AphA levels by ~3-fold, which was also corroborated at the aphA mRNA level (Figure 3B, lane 1 versus 2). As expected, AphA protein and its mRNA did not change in response to DPO in V. cholerae cells lacking either vqmA or vqmR (Figure 3B, lanes 3–6). However, DPOmediated repression of AphA occurred in cells lacking *tdh* (Figure 3B, lanes 7–8), which is required for DPO synthesis but not for DPO detection or signal transduction (Figure 1). In line with these observations, exogenously added DPO activated VqmR production in wild-type and  $\Delta t dh$ cells, while no VqmR was detected in the vqmA and vqmR mutants. These data show that DPO inhibits AphA production in V. cholerae and that the DPO-receptor, VqmA and the VqmR sRNA mediate this phenotype.

We previously showed that DPO accumulates in cell-free supernatants of *V. cholerae* at a concentration of ~1  $\mu$ M (22). To test if endogenous levels of DPO would also inhibit AphA production, we performed a titration experiment in which we gradually increased the levels of synthetic DPO and tested AphA and VqmR levels on Western and Northern Blots, respectively (Figure 3C). We discovered that DPO concentrations as low as 0.33 $\mu$ M significantly increased VqmR production, which also resulted in a ~2-fold reduction in AphA production. At a concentration of 1  $\mu$ M DPO, repression of AphA increased to ~2.5-fold and reached a maximum of ~3-fold when higher concentrations of DPO were used. Importantly, this saturation in AphA repression coincided with the maximal VqmR ex-



**Figure 4.** DPO and VqmR inhibit virulence gene expression. (A) The virulence cascade of *V. cholerae*. (B) *V. cholerae* wild-type and  $\Delta vqmR$  strains carrying the indicated plasmids were cultivated under AKI conditions. Cellular and secreted protein (SP) fractions were harvested 2h and 16 h after switching from static to aerating conditions, respectively and tested for AphA-3XFLAG and CtxAB production on Western Blots. RNAP and a coomassie-stained SDS gel (bottom) confirmed equal loading of the two protein fractions. (C) *V. cholerae*  $\Delta tdh$  or  $\Delta tdh$ , vqmR cells carrying plasmids with the indicated transcriptional reporters were cultivated under AKI conditions in the presence or absence of DPO (100  $\mu$ M final conc.) and fluorescence was measured 2 h after switching to aerating conditions. mKate2 levels of the  $\Delta tdh$  cells cultivated without DPO were set to 1. Error bars represent the SD of three biological replicates.

pression, while DPO titration did not affect Qrr4 production (Figure 3C).

#### DPO down-regulates virulence gene expression in V. cholerae

AphA is a key regulator of virulence gene expression in *V. cholerae* and required for intestinal colonization in an infant mouse model of infection (30). In concert with AphB, AphA induces the expression of the transmembrane regulators TcpP and TcpH (42). TcpPH and another transmembrane regulator, ToxRS, activate the production of ToxT, which finally induces the expression of ctxAB and tcpA-*F* (Figure 4A and (43)).

To investigate the role of DPO-mediated gene control in virulence gene expression in V. cholerae, we first tested the effect of VqmR on CtxAB and AphA protein production. Specifically, we cultivated V. cholerae wild-type and  $\Delta vqmR$ cells, both carrying a vector control, in AKI medium to induce virulence factor production (36). We discovered a modest ( $\sim$ 1.5-fold) increase in AphA levels in the absence of vqmR (Figure 4B, lane 1 versus 2). In contrast, a vqmR mutant strain carrying *vqmR* on a multi-copy plasmid strongly reduced AphA levels (~13-fold), when compared to wildtype V. cholerae (Figure 4B, lane 1 versus 3). Likewise, VqmR over-production down-regulated CtxA and CtxB levels by  $\sim$ 18.2-fold and  $\sim$ 27.6-fold, respectively. To obtain additional evidence that base-pairing of VqmR with the aphA mRNA caused AphA and CtxAB repression, we also tested the effect of the VqmR point-mutant showing strongly reduced AphA::GFP repression (Figure 2D and E) on AphA and CtxAB production. We discovered that the mutated VqmR variant failed to inhibit AphA and CtxAB production (Figure 4B, lane 4). Together, these data suggest that VqmR-mediated repression of *aphA* prevents virulence gene expression in *V. cholerae*.

To monitor the activity of *V. cholerae* virulence genes in the context of DPO, we generated mKate2-based transcriptional reporters to all genes of the cascade (Figure 4A) and transformed these constructs into V. cholerae cells lacking tdh (to eliminate endogenous DPO production) and cells lacking tdh and vqmR. Again, we used AKI medium to induce virulence gene expression, however, for these experiments, one set of cultures was supplemented with synthetic DPO (100 µM final conc.). Our data showed that DPO significantly inhibited the promoter activities of tcpP, toxT, *tcpA*, and *ctxA*, however, as expected, did not affect the promoters of aphB and toxR (Figure 4C). These results were specific to DPO-mediated activation of vgmR, since DPO failed to down-regulate the promoters of *tcpP*, *toxT*, *tcpA*, and *ctxA* in the  $\Delta tdh$  vqmR double mutant (Figure 4C). Therefore, we conclude that the DPO-controlled QS pathway negatively affects the production of virulence factors in V. cholerae.

