

Article



# Association of BMI, Diet, Physical Activity, and Oral Hygiene Practices with DMFT Index of Male Dental Students at King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa

Rizwan Jouhar<sup>1,\*</sup>, Muhammad Adeel Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Zohaib Khurshid<sup>2</sup>, and Syed Akhtar Hussain Bokhari<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Restorative Dentistry and Endodontics, College of Dentistry, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia; mshakeel@kfu.edu.sa
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Prosthodontics and Dental Implantology, College of Dentistry, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia; zsultan@kfu.edu.sa
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Preventive Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia; sbokhari@kfu.edu.sa
- \* Correspondence: rjouhar@kfu.edu.sa; Tel.: +966-593114621

Abstract: Despite sufficient knowledge of good oral hygiene habits, dental students still suffer from oral health problems owing to dietary habits, obesity, and a sedentary lifestyle. This crosssectional study was conducted to evaluate an association of BMI (body mass index), diet, physical activity, and oral hygiene practices with DMFT (decayed, missing, and filled teeth) of male dental students and interns at King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia, from August to September 2020. One hundred and eighty-five male participants completed the study questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of sociodemographic information and nineteen close-ended questions about current diet, physical activity, and oral hygiene practices. Students' height (cm) and weight (kg) were measured to calculate BMI. The principal investigator performed the oral clinical examinations for the DMFT status in the dental clinic. The chi-square test was used for the dichotomous variables and a t-test was used for the continuous variables. Linear and multinomial logistic regression were performed to detect the significant predictors of the DMFT score. The mean age of participants was 22.29  $\pm$ 2.13 years, and the BMI was  $24.94 \pm 3.36$  (Kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Parents' higher education and income levels were significantly (p < 0.001) associated with a higher BMI. Most dietary variables, especially sugar products, and low physical activity, were significantly (<0.047) associated with higher BMI. All oral hygiene practices, except miswak and mouthwash, were significantly (<0.003) associated with higher BMI. Decayed and missing teeth were significantly (<0.001) higher in the overweight and obese. A simple linear regression analysis demonstrated association between BMI and decayed teeth, with an R = 0.35 (<0.001); BMI and missing teeth had an R = 0.12 (p = 0.867); BMI and filled teeth had an R = 0.15 (p = 0.033), and BMI with DMFT had an R = 0.33 (<0.001). This study demonstrated a strong significant association of the decayed and missing teeth with higher BMI levels. In addition, BMI was significantly associated with diet and physical activity, despite acceptable oral hygiene practices.

Keywords: DMFT; oral hygiene practices; BMI; dietary habits; dental students; physical activity

### 1. Introduction

Dental students are key members of society and are the future pillar of dentistry in Saudi Arabia. Despite having sufficient knowledge of good oral hygiene habits, they still suffer from oral health problems, owing to obesity, lifestyle, and possibly study pressure [1]. According to WHO, 1.9 billion adults with an age of 18 years and above, throughout the world, were found to be overweight in 2016, and out of these 650 million were obese. WHO defines overweight and obesity as "an abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that may impair health" [2]. Increasing prevalence of obesity is considered to be a significant public health problem in Saudi Arabia [3]. The primary cause of obesity is consumption of a high-calorie



Citation: Jouhar, R.; Ahmed, M.A.; Khurshid, Z.; Bokhari, S.A.H. Association of BMI, Diet, Physical Activity, and Oral Hygiene Practices with DMFT Index of Male Dental Students at King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 224. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13010224

Received: 3 December 2020 Accepted: 12 January 2021 Published: 14 January 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). diet and a low calorie expenditure [4]. Additionally, physical inactivity and overweight are, respectively, found to be the fourth and fifth major causes of mortality worldwide, and are considered to be the leading risk factor for other systemic diseases like cardiovascular diseases, musculoskeletal diseases, and cancers of the liver, colon, and prostate [5].

Body Mass Index (BMI) is the most frequently used method to categorize people into underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese [6]. Poor dietary habits, lack of effective oral hygiene practices, a sedentary lifestyle, and high sugar consumption, not only causes a deleterious effect on oral health but are also associated with obesity [3,7–9]. Young adults, including dental students, modify their lifestyle when they enter the university due to independence from their parents and changes in the social interaction [10]. Furthermore, most students feel stressful due to hectic schedules and workload, which in turn might influence them to adopt unhealthy lifestyle and dietary habits [1]. Previous studies reported that university students often neglect their healthy diet, oral hygiene practices, physical activity, and might start consuming junk food laden with excessive calories and tobacco products [11–13].

Dental caries is an infectious microbial disease of the teeth and the World Health Organization (WHO) found it to be one of the most prevalent diseases across the world, in 2017 [14]. Multiple factors are known to be involved in its prevalence, such as high frequency of sugar intake, poor oral hygiene, presence of cariogenic bacteria, and quality and quantity of saliva [15]. Presence of dental caries in the anterior teeth might compromise the esthetics and decrease the confidence level of dental students [16]. Moreover, pulpal pain and sensitivity owing to dental caries sometimes become unbearable and might affect the academic performance of dental students [17,18]. DMFT is a globally used index for assessing the status of dental health [19,20].

Dentists have an essential role in the diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of oral diseases and they contribute significantly to reduce the burden of oral diseases in the community. A persistent challenge for dental colleges is to produce future dentists who are equipped with thorough knowledge and skills, at par with worldwide excellence and competence [21–25]. Knowledge of good oral hygiene practices, healthy diet counselling, and frequent dental problems such as dental caries and tooth erosion are taught in the early academic years at dental schools, with the objective of students educating their patients during clinical practice. However, it was observed that knowledge and awareness could not produce the desired results until they were self-implemented and internalized. Therefore, it is desirable that future dentists should eat healthy food and maintain a good oral hygiene, in order to convince their patient to acquire a healthy lifestyle and adequate oral hygiene [26].

In light of this background, the present study aimed to evaluate an association of BMI (body mass index), diet, physical activity, and oral hygiene practices with DMFT (decayed, missing, and filled teeth) of male dental students and interns at King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was carried out at College of Dentistry, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa from August 2020 to September 2020. Study permission was attained from the committee of scientific research (KFU-REC/2020-11-01). The sample size was calculated using Open Epi, considering the mean DMFT level of  $1.46 \pm 2.04$ , with the power of study being 80. Keeping the confidence interval of 0.95% with an  $\alpha$  error of 0.05%, the total estimated sample size was 190 participants [3].

One hundred and eighty-five male dental students participated after excluding 5dropouts, owing to incomplete information provided in the questionnaire and withdrawal from participation. All participants belonged to the undergraduate dental programs, such as grade 2 (30), grade 3 (25), grade 4 (26), grade 5 (24), grade 6 (56), and Interns (24).

After obtaining written informed consent, a printed copy of the self-administered questionnaire was provided to the participants, who were advised to fill the required

information. The reliability of the questionnaire was analyzed through Cronbach's alpha. The split internal consistency of the questionnaire items was  $\alpha = 0.704$ . Additionally, our research group checked the validity of the questionnaire through face and content validity methods in the panel discussion.

The questionnaire was divided into three sections. The first section contained sociodemographic information. The second section comprised of ten closed-ended questions to acquire information about current diet and physical activity. Oral hygiene practices of the participant were obtained by nine closed-ended questions in the third section.

After acquiring the required information in the questionnaire, each student's height (cm) and weight (kg) was measured, and BMI was calculated using the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's online BMI calculator (www.nhlbi.nih.gov). BMI score was classified into four categories of underweight (UW) (<18.5 Kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (NW) (18.5 to 24.9 Kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (OW) (25 to 29.9 Kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and obese (Ob) (30 and above Kg/m<sup>2</sup>). All participants were in the scrub suit, and they were instructed to remove accessory wearing and shoes. Later, the predetermined weight of the scrub suit was subtracted from the determined weight.

Every participant underwent a thorough comprehensive clinical examination of the oral cavity. Primary teeth, early white spot lesion, and fissure sealant, were excluded. Teeth missing for reasons other than carious extraction, such as an orthodontic reason or trauma, were considered to be healthy, in line with the WHO criteria. All participants were allowed to sit comfortably on the dental chair (ESTETICA E30, KAVO, Warthausen, Germany). Visibility for dental examination were enhanced by using LED (KaVoLUX 540 LED, Biberach, Germany) attached to the dental chair. All teeth were air-dried using an airwater syringe, then cotton rolls were placed on the lingual and buccal sulcus for isolation. Basic examination instruments were used for the visual examination of the DMFT status.

DMFT score of all participants was assessed and recorded by a single examiner, the principal investigator, with intra-examiner agreement of 0.089 on the Kappa scale.

#### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was done on SPSS version 21. Tests of normality were applied to check the distribution of dental variables, such as Decayed, Missing, Filled Teeth (DMFT), Decayed Teeth (DT), Missing Teeth (MT), and Filled Teeth (FT) using the Kolmogorov test. Quantitative variables were analyzed as means and standard deviations, whereas qualitative variables were assessed in terms of frequencies and percentages. The chi-square test was used for dichotomous variables and control of covariates, i.e., the effect of independent variables BMI, diet, oral hygiene, physical activity on DMFT. A linear and multinomial logistic regression analysis was performed to detect significant predictors of the DMFT score. A *p*-value of  $\leq 0.05$  was considered to be significant.

