Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Research article

5²CelPress

The effects of antioxidant supplementation on short-term mortality in sepsis patients

Hui Pei^a, Jie Qu^a, Jian-Ming Chen^a, Yao-Lu Zhang^a, Min Zhang^a, Guang-Ju Zhao^{a,b}, Zhong-Qiu Lu^{a,b,*}

^a Emergency Department, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, 325000, China
^b Wenzhou Key Laboratory of Emergency and Disaster Medicine, Wenzhou, 325000, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Antioxidant Sepsis Ascorbic acid Thiamine N-acetylcysteine Selenium Short-term mortality

ABSTRACT

Background: The occurrence and development of sepsis are related to the excessive production of oxygen free radicals and the weakened natural clearance mechanism. Further dependable evidence is required to clarify the effectiveness of antioxidant therapy, especially its impact on short-term mortality.

Objectives: The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to evaluate the effect of common antioxidant therapy on short-term mortality in patients with sepsis.

Methods: According to PRISMA guidelines, a systematic literature search on antioxidants in adults sepsis patients was performed on PubMed/Medline, Embase, and the Cochrane Library from the establishment of the database to November 2023. Antioxidant supplements can be a single-drug or multi-drug combination: HAT (hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, and thiamine), ascorbic acid, thiamine, N-acetylcysteine and selenium. The primary outcome was the effect of antioxidant treatment on short-term mortality, which included 28-day mortality, in-hospital mortality, intensive care unit mortality, and 30-day mortality. Subgroup analyses of short-term mortality were used to reduce statistical heterogeneity and publication bias.

Results: Sixty studies of 130,986 sepsis patients fulfilled the predefined criteria and were quantified and meta-analyzed. Antioxidant therapy reduces the risk of short-term death in sepsis patients by multivariate meta-analysis of current data, including a reduction of in-hospital mortality (OR = 0.81, 95% CI 0.67 to 0.99; P = 0.040) and 28-day mortality (OR = 0.81, 95% CI 0.67 to 0.99; P = 0.040) and 28-day mortality (OR = 0.81, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.95]; P = 0.008). Particularly in subgroup analyses, ascorbic acid treatment can reduce inhospital mortality (OR = 0.66, 95% CI 0.90 to 0.98; P = 0.006) and 28-day mortality (OR = 0.43, 95% CI 0.24 to 0.75; P = 0.003). However, the meta-analysis of RCTs found that antioxidant therapy drugs, especially ascorbic acid, did substantially reduce short-term mortality(OR = 0.78, 95% CI 0.62 to 0.98; P = 0.030; OR = 0.57, 95% CI 0.36 to 0.91; P = 0.020).

Conclusions: According to current data of RCTs, antioxidant therapy, especially ascorbic acid, has a trend of improving short-term mortality in patients with sepsis, but the evidence remains to be further demonstrated.

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* lzq_640815@163.com (Z.-Q. Lu).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29156

Received 14 July 2023; Received in revised form 28 March 2024; Accepted 2 April 2024

Available online 4 April 2024

^{2405-8440/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Sepsis seriously endangers the life and health of patients, which is currently the leading cause of death in intensive care units (ICU) [1]. There are more than 30 million sepsis patients worldwide, of which 19.4 million are severe sepsis patients, and about 5 million sepsis-related deaths occur every year [2]. Approximately one-third of sepsis patients admitted to the intensive care unit survived for fewer than 30 days, and the mortality of patients deteriorated with advanced age and multiple organs states, according to preliminary statistics [3].Short-term mortality induced by organ dysfunction consume a substantial amount of financial and medical resources, negatively impacting patient prognosis [4].

Numerous clinical research discovered a connection between sepsis short-term mortality and abnormal oxidative stress activation. In the past five years, international medical organizations have carried out a lot of clinical studies on antioxidants in the treatment of sepsis. Commonly used antioxidants such as ascorbic acid [5,6], thiamine [7], melatonin [8], N-acetylcysteine [9,10], and selenium compounds [11,12]have been used in animal models of sepsis and clinical trials.But limited by the lack of large randomized clinical trials and even more lack of head-to-head studies on different antioxidants in patients, the clinical evidence for the effectiveness of antioxidant therapy was still very insufficient. Antioxidant therapy remains an area of controversy in the management of sepsis. Even the highly anticipated HAT (hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, and thiamine) treatment strategy is believed to have a synergistic effect that can lower the mortality of sepsis and septic shock patients, the duration of vasopressor usage, and the frequency of renal replacement therapy. According to the ACTS trial [13], VITAMINS trial [14], and VICTAS trial [5], the HAT therapy does not improve SOFA score, duration of vasopressor and mortality. Even one of the HAT trial [15] was prematurely stopped because of worries about the intervention group's exposure to hypernatremia.

Although antioxidant administration may be one of the useful supplement in the treatment of septic shock. Previous meta-analyse [19] had showed that 3–10 g/d of ascorbic acid reduces patient mortality, although high and low of ascorbic acid did not significant. However, the latest meta-analysis [20–22] found that ascorbic acid and HAT treatment did not reduce the mortality rate of sepsis patients. The current academic debate on antioxidant therapy has not yielded clear results. Compared with the 2016 international sepsis guidelines, the latest 2021 sepsis management guidelines [23] from the European Society of Critical Care Medicine (ESICM) and American Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) only give a weak recommendation for ascorbic acid in sepsis or septic shock. However, the latest Japanese clinical practice guidelines for the management of sepsis and septic shock [24] suggest providing ascorbic acid as the sole antioxidant for sepsis patients.

It is undeniable that antioxidants have also achieved some positive therapeutic results in terms of efficacy and safety in sepsis, but more trustworthy data are still needed to support it. Therefore, it is necessary to review the existing literature on antioxidant therapy to provide possible clinical guidance and conduct a meta-analysis to assess the impact of antioxidant therapy on short-term mortality in septic patients.

2. Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical studies were conducted and reported in adherence with the guidelines for Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [25]. The research was not registered as well as the review protocol. Data extraction and risk assessment were independently done by multiple observers and cross-checked to avoid errors. The quality of included studies was critically examined following the Cochrane guidelines [26].

2.1. Search strategy

The study searched PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials to identify potential studies from the inception of all databases to November 2023. The search strategies of each database were shown in Supplemental. Thorough manual search was conducted for existing reviews and conference abstracts, and relevant articles were retrieved from references. Finally, we performed a recursive search, using the bibliographies of all obtained articles. All differences of opinion were resolved through consultation, and a third party was involved in the evaluation if disagreements cannot be negotiated. In addition, we searched published meta-analyses and screened included studies and references for manual retrieval.

