Neuropharmacology 116 (2017) 421-428

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neuropharmacology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neuropharm

The binding orientation of epibatidine at α 7 nACh receptors

Andrew J. Thompson ^{b, *}, Simon Metzger ^a, Martin Lochner ^{a, c}, Marc-David Ruepp ^{a, **}

^a Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

^b Department of Pharmacology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

^c Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 September 2016 Received in revised form 9 January 2017 Accepted 11 January 2017 Available online 12 January 2017

Keywords: Cys-loop Ion channel Radioligand Epibatidine Agonist α7 nACh Nicotinic Receptor AChBP

ABSTRACT

Epibatidine is an alkaloid toxin that binds with high affinity to nicotinic and muscarinic acetylcholine receptors, and has been extensively used as a research tool. To examine binding interactions at the nicotinic receptor, it has been co-crystallised with the structural homologue acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP; PDB ID 2BYQ), and with an AChBP chimaera (3SQ6) that shares 64% sequence identity with the a7 nACh receptor. However, the binding orientations revealed by AChBP co-crystal structures may not precisely represent their receptor homologues and experimental evidence is needed to verify the ligand poses. Here we identify potential binding site interactions between epibatidine and AChBP residues, and substitute equivalent positions in the α 7 nACh receptor. The effects of these are probed by $[^{3}H]$ epibatidine binding following the expression α 7 nACh receptor cysteine mutants in HEK 293 cells. Of the sixteen mutants created, the affinity of epibatidine was unaffected by the substitutions Q55C, L106C, L116C, T146C, D160C and S162C, reduced by C186A and C187A, increased by Q114C and S144C, and abolished by W53C, Y91C, N104C, W145C, Y184C and Y191C. These results are consistent with the predicted orientations in AChBP and suggest that epibatidine is likely to occupy a similar location at α 7 nACh receptors. We speculate that steric constraints placed upon the C-5 position of the pyridine ring in 3SQ6 may account for the relatively poor affinities of epibatidine derivatives that are substituted at this position.

Crown Copyright © 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

 α 7 nACh receptors are considered to be valuable drug targets as they modulate many physiological responses and are associated with neurological disorders and pathological conditions such as Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and schizophrenia (Hernandez and Dineley, 2012; Mazurov et al., 2011; Thomsen et al., 2010). Consequently there have been continued efforts to develop new ligands that target these receptors, both as drug candidates and as pharmacological research tools. Knowledge of how the ligands orientate in the receptor binding site can provide insights into where modifications might be tolerated. Such changes can be used to improve their pharmacological properties and aid the coupling of functional groups such as fluorescent labels, thiol-reactive moieties and photo-affinity probes (Lochner and Thompson, 2015).

 α 7 nACh receptors belong to the Cys-loop family of transmembrane ligand-gated ion-channels that are responsible for fast synaptic neurotransmission in the central and peripheral nervous systems. All members of this family are composed of five subunits, each of which contains an extracellular, a transmembrane and an intracellular domain (Thompson et al., 2010; Unwin, 2005). Competitive ligands such as acetylcholine or epibatidine bind at an extracellular, orthosteric binding site that is located at the interface of two adjacent subunits (Fig. 1). This site is a hydrophobic cavity created by the convergence of loops A – C from the principal subunit and loops D – F from the complementary subunit (Fig. 1). However, owing to the difficulty of crystallising membrane proteins, a soluble structural homologue (acetylcholine binding protein; AChBP), has been commonly used to study the binding orientations of α 7 nACh receptor ligands. Examples include the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.01.008

0028-3908/Crown Copyright @ 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





CrossMark

Abbreviations: 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; nACh, nicotinic acetylcholine; GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; HEK, human embryonic kidney; AChBP, acetylcholine binding protein; 5HTBP, an AChBP mutant modified to resemble the 5-HT₃R binding site.

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Pharmacology, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1PD, UK.

^{**} Corresponding author. Departement für Chemie und Biochemie, Universität Bern, Freiestrasse 3, Bern CH-3012, Switzerland.

E-mail addresses: ajt44@cam.ac.uk (A.J. Thompson), marc.ruepp@dcb.unibe.ch (M.-D. Ruepp).



В						
			Loop D)		
α7m5HT3 3SQ6 2BYQ	30 SQPLTVYFTLSLMQ R-PVTVYFSLSLLQ PLTVTLGFTLQI	40 2IMDVDEKNQ 2IMDVDEKNQ 2IVKADSSTN	50 VLTTNIMLOMY VVDVVF LQMS EVDLVY EQQR	60 WTDHYLQWI WTDHYLQWI WKLNSLMWI	70 WSEYPGVKNV WSEYPGVKQV DPNEYGNITDF	80 RFPDGLI SVPISSL RTSAADI
	Loop A		Loop E	-		
α7m5HT3 3SQ6 2BYQ	90 WKPDILL <mark>W</mark> NSADEF WVPDLAANNAISK- WTPDITANSSTRP-	100 RFDATFHT <mark>N</mark> V -PEVLTPQLA -VQVLSPQLA	110 LVNSSGHCQYI VNSSGHVOY VVTHDGSVMF1	120 PPGIFKSSC PSIRQRFSC PAQRLSFMC	130 CYIDVRWFPFD CDVSGVDTESG CDPTGVDSEEG	140 VQKCNLK AT-CKLK AT-CAVK
	Loop B	Loop	F		Loop C	
α7m5HT3 3SQ6 2BYQ	150 FG <mark>SWT</mark> YGGWSLDLQ FGSWTHHSRELDLQ FGSWVYSGFEIDLH	160 DMQEA <mark>D</mark> ISG- DMQEADISG- XTDTDQVDLS	YISNGE YIPYSR SYYASSK	170 WDLVGIPGH FELVGVTQH YEILSATQI	180 RTESF <mark>YECC</mark> K RSERFYECCK RQVQHYS <mark>CC</mark> P	190 EP <mark>Y</mark> PDIT EPYPDVT EPYIDVN
С			5'			
	н	€, H	4'	_6'_Cl		

