Molecular Therapy Methods & Clinical Development

Review



Gene therapy and kidney diseases

Nadia Tavakolidakhrabadi,¹ Wen Y. Ding,¹ Moin A. Saleem,^{1,2} Gavin I. Welsh,¹ and Carl May¹

¹Bristol Renal, University of Bristol, Dorothy Hodgkin Building, Whitson Street, Bristol BS1 3NY, UK; ²Department of Paediatric Nephrology, Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust, Upper Maudlin Street, Bristol BS2 8BJ, UK

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) poses a significant global health challenge, projected to become one of the leading causes of death by 2040. Current treatments primarily manage complications and slow progression, highlighting the urgent need for personalized therapies targeting the disease-causing genes. Our increased understanding of the underlying genomic changes that lead to kidney diseases coupled with recent successful gene therapies targeting specific kidney cells have turned gene therapy and genome editing into a promising therapeutic approach for treating kidney disease. This review paper reflects on different delivery routes and systems that can be exploited to target specific kidney cells and the ways that gene therapy can be used to improve kidney health.

INTRODUCTION

Incidence, prevalence, morbidity, mortality, and economic burden of genetic kidney diseases

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) affects a growing number of people worldwide and it is estimated that it will become the fifth highest cause of death by 2040.¹ A wide range of disease-causing genetic variants are associated with this condition.² These variants can be identified in 10% of adults and 20% of children with CKD.³ At current estimates, CKD costs the National Health Service (NHS) £1.79 billion annually, and this excludes the expenses associated with the treatments for end-stage kidney disease (ESKD), including dialysis and transplantation. It is projected that the total cost will significantly increase and could rise to £7.8 billion due to the increasing prevalence and associated costs of CKD stages 3-5.4 ESKD is reached at stage V CKD, with an estimated glomerular filtration rate below 15 mL per minute per 1.73 m² body surface area.⁵ At present, renal replacement therapies, including long-term dialysis and transplantation, are used for treating ESKD.6

Limitations of current therapies

Current treatments seldom target the underlying disease.⁷ Interventions in kidney disease currently aim to manage the complications of CKD, such as high blood pressure, anemia, electrolyte imbalance, and mineral bone disease, or they aim to slow disease progression by reducing albuminuria and addressing cardiovascular risk factors such as hyperlipidemia.⁸ There are currently few targeted therapies for monogenic kidney disease where the pathogenesis of disease is within the kidney. Therefore, there is an unmet need for novel personalized therapies that target the underlying causes of genetic kidney disease.

The solution

Introduction to gene therapy

In gene therapy, therapeutic or preventive effects in a wide range of diseases are achieved through gene augmentation, gene editing, or the modulation of gene expression (e.g., gene silencing).⁹ Gene augmentation is defined as the introduction of a transgene, which is mostly applicable for treating monogenic disorders, cancer molecular chemotherapy and immunopotentiation, and introducing tolerance against transplant rejection without immunosuppressive drugs.¹⁰ In gene augmentation, gene improvements are obtained by introducing a wild-type allele into cells that have the mutant allele, which can have therapeutic effects.¹¹ For example, autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is a common renal monogenic disorder caused by mutations in the PKD1 or PKD2 genes, which encode the polycystin-1 and -2 proteins (PC1 and PC2), respectively. Studies have shown that low levels of polycystin protein in haploinsufficient ADPKD models are associated with vascular changes and more susceptibility toward renal damage through renal ischemia/reperfusion injury.^{12,13} Additionally, Piontek et al. have shown that inactivation of PKD1 in mouse models is associated with the development of cystic kidneys.¹⁴ Therefore, one possible solution for this issue is to provide cells with a wild-type allele of PKD1 (Figure 1A) to increase the protein level. The size of the PKD1 gene presents a significant limitation for gene augmentation studies. PKD1, responsible for encoding polycystin-1, a protein implicated in ADPKD, spans over 50 kb and includes 46 exons. This large size poses a challenge for current gene therapy vectors, such as adeno-associated viruses (AAVs), with a packaging capacity of around 4.7 kb. Consequently, delivering the entire PKD1 gene using AAV vectors is not feasible. Alternative strategies, such as using dual or multiple vector systems, must be developed and optimized, but these approaches can complicate the delivery process and reduce efficiency. Additionally, the large size increases the complexity of producing and purifying the therapeutic gene, further complicating clinical applications. Therefore, the considerable size of the PKD1 gene is a significant hurdle that must be addressed to advance gene augmentation therapies for ADPKD.¹⁵ Meanwhile, gene augmentation does not work for gain-of-function mutations and will be difficult to implement in polygenic and polyallelic diseases since the current viral vectors have a limited genetic payload. Potential strategies to overcome these challenges are targeted gene repair

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2024.101333.

Correspondence: Gavin I Welsh, Bristol Renal, University of Bristol, Dorothy Hodgkin Building, Whitson Street, Bristol BS1 3NY, UK. E-mail: gavin.welsh@bristol.ac.uk

1





Figure 1. Gene augmentation for ADPKD treatment and delivery methods

(A) The potential of gene augmentation in treating ADPKD caused by mutation in PKD1 allele. In ADPKD, PKD1 haploinsufficiency (a condition when there is only one functional copy of a gene instead of the usual two in diploid organisms) leads to a reduced amount or altered function of the polycystin-1 protein. Low polycystin-1 levels disrupt normal cellular processes and lead to the formation of cysts in the kidneys. Delivering a functional copy of the PKD1 gene into the cells of the affected individual can increase levels of polycystin-1 protein, compensating for the haploinsufficiency. (B) Different routes for kidney gene delivery. These routes include (A) subcapsular injection, (B) direct injection into the renal vein, (E) retrograde infusion into the ureter, and (F) local injection.

(via precise gene edits or small-fragment homologous replacement or exon skipping) using either naked DNA or RNA delivered via viral or non-viral vectors.¹⁰ The other form of gene therapy is gene expression modulation, which can be used for targeting relevant signaling pathways in non-monogenic or monogenic diseases.^{10,16} In this review, we focus on gene augmentation and modulation of gene expression.

In recent years, there has been significant progress in using gene therapy for treating various diseases, including neuromuscular disorders, cancer, and blindness. For example, AAV has been used to treat an inherited retinal dystrophy (Leber congenital amaurosis) caused by mutations in the *RPE65* gene. The direct injection of the functional version of the gene loaded in AAV vectors into the eye had promising results, with patients restoring their vision and maintaining the improved vision for 5 years.¹⁷ In cancer immunotherapy reprogramming T cells (chimeric antigen receptor [CAR] T cells) to kill lymphoblasts has been effective in treating refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia, which results from excessive production of lymphoblasts due to mutations in lymphoid progenitors. This work has resulted in an 80% remission rate.¹⁷ Currently, gene therapy does not only aim to correct defect genes, but it might be used to regulate gene expression. It has been successful in saving the lives of children born with aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase (AADC) deficiency, with the delivery of AAVs encoding human aromatic L-amino acid

decarboxylase to the bilateral substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area, improving the motor function through increasing dopamine and serotonin levels in cerebrospinal fluid.¹⁸

Gene therapy is divided into two groups, germline gene therapy and somatic cell gene therapy, with the former being hereditary in contrast to the latter.¹¹ Germline genomic modifications do present significant safety and ethical concerns, and there are many unknowns that need to be addressed.¹⁹ While germline gene therapy remains controversial and is not widely supported, somatic gene therapy is the currently accepted and practiced approach. Gene modifications can be performed either *ex vivo*, by correcting the cells removed from patients' body and re-infusing them back; *in vivo*, by systemic administration of the therapeutic vectors into the blood circulation or the cerebrospinal fluid; or *in situ*, by the administration of the gene product to a specific site.

Generally, there are six major steps in gene augmentation therapy:

- (1) Identification of the mutant allele
- (2) Cloning of the identical healthy gene, known as the transgene
- (3) Loading the appropriate vector with the transgene
- (4) Vector reaching the target cells
- (5) Delivery of the genetic cargo into the nucleus of the cell
- (6) Expression of the healthy gene and correction of the phenotype²⁰

Recent improvements in genotype-phenotype correlation

Over the past few years, there have been significant improvements in genotype-phenotype correlation; large-scale genomic studies have helped identify numerous genetic variants associated with specific phenotypes,²¹ and functional genomics has helped in the understanding of the functional implications of these genetic variations.²² These, coupled with integration of the data obtained from multi-Omics studies, have substantially helped to bridge the genotype-phenotype gap.²³ For example, polygenic risk scores (PRSs), a statistical tool that combines information from multiple genetic variants, can help estimate an individual's genetic predisposition to a particular disease and be informative at various stages of the disease trajectory.²⁴

Increased understanding of the genetics of kidney diseases and the potential for kidney gene therapy

Table 1 lists the genes involved in the development of various kidney diseases.^{25–28} Renal disorders can be either monogenic or polygenic. The tightness of the genotype-phenotype correlation determines the predictive value and the penetrance of the mutations. Monogenic recessive diseases have the tightest correlation, followed by monogenic dominant and polygenic diseases, respectively.²⁸ Recently, it has been shown that gene therapy in the kidney is possible. Ding et al. successfully demonstrated that gene therapy targeted specifically to the kidney podocyte can be effective in treating kidney disease.²⁹ *NPHS2*, which encodes podocin, is the most frequently mutated gene in childhood-onset steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. The approach involved the administration of a functional copy of *NPHS2*, under the control of a podocyte-specific promotor, packaged

into an AAV vector delivered via tail vein injection. Expression of this transgene improved the kidney phenotype of Nphs2 conditionalknockout mice and mice with a patient-relevant mutation.²⁹ These results open the door to treat different genetic causes of kidney diseases. While promising, there are still challenges that need to be addressed.³⁰ For instance, even though AAVs have relatively low immunogenicity³¹ and have been successful in many clinical trials,³² their small genetic cargo (4.6 kb) limits the number of diseases that can be targeted using this delivery system given that some of the mutated genes in kidney diseases are considerable larger than this. Meanwhile, although AAVs are relatively low in immunogenicity compared to the other viral vectors, lethal immunotoxicity has been observed in some cases, particularly at high doses, and, therefore, they are not completely free from immune responses.³³ Factors affecting AAV immunogenicity include pre-existing immunity, innate and adaptive immune responses, capsid proteins, vector dosage, and the route of administration. Strategies to mitigate these responses involve using less immunogenic serotypes, engineering capsids to evade detection, employing immunosuppressive treatments, and developing novel delivery methods. Despite these immunogenic concerns, AAV remains a valuable tool in gene therapy.³⁴

Gene therapy for kidney diseases

The unique structure of the mammalian kidney is commensurate with its filtration and reabsorption roles. Filtration happens in the glomerulus of each nephron, where podocytes form slit diaphragms with diameters of only 10 nm.³⁵ The large number of highly specialized cell types in the kidney³⁶ makes cell-specific delivery more challenging. One of the determining factors in successful gene therapy is choosing the right gene delivery system.³⁷ Apart from the naked delivery of the genetic materials (summarized in Table 2), either viral or non-viral vectors can be used as carriers to facilitate gene delivery; the applications, limitations, and advantages of each of these vectors have been discussed previously.^{20,38,39} The type of delivery vehicle, whether it be viral, non-viral,⁴⁰ or viral-like particles,⁴¹ contributes significantly to its targeting properties. Selecting the right gene delivery route (Figure 1B) also plays an important role in targeting, meaning different compartments of the kidney, namely glomerular, tubular, vascular, and interstitial cells, are transfected, when they are administered through the renal artery, retrograde infusion via the renal vein or the ureter, or direct injection into the parenchyma or pelvis (Table 3).^{37,42} For instance, Gusella et al. have shown that delivering the same lentiviral vector by various routes of administration leads to different transduction patterns in the kidney (Figure 2).⁴³ Therefore, the type of kidney disorder plays an important role in choosing the suitable vector and administration route (Figure 3A). In rodents, systemic delivery through tail vein injection, even though less invasive, leads to the accumulation of the genetic material mostly in the liver, which is clinically not ideal. Other routes (Figure 1B) are more invasive but result in higher doses of particles delivered to the kidney. For example, in an attempt to circumvent toxicity in the liver, Woodard et al. have suggested direct injection into renal pelvis; however, using this route, the transgene expression is not cell-type specific and is observed in patches across the kidney.⁴² Alternate delivery routes,

Disease	Туре	Inheritance	Gene
Adenine phosphoribosyl transferase deficiency	-	AR	adenine phosphoribosyl transferase 5
Alport syndrome	-	AR	collagen type IV alpha 4 chain
			collagen type IV alpha 3 chain
		XD	collagen type IV alpha 5 chain
	with leiomyomatosis	XD	collagen type IV alpha 6 chain
Bardet-Biedl syndrome types 1–12	-	AR	Bardet-Biedl syndrome 1, Bardet-Biedl syndrome 12
Bartter syndrome types 1–4	-	AR	solute carrier family 12 member 1, chloride voltage-gated channel Kb, potassium inwardly rectifying channel subfamily J member 1, barttin CLCNK type accessory subunit beta
Benign familial hematuria	-	AD	collagen type IV alpha 4 chain
Branchio-oto-renal syndrome	-	AD	EYA transcriptional coactivator and phosphatase 1, myogenin, SIX homeobox 1, SIX homeobox 5
Congenital abnormalities of the kidney and urinary tract	-	AD	Forkhead box C1
Cystinosis	-	AR	cystinosin, lysosomal cystine transporter
	type 1		solute carrier family 3 member 1, solute carrier family 3 member 1
Cystinuria	none type 1	- AK	solute carrier family 7 member 9
Dent disease	-	XR	chloride voltage-gated channel 5
Denys-Drash syndrome, Frasier syndrome	-	AD	WT1 transcription factor
	-	XD	arginine vasopressin receptor 2, V2 antidiuretic hormone receptor type 2
Diabetes insipidus, nephrogenic		AR	aquaporin 2
Dist have d tabahar a that	distal renal tubular acidosis	AR	ATPase H+ transporting V1 subunit B1, ATPase H+ transporting V0 subunit a4
Jistal renal tubular acidosis	type I	AD	solute carrier family 4 member 1
Distal renal tubular acidosis	-	AD	solute carrier family 4 member 1, the replication and transcription activator
Fabry disease	-	XR	galactosidase alpha
Familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia	-	AD	collagen type IV alpha 3 chain
Fraser syndrome	-	AR	Fraser extracellular matrix complex subunit 1, Fraser extracellular matrix complex subunit 2
Gitelman syndrome	-	AR	solute carrier family 12 member 3
Glomerulopathy with fibronection deposits	-	AD	fibronectin 1
Gordon syndrome (PHA type 2)	-	AD	WNK lysine deficient protein kinase 4 and 1
HDR syndrome	-	AD	GATA binding protein 3
Hemolytic uremic syndrome, atypical	-	AR	complement factor H 1 and 3 major capsid protein, ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif 13
		AR	claudin 16
Hypomagnesemia	-	AD	FXYD domain containing ion transport regulator 2, FXYD domain containing ion transport regulator 2