#### 3. Results

One hundred and ninety (190) BDS (Bachelor's in Dental Surgery) students, along with the interns, participated in the study. Data were analyzed for 185 participants, and five were eliminated due to incomplete information provided in the questionnaire (Figure 1). STROBE guidelines were followed in the study. Thirty students were from year 1, 25 from year 2, 26 from year 3, 24 from year 4, 56 from year 5, and 24 were internees. The mean age of participants was  $22.29 \pm 2.13$  years, and BMI was  $24.94 \pm 3.36$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 1. Flowchart of methodology.

Table 1 demonstrates the distribution of age, year of education, sibling, parent's education, parent's occupation, and family income, among the BMI categories of underweight, normal, overweight, and obese. Parents' education levels and family's income categories were statistically significant (p < 0.001) in the BMI categories.

		BMI Categories (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	17 11.	Underweight	Normal	Overweight	Obese	Total	<i>p-</i> Value	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Variables	6 (3.2)	101 (54.6)	60 (32.4)	18 (9.7)	185 (100)		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$17.83\pm0.41$	$22.73 \pm 1.31$	$27.38 \pm 0.98$	$31.61 \pm 1.11$	$24.94 \pm 3.36$	< 0.001	
Age group 1 (19-22 years)  3 (50)  50 (49.5)  27 (45)  11 (61)  91 (49.2)  0.694    Age group 2 (23-26 years)  3 (50)  51 (50.5)  33 (50)  71 (80.9)  94 (50.8)    BDS Year 1  1 (16.7)  15 (14.9)  10 (16.7)  4 (22.2)  30 (16.2)  0.827    Year 2  1 (16.7)  15 (14.9)  6 (10.0)  5 (27.8)  26 (14.1)    Year 4  2 (33.3)  15 (14.9)  6 (10.0)  15 (5.6)  24 (13.0)    Year 5  1 (16.7)  30 (29.7)  20 (33.3)  5 (27.8)  26 (14.1)    Year 5  1 (16.7)  30 (29.7)  20 (33.3)  5 (27.8)  5 (30.3)    Internees  1 (16.7)  30 (29.7)  20 (35.6)  27 (38.9)  0.21(1.1)  24 (13)    Sibling	Age (Years)	$22.33 \pm 2.58$	$22.28\pm2.03$	$22.38 \pm 2.28$	$22.29 \pm 2.13$	$22.29 \pm 2.13$	0.931	
Age group 2 (23-26 years)3 (50)51 (50.5)33 (55)7 (38.9)94 (50.8)BDS Year 0Vear 11 (16.7)15 (14.9)10 (16.7)4 (22.2)30 (16.2)0.827Year 11 (16.7)14 (13.9)9 (15.0)1 (5.6)25 (13.5)0.827Year 21 (16.7)15 (14.9)6 (10.0)5 (27.8)26 (14.1)Year 42 (33.3)15 (14.9)6 (10.0)1 (5.6)24 (13.0)Year 51 (16.7)30 (29.7)20 (33.3)5 (27.8)56 (30.3)Internees1 (16.7)12 (11.9)9 (15.0)2 (11.1)24 (13Sibling $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $5/1$ 4 (66.7)60 (59.4)34 (56.7)8 (44.4)106 (57.3) $0.216$ $10/6$ 1 (16.7)39 (38.6)22 (36.7)10 (55.6)72 (38.9) $V$ >101 (16.7)29 (38.6)22 (36.7)10 (55.6)72 (38.9) $V$ $300$ 1 (16.7)29 (38.6)22 (36.7)10 (55.6)72 (38.9) $V$ $V$ No Education3 (50)27 (26.7)3 (5.0)033 (17.8) $V$ College level017 (16.8)15 (25)9 (50.3)38 (20.5) $V$ University level3 (50)24 (35.6)21 (35)060 (32.4) $V$ Oblege level09 (53.6)21 (35)06 (30.3) $V$ $V$ Output restrice03 (34.7)18 (30)3 (16.7)<	Age group 1 (19–22 years)	3 (50)	50 (49.5)	27 (45)	11 (61)	91 (49.2)	0.694	
BDS Year of EducationYear 11 (16.7)15 (14.9)9 (15.0)1 (5.6)25 (13.5)Year 21 (16.7)14 (13.9)9 (15.0)1 (5.6)24 (13.0)Year 3015 (14.9)6 (10.0)1 (5.6)24 (13.0)Year 42 (33.3)15 (14.9)6 (10.0)1 (5.6)24 (13.0)Year 51 (16.7)30 (29.7)20 (33.3)5 (27.8)56 (30.3)Internees1 (16.7)30 (29.7)20 (33.3)5 (27.8)56 (30.3)Sibling5/14 (66.7)60 (59.4)34 (56.7)8 (44.4)106 (57.3)0.21610/61 (16.7)29 (38.6)22 (36.7)10 (55.6)72 (38.9)21011 (16.7)2 (2.0)4 (67.7)07 (3.8)21021.0)5/14 (66.7)09 (8.9)15 (25)9 (50)33 (17.8)<0.001	Age group 2 (23–26 years)	3 (50)	51 (50.5)	33 (55)	7 (38.9)	94 (50.8)		
Year 11 (16.7)15 (14.9)10 (16.7)4 (22.2)30 (16.2)0.827Year 21 (16.7)14 (13.9)9 (15.0)1 (5.6)25 (13.5)Year 3015 (14.9)6 (10.0)5 (27.8)26 (14.1)Year 42 (33.3)15 (14.9)9 (15.0)2 (11.1)24 (13.0)Year 51 (16.7)30 (29.7)20 (33.3)5 (27.8)56 (30.3)Internees1 (16.7)12 (11.9)9 (15.0)2 (11.1)24 (13)Sibling $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ 5/14 (66.7)60 (59.4)34 (56.7)8 (44.4)106 (57.3)0.21610/61 (16.7)39 (38.6)22 (36.7)10 (55.6)72 (38.9) $V$ >101 (16.7)29 (28.6)15 (25)9 (50)33 (17.8) $<$ 0.001School level09 (8.9)15 (25)6 (33.3)38 (20.5) $<$ University level3 (50)24 (20.8)21 (35)060 (32.4) $<$ 0.011School level3 (50)24 (20.8)21 (35)15 (83.3)60 (32.4)Ollege level09 (8.9)009 (4.9) $<$ University level3 (50)21 (20.8)21 (35)15 (83.3)60 (32.4)Ollege level09 (8.9)009 (4.9) $<$ University level03 (3 (3.7)18 (30)0.133 $<$ Other Education09 (9.8)009 (4.9) $<$	<b>BDS Year of Education</b>							
Year 2 Year 3 $1 (16.7)$ $14 (13.9)$ Year 4 $9 (15.0)$ $15 (27.8)$ $5 (27.8)$ $26 (14.1)$ Year 4 Year 5 $2 (33.3)$ $15 (14.9)$ $6 (10.0)$ $1 (5.6)$ $24 (13.0)$ $2 (13.7)$ $24 (13.0)$ Year 5 Sibling $1 (16.7)$ $10 (29.7)$ $20 (33.3)$ $5 (27.8)$ $5 (30.3)$ $5 (30.3)$ $2 (11.1)$ $24 (13)$ Sibling $1 (16.7)$ $10 (21.19)$ $9 (15.0)$ $2 (11.1)$ $2 (11.1)$ $24 (13)$ $5/1$ $4 (66.7)$ $10 (57.3)$ $0 (55.6)$ $7 (3.8)$ $2 (36.7)$ $10 (55.6)$ $7 (28.9)$ $5/1$ $4 (66.7)$ $10 (16.7)$ $2 (2.0)$ $4 (6.7)$ $0$ $0$ $7 (3.8)$ $0 (16.7)$ $3 (17.8)$ Father EducationNo Education $3 (50)$ $27 (26.7)$ $3 (5.0)$ $0$ $0$ $3 (17.8)$ $0 (0.33.3)$ $3 (17.8)$ College level $0$ $0$ $9 (8.9)$ $15 (25)$ $9 (50)$ $3 (16.7)$ $3 (18.8)$ Mote EducationNo Education $3 (50)$ $21 (20.8)$ $21 (35)$ $15 (83.3)$ $60 (32.4)$ $4 (9.9)$ University level $0$ $3 (50, 21 (20.8)$ $21 (35)$ $15 (83.3)$ $60 (32.4)$ $4 (9.9)$ University level $0$ $0$ $9 (8.9)$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $9 (4.9)$ $4 (4.9)$ University level $0$ $0$ $9 (8.9)$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $9 (4.9)$ $4 (4.3)$ University level $0$ $0 (26 (4.4)$ $3 (30.4)$ $16 (6.3)$ $16 (4.3)$ $0.013$ $16 (4.$	Year 1	1 (16.7)	15 (14.9)	10 (16.7)	4 (22.2)	30 (16.2)	0.827	