Fig. 1. Locations of residues mutated in this study. **A**. A cartoon showing the binding site of AChBP with binding loops A - F highlighted in colour. The binding site is found at the interface of two adjacent subunits, and for clarity only two of the five subunits of the native receptor are shown. The structure is 3SQ6, but the location of the membrane has been indicated to show where it would be located in the α 7 nACh receptor. **B**. An amino acid sequence alignment of the α 7 nACh receptor and sequences from the two crystal structures (2BYQ & 3SQ6) in which epibatidine has been co-crystallised. The binding loops are shown in the same colours as in panel A, and amino acids that are within 5 Å of epibatidine are highlighted as white text in grey boxes on the AChBP structures. The equivalent α 7 nACh residues substituted in this study are shown as white text in black boxes. α 7m5HT3 = chick α 7nACh chimaera; 3SQ6 = *Ls*-AChBP- α 7 nACh chimaera; 2BYQ = *Ac*-AChBP. The residue numbering used in this manuscript corresponds to residues in the crystal structure 3SQ6. 2BYQ and 3SQ6 share 31.8% identity and 49.8% similarity in their sequences (EMBOSS Needle; Rice et al., 2000). **C**. The structure and atom numbering of protonated epibatidine is shown below the alignment.

3

2

Ή

Α

agonists acetylcholine (3WIP), anabasine (2WNL), carbamylcholine (1UV6), cytisine (4BQT), epibatidine (2BYQ, 3SQ6), nicotine (1UW6), tropisetron (2WNC) and varenicline (4AFT, 4AFG), and antagonists such as lobeline (2BYS, 4AFH, 5AFH) and methyllycaconitine (2BYR). Owing to the relatively high sequence similarity of AChBP and the α 7 nACh receptor (<64%) it is generally thought that the orientations of ligands in the crystals will be similar to those in the α 7 nACh receptor. Consequently these structures have been used to direct efforts in the chemical synthesis of novel ligands and for computational methods such as molecular dynamic simulations (Akdemir et al., 2012; Camacho-Bustamante et al., 2016; Yamauchi et al., 2012). While several studies report the successful creation of novel a7 nACh receptor ligands, AChBP co-crystal structures should still be viewed with caution as some of the ligands they contain also bind to other Cys-loop family members where they have different affinities and functional effects. For example, varenicline inhibits nACh receptors, but is a partial agonist of 5-HT₃ receptors (Lummis et al., 2011). Similarly, tropisetron is a partial agonist of α 7 nACh receptors and a high-affinity antagonist of 5-HT₃ and glycine receptors (Macor et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2007). Such dramatic pharmacological differences suggest that some ligands may have distinct orientations at the different Cys-loop receptors and that the AChBP structures are not always representative of all family members.

Here we probe residues that lie within 5 Å of bound epibatidine in the AChBP crystal structures 2BYQ and 3SQ6, and residues identified by *in silico* docking into AChBP homology models. By substituting the equivalent residues at α 7 nACh receptors we determine whether their effects on [³H]epibatidine binding are consistent with the ligand orientations predicted from the structures.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Computational analysis

To gain insights into potential binding-site interactions, residues located within 5 Å of epibatidine were identified from two AChBP crystals structures (2BYQ & 3SQ6). Additionally, in silico ligand docking was performed on epibatidine docked into AChBP crystal structures bound with the α7 nACh receptor agonists acetylcholine (3WIP), anabasine (2WNL), carbamylcholine (1UV6), cytisine (4BQT), nicotine (1UW6), tropisetron (2WNC) and varenicline (4AFT). Agonist-bound structures were chosen as these are likely to have more appropriate binding site conformations than antagonistbound structures; the conformational changes induced by agonist binding can have dramatic effects on ligand binding (Colquhoun, 1998). The calculated pK_a value of the bicyclic amine of epibatidine (Fig. 1) was 10.5 using MarvinSketch v15.3 and therefore the protonated and three-dimensional structure of epibatidine was constructed ab initio using Chem3D Pro v14.0 (CambridgeSoft, Cambridge, UK). The generated ligand was subsequently energyminimised using the implemented MM2 force field. The binding site in AChBP was defined as being within 10 Å of the centroid of the aromatic side-chain of W145, a residue that is centrally located in the binding site and known to be important for the binding of competitive ligands (Thompson et al., 2010). Epibatidine was docked using GOLD Suite v5.3 (The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Cambridge, UK) with the GoldScore function and default settings. For each AChBP template, ten docking poses were generated. All residues within 5 Å of epibatidine were identified in each of docked poses and these residues and their potential interactions visualised using PyMol v1.3 (Schröndinger, NY, USA).