# The three autoinducers of *V. cholerae* act together to control AphA production

There are currently three autoinducers known in *V. cholerae* (11,12,22). Whereas AI-2 and CAI-1 act through LuxO and the Qrr sRNAs to activate *aphA*, DPO functions through



Figure 5. AI-2, CAI-1 and DPO act in concert to repress AphA production. (A) The *V. cholerae*  $\Delta luxS$ , cqsA, tdh mutant was cultivated in M9 minimal media containing the indicated autoinducers (5  $\mu$ M final conc. each) to OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.2. AphA::3XFLAG, Qrr4 and VqmR levels were monitored on Western Blots and Northern Blots, respectively. RNAP (Western Blot) and 5S rRNA (Northern Blot) served as loading controls. (B) Quantification of (A). AphA levels in the mock-treated sample was set to 1. Error bars represent the SD of three biological triplicates.

VqmA and VqmR to repress aphA (Figure 1B). Importantly, although VqmR and the Qrr sRNAs act antagonistically on aphA, all three autoinducers inhibit aphA production since AI-2 and CAI-1 inhibit production of the Qrr sRNAs which are activators of *aphA*, and DPO activates VqmR transcription, which directly represses aphA. To test the individual contributions of the autoinducers on AphA production, we cultivated a luxS, cqsA, tdh triple mutant in M9 minimal medium and added AI-2, CAI-1 and DPO at saturating concentrations (5  $\mu$ M final conc.). We collected total protein and RNA samples at low cell density (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.2) and probed AphA protein levels on Western Blots, as well as Qrr4 and VqmR production on Northern Blots (Figure 5A). We discovered that AI-2, although reducing Qrr4 levels by  $\sim$ 2.2-fold (Figure 5A, lane 1 versus 2), did not significantly reduce AphA levels (Figure 5A and B). CAI-1 and DPO both inhibited AphA by ~1.8-fold (Figure 5B). CAI-1 reduced Qrr4 levels by  $\sim$ 5.6-fold, whereas DPO did not affect Qrr4 (Figure 5A, lanes 1, 3, 4). As expected, VqmR expression was strongly induced by DPO (~15-fold), but remained unaffected by AI-2 (Figure 5A, lanes 1, 2, 4). Interestingly, CAI-1 also slightly activated ( $\sim$ 2.8-fold) VqmR production (lane 1 versus 3). We currently do not understand the molecular mechanism underlying CAI-1mediated VqmR induction and whether this regulation is biologically relevant.

The genetic setup of *V. cholerae*'s QS circuit (Figure 1) suggested that the three autoinducers act together to control AphA production. To test this hypothesis, we monitored the collective effect of the autoinducers on AphA, Qrr4 and VqmR levels. Combination of AI-2 and CAI-1 inhibited

AphA by ~6.6-fold and reduced Qrr4 levels by ~8.8-fold when compared to the untreated sample (Figure 5A and B). In contrast, AphA and Qrr4 levels of cells treated with AI-2 and DPO were similar to those treated with DPO only, suggesting that CAI-1 has a stronger effect on Qrr4 production, when compared to AI-2 (16). In line with this observation, the combination of CAI-1 and DPO resulted in a more robust (~3.6-fold) reduction in AphA, when compared to AI-2 and DPO (Figure 5A, lanes 1, 6, 7). Finally, we also tested the combined effect of all three autoinducers. Indeed, addition of AI-2, CAI-1 and DPO boosted AphA repression to 18.3-fold along with the expected reduction in Qrr4 levels (~10-fold) and activation of VqmR (~11-fold).

To corroborate these results, we also performed the reciprocal experiments, i.e. we generated single, double and triple deletion strains of the autoinducer synthase genes (luxS, cqsA and tdh) and monitored AphA production in late stationary phase cells (6 h after cells reached an  $OD_{600}$ of 1.5) using Western Blot analysis (Supplementary Figure S4). When compared to wild-type V. cholerae, all three single mutants displayed a significant increase in AphA levels with  $\Delta cqsA$  showing the strongest up-regulation (~7.3fold, Supplementary Figure S4, lane 1 versus 3). Mutation of *luxS* or *tdh* both increased AphA production by  $\sim$ 3fold (Supplementary Figure S4, lanes 2 and 4). V. cholerae strains lacking two of the synthases, i.e.  $\Delta luxS$ , cqsA;  $\Delta luxS$ , tdh and  $\Delta cqsA$ , tdh, all showed elevated production of AphA, when compared to the relevant mutants lacking only one of the synthase genes (Supplementary Figure S4, lanes 5-7). Finally, deletion of all three autoinducer synthase genes boosted AphA levels by >15-fold (Supplementary Figure S4, lane 8), which was the strongest effect detected in our panel.

Together our data show that QS-mediated downregulation of AphA in *V. cholerae* is enhanced by the combined action of multiple autoinducers acting through LuxO and VqmA to modulate production of the Qrr and VqmR sRNAs.