2.2. Study selection

All published clinical trials of antioxidant therapy were included to assess the effect of antioxidants on short-term mortality in sepsis. There were no language restrictions on study selection; both English and non-English articles were reviewed. Antioxidants include HAT(hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, and thiamine), ascorbic acid, thiamine, N-acetylcysteine, and selenium. Short-term mortality assessments for all studies included in-hospital mortality, 28-day mortality, ICU mortality, and 30-day mortality. Sub-group analyses of short-term mortality were further performed according to different antioxidant therapy or study type.

2.3. Data extraction

All included literature review and data extraction were carried out by two independent working groups (Hui Pei and Jie Qu, Jianming Chen and Yaolu Zhang) and cross-checked to avoid the error. We also extracted the following data for all studies, including the type of study, type of analysis, specific intervention, number of participants screened, primary and secondary outcomes, and

duration of follow-up after the intervention.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The Cochrane Collaboration meta-analysis software Review Manager 5.3 was used for the meta-analysis. Dichotomous data were reported using odds ratio (*OR*) with 95% confidence intervals (*95%CI*) following the Cochrane guidelines. When the coefficient of



Fig. 1. The PRISMA flowchart and the risk assessment of the included studies. A. The PRISMA flowchart of this Systematic Review from Database search results as November 2023.; B. Results of risk assessment of all randomized controlled studies. Low risk, unclear risk, and high risk of bias were indicated by the green, yellow, and red colours, respectively. Abbreviations: RCTs: randomized controlled trial; nRCTs: non randomized controlled trial. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

H. Pei et al.

heterogeneity $I^2 > 50\%$, the random-effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method) were performed using, otherwise the variables were calculated using the fixed-effects model. A two-sided p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The trial sequence analysis (TSA) and sensitivity analysis were conducted using TSA software and STATA17, and visualization by Sangbox network platform [27, 28].

2.5. Risk of bias

The quality of the selected studies and the risk of bias was critically examined following the Cochrane guidelines [29]. The quality assessment system includes analysis type or not, random sequence generation or not, allocation concealment or not, blinding of participants and personnel, and blinding of outcome assessment.

2.6. Heterogeneity and subgroup analysis

For the effect of antioxidant therapy on short-term mortality, we conducted four subgroup analyses by different outcome measures. Secondly, an in-depth subgroup analysis was carried out for different antioxidant drugs and study type. In addition, trial sequential

٨		Antioxidants Contro		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total Ev	ents Tota	I Weigh	t M-	H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
	Raghu, K.2021	3	120	31 130	6.0%	5	0.08 [0.02, 0.28] *	└──	
	M. Jamshidi,2021	3	29	11 29	9 4.9%	þ	0.19 [0.05, 0.77]		
	S. Emet2004	7	27	8 26	6.1%	5	0.79 [0.24, 2.61]		
	Wani, S. J.2020	12	50	14 50	8.5%	5	0.81 [0.33, 1.99]		
	Iglesias, J.2020	11	68	13 69	8.6%	5	0.83 [0.34, 2.01]		
	Qing-Quan Lyu.2022	70	203	68 20	5 14.0%	5	1.06 [0.70, 1.60]		
	H. Spapen.1998	5	12	4 10	3.7%	5	1.07 [0.19, 5.91]		
	Donnino, M. W.2016	19	43	18 4	5 8.9%	5	1.19 [0.51, 2.77]		
	Mohamed, Z. U.2020	26	45	23 43	9.0%	5	1.19 [0.51, 2.76]		
	Fujii, T.2020	25	107	21 104	11.0%	5	1.20 [0.63, 2.32]		
	Moskowitz, A.2020	28	101	23 99	9 11.2%	5	1.27 [0.67, 2.40]		
	Hwang, S. Y.2020	13	53	11 58	8.4%	5	1.39 [0.56, 3.44]		
	Total (95% CI)		858	868	3 100.0%	0	0.85 [0.59, 1.23]	•	
	Total events	222		245					
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.21; Chi ² = 2	4.37, df =	= 11 (P = 0.01); I ² = 55%			-		
	Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.86 (P =	0.39)					0.05 0.2 1 5 20 Antiovidante Control	
_								Antioxidants Control	
В		Antio	xidants	Contro	ol –		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
_	Study or Subgroup	Event	s Tota	Events	Total We	eight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
	Angstwurm, M. W. A200	7. 39	9 92	55	97	6.7%	0.56 [0.32, 1.00]		
	Chang, P.2020	11	1 40	14	40	2.2%	0.70 [0.27, 1.82]		
	Chelkeba, L.2017	9	9 29	10	25	1.6%	0.68 [0.22, 2.07]		
	David A. Wacker.2022	16	6 60	26	64	4.0%	0.53 [0.25, 1.14]		
	F. Bloos.2016	152	2 538	137	538 2	1.5%	1.15 [0.88, 1.51]	-	
	F. Lamontagne.2022	152	2 429	137	434 1	9.2%	1.19 [0.90, 1.58]	-	
	Forceville, X.2007	14	4 31	13	29	1.6%	1.01 [0.37, 2.80]		
	Fowler Iii, A. A.2014	7	7 16	5	8	0.8%	0.47 [0.08, 2.66]		
	Fowler Iii, A. A.2019	25	5 84	38	82	5.9%	0.49 [0.26, 0.93]		
	Fujii, T.2020	24	4 107	21	104	3.6%	1.14 [0.59, 2.21]		
	H. Brodska2015	18	8 64	17	60	2.8%	0.99 [0.45, 2.16]		
	Hussein, A. A.2021	17	7 47	21	47	2.9%	0.70 [0.31, 1.60]		
	Hwang, S. Y.2020	11	1 53	9	58	1.5%	1.43 [0.54, 3.77]		
	J. Valenta.2011	19	9 75	24	75	3.9%	0.72 [0.35, 1.47]		
	Petsakul, S.2020	4	5 25	7	25	1.2%	0.64 [0.17, 2.39]		
			20						
	Qing-Quan Lyu.2022	74	4 203	74	205 1	0.2%	1.02 [0.68, 1.52]	+	
	Qing-Quan Lyu.2022 S. J. Lv.2021	74	4 203 5 61	74 24	205 1 56 ·	0.2% 4.1%	1.02 [0.68, 1.52] 0.43 [0.20, 0.96]		
	Qing-Quan Lyu.2022 S. J. Lv.2021 V. Janka2013	74 15 15	4 203 5 61 5 35	74 24 22	205 1 56 37	0.2% 4.1% 2.7%	1.02 [0.68, 1.52] 0.43 [0.20, 0.96] 0.51 [0.20, 1.31]		
	Qing-Quan Lyu.2022 S. J. Lv.2021 V. Janka2013 Wessam A. El Driny.202	72 15 12	4 203 5 61 5 35 3 20	74 24 22 9	205 1 56 - 37 : 20	0.2% 4.1% 2.7% 1.7%	1.02 [0.68, 1.52] 0.43 [0.20, 0.96] 0.51 [0.20, 1.31] 0.22 [0.05, 0.98]		