2.2. Receptors

Mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange method (Agilent Technologies Inc., CA, USA) to substitute amino acids throughout each of the binding loops A – F (Fig. 1). The α 7 nACh-5-HT3 subunit cDNA was as described by Bertrand (Eiselé et al., 1993) and was cloned into pcDNA3.1 for expression in HEK293 cells. Human 5-HT3A cDNA (accession number: P46098) and mouse 5-HT3A cDNA (Q6J1J7) were cloned into the same vector. Cells were transiently transfected with this cDNA using either polyethyleneimine (PEI: 25 kDa, linear, powder, Polysciences Inc., Eppelheim, Germany) or Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 30 µl of PEI (1 mg ml⁻¹), 5 µg cDNA and 1 ml DMEM/F12 were incubated for 10 min at room temperature, added drop wise to a 90 mm plate of 70–80% confluent HEK293 cells, and incubated for 2–3 days before use.

2.3. Cell culture and transfection

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were grown on 90 mm round tissue culture plates as monolayers in DMEM/F12 (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma Aldrich) at 37 °C in a moist atmosphere containing 5% CO_2 .

2.4. Radioligand binding

Transfected HEK 293 cells were scraped into 0.6 ml of ice-cold 10 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) and stored frozen. After thawing, they were washed with HEPES buffer, homogenised by passage through a 21 G needle, and 50 μ g of cell suspension incubated in 0.5 ml HEPES buffer containing [³H]epibatidine (55.8 Ci/mmol, Waltham, MA). Non-specific binding was determined using 300 μ M (–)-nicotine. Equilibrium reactions were incubated on ice for at least 1 h. Incubations were terminated by vacuum filtration onto Whatman GF/B filters wetted with 0.3% polyethyleneimine, followed by two rapid washes with 2.5 ml ice cold buffer. Radioactivity was determined by scintillation in Ultima Gold XR (Perkin Elmer) using a Tri-Carb 2100 TR (PerkinElmer) scintillation counter. Final counts were monitored to ensure that binding never exceeded 10% of the added concentrations of radioligands.

2.5. Data analysis

All data were analysed using GraphPad Prism v5. Individual saturation binding experiments were fitted to Eq. (1):

$$y = B_{\max} \times [L] \frac{K_d}{K_d + [L]} \tag{1}$$

where B_{max} is maximum binding at equilibrium, K_d is the equilibrium dissociation constant and [L] is the free concentration of radioligand. Values from individual experiments were averaged to yield the mean \pm sem. Affinities were compared using 1-way ANOVA and a Dunnett's Post-Test.

2.6. Detection

48 h post transfection, HEK293 cells were harvested in 10 ml phosphate buffered saline (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2.7 mM KCl, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4), centrifuged 5 min at 220 g and the pellets stored at -80 °C. Total extracts were prepared by resuspension of 1 × 10⁷ cells in 1 ml lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM MnSO₄, 4× Halt Protease Inhibitor

(Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ RNase A (Sigma-Aldrich), 500 U ml⁻¹ Cyanase (RiboSolutions, TX, USA), 0.1% Triton X-100) followed by 30 min on ice. Extracts were supplemented with NuPage LDS buffer (Thermo Fischer Scientific) and heated at 70 °C for 10 min. 10^5 cell equivalents were loaded on a 4–12% Bis-Tris NuPage gel and blotted on nitrocellulose using the iBlot system (ThermoFisher Scientific). a7 nACh-5-HT₃ chimaeras were detected with 1:250 rabbit polyclonal antibody (ASR-031: Alomone Labs. Jerusalem, Isreal) and 1:10000 goat anti-rabbit IRDye800CW (926-32211; LI-COR Biosciences, NE, USA). CPSF-100 served as a loading control and was detected using 1:10000 rabbit polyclonal antibody (kindly provided by Georges Martin & Walter Keller, University of Basel) and 1:10000 goat anti-Rabbit IRDye680LT (925-68021, LI-COR Biosciences). Signals were improved using SuperSignal Western Blot Enhancer (Thermo Fischer Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

3. Results & discussion

 $[^{3}$ Hlepibatidine displayed high-affinity saturable binding at α 7 nACh receptors with a K_d (8 nM) similar to that reported elsewhere (Li et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2012). To better understand the residues that govern this binding interaction, elsewhere crystal structures of homologous AChBP have been used as substitutes for this receptor (Hansen et al., 2005; Li et al., 2011). Here we examined whether these co-crystal structures are good representations of epibatidine binding at α 7 nACh receptors and used them to speculate why chemical modification of this ligand may have previously generated much lower affinity fluorescent derivatives (Grandl et al., 2007). To this end we identified potential ligand-receptor interactions by examining the orientations of epibatidine in AChBP crystal structures and following in silico docking into other agonist-bound AChBP structures. Using radioligand binding we determined whether these interactions are consistent with the effects of substituting equivalent residues in the α 7 nACh receptor.