4 N

(Continued on next page)

Review	www.moleculartherapy.org
	ulartherapy.org

Table 1. Continued

Disease	Туре	Inheritance	Gene
Hypophosphatemia rickets	-	XR	CLCN5
Hypophosphatemic rickets	-	XD-AR	phosphate regulating endopeptidase X-linked, solute carrier family 34 member 3, fibroblast growth factor 23, dentin matrix acidic phosphoprotein 1
Juvenile nephronophthisis	-	AR	NPHP1
Kallman syndrome	-	AD	Kallmann syndrome 1
Liddle syndrome	-	AD	sodium channel epithelial 1 subunit beta and gamma
Lowe syndrome	-	XR	oxidized low-density lipoprotein receptor 1, inositol polyphosphate-5-phosphatase J
Lysinuric protein intolerance	-	AD	NHERF family PDZ scaffold protein 1, NHERF family PDZ scaffold protein 1
MKS	-	AR	MKS transition zone complex subunit 1, transmembrane protein 67
Medullary cystic kidney disease	-	AD	UMOD
Multicystic renal dysplasia	-	AD	cell division cycle 5 like, upstream transcription factor 2, c-fos interacting
Nail-Patella syndrome	-	AD	LIM homeobox transcription factor 1 beta
Nephrolithiasis	-	XR	chloride voltage-gated channel 5
Nephronophthisis types 1–9	-	AR	nephrocystin 1-NIMA related kinase 8
Papillary renal cell carcinoma	-	AD	MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase
Pierson syndrome	-	AR	laminin subunit beta 2
	type 1	AD	polycystic 1, transient receptor potential channel interacting
PKD	type 2	AD	polycystic 2, transient receptor potential cation channel
	-	AR	PKHD1 ciliary IPT domain containing fibrocystin/polyductin, polycystic kidney disease 3
Drimory hypotocoluria	type 1	AR	alanine-glyoxylate aminotransferase
rimary nyperoxaluria	type 2	AD	glyoxylate and hydroxypyruvate reductase
Proximal renal tubular acidosis	-	AR	carbonic anhydrase 2, solute carrier family 4 member 4
Deeudohumoaldasteronism tume 1	-	AR	ligand dependent nuclear receptor corepressor like
r seudonypoardosteromsin type r	-	AD	sodium channel epithelial 1 subunit alpha, beta, and gamma
Renal agenesis	-	AD	ret proto-oncogene, uroplakin 3A
Renal coloboma syndrome	-	AD	paired box 2
Renal cysts and diabetes syndrome, GCKD	-	AD	TCF2/HNF1B (HNF1 homeobox B)
Renal glucosuria	-	AR	solute carrier family 5 member 2, solute carrier family 5 member 2, solute carrier family 5 member 1
Renal hypodysplasia	-	AD	bone morphogenetic protein 4, SIX homeobox 2
Schimke immuno-osseous dystrophy	-	AR	SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily a like 1
SeSAME syndrome	-	AR	potassium inwardly rectifying channel subfamily J member 1
Split-hand/split-foot malformation	-	AD	bone morphogenetic protein 7; distal-less homeobox 5; distal-less homeobox 5; tumor protein p63

(Continued on next page)

Table 1. Continued

Disease	Туре	Inheritance	Gene
	congenital	AR	NPHS1 adhesion molecule, nephrin
CDNC	type 2	AR	NPHS2 stomatin family member, podocin
	type 3	AR	phospholipase C epsilon 1
	type 4	AR or AD	CD2-associated protein
	adult onset	-	-
	with mitochondrial disorders	AR	coenzyme Q2, polyprenyltransferase, decaprenyl diphosphate synthase subunit 2, mitochondrially encoded tRNA leucine 1
	with lysosomal disorders	AR	scavenger receptor class B member 2
Townes-Brocks syndrome	-	AD	Spalt-like transcription factor 1
Tuberous sclerosis types 1 and 2	-	AD	TSC complex subunit 1, TSC complex subunit 2
Vesicoureteral reflux grade2	-	AD	roundabout guidance receptor 2, slit guidance ligand 2
von Hippel-Lindau disease	-	AD	VHL tumor suppressor
Wilms-tumor-aniridia syndrome	-	AD	WT1 transcription factor
Xanthinuria	-	AR	xanthine dehydrogenase
MKS, Meckel-Gruber syndrome.			

Table 2. Different nucleic acid structures used for naked gene therapy

Type of nucleic acid	Detail	Previous success in kidney gene therapy
miRs and antagomirs	 miRs are small non-coding RNAs with a length of 18–25 nucleotides which bind to the 3' UTR of the target gene mRNA and posttranscriptionally regulate the gene expression⁴⁴ antagomirs specifically silence endogenous miRNAs⁴⁵ 	 miR-204/miR-211 knockdown using antisense inhibitors improved kidney function and reduced renal injury induced by candidemia⁴⁶ inhibiting miR-21 upregulation by intraperitoneal injection of antagomirs improved kidney injury and fibrosis²³ delivering antagomirs through other routes, such as intravenous⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ and subcutaneous injection,^{50,51} has also resulted in inhibitory effects on kidney disease progression
LNAs	• LNAs are structures with improved miRNA bio-stability and inhibitory effects ²⁴	• the intraperitoneal delivery of an LNA–modified inhibitor of miR-192 in streptomycin-induced diabetic mouse models could effectively downregulate profibrotic genes and hence attenuate renal fibrosis and improve kidney function ⁵²
ASOs	• single-stranded chains of nucleotides complementary to the target gene's mRNA	 intraperitoneal administration of angiotensinogen⁵³ or mammalian target of rapamycin ASOs⁵⁴ resulted in effective inhibition of PKD progression intraperitoneal or intravenous administration of Ki-67- and vascular endothelial growth factor-directed ASOs efficiently inhibiting tumor growth and metastasis in renal cell carcinoma^{55,56} subcutaneous injection of naked Kras-silencing ASOs can effectively control the renal fibrosis⁵⁷ a reduction in the sulfur content and the use of 2'-O-methoxyethylribose-modified phosphodiester/phosphorthioate chimeric ASOs rather than PS ASOs can help to overcome the preferential ASO distribution to the liver and make them targeted them for kidney⁵⁸
saRNA	• induces the expression of genes by targeting the promoter ⁵⁹	 saRNA treatment in mouse models of calcium oxalate nephrolithiasis promoted urine calcium reabsorption and lowered both calcium excretion as well as renal calcium oxalate crystals formation by targeting the TRPV5 (epithelial Ca²⁺ channel TRPV5 mediates calcium transport and reabsorption in kidneys) promoter and activating the gene expression⁶⁰
shRNAs	 single-strand RNA or DNA targeting a specific gene sequence to silence or regulate its expression 	 transarterial administration of a p53 shRNA plasmid in IR mice models has led to the downregulation of glycogen synthase kinase-3β is closely related to IR injury) in epithelial cells of thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle⁶¹ shRNAs can integrate into the DNA through cell infection and this would reduce the variability of transfection efficiency based on the capability of the cells in transfection⁶²
siRNA	 siRNA is double-stranded RNA that recognizes a target mRNA sequence marking the mRNA for degradation. This knockdown activity is highly specific in a way that even with one nucleotide mismatch can stop siRNA inhibitory activity⁶³ 	 intravenous injection of siRNA targeted to p53 has proved to be advantageous for both prophylactic treatment and rapid treatment of AKI by the short-term inhibition of p53 and with peritubular capillary being the main delivery site⁶⁴ the perfusion of the kidney with a cocktail of three different siRNAs targeting C3, RelB, and Fas before transplantation has led to attenuating renal IR injury and extending cold preservation time of donor kidneys⁶⁵ in a reversible unilateral ureteral obstruction mice model, delivering siRNA-CD40 to block the co-stimulatory CD40-CD40L signaling pathway reduces the severity of the kidney damage induced by obstructive uropathy⁶⁶ to inhibit circular RNA-7 (plays a key role in the pathogenesis of renal cell carcinoma through the P13K/AKT signaling pathway and by acting as a sponge for miR-139-3p and preventing its inhibitory effects on TAGLN), siRNAs against the junction sites of circRNA-7 was coupled with poly-β-amino ester to form PBAE/si-circRA-7 nanocomplexes, which could successfully inhibit renal cell carcinoma growth and metastasis <i>in vivo</i>⁶⁷
Aptamer	 single-strand DNA or RNA that bind specifically to target molecules that lead to the regulation on protein level^{68,69} 	 RAGE-directed aptamers blocked the binding (the AGE-RAGE interaction stimulates NADPH oxidase-mediated reactive oxygen species generation, resulting in the podocyte damage and k idney disease.) between AGE and RAGE, and had therapeutic effects on diabetic nephropathy in rat models of type 1 diabetes⁷⁰ as well as MR-associated renal diseases⁷¹ intraperitoneal administration of periostin-binding DNA aptamers has decreased the expression of ECM proteins and attenuated the renal fibrosis in the type I and II diabetic mouse models⁷²

(Continued on next page)

Type of nucleic acid	Detail	Previous success in kidney gene therapy
		 intravenous administration of a highly selective DNA aptamer, named SW-4b with two hairpins on a big loop, into 786-O xenograft nude mice models resulted in the inhibition of cancer cell growth through cell-cycle arrest at S phase⁷³
ircular RNAs	 have a covalently bonded circular structure that makes them more stable than linear RNA less circular RNA is required to achieve the same effects as mRNA, making it less toxic⁷⁴ 	• has not been used for kidney gene therapy so far

Table 3. Different delivery routes and their main target sites		
Delivery route	Target cells	
Intravenous injection	Kupffer cells in the liver (98%)	
Intravenous injection with liver bypass	lung, intestinal wall, and renal glomeruli	
Renal artery infusion	kidney: proximal tubule cells, endothelial and epithelial cells, and cortical interstitium (endothelial cell transfection can be improved by modifying the AV fiber protein and making it targeted for the αv integrins of the vascular endothelium ⁷⁵)	
Retrograde infusion into the pyelic cavity of the kidney using catheter	tubular cells in the papilla and medulla	
Perfusing kidney ex vivo for up to 12 h	kidney: 85% of the glomeruli	
Perfusing kidney in vivo for up to 2 h	kidney: 85% of the glomeruli	
Slow renal artery infusion (up to 15 min)	liver (93.3% \pm 11.5% of hepatocytes), spleen, kidney (51.7% \pm 20.2% glomeruli)	
Intra-renal-ureteral route	distal tubular pyelic epithelial cells	
Intraparenchymal injection	kidney cortex and medulla (13.30% ± 5.30%), liver (8.66% ± 4.99%), spleen (4.73% ± 1.82%)	
Subcapsular injection	entire kidney parenchyma (mainly to glomeruli)	

such as lymphatics and the use of hydrodynamic pressure to improve penetration, have been suggested but not yet explored adequately.³⁵

It is worth mentioning that, by introducing various modifications in the delivery routes shown in Figure 1B, the expression pattern can change; For example, the functional improvement in kidney after intraparenchymal injection of COL-PGE2 matrix (prostaglandin E2 that is covalently crosslinked to collagen matrix) is limited to the area of injection as the injected material does not diffuse throughout the kidney. To improve this, Chen et al. have shown that subcapsular injection can be a better alternative route, delivering components to the whole kidney parenchyma and restoring the function of the kidney at a higher level (Figure 3B).⁷⁶ Another example is that, by decreasing the infusion rate of Ad5 into the renal artery of rats from 1–2 to 0.1 mL/min, the main site of delivery changes from proximal tubules to glomeruli (Figure 4).^{77,78}

How to target the therapy

Naked systems and their targeting limitations

The nucleic acids used for gene therapy can have different forms, such as microRNA (miR) mimics and antagomirs, small activating RNA (saRNA), short hairpin RNA (shRNA), small interfering RNA (siRNA), antisense oligonucleotide (ASO), aptamers, and circular RNAs. Nucleic acid-based gene therapy has had promising results in animal models and has shown great potential to be used in the clinic. For example, given that the upregulation of K-Ras is one of the key drivers of renal fibrosis, subcutaneous administration of K-Ras ASOs in a mouse model of chronic folic acid nephropathy was associated with 50% reduction in the interstitial fibrosis and prevented renal dysfunction.⁷⁹ Systemic delivery of splice-blocking ASO's in a mouse model of CEP290-associated Joubert syndrome led to mutated exon skipping and the production of a nearly fulllength protein. As the intronic mutation disrupts the CEP290 transcription, skipping this exon restored the normal transcription and ameliorated the kidney pathology.⁸⁰

As seen in Table 2, naked delivery of these structures has resulted in promising results; however, there are issues with the direct administration of genetic material (DNA or RNA) without the use of delivery vehicles or vectors, including low cellular uptake, nucleic acid degradation, lack of targeting, immune response activation, and rapid clearance.^{81,82} Given the success of gene therapy and the fact that 20%-30% of CKD is caused by monogenic variants, there is a need to fill the gap and overcome this issue. One way around this issue is kidney-specific targeting by conjugating the drugs with small peptides highly specific to different kidney cells, such as (KKEEE)3K for proximal tubule cells.⁸³ A conjugated siRNA therapy, lumasiran, targeted to the liver, has been successfully used in patients with primary hyperoxaluria type I. Lumasiran is conjugated to a targeting ligand, N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNac), which facilitates hepatic uptake of the therapy.⁸⁴ Apart from conjugating drugs with targeting molecules and using viral and non-viral vectors, vector cells and nanocarrier provide another opportunity for a targeted kidney-specific gene delivery; this is discussed further later in this paper.

Vector design and serotypes to target different tissues

Researchers have focused on developing different platforms for targeted gene delivery to kidney cells. The different types of platforms designed for delivering genetic materials can be categorized into three main groups, namely viral vectors, non-viral vectors, and cell vectors.