Zabet, M. H.2016 0.09 [0.01, 0.59] 14 9 14 1.7% Total (95% CI) 2023 2018 100.0% 0.90 [0.79, 1.03] Total events 628 672 Heterogeneity: Chi² = 32.59, df = 19 (P = 0.03); l² = 42% 0.01 100 0.1 10 1 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.57 (P = 0.12) Antioxidants Control

Fig. 2. The effect of antioxidant therapy on in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality in sepsis patients. A. The subgroup analysis of RCTs on inhospital mortality. of sepsis patients by antioxidant therapy compared with the reference; B. Results of antioxidant therapy on 28-day mortality by 20RCTs. analysis was used to evaluate the quantity and consistent trend. The sensitivity analysis and funnel plot were constructed to visual assessment of heterogeneity and publication bias.

3. Result

3.1. Study characteristics

The initial literature search identified 687 studies from various databases, and an additional 14 studies were retrieved through the manual reference search. 512 research were included in the primary screening by duplicate publications were eliminated. After reviewing the abstracts, we again excluded 351 articles due to the following reasons: irrelevant research, COVID-19, animal experiments, reviews, conference papers, and case reports, and 161 articles were included in the full-text reading and screening process. Finally, 60 articles were included in this meta-analysis, including 35 randomized controlled studies [5–7,11,13–15,30–58] and 25 non-randomized controlled studies [42,56,59–81]. The PRISMA flowchart and the risk assessment results of the randomized controlled studies were shown in Fig. 1A/B. The study characteristics of included studies were summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

4. Antioxidant therapy's outcome in in-hospital mortality

A total of 29 studies were included in the meta-analysis on in-hospital mortality. Pooled analysis indicated a significantly lower inhospital mortality in patients receiving antioxidant therapy compared with the standard treatment group, (OR = 0.81, 95%CI 0.67 to 0.99; P = 0.040). Non-randomized controlled studies (17/29) and three-drug combination therapy (14/29) accounted for a large proportion of this subgroup. More importantly, the meta-analysis of RCTs showed that antioxidant therapy did not improve in-hospital mortality in sepsis patients, (OR = 0.85, 95%CI 0.59 to 1.23; P = 0.390). Although, the nRCT study and HAT therapy reduced the inhospital mortality of patients (OR = 0.79, 95%CI 0.62 to 1.00; P = 0.050; OR = 0.72, 95%CI 0.51 to 1.01; P = 0.060). Either ascorbic acid or thiamine monotherapy showed significant advantages in reducing hospital mortality (OR = 0.66, P < 0.001; OR = 0.64, P =0.020), the combination of the two drugs did not show an ideal effect (OR = 0.88, P = 0.340). The result of comprehensive analysis and subgroup analysis of antioxidant treatment on in-hospital mortality were shown in Figs. 2A and 5A.

Δ	Antioxid	ants	Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	6i	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		
David A. Wacker.2022	14	60	20	64	9.3%	0.67 [0.30, 1.49]				
Domenighetti, G.1997	4	22	3	20	1.6%	1.26 [0.24, 6.47]				
Fujii, T.2020	21	107	19	104	9.7%	1.09 [0.55, 2.18]				
Harun, N. F.2019	14	32	12	33	4.2%	1.36 [0.50, 3.68]				
Hussein, A. A.2021	14	47	19	47	8.4%	0.63 [0.27, 1.47]				
Hwang, S. Y.2020	7	46	7	52	3.5%	1.15 [0.37, 3.58]				
Iglesias, J.2020	6	68	10	69	5.7%	0.57 [0.20, 1.67]				
Moskowitz, A.2020	23	101	20	99	9.8%	1.16 [0.59, 2.29]				
Najafi, A.2014	8	20	4	18	1.6%	2.33 [0.56, 9.72]		· · · ·	-	
Qing-Quan Lyu.2022	64	203	59	205	25.3%	1.14 [0.75, 1.74]				
Sevransky, J. E.2021	34	205	36	212	18.6%	0.97 [0.58, 1.62]		-		
Singh, R.2021	15	25	9	25	2.3%	2.67 [0.85, 8.37]			-	
Total (95% CI)		936		948	100.0%	1.05 [0.85, 1.31]		•		
Total events	224		218							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8.3	30, df = 11	(P = 0.6	9); l ² = 0 ⁴	%					+	400
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.46 (P =	0.65)					0.01 0.1 Anti	oxidants Control	10	100
R	Antioxidants Co			ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		
Moskowitz, A.2020	35	101	29	99	25.3%	1.28 [0.71, 2.32]				
P. Rosengrave.2022	6	20	7	20	6.5%	0.80 [0.21, 3.00]				
Sevransky, J. E.2021	45	205	51	212	51.6%	0.89 [0.56, 1.40]		-		
Wani, S. J.2020	20	50	21	50	16.6%	0.92 [0.41, 2.04]		_		
Total (95% CI)		376		381	100.0%	0.99 [0.72, 1.36]		•		
Total events	106		108							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.	.07, df = 3 (P = 0.7	8); I ² = 0%	6					+	100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.08 (P	= 0.93)					0.01 0.1 Anti	i oxidants Control	10	100

Fig. 3. The subgroup analysis of antioxidant therapy on ICU mortality and 30-day in sepsis patients. A. The subgroup analysis of RCTs on 28-day mortality of sepsis patients by antioxidant therapy compared with the reference; B. Result of antioxidant therapy on 30-day mortality by 4 RCTs.