Residues within 5 Å of epibatidine were identified in the cocrystal structures 2BYQ and 3SQ6, and following *in silico* docking into seven other agonist-bound AChBP templates; acetylcholine (3WIP), anabasine (2WNL), carbamylcholine (1UV6), cytisine (4BQT), nicotine (1UW6), tropisetron (2WNC) and varenicline (4AFT). For each template 10 docked poses were generated, yielding a total of 72 independent ligand orientations when both these and the crystal structures are considered (Table 1). However, when all poses were compared there was very little difference between orientations of epibatidine (RMSD = 0.78 Å average), suggesting that its orientation is tightly confined by the agonist-bound conformation. For each of the main residues identified in these

Table	1
Iupic	

Summarv	of all	residues	lving	within	5 Å	of e	pibatidine
o anning	01 u.i.	reoraceo			••••		produciente



Fig. 2. Example binding curves for $[^{3}H]$ epibatidine at wild type and mutant $\alpha7$ nACh receptors.

poses, Cys substitution at the equivalent residue positions in the α 7 nACh receptor was undertaken and the binding affinity of [³H] epibatidine at these mutants was measured (Fig. 2, Table 2). Changing 6 of the 16 residues resulted in no significant change in

	Prin	cipal Fac	e							Com	plement	ary Face								
PDB id	A		В			С					D		Е							F
3SQ6	Y91		S144	W145	T146	Y184	C186	C187	Y191		W53		L104	A105	L106	Q114	Y115	L116		
2BYQ 1UV6 1UW6 3WIP 4AFT 2WNC 2WNL 4BOT	ΥΥΥΥΥΥ	T	5 5 5 5 5 5 5	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	νννττττ	ΥΥΥΥΥΥ	C C C C C C C	C C C C C C C	ΥΥΥΥΥΥ	С	үүүү мммү	R		ААААААА	V V V V R R R V	M M M M L L M	F F F Y Y Y F	I I I M M M I	2	S

Locations of the most predominant residues can be found in Figs. 1 and 4. Only the numbering for 3SQ6 has been included to avoid confusion. 3SQ6 and 2BYQ are co-crystal structures of epibatidine bound to AChBP. Epibatidine has been docked into the remaining structures shown. A - F = binding loops (see Fig. 1).

Table 2

Saturation binding of $[{}^{3}H]$ epibatidine at mutant α 7 nACh receptors.

Loop	Mutant	pK_{d} Mean ± SEM	n	<i>K_d</i> (nM)	рК _{d(WT)} - рК _{d(mut)} -1 0 1
	Wild type	8.09 ± 0.06	6	8.0	
_	W53C	NB	4	-	
	Q55C	8.16 ± 0.09	3	6.9	H
A	Y91C	NB	6	-	
1	N104C	NB	6	-	
	L106C	8.08 ± 0.12	5	8.3	H
E	Q114C	8.82 ± 0.09*	6	1.5	H
	L116C	8.29 ± 0.05	4	5.1	H
	S144C	8.64 ± 0.12*	4	2.3	H
В	W145	NB	3	-	
	T146C	8.01 ± 0.07	4	9.8	н
.	D160C	8.06 ± 0.10	5	8.7	H
ſ	S162C	8.14 ± 0.09	5	7.2	н
1	Y184C	NB	5	-	
	C186A	$7.48 \pm 0.10^{*}$	3	33	-1
Ĩ	C187A	7.22 ± 0.07*	4	60	-
I	Y191C	NB	3	-	

^{* =} significantly different to wild type receptors (1-way ANOVA and a Dunnett's Post-Test). $pK_{d(WUT)}$ - $pK_{d(mut)}$ is the difference between wild type and mutant receptors \pm the standard error of the difference.

affinity when compared to wild type α 7 nACh receptors (Q55, L106, L116, T146, D160, S162), suggesting these residues do not play a significant role in ligand binding or the conformation of the binding site. For the remaining 10 mutants there were differences in the binding affinities. Of these, 2 had increased affinities (Q114C, S144C), 2 had decreased affinities (C186A, C187A) and 6 showed no saturable binding ($K_d > 20$ nM; W53C, Y91C, N104C, W145C, Y184C, Y191C). For mutants that did not show saturable binding, Western blots were performed to confirm receptor expression (Fig. 3). The observed signals showed that all mutants were expressed and the absence of measurable binding could be attributed to changes in binding site interactions, or to altered gating which can also impact the affinity (Colquhoun, 1998).

In the principal binding interface of the α 7 nACh receptor, Cys substitution of Y91 in loop A abolished binding, indicating a key interaction. In the AChBP co-crystal structure 3SQ6, Y91 forms a cation- π interaction with K141 and a hydrogen bond with epibatidine (Fig. 5A), both of which have also been observed in functional assays on α 7 nACh receptors. For example, removing the hydrogen bonding capability of Y91 by substitution with Phe caused a dramatic reduction in the affinity of α 7 nACh for epibatidine, while unnatural amino acid mutagenesis identified a cation- π interaction at the same location (Li et al., 2011; Puskar et al., 2011). In loop B, Cys substitution of the aromatic residue W145 also abolished epibatidine binding, indicating another important effect. This centrally located aromatic residue is highly



Fig. 3. Expression of mutant α 7nACh receptors. Several mutant receptors did not bind [³H]epibatidine. To confirm that this was a change in the binding affinity rather than altered expression, these mutants were probed by Western blot using a receptor-specific antibody directed against the 5-HT₃ region of the chimaeric receptor. Expression levels of the mutants and wild type receptors were comparable (black arrow), and there was no detection in untransfected cells. In each lane 10⁵ cell equivalents were loaded. The membrane was cut at 70 kDa and the upper section was incubated with an antibody of cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF) to provide an internal control. For both Western blots and radioligand binding the same whole-cell homogenates were used, ensuring that the same population of receptors was probed.