Year 3015 (14.9)6 (10.0)5 (27.8)26 (14.1)Year 42 (33.3)15 (14.9)6 (10.0)1 (5.6)24 (13.0)Year 51 (16.7)30 (2.9.7)20 (3.3)5 (27.8)56 (30.3)Internees1 (16.7)30 (2.9.7)20 (3.3)5 (27.8)56 (30.3)Sibling $5/1$ 4 (66.7)60 (59.4)34 (56.7)8 (44.4)106 (57.3)0.216 $10/6$ 1 (16.7)39 (38.6)22 (36.7)10 (55.6)72 (38.9)>101 (16.7)39 (38.6)22 (36.7)10 (55.6)73 (35.9)Father Education3 (50)27 (26.7)3 (5.0)033 (17.8)<0.001	Year 2	1 (16.7)	14 (13.9)	9 (15.0)	1 (5.6)	25 (13.5)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Year 3	0	15 (14.9)	6 (10.0)	5 (27.8)	26 (14.1)		
Year 51 (16.7)30 (29.7)20 (33.3)5 (27.8)56 (30.3)Internees1 (16.7)12 (11.9)9 (15.0)2 (11.1)24 (13)5/14 (66.7)60 (59.4)34 (56.7)8 (44.4)106 (57.3)0.21610/61 (16.7)39 (38.6)22 (36.7)10 (55.6)72 (38.9)>101 (16.7)2 (2.0)4 (6.7)07 (3.8)Father EducationNo Education3 (50)27 (26.7)3 (5.0)033 (17.8)College level09 (8.9)15 (25)6 (33.3)38 (20.5)University level3 (50)48 (47.5)22 (135)060 (32.4)<0.001	Year 4	2 (33.3)	15 (14.9)	6 (10.0)	1 (5.6)	24 (13.0)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Year 5	1 (16.7)	30 (29.7)	20 (33.3)	5 (27.8)	56 (30.3)		
Sibling $5/1$ 4 (66.7)60 (9.4)34 (56.7)8 (44.4)106 (57.3)0.216 $10/6$ 1 (16.7)2 (2.0)4 (6.7)072 (38.9)>101 (16.7)2 (2.0)4 (6.7)07 (3.8)Father EducationNo Education3 (50)27 (26.7)3 (5.0)033 (17.8)College level09 (8.9)15 (25)9 (50)33 (17.8)College level017 (16.8)15 (25)6 (33.3)38 (20.5)University level3 (50)36 (35.6)21 (35)060 (32.4)<0.001	Internees	1 (16.7)	12 (11.9)	9 (15.0)	2 (11.1)	24 (13)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sibling							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5/1	4 (66.7)	60 (59.4)	34 (56.7)	8 (44.4)	106 (57.3)	0.216	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10/6	1 (16.7)	39 (38.6)	22 (36.7)	10 (55.6)	72 (38.9)		
Father Education3 (50)27 (26.7)3 (50)3 (50)3 (50)9 (50)3 (7.0)School level09 (71 (16.8)15 (25)6 (33.3)38 (20.5)University level3 (50)48 (47.5)27 (45)3 (16.7)81 (43.8)Mother Education $3$ (50)36 (35.6)21 (35)060 (32.4)<0.001School level3 (50)21 (20.8)21 (35)15 (83.3)60 (32.4)<0.001School level09 (8.9)009 (4.9)University level09 (8.9)009 (4.9)University level033 (16.7)15 (83.3)119 (64.3)0.013Father OccupationGovernment Service6 (100)62 (61.4)36 (60)15 (83.3)119 (64.3)0.013Private Service015 (25.0)15 (25)030 (16.2)0.071Business015 (25.0)15 (25)030 (16.2)0.077Private Service029 (28.7)12 (20)041 (22.2)0.077Others (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)Cloue suife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)12 (20)042 (22.7)Diologic (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)66 (51.9)<	>10	1 (16.7)	2 (2.0)	4 (6.7)	0	7 (3.8)		
No Education3 (50)27 (26.7)3 (5.0)033 (17.8)<0.001School level09 (8.9)15 (25)9 (50)33 (17.8)<0.001	Father Education							
School level09 (8.9)15 (25)9 (50)33 (17.8)College level017 (16.8)15 (25)6 (33.3)38 (20.5)University level3 (50)48 (47.5)27 (45)3 (16.7)81 (43.8)Mother Education3 (50)26 (35.6)21 (35)060 (32.4)<0.001	No Education	3 (50)	27 (26.7)	3 (5.0)	0	33 (17.8)	< 0.001	
College level017 (16.8)15 (25)6 (33.3)38 (20.5)University level3 (50)48 (47.5)27 (45)3 (16.7)81 (43.8)Mother Education $27 (45)$ 3 (16.7)81 (43.8)No Education3 (50)21 (20.8)21 (35)060 (32.4)School level3 (50)21 (20.8)21 (35)15 (83.3)60 (32.4)College level09 (8.9)009 (4.9)University level035 (34.7)18 (30)3 (16.7)56 (30.3)Father Occupation $  -$ Government Service6 (100)62 (61.4)36 (60)15 (83.3)119 (64.3)0.013Private Service015 (25.0)15 (25)030 (16.2)Business015 (14.9)9 (15)024 (13.0)Others09 (8.9)03 (16.7)12 (6.5)Mother Occupation $   -$ Government Service029 (28.7)12 (20)041 (22.2)0.077Private Service03 (3)3 (5)06 (3.2)Business000000Others (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)Family Income $     < 10,000$ 3 (50)27 (26.7)12 (20)042 (22.7) $10,001-20,000$ 3 (50)42 (41.6)33 (55)18	School level	0	9 (8.9)	15 (25)	9 (50)	33 (17.8)		
University level3 (50)48 (47.5)27 (45)3 (16.7)81 (43.8)Mother Education3 (50)36 (35.6)21 (35)0 $60$ (32.4)<0.001School level3 (50)21 (20.8)21 (35)15 (83.3) $60$ (32.4)<0	College level	0	17 (16.8)	15 (25)	6 (33.3)	38 (20.5)		
Mother Education3 (50)36 (35.6)21 (35)060 (32.4)<0.001School level3 (50)21 (20.8)21 (35)15 (83.3)60 (32.4)College level09 (8.9)009 (4.9)University level035 (34.7)18 (30)3 (16.7)56 (30.3)Father OccupationGovernment Service6 (100)62 (61.4)36 (60)15 (83.3)119 (64.3)0.013Private Service015 (25.0)15 (25)030 (16.2)Business015 (14.9)9 (15)024 (13.0)Others09 (8.9)03 (16.7)26 (5.5)Mother Occupation $29 (28.7)$ 12 (20)041 (22.2)0.077Private Service03 (3)3 (5)06 (3.2)Business000000Others (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)Family Income $-10,000$ 3 (50)27 (26.7)12 (20)042 (22.7)10,001-20,0003 (50)27 (26.7)12 (20)042 (22.7)10,0023 (50)27 (26.7)12 (20)042 (22.7)10,0003 (50)27 (26.7)12 (20)042 (22.7)10,0003 (50)27 (26.7)9 (15)035 (18.9)20,000-30,000026 (25.7)9 (15)035 (18.9)	University level	3 (50)	48 (47.5)	27 (45)	3 (16.7)	81 (43.8)		
No Education3 (50)36 (35.6)21 (35)060 (32.4)<0.001School level3 (50)21 (20.8)21 (35)15 (83.3)60 (32.4)College level09 (8.9)009 (4.9)University level035 (34.7)18 (30)3 (16.7)56 (30.3)Father OccupationGovernment Service6 (100)62 (61.4)36 (60)15 (83.3)119 (64.3)0.013Private Service015 (25.0)15 (25)030 (16.2)Business015 (14.9)9 (15)024 (13.0)Others09 (8.9)03 (16.7)12 (6.5)Mother OccupationUGovernment Service029 (28.7)12 (20)041 (22.2)0.077Private Service03 (3)3 (5)06 (3.2)000Others00000000Others (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)18Family IncomeU12 (20)042 (22.7)10,00120,0003 (50)42 (41.6)33 (55)18 (100)96 (51.9)0.00120,000-30,000026 (25.7)9 (15)035 (18.9)20.00126 (25.7)9 (15)035 (18.9)>30,00006 (5.9)6 (10)012 (6.5)12 (6.5)12 (6.5)12 (6.5)	Mother Education							