4.1. Antioxidant therapy's outcome in 28-day mortality

A total of 29 studies were included in the meta-analysis on 28-day mortality. The pooled analysis showed that receiving antioxidant therapy significantly reduced 28-day mortality in sepsis patients, (OR = 0.81, 95%CI 0.69 to 0.95; P = 0.008). Randomized controlled studies accounted for a large proportion (20/29). Howere, the meta-analysis of RCTs showed that antioxidant therapy did not improve 28-day mortality in sepsis patients, (OR = 0.90, 95%CI 0.79 to 1.03; P = 0.120). Although, ascorbic acid therapy significantly reduced 28-day mortality in sepsis patients compared to standard treatment, (OR = 0.43, 95%CI 0.24 to 0.75; P = 0.003), as shown in Figs. 2B and 5B.

5. Antioxidant therapy's outcome in ICU mortality

The meta-analysis of the effect of antioxidant therapy on ICU mortality includes 22 studies. The pooled analysis showed that receiving antioxidant therapy had no significant effect on ICU mortality in sepsis patients (OR = 0.98, 95%CI 0.88 to 1.10; P = 0.770).

Δ	Antioxid	ants	S Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
Zabet, M. H.2016	2	14	9	14	1.3%	0.09 [0.01, 0.59]			
Wessam A. El Driny.2022	3	20	9	20	1.8%	0.22 [0.05, 0.98]			
Wani, S. J.2020	20	50	21	50	4.2%	0.92 [0.41, 2.04]			
Singh, R.2021	15	25	9	25	2.8%	2.67 [0.85, 8.37]			
Sevransky, J. E.2021	45	205	51	212	6.4%	0.89 [0.56, 1.40]			
S. J. Lv.2021	15	61	24	56	4.3%	0.43 [0.20, 0.96]	and the second s		
S. Emet2004	7	27	8	26	2.6%	0.79 [0.24, 2.61]			
Raghu, K.2021	3	120	31	120	2.5%	0.07 [0.02, 0.25]			
Qing-Quan Lyu.2022	74	203	74	205	6.8%	1.02 [0.68, 1.52]	+		
Petsakul, S.2020	5	25	7	25	2.3%	0.64 [0.17, 2.39]			
P. Rosengrave.2022	6	20	7	20	2.2%	0.80 [0.21, 3.00]			
Najafi, A.2014	8	20	4	18	2.0%	2.33 [0.56, 9.72]			
Moskowitz, A.2020	35	101	29	99	5.5%	1.28 [0.71, 2.32]			
Mohamed, Z. U.2020	26	45	23	43	4.0%	1.19 [0.51, 2.76]			
M. Jamshidi,2021	3	29	11	29	2.0%	0.19 [0.05, 0.77]			
Iglesias, J.2020	11	68	13	69	3.8%	0.83 [0.34, 2.01]			
Hwang, S. Y.2020	13	53	22	58	4.1%	0.53 [0.23, 1.21]			
Hussein, A. A.2021	17	47	21	47	4.1%	0.70 [0.31, 1.60]			
Harun, N. F.2019	14	32	12	33	3.3%	1.36 [0.50, 3.68]			
H. Spapen.1998	5	12	4	10	1.5%	1.07 [0.19, 5.91]			
Fujii, T.2020	25	107	21	104	5.1%	1.20 [0.63, 2.32]			
Fowler Iii, A. A.2019	25	84	38	82	5.2%	0.49 [0.26, 0.93]			
Fowler Iii, A. A.2014	7	16	5	8	1.5%	0.47 [0.08, 2.66]			
F. Lamontagne.2022	152	429	137	434	7.6%	1.19 [0.90, 1.58]			
Donnino, M. W.2016	19	43	18	45	4.0%	1.19 [0.51, 2.77]	<u>-</u> -		
Domenighetti, G.1997	4	10	3	9	1.3%	1.33 [0.20, 8.71]			
David A. Wacker.2022	16	60	26	64	4.4%	0.53 [0.25, 1.14]			
Chang, P.2020	11	40	14	40	3.5%	0.70 [0.27, 1.82]			
Total (95% CI)		1966		1965	100.0%	0.78 [0.62, 0.98]	•		
Total events	586		651						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.16; 0	Chi ² = 55.2	21, df = :	27 (P = 0.	.001); l	² = 51%	1			
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.1	15 (P = 0.0	03)					0.01 0.1 1 1 10 100 Antioxidants Control		
D									
B	Ascorbio	Acid	Cont	Tatal	Malabe	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI			
David A. Wacker.2022	10	100	20	64	13.7%	0.53 [0.25, 1.14]	-		
F. Lamontagne.2022	152	429	137	434	19.9%	1.19 [0.90, 1.58]			
Fowler III, A. A.2014	25	10	с 20	0	5.4%	0.47 [0.06, 2.06]			
Powler III, A. A.2019	25	04	30	02	15.3%	0.49 [0.26, 0.93]			
P. Rosengrave.2022	15	20	1	20	10.0%	0.60 [0.21, 3.00]			
5. J. LV.2021	15	50	24	50	13.3%	0.43 [0.20, 0.96]			
Wani, S. J.2020	20	20	21	50	13.2%	0.92 [0.41, 2.04]			
Zebet M H 2016	3	20	9	20	0.0%				
	2	14	9	14	4.9%	0.09 [0.01, 0.59]			
Total (95% CI)		754		748	100.0%	0.57 [0.36, 0.91]	•		
Total events	246		276						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; 0	Chi ² = 21.6	67, df = 8	B (P = 0.0	06); l ² :	= 63%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.3	35 (P = 0.0	02)					Ascorbic Acid Control		

Fig. 4. The effect of antioxidant therapy on mixed short-term mortality in sepsis patients. A. The subgroup analysis of RCTs on mixed short-term mortality of sepsis patients by antioxidant therapy compared with the reference; B. Result of ascorbic acid onmixed short-term mortality by 9 RCTs.

H. Pei et al.

The proportion of randomized controlled studies and non-randomized controlled studies was roughly equal (12/10). Howere, the meta-analysis of RCTs showed that antioxidant therapy did not improve ICU mortality in sepsis patients, (OR = 1.05, 95%CI 0.85 to 1.31; P = 0.650). Unfortunately, even subgroup analyses did not find a significant difference in ICU mortality of antioxidant therapy, as shown in Figs. 3A and 5C.