# Global transcriptome analysis of autoinducer function in V. cholerae

The combined regulatory effect of AI-2, CAI-1 and DPO on AphA levels prompted us to probe autoinducer functions in *V. cholerae* at a larger scale. Specifically, we used the setup of the previous experiments (Figure 5A) and RNA-sequencing to monitor autoinducer-controlled changes at a transcriptome-wide level. Again, we performed these experiments in  $\Delta luxS$ , *cqsA*, *tdh* cells and added single or combinations of the autoinducers at saturating levels (5  $\mu$ M final conc.) to identify the full set of autoinducer-responsive genes in *V. cholerae*.

In line with our above results (Figure 5B), autoinducermediated repression of *aphA* was most prominent when all three autoinducers were supplemented (~11-fold), whereas addition of single autoinducers resulted in modest repression (Supplementary Table S1). In general, transcriptome analysis revealed only very few (4) differentially expressed genes ( $\geq$ 2-fold) in response to AI-2 and moderate changes (40 and 60 genes) when cells were exposed to DPO or CAI-1, respectively (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table



**Figure 6.** Genome-wide transcriptome changes in response to the AI-2, DPO and CAI-1 autoinducers. Heatmap displaying 420 genes differentially expressed ( $\geq$ 2-fold) in response to at least one of the autoinducers. *V. cholerae*  $\Delta luxS$ , *cgsA*, *tdh* cells were cultivated in M9 minimal media containing single or combinations of the autoinducers (5  $\mu$ M final conc. each). Selected gene clusters showing significant regulation are highlighted on the right. Fold changes of the normalized expression values were calculated relative to the normalized expression values of the mock treated replicates.

S1). Treatment of *V. cholerae* with two autoinducers significantly enhanced QS-mediated gene regulation. Combination of AI-2 and CAI-1 had the strongest effect leading to the differential expression of 323 genes, followed by DPO/CAI-1 (151 genes), and AI-2/DPO (59 genes). Together, AI-2, DPO and CAI-1 rendered almost 400 genes (Supplementary Table S1), suggesting that all three autoinducers are required for a full QS response. For example, genes from two genetic islands specific to *V. cholerae* strains of the 7th pandemic (VSP-1 and VSP-2) were not affected by AI-2, mildly activated by DPO and CAI-1, and strongly induced by combined treatment with the three autoinducers (Figure 6). We discovered similar expression patterns for genes associated with fatty acid metabolism (*vca0688-vca0691*), as well as genes located in two chemotaxis clusters of *V. cholerae* (*vc1394-vc1403* and *vca1088-cheA-3*). QS-mediated activation of chemotaxis genes in *V. cholerae* is in accordance with a previous report (44).

We also discovered reciprocal expression patterns, i.e. downregulation in response to the autoinducers. For example, genes relevant for iron transport (vc0199-fhuB), biofilm formation (vpsU, vpsA, rbmC and vpsL) and RTX toxin secretion (rtxA-D) were all repressed in response to the autoinducers. Of note, DPO alone also significantly repressed the rtx operon, which is in accordance with our previous work showing VqmR-mediated repression of rtx (24). Interestingly, DPO by itself seems to function as a repressor of type VI secretion genes, however, this regulation can be overcome by CAI-1 but not by AI-2 (Figure 6).

## DISCUSSION

Gene regulation by QS is crucial for virulence factor production and collective functions of various bacterial pathogens, including *V. cholerae* (26). For many bacterial pathogens, QS relies on multiple signalling molecules, however, how these act together to control gene expression is frequently unknown (2). Given that enteric pathogens such as *V. cholerae* regularly interact with other species, e.g. during the course of an infection, one can predict that exposure to multiple signalling molecules is the norm rather than the exception. Therefore, studying the influence of autoinducer mixtures on gene expression and behaviour of bacterial pathogens is fundamental to develop a global understanding of QS functions in these organisms.

In this study, we discovered that the DPO autoinducer inhibits the production of AphA, a central regulator of virulence gene expression in V. cholerae. Repression of AphA by DPO requires the VqmA receptor protein, as well as the VqmR sRNA (Figure 3B). VqmR belongs to the large group of Hfq-dependent sRNAs (23). These sRNAs control gene expression by base-pairing with target mRNAs, which can either repress or activate gene expression (45, 46). Repression of aphA by VqmR relies on a base-pairing sequence that we discover here to be located in the loop of the Rho-independent terminator stem of the sRNA (Figure 2A). There are only few documented cases of target recognition via the terminator sequence of an Hfq-dependent sRNA. For example, base-pairing of the OxyS sRNA with the *fhlA* mRNA requires two independent sequence elements, one of which is located in the loop of the terminal stem in the sRNA (47). Rho-independent terminator sequences have been shown to recruit Hfq to sRNAs (48) and are considered important for transcript stability providing protection from 3'-5' exonucleolytic degradation (49). We speculate that base-pairing with aphA could destabilize the terminator structure of VgmR and thereby facilitate turnover of the sRNA. Indeed, previous work focussing on the regulatory mechanisms of the Qrr sRNAs in Vibrio harveyi revealed that base-pairing with specific target mRNAs affects Qrr degradation and thereby modulates QS fidelity (50). One of the target mRNAs relevant for Qrr turn-over is *aphA*, which is also regulated by VqmR. However, the Orr sRNAs and VgmR have antagonizing effects on *aphA*, with the Orr sRNAs acting to increase AphA production (19,51), whereas VqmR reduces AphA levels (Figure 3A). It will be interesting to test how the two sRNAs compete for *aphA* regulation and if the molecular mechanisms underlying post-transcriptional control of this mRNA will provide priority to regulation by one of the sRNAs. Sequence alignment of the aphA 5' UTR showed that the base-pairing sites of VqmR and the Qrr sRNAs are conserved among Vibrios (Supplementary Figure S5) and so are the relevant interaction sites in Qrr2-4 (51), as well as VqmR (Figure 2A). Therefore, regulation of *aphA* by two competing sRNAs species could be relevant for collective functions of many Vibrio strains.