School level3 (50)21 (20.8)21 (35)15 (83.3)60 (32.4)College level09 (8.9)009 (4.9)University level035 (34.7)18 (30)3 (16.7)56 (30.3)Father OccupationGovernment Service6 (100)62 (61.4)36 (60)15 (83.3)119 (64.3)0.013Private Service015 (25.0)15 (25)030 (16.2)0Business015 (14.9)9 (15)024 (13.0)Others09 (8.9)03 (16.7)12 (6.5)Mother OccupationUniversity03 (3)3 (5)06 (3.2)Business000000Others000000Others (house wife)6 (100)6 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)Family IncomeUniversity12 (20)042 (22.7)10,001-20,0003 (50)27 (26.7)12 (20)042 (22.7)10,001-20,0003 (50)42 (41.6)33 (55)18 (100)96 (51.9)0.00120,000-30,000026 (25.7)9 (15)035 (18.9)>30,00006 (5.9)6 (10)012 (6.5)	No Education	3 (50)	36 (35.6)	21 (35)	0	60 (32.4)	< 0.001	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	School level	3 (50)	21 (20.8)	21 (35)	15 (83.3)	60 (32.4)		
University level035 (34.7)18 (30)3 (16.7)56 (30.3)Father Occupation $   -$ Government Service6 (100)62 (61.4)36 (60)15 (83.3)119 (64.3)0.013Private Service015 (25.0)15 (25)030 (16.2)Business015 (14.9)9 (15)024 (13.0)Others09 (8.9)03 (16.7)12 (6.5)Mother Occupation $   -$ Government Service029 (28.7)12 (20)041 (22.2)0.077Private Service03 (3)3 (5)06 (3.2)00Business0000000Others (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)Family Income $      <10,000$ 3 (50)27 (26.7)12 (20)042 (22.7)10,001–20,0003 (50)42 (41.6)33 (55)18 (100)96 (51.9)0.00120,000–30,000026 (25.7)9 (15)035 (18.9) $ >30,000$ 06 (5.9)6 (10)012 (6.5) $-$	College level	0	9 (8.9)	0	0	9 (4.9)		
Father OccupationGovernment Service $6 (100)$ $62 (61.4)$ $36 (60)$ $15 (83.3)$ $119 (64.3)$ $0.013$ Private Service $0$ $15 (25.0)$ $15 (25)$ $0$ $30 (16.2)$ Business $0$ $15 (14.9)$ $9 (15)$ $0$ $24 (13.0)$ Others $0$ $9 (8.9)$ $0$ $3 (16.7)$ $12 (6.5)$ Mother Occupation $V$ $V$ $V$ Government Service $0$ $29 (28.7)$ $12 (20)$ $0$ $41 (22.2)$ $0.077$ Private Service $0$ $3 (3)$ $3 (5)$ $0$ $6 (3.2)$ $0$ Business $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Others (house wife) $6 (100)$ $69 (68.3)$ $45 (75)$ $18 (100)$ $138 (74.6)$ Family Income $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $< 10,000$ $3 (50)$ $27 (26.7)$ $12 (20)$ $0$ $42 (22.7)$ $10,001-20,000$ $3 (50)$ $42 (41.6)$ $33 (55)$ $18 (100)$ $96 (51.9)$ $0.001$ $20,000-30,000$ $0$ $26 (25.7)$ $9 (15)$ $0$ $35 (18.9)$ $> 30,000$ $0$ $6 (5.9)$ $6 (10)$ $0$ $12 (6.5)$	University level	0	35 (34.7)	18 (30)	3 (16.7)	56 (30.3)		
Government Service $6(100)$ $62(61.4)$ $36(60)$ $15(83.3)$ $119(64.3)$ $0.013$ Private Service $0$ $15(25.0)$ $15(25)$ $0$ $30(16.2)$ Business $0$ $15(14.9)$ $9(15)$ $0$ $24(13.0)$ Others $0$ $9(8.9)$ $0$ $3(16.7)$ $12(6.5)$ Mother Occupation $12(20)$ $0$ $41(22.2)$ $0.077$ Government Service $0$ $29(28.7)$ $12(20)$ $0$ $41(22.2)$ $0.077$ Private Service $0$ $3(3)$ $3(5)$ $0$ $6(3.2)$ Business $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Others (house wife) $6(100)$ $69(68.3)$ $45(75)$ $18(100)$ $138(74.6)$ Family Income $12(20)$ $0$ $42(22.7)$ $10,001-20,000$ $3(50)$ $27(26.7)$ $12(20)$ $0$ $42(22.7)$ $10,001-20,000$ $3(50)$ $42(41.6)$ $33(55)$ $18(100)$ $96(51.9)$ $0.001$ $20,000-30,000$ $0$ $26(25.7)$ $9(15)$ $0$ $35(18.9)$ $>30,000$ $0$ $6(5.9)$ $6(10)$ $0$ $12(6.5)$	Father Occupation							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Government Service	6 (100)	62 (61.4)	36 (60)	15 (83.3)	119 (64.3)	0.013	
Business015 (14.9)9 (15)024 (13.0)Others09 (8.9)03 (16.7)12 (6.5)Mother OccupationUGovernment Service029 (28.7)12 (20)041 (22.2)0.077Private Service03 (3)3 (5)06 (3.2)Business00000Others (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)Family Income<10,000	Private Service	0	15 (25.0)	15 (25)	0	30 (16.2)		
Others09 (8.9)03 (16.7)12 (6.5)Mother Occupation $I2 (20)$ 041 (22.2)0.077Government Service029 (28.7)12 (20)041 (22.2)0.077Private Service03 (3)3 (5)06 (3.2)Business00000Others (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)Family Income<10,000	Business	0	15 (14.9)	9 (15)	0	24 (13.0)		
Mother OccupationGovernment Service0 $29 (28.7)$ $12 (20)$ 0 $41 (22.2)$ $0.077$ Private Service0 $3 (3)$ $3 (5)$ 0 $6 (3.2)$ Business00000Others (house wife) $6 (100)$ $69 (68.3)$ $45 (75)$ $18 (100)$ $138 (74.6)$ Family Income<10,000	Others	0	9 (8.9)	0	3 (16.7)	12 (6.5)		
Government Service029 (28.7)12 (20)041 (22.2)0.077Private Service03 (3)3 (5)06 (3.2)Business00000Others (house wife)6 (100)69 (68.3)45 (75)18 (100)138 (74.6)Family Income<10,000	Mother Occupation							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Government Service	0	29 (28.7)	12 (20)	0	41 (22.2)	0.077	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Private Service	0	3 (3)	3 (5)	0	6 (3.2)		
Others (house wife)  6 (100)  69 (68.3)  45 (75)  18 (100)  138 (74.6)    Family Income                   18 (100)  138 (74.6)               18 (100)  138 (74.6)	Business	0	0	0	0	0		
Family Income     <10,000	Others (house wife)	6 (100)	69 (68.3)	45 (75)	18 (100)	138 (74.6)		
<10,000  3 (50)  27 (26.7)  12 (20)  0  42 (22.7)    10,001-20,000  3 (50)  42 (41.6)  33 (55)  18 (100)  96 (51.9)  0.001    20,000-30,000  0  26 (25.7)  9 (15)  0  35 (18.9)    >30,000  0  6 (5.9)  6 (10)  0  12 (6.5)	Family Income							
10,001-20,000  3 (50)  42 (41.6)  33 (55)  18 (100)  96 (51.9)  0.001    20,000-30,000  0  26 (25.7)  9 (15)  0  35 (18.9)    >30,000  0  6 (5.9)  6 (10)  0  12 (6.5)	<10,000	3 (50)	27 (26.7)	12 (20)	0	42 (22.7)		
20,000-30,000  0  26 (25.7)  9 (15)  0  35 (18.9)    >30,000  0  6 (5.9)  6 (10)  0  12 (6.5)	10,001–20,000	3 (50)	42 (41.6)	33 (55)	18 (100)	96 (51.9)	0.001	
>30,000 0 6 (5.9) 6 (10) 0 12 (6.5)	20,000–30,000	0	26 (25.7)	9 (15)	0	35 (18.9)		
	>30,000	0	6 (5.9)	6 (10)	0	12 (6.5)		