Α	Subgroup	Studies	Participant	Method	Heterogeneity		Odds Ratio(95%CI)	P value
	1.1 RCT	12	1726	Random	Chi2=24.37, df=11 (P=0.01); I2=55%	II	0.85 [0.59, 1.23]	0.39
	1.2 nRCT	17	52683	Random	Chi2=80.24, df=16 (P<0.00001); I2=80%	[···•	0.79 [0.62, 1.00]	0.05
	1.3 HAT	14	3988	Random	Chi ² =44.81, df=13 (P < 0.0001); I ² =71%	.	0.72 [0.51, 1.01]	0.06
	1.4 AT	6	1334	Fixed	Chi2=3.77, df=5 (P=0.58); I2=0%	1	0.88 [0.67, 1.15]	0.34
	1.5 Ascorbic acid	4	46928	Fixed	Chi ² =2.27, df=3 (P=0.52); l ² =0%		0.66 [0.62, 0.70]	< 0.00001
	1.6 Thiamine	3	1056	Fixed	Chi ² =3 10 df=2 (P=0 21): $I^2=36\%$	[-]	0.64 [0.43, 0.94]	0.02
	1.7 NAC	2	75	Fined	$Ch^{2}=0.08$ $df=1$ (D=0.77), 12=09/		0.04 [0.43, 0.34]	0.72
	1.8 All study	2	73	Fixed	CHP-0.08, df-1 (P-0.77), P-0%		0.87 [0.33, 2.32]	0.78
Р		29	54409	Kandom	Chi=109.36, di=28 (P<0.00001); I=74%	0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2	0.81 [0.67-0.99]	0.04
В	Subgroup 2.1 RCT	Studies	Participant	Method	Heterogeneity		Odds Ratio(95%CI)	P value
	2.2 nPCT	20	4041	Fixed	Chi2=32.59, df=19 (P=0.03); I2=42%	h	0.90 [0.79, 1.03]	0.12
	2.2 Inter	9	71112	Random	Chi2=22.45, df=8 (P=0.004); I2=64%	F	0.83 [0.63, 1.10]	0.2
	2.5 Ascorbic Aciu	9	1460	Random	Chi2=28.21, df=8 (P=0.0004); I2=72%		0.43 [0.24, 0.75]	0.003
	2.4 Selenium	7	1725	Fixed	Chi2=7.78, df=6 (P=0.25); I2=23%	ŀ	0.94 [0.76, 1.15]	0.53
	2.5 AI	5	1376	Fixed	Chi2=2.13, df=4 (P=0.71); I2=0%	1	1.09 [0.83, 1.43]	0.55
	2.6 Thiamine	4	69934	Random	Chi2=11.32, df=3 (P=0.01); I2=74%	II	0.82 [0.55, 1.21]	0.31
	2.7 HAT	4	658	Fixed	Chi2=0.79, df=3 (P=0.85); I2=0%	I	0.89 [0.65, 1.23]	0.48
	2.8 All study	29	75153	Random	Chi2=61.66, df=28 (P=0.0002); I2=55%	I	0.81 [0.69, 0.95]	0.008
0						0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4		
C	Subgroup 3.1 RCT	Studies	Participant	Method	Heterogeneity		Odds Ratio(95%CI)	P value
	3.2 nRCT	12	1884	Fixed	Chi2=8.30, df=11 (P=0.69); I2=0%	h1	1.05 [0.85, 1.31]	0.65
	3.3 HAT	10	5827	Random	Chi ² =25.24, df=9 (P=0.003); I ² =64%	[]	0.84 [0.62, 1.14]	0.25
	3.4 Thiamine	10	1655	Fixed	Chi ² =14.59, df=9 (P=0.10); I ² =38%		0.98 [0.78, 1.23]	0.87
	3.5 AT	3	4081	Random	Chi ² =6.73, df=2 (P=0.03); I ² =70%		0.82 [0.47, 1.45]	0.5
	3.6 All study	2	309	Fixed	Chi ² =0.01, df=1 (P=0.94); I ² =0%	la de la	1.11 [0.62, 2.00]	0.73
П			//11	Fixed	CIII33.83, dI-21 (P-0.04), P-3876	0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.	0.98 [0.88, 1.10] 0	0.77
U	Subgroup	Studies	Participant	Method	Heterogeneity		Odds Ratio(95%CI)	P value
	4.2 nRCT	4	757	Fixed	Chi2=1.07, df=3 (P=0.78); I2=0%	I	0.99 [0.72, 1.36]	0.93
								0.06
	4.3 Ascorbic Acid	2	202	Fixed	Chi2=1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); I2=20%	↓↓	0.57 [0.32, 1.01]	
	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT	2 2	202 140	Fixed	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); l ² =20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); l ² =0%	 	0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75]	0.73
	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT 4.5 all study	2 2 2 2	202 140 617	Fixed Fixed Fixed	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); l ² =20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); l ² =0% Chi ² =0.91, df=1 (P=0.34); l ² =0%		0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75] 1.02 [0.71, 1.46]	0.73
	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT 4.5 all study	2 2 2 6	202 140 617 959	Fixed Fixed Fixed Fixed	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); l ² =20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); l ² =0% Chi ² =0.91, df=1 (P=0.34); l ² =0% Chi ² =4.91, df=5 (P=0.43); l ² =0%	+	0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75] 1.02 [0.71, 1.46] 0.87 [0.66, 1.14]	0.73 0.93 0.31
Е	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT 4.5 all study Subgroup 5.1 HAT	2 2 2 6 Studies	202 140 617 959 Participant	Fixed Fixed Fixed Fixed Method	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); l ² =20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); l ² =0% Chi ² =0.91, df=1 (P=0.34); l ² =0% Chi ² =4.91, df=5 (P=0.43); l ² =0% Heterogeneity	+	0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75] 1.02 [0.71, 1.46] 0.87 [0.66, 1.14] Odds Ratio(95%CI)	0.73 0.93 0.31 P value
E	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT 4.5 all study Subgroup 5.1 HAT 5.2 Ascorbic Acid	2 2 6 Studies	202 140 617 959 Participant 1983	Fixed Fixed Fixed Method Random	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); l ² =20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); l ² =0% Chi ² =0.91, df=1 (P=0.34); l ² =0% Chi ² =4.91, df=5 (P=0.43); l ² =0% <u>Heterogeneity</u> Chi ² =28.43, df=10 (P=0.002); l ² =65%		0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75] 1.02 [0.71, 1.46] 0.87 [0.66, 1.14] Odds Ratio(95%CI) 0.82 [0.56, 1.20]	0.73 0.93 0.31 P value 0.30
E	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT 4.5 all study 5.1 HAT 5.2 Ascorbic Acid 5.3 NAC	2 2 6 Studies 11 9	202 140 617 959 Participant 1983 1502	Fixed Fixed Fixed Fixed Random Random	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); I ² =20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); I ² =0% Chi ² =0.91, df=1 (P=0.34); I ² =0% Chi ² =4.91, df=5 (P=0.43); I ² =0% Heterogeneity Chi ² =28.43, df=10 (P=0.002); I ² =65% Chi ² =21.67, df=8 (P=0.006); I ² =63%	+ + 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.0 1.2 1.4	0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75] 1.02 [0.71, 1.46] 0.87 [0.66, 1.14] Odds Ratio(95%CI) 0.82 [0.56, 1.20] 0.57 [0.36, 0.91]	0.73 0.93 0.31 P value 0.30 0.02
E	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT 4.5 all study Subgroup 5.1 HAT 5.2 Ascorbic Acid 5.3 NAC 5.4 T	2 2 6 Studies 11 9 5	202 140 617 959 Participant 1983 1502 222	Fixed Fixed Fixed Method Random Random Fixed	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); P=20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); P=0% Chi ² =0.91, df=1 (P=0.34); P=0% Chi ² =4.91, df=5 (P=0.43); P=0% Heterogeneity Chi ² =28.43, df=10 (P=0.002); P=65% Chi ² =21.67, df=8 (P=0.006); P=63% Chi ² =5.04, df=4 (P=0.28); P=21%		0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75] 1.02 [0.71, 1.46] 0.87 [0.66, 1.14] Odds Ratio(95%CI) 0.82 [0.56, 1.20] 0.57 [0.36, 0.91] 0.77 [0.44, 1.35]	0.73 0.93 0.31 P value 0.30 0.02 0.36
E	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT 4.5 all study Subgroup 5.1 HAT 5.2 Ascorbic Acid 5.3 NAC 5.4 T 5.5 AT	2 2 6 <u>Studies</u> 11 9 5 3	202 140 617 959 Participant 1983 1502 222 203	Fixed Fixed Fixed Method Random Fixed Fixed	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); I ² =20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); I ² =0% Chi ² =0.91, df=1 (P=0.34); I ² =0% Chi ² =4.91, df=5 (P=0.43); I ² =0% Heterogeneity Chi ² =28.43, df=10 (P=0.002); I ² =65% Chi ² =21.67, df=8 (P=0.006); I ² =63% Chi ² =5.04, df=4 (P=0.28); I ² =21% Chi ² =0.85, df=2 (P=0.65); I ² =0%		0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75] 1.02 [0.71, 1.46] 0.87 [0.66, 1.14] Odds Ratio(95%CI) 0.82 [0.56, 1.20] 0.57 [0.36, 0.91] 0.77 [0.44, 1.35] 0.10 [0.62, 1.96]	0.73 0.93 0.31 P value 0.30 0.02 0.36 0.74
E	4.3 Ascorbic Acid 4.4 HAT 4.5 all study Subgroup 5.1 HAT 5.2 Ascorbic Acid 5.3 NAC 5.4 T 5.5 AT 5.6 All-RCTs	2 2 6 Studies 11 9 5 3 2	202 140 617 959 Participant 1983 1502 222 203 235	Fixed Fixed Fixed Method Random Fixed Fixed	Chi ² =1.25, df=1 (P=0.26); I ² =20% Chi ² =0.03, df=1 (P=0.85); I ² =0% Chi ² =0.91, df=1 (P=0.34); I ² =0% Chi ² =4.91, df=5 (P=0.43); I ² =0% <u>Heterogeneity</u> Chi ² =28.43, df=10 (P=0.002); I ² =65% Chi ² =21.67, df=8 (P=0.006); I ² =63% Chi ² =2.167, df=8 (P=0.28); I ² =21% Chi ² =0.85, df=2 (P=0.65); I ² =0%		0.57 [0.32, 1.01] 0.89 [0.45, 1.75] 1.02 [0.71, 1.46] 0.87 [0.66, 1.14] Odds Ratio(95%CI) 0.82 [0.56, 1.20] 0.57 [0.36, 0.91] 0.77 [0.44, 1.35] 0.10 [0.62, 1.96] 0.66 [0.37, 1.16]	0.73 0.93 0.31 P value 0.30 0.02 0.36 0.74 0.15