Fig. 4. Binding site residues mutated in this study, highlighted on the AChBP receptor crystal structure 3SQ6 and colour coded by whether substitution caused no change in the affinity for epibatidine (green), decreased affinity (red), or increased affinity (blue). The affinities of each mutant and the fold-change relative to the wild-type receptor can be found in Table 2. EPI = epibatidine. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

conserved across the Cys-loop family and even conservative substitutions at this location can impact ligand binding (Li et al., 2011; Puskar et al., 2011; Thompson et al., 2010; Van Arnam et al., 2013; Williams et al., 2009). In both of the AChBP crystal structures this residue is seen to form a cation- π interaction with epibatidine, but unnatural amino acid mutagenesis of α 7 nACh receptors suggests that such an interaction is not present (Puskar et al., 2011). For other ligands an interaction at this location is not always essential for agonist function at α 7 nACh receptors, as shown by mutations to Ala and Gly that cause only small changes in the function of ACh and no change for the compound 40H-GTS-2 (Williams et al., 2009). In contrast, our results suggest that W145 has a more important role for epibatidine, and are consistent with findings that even a conservative W145F mutation at α7 nACh receptors reduces the affinity of epibatidine by > 2200-fold (Li et al., 2011). At other residue positions in Loop B the effects of mutation were not as pronounced. For example, S144 and T146 lay either side of W145, and although mutation of these residues can have quite dramatic effects for ligands acting at other Cys-loop receptors, it had little or no effect on epibatidine (Thompson et al., 2010). Based on crystal structure evidence, both of these residues contribute to a network of hydrogen bonds, and it is therefore possible that the ability of the thiol side chain of Cys (which can also act as a weak hydrogen bond donor) might preserve this property. Elsewhere mutation of other loop B residues K141 and G149 had only minor effects on the EC₅₀ or the binding affinity of epibatidine at α 7 nACh receptors and as both are located distant (>6.5 Å) from the bound ligand in AChBP our results are also consistent with these previous findings (Criado et al., 2005; Grutter et al., 2003). In loop C, no epibatidine binding was detected following the substitution Y191C. Consistent with this result, mutation of Y191 to Phe decreases its affinity and unnatural amino acid mutagenesis has provided evidence that Y191 forms a cation- π interaction with epibatidine at α 7 nACh receptors (Li et al., 2011; Puskar et al., 2011). In AChBP the closely located residues Y184, C186 and C187 make extensive van der Waals contacts with epibatidine via its azabicyclo moiety (Hansen et al., 2005; Li et al., 2011). In our study of α 7 nACh receptors, epibatidine binding was not detectable following Y184C substitution, a finding that is comparable to the >250-fold change that was previously reported following the modest substitution Y184F (Li et al., 2011). We also found that Ala mutation of either of the closely located vicinal disulphides (C186, C187) decreased the affinity for epibatidine by > 30-fold. This is consistent with the increased *EC*₅₀ of ACh that is seen when the equivalent residue is mutated in other nACh receptor subtypes such as α 1 subunit-containing nACh receptors (Blum et al., 2011; Karlin and Bartels, 1966). In α 1 nicotinic subunits the disulphide bond formed by these adjacent Cys is proposed to distort loop C and enable key residues to interact with loop F on the opposite side of the binding interface, and at α 7 nACh receptors they may have a similar role (Blum et al., 2011).

On the complementary face of the binding site, W53 in loop D also constitutes part of the aromatic box. We show that binding is undetectable following Cys mutation of this residue at a7 nACh receptors. In the AChBP crystal structure 3SQ6, W53 stabilises W145 via edge-to-face interactions rather than making a direct contact with epibatidine. Such an interaction is consistent with the relatively small affinity change (4-fold) for epibatidine when a conservative aromatic W53F substitution is made and the larger reduction (17-fold; from 0.34 μ M to 5.8 μ M) in the EC₅₀ of epibatidine when the same residue is substituted by Ala (Li et al., 2011; Van Arnam et al., 2013). In Aplysia AChBP (2BYQ) the same position is a Tyr, and mutating this to Trp increase the affinity of epibatidine to a value more closely resembling α 7 nACh receptors, indicating that stabilisation of W145 may be more optimal with a larger aromatic residue at position 53 (Hansen et al., 2005). Q55C is closely located to W53, but the affinity of epibatidine at the mutant receptor was unaltered when compared to wild type a7 nACh receptors. A similar absence of major effects following Cys substitution of this residue have been reported in functional studies of other agonists at α 7 nACh receptors (Papke et al., 2011). Based on these findings and the orientation of epibatidine in both of the cocrystal structures it is unlikely that this residue makes substantial contact with the ligand or affects gating. Also present in the complementary binding interface, the AChBP crystal structures indicate that the equivalent of loop E residues N104, L106, Q114 and L116 stabilise epibatidine via Van der Waals interactions with its chloropyridine ring (Hansen et al., 2005; Li et al., 2011). We found that the binding affinity was unaltered by Cys substitution of L106 and L116, possibly owing to the similar size and hydrophobicity of leucine and Cys (Nagano et al., 1999). For L106 our results are the same as those for L106A which does not affect the EC₅₀ of epibatidine, ACh or varenicline (Van Arnam et al., 2013). In contrast, our results for L116 appear to conflict with reports that showed Ala mutation causes a 9-fold change in the EC_{50} of epibatidine, and that the equivalent residue makes an important hydrogen-bond with agonists in $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nACh receptors (Blum et al., 2013; Van Arnam et al., 2013). However, when the backbone of L116 was probed by unnatural amino acid mutagenesis to determine if hydrogenbonding was important at α 7 nACh receptors, there were only minor effects on the binding of epibatidine, ACh and varenicline, suggesting that this residue does not have the same role as that found in $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nACh receptors (Van Arnam et al., 2013). Furthermore, a lack of effects on activation by other agonists has been previously seen following Cys substitution at L116, and changes may be dependent upon the ligand and the residue substitution (Amiri et al., 2008; Papke et al., 2011). For Q114 a halogen-bonding interaction between the backbone carbonyl and the pyridine chlorine of epibatidine is seen in AChBP (Li et al., 2011). Consistent with this, removal of the side chain of Q114 by substitution to Ala has little effect on the EC_{50} of epibatidine and other α 7 nACh