Regulation of *aphA* by VqmR links the AI-2/CAI-1 and DPO QS pathways at a critical point as AphA controls virulence by activating tcpPH (42), modulates QS by repressing hapR (19), and enhances biofilm formation by inducing *vpsT* transcription (32). Activation of *vpsT* transcription by AphA, together with post-transcriptional repression of aphA (Figure 2B) and vpsT (24) by VqmR indicates the presence of a type 2 coherent feed-forward loop (52) controlling VpsT production in V. cholerae (Figure 1B). In this scenario, VqmR acts on top of the cascade repressing vpsT translation by base-pairing to the mRNA, while repressing vpsTtranscription by reducing AphA levels. An increasing number of regulatory RNAs are being recognized to participate in mixed network motifs with transcription factors (53). For example, the Spot42 sRNA of E. coli together with the CRP transcriptional regulator forms a multi-output feedforward loop to decrease leaky expression of target genes (54). In Salmonella, the RprA sRNA activates the expression of RpoS and RicI to prevent plasmid conjugation when the cell membrane is damaged (55). Coherent feed-forward loops typically reduce noise in biological systems (53) and in the case of VqmR-mediated repression of aphA and vpsT might help to facilitate transition between QS states and to coordinate virulence gene expression and biofilm formation in V. cholerae. Of note, VpsT activity is also controlled posttranslationally by binding of c-di-GMP (56), which could add an additional layer of regulation.

How and when *V. cholerae* changes from one QS state to another depends on the accumulation of autoinducers in the environment (57). AI-2 and CAI-1 are recognized by the membrane-bound receptors, LuxPQ and CqsS, respectively and channel information into a shared signalling cascade (Figure 1). Importantly, both receptors function as kinases to phosphorylate LuxU in the absence of AI-2 and CAI-1, but convert to phosphatases when the autoinducers are bound. This logic prevents premature modulation of QS-responsive pathways when only a single autoinducer is present (21). Indeed, global gene expression analysis showed that, although provided at saturating concentrations (16), AI-2 and CAI-1 had only modest effects on the transcriptome of *V. cholerae*, when compared to a combination of the two autoinducers (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table S1). Our data are also in line with a recent report showing that CqsS exhibits a stronger phosphatase activity than LuxQ and supports the existence of a positive feedback loop upregulating *cqsS* levels by the autoinducers (16). Activation of *cqsS* was most prominent (~2.5-fold) when all three autoinducers were present indicating a combined effect on *cqsS* expression (Supplementary Figure S6A).

Similarly, we also discovered autoinducer-mediated activation of the mRNAs encoding the VqmA and CqsR receptors (21), while the mRNAs of luxPQ and vpsS remained constant under all tested conditions (Supplementary Figure S6A). Activation of the VgmA production might also explain induction of VqmR expression in CAI-1 treated cells (Figure 5A). However, how CAI-1 influences vqmA expression is currently unclear. Transcripts encoding proteins involved in transduction of QS signals (luxOU and vspV) displayed only minor changes in response to the autoinducers (Supplementary Figure S6B), whereas mRNAs of downstream transcriptional regulators, i.e. *aphA*, *hapR* and *vpsT*, showed the expected expression patterns in response to the autoinducers (Supplementary Figure S6C). Modest upregulation (~1.5-fold) of luxOU in cells treated with a combination of autoinducers supports previous reports suggesting that Qrr-mediated repression of *luxO* does not involve significant transcript turn-over (50, 58). Strong activation of hapR by AI-2 and CAI-1 is expected due to Qrr-mediated repression of hapR (18), however, hapR levels were also activated by DPO (~2-fold, Supplementary Figure S6C), which might be explained by negative regulation of the hapR promoter by AphA (19). Therefore, although only the Qrr sRNAs base-pair with *hapR* to inhibit translation, VqmR can promote similar regulation by repressing *aphA*. Indeed, adding CAI-1 and DPO to V. cholerae significantly increased hapR abundance when compared to cells treated with CAI-1 only (Supplementary Figure S6C). Together, these data support the hypothesis that the three autoinducer act in concert to modulate QS functions. Of note, naturally occurring frameshifts in hap R have been reported for several toxigenic V. cholerae isolates (59). Mutants lacking HapR are likely to lose most of their QS functions, however, regulation of *aphA* and *vpsT* by AI-2/CAI-1 and DPO possibly retains basic QS-mediated gene regulation in these strains.