Fig. 5. Assessment of the risk of short-term mortality in sepsis patients by antioxidant therapy. A. Pooled and subgroup analysis of antioxidant therapy on in-hospital mortality; B. Pooled and subgroup analysis of antioxidant therapy on 28d mortality; C. Pooled and subgroup analysis of antioxidant therapy on 30d mortality; E. Pooled and subgroup analysis of antioxidant therapy on 30d mortality; E. Pooled and subgroup analysis of antioxidant therapy on 30d mortality; E. Pooled and subgroup analysis of antioxidant therapy on 30d mortality; E. Pooled and subgroup analysis of antioxidant therapy on mixed short-term mortality. Abbreviations: OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, Random: random effects model, Fixed: fixed effects model, nRCT: non randomized controlled trial; RCT: randomized controlled trial; HAT: hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, and thiamine; AT: ascorbic acid and thiamine; NAC: N-acetylcysteine.

6. Antioxidant therapy's outcome in 30-day mortality

Only 6 studies were included in the meta-analysis on 30-day mortality. The pooled analysis showed that antioxidant therapy had a trend of reducing 30-day mortality in sepsis patients (OR = 0.87, 95%CI 0.66 to 1.14; P = 0.310). The meta-analysis of RCTs showed that antioxidant therapy did not improve 30-day mortality in sepsis patients, (OR = 0.99, 95%CI 0.72 to 1.36; P = 0.930). Although, the subgroup analysis of nRCT found that antioxidative effects relatively reduced 30-day mortality (OR = 0.57, 95%CI 0.32–1.01; P = 0.060), as shown in Figs. 3B and 5D.

7. Antioxidant therapy's outcome in short-term mortality

After summarizing the maximum mortality in RCTs into short-term mortality, a meta-analysis was conducted on different antioxidant therapy. Antioxidant therapy substantially reduce mixed short-term mortality(OR = 0.78, 95% CI 0.62 to 0.98; P = 0.030). Particularly, the subgroup analysis of ascorbic acid significantly reduced the short-term mortality in sepsis patients, (OR = 0.57, 95% CI 0.36 to 0.91; P = 0.020), as shown in Fig. 4A/B and Fig. 5E.