Viral vectors

The most popular viral vectors used in kidney gene therapy are adenovirus (AV), lentivirus, and AAV. AV, a non-enveloped virus with nucleocapsid and linear, double-stranded DNA, has many desirable properties as a gene delivery system, including its well-defined biology, high transfection efficiency, genetic stability, and simple large-scale production.⁸⁵ Between the different AV serotypes, AV5 has been widely used for kidney transfection. When using AV particles, based on the chosen delivery route, different cell types will be targeted, as reviewed previously (Table 2).



(legend on next page)

AV was first demonstrated as a potential successful delivery tool for gene therapy of kidney diseases in 1994, when two different routes of administration, renal artery perfusion and ureteral retrograde infusion, were tried for the introduction of β-galactosidase into quiescent renal cells of adult Wistar rates. While renal artery perfusion targeted the proximal tubular cells more efficiently, the ureteral retrograde infusion mostly transduced medullary and papillary epithelial cells.⁷⁸ Aortic injection of adenoviral-microsphere complexes in Sprague-Dawley rats was also shown as an efficient route for glomerulonephritis gene therapy, transducing up to 16% of glomeruli with sustained trans-protein activity lasting for about 21 days.⁸⁶ However, although less invasive, this platform has several drawbacks such as the transient activity of the transgene, extra-renal transduction, and the possibility of glomerular ischemia resulting from insoluble microspheres. These can be overcome by using biodegradable microparticles, using less immunogenic viral vectors, and selective injection into the renal artery, respectively.87

Gene transfection of renal glomeruli is challenging as systemic administration of AV particles is followed by rapid clearance from the blood circulation by the liver, and this hinders high transfection efficiency in glomerular cells. In 2002, Xuehai et al. showed that an efficient glomerular transfection needs not only sufficient time of exposure but also an adequate number of viral particles and, by considering these two parameters, high transfection levels in the glomerulus can be obtained. To achieve this, they developed two techniques, named portal clamping and prolonged renal infusion, to solve this issue. The portal clamping technique revealed that, by clamping the portal vein and hepatic artery and bypassing liver circulation, circulating viral vectors can be maintained at a high level, which results in increased exposure time for glomerular cells. In the other technique, the rat aorta and above the renal artery and superior mesenteric artery were clamped off and then the viral solution was slowly injected through the superior mesenteric artery.⁸⁸

Adenoviral vectors have also been examined as a tool for aquaporin gene replacement in aquaporin 1 knockout mice, which causes polyuria and a urinary concentrating defect. To do so, adenoviral vectors encoding aquaporin 1 were intravenously infused into aquaporin 1 null mice, resulting in the transfection of many proximal tubules and micro vessels. In contrast to untreated mice, the treated group had improved concentrating ability and were able to lose less weight when subjected to water deprivation.⁸⁹ This therapeutic approach is improved by making the adenoviral vectors targeted. The integration of a high-affinity peptide (that binds to the α v-integrin, which is generally expressed in the vascular endothelium) into the viral fiber protein resulted in not only enhanced transgene expression in the vascular endothelial cells *in vitro* but also a novel distribution of the

transgene to the renal cortex and the subcapsular region in vivo.⁹⁰ However, there are several challenges and concerns associated with gene therapy using AV vectors; apart from their immunogenicity, which can reduce the effectiveness of the treatment and even cause severe adverse reactions in some patients, AV vectors can provoke inflammatory responses in the target tissues that cause tissue damage or unwanted side effects. These vectors can also potentially recombine with wild-type adenoviruses within the packaging cell lines or in vivo and make the vector replication competent, imposing a risk to the patient's health.⁹¹ The transient nature of gene expression with AV vectors (AV vectors do not integrate into the host genome and remain episomal, which will be lost as cells divide, leading to a reduction in the expression of the therapeutic gene) can be advantageous in terms of low risk for insertional mutagenesis and minimizing long-term risks, but this means that they are less suitable for treating chronic or long-term diseases.92

Lentiviruses belong to the retrovirus family, which are enveloped, spherical, and single-stranded RNA viruses. Lentiviral-based kidney gene therapy has resulted in promising results; one in vitro study showed that mesangial cell transduction with lentiviral vectors carrying anti-collagen type I shRNA could stably and continuously inhibit Col1 expression at both the mRNA and protein levels, which is desirable given the close relation between increased collagen type I and renal fibrosis. Also, Col I shRNA arrested the cells at two different points of the cell cycle: (1) S phase, which proved its suppressive effects on mesangial cell proliferation and hyperplasia; and (2) G2/M phase with an increase in apoptosis rate, representing the mild cytotoxicity of lentivirus. The injection of this lentiviral construct into the lower pole of the left kidney at several sites has led to transgene expression around the glomerulus of renal cortex at injection sites but not in the liver.⁹³ Before using lentiviruses on a clinical scale, there are some points that need to be taken into consideration. One of the key challenges with lentivirus-based gene therapy is the need to scale up production processes while ensuring the environmental stability of lentiviruses. Also, enhancing productivity and purification techniques is crucial to meet the growing demand. Improving the functionality of lentiviral vectors for difficult-to-transduce target cells, such as exploring pseudotyping (modifying the viral envelope to enhance transduction) strategies, and rigorous product characterization are important to explore. Additionally, the establishment of a reference standard, advanced analytics, and an emphasis on industrialization with cost-effectiveness and regulatory compliance are vital to navigate the complexities of this evolving field.^{94,95} More importantly, there are worrying risks associated with the random integration of lentiviruses into the genome, which is associated with high chances of oncogenesis; one clinical trial on retrovirus-based gene therapy of patients with X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency showed that the

Figure 2. The main delivery site lentiviral particles in mouse kidney can differ based on the chosen delivery route

With regard to the local intraparenchymal injection (A), the distribution of the components is limited to the area around the injection track, and within this area the transgene expression is predominantly detected in the proximal convoluted tubules located in the cortex and outer medulla. Compared to the renal vein and artery injection (C), administration through renal ureter (B) encompasses a larger area, with the former being confined to the inner medulla and the latter expanding to the outer medulla and corticomedullary junction. Green areas show the main target of the delivery route in the nephron structure.

12



high therapeutic potential of this treatment (nine patients out of 10) is hampered by high risk of developing T cell leukemia in four out of nine patients due to insertional mutagenesis.⁹⁶ Apart from activating oncogenes and deactivating tumor suppressor genes, depending on where the virus integrates, it can also lead to non-malignant clonal expansion.⁹⁷ Recent studies have focused on tackling this issue by making the viral integration more targeted toward a genomic safe harbor such as ribosomal DNA (rDNA; includes all the 400–600 copies of the ribosomal RNA genes within the cells), which is located far from the oncogenic protein-encoding genes. This can be achieved using a modified lentivirus that carries both the transgene as well as an integration-targeted enzyme, leading to over 250 times more frequent integration into rRNA.⁹⁸

The leading platform for gene delivery is AAV, which is a small nonenveloped virus with a capsid that is 22 nm in diameter. As discussed above, AAV gene therapy has recently been used successfully to target the kidney podocyte.²⁹ One of the main advantages of using AAVs over other viruses is the low risk of insertional mutagenesis as they do not integrate into the genome. AAV vectors have different serotypes which can be either naturally occurring (AAV 1-13) or artificially engineered. The AAV's genome is composed of rep and cap genes flanked by two inverted terminal repeats (ITRs); the cap gene encodes three different proteins called virion protein 1, 2, and 3. Variations in the cap gene lead to different AAV serotypes with distinct tissue tropism. However, capsid studies in mice often do not translate well to humans due to species-specific differences in receptor expression and immune responses. This highlights the challenge of viral vector retargeting for gene therapy, necessitating extensive testing in multiple models to ensure efficacy and safety in humans.⁹⁹ Of different AAV serotypes, AAV2, AAV4, AAV8, AAV9, AAV9, AAV10, and AAV11 have previously shown varying levels of tropism for renal tissue.¹⁰⁰ Capsid proteins are involved in the process of cell entry by recognizing the surface receptor and inducing the endocytosis, which leads to the viral DNA entry and the expression of the transgenes within the cells. Therefore, they play a key role in determining transduction efficiency.¹⁰¹ The pattern of gene expression after delivering AAV (serotype 2) vectors through either intraparenchymal¹⁰² or intrarenal arteria infusion¹⁰³ has been shown to be predominantly limited to tubular cells with no glomerular transduction. By engineering novel hybrid AAV vectors, higher transduction efficiency, limited tropism toward specific cells, and less immunogenicity can be achieved.¹⁰⁰ Using an AAV barcode sequence, Furushot et al. identified six AAV capsids that enhance the transduction efficiency in mouse kidney after being injected through the renal vein or pelvis.¹⁰⁴ Evaluating the transduction profiles of various pseudotyped AAVs can also help identifying novel pseudotypes that preferentially transduce particular kidney cells; for instance, Ikeda et al. have reported a synthetic AAV called Anc80, which can efficiently transduce kidney stroma and mesangial cells, and this can be harnessed for treating kidney fibrosis given that its gene-therapy-based treatment requires transduction of myofibroblast progenitors.¹⁰⁵ In another approach, nanobodies, which are the single immunoglobulin variable domains of heavy chain antibodies, can be inserted into a surface loop of the AAV capsid to improve the specificity of transduction in the targeted cells.¹⁰⁶ However, as explained earlier, transducing kidney is very challenging and, regardless of what viral tropism is being used, with the systemic delivery of AAVs, the transduction in the kidney is not efficient.¹⁰⁵ Therefore, optimizing the capsid protein should be coupled with choosing a proper delivery route to achieve higher efficiencies.

Using direct injection into kidney, the expression of the gene is observed in the tubular epithelial cells in the S3 segment of the proximal tubule and intercalated cells in the collecting duct but not in glomeruli or in the intrarenal vasculature. The same is seen with intraparenchymal injection, with the expression of the transgene being limited to epithelial cells. However, the transduction efficiency of renal cells with AAV in primary culture is relatively low; to tackle this issue, pre-treatment of the cells with pharmaceutical enhancing agents such as hydroxyurea, cisplatin, and etoposide is suggested, which can enhance the transfection efficiency up to 20%. It is worth mentioning that this chemically induced enhancement depends on the cell lineage and whether the cell system is primary culture or transformed.¹⁰⁷ Using these pre-treatments for recombinant AAV5 vectors, transfection efficiency in both primary culture and in vivo was similar, although it was limited by the localization of virus strictly to the injection site.¹⁰⁷ AAV has been successful in treating coldinduced hypertension (CIH), which is followed by renal damage in rats. CIH is closely associated with the overactivity of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) and the common drugs that are used for controlling hypertension are spironolactone and eplerenone, which block the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR), a key component of RAAS pathway; however, these drugs are not only short-lasting but associated with side effects. In one study, AAV2, due to its high affinity toward renal tubule epithelial cells, was selected and engineered to carry an shRNA for MR, showing that this novel platform could effectively silence MR expression for up to 20 h and control CIH.¹⁰⁸

Targeting viral-based gene therapies to the correct cells is important; this can be achieved by (1) surface modification, by conjugating the

Figure 3. Optimizing kidney gene therapy based on disorder and delivery method

(A) The type of kidney disorder plays an important role in choosing the suitable vector and administration route; for example, if the tubule abnormality is the cause of disease development, given that collecting ducts come together and make the renal pelvis, injection can be made through the renal pelvis to circumvent the filtration that happens in the glomerular filtration barrier and that reduces the delivery efficiency. (B) Subcapsular injection is a better alternative for having the injected material diffused throughout the kidney parenchyma; with regard to the subcapsular (above) and local (below) delivery of the genes, while the latter can lead to the accumulation of the components in the area near the injection site, which can cause high drug deposition exposing the organ to toxic concentrations. The former is associated with the sustained release of the delivered agents preventing tissue injury and improving the therapeutic efficiency.



viral particles with the ligands or antibodies that specifically bind to receptors on the surface of the target cells¹⁰⁶; (2) using local administration routes such as injection through renal artery¹⁰⁹; (3) pseudo-typing or modifying the viral envelope proteins to change the virus's tropism; and¹¹⁰ (4) using cell-specific promoters, such as the nephrin promoter for podocyte cells, to achieve the expression of the gene solely in the target cells.²⁹ Limited cargo capacity is a challenge when in comes to viral-based gene therapies. One way to overcome this issue is using the recently designed baculovirus, which can potentially deliver DNA cargos exceeding 100 kb.¹¹¹

Non-viral vectors for gene delivery

Although promising, there are some limitations with viral gene therapy delivery systems, such as triggering immune responses in the body and the chance of retroviral insertional mutagenesis, which reduces the effectiveness of the treatment and poses safety concerns. Meanwhile, the limited carrying capacity of viral delivery systems excludes the possibility of treating many genetic diseases using gene therapy.