Besides looking at known QS-mediated responses in V. cholerae, our transcriptomic approach also allowed us to investigate the QS response of additional sets of genes relevant for pathogenicity and collective behavior. Mapping of the transcriptome data to the V. cholerae genome revealed activation of genes located in two chemotaxis clusters (Figure 6 and (44,60)). We also discovered autoinducermediated regulation of several methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs), also known as chemoreceptors. The chromosomes of V. cholerae El Tor contain 45 potential MCPs (61), 22 of which were differently regulated by the autoinducers (Supplementary Figure S7A). Whereas 18 MCP genes were upregulated in response to the autoinducers, four genes were repressed. Among these, vca0068 was previously reported to be expressed during the infection process of V. cholerae (62,63) and we have shown that vca0068 is repressed by base-pairing with VqmR (24).

The ligand of VCA0068 is currently unknown, but regulation of this gene by both the autoinducers might indicate a role for this MCP in QS transition.

Another key factor for virulence, biofilm formation, and overall physiology of V. cholerae is c-di-GMP (64). Our transcriptomic data revealed differential expression of genes associated with the production, degradation and binding of c-di-GMP, with the majority (19/23) being induced when the autoinducers were supplemented (Supplementary Figure S7B). There was no clear separation between diguanylate cyclases (DGCs) and phosphodiesterases (PDEs) showing upregulation or downregulation by the autoinducers. However, we did find significant overlap with previous work studying OS-mediated regulation of DGCs and PDEs in V. cholerae. For example, expression of vc1086, vc1370, vca0080, vca0848 and vc0965 was induced by the autoinducers, which is in accordance with elevated expression of these genes in *luxO* deficient V. cholerae, which fail to produce the Qrr sRNAs (3). By the same token, levels of cdgA (vca0074), encoding a DGC involved in biofilm formation of V. cholerae (65,66), were inhibited in cells treated with multiple autoinducers (Supplementary Figure S7B) and were similarly reduced in the luxO mutant (3). How exactly QS signals and cellular c-di-GMP levels are coordinated to control biofilm formation and other collective functions in V. cholerae is currently unknown. However, activation of the aphA promoter by c-di-GMP-bound VpsR (67), together with QS-mediated post-transcriptional control of aphA mRNA (Figure 1), support the idea that the inter- and intracellular signalling pathways are intimately connected in V. cholerae (68).

AphA is a crucial factor for pathogenicity of V. cholerae, and so is biofilm formation (26). Our discovery that DPO inhibits both virulence expression (Figure 4C) and biofilm formation (24) via VqmR-mediated repression of aphA and *vpsT*, respectively, predicts that DPO could be used to restrict V. cholerae infections. This hypothesis is fuelled by a previous report showing that vqmA mutants outcompete V. cholerae wild-type cells in colonization assays using germfree mice and the commensal gut bacterium Ruminococcus obeum (69). V. cholerae cells lacking vqmA fail to produce VqmR and display increased VpsT (24) and AphA (Figure 3A) levels, which could reinforce biofilm formation and virulence gene expression. There is significant interest in development of QS manipulation strategies to promote and to terminate beneficial and harmful bacterial behaviours, respectively (2,70). DPO could serve as a scaffold for such therapies since, conceivably, simple DPO-precursors such as L-threonine could be used to enhance DPO production and consequently inhibit biofilm formation and virulence genes expression of V. cholerae in the small intestine.

# DATA AVAILABILITY

The sequencing data has been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the GSE115711 accession code.

# SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Helmut Blum and Stefan Krebs for help with the RNA sequencing experiments, and Andreas Starick for excellent technical support. We thank Bonnie Bassler for the gift of synthetic AI-2 and CAI-1; Franz Narberhaus, Cynthia Sharma and Gisela Storz for supplying toeprinting reagents. Also, we would like to thank Mona Dotzler for help with plasmid construction. We thank Jörg Vogel, Gisela Storz and Bonnie Bassler for comments on the manuscript and all members of the Papenfort lab for insightful discussions and suggestions.