**Table 1.** Distribution of sociodemographic variables [n (%)/(Mean  $\pm$  SD)] among the BMI Categories.

Table 2 presents the distribution of dietary variables of meals per day, use of snacks in between meals, use of drinks, frequency of sweets, and use of free chewing gum. Most dietary variables were significantly (<0.047) distributed among the BMI categories except the use of eggs, orange juice, green tea with sugar and without sugar, other sweets, and use of chewing gum. Distribution of lifestyle variables of physical activity and entertainment activity during weekdays, weekends were significantly (p < 0.025) distributed among the BMI categories.

	BMI Categories (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
Variables	Underweight 6 (3.2)	<b>Normal</b> 101 (54.6)	<b>Overweight</b> 60 (32.4)	<b>Obese</b> 18 (9.7)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Frequency of main meals		. ,		. ,		
1 meal /day	0	12 (11.9)	3 (5)	0	0.034	
2 meals / day	0	12(11.5)	18 (30)	6 (33 3)	0.004	
2 meals/ day	6 (100)	41(40.0)	16 (50) 36 (60)	12(667)		
$\geq 2 \mod day$	0 (100)	40 (47.5)	30 (00)	12 (00.7)		
Juse of Specks in between mode	6 (100)	08 (07)	5 (5) 60 (100)	15(82.2)	0.006	
Dise of Shacks in Detween means	0 (100) 2 (E0)	90 (97)	00(100)	10(00.0)	0.006	
Kaw vegetables	3 (50) ( (100)	63 (62.4)	27 (45)	0(33.3)	0.048	
Fruits	6 (100)	77 (76.2)	48 (80)	12 (66.7)	0.347	
Nuts		56 (55.4)	36 (60)	15 (83.3)	0.004	
Eggs	3 (50)	48 (47.5)	36 (36.4)	12 (66.7)	0.289	
Yogurt plain	3 (50)	51 (50.5)	42 (70)	15 (83.3)	0.014	
Yogurt fruit	6 (100)	24 (23.8)	9 (15)	0	0.047	
Chocolate	3 (50)	83 (82.2)	48 (80)	18 (100)	0.04	
Smoothies	0	42 (41.6)	15 (25)	9 (50)	0.024	
Cheese	3 (50)	48 (47.5)	42 (70)	12 (66.7)	0.035	
Ice cream	3 (50)	57 (56.4)	36 (60)	18 (100)	0.005	
Popcorn	0	36 (35.6)	21 (35)	3 (16.7)	0.134	
Candy	0	30 (29.7)	42 (70)	18 (100)	0	
Soft drink	0	50 (49.5)	48 (80)	18 (100)	0	
Juice	6 (100)	65 (64.4)	42 (70)	15 (83.3)	0.139	
Chips	3 (50)	50 (49.5)	33 (55)	18 (100)	0.001	
Use of Drinks						
Lemon Juice	3 (50)	42 (41.6)	33 (55)	3 (16.7)	0.033	
Orange Juice	0	9 (8.9)	6 (10)	3 (16.7)	0.634	
Green tea with sugar	0	9 (8.9)	9 (15)	3 (16.7)	0.446	
Green tea without sugar	0	29 (28.7)	12 (20)	3 (16.7)	0.24	
Black Coffee with sugar	0	3 (3)	15(25)	3 (16.7)	0	
Black Coffee without sugar	3 (50)	62 (61.4)	24 (40)	12 (66.7)	0.043	
Arabic tea non-sugary	0	59 (58.4)	30 (50)	9 (50)	0.041	
Soft drinks	0	47 (46.5)	51 (85)	15 (83.3)	0	
Hold drink in mouth (ves)	6 (100)	53 (52.3)	21 (35)	18 (100)	0	
Hold for <1 min	3 (50)	53 (52.3)	21 (35)	3 (16.7)	0.015	
Hold for $>1$ min	3 (50)	48 (47.5)	39 (65)	15 (83.3)		
Frequency of Sweets	0 (00)	10 (11 10)	0) (00)	10 (0010)		
Chocolate har	3 (50)	77 (76 2)	54 (90)	18 (100)	0.004	
Candy	3 (50)	36 (35.6)	42(70)	18(100)	0.001	
Dates	6 (100)	77 (76 5)	51 (85)	18(100)	0.047	
Other sweets	0 (100)	3 (3)	6 (10)	3(167)	0.047	
Physical Activity on wook days	0	3 (3)	0 (10)	5 (10.7)	0.08	
None	2 (50)	6 (5 0)	18 (20)	12 (66 7)	0	
anone (20 min	3 (30)	0(3.9)	18 (30)	12(00.7)	0	
< 30 min	0 2 (EO)	24 (23.6) 71 (70.2)	16(50)	0 (30.3)		
>30 min	3 (50)	/1 (/0.3)	24 (40)	0		
Physical Activity on week end	0	2 (2)	10 (00)	$O(1(\mathbf{T}))$	0	
None		3 (3)	18 (30)	3 (16.7)	0	
<30 min	3 (50)	18 (17.8)	12 (20)	9 (50)		
>30 min	3 (50)	80 (79.2)	30 (50)	6 (33.3)		
Watch TV, Play Video games on week days		(				
None	0	23 (22.8)	6 (10)	3 (16.7)	0.025	
<30 min	3 (50)	24 (23.8)	12 (20)	15 (83.3)		
>30 min	3 (50)	54 (53.5)	42 (70)	0		
Watch TV, Play Video games on week end						
None	0	14 (13.9)	0	0	0.02	
<30 min	0	21 (20.8)	12 (20)	3 (16.7)		
>30 min	6 (100)	66 (65.3)	48 (80)	15 (83.3)		
Use Sugar free chew gum	3 (50)	44 (43.6)	36 (60)	9 (50)	0.254	

**Table 2.** Distribution of Diet and Physical Activity variables [n (%)/(Mean  $\pm$  SD) ] among the BMI Categories.

Table 3 explains the distribution of oral hygiene practices such as tooth brushing frequency per day, use of miswak, tooth-brushing technique, type of toothbrush, type of toothpaste, brush change time, use of interdental cleaning aids, use of mouthwash, and dental visits with respect to BMI categories. All variables showed significant (p < 0.003) distribution except the use of miswak and mouthwash.

	BMI Categories (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )						
Variables	Underweight 6 (3.2)	<b>Normal</b> 101 (54.6)	<b>Overweight</b> 60 (32.4)	<b>Obese</b> 18 (9.7)	<i>p</i> -Valu		
Tooth Brushing							
None	0	0	3 (5)	0	0		
Once daily	0	23 (22.8)	36 (60)	15 (83.3)			
Twice daily	3 (50)	63 (62.4)	18 (30)	3 (16.7)			
>Twice daily	3 (50)	15 (14.9)	3 (5)	0			
Miswak		· · · ·					
None	6	57 (56.4)	39 (65)	9 (50)	0.242		
Daily	0	3 (3)	3 (5)	0			
Occasionally	0	41 (40.6)	18 (30)	9 (50)			
Brushing Technique	-	()	()				
Bass	0	0	6 (10)	0	0		
Modified Bass	6 (100)	95 (94.1)	36 (60)	12 (66.7)	Ū.		
Stillman	0	0	3 (5)	0			
Modified Stillman	0	0	9 (15)	0			
Charters	0	3 (3)	3 (5)	3 (16 7)			
Rolling	0	3 (3)	3 (3)	0			
Horizontal	0	0	0	3 (16 70			
Tune of Teethneste	0	0	0	5 (10.70			
Elucridated	6 (100)	02(011)	48 (80)	12 (66 7)	0.002		
Fluoridated	0 (100)	92 (91.1)	40 (00)	12 (66.7)	0.003		
Non-fluoridated	0	3 (3)		0			
Anti-sensitive	0	3 (3)	3 (5)	0			
Others	0	0	3 (5)	0			
Do not know	0	3 (3)	6 (10)	6 (33.3)			
Type of Tooth brush	2 (50)		0 (1 -	0	0		
Extra-soft	3 (50)	15 (14.9)	9 (15)	0	0		
Soft	3 (50)	45 (44.6)	39 (65)	3 (16.7)			
Medium	0	35 (34.7)	12 (20)	15 (83.3)			
Electric	0	6 (5.9)	0	0			
Brush Change time							
Once in 3 months	6 (100)	50 (45)	27 (45)	6 (33.3)	0.001		
Once in 6 months	0	45 (44.6)	30 (50)	6 (33.3)			
Once in year	0	6 (5.9)	3 (5)	6 (66.3)			
Interdental Cleaning Aid Name							
Flossing	3 (50)	18 (17.8)	15 (25)	18 (100)	0		
Wooden toothpick	0	44 (43.6)	21 (35)	0			
Plastic toothpick with floss	0	6 (5.9)	6 (10)	0			
Water-pick	0	6 (5.9)	3 (5)	0			
Interdental brush	3 (50)	27 (26.7)	15 (25)	0			
Use Mouthwash							
None	3 (50)	42 (41.6)	24 (40)	6 (33.3)	0.415		
Once daily	0	15 (14.9)	6 (10)	0			
Occasionally	3 (50)	44 (43.6)	30 (50)	12 (66.7)			
Dental Visit	- ()	()	( )	(2000)			
Every 3 months	0	12 (11.9)	3 (5)	0	0		
Every 6 months	6 (100)	42 (41.6)	15 (25)	0	Ŭ		
Every year	0	20 (19.8)	18(30)	3 (167)			
Only when required	0	24 (23.8)	24(40)	15 (83 3)			

**Table 3.** Distribution of Oral Hygiene Practices [n (%)] among BMI Categories.

Figure 2 explains the status of decayed teeth, missing teeth (excluding orthodontic and trauma reasons), filled teeth (excluding incipient lesions and fissure sealants) and DMFT, among BMI categories. Highest level of dental caries and filled teeth was noted in obese. In contrast, missing teeth were more in underweight students and the difference was highly significant (p < 0.001) for decayed and missing teeth. DMFT was highest in obese students, and the difference was highly significant (p < 0.001).



Figure 2. Status of DMFT and BMI categories among study participants.

Table 4 demonstrates the association between BMI, dental caries, missing teeth, filled teeth, DMFT using simple linear regression analysis. Association of BMI and decayed teeth was explained by R = 0.35 (adjusted  $R^2 0.120$ , p = 0.000); BMI and missing teeth by R = 0.12 (adjusted  $R^2 0.07$ , p = 0.867); and BMI and filled teeth by R = 0.15 (adjusted  $R^2 0.01$ , p = 0.033). Association of BMI and DMFT was explained by R = 0.33 (adjusted  $R^2 0.10$ , p = 0.000).

There was a significant difference (p < 0.01) when the covariates (i.e., age, BMI, diet, oral hygiene, and physical activity) were analyzed as confounders with the DMFT index in participants.

Model	Unstand Coeffi	Unstandardized Coefficients		ed t	t Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
BMI &								
Decayed	0.228	0.045	0.353	5.112	0.000	0.140	0.315	
teeth								
BMI &								
Missing	0.049	0.032	0.112	1.523	0.676	0.014	0.112	
Teeth								
BMI &								
Filled	0.118	0.055	0.157	2.154	0.033	0.010	0.225	
Teeth								
BMI &	0 39/	0.082	0 336	1 822	0.000	0 233	0 555	
DMFT	0.394	0.002	0.550	7.022	0.000	0.200	0.555	

Table 4. Association of BMI with number of decayed teeth, missing teeth, filled teeth, and DMFT \*.