Among non-viral vectors for gene delivery, cationic polymers, including polyethyleneimine (PEI), poly(L-lysine) (PLL), poly[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate] (PDMAEM), poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM), and chitosan (CS), have gained in popularity and can be considered as promising alternatives to viral vectors. This is mainly because of their safety, cost-effectiveness, tunable physicochemical properties, long-term stability for storage and reconstitution, and unlimited gene packaging capacity.¹¹² For instance, the formulation of siRNAs with a specific chitosan-based delivery system helps the biodistribution of the drugs and circulation time in vivo,¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵ with particles tending to accumulate in the inflamed kidney.¹¹⁶ With the purpose of knocking down the expression of the water channel AQP1, chitosan/siRNA particles can be injected via the tail vein, resulting in more than a 40% reduction in AQP1 expression both at the mRNA and protein level compared to control siRNA. Interestingly, this gene knockdown is limited to the proximal tubular epithelial cells and no apparent changes are detected in the thin limbs of Henle's loop.¹¹⁷

To inhibit mesangial cell proliferation, one of the key characteristics of glomerulonephritis, E2F decoy oligodeoxynucleotide, as a competitive inhibitor, can be delivered to the kidney via cationic multilamellar vesicle (MLV; consists of several unilamellar vesicles inside one another) liposomes.¹¹⁸ These liposomes have little cytotoxicity, high affinity to the cells as they are positively charged, and high encapsulation efficiency, all of these allowing a high level of either transient or stable gene expression.¹¹⁹ Considering that the inhibition of just one mitogen is not enough to control mesangial cell proliferation, E2F, a transcription factor that regulates the expression of several cell-cycle regulators, is a promising target and has been proved to successfully inhibit mesangial cell proliferation both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.¹¹⁸

However, as liposomes do not fuse well with the cultured cells, their fusion efficiency is low; this issue can be improved by using virus particles of hemagglutinating virus of Japan (HVJ). The use of HVJ liposomes (HVJ liposomes are lipids combined with HVJ viral envelopes) coupled with the co-introduction of an exogen with high-mobility group 1 (HMG1) has been proved to significantly enhance the introduction of DNA into the cell nucleus.¹²⁰ Based on these findings, a new platform for gene delivery to the kidney was developed in which plasmid DNA and HMG1 are co-encapsulated into liposomes, which are then mixed with HVJ to form HVJliposome complexes. Four days after these complexes were injected into adult rat kidney via the renal artery, transient glomerular-specific expression of the reporter gene was detected.¹²¹ This platform was then used for the delivery of an antisense deoxyoligonucleotide for transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1, the upregulation of which plays a key role in the pathogenesis of glomerulonephritis. In transfected kidneys, significant reduction in the expression of TGF-B1, both at the mRNA and protein level, with subsequent inhibition of the extracellular matrix (ECM) (ECM is a three-dimensional network of proteins and carbohydrates that provides structural support; influences cellular behavior; and plays a crucial role in the integrity, function, and regeneration of tissues and organs in multicellular organisms) expansion (ECM expansion in kidney is related to kidney injury as it disrupts normal kidney structure and function, impairs filtration and blood flow, and promotes inflammation and scarring, ultimately contributing to kidney damage) was confirmed, highlighting the therapeutic effects of this approach on glomerular diseases.122

Taking advantage of HVJ liposomes, the delivery of transcription factor decoy (TFD) is also possible. TFDs inhibit gene expression by preventing transcription factors binding to their target gene promoter.¹²³ For instance, considering the role of abnormal mesangial cell proliferation in the pathogenesis of many glomerular diseases, a doublestranded decoy oligonucleotide targeting E2F, the transcription factor for several cell-cycle regulatory genes, was examined for its potential therapeutic applications. After renal artery administration in vivo, while the naked TFD only localized in tubular epithelial cells, the oligonucleotides packed into HVJ liposomes transfected 30% of the glomeruli as well, reducing the glomerular histological injury from 32% to 19%.¹²⁴ E2F cooperates with the transcription factor Sp1 through a *cis*-acting mechanism to regulate the cell cycle. Delivering resistant ring-Sp1 decoy oligonucleotide using the same HVJ liposomes via retrograde renal vein administration into the Unilateral Ureteral Obstruction (UUO) models resulted in the inhibition of ECM accumulation in the interstitial areas of the targeted kidney.¹²⁵

Figure 4. Impact of infusion rate into the renal artery on delivery target: Faster rates direct to proximal tubules, while slower rates favor glomerular delivery. The rate of infusion into the renal artery of rats plays an important role in determining the main delivery site; although infusion with a flow rate of 1–2 min/mL targets the proximal tubules with no transfection in the glomeruli, a slow infusion leads to the most components delivered to the glomeruli.

PEI is a cationic polymer and a gold standard among non-viral delivery systems. The transfection efficiency of various forms of the polymer with different molecular mass and chemical structure (branched or linear) varies considerably. Among different derivatives, the alanyl derivative of 25-kDa PEI and dodecyl derivative of 2-kDa PEI have several times higher transfection efficiency in comparison to the non-modified 25-kDa PEI and were non-toxic.¹²⁶ Also, the low cytotoxicity of 5-kDa polymer allows the use of a higher nitrogen-tophosphate (N/P) ratio of 6.7, and this results in higher transfection efficiency in the cell lines.¹²⁷ To avoid the cytotoxicity of high-molecular-weight PEI while taking advantage of its high transfection efficiency, the use of poly ester amine (PEA) synthesized from glyceroldimethacrylate and low-molecular-weight (LMW) PEI was suggested. PEA was then conjugated to a kidney-targeted peptide and formed PEA/PEP copolymers. To transfer hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) as an inhibitor of renal fibrosis through promoting tubular repair, PEA/PEP/DNA complexes were intravenously injected into the tail vein of UUO-model rats, which results in improvements in kidney function and reduction of collagen accumulation.¹²⁸

Dendrimers are nano-sized synthetic macromolecules with an inner and an outer shell that can condense nucleic acid and prevent endosomal and nuclear degradation. Among dendrimers, PLL and PAMAM are the two commonly used for gene delivery. There are problems associated with dendrimer-based gene delivery systems that should be overcome; these include low transfection efficiency and low cellular specificity. There are different adjustments, such as surface modification with amino acid, protein or peptide, carbohydrate, polymer, or lipid, that can be made to give these delivery systems desirable properties and these have been discussed before.¹²⁹

Different modifications have been suggested to improve the use of PLL as a DNA delivery system; these include the addition of dextran chain to improve solubility and thermal stability, glycosylation to decrease toxicity, and covalent linkage to polyethylene glycol (PEG) to increase transfection efficiency.¹³⁰ Intravenous administration of PLL-modified iron oxide nanoparticles bound to exogenous DNA plasmid containing the reporter gene EGFP-C2 has successfully transfected kidney, lung, spleen, and brain.¹³¹ However, the clinical applications of PLL-based delivery systems for kidney gene therapy have not been explored sufficiently yet. The third-generation amine-terminated poly(amidoamine) (NH2-PAMAM) dendrimers had the highest accumulation in the kidney and are small enough to pass through the glomerular barrier. In 2012, these NH2-PAMAM-G3 dendrimers were bound to megalin internalizing receptor for the delivery of a specific sunitinib analogue, 17864, into the renal proximal tubular cells. This analogue was conjugated to dendrimers via universal linkage system (ULS) linkers. The internalization was examined based on anti-tyrosine kinase activity and compared to sunitinib, showing that 17864-ULS-NH2-PAMAM-G3 had significantly higher inhibitory effects. Meanwhile, 15 min after being intravenously administered, 13% of the injected dose was accumulated in the kidney, which makes it promising for a targeted treatment.¹³² Interestingly, L-serine-modified polyamidoamine dendrimers have shown great potential as a renal-targeting delivery system, with successful accumulation of up to 82% of the drugs in the kidney.^{133,134} Conjugation of different generations of PAMAM dendrimers (G2-G4) with cyclodextrins (CyD) (α , β , and γ) improves the transfection efficiency of this delivery system, with α-CyD-PA-MAM-G2 conjugates having the highest efficiency.^{135,136} Complexes of α-CyD-PAMAM-G3 with pDNA encoding luciferase with the charge ratio of 2:4 were studied as a gene delivery tool. These complexes were intravenously injected into BALB/c mouse models with the results confirming successful cell transfection in liver, kidney, lung, and spleen in vivo with insignificant cytotoxicity.¹³⁷ Also, glycosylation has been used to make different delivery systems targeted to specific cell groups. Twelve hours after mannosylated α-CyD-PA-MAM-G2 dendrimers (DSM 3.3) bearing pDNA encoding luciferase had been intravenously injected, even though liver, spleen, lung, heart, and kidney were all transfected, a significant preference for kidney accumulation was reported.¹³⁸ However, to our knowledge, despite these promising results as a kidney delivery system, there has not been sufficient research to date on the potential of dendrimer as a gene therapy tool specifically for kidney.

Nanocarriers

A nanocarrier is a nanoscale vehicle used to deliver drugs or other therapeutic agents to specific cells or tissues in the body. Given the advantages that nanocarriers offer, including cost-effectiveness, size, flexibility, and low immunogenicity,¹³⁹ many of these components have been used for producing nanoparticles targeting specific kidney cells. Using a nanodelivery system can potentially be a promising strategy to circumvent the cytotoxicity resulting from the accumulation of the injected components in the liver after systemic administration¹⁴⁰; the intravenous injection of mesoscale nanoparticles made of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) conjugated to PEG in mouse models has shown efficient localization in the kidney with only minor delivery to the liver and no injury in any organs.¹⁴¹

For example, as a novel targeted delivery system to glomerular mesangial cells, polycationic cyclodextrin nanoparticles containing siRNA (siRNA/CDP-NP) were suggested. To this purpose, firstly, cyclodextrin-containing polymer was assembled with the siRNA through electrostatic forces. The cyclodextrin components of these nanoparticles were then conjugated with 5-kDa PEG molecules that are covalently linked to adamantane (AD). Finally, by attaching mannose and transferrin as targeting ligands to the distal end of the AD-PEG molecules, mesangial cell uptake was improved.¹⁴² In 2010, PEG-PLL copolymer-based nanocarriers loaded with mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 (MAPK1) siRNAs were examined as a delivery system to the glomeruli in the murine lupus nephritis model. Compared to HVJ envelope vectors or liposomes, which are above 200 nm in diameter, these 10- to 20-nm-sized complexes penetrate the endothelial fenestration, which are about 70-100 nm in size, while not being able to pass through the 4-nmsized pores in the basement membrane. This allows a delivery to mesangial cells but not to podocytes and hence is a great candidate for the treatment of glomerulonephritis.¹⁴³

PEI-coated superparamagnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were developed as a system for multiple-gene delivery into porcine kidney cells. In this delivery system, Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles are coated with positively charged PEI, electrostatically absorbing the negatively charged DNA. Due to the superparamagnetic component, the transfection efficiency can be promoted using external magnetic force. To clarify, the magnetic force results in accelerated targeting and sedimentation of the gene on the cell surface and faster transfection, which yields increased endocytosis and gene expression on the magnetofected cells.¹⁴⁴ Megalin is an endocytic receptor that is highly expressed in proximal tubular epithelial cells, and there is strong affinity between polymyxin B and megalin. To develop a kidney-targeted gene delivery system, carboxyalkylated PEI was covalently coupled to polymyxin B through an amidation reaction to form megalin-targeted nanoplexes. The modified-polymyxin-PEI/DNA nanoplexes had higher transfection efficiency both in vitro and in vivo in comparison to unmodified counterparts and could efficiently target the megalin-expressing kidney cells.¹⁴⁵

Recently, another targeted gene delivery system to vimentin-expressing cells of the kidney, including podocytes and tubules, has been developed. Sorbitol diacrylate-crosslinked PEI (PS) was prepared and conjugated with chitobionic acid, the targeting moiety to the vimentin receptor. Due to the presence of easily degradable ester linkages in PS and hydroxyl groups in polysorbitol, as well as using LMW PEI, the designed delivery system had low cytotoxicity both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Besides PS facilitating cellular intake and improving transfection efficiency, animal experiments confirmed the accumulation of nanoplexes around inner medulla and outer cortical regions where tubules, glomeruli, and peritubular capillaries are located.¹⁴⁶

PEI nanoparticles have also been used for the delivery of miR-146 with the purpose of treating renal fibrosis by targeting the TGFβ1-Smad signaling pathway and inflammation in the kidney. In contrast to the naked miR-146, PEI-miR-146 nanoparticles, when intravenously injected via tail vein of mouse model of renal fibrosis, could significantly increase miR-146a expression and inhibit renal fibrosis area with minimal immunotoxicity.¹⁴⁷ Using nanodelivery systems, it is also possible to simultaneously inhibit two important inflammation pathways, namely p38 MAPK and nuclear factor (NF)-κB.

In 2020, a glomerulus-targeting liposomal siRNA delivery loaded with both p38 α MAPK and p65 siRNAs was designed. To this purpose, firstly, anionic siRNAs were complexed with positively charged PEI, providing protection against siRNA degradation when being loaded into PEG-modified liposomal carriers. The negatively charged liposomal nanoparticles then became weakly cationic through the surface modification with octa-arginine (R8), which enhanced cellular uptake. In *in vivo* experiments in a mouse immunoglobulin A nephropathy model, the designed nanocarriers were successful in reaching mesangial cells and endothelial cells and inhibiting the two pathways and IgA nephropathy (IgAN) relief in mice with negligible toxicity.¹⁴⁸

Vector cells

The vector cells used for kidney gene therapy can mainly be divided into mesangial vector system, modified macrophages, and monocytes. The preparation of vector cells has several main steps: firstly, cells are propagated from isolated glomeruli, then the desired genetic changes are introduced, and finally the cells are transferred back into glomeruli through renal circulation.¹⁴⁹ Considering the size of capillaries and cultured mesangial cells, 5–25 μ m and 15–25 μ m, respectively, after being injected into the rat kidney via renal artery, genetically engineered mesangial cells are entrapped within the glomerular capillaries.

In 1994, to enhance the transfection efficiency, pre-treatment with monoclonal antibody 1-22-3, which targets Thy 1-associated molecule on the surface of mesangial cells, was used to induce transient mesangiolysis. This damage stimulated specific replication of the transferred reporter cells, leading to 7- to 12-fold higher level of gene expression, which lasted for 8 weeks instead of 4 (4 weeks for the control group without mesangial damage).¹⁵⁰ Without anti-Thy 1 antibody pre-treatment and by using renal artery as the delivery route, about 90% of vector cells were delivered to the glomerular capillary with only less than 10% being detected in the mesangial area. In this experiment, the duration of transgene expression was much longer in the *in vivo* system compared to *ex vivo* system.¹⁵¹

In 2005, the Decorin (DCN) gene, a proteoglycan that can bind to and neutralize TGF- β 1, was transferred into mesangial cell vectors; injecting the DCN-expressing vector cells into rat antithymocyte serum glomeruli through the renal artery resulted in a reduction in the expression of TGF- β 1. This was followed by a subsequent reduction in the expression of fibronectin and collagen IV, two key components of ECM, indicating the potential of the vectors for treating TGF- β 1induced fibrotic diseases.¹⁵² Sun et al. used PEI-DCN nanocomplexes to transfect cultured mesangial cells. Transfected cells were then injected into the left renal artery of rat anti-Thy1.1 glomerulonephritis models, with the results showing an increase in the expression of DCN in the mesangium and the glomerular capillary.¹⁵³

Mesangial cell vectors have also been used to deliver interleukin (IL)-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), considering that IL-1 is one of the key pathogenic mediators of glomerulonephritis. The established vector cells were injected into the glomeruli of adult male Sprague-Dawley rats through the renal circulation, with results showing a significant number of vectors populated in glomeruli secreting IL-1ra. Therefore, these vectors can help overcoming the short half-life of IL-1ra and undesirable side effects of IL-1ra systemic delivery, which is currently used as a therapeutic approach in treating different diseases.¹⁵⁴

As for modified monocytes, in 1998, mononuclear cells positive for CD11b and CD18 were developed from the bone marrow cells of DBA/2 mice and examined as a site-specific gene delivery into inflamed glomeruli. Intravenous administration of these vehicles followed by intraperitoneal injection of lipopolysaccharide (LPS)

resulted in the accumulation of vehicles in the glomerulus. In detail, LPS induced the expression of the intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), which is a ligand for CD11b and CD18. Transduction of these vehicle cells with glucocerebrosidase gene led to 3.2-fold increase in the expression in isolated glomeruli, confirming the benefits of using these vehicles as a renal-targeting gene delivery tool.¹⁵⁵