#### FUNDING

German Research Foundation (DFG) [PA2820/1 and Exc114-2]; Human Frontier Science Program [CDA00024/2016-C]; European Research Council [StG-758212]. K.F. and K.P. acknowledge support by the LMU Mentoring program of the LMU Faculty of Biology and Young Scholars' Programme of the Bavarian Academy of Sciences and Humanities, respectively. Funding for open access charge: DFG.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Hawver, L.A., Jung, S.A. and Ng, W.L. (2016) Specificity and complexity in bacterial quorum-sensing systems. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, **40**, 738–752.
- Papenfort,K. and Bassler,B.L. (2016) Quorum sensing signal-response systems in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 14, 576–588.
- Waters, C.M., Lu, W., Rabinowitz, J.D. and Bassler, B.L. (2008) Quorum sensing controls biofilm formation in Vibrio cholerae through modulation of cyclic di-GMP levels and repression of vpsT. *J. Bacteriol.*, **190**, 2527–2536.
- Shao, Y. and Bassler, B.L. (2014) Quorum regulatory small RNAs repress type VI secretion in Vibrio cholerae. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 92, 921–930.
- Zheng, J., Shin, O.S., Cameron, D.E. and Mekalanos, J.J. (2010) Quorum sensing and a global regulator TsrA control expression of type VI secretion and virulence in Vibrio cholerae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 107, 21128–21133.
- Lo Scrudato, M. and Blokesch, M. (2013) A transcriptional regulator linking quorum sensing and chitin induction to render Vibrio cholerae naturally transformable. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 41, 3644–3658.
- Antonova,E.S. and Hammer,B.K. (2011) Quorum-sensing autoinducer molecules produced by members of a multispecies biofilm promote horizontal gene transfer to Vibrio cholerae. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 322, 68–76.
- Hoque, M.M., Naser, I.B., Bari, S.M., Zhu, J., Mekalanos, J.J. and Faruque, S.M. (2016) Quorum regulated resistance of Vibrio cholerae against environmental bacteriophages. *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 37956.
- Zhu, J., Miller, M.B., Vance, R.E., Dziejman, M., Bassler, B.L. and Mekalanos, J.J. (2002) Quorum-sensing regulators control virulence gene expression in *Vibrio cholerae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 99, 3129–3134.
- Kelly,R.C., Bolitho,M.E., Higgins,D.A., Lu,W., Ng,W.L., Jeffrey,P.D., Rabinowitz,J.D., Semmelhack,M.F., Hughson,F.M. and Bassler,B.L. (2009) The *Vibrio cholerae* quorum-sensing autoinducer CAI-1: analysis of the biosynthetic enzyme CqsA. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 5, 891–895.
- Chen,X., Schauder,S., Potier,N., Van Dorsselaer,A., Pelczer,I., Bassler,B.L. and Hughson,F.M. (2002) Structural identification of a bacterial quorum-sensing signal containing boron. *Nature*, 415, 545–549.
- Higgins, D.A., Pomianek, M.E., Kraml, C.M., Taylor, R.K., Semmelhack, M.F. and Bassler, B.L. (2007) The major Vibrio cholerae

autoinducer and its role in virulence factor production. *Nature*, **450**, 883–886.

- Surette, M.G., Miller, M.B. and Bassler, B.L. (1999) Quorum sensing in Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium, and Vibrio harveyi: a new family of genes responsible for autoinducer production. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 96, 1639–1644.
- Ng,W.L., Perez,L.J., Wei,Y., Kraml,C., Semmelhack,M.F. and Bassler,B.L. (2011) Signal production and detection specificity in vibrio CqsA/CqsS quorum-sensing systems. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 79, 1407–1417.
- Neiditch,M.B., Federle,M.J., Miller,S.T., Bassler,B.L. and Hughson,F.M. (2005) Regulation of LuxPQ receptor activity by the quorum-sensing signal autoinducer-2. *Mol. Cell*, 18, 507–518.
- Hurley, A. and Bassler, B.L. (2017) Asymmetric regulation of quorum-sensing receptors drives autoinducer-specific gene expression programs in Vibrio cholerae. *PLoS Genet.*, 13, e1006826.
- 17. Bassler, B.L., Wright, M. and Silverman, M.R. (1994) Multiple signalling systems controlling expression of luminescence in Vibrio harveyi: sequence and function of genes encoding a second sensory pathway. *Mol. Microbiol*, **13**, 273–286.
- Lenz, D.H., Mok, K.C., Lilley, B.N., Kulkarni, R.V., Wingreen, N.S. and Bassler, B.L. (2004) The small RNA chaperone Hfq and multiple small RNAs control quorum sensing in *Vibrio harveyi* and *Vibrio cholerae*. *Cell*, **118**, 69–82.
- Rutherford, S.T., van Kessel, J.C., Shao, Y. and Bassler, B.L. (2011) AphA and LuxR/HapR reciprocally control quorum sensing in vibrios. *Genes Dev.*, 25, 397–408.
- Ng,W.L. and Bassler,B.L. (2009) Bacterial quorum-sensing network architectures. Annu. Rev. Genet., 43, 197–222.
- Jung,S.A., Chapman,C.A. and Ng,W.L. (2015) Quadruple quorum-sensing inputs control Vibrio cholerae virulence and maintain system robustness. *PLoS Pathog.*, 11, e1004837.
- Papenfort,K., Silpe,J.E., Schramma,K.R., Cong,J.P., Seyedsayamdost,M.R. and Bassler,B.L. (2017) A Vibrio cholerae autoinducer-receptor pair that controls biofilm formation. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 13, 551–557.
- Updegrove, T.B., Zhang, A. and Storz, G. (2016) Hfq: the flexible RNA matchmaker. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 30, 133–138.
- 24. Papenfort,K., Forstner,K.U., Cong,J.P., Sharma,C.M. and Bassler,B.L. (2015) Differential RNA-seq of Vibrio cholerae identifies the VqmR small RNA as a regulator of biofilm formation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **112**, E766–E775.
- Casper-Lindley, C. and Yildiz, F.H. (2004) VpsT is a transcriptional regulator required for expression of vps biosynthesis genes and the development of rugose colonial morphology in Vibrio cholerae O1 El Tor. J. Bacteriol., 186, 1574–1578.
- 26. Silva, A.J. and Benitez, J.A. (2016) Vibrio cholerae Biofilms and Cholera Pathogenesis. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, **10**, e0004330.
- Teschler, J.K., Zamorano-Sanchez, D., Utada, A.S., Warner, C.J., Wong, G.C., Linington, R.G. and Yildiz, F.H. (2015) Living in the matrix: assembly and control of Vibrio cholerae biofilms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 13, 255–268.
- Tamayo, R., Patimalla, B. and Camilli, A. (2010) Growth in a biofilm induces a hyperinfectious phenotype in Vibrio cholerae. *Infect. Immun.*, 78, 3560–3569.
- Millet, Y.A., Alvarez, D., Ringgaard, S., von Andrian, U.H., Davis, B.M. and Waldor, M.K. (2014) Insights into Vibrio cholerae intestinal colonization from monitoring fluorescently labeled bacteria. *PLoS Pathog.*, 10, e1004405.
- Kovacikova,G. and Skorupski,K. (2001) Overlapping binding sites for the virulence gene regulators AphA, AphB and cAMP-CRP at the Vibrio cholerae tcpPH promoter. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 41, 393–407.
- Almagro-Moreno, S., Pruss, K. and Taylor, R.K. (2015) Intestinal colonization dynamics of Vibrio cholerae. *PLoS Pathog*, 11, e1004787.
- Yang, M., Frey, E.M., Liu, Z., Bishar, R. and Zhu, J. (2010) The virulence transcriptional activator AphA enhances biofilm formation by Vibrio cholerae by activating expression of the biofilm regulator VpsT. *Infect. Immun.*, 78, 697–703.
- Ball,A.S., Chaparian,R.R. and van Kessel,J.C. (2017) Quorum sensing gene regulation by LuxR/HapR master regulators in Vibrios. *J. Bacteriol.*, 199, e00105-17.