\* Simple linear regression analysis, using decayed, missing, filled teeth, and DMFT, as dependent variables.

Table 5 demonstrates the association between the BMI categories (1 = underweight and normal weight, 2 = overweight and obese), tooth brushing timing, type of toothbrush, use of fluoridated toothpaste, and use of dental floss and dental caries (yes/no), missing teeth (yes/no), and filled teeth (yes/no). A significant association was shown by BMI categories with missing teeth (p < 0.001) and filled teeth (p < 0.001); toothbrush type categories with decay (p < 0.001), and interdental cleaning categories with decayed teeth (yes/no), filled teeth (yes/no). Status of dental caries (yes/no), missing teeth (yes/no), filled teeth (yes/no) was further assessed for association with socioeconomic status, frequency of use of sweets, and moderate physical activity. Decayed teeth were significantly associated with father's education (p < 0.001), family income (p < 0.001), use of candy (p < 0.001) and dates (p < 0.001), weekend physical activity (p < 0.050), and weekday TV and video game use (p < 0.050).

Missing teeth were significantly associated with mother's occupation (p < 0.050), use of candy (p < 0.001), weekday physical activity (p < 0.050). Filled teeth were significantly associated with mother's occupation (p < 0.050), and weekday TV and video game use (p < 0.050).

	Decayed (Yes/No)		Missing (Yes/No)		Filled (Yes/No)	
Variables	n	Adjusted OR (C.I. 95%)	n	Adjusted OR (C.I. 95%)	n	Adjusted OR (C.I. 95%)
BMI						
Underweight and Normal weight #	77/30	1.41(0 = 2 = 0)	27/80	2 00/1 00 0 03) **	75/32	0 00(0 00 07 00) **
Overweight and Obese	63/15	1.41(0.5-3.50)	45/33	3.99(1.80-8.82)	75/3	9.23(2.30-37.03)
Toothbrush time						
1 time daily <sup>#</sup>	65/12	0.00(0.2, 2.20)	39/38	1 22(0 54 2 91)	69/8	0.75(0.26, 2.14)
$\geq 2$ times daily	75/33	0.90(0.3-2.50)	33/75	1.25(0.34-2.61)	81/27	0.75(0.26-2.14)
Toothbrush type						
Extra or soft <sup>#</sup>	78/39	6 0E(2 1 16 0) **	45/72	1 06(0 40 2 26)	99/18	0.49(0.20, 1.14)
Medium or hard	62/6	0.05(2.1-10.9)	27/41	1.00(0.49-2.20)	51/17	0.46(0.20-1.14)
Fluoridated toothpaste						
Yes #	119/42	0.17(0.02, 0.10)	60/101	0.29(0.12, 1.12)	129/32	0 42(0 07 2 20)
Other	21/3	0.17(0.02-0.10)	12/12	0.38(0.13-1.13)	21/3	0.42(0.07-2.50)
Interdental cleaning						
Dental floss #	63/3	0 14/0 01 0 25) **	42/24	0 17(0 07 0 27) **	60/6	0.22(0.10, 1.10)
Other	77/42	$0.14(0.01-0.23)^{-11}$	30/89	0.17(0.07-0.37)	90/29	0.55(0.10-1.10)
Socioeconomic Status						
Father Education (Yes) #	122/30	3.38(1.53-7.79) *	60/92	1.14(0.05-2.49)	126/26	1.81(0.75-4.33)
Mother Education (Yes) #	92/33	0.89(0.68-1.16)	45/80	6.68(0.36-1.28)	99/26	0.67(0.29-1.54)
Father Occupation (Yes) #	140/45	0.92(0.65-1.31)	72/113	1.18(0.86-1.61)	150/35	0.73(0.51-1.05)
Mother Occupation (Yes) #	32/15	0.59(0.28-1.23)	9/38	0.28(0.17-0.62) **	33/14	0.45(0.19-0.92) **
Family Income (>10,000) #	116/27	3.22(1.53-6.75) *	54/89	0.80(0.40-1.62)	120/23	2.08(0.93-4.68)
Frequency of Sweets						
Chocolate bar (Yes) #	116/36	1.20(0.51-2.83)	60/92	1.14(0.52-2.49)	120/32	0.37(0.10-1.30)
Candy (Yes) #	78/12	3.46(1.65-7.25) **	54/36	6.14(3.30-12.46) *	78/12	2.07(0.96 - 4.46)
Dates (Yes) #	125/27	5.55(2.49-12.30) **	60/92	1.10(0.50-2.10)	132/20	5.50(2.39-12.62)
Other sweets (Yes) #	9/3	0.96(0.24-3.71)	6/6	1.62(0.50-5.23)	12/10	<i>,</i>
Physical Activity (Moderate Level)						
On weekdays (Yes) <sup>#</sup>	110/36	0.91(0.39-2.10)	45/101	0.19(0.09-0.42) **	114/32	0.29(0.08 - 1.02)
On weekend (Yes) #	128/33	3.8(1.59-9.41) **	63/92	1.07(0.44-2.59)	129/32	0.57(0.16-2.05)
Watch TV play videogames		· · · ·		```'		```'
On weekdays (Yes) #	111/42	0.27(0.07-0.94) **	69/84	7.94(2.32-27.80)	129/24	2.81(1.20-6.58) **
On weekend (Yes) #	126/45	0.15(0.05-0.74)	72/99	0.21(0.11-0.38)	138/33	0.69(0.14-3.24)

**Table 5.** Association between BMI, tooth brushing time, toothbrush type, use of fluoridated toothpaste, interdental cleaning and decayed (yes/no), missing (yes/no), and filled teeth (yes/no) categories.

Logistic regression analysis. <sup>#</sup> Reference, C.I. —confidence interval, \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.001.

#### 4. Discussion

Obesity and dental caries have multi-factorial etiologies with a common contributing factor to their occurrence, i.e., dietary habits and food intake [27]. Dental caries were declared a non-communicable disease of concern worldwide, and it was the most prevalent disease condition to be included in the 2015 Global Burden of Disease study [14,28,29]. The literature gives conflicting pieces of evidence regarding the relationship between patient weight and the status of their oral health and hygiene [30]. On the one hand, there are studies that demonstrated the association of incidence of a high number of caries rates in overweight (OW) and obese (O) subjects [31,32]. Despite this, there are studies that claim that no association whatsoever exists between BMI and dental caries, or even periodontitis, for that matter [33–35].

Nonetheless, the purpose of our study was to assess the association between body mass index (BMI), dietary habits, and oral hygiene practices, based on DMFT index among male dental students at King Faisal University, Al Ahsa. Out of the 185 participants in this study; 6 (3.2%) were underweight (UW), 101 (54.6%) were of normal weight (NW), 60 (32.4%) were overweight, and 18 (9.7%) were obese.

The BMI of this study sample averaged  $24.94 \pm 3.36 \text{ kg/m}^2$ . According to the BMI categories, the majority of our sample was nearing the overweight aspect of the BMI spectrum. The trend was supported by a study by Al-Shehri et al. [1], who demonstrated that university students on the brink of being young adults entering the practical world tend to make some drastic lifestyle changes, which could negatively impact their oral

health, as well as general health and well-being. Based on the National Nutrition Survey 2007 [1,36], the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia had more obese females (23.6%) as compared to males (14.2%), with 30.7% overweight males and 28.4% overweight females; an alarming situation for a country to have more than 50% of the population in the overweight/obese categorization, with our study results somewhat matching the survey outcomes.

The education levels of parents and family income and sociodemographic variables showed a significantly high relationship with BMI categories. About 50% (n = 9) of obese students had fathers with school-level education, and 45% (n = 27) of the overweight students had fathers with university-level education (p = <0.001). Likewise, 83.3% (n = 15) of the obese students had mothers with school-level education (p = <0.001). A cross-sectional survey conducted by Al-Agha et al. [37] in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, concluded that BMI levels increased with decrease in educational level of parents, consistent with the findings in our study. Similarly, a cross-sectional survey conducted on obese children in Jeddah also condoned the fact that education levels of parents, especially mothers, play a crucial role in the dietary habits and lifestyles of children. As mothers spend more time with their children as compared to fathers, less educational years on their part usually result in children with the highest risks of being obese [38].

The presence of family is imperative in shaping the dietary habits, eating, and physical activity patterns of the members in the construct [39]. Fathers with government jobs in our study had a far greater likelihood of having overweight (n = 36, 60%) and obese (n = 15, 83.3%) children (p = 0.013). The role of income showed that 100% of obese participants (n = 18) and 55% (n = 33) overweight participants had a cumulative family income between SAR 10,001–20,000 (p = 0.001). A systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by Kim et al. in 2018 [40] corroborated these findings, with an OR of 1.27 indicating a higher chance and a relative risk of 1.52 for obesity occurring in low-income groups. The meta-analysis concluded that those individuals who were on the lower-income scale of socio-demographics were more likely to be obese than their non-obese counterparts. Data substantiated by Asiseh et al. [41] from China also verified our findings, with results claiming that middle-class groups were the ones at the highest risk of being overweight and obese among other income categories.