Genetically modified macrophages have been used for delivering IL-4, IL-10, IL-1 ra, and TGF- β to the kidney. Even though the injection of the cell vectors expressing TGF- β did not promote renal injury,¹⁵⁶ macrophages bearing different anti-inflammatory cytokine genes could significantly improve renal function. By infusion of IL-1ra-transduced macrophage-based vectors into mouse models of anti-GBM glomerulonephritis, significant suppression in the progression of renal injury through the inhibition of IL-1 β was observed. Interestingly, vehicle cells were recruited into the glomerulus only after nephritis induction through antibody injection and only an insignificant number of cells was found in the glomerulus of uninjected animals.¹⁵⁷

In a similar study, adenovirally transduced vector cells expressing IL-1ra were injected through the tail vein of mice with UUO, and this reduced the expression of ICAM-1 and macrophage infiltration attenuating interstitial fibrosis in UUO kidneys.¹⁵⁸ The injection of Ad-IL4-transfected macrophages into the renal artery was followed by a 75% reduction in the level of albuminuria in rats with nephrotoxic nephritis (NTN), a macrophage-dependent form of glomerular inflammation. It worth mentioning that the number of ED1-positive macrophages has decreased not only in the injected kidney but also in the contralateral kidney,¹⁵⁹ implying that the inhibitory effects on inflammation are also exerted on distant kidney.¹⁵⁶ This contralateral effect was also observed in ad-IL-10-transduced macrophages, which efficiently localized in the inflamed glomeruli of NTN mouse models after being injected into the renal artery of rats and significantly inhibited the inflammation.¹⁶⁰ Interestingly, injection of macrophages transduced with an inert gene still attenuated renal injury in the inflamed glomeruli. One possible explanation is that the competition between pathogenic and transduced macrophages for adhesion sites might prevent infiltration of pathogenic macrophages.¹⁵⁶

The applications of kidney gene delivery are not limited to only therapeutic purposes

Aside from the therapeutic applications mentioned above, gene delivery to kidney cells has also been used to study the role of a specific gene. For example, in 2002, to elucidate the role of c-myc overexpression in renal cystogenesis and the development of polycystic kidney disease (PKD), a c-myc antisense oligomer (ASO) was delivered to mice (C57BL/6J-cpk/cpk) that overexpress c-myc mRNA and develop PKD and finally die of renal failure. The findings revealed that ASO treatment had an inhibitory effect on cystic renal enlargement and development of renal failure.¹⁶¹

In a similar study, the direct role of two different growth factors, TGF- β and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), in the pathogenesis of

glomerulosclerosis was examined using the in vivo HVJ-liposome transfection method. These findings revealed that, although the introduction of both TGF-B and PDGF stimulates glomerulosclerosis, the former performs its role through extracellular matrix expansion with the latter involved in cell proliferation.¹⁶² Moreover, the role of sustained activation of YAP in the reduction of renal function and interstitial fibrosis after the acute phase of acute kidney injury (AKI) was proved using an adenoviral-based delivery system for knocking down the YAP in the ischemia-reperfusion (IR)-induced AKI to CKD animal model. In detail, the overactivation of YAP, one of the main components of Hippo pathway, is associated with an increase in the expression of profibrotic factors, TGF-\beta and connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), triggering interstitial fibrosis. Using the same delivery system and KLF4 shRNA expression AV, it was shown that, for the upregulation of YAP after IR induction, the reprogramming factor KLF4 is essential.¹⁶³

Gene delivery is also a promising approach to overcome the obstacles in transplantation such as organ shortage, chronic and acute rejection, delayed graft function, and death with graft function resulting from prolonged immunosuppressive treatments. Using gene delivery vectors, cells and organs can be treated ex vivo and this opens ways for local production of immunosuppressive molecules instead of long-term systemic immunosuppression. As the gene delivery is ex vivo, there is a lower probability for toxicity, immunogenicity, and systemic transduction.¹⁶⁴ For example, with the purpose of prolonging the survival of rat kidney transplant recipients, lentiviral-based short hairpin RNA interference (shRNAi) therapy was suggested. IL-2 and interferon-gamma (IFN-gamma) have an important role in transplant rejection and their expression is controlled by split- and hairy-related protein-2 (SHARP-2). Using this SHARP-2 silencing construct, not only a 100% transfection efficiency was achieved in natural killer cell line but, in in vivo experiment, effective SHARP-2 gene silencing effect was followed by lower expression of both of the cytokines, resulting in a significantly higher survival time in comparison to the untreated rat models.¹⁶⁵ In a similar study, by connecting the renal artery and vein to a perfusion system (Figure 5) (flow rate, 9-12 mL/min; pressure, 80–95 mm Hg; under sub-normothermic conditions [32°C]; oxygen saturation, 65%-70%), lentiviral vectors encoding shRNA were delivered to the kidney and successfully and stably downregulated levels of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and II transcripts, the antigens involved in allogenic rejection after transplantation.¹⁶⁶

To establish a link between animal model experiments and clinical applications and to examine whether gene delivery to allogenic kidney can be beneficial for dealing with posttransplant dysfunction, an AV-based molecular conjugate can be used as a gene delivery tool to intact isolated human kidney. Twelve hours after injecting AV-polylysine- β -galactosidase particles through renal artery, localized mRNA expression of the transgene was observed in tubular epithelial cells.¹⁶⁷ Gene delivery has also been proved to be an efficient non-germline approach for establishing genetically engineered mouse models



(GEMMs). In contrast to the traditional germline-based approaches, the *in vivo* sustained genetic manipulation of animal models is faster, cheaper, and more versatile, allowing the study of multiple genes and high-throughput functional genetic screens.¹⁶⁸

In 1996, vector cells were used to study whether locally produced growth factors can summon macrophages and exacerbate renal injury. To deliver cytokines specifically to kidney, Naito et al. transfected renal tubular epithelial cells (TECs) with retroviral vectors encoding macrophage growth factors and then implanted them under the renal capsule of recipient mice models. This replacement resulted in an increase in the cytokines in the circulation followed by accumulation of macrophages in strains with lpr mutation but not in nonautoimmune hosts.¹⁶⁹

In another study, to produce mouse models of kidney disease through non-germline methods, novel lentiviral-based vectors were designed and directly injected into the renal parenchyma using ultrasound guidance. With the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) and Tsc1 genes being the target genes, two different approaches for gene knockdown, namely somatic recombination of floxed alleles and delivering shRNA, were selected. This lentiviral system was an effective renal tubular gene delivery tool for both delivering Cre-recombinase and shRNA, knocking

Figure 5. Generic perfusion system for pre-transplant kidney preservation and ex vivo gene therapy to enhance graft survival and reduce renal pathologies

A generic perfusion system used before kidney transplantation to preserve organ quality; this system can be used to do *ex vivo* organ gene therapy aiming to reduce renal pathologies and improve graft survival. The key components of this system are a glass heat exchanger, an oxygenator, a roller pump, and a circulating water bath.

down the expression of proteins only in cortical tubular epithelium and not in the glomeruli or medulla for up to 1 year after injection.¹⁶⁸

PROSPECTS FOR THE FUTURE

Gene therapy for the kidney has been challenging, and progress has been slow compared to other organs such as the eye, liver, neuromuscular system, and in cancer. There have been promising developments in kidney delivery using AAV and nanoparticles. As described above, there has been significant progress in delivering therapeutic genes targeted to different kidney cells using different delivery systems or routes. It has been shown that AAV-mediated gene therapy can be delivered to the kidney to different cell types using a variety of routes, although with relatively low transduction efficiencies. Despite this, AAV has been used to successfully rescue the kidney function in a genetic mouse model of monogenic glomerular disease,²⁹ and

ASOs have been used successfully for a monogenic tubular disease⁸⁰ and kidney fibrosis.⁷⁹ However, these promising advancements in kidney gene therapy have mostly been demonstrated in rodent models, but translating these findings to large animal models and clinical applications poses several challenges. For instance, gene delivery efficiency in rodents may not translate directly to larger animals or humans due to differences in organ size, blood flow, and anatomy.¹⁷⁰ Also, rodents have different immune responses compared to larger animals and humans, and the immunogenicity of vectors can lead to immune-mediated clearance, reduced transgene expression, or adverse reactions in humans.¹⁷⁰ Potential toxicity needs thorough evaluation in longterm large-animal studies,¹⁷⁰ and achieving sufficient transduction efficiency in target kidney cells is harder in larger organisms, requiring optimized vector designs. Finally, clinical translation involves regulatory hurdles, scalable manufacturing, and comprehensive trials to ensure efficacy and safety.¹⁷¹

However, although there have been promising results from basic research into kidney gene therapy, to date these have not been transferred to clinical practice. Within a few years, AAV-based podocyte targeting is likely to be the first clinical application in glomerular disease. Refining the delivery methods as well as gene delivery systems

will expand the kidney gene therapy toolbox and will be key to improving the success of translating these gene therapies into clinical use.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS None.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

N.T. wrote the manuscript with the assistance of G.I.W. and C.M. All authors helped revise the manuscript and approved the final version.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

G.I.W. and M.A.S. have consultancy agreements with Purespring Therapeutics.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kovesdy, C.P. (2022). Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease: an update 2022. Kidney Int. Suppl. (2011) *12*, 7–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kisu.2021.11.003.
- May, C.J., Chesor, M., Hunter, S.E., Hayes, B., Barr, R., Roberts, T., Barrington, F.A., Farmer, L., Ni, L., Jackson, M., et al. (2023). Podocyte protease activated receptor 1 stimulation in mice produces focal segmental glomerulosclerosis mirroring human disease signaling events. Kidney Int. 104, 265–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint. 2023.02.031.
- Bleyer, A.J., Westemeyer, M., Xie, J., Bloom, M.S., Brossart, K., Eckel, J.J., Jones, F., Molnar, M.Z., Kotzker, W., Anand, P., et al. (2022). Genetic Etiologies for Chronic Kidney Disease Revealed through Next-Generation Renal Gene Panel. Am. J. Nephrol. 53, 297–306. https://doi.org/10.1159/000522226.
- 4. Powis, S. (2023). Kidney disease: A UK public health emergency.
- Hall, Y.N., and Chertow, G.M. (2007). End stage renal disease. BMJ Clin. Evid. 2007, 2002.
- Abecassis, M., Bartlett, S.T., Collins, A.J., Davis, C.L., Delmonico, F.L., Friedewald, J.J., Hays, R., Howard, A., Jones, E., Leichtman, A.B., et al. (2008). Kidney transplantation as primary therapy for end-stage renal disease: a National Kidney Foundation/Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKF/KDOQITM) conference. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 3, 471–480. https://doi.org/10.2215/cjn. 05021107.
- Frascà, G.M., Sandrini, S., Cosmai, L., Porta, C., Asch, W., Santoni, M., Salviani, C., D'Errico, A., Malvi, D., Balestra, E., and Gallieni, M. (2015). Renal cancer in kidney transplanted patients. J. Nephrol. 28, 659–668. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40620-015-0219-8.
- 8. Chronic kidney disease (2022). Treating Chronic Kidney Disease (NHS inform).
- Tavakolidakhrabadi, N., Aulicino, F., May, C.J., Saleem, M.A., Berger, I., and Welsh, G.I. (2024). Genome editing and kidney health. Clin. Kidney J. 17, sfae119. https:// doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfae119.
- Hanss, B., and Bruggeman, L.A. (2003). Applications of gene therapy to kidney disease. Curr. Opin. Nephrol. Hypertens. 12, 439–445. https://doi.org/10.1097/00041552-200307000-00013.
- Gonçalves, G.A.R., and Paiva, R.D.M.A. (2017). Gene therapy: advances, challenges and perspectives. Einstein (Sao Paulo, Brazil) 15, 369–375. https://doi.org/10.1590/ s1679-45082017rb4024.
- Bastos, A.P., Piontek, K., Silva, A.M., Martini, D., Menezes, L.F., Fonseca, J.M., Fonseca, I.I., Germino, G.G., and Onuchic, L.F. (2009). Pkd1 haploinsufficiency increases renal damage and induces microcyst formation following ischemia/reperfusion. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 20, 2389–2402. https://doi.org/10.1681/asn.2008040435.
- Bergmann, C., Guay-Woodford, L.M., Harris, P.C., Horie, S., Peters, D.J.M., and Torres, V.E. (2018). Polycystic kidney disease. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers 4, 50. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-018-0047-y.
- Piontek, K., Menezes, L.F., Garcia-Gonzalez, M.A., Huso, D.L., and Germino, G.G. (2007). A critical developmental switch defines the kinetics of kidney cyst formation after loss of Pkd1. Nat. Med. *13*, 1490–1495. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1675.
- WareJoncas, Z., Campbell, J.M., Martínez-Gálvez, G., Gendron, W.A.C., Barry, M.A., Harris, P.C., Sussman, C.R., and Ekker, S.C. (2018). Precision gene editing

technology and applications in nephrology. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 14, 663-677. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-018-0047-x.