Fig. 5. A comparison of the orientations of epibatidine (yellow, displayed as a ball-and-stick model) in the different binding sites of 2BYQ and 3SQ6, showing the accessibility of different regions of the ligand. **A**, **B**. The surface of the protein has been hidden in panel A to show the location of epibatidine in the binding site, relative to binding loops B and C. The orientation shown in panel B is the same as that in panel A, but with the protein surface visible. This is the only orientation found in all ten binding sites from 3SQ6 and the C-4' position is most accessible. **C.** Accessibility of the C-5' position is seen in ZBYQ where it is found in four of the five binding sites. **D**. In 2BYQ, one of the binding poses (chains B & A) also exposes the N-1' position. The atom numbering used in this figure can be seen in Fig. 1. Potential hydrogen bonds are indicated by yellow dashes. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

receptor agonists (Van Arnam et al., 2013). We did not probe main chain interactions, but our findings suggest that Cys mutation may have additional effects. For example, as Q114C increased the affinity for epibatidine by 5-fold, we speculate that this could reflect the formation of an attractive non-covalent interaction between the sulfhydryl group and the chlorine atom. Elsewhere in AChBP mutation of residues in loop C is similarly reported to increase the affinity of ACh and it was also speculated that this might reflect changes in electrostatic interactions (Li et al., 2011). In loop F. neither D160C nor S162C mutations had any effect on the affinity of epibatidine, nor indeed are there any previous reports of these residues mediating ligand interactions or functional effects. In other studies, mutation of the closely located residue G167 also had no effect on the function of epibatidine when mutated to a range of other amino acids, and I161C and G167C had no effect on several other a7 nACh receptor agonists, further indicating that this region may not have a major role in binding (Matsuda et al., 2000; Papke et al., 2011).

High-affinity epibatidine binding has also been reported at 5- HT_3 receptors, similar to the binding of ACh, nicotine, tropisetron and *p*-tubocurarine at the two receptor types (ChavezNoriega et al., 1997; Drisdel et al., 2008; Thompson et al., 2014). In light of this we also measured binding at the 5- HT_3 receptor to establish whether epibatidine could have utility for studying this other member of the Cys-loop receptor family. However, saturable binding with [³H]

epibatidine was not detected at either human or mouse 5-HT₃ receptors at a concentration of up to 25 nM. This result was confirmed when competition of unlabelled epibatidine with the 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist [³H]tropisetron yielded a pK_i of 5.60 \pm 0.02 $(K_i = 2.51 \ \mu\text{M}, n = 3)$ for human and a pK_i of 4.91 \pm 0.12 ($K_i = 12.3 \mu M$, n = 4) for mouse, but contrasts with a report from Drisdel et al. (2008) who found a nanomolar affinity for epibatidine at 5-HT₃ receptors. It is unclear why there is a 100-fold difference between our results, but the larger value we measured precludes the possibility of using this ligand as precursor for developing novel molecular probes for studying 5-HT₃ receptors. In contrast, epibatidine may provide an opportunity for developing α7 nACh receptor probes, but previous efforts to couple fluorophores at the C-5' position (see Fig. 1 for atom numbering) caused a dramatic reduction in affinity (at worst, from nM to mM) and made the resultant fluorescent derivatives unsuitable for fluorescence-based experiments (Grandl et al., 2007). However, further analysis of 3SQ6 suggests that the C-4' position is more accessible than the C-5' position. Indeed, all ten of the binding orientations in 3SQ6 (Fig. 5B) expose the C-4' position, while orientations in 2BYQ show that either the C-5' is more accessible or that the pyridine ring is flipped by 180° which makes the C-2′ most accessible (Fig. 5C and D). The finding that C-5' substituted epibatidine derivatives still bind within the tightly confined space of the ligand binding site shows that conjugation at this location does not prevent binding,

but the modification is not optimal. Our finding that the orientations of epibatidine in the AChBP co-crystal structures are broadly correct, and that 3SQ6 shows improved accessibility at the C-4' position, suggests that there may still be opportunities to develop epibatidine-based probes with higher affinities for the α 7 nACh receptor. In our previous work the development of similar probes for targeting 5-HT₃ receptors has enabled quantitative fluorescent measurements, receptor localisation and photo cross-linking to be performed, and shows the value of pursing their synthesis (Lochner and Thompson, 2015; Jack et al., 2014).