- Zhao, W., Caro, F., Robins, W. and Mekalanos, J.J. (2018) Antagonism toward the intestinal microbiota and its effect on Vibrio cholerae virulence. *Science*, 359, 210–213.
- Logan,S.L., Thomas,J., Yan,J., Baker,R.P., Shields,D.S., Xavier,J.B., Hammer,B.K. and Parthasarathy,R. (2018) The Vibrio cholerae type VI secretion system can modulate host intestinal mechanics to displace gut bacterial symbionts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 115, E3779–E3787.
- Iwanaga, M., Yamamoto, K., Higa, N., Ichinose, Y., Nakasone, N. and Tanabe, M. (1986) Culture conditions for stimulating cholera toxin production by Vibrio cholerae O1 El Tor. *Microbiol. Immunol.*, 30, 1075–1083.
- Papenfort,K., Sun,Y., Miyakoshi,M., Vanderpool,C.K. and Vogel,J. (2013) Small RNA-mediated activation of sugar phosphatase mRNA regulates glucose homeostasis. *Cell*, 153, 426–437.
- Frohlich, K. S., Haneke, K., Papenfort, K. and Vogel, J. (2016) The target spectrum of SdsR small RNA in Salmonella. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 44, 10406–10422.
- Corcoran, C.P., Podkaminski, D., Papenfort, K., Urban, J.H., Hinton, J.C. and Vogel, J. (2012) Superfolder GFP reporters validate diverse new mRNA targets of the classic porin regulator, MicF RNA. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 84, 428–445.
- Rehmsmeier, M., Steffen, P., Hochsmann, M. and Giegerich, R. (2004) Fast and effective prediction of microRNA/target duplexes. *RNA*, 10, 1507–1517.
- Hartz, D., McPheeters, D.S., Traut, R. and Gold, L. (1988) Extension inhibition analysis of translation initiation complexes. *Methods Enzymol.*, 164, 419–425.
- Hase, C.C. and Mekalanos, J.J. (1998) TcpP protein is a positive regulator of virulence gene expression in Vibrio cholerae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 95, 730–734.
- DiRita, V.J., Parsot, C., Jander, G. and Mekalanos, J.J. (1991) Regulatory cascade controls virulence in Vibrio cholerae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 88, 5403–5407.
- 44. Ringgaard, S., Hubbard, T., Mandlik, A., Davis, B.M. and Waldor, M.K. (2015) RpoS and quorum sensing control expression and polar localization of Vibrio cholerae chemotaxis cluster III proteins in vitro and in vivo. *Mol. Microbiol.*, **97**, 660–675.
- De Lay, N., Schu, D.J. and Gottesman, S. (2013) Bacterial small RNA-based negative regulation: Hfq and its accomplices. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 288, 7996–8003.
- Papenfort, K. and Vanderpool, C.K. (2015) Target activation by regulatory RNAs in bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 39, 362–378.
- Argaman, L. and Altuvia, S. (2000) fhlA repression by OxyS RNA: kissing complex formation at two sites results in a stable antisense-target RNA complex. J. Mol. Biol., 300, 1101–1112.
- Otaka, H., Ishikawa, H., Morita, T. and Aiba, H. (2011) PolyU tail of rho-independent terminator of bacterial small RNAs is essential for Hfg action. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 108, 13059–13064.
- Mohanty,B.K. and Kushner,S.R. (2018) Enzymes involved in posttranscriptional RNA metabolism in Gram-Negative bacteria. *Microbiol. Spectr.*, 6, 19–35.
- Feng,L., Rutherford,S.T., Papenfort,K., Bagert,J.D., van Kessel,J.C., Tirrell,D.A., Wingreen,N.S. and Bassler,B.L. (2015) A qrr noncoding RNA deploys four different regulatory mechanisms to optimize quorum-sensing dynamics. *Cell*, **160**, 228–240.
- Shao, Y. and Bassler, B.L. (2012) Quorum-sensing non-coding small RNAs use unique pairing regions to differentially control mRNA targets. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 83, 599–611.
- 52. Shen-Orr,S.S., Milo,R., Mangan,S. and Alon,U. (2002) Network motifs in the transcriptional regulation network of Escherichia coli. *Nat. Genet.*, **31**, 64–68.
- Nitzan, M., Rehani, R. and Margalit, H. (2017) Integration of bacterial small RNAs in regulatory networks. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.*, 46, 131–148.
- Beisel, C.L. and Storz, G. (2011) The base-pairing RNA spot 42 participates in a multioutput feedforward loop to help enact catabolite repression in Escherichia coli. *Mol. Cell*, 41, 286–297.
- Papenfort, K., Espinosa, E., Casadesus, J. and Vogel, J. (2015) Small RNA-based feedforward loop with AND-gate logic regulates extrachromosomal DNA transfer in Salmonella. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **112**, E4772–E4781.
- 56. Krasteva, P.V., Fong, J.C., Shikuma, N.J., Beyhan, S., Navarro, M.V., Yildiz, F.H. and Sondermann, H. (2010) Vibrio cholerae VpsT