- Rittiner, J., Cumaran, M., Malhotra, S., and Kantor, B. (2022). Therapeutic modulation of gene expression in the disease state: Treatment strategies and approaches for the development of next-generation of the epigenetic drugs. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 10, 1035543. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.1035543.
- 17. Daley, J. (2021). Four Success Stories in Gene Therapy. Sci. Am. 325.
- Pearson, T.S., Gupta, N., San Sebastian, W., Imamura-Ching, J., Viehoever, A., Grijalvo-Perez, A., Fay, A.J., Seth, N., Lundy, S.M., Seo, Y., et al. (2021). Gene therapy for aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase deficiency by MR-guided direct delivery of AAV2-AADC to midbrain dopaminergic neurons. Nat. Commun. *12*, 4251. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24524-8.
- Rubeis, G., and Steger, F. (2018). Risks and benefits of human germline genome editing: An ethical analysis. Asian Bioeth. Rev. 10, 133–141. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s41649-018-0056-x.
- Ramamoorth, M., and Narvekar, A. (2015). Non viral vectors in gene therapy- an overview. J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 9, GE01–GE06. https://doi.org/10.7860/jcdr/2015/ 10443.5394.
- Bierzynska, A., McCarthy, H.J., Soderquest, K., Sen, E.S., Colby, E., Ding, W.Y., Nabhan, M.M., Kerecuk, L., Hegde, S., Hughes, D., et al. (2017). Genomic and clinical profiling of a national nephrotic syndrome cohort advocates a precision medicine approach to disease management. Kidney Int. *91*, 937–947. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.kint.2016.10.013.
- Przybyla, L., and Gilbert, L.A. (2022). A new era in functional genomics screens. Nat. Rev. Genet. 23, 89–103. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-021-00409-w.
- Subramanian, I., Verma, S., Kumar, S., Jere, A., and Anamika, K. (2020). Multiomics Data Integration, Interpretation, and Its Application. Bioinform. Biol. Insights 14, 1177932219899051. https://doi.org/10.1177/1177932219899051.
- Lewis, C.M., and Vassos, E. (2020). Polygenic risk scores: from research tools to clinical instruments. Genome Med. 12, 44. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00742-5.
- Salomon, R., Saunier, S., and Niaudet, P. (2009). Nephronophthisis. Pediatr. Nephrol. 24, 2333–2344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-008-0840-z.
- Afzal, M., and Kathuria, P. (2022). Familial Hypocalciuric Hypercalcemia. StatPearls (StatPearls Publishing).
- 27. Stavljenić-Rukavina, A. (2009). 5. Hereditary Kidney Disorders. Ejifcc 20, 33-40.
- Hildebrandt, F. (2010). Genetic kidney diseases. Lancet (London, England) 375, 1287–1295. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(10)60236-x.
- Ding, W.Y., Kuzmuk, V., Hunter, S., Lay, A., Hayes, B., Beesley, M., Rollason, R., Hurcombe, J.A., Barrington, F., Masson, C., et al. (2023). Adeno-associated virus gene therapy prevents progression of kidney disease in genetic models of nephrotic syndrome. Sci. Transl. Med. 15, eabc8226. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed. abc8226.
- Peek, J.L., and Wilson, M.H. (2023). Cell and gene therapy for kidney disease. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 19, 451–462. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-023-00702-3.
- Zaiss, A.K., and Muruve, D.A. (2005). Immune responses to adeno-associated virus vectors. Curr. Gene Ther. 5, 323–331. https://doi.org/10.2174/1566523054065039.
- He, X., Urip, B.A., Zhang, Z., Ngan, C.C., and Feng, B. (2021). Evolving AAV-delivered therapeutics towards ultimate cures. J. Mol. Med. 99, 593–617. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00109-020-02034-2.
- Ertl, H.C.J. (2022). Immunogenicity and toxicity of AAV gene therapy. Front. Immunol. 13, 975803. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.975803.
- Arjomandnejad, M., Dasgupta, I., Flotte, T.R., and Keeler, A.M. (2023). Immunogenicity of Recombinant Adeno-Associated Virus (AAV) Vectors for Gene Transfer. BioDrugs 37, 311–329. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40259-023-00585-7.
- Rubin, J.D., and Barry, M.A. (2020). Improving Molecular Therapy in the Kidney. Mol. Diagn. Ther. 24, 375–396. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40291-020-00467-6.
- Balzer, M.S., Rohacs, T., and Susztak, K. (2022). How Many Cell Types Are in the Kidney and What Do They Do? Annu. Rev. Physiol. 84, 507–531. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-physiol-052521-121841.

- Tomasoni, S., and Benigni, A. (2004). Gene therapy: how to target the kidney. Promises and pitfalls. Curr. Gene Ther. 4, 115–122. https://doi.org/10.2174/ 1566523044578013.
- Bulcha, J.T., Wang, Y., Ma, H., Tai, P.W.L., and Gao, G. (2021). Viral vector platforms within the gene therapy landscape. Signal Transduct. Targeted Ther. 6, 53. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00487-6.
- Scheller, E.L., and Krebsbach, P.H. (2009). Gene therapy: design and prospects for craniofacial regeneration. J. Dent. Res. 88, 585–596. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0022034509337480.
- Cheng, Q., Wei, T., Farbiak, L., Johnson, L.T., Dilliard, S.A., and Siegwart, D.J. (2020). Selective organ targeting (SORT) nanoparticles for tissue-specific mRNA delivery and CRISPR–Cas gene editing. Nat. Nanotechnol. 15, 313–320. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41565-020-0669-6.
- 41. Segel, M., Lash, B., Song, J., Ladha, A., Liu, C.C., Jin, X., Mekhedov, S.L., Macrae, R.K., Koonin, E.V., and Zhang, F. (2021). Mammalian retrovirus-like protein PEG10 packages its own mRNA and can be pseudotyped for mRNA delivery. Science 373, 882–889. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg6155.
- Woodard, L.E., Cheng, J., Welch, R.C., Williams, F.M., Luo, W., Gewin, L.S., and Wilson, M.H. (2017). Kidney-specific transposon-mediated gene transfer *in vivo*. Sci. Rep. 7, 44904. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44904.
- Gusella, G.L., Fedorova, E., Hanss, B., Marras, D., Klotman, M.E., and Klotman, P.E. (2002). Lentiviral gene transduction of kidney. Hum. Gene Ther. 13, 407–414. https://doi.org/10.1089/10430340252792530.
- Fu, Y., Chen, J., and Huang, Z. (2019). Recent progress in microRNA-based delivery systems for the treatment of human disease. ExRNA 1, 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41544-019-0024-y.
- Gangemi, S., and Tonacci, A. (2021). AntagomiRs: A novel therapeutic strategy for challenging COVID-19 cytokine storm. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 58, 111–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2020.09.001.
- Li, X.Y., Zhang, K., Jiang, Z.Y., and Cai, L.H. (2014). MiR-204/miR-211 downregulation contributes to candidemia-induced kidney injuries via derepression of Hmx1 expression. Life Sci. 102, 139–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2014.03.010.
- Wilflingseder, J., Jelencsics, K., Bergmeister, H., Sunzenauer, J., Regele, H., Eskandary, F., Reindl-Schwaighofer, R., Kainz, A., and Oberbauer, R. (2017). miR-182-5p Inhibition Ameliorates Ischemic Acute Kidney Injury. Am. J. Pathol. 187, 70–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2016.09.011.
- Wei, Q., Liu, Y., Liu, P., Hao, J., Liang, M., Mi, Q.S., Chen, J.K., and Dong, Z. (2016). MicroRNA-489 Induction by Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 Protects against Ischemic Kidney Injury. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 27, 2784–2796. https://doi.org/10.1681/asn. 2015080870.
- 49. Luan, J., Fu, J., Wang, D., Jiao, C., Cui, X., Chen, C., Liu, D., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Yuen, P.S.T., et al. (2020). miR-150-Based RNA Interference Attenuates Tubulointerstitial Fibrosis through the SOCS1/JAK/STAT Pathway In Vivo and In Vitro. Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids 22, 871–884. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn. 2020.10.008.
- Gomez, I.G., MacKenna, D.A., Johnson, B.G., Kaimal, V., Roach, A.M., Ren, S., Nakagawa, N., Xin, C., Newitt, R., Pandya, S., et al. (2015). Anti-microRNA-21 oligonucleotides prevent Alport nephropathy progression by stimulating metabolic pathways. J. Clin. Invest. *125*, 141–156. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci75852.
- Lee, E.C., Valencia, T., Allerson, C., Schairer, A., Flaten, A., Yheskel, M., Kersjes, K., Li, J., Gatto, S., Takhar, M., et al. (2019). Discovery and preclinical evaluation of antimiR-17 oligonucleotide RGLS4326 for the treatment of polycystic kidney disease. Nat. Commun. 10, 4148. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11918-y.
- Putta, S., Lanting, L., Sun, G., Lawson, G., Kato, M., and Natarajan, R. (2012). Inhibiting MicroRNA-192 Ameliorates Renal Fibrosis in Diabetic Nephropathy. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 23, 458–469. https://doi.org/10.1681/asn.2011050485.
- Ravichandran, K., Ozkok, A., Wang, Q., Mullick, A.E., and Edelstein, C.L. (2015). Antisense-mediated angiotensinogen inhibition slows polycystic kidney disease in mice with a targeted mutation in Pkd2. Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol. 308, F349– F357. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00478.2014.
- 54. Ravichandran, K., Zafar, I., He, Z., Doctor, R.B., Moldovan, R., Mullick, A.E., and Edelstein, C.L. (2014). An mTOR anti-sense oligonucleotide decreases polycystic

kidney disease in mice with a targeted mutation in Pkd2. Hum. Mol. Genet. 23, 4919-4931. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddu208.

- Kausch, I., Jiang, H., Brocks, C., Bruderek, K., Krüger, S., Sczakiel, G., Jocham, D., and Böhle, A. (2004). Ki-67-directed antisense therapy in an orthotopic renal cell carcinoma model. Eur. Urol. 46, 118–124. , discussion 124-115. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.eururo.2004.03.016.
- Shi, W., and Siemann, D.W. (2002). Inhibition of renal cell carcinoma angiogenesis and growth by antisense oligonucleotides targeting vascular endothelial growth factor. Br. J. Cancer 87, 119–126. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6600416.
- Wang, J.H., Newbury, L.J., Knisely, A.S., Monia, B., Hendry, B.M., and Sharpe, C.C. (2012). Antisense knockdown of Kras inhibits fibrosis in a rat model of unilateral ureteric obstruction. Am. J. Pathol. *180*, 82–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath. 2011.09.036.
- Guha, M., Xu, Z.G., Tung, D., Lanting, L., and Natarajan, R. (2007). Specific downregulation of connective tissue growth factor attenuates progression of nephropathy in mouse models of type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Faseb. J. 21, 3355–3368. https://doi. org/10.1096/fj.06-6713com.
- Ghanbarian, H., Aghamiri, S., Eftekhary, M., Wagner, N., and Wagner, K.D. (2021). Small Activating RNAs: Towards the Development of New Therapeutic Agents and Clinical Treatments. Cells 10, 591. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10030591.
- Zeng, T., Duan, X., Zhu, W., Liu, Y., Wu, W., and Zeng, G. (2018). SaRNA-mediated activation of TRPV5 reduces renal calcium oxalate deposition in rat via decreasing urinary calcium excretion. Urolithiasis 46, 271–278. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00240-017-1004-z.
- Fujino, T., Muhib, S., Sato, N., and Hasebe, N. (2013). Silencing of p53 RNA through transarterial delivery ameliorates renal tubular injury and downregulates GSK-3β expression after ischemia-reperfusion injury. Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol. 305, F1617–F1627. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00279.2013.
- Moore, C.B., Guthrie, E.H., Huang, M.T.H., and Taxman, D.J. (2010). Short hairpin RNA (shRNA): design, delivery, and assessment of gene knockdown. Methods Mol. Biol. 629, 141–158. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761-657-3_10.
- Koutsilieri, E., Rethwilm, A., and Scheller, C. (2007). The therapeutic potential of siRNA in gene therapy of neurodegenerative disorders. J. Neural. Transm. 43–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-211-73574-9_7.
- 64. Molitoris, B.A., Dagher, P.C., Sandoval, R.M., Campos, S.B., Ashush, H., Fridman, E., Brafman, A., Faerman, A., Atkinson, S.J., Thompson, J.D., et al. (2009). siRNA targeted to p53 attenuates ischemic and cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 20, 1754–1764. https://doi.org/10.1681/asn.2008111204.
- 65. Zheng, X., Zang, G., Jiang, J., He, W., Johnston, N.J., Ling, H., Chen, R., Zhang, X., Liu, Y., Haig, A., et al. (2016). Attenuating Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury in Kidney Transplantation by Perfusing Donor Organs With siRNA Cocktail Solution. Transplantation 100, 743–752. https://doi.org/10.1097/tp.000000000000960.
- 66. Narváez, A., Guiteras, R., Sola, A., Manonelles, A., Morote, J., Torras, J., Grinyó, J.M., and Cruzado, J.M. (2019). siRNA-silencing of CD40 attenuates unilateral ureteral obstruction-induced kidney injury in mice. PLoS One 14, e0215232. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215232.
- Mao, W., Wang, K., Xu, B., Zhang, H., Sun, S., Hu, Q., Zhang, L., Liu, C., Chen, S., Wu, J., et al. (2021). ciRS-7 is a prognostic biomarker and potential gene therapy target for renal cell carcinoma. Mol. Cancer 20, 142. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12943-021-01443-2.
- Que-Gewirth, N.S., and Sullenger, B.A. (2007). Gene therapy progress and prospects: RNA aptamers. Gene Ther. 14, 283–291. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt. 3302900.
- Song, K.M., Lee, S., and Ban, C. (2012). Aptamers and their biological applications. Sensors 12, 612–631. https://doi.org/10.3390/s120100612.
- Matsui, T., Higashimoto, Y., Nishino, Y., Nakamura, N., Fukami, K., and Yamagishi, S.-I. (2017). RAGE-Aptamer Blocks the Development and Progression of Experimental Diabetic Nephropathy. Diabetes 66, 1683–1695. https://doi.org/10. 2337/db16-1281.
- Taguchi, K., Yamagishi, S.I., Yokoro, M., Ito, S., Kodama, G., Kaida, Y., Nakayama, Y., Ando, R., Yamada-Obara, N., Asanuma, K., et al. (2018). RAGE-aptamer attenuates deoxycorticosterone acetate/salt-induced renal injury in mice. Sci. Rep. 8, 2686. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21176-5.