To visualise ligand-receptor interactions homologous proteins are often used as substitutes for the native receptor, and AChBP is a popular substitute for all Cys-loop receptors despite often quite distinct pharmacologies for the same ligands at different receptors. Here we specifically examined another of the structures, to determine whether changes in the affinity of epibatidine caused by point mutations in the α 7 nACh receptor are consistent with residues identified in epibatidine-bound AChBP crystals. The results presented here suggest that the orientations of epibatidine in the AChBP crystal structures are a reasonable approximation of its orientation at α 7 nACh receptors. It is also striking how similarly epibatidine binds in the two crystal structures despite the only 31.8% sequence identity between 2BYQ and 3SQ6. This similarity in ligand orientation extends to the in silico docking presented here and perhaps reflects the high conservation of major aromatic residues that constitute the binding site (in 3SQ6 and the α 7 nACh receptor these residues are identical) and the tight pocket imposed by the agonist-bound conformation. Furthermore, while the accessibility of locations on the pyridine ring of epibatidine still needs to be clarified in more detail, we can now be more confident of the crystal structures and use them as models for our ongoing efforts to attach fluorescent moieties to this ligand in order to develop specific high-affinity molecular probes for studying the α 7 nACh receptor subtype. The use of cysteine mutants could also provide a stimulus for probing ligand interactions by chemical modification (e.g. by methanethiosulfonate (MTS) reagents) of the thiol side chains.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the British Heart Foundation [PG/13/ 39/3029 to AJT], HOLCIM Stiftung zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Fortbildung [to M-DR], the NOMIS Foundation [to M-DR], and the Swiss National Science Foundation [SNSF professorship PP00P2_146321/1 to ML]. As this will be the last publication from his lab, AJT would like to give special credit to his collaborators who have always given so much without expecting anything in return, namely, Martin Lochner, Marc-David Ruepp and Jim Brozik.

References

- Akdemir, A., Edink, E., Thompson, A.J., Lummis, S.C., Kooistra, A.J., de Graaf, C., et al., 2012. Identification of novel alpha7 nicotinic receptor ligands by *in silico* screening against the crystal structure of a chimeric α7 receptor ligand binding domain. Bioorg, Med. Chem. 20, 5992–6002.
- Amiri, S., Shimomura, M., Vijayan, R., Nishiwaki, H., Akamatsu, M., Matsuda, K., et al., 2008. A role for Leu118 of loop E in agonist binding to the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. Mol. Pharmacol. 73, 1659–1667.
- Blum, A.P., Gleitsman, K.R., Lester, H.A., Dougherty, D.A., 2011. Evidence for an extended hydrogen bond network in the binding site of the nicotinic receptor. Role of the vicinal disulphide of the α 1 subunit. JBC 286, 32251–32258.
- Blum, A.P., Van Arnam, E.B., German, L.A., Lester, H.A., Dougherty, D.A., 2013. Binding interactions with the complementary subunit of nicotinic receptors. IBC 288, 6991–6997.
- Camacho-Bustamante, G.A., Kaczanowska, K., Harel, M., Cornejo-Bravo, J., Taylor, P., 2016. FASEB J. 30, 1187–1189.
- ChavezNoriega, L.E., Crona, J.H., Washburn, M.S., Urrutia, A., Elliott, K.J., Johnson, E.C., 1997. Pharmacological characterization of recombinant human neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors h α2β2, h α2β4, h α3β2, h α3β4, h

 $\alpha 4\beta 2$, h $\alpha 4\beta 4$ and h $\alpha 7$ expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. JPET 280, 346–356.