An overload of free sugars and frequent meals heavy on calories, with physical inactivity, subsequently increased BMI, [1] poor oral health, and incidence of dental caries [14,29]. The assessed dietary variables in our study showed a significant association with BMI overweight and obese categories. Consumption of nuts as a snack in between meals was seen in 55.4% (n = 56) of normal-weight participants, 60% (n = 36) of overweight, and 83.3% (n = 15) of obese participants in our study (p = 0.004). Although the amount of consumption of some foods and drinks were not assessed in our study, nuts contain high calories, which could explain the consumption among the overweight/obese participants. However, the long-term benefit of consuming a regular one handful of nuts was associated with lower weight gain [42]. Intake of chocolate was consistently observed in normal-weight (n =83, 82.2%), overweight (n = 48, 80%), and obese (n = 18, 100%) participants, which was significantly distributed (p = 0.040), as was the intake of ice cream (NW: n = 57, 56.4%, OW: *n* = 36, 60%, Ob: *n* = 18, 100%, *p* = 0.005), candy (OW: *n* = 42, 70%, Ob: *n* = 18, 100%, p = 0.000), soft drinks (NW: n = 47, 46.5%, OW: n = 51, 85%, Ob: n = 15, 83.3%, p = 0.000), and chips (NW: *n* = 50, 49.5%, OW: *n* = 33, 55%, Ob: *n* = 18, 100%, *p* = 0.001). Moreover, all obese participants had a habit of holding drinks in their mouth (p = 0.000). These deleterious habits and poor food choices were observed amongst the overweight and obese participants, as was demonstrated by a number of studies in the literature [1,26,43,44].

Physical activity, both on weekends and weekdays, was consistently and significantly distributed for the respective BMI categories (Table 3). Students tended to kick back and relax during weekends, indulging in leisurely activities like playing video games and watching television, for usually more than 30 min. Physical activity has an inverse relationship with being overweight and obese, as was concluded by Ahmed et al. [45] Moreover, our study showed significant findings in the DMFT index in relation to frequent

use of sweets, socioeconomic status, and moderate physical activity. This was in accordance with Ferrazzano et al. [46] who reported a high risk of dental caries in children with high consumption of sugary food. They also found a higher DMFT score in children with less parental guidance and highlighted the significant role of parents in oral health education of their children.

Oral health and hygiene maintenance in our study was evaluated by the use of the DMFT index. Dental caries was significantly higher in overweight ( $2.80 \pm 2.39$ ) and obese ( $4.50 \pm 0.985$ ) participants (p = 0.000) (Table 5). As opposed to the results reported by Idrees et al. [4], who claimed that no significant correlation was found between the severity of dental caries and BMI categories. Contrarily, Bhayat et al. [3] in their study concluded with the finding that boys in the underweight and normal BMI groups were more prone to developing caries (p < 0.05), as compared to overweight and obese.

Over the years, conflicting studies accumulated regarding the relationship between dental caries and BMI. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses [47–49] tried to find out a tangible proof regarding the nature of the association between the two variables. Among the included studies in the systematic review conducted by Paisi et al. [47], 26 studies showed a positive correlation between dental caries and BMI, 19 demonstrated a negative association, 43 did not show any association. In contrast, some studies showed surprisingly more than one pattern of association between the variables of interest. Alghamdi et al. [50] reported statistically negative association between BMI and dental caries. This difference in result might be due to frequent snacking with relatively high amount of refined sugar among underweight children, which in turn suppress their desire to consume main meal. Thus, eventually resulting in high incidence of dental caries.

In addition, our study also reported significant association of BMI categories with respect to the brushing technique used (p < 0.001), type of toothpaste (p = 0.003), type of toothbrush (p < 0.001), brush change time (p = 0.001), and the interdental cleaning aids (p < 0.001). Moradi et al. [19] also showed significant findings regarding the use of toothbrush, mouthwash, and dental floss. In their study, participants who used mouthwashes and brushed their teeth along with regularly using dental floss, were less likely to have decayed, missing, and filled teeth, as assessed by the DMFT index.

This study demonstrated highest status of correlation between the DMFT index in obese students (p < 0.001), with dental caries manifesting the most in overweight (DT= 2.80  $\pm$  2.39) and obese (DT= 4.50  $\pm$  0.985) participants. Furthermore, the association of BMI with decayed teeth (p < 0.001), filled teeth (0.033), and DMFT index (p < 0.001) were highly significant, when adjusted for confounders in the linear regression model. These findings were corroborated by two studies conducted in India [51,52], which also culminated in positive associations between BMI and dental caries. Sakeenabi et al. [51] stated that among the 6-years old children in their study cohort who were either overweight or obese, 1.92-and 3.6-times had a higher risk of developing dental caries, respectively. They further stated that overweight and obese children who were 13-years old in their cohort had 1.68 and 1.8 times the risk of developing dental caries, compared to their normal weighted counterparts.

#### 5. Limitations

Even though our results showed some positive outcomes regarding the variables of interest, no study is without weaknesses and limitations. Our study included males as participants, because of the relatively strict segregation of males and females, and particular cultural sensitivities in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Thus, our findings cannot be applied to the opposite gender. Cross-sectional studies and convenience sampling techniques are inherent of the lower evidence-based spectrum; hence causal inferences cannot be established between the identified variables [27]. Dental caries were determined by visual examination, and x-rays were not taken to verify the diagnosis.

## 6. Conclusions

This study further augmented the contributory role of diet in obesity and dental caries. A strong and significant relationship of decayed and missing teeth with higher BMI levels was shown. In addition, despite having acceptable oral hygiene practices, BMI also revealed a significant association with diet and physical activity.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, R.J.; methodology, M.A.A.; software, Z.K.; validation, Z.K.; formal analysis, S.A.H.B.; investigation, M.A.A., R.J.; resources, R.J.; data curation, S.A.H.B.; writing—original draft preparation, M.A.A., R.J., and Z.K.; writing—review and editing, Z.K.; visualization, M.A.A. and Z.K.; supervision and project administration, S.A.H.B.; funding acquisition, R.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research and Innovation, Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the project number "IFT20147".

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of King Faisal University (KFU-REC/2020-11-01).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to ethical concerns.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful to the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa for facilitating this project.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Al-Shehri, H.M.; Al-Qahtani, A.M.; Shaikh, I.A.; Hassan, M.A.; Al-Qahtani, N.S.; Al-Qahtani, A.M.; Alabas, M.A. Assessment of lifestyle and eating habits among undergraduate students in Najran University, Najran, Saudi Arabia. *Int. J. Med. Sci. Pub. Health* 2017, 6, 638–647.
- World Health Organization. Available online: www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 3. Bhayat, A.; Ahmad, M.; Fadel, H. Association between body mass index, diet and dental caries in Grade 6 boys in Medina, Saudi Arabia. *East. Mediterr. Health J.* 2016, 22, 687–693. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Idrees, M.; Hammad, M.; Faden, A.; Kujan, O. Influence of body mass index on severity of dental caries: Cross-sectional study in healthy adults. *Ann. Saudi Med.* 2017, 37, 444–448. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- World Health Organization. Global Health Risks: Mortality and Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risks; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2009.
- 6. Sangachin, M.G.; Cavuoto, L.A.; Wang, Y. Use of various obesity measurement and classification methods in occupational safety and health research: A systematic review of the literature. *BMC Obes.* **2018**, *5*, 28.
- 7. Te Morenga, L.; Mallard, S.; Mann, J. Dietary sugars and body weight: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *BMJ* **2013**, *346*, e7492. [CrossRef]
- 8. Carson, S.J.; Abuhaloob, L.; Richards, D.; Hector, M.P.; Freeman, R. The relationship between childhood body weight and dental caries experience: An umbrella systematic review protocol. *Syst. Rev.* **2017**, *6*, 216. [CrossRef]
- 9. Chen, D.; Zhi, Q.; Zhou, Y.; Tao, Y.; Wu, L.; Lin, H. Association between dental caries and BMI in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Caries Res.* 2018, 52, 230–245. [CrossRef]
- Pullman, A.W.; Masters, R.C.; Zalot, L.C.; Carde, L.E.; Saraiva, M.M.; Dam, Y.Y.; Randall Simpson, J.A.; Duncan, A.M. Effect of the transition from high school to university on anthropometric and lifestyle variables in males. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 2009, 34, 162–171. [CrossRef]
- 11. Irwin, J.D. The prevalence of physical activity maintenance in a sample of university students: A longitudinal study. *J. Am. Collage Health* **2007**, *56*, 37–42. [CrossRef]
- 12. Steptoe, A.; Wardle, J. Health behaviour, risk awareness and emotional well-being in students from Eastern Europe and Western Europe. *Soc. Sci Med.* **2001**, *53*, 1621–1630. [CrossRef]
- 13. Rivera-Rivera, L.; Allen, B.; Rodríguez-Ortega, G.; Chávez-Ayala, R.; Lazcano-Ponce, E. Dating violence and associations with depression and risk behaviors: Female students in Morelos, Mexico. *Salud. Publica Mex.* **2006**, *48*, 288–296. [CrossRef]