www.moleculartherapy.org

- Um, J.E., Park, J.T., Nam, B.Y., Lee, J.P., Jung, J.H., Kim, Y., Kim, S., Park, J., Wu, M., Han, S.H., et al. (2017). Periostin-binding DNA aptamer treatment attenuates renal fibrosis under diabetic conditions. Sci. Rep. 7, 8490. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09238-6.
- Zhang, H., Wang, Z., Xie, L., Zhang, Y., Deng, T., Li, J., Liu, J., Xiong, W., Zhang, L., Zhang, L., et al. (2018). Molecular Recognition and In-Vitro-Targeted Inhibition of Renal Cell Carcinoma Using a DNA Aptamer. Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids 12, 758–768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2018.07.015.
- 74. Zhao, X., Zhong, Y., Wang, X., Shen, J., and An, W. (2022). Advances in Circular RNA and Its Applications. Int. J. Med. Sci. 19, 975–985. https://doi.org/10.7150/ ijms.71840.
- McDonald, G.A., Zhu, G., Li, Y., Kovesdi, I., Wickham, T.J., and Sukhatme, V.P. (1999). Efficient adenoviral gene transfer to kidney cortical vasculature utilizing a fiber modified vector. J. Gene Med. 1, 103–110. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI) 1521-2254(199903/04)1:2<103::AID-JGM16>3.0.CO;2-O.
- 76. Chen, S., Huang, H., Liu, Y., Wang, C., Chen, X., Chang, Y., Li, Y., Guo, Z., Han, Z., Han, Z.C., et al. (2021). Renal subcapsular delivery of PGE(2) promotes kidney repair by activating endogenous Sox9(+) stem cells. iScience 24, 103243. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.103243.
- 77. Ye, X., Jerebtsova, M., Liu, X.-H., Li, Z., and Ray, P.E. (2002). Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer to renal glomeruli in rodents. Kidney Int. 61, S16–S23. https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.0610s1016.x.
- Moullier, P., Friedlander, G., Calise, D., Ronco, P., Perricaudet, M., and Ferry, N. (1994). Adenoviral-mediated gene transfer to renal tubular cells *in vivo*. Kidney Int. 45, 1220–1225. https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.1994.162.
- Newbury, L.J., Wang, J.H., Hung, G., Hendry, B.M., and Sharpe, C.C. (2019). Inhibition of Kirsten-Ras reduces fibrosis and protects against renal dysfunction in a mouse model of chronic folic acid nephropathy. Sci. Rep. 9, 14010. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50422-7.
- Ramsbottom, S.A., Molinari, E., Srivastava, S., Silberman, F., Henry, C., Alkanderi, S., Devlin, L.A., White, K., Steel, D.H., Saunier, S., et al. (2018). Targeted exon skipping of a CEP290 mutation rescues Joubert syndrome phenotypes *in vitro* and in a murine model. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *115*, 12489–12494. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1809432115.
- Niculescu, A.G., Bîrcă, A.C., and Grumezescu, A.M. (2021). New Applications of Lipid and Polymer-Based Nanoparticles for Nucleic Acids Delivery. Pharmaceutics 13, 2053. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics13122053.
- Meng, F., Wang, J., and Yeo, Y. (2022). Nucleic acid and oligonucleotide delivery for activating innate immunity in cancer immunotherapy. J. Control. Release 345, 586–600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2022.03.045.
- Wischnjow, A., Sarko, D., Janzer, M., Kaufman, C., Beijer, B., Brings, S., Haberkorn, U., Larbig, G., Kübelbeck, A., and Mier, W. (2016). Renal Targeting: Peptide-Based Drug Delivery to Proximal Tubule Cells. Bioconjug. Chem. 27, 1050–1057. https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.6b00057.
- Garrelfs, S.F., Frishberg, Y., Hulton, S.A., Koren, M.J., O'Riordan, W.D., Cochat, P., Deschênes, G., Shasha-Lavsky, H., Saland, J.M., Van't Hoff, W.G., et al. (2021). Lumasiran, an RNAi Therapeutic for Primary Hyperoxaluria Type 1. N. Engl. J. Med. 384, 1216–1226. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2021712.
- 85. Lee, C.S., Bishop, E.S., Zhang, R., Yu, X., Farina, E.M., Yan, S., Zhao, C., Zheng, Z., Shu, Y., Wu, X., et al. (2017). Adenovirus-mediated gene delivery: Potential applications for gene and cell-based therapies in the new era of personalized medicine. Genes Dis. 4, 43–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2017.04.001.
- Nahman, N.S., Sferra, T.J., Kronenberger, J., Urban, K.E., Troike, A.E., Johnson, A., Holycross, B.J., Nuovo, G.J., and Sedmak, D.D. (2000). Microsphere-adenoviral complexes target and transduce the glomerulus *in vivo*. Kidney Int. 58, 1500– 1510. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2000.00312.x.
- Bhatt, U.Y., Sferra, T.J., Johnson, A., Williams, C., Shirey, K., Venema, T., Nuovo, G.J., and Nahman, N.S. (2002). Glomerular β-galactosidase expression following transduction with microsphere-adenoviral complexes. Kidney Int. 61, S68–S72. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.0610s1068.x.
- Ye, X., Jerebtsova, M., Liu, X.-H., Li, Z., and Ray, P.E. (2002). Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer to renal glomeruli in rodents. Kidney Int. 61, S16–S23. https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.0610s1016.x.

- Verkman, A.S., and Yang, B. (2002). Aquaporin gene delivery to kidney. Kidney Int. 61, S120–S124. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.0610s1120.x.
- 90. McDonald, G.A. (2002). Targeted adenoviral gene transfer to the kidney. Kidney Int. *61*, S42–S46. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.0610s1042.x.
- Ghosh, S., Brown, A.M., Jenkins, C., and Campbell, K. (2020). Viral Vector Systems for Gene Therapy: A Comprehensive Literature Review of Progress and Biosafety Challenges. Appl. Biosaf. 25, 7–18. https://doi.org/10.1177/1535676019899502.
- Russell, R.A., Vassaux, G., Martin-Duque, P., and McClure, M.O. (2004). Transient foamy virus vector production by adenovirus vectors. Gene Ther. 11, 310–316. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt.3302177.
- Zhou, C., Shan, Y., Zhao, H., and He, P. (2011). Biological effects of lentivirus-mediated shRNA targeting collagen type I on the mesangial cells of rats. Ren. Fail. 33, 334–340. https://doi.org/10.3109/0886022x.2011.559679.
- McCarron, A., Donnelley, M., McIntyre, C., and Parsons, D. (2016). Challenges of up-scaling lentivirus production and processing. J. Biotechnol. 240, 23–30. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.10.016.
- Martínez-Molina, E., Chocarro-Wrona, C., Martínez-Moreno, D., Marchal, J.A., and Boulaiz, H. (2020). Large-Scale Production of Lentiviral Vectors: Current Perspectives and Challenges. Pharmaceutics 12, 1051. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics12111051.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina, S., Garrigue, A., Wang, G.P., Soulier, J., Lim, A., Morillon, E., Clappier, E., Caccavelli, L., Delabesse, E., Beldjord, K., et al. (2008). Insertional oncogenesis in 4 patients after retrovirus-mediated gene therapy of SCID-X1. J. Clin. Invest. 118, 3132–3142. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci35700.
- Schlimgen, R., Howard, J., Wooley, D., Thompson, M., Baden, L.R., Yang, O.O., Christiani, D.C., Mostoslavsky, G., Diamond, D.V., Duane, E.G., et al. (2016). Risks Associated With Lentiviral Vector Exposures and Prevention Strategies. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 58, 1159–1166. https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000 000000879.
- Schenkwein, D., Afzal, S., Nousiainen, A., Schmidt, M., and Ylä-Herttuala, S. (2020). Efficient Nuclease-Directed Integration of Lentivirus Vectors into the Human Ribosomal DNA Locus. Mol. Ther. 28, 1858–1875. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ymthe.2020.05.019.
- Martino, A.T., and Markusic, D.M. (2020). Immune Response Mechanisms against AAV Vectors in Animal Models. Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev. 17, 198–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2019.12.008.
- 100. Issa, S.S., Shaimardanova, A.A., Solovyeva, V.V., and Rizvanov, A.A. (2023). Various AAV Serotypes and Their Applications in Gene Therapy: An Overview. Cells 12, 785. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells12050785.
- 101. Aschauer, D.F., Kreuz, S., and Rumpel, S. (2013). Analysis of transduction efficiency, tropism and axonal transport of AAV serotypes 1, 2, 5, 6, 8 and 9 in the mouse brain. PLoS One 8, e76310. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076310.
- 102. Lipkowitz, M.S., Hanss, B., Tulchin, N., Wilson, P.D., Langer, J.C., Ross, M.D., Kurtzman, G.J., Klotman, P.E., and Klotman, M.E. (1999). Transduction of Renal Cells in Vitro and in Vivo by Adeno-Associated Virus Gene Therapy Vectors. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 10, 1908–1915. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.V1091908.
- 103. Chen, S., Agarwal, A., Glushakova, O.Y., Jorgensen, M.S., Salgar, S.K., Poirier, A., Flotte, T.R., Croker, B.P., Madsen, K.M., Atkinson, M.A., et al. (2003). Gene Delivery in Renal Tubular Epithelial Cells Using Recombinant Adeno-Associated Viral Vectors. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 14, 947–958. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ASN. 0000057858.45649.F7.
- 104. Furusho, T., Adachi, K., Galbraith-Liss, M., Sairavi, A., Das, R., and Nakai, H. (2023). Enhancing gene transfer to renal tubules and podocytes by context-dependent selection of AAV capsids. Preprint at bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07. 28.548760.
- 105. Ikeda, Y., Sun, Z., Ru, X., Vandenberghe, L.H., and Humphreys, B.D. (2018). Efficient Gene Transfer to Kidney Mesenchymal Cells Using a Synthetic Adeno-Associated Viral Vector. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 29, 2287–2297. https://doi. org/10.1681/asn.2018040426.
- 106. Eichhoff, A.M., Börner, K., Albrecht, B., Schäfer, W., Baum, N., Haag, F., Körbelin, J., Trepel, M., Braren, I., Grimm, D., et al. (2019). Nanobody-Enhanced Targeting of AAV Gene Therapy Vectors. Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev. 15, 211–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2019.09.003.

www.moleculartherapy.org

- 107. Lipkowitz, M.S., Hanss, B., Tulchin, N., Wilson, P.D., Langer, J.C., Ross, M.D., Kurtzman, G.J., Klotman, P.E., and Klotman, M.E. (1999). Transduction of renal cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* by adeno-associated virus gene therapy vectors. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 10, 1908–1915. https://doi.org/10.1681/asn.v1091908.
- Wang, X., Skelley, L., Cade, R., and Sun, Z. (2006). AAV delivery of mineralocorticoid receptor shRNA prevents progression of cold-induced hypertension and attenuates renal damage. Gene Ther. *13*, 1097–1103. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt. 3302768.
- 109. Shintaro, F., Shigeru, K., Mitsuru, H., and Koyo, N. (2013). Targeted Gene Delivery: Importance of Administration Routes. In Novel Gene Therapy Approaches, W. Ming and G. David, eds. (Rijeka: IntechOpen)). Ch. 1. https://doi.org/10.5772/ 54741.
- 110. Gutierrez-Guerrero, A., Cosset, F.L., and Verhoeyen, E. (2020). Lentiviral Vector Pseudotypes: Precious Tools to Improve Gene Modification of Hematopoietic Cells for Research and Gene Therapy. Viruses 12, 1016. https://doi.org/10.3390/ v12091016.
- 111. Aulicino, F., Pelosse, M., Toelzer, C., Capin, J., Ilegems, E., Meysami, P., Rollarson, R., Berggren, P.-O., Dillingham, M.S., Schaffitzel, C., et al. (2022). Highly efficient CRISPR-mediated large DNA docking and multiplexed prime editing using a single baculovirus. Nucleic Acids Res. 50, 7783–7799. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkac587.
- Bahadur, K.C., and Uludag, H. (2016). PEI and its derivatives for gene therapy, pp. 29–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100520-0.00002-3.
- 113. Gao, S., Dagnaes-Hansen, F., Nielsen, E.J.B., Wengel, J., Besenbacher, F., Howard, K.A., and Kjems, J. (2009). The effect of chemical modification and nanoparticle formulation on stability and biodistribution of siRNA in mice. Mol. Ther. 17, 1225–1233. https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2009.91.
- 114. Laursen, M.B., Pakula, M.M., Gao, S., Fluiter, K., Mook, O.R., Baas, F., Langklaer, N., Wengel, S.L., Wengel, J., Kjems, J., and Bramsen, J.B. (2010). Utilization of unlocked nucleic acid (UNA) to enhance siRNA performance *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Mol. Biosyst. 6, 862–870. https://doi.org/10.1039/b918869j.
- 115. Schyth, B.D., Bramsen, J.B., Pakula, M.M., Larashati, S., Kjems, J., Wengel, J., and Lorenzen, N. (2012). In vivo screening of modified siRNAs for non-specific antiviral effect in a small fish model: number and localization in the strands are important. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, 4653–4665. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks033.
- 116. Yang, C., Nilsson, L., Cheema, M.U., Wang, Y., Frøkiær, J., Gao, S., Kjems, J., and Nørregaard, R. (2015). Chitosan/siRNA nanoparticles targeting cyclooxygenase type 2 attenuate unilateral ureteral obstruction-induced kidney injury in mice. Theranostics 5, 110–123. https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.9717.
- 117. Gao, S., Hein, S., Dagnæs-Hansen, F., Weyer, K., Yang, C., Nielsen, R., Christensen, E.I., Fenton, R.A., and Kjems, J. (2014). Megalin-mediated specific uptake of chitosan/siRNA nanoparticles in mouse kidney proximal tubule epithelial cells enables AQP1 gene silencing. Theranostics 4, 1039–1051. https://doi.org/10.7150/ thno.7866.
- 118. Maeshima, Y., Kashihara, N., Yasuda, T., Sugiyama, H., Sekikawa, T., Okamoto, K., Kanao, K., Watanabe, Y., Kanwar, Y.S., and Makino, H. (1998). Inhibition of mesangial cell proliferation by E2F decoy oligodeoxynucleotide *in vitro* and *in vivo*. J. Clin. Invest. 101, 2589–2597. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci429.
- 119. Yagi, K., Noda, H., Kurono, M., and Ohishi, N. (1993). Efficient gene transfer with less cytotoxicity by means of cationic multilamellar liposomes. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 196, 1042–1048. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1993.2356.
- 120. Kaneda, Y., Iwai, K., and Uchida, T. (1989). Introduction and expression of the human insulin gene in adult rat liver. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 12126–12129.
- 121. Tomita, N., Higaki, J., Morishita, R., Kato, K., Mikami, H., Kaneda, Y., and Ogihara, T. (1992). Direct *in vivo* gene introduction into rat kidney. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *186*, 129–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(05)80784-3.
- 122. Akagi, Y., Isaka, Y., Arai, M., Kaneko, T., Takenaka, M., Moriyama, T., Kaneda, Y., Ando, A., Orita, Y., Kamada, T., et al. (1996). Inhibition of TGF-beta 1 expression by antisense oligonucleotides suppressed extracellular matrix accumulation in experimental glomerulonephritis. Kidney Int. 50, 148–155. https://doi.org/10.1038/ki. 1996.297.