regulates matrix production and motility by directly sensing cyclic di-GMP. *Science*, **327**, 866–868.

- Svenningsen,S.L., Waters,C.M. and Bassler,B.L. (2008) A negative feedback loop involving small RNAs accelerates Vibrio cholerae's transition out of quorum-sensing mode. *Genes Dev.*, 22, 226–238.
- Tu,K.C., Long,T., Svenningsen,S.L., Wingreen,N.S. and Bassler,B.L. (2010) Negative feedback loops involving small regulatory RNAs precisely control the *Vibrio harveyi* quorum-sensing response. *Mol. Cell*, 37, 567–579.
- Joelsson, A., Liu, Z. and Zhu, J. (2006) Genetic and phenotypic diversity of quorum-sensing systems in clinical and environmental isolates of Vibrio cholerae. *Infect. Immun.*, 74, 1141–1147.
- Butler,S.M. and Camilli,A. (2005) Going against the grain: chemotaxis and infection in Vibrio cholerae. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 3, 611–620.
- Heidelberg, J.F., Eisen, J.A., Nelson, W.C., Clayton, R.A., Gwinn, M.L., Dodson, R.J., Haft, D.H., Hickey, E.K., Peterson, J.D., Umayam, L. *et al.* (2000) DNA sequence of both chromosomes of the cholera pathogen Vibrio cholerae. *Nature*, 406, 477–483.
- Lombardo, M.J., Michalski, J., Martinez-Wilson, H., Morin, C., Hilton, T., Osorio, C.G., Nataro, J.P., Tacket, C.O., Camilli, A. and Kaper, J.B. (2007) An in vivo expression technology screen for Vibrio cholerae genes expressed in human volunteers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A., 104, 18229–18234.
- Camilli, A. and Mekalanos, J.J. (1995) Use of recombinase gene fusions to identify Vibrio cholerae genes induced during infection. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 18, 671–683.

- Conner, J.G., Zamorano-Sanchez, D., Park, J.H., Sondermann, H. and Yildiz, F.H. (2017) The ins and outs of cyclic di-GMP signaling in Vibrio cholerae. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 36, 20–29.
- 65. Beyhan, S., Bilecen, K., Salama, S.R., Casper-Lindley, C. and Yildiz, F.H. (2007) Regulation of rugosity and biofilm formation in Vibrio cholerae: comparison of VpsT and VpsR regulons and epistasis analysis of vpsT, vpsR, and hapR. J. Bacteriol., 189, 388–402.
- Massie, J.P., Reynolds, E.L., Koestler, B.J., Cong, J.P., Agostoni, M. and Waters, C.M. (2012) Quantification of high-specificity cyclic diguanylate signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **109**, 12746–12751.
- Srivastava, D., Harris, R.C. and Waters, C.M. (2011) Integration of cyclic di-GMP and quorum sensing in the control of vpsT and aphA in Vibrio cholerae. J. Bacteriol., 193, 6331–6341.
- Srivastava, D. and Waters, C.M. (2012) A tangled web: regulatory connections between quorum sensing and cyclic Di-GMP. *J. Bacteriol.*, **194**, 4485–4493.
- Hsiao, A., Ahmed, A.M., Subramanian, S., Griffin, N.W., Drewry, L.L., Petri, W.A., Haque, R., Ahmed, T. and Gordon, J.I. (2014) Members of the human gut microbiota involved in recovery from Vibrio cholerae infection. *Nature*, **515**, 423–426.
- Whiteley, M., Diggle, S.P. and Greenberg, E.P. (2017) Progress in and promise of bacterial quorum sensing research. *Nature*, 551, 313–320.