7.1. Sensitivity analysis and trial sequence analysis

The sensitivity analysis was used to further examine the impact of individual RCTs on the overall outcomes and found that the outcomes remained stable and reliable, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. Trial sequential analysis in Supplementary Fig. 2 confirmed that cumulative Z-curves of subgroup analysis surpassed both conventional test boundary and TSA bounds, and attained the acceptable sample size. The conclusions of the above subgroup meta-analysis were reliable and sufficient. Especially, the multimodal antioxidant therapy or single-drug ascorbic acid therapy in RCTs considerably decreased the mixed short-term mortality of patients.

8. Discussion

In severe patients with sepsis or septic shock, oxidative stress is an important risk factor for multiple organ dysfunction and disease progression [82]. Antioxidant therapy prevent the oxidative stress response of sepsis patients, block their damage to cellular proteins, lipids, and DNA, alleviate the resulting multiple organ dysfunction, and ultimately reduce patients short-term mortality [85–87]. Antioxidant therapy has therefore always been a crucial adjunctive treatment for sepsis. However, it is not difficult to find that antioxidant therapy have not achieved the desired expected results. Moskowitz's study found that HAT therapy did not reduce 72-h SOFA scores and 30-day mortality [13,72]. Similar studies [5,14,38] also found that HAT treatment did not significantly reduce short-term mortality in sepsis patients. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of commonly used antioxidants on short-term mortality in sepsis patients, with the aim of discovering new combination strategies. Antioxidant therapy in randomized controlled studies tended to improve short-term mortality in sepsis patients compared with standard care. This study innovatively analyzed the outcomes of different antioxidant drugs used alone or combinations and found that ascorbic acid was superior to other antioxidants and combinations strategies.

The meta-analysis of different antioxidant drugs and short-term mortality indicators must be based on the principles of high consistency and stability. This meta-analysis decreased the heterogeneity of the study through subgroup analysis. HAT therapy efficiently manages the in-hospital mortality of sepsis patients with trending downward. Ascorbic acid or thiamine by subgroup analysis also exhibit a specific therapeutic effect, which can significantly reduce in-hospital mortality or 28-day mortality.

We deeply consideration to the negative outcomes of this meta-analysis, which included inconsistent results between the evaluations of four short-term mortality indicators. On the one hand, the amount of data obtained for the four indicators was different, with a meta-analysis of in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality each incorporating results from a maximum of 29 studies. It was also precisely because the included sample size was large enough that the results of the above two subgroup analysis were positive treatment effects. Second, the short-term mortality distribution from sepsis occurred in a biphasic pattern, with an initial peak due to inadequate resuscitation resulting in cardiac and pulmonary failure and a second peak several weeks later due to persistent organ dysfunction [88]. The statistical results on patients' in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality might be more consistent with the natural course of sepsis patients, except for the ICU mortality data of sepsis patients with chronic complicated conditions, which made the data included statistics present better consistent.

In light of the positive benefits of ascorbic acid and thiamine that are revealed by our analysis, why is the benefit of the combined efficacy of the two medications not show significant superiority? Even the highly expected HAT therapy failed to show ideal outcomes. We found that the effect of the combination of the three drugs was not significantly better than that of the simple application of ascorbic acid or thiamine. The network meta-analysis [22] from 43 RCTs also partially confirms our study that vitamin C, gluco-corticoids, thiamine, or their combination was not proven to decrease long-term mortality. The conclusions of the meta-analysis of the data have been impacted by the existing dearth of research and the inconsistent quality of that research. On the one hand, we believe that the confounding variables brought on by additional medications reduce the effectiveness of the treatment. On the contrary, steroid supplementation increases the incidence of secondary infections and new septic shock. Sprung CL et colleagues [89] discovered that hydrocortisone did not increase septic shock patient survival or shock reversal. The ACTS trial [13], VITAMINS trial [14], and VICTAS trial [5] respectively confirmed that HAT combined therapy does not reduce SOFA scores or mortality, nor does it increase survival days without vasopressors. On the other hand, although adrenal cortical dysfunction can affect the prognosis of septic shock [90,91], blindly supplementing corticosteroids is not harmless. The appropriate corticosteroid dosage and duration of treatment are still

uncertain [92]. Even though short-term low-dose corticosteroid therapy may be beneficial [93,94], standardised adrenal function testing was still required to assist reverse septic shock and reduce mortality [95–97].

It must be seen that there are still many clinical problems that need to be resolved, and antioxidant therapy's ideal timing, method of administration, and dosage are all still up for debate. Ascorbic acid was the most commonly used antioxidant drug at present. Studies have found that the efficacy of ascorbic acid is dose-dependent. Previous meta-analyse [19] had showed that 3–10 g/d of ascorbic acid reduces patient mortality, although high and low of ascorbic acid did not significant. The CITRIS-ALI trial [40], which used a higher dose of ascorbic acid (200 mg/kg/day), demonstrated that high-dose ascorbic acid significantly reduced short-term mortality in patients. Interestingly, another study [46] by the same author also found that neither low-dose (50 mg/kg/d) nor high-dose (200 mg/kg/d) ascorbic acid improved 28-day mortality. At the same time, the effectiveness of anti-oxidative drugs in sepsis patients is also time-dependent. It has to be admitted that S. Y. Jung's research [59] on the 5-day ascorbic acid treatment of sepsis has great clinical significance. Intravenous ascorbic acid for \geq 5 days were significantly associated with reduced hospitalization and 90-day mortality in patients with sepsis. Treatment with ascorbic acid for >5 days significantly improved survival compared with patients treated for 1–2 days or 3–4 days. (15.8% vs 18.8% vs 18.3%; p < 0.001).

It is not difficult to find that international guidelines are increasingly focusing on the use of antioxidants in patients with sepsis, and the two most recent international guidelines have supplemented the recommendations for the use of antioxidants in sepsis or septic shock. In the future, with the study of HAT therapy and the application of more antioxidants (such as melatonin, zinc-selenium mixture, glutathione, lipoic acid, etc.) to patients with sepsis, with the gradual advancement of clinical research, guidelines for the management of sepsis will give clear recommendations for antioxidant therapy.

9. Conclusions

According to current data of RCTs, antioxidant therapy, especially ascorbic acid, has a trend of improving short-term mortality in patients with sepsis, but the evidence remains to be further demonstrated.

Ethical approval

Review and/or approval by an ethics committee was not needed for this study because it is a systematic review and meta-analyse.