- Hecker, M., and Wagner, A.H. (2017). Transcription factor decoy technology: A therapeutic update. Biochem. Pharmacol. 144, 29–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bcp.2017.06.122.
- 124. Tomita, N., Kashihara, N., and Morishita, R. (2007). Transcription factor decoy oligonucleotide-based therapeutic strategy for renal disease. Clin. Exp. Nephrol. 11, 7–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10157-007-0459-6.
- 125. Chae, Y.M., Park, K.K., Lee, I.K., Kim, J.K., Kim, C.H., and Chang, Y.C. (2006). Ring-Sp1 decoy oligonucleotide effectively suppresses extracellular matrix gene expression and fibrosis of rat kidney induced by unilateral ureteral obstruction. Gene Ther. 13, 430–439. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt.3302696.
- 126. Thomas, M., and Klibanov, A.M. (2002). Enhancing polyethylenimine's delivery of plasmid DNA into mammalian cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 14640–14645. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.192581499.
- 127. Kunath, K., von Harpe, A., Fischer, D., Petersen, H., Bickel, U., Voigt, K., and Kissel, T. (2003). Low-molecular-weight polyethylenimine as a non-viral vector for DNA delivery: comparison of physicochemical properties, transfection efficiency and *in vivo* distribution with high-molecular-weight polyethylenimine. J. Control. Release *89*, 113–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-3659(03)00076-2.
- 128. Kim, Y.K., Kwon, J.T., Jiang, H.L., Choi, Y.J., Cho, M.H., and Cho, C.S. (2012). Kidney-specific peptide-conjugated poly(ester amine) for the treatment of kidney fibrosis. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. *12*, 5149–5154. https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn. 2012.6372.
- 129. Rai, D.B., Pooja, D., and Kulhari, H. (2020). 9 Dendrimers in gene delivery. In Pharmaceutical Applications of Dendrimers, A. Chauhan and H. Kulhari, eds. (Elsevier), pp. 211–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814527-2.00009-3.
- Han, S., Mahato, R.I., Sung, Y.K., and Kim, S.W. (2000). Development of Biomaterials for Gene Therapy. Mol. Ther. 2, 302–317. https://doi.org/10.1006/ mthe.2000.0142.
- 131. Xiang, J.J., Tang, J.Q., Zhu, S.G., Nie, X.M., Lu, H.B., Shen, S.R., Li, X.L., Tang, K., Zhou, M., and Li, G.Y. (2003). IONP-PLL: a novel non-viral vector for efficient gene delivery. J. Gene Med. 5, 803–817. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.419.
- 132. Dolman, M.E.E.M., van Dorenmalen, K.M.A., Pieters, E.H.E., Sparidans, R.W., Lacombe, M., Szokol, B., Orfi, L., Kéri, G., Bovenschen, N., Storm, G., et al. (2012). Dendrimer-based macromolecular conjugate for the kidney-directed delivery of a multitargeted sunitinib analogue. Macromol. Biosci. *12*, 93–103. https://doi. org/10.1002/mabi.201100277.
- 133. Matsuura, S., Katsumi, H., Suzuki, H., Hirai, N., Hayashi, H., Koshino, K., Higuchi, T., Yagi, Y., Kimura, H., Sakane, T., and Yamamoto, A. (2018). l-Serine-modified polyamidoamine dendrimer as a highly potent renal targeting drug carrier. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115, 10511–10516. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808168115.
- 134. Katsumi, H., Takashima, R., Suzuki, H., Hirai, N., Matsuura, S., Kimura, H., Morishita, M., and Yamamoto, A. (2020). S-nitrosylated l-serine-modified dendrimer as a kidney-targeting nitric oxide donor for prevention of renal ischaemia/ reperfusion injury. Free Radic. Res. 54, 841–847. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10715762.2019.1697437.
- Arima, H., Motoyama, K., and Higashi, T. (2012). Polyamidoamine Dendrimer Conjugates with Cyclodextrins as Novel Carriers for DNA, shRNA and siRNA. Pharmaceutics 4, 130–148. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics4010130.
- 136. Kihara, F., Arima, H., Tsutsumi, T., Hirayama, F., and Uekama, K. (2002). Effects of structure of polyamidoamine dendrimer on gene transfer efficiency of the dendrimer conjugate with alpha-cyclodextrin. Bioconjug. Chem. 13, 1211–1219. https://doi.org/10.1021/bc025557d.
- 137. Kihara, F., Arima, H., Tsutsumi, T., Hirayama, F., and Uekama, K. (2003). In vitro and *in vivo* gene transfer by an optimized alpha-cyclodextrin conjugate with polyamidoamine dendrimer. Bioconjug. Chem. 14, 342–350. https://doi.org/10.1021/ bc025613a.
- Wada, K., Arima, H., Tsutsumi, T., Chihara, Y., Hattori, K., Hirayama, F., and Uekama, K. (2005). Improvement of gene delivery mediated by mannosylated dendrimer/α-cyclodextrin conjugates. J. Control. Release 104, 397–413. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jconrel.2005.02.016.
- Peek, J.L., and Wilson, M.H. (2022). Gene therapy for kidney disease: targeting cystinuria. Curr. Opin. Nephrol. Hypertens. 31, 175–179. https://doi.org/10.1097/ mnh.00000000000768.

- 140. Tavakoli, N., Divsalar, A., Haertlé, T., Sawyer, L., Saboury, A.A., and Muronetz, V. (2021). Milk protein-based nanodelivery systems for the cancer treatment. J. Nanostruct. Chem. 11, 483–500. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40097-021-00399-5.
- 141. Williams, R.M., Shah, J., Tian, H.S., Chen, X., Geissmann, F., Jaimes, E.A., and Heller, D.A. (2018). Selective Nanoparticle Targeting of the Renal Tubules. Hypertension 71, 87–94. https://doi.org/10.1161/hypertensionaha.117.09843.
- 142. Zuckerman, J.E., Gale, A., Wu, P., Ma, R., and Davis, M.E. (2015). siRNA delivery to the glomerular mesangium using polycationic cyclodextrin nanoparticles containing siRNA. Nucleic Acid Ther. 25, 53–64. https://doi.org/10.1089/nat.2014.0505.
- 143. Shimizu, H., Hori, Y., Kaname, S., Yamada, K., Nishiyama, N., Matsumoto, S., Miyata, K., Oba, M., Yamada, A., Kataoka, K., and Fujita, T. (2010). siRNA-based therapy ameliorates glomerulonephritis. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 21, 622–633. https://doi.org/10.1681/asn.2009030295.
- 144. Wang, Y., Cui, H., Li, K., Sun, C., Du, W., Cui, J., Zhao, X., and Chen, W. (2014). A magnetic nanoparticle-based multiple-gene delivery system for transfection of porcine kidney cells. PLoS One 9, e102886. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0102886.
- 145. Oroojalian, F., Rezayan, A.H., Mehrnejad, F., Nia, A.H., Shier, W.T., Abnous, K., and Ramezani, M. (2017). Efficient megalin targeted delivery to renal proximal tubular cells mediated by modified-polymyxin B-polyethylenimine based nano-gene-carriers. Mater. Sci. Eng. C Mater. Biol. Appl. 79, 770–782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. msec.2017.05.068.
- 146. Mathew, A.P., Uthaman, S., Bae, E.H., Lee, J.Y., and Park, I.K. (2021). Vimentin Targeted Nano-gene Carrier for Treatment of Renal Diseases. J. Korean Med. Sci. 36, e333. https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e333.
- 147. Morishita, Y., Imai, T., Yoshizawa, H., Watanabe, M., Ishibashi, K., Muto, S., and Nagata, D. (2015). Delivery of microRNA-146a with polyethylenimine nanoparticles inhibits renal fibrosis *in vivo*. Int. J. Nanomed. *10*, 3475–3488. https://doi. org/10.2147/ijn.s82587.
- 148. Wang, Y., Wu, Q., Wang, J., Li, L., Sun, X., Zhang, Z., and Zhang, L. (2020). Co-delivery of p38α MAPK and p65 siRNA by novel liposomal glomerulus-targeting nano carriers for effective immunoglobulin a nephropathy treatment. J. Control. Release 320, 457–468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2020.01.024.
- 149. Yokoo, T., and Kitamura, M. (1996). Gene Transfer of Interleukin-1 Receptor Antagonist into the Renal Glomerulus via a Mesangial Cell Vector. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 226, 883–888. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1996.1444.
- 150. Kitamura, M., Taylor, S., Unwin, R., Burton, S., Shimizu, F., and Fine, L.G. (1994). Gene transfer into the rat renal glomerulus via a mesangial cell vector: site-specific delivery, *in situ* amplification, and sustained expression of an exogenous gene *in vivo*. J. Clin. Invest. 94, 497–505. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci117361.
- Kim, H.J., Kim, S.I., Yun, I.J., Kwak, J.H., and Yu, S.H. (2000). Gene Delivery into Rat Glomerulus Using a Mesangial Cell Vector. Mol. Cells 10, 662–668. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10059-000-0662-8.
- Huijun, W., Long, C., Zhigang, Z., Feng, J., and Muyi, G. (2005). Ex vivo transfer of the decorin gene into rat glomerulus via a mesangial cell vector suppressed extracellular matrix accumulation in experimental glomerulonephritis. Exp. Mol. Pathol. 78, 17–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2004.07.006.
- 153. Sun, J.Y., Sun, Y., Wu, H.J., Zhang, H.X., Zhao, Z.H., Chen, Q., and Zhang, Z.G. (2012). Transgene therapy for rat anti-Thy1.1 glomerulonephritis via mesangial cell vector with a polyethylenimine/decorin nanocomplex. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 7, 451. https://doi.org/10.1186/1556-276x-7-451.
- 154. Yokoo, T., and Kitamura, M. (1996). Gene transfer of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist into the renal glomerulus via a mesangial cell vector. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 226, 883–888. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1996.1444.
- 155. Yokoo, T., Utsunomiya, Y., Ohashi, T., Imasawa, T., Kogure, T., Futagawa, Y., Kawamura, T., Eto, Y., and Hosoya, T. (1998). Inflamed site-specific gene delivery using bone marrow-derived CD11b+CD18+ vehicle cells in mice. Hum. Gene Ther. 9, 1731–1738. https://doi.org/10.1089/hum.1998.9.12-1731.

- Wilson, H.M., and Kluth, D.C. (2003). Targeting genetically modified macrophages to the glomerulus. Nephron Exp. Nephrol. 94, e113–e118. https://doi.org/10.1159/ 000072494.
- 157. Yokoo, T., Ohashi, T., Utsunomiya, Y., Kojima, H., Imasawa, T., Kogure, T., Hisada, Y., Okabe, M., Eto, Y., Kawamura, T., and Hosoya, T. (1999). Prophylaxis of antibody-induced acute glomerulonephritis with genetically modified bone marrowderived vehicle cells. Hum. Gene Ther. 10, 2673–2678. https://doi.org/10.1089/ 10430349950016717.
- 158. Yamagishi, H., Yokoo, T., Imasawa, T., Mitarai, T., Kawamura, T., and Utsunomiya, Y. (2001). Genetically Modified Bone Marrow-Derived Vehicle Cells Site Specifically Deliver an Anti-Inflammatory Cytokine to Inflamed Interstitium of Obstructive Nephropathy1. J. Immunol. *166*, 609–616. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166. 1.609.
- 159. Kluth, D.C., Ainslie, C.V., Pearce, W.P., Finlay, S., Clarke, D., Anegon, I., and Rees, A.J. (2001). Macrophages Transfected with Adenovirus to Express IL-4 Reduce Inflammation in Experimental Glomerulonephritis1. J. Immunol. 166, 4728–4736. https://doi.org/10.4049/jijmmunol.166.7.4728.
- 160. Wilson, H.M., Stewart, K.N., Brown, P.A.J., Anegon, I., Chettibi, S., Rees, A.J., and Kluth, D.C. (2002). Bone-marrow-derived macrophages genetically modified to produce IL-10 reduce injury in experimental glomerulonephritis. Mol. Ther. 6, 710–717. https://doi.org/10.1006/mthe.2002.0802.
- 161. Ricker, J.L., Mata, J.E., Iversen, P.L., and Gattone, V.H. (2002). c-myc antisense oligonucleotide treatment ameliorates murine ARPKD. Kidney Int. 61, S125– S131. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.0610s1125.x.
- 162. Isaka, Y., Fujiwara, Y., Ueda, N., Kaneda, Y., Kamada, T., and Imai, E. (1993). Glomerulosclerosis induced by *in vivo* transfection of transforming growth factorbeta or platelet-derived growth factor gene into the rat kidney. J. Clin. Invest. 92, 2597–2601. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci116874.
- 163. Xu, D., Chen, P.P., Zheng, P.Q., Yin, F., Cheng, Q., Zhou, Z.L., Xie, H.Y., Li, J.Y., Ni, J.Y., Wang, Y.Z., et al. (2021). KLF4 initiates sustained YAP activation to promote renal fibrosis in mice after ischemia-reperfusion kidney injury. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 42, 436–450. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41401-020-0463-x.
- Akalin, E., and Bromberg, J.S. (2002). Gene therapy and solid-organ transplantation. Kidney Int. 61, S56–S60. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.0610s1056.x.
- 165. Shou, Z., Xiao, H., Xu, Y., Wang, Y., Yang, Y., Jiang, H., Chen, J., Yamada, K., and Miyamoto, K. (2009). SHARP-2 gene silencing by lentiviral-based short hairpin RNA interference prolonged rat kidney transplant recipients' survival time. J. Int. Med. Res. 37, 766–778. https://doi.org/10.1177/147323000903700320.
- 166. Yuzefovych, Y., Valdivia, E., Rong, S., Hack, F., Rother, T., Schmitz, J., Bräsen, J.H., Wedekind, D., Moers, C., Wenzel, N., et al. (2020). Genetic Engineering of the Kidney to Permanently Silence MHC Transcripts During ex vivo Organ Perfusion. Front. Immunol. 11, 265. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00265.
- 167. Zeigler, S.T., Kerby, J.D., Curiel, D.T., Diethelm, A.G., and Thompson, J.A. (1996). Molecular conjugate-mediated gene transfer into isolated human kidneys1. Transplantation 61, 812–817.
- 168. Espana-Agusti, J., Tuveson, D.A., Adams, D.J., and Matakidou, A. (2015). A minimally invasive, lentiviral based method for the rapid and sustained genetic manipulation of renal tubules. Sci. Rep. 5, 11061. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11061.
- 169. Naito, T., Yokoyama, H., Moore, K.J., Dranoff, G., Mulligan, R.C., and Kelley, V.R. (1996). Macrophage growth factors introduced into the kidney initiate renal injury. Mol. Med. 2, 297–312.
- Casal, M., and Haskins, M. (2006). Large animal models and gene therapy. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 14, 266–272. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201535.
- 171. Lee, N.K., and Chang, J.W. (2024). Manufacturing Cell and Gene Therapies: Challenges in Clinical Translation. Ann. Lab. Med. 44, 314–323. https://doi.org/ 10.3343/alm.2023.0382.