- 14. World Health Organization. Sugars and Dental Caries. Available online: http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ sugars-and-dental-caries (accessed on 20 September 2017).
- 15. Shaghaghian, S.; Abolvardi, M.; Akhlaghian, M. Factors affecting dental caries of preschool children in Shiraz, 2014. *J. Dent.* (*Shiraz*) 2018, 19, 100.
- 16. Ghani, B.; Jouhar, R.; Ahmed, N. Relationship of Facial Skin Complexion with Gingiva and Tooth Shade on Smile Attractiveness. *J. Interdiscipl. Med. Dent. Sci.* **2016**, *4*, 2. [CrossRef]
- 17. Jamil, S.; Jouhar, R.; Gandhi, D.; Tahira, T.; Shaikh, J. Comparison between the mean postoperative pain score with two different file systems in patients with irreversible pulpitis-a clinical study in Altamash Institute of Dental Medicine. *Prof. Med. J.* **2019**, *26*, 1359–1364. [CrossRef]
- 18. Ahmed, J.; Ali, S.A.; Jouhar, R.; Shah, H. Clinical Assessment Of Bonding Agent v/s Fluoride Varnish in Dentinal Hypersensitivity. *J. Bahria Uni. Med. Dent. Collage* **2019**, *7*, 53.
- 19. Moradi, G.; Bolbanabad, A.M.; Moinafshar, A.; Adabi, H.; Sharafi, M.; Zareie, B. Evaluation of Oral Health Status Based on the Decayed, Missing and Filled Teeth (DMFT) Index. *Iran. J. Public Health* **2019**, *48*, 2050. [PubMed]
- 20. Wahid, U.; Amin, M.; Choudhry, Z.; Ahmed, M.A. Dental anxiety level of patients presenting to operative dentistry department. *Pak. Oral Dent. J.* **2015**, *35*, 675–680.
- 21. Wang, P.; Lin, H.C.; Chen, J.H.; Liang, H.Y. The prevalence of dental erosion and associated risk factors in 12-13-year-old school children in Southern China. *BMC Public Health* **2010**, *10*, 478. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Kitasako, Y.; Sasaki, Y.; Takagaki, T.; Sadr, A.; Tagami, J. Age-specific prevalence of erosive tooth wear by acidic diet and gastroesophageal reflux in Japan. *J. Dent.* **2015**, *43*, 418–423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wilder, R.S.; O'Donnell, J.A.; Barry, J.M.; Galli, D.M.; Hakim, F.F.; Holyfield, L.J.; Robbins, M.R. Is dentistry at risk? A case for interprofessional education. J. Dent. Educ. 2008, 72, 1231–1237. [CrossRef]
- 24. Yoshida, T.; Milgrom, P.; Coldwell, S. How do US and Canadian dental schools teach interpersonal communication skills? *J. Dent. Educ.* **2002**, *66*, 1281–1288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kassebaum, D.K.; Hendricson, W.D.; Taft, T.; Haden, N.K. The dental curriculum at North American dental institutions in 2002–03: A survey of current structure, recent innovations, and planned changes. J. Dent. Educ. 2004, 68, 914–931. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Al-Madi, E.M.; AlJamie, M.; Al-Dukhail, S.; Mohammed, Z.; Abubakr, N.H. Dietary Habits and Oral Hygiene Practice amongst Dental Students at the College of Dentistry, Princess Nourah University. *Open J. Stomato.* **2016**, *6*, 28–35. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ashour, N.A.; Ashour, A.A.; Basha, S. Association between body mass index and dental caries among special care female children in Makkah City. *Ann. Saudi Med.* 2018, *38*, 28–35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Word Bank. *Taxes on Sugar-Sweetened Beverages: Summary of International Evidence and Experiences;* World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2020. [CrossRef]
- 29. Moynihan, P. Sugars and dental caries: Evidence for setting a recommended threshold for intake. *Adv. Nutr.* **2016**, *7*, 149–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Silva, A.E.R.; Menezes, A.M.B.; Demarco, F.F.; Vargas-Ferreira, F.; Peres, M.A. Obesity and dental caries: Systematic review. *Rev. Saude Publica* 2013, 47, 799–812. [CrossRef]
- 31. Willershausen, B.; Moschos, D.; Azrak, B.; Blettner, M. Correlation between oral health and body mass index (BMI) in 2071 primary school pupils. *Eur. J. Med. Res.* **2007**, *12*, 295.
- 32. Thippeswamy, H.; Kumar, N.; Acharya, S.; Pentapati, K. Relationship between body mass index and dental caries among adolescent children in South India. *West Indian Med. J.* 2011, *60*, 91–97.
- 33. Sede, M.; Ehizele, A. Relationship between obesity and oral diseases. Niger. J. Clin. Pract. 2014, 17, 683–690.
- 34. Macek, M.D.; Mitola, D.J. Exploring the association between overweight and dental caries among US children. *Pediatr Dent.* **2006**, *28*, 375–380.
- 35. Almerich-Torres, T.; Bellot-Arcís, C.; Almerich-Silla, J.M. Relationship between caries, body mass index and social class in Spanish children. *GAC Sanit.* 2017, 31, 499–504. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Al Othaimeen, A.; Al Nozha, M.; Osman, A. Obesity: An emerging problem in Saudi Arabia. Analysis of data from the National Nutrition Survey. *East. Mediterr. Health J.* 2007, 13, 441–448. [PubMed]
- Al-Agha, A.; Bo, T.; Aiash, D.; Mandourah, L.; Abukhalil, N. The effect of socioeconomic status, number of siblings and parental of education on children'body mass index at Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: Cross sectional study. *Fam. Med. Med. Sci. Res.* 2015, 4, 5. [CrossRef]
- Al-Agha, A.E.; Alzahrani, H.K.; Rajab, F.S.; Taher, A.; Mesawa, A.H.A.; Almarri, A.K.; Kutbi, R.E.; Almutiri, A.A.; Alahdali, H.A.; Bashlawi, S.A. Parental socioeconomic status and occupation in relation to childhood obesity. *Int. J. Mod. Res. Dev.* 2020, 4, 576–585.
- 39. Sallis, J.F.; Nader, P.R. Family determinants of health behaviors. In *Health Behavior*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1988; pp. 107–124.
- 40. Kim, T.J.; von dem Knesebeck, O. Income and obesity: What is the direction of the relationship? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* **2018**, *8*, e019862.
- 41. Asiseh, F.; Yao, J. Family income and body mass index-what have we learned from China. Health Econ. Rev. 2016, 6, 52. [CrossRef]

- 42. Jackson, C.L.; Hu, F.B. Long-term associations of nut consumption with body weight and obesity. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2014**, *100*, 408S–411S. [CrossRef]
- 43. Bartlett, D.; Fares, J.; Shirodaria, S.; Chiu, K.; Ahmad, N.; Sherriff, M. The association of tooth wear, diet and dietary habits in adults aged 18–30 years old. *J. Dent.* 2011, *39*, 811–816. [CrossRef]
- Lupi, S.; Bagordo, F.; Stefanati, A.; Grassi, T.; Piccinni, L.; Bergamini, M.; Donno, A.D. Assessment of lifestyle and eating habits among undergraduate students in northern Italy. *Ann. Ist. Super. Sanita* 2015, *51*, 154–161.
- 45. Ahmed, H.S.; Khalid, M.E.; Osman, O.M.; Ballal, M.A.; Al-Hashem, F.H. The association between physical activity and overweight and obesity in a population of children at high and low altitudes in Southwestern Saudi Arabia. *J. Fam. Community Med.* **2016**, *23*, 82.
- 46. Ferrazzano, G.F.; Sangianantoni, G.; Cantile, T.; Ingenito, A. Relationship Between Social and Behavioural Factors and Caries Experience in Schoolchildren in Italy. *Oral Health Prev. Dent.* **2016**, *1*, 55–61.
- 47. Paisi, M.; Kay, E.; Bennett, C.; Kaimi, I.; Witton, R.; Nelder, R.; Lapthorne, D. Body mass index and dental caries in young people: A systematic review. *BMC Pediatr.* **2019**, *19*, 122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Kantovitz, K.R.; Pascon, F.M.; Rontani, R.M.P.; Gaviao, M.B.D.; Pascon, F.M. Obesity and dental caries–A systematic review. *Oral Health Prev. Dent.* **2006**, *4*, 137–144. [PubMed]
- 49. Hooley, M.; Skouteris, H.; Boganin, C.; Satur, J.; Kilpatrick, N. Body mass index and dental caries in children and adolescents: A systematic review of literature published 2004 to 2011. *Syst. Rev.* **2012**, *1*, 57. [CrossRef]
- 50. Alghamdi, A.A.; Almahdy, A. Association Between Dental Caries and Body Mass Index in Schoolchildren Aged Between 14 and 16 Years in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *J. Clin. Med. Res.* 2017, *12*, 981–986. [CrossRef]
- 51. Sakeenabi, B.; Swamy, H.S.; Mohammed, R.N. Association between obesity, dental caries and socioeconomic status in 6-and 13-year-old school children. *Oral Health Prev. Dent.* **2012**, *10*, 231–241.
- 52. Honne, T.; Pentapati, K.; Kumar, N.; Acharya, S. Relationship between obesity/overweight status, sugar consumption and dental caries among adolescents in South India. *Int. J. Dent. Hyg.* **2012**, *10*, 240–244. [CrossRef]