- Colquhoun, D., 1998. Binding, gating, affinity and efficacy: the interpretation of structure-activity relationships for agonists and of the effects of mutating receptors. BJP 125, 924–947.
- Criado, M., Mulet, J., Bernal, J.A., Gerber, S., Sala, S., Sala, F., 2005. Mutations of a conserved lysine residue in the N-terminal domain of α7 nicotinic receptors affect Gating and binding of nicotinic agonists. Mol. Pharmacol. 68, 1669–1677.
- Drisdel, R.C., Sharp, D., Henderson, T., Hales, T.G., Green, W.N., 2008. High affinity binding of epibatidine to serotonin type 3 receptors. JBC 283, 9659–9665.
- Eiselé, J.-L., Bertrand, S., Galzi, J.-L., Devillers-Thiéry, A., Changeux, J.-P., Bertrand, D., 1993. Chimaeric nicotinic-serotonergic receptor combines distinct ligand binding and channel specificities. Nature 366, 479–483.
- Grandl, J., Sakr, E., Kotzyba-Hibert, F., Krieger, F., Bertrand, S., Bertrand, D., et al., 2007. Fluorescent epibatidine agonists for neuronal and muscle-type nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 46, 3505–3508.
- Grutter, T., Prado de Carvalho, L., Le Novere, N., Corringer, P.J., Edelstein, S., Changeux, J.P., 2003. An H-bond between two residues from different loops of the acetylcholine binding site contributes to the activation mechanism of nicotinic receptors. EMBO J. 22, 1990–2003.
- Hansen, S.B., Sulzenbacher, G., Huxford, T., Marchot, P., Taylor, P., Bourne, Y., 2005. Structures of Aplysia AChBP complexes with nicotinic agonists and antagonists reveal distinctive binding interfaces and conformations. EMBO J. 24, 3635–3646.
- Hernandez, C.M., Dineley, K.T., 2012. α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in Alzheimer's disease: neuroprotective, neurotrophic or both? Curr. Drug Targets 13, 613–622.
- Jack, T., Ruepp, M.-D., Thompson, A.J., Mühlemann, O., Lochner, M., 2014. Synthesis and characterization of photoaffinity probes that target the 5-HT₃ receptor. Chimia 68, 239–242.
- Karlin, A., Bartels, E., 1966. Effects of blocking sulfhydryl groups and of reducing disulfide bonds on acetylcholine-activated permeability system of electroplax. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 126, 525.
- Li, S.X., Huang, S., Bren, N., Noridomi, K., Dellisanti, C.D., Sine, S.M., et al., 2011. Ligand-binding domain of an α7-nicotinic receptor chimera and its complex with agonist. Nat. Neurosci. 14, 1253–U1173.
- Lochner, M., Thompson, A.J., 2015. A review of fluorescent ligands for studying 5-HT₃ receptors. Neuropharmacol 98, 31–40.
- Lummis, S.C., Thompson, A.J., Bencherif, M., Lester, H.A., 2011. Varenicline is a potent agonist of the human 5-hydroxytryptamine₃ receptor. JPET 339, 125–131.
- Macor, J.E., Gurley, D., Lanthorn, T., Loch, J., Mack, R.A., Mullen, G., et al., 2001. The 5-HT₃ antagonist tropisetron (ICS 205-930) is a potent and selective α7 nicotinic receptor partial agonist. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 11, 319–321.
- Matsuda, K., Shimomura, M., Kondo, Y., Ihara, M., Hashigami, K., Yoshida, N., et al., 2000. Role of loop D of the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in its interaction with the insecticide imidacloprid and related neonicotinoids. BJP 130, 981–986.
- Mazurov, A.A., Speake, J.D., Yohannes, D., 2011. Discovery and development of α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor modulators. J. Med. Chem. 54, 7943–7961.
- Nagano, N., Ota, M., Nishikawa, K., 1999. Strong hydrophobic nature of Cys residues in proteins. Febs Lett. 458, 69–71.
- Papke, R.L., Stokes, C., Williams, D.K., Wang, J., Horenstein, N.A., 2011. Cys accessibility analysis of the human α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor ligand-binding domain identifies L119 as a gatekeeper. Neuropharmacol 60, 159–171.
- Puskar, N.L., Xiu, X., Lester, H.A., Dougherty, D.A., 2011. Two neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, α4β4 and α7, show differential agonist binding modes. JBC 286, 14618–14627.
- Rice, P., Longden, I., Bleasby, A., 2000. EMBOSS: the European molecular biology open software suite. Trends Genet. 16, 276–277.
- Thompson, A.J., Lester, H.A., Lummis, S.C., 2010. The structural basis of function in Cys-loop receptors. Q. Rev. Biophys. 43, 449–499.
- Thompson, A.J., Verheij, M.H.P., Verbeek, J., Windhorst, A.D., de Esch, I.J.P., Lummis, S.C.R., 2014. The binding characteristics and orientation of a novel radioligand with distinct properties at 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors. Neuropharmacol 86, 378–388.
- Thomsen, M.S., Hansen, H.H., Timmerman, D.B., Mikkelsen, J.D., 2010. Cognitive improvement by activation of α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: from animal models to human pathophysiology. Curr. Pharm. Des. 16, 323–343.
- Unwin, N., 2005. Refined structure of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor at 4Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 346, 967–989.
- Van Arnam, E.B., Blythe, E.E., Lester, H.A., Dougherty, D.A., 2013. An unusual pattern of ligand-receptor interactions for the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, with implications for the binding of varenicline. Mol. Pharmacol. 84, 201–207.
- Williams, D.K., Stokes, C., Horenstein, N.A., Papke, R.L., 2009. Differential regulation of receptor activation and agonist selectivity by highly conserved Trps in the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor binding site. JPET 330, 40–53.
- Xiao, Y.D., Hammond, P.S., Mazurov, A.A., Yohannes, D., 2012. Multiple interaction regions in the orthosteric ligand binding domain of the α7 neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. J. Chem. Inf. Mod. 52, 3064–3073.
- Yamauchi, J.G., Gomez, K., Grimster, N., Dufouil, M., Nemecz, A., Fotsing, J.R., et al., 2012. Synthesis of selective agonists for the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor with *in situ* click-chemistry on acetylcholine-binding protein templates. Mol. Pharmacol. 82, 687–699.
- Yang, Z., Ney, A., Cromer, B.A., Ng, H.L., Parker, M.W., Lynch, J.W., 2007. Tropisetron modulation of the glycine receptor: femtomolar potentiation and a molecular determinant of inhibition. J. Neurochem. 100, 758–769.