Funding

This research was supported by the Key R&D Program Projects of Zhejiang Province (2021C03072), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82272201, 82272202), the General scientific research project of the Zhejiang Provincial Department of Education (Y202250204) and the key specialty of traditional Chinese Medicine of Zhejiang Provincial in the 13th Five-Year Plan period (Emergency Department), and Zhejiang Provincial interdisciplinary Traditional Chinese Medicine Innovation Team for the diagnosis and treatment of sepsis.

Data availability statement

All relevant data are within the manuscript and its supplementary files.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Hui Pei: Writing – original draft, Supervision, Software, Formal analysis, Data curation. Jie Qu: Data curation. Jian-Ming Chen: Data curation. Yao-Lu Zhang: Data curation. Min Zhang: Data curation. Guang-Ju Zhao: Writing – review & editing. Zhong-Qiu Lu: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29156.

H. Pei et al.

References

- J.L. Vincent, J.C. Marshall, S.A. Namendys-Silva, B. Francois, I. Martin-Loeches, J. Lipman, et al., Assessment of the worldwide burden of critical illness: the intensive care over nations (ICON) audit, Lancet Respir. Med. 2 (5) (2014) 380–386.
- [2] C. Fleischmann, A. Scherag, N.K. Adhikari, C.S. Hartog, T. Tsaganos, P. Schlattmann, et al., Assessment of global incidence and mortality of hospital-treated sepsis. Current estimates and limitations, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 193 (3) (2016) 259–272.
- [3] T. van der Poll, M. Shankar-Hari, W.J. Wiersinga, The immunology of sepsis, Immunity 54 (11) (2021) 2450-2464.
- [4] A. Linder, T. Lee, J. Fisher, J. Singer, J. Boyd, K.R. Walley, et al., Short-term organ dysfunction is associated with long-term (10-yr) mortality of septic shock, Crit. Care Med. 44 (8) (2016) e728–e736.
- [5] J.E. Sevransky, R.E. Rothman, D.N. Hager, G.R. Bernard, S.M. Brown, T.G. Buchman, et al., Effect of vitamin C, thiamine, and hydrocortisone on ventilator- and vasopressor-free days in patients with sepsis: the VICTAS randomized clinical trial, JAMA 325 (8) (2021) 742–750.
- [6] P. Rosengrave, E. Spencer, J. Williman, J. Mehrtens, S. Morgan, T. Doyle, et al., Intravenous vitamin C administration to patients with septic shock: a pilot randomised controlled trial, Crit. Care 26 (1) (2022) 26.
- [7] S.Y. Hwang, S.M. Ryoo, J.E. Park, Y.H. Jo, D.H. Jang, G.J. Suh, et al., Combination therapy of vitamin C and thiamine for septic shock: a multi-centre, doubleblinded randomized, controlled study, Intensive Care Med. 46 (11) (2020) 2015–2025.
- [8] H.F. Galley, D.A. Lowes, L. Allen, G. Cameron, L.S. Aucott, N.R. Webster, Melatonin as a potential therapy for sepsis: a phase I dose escalation study and an ex vivo whole blood model under conditions of sepsis, J. Pineal Res. 56 (4) (2014) 427–438.
- [9] T. Szakmany, B. Hauser, P. Radermacher, N-acetylcysteine for sepsis and systemic inflammatory response in adults, Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. (9) (2012) CD006616.
- [10] G.F. Rushworth, I.L. Megson, Existing and potential therapeutic uses for N-acetylcysteine: the need for conversion to intracellular glutathione for antioxidant benefits, Pharmacol. Ther. 141 (2) (2014) 150–159.
- [11] F. Bloos, E. Trips, A. Nierhaus, J. Briegel, D.K. Heyland, U. Jaschinski, et al., Effect of sodium selenite administration and procalcitonin-guided therapy on mortality in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock: a randomized clinical trial, JAMA Intern. Med. 176 (9) (2016) 1266–1276.
- [12] W. Lin, J. Zhang, J.F. Xu, J. Pi, The advancing of selenium nanoparticles against infectious diseases, Front. Pharmacol. 12 (2021) 682284.
- [13] A. Moskowitz, D.T. Huang, P.C. Hou, J. Gong, P.B. Doshi, A.V. Grossestreuer, et al., Effect of ascorbic acid, corticosteroids, and thiamine on organ injury in septic shock: the ACTS randomized clinical trial, JAMA 324 (7) (2020) 642–650.
- [14] T. Fujii, N. Luethi, P.J. Young, D.R. Frei, G.M. Eastwood, C.J. French, et al., Effect of vitamin C, hydrocortisone, and thiamine vs hydrocortisone alone on time alive and free of vasopressor support among patients with septic shock: the VITAMINS randomized clinical trial, JAMA 323 (5) (2020) 423–431.
- [15] P. Chang, Y. Liao, J. Guan, Y. Guo, M. Zhao, J. Hu, et al., Combined treatment with hydrocortisone, vitamin C, and thiamine for sepsis and septic shock: a randomized controlled trial, Chest 158 (1) (2020) 174–182.
- [19] Y. Wang, H. Lin, B.W. Lin, J.D. Lin, Effects of different ascorbic acid doses on the mortality of critically ill patients: a meta-analysis, Ann. Intensive Care 9 (1) (2019) 58.
- [20] S.S. Scholz, R. Borgstedt, N. Ebeling, L.C. Menzel, G. Jansen, S. Rehberg, Mortality in septic patients treated with vitamin C: a systematic meta-analysis, Crit. Care 25 (1) (2021) 17.
- [21] B. Liang, J. Su, H. Shao, H. Chen, B. Xie, The outcome of IV vitamin C therapy in patients with sepsis or septic shock: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Crit. Care 27 (1) (2023) 109.
- [22] T. Fujii, G. Salanti, A. Belletti, R. Bellomo, A. Carr, T.A. Furukawa, et al., Effect of adjunctive vitamin C, glucocorticoids, and vitamin B1 on longer-term mortality in adults with sepsis or septic shock: a systematic review and a component network meta-analysis, Intensive Care Med. 48 (1) (2022) 16–24.
- [23] L. Evans, A. Rhodes, W. Alhazzani, M. Antonelli, C.M. Coopersmith, C. French, et al., Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021, Crit. Care Med. 49 (11) (2021) e1063–e1143.
- [24] M. Egi, H. Ogura, T. Yatabe, K. Atagi, S. Inoue, T. Iba, et al., The Japanese clinical practice guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2020 (J-sscg 2020), J. Intensive. Care 9 (1) (2021) 53.
- [25] M.J. Page, J.E. McKenzie, P.M. Bossuyt, I. Boutron, T.C. Hoffmann, C.D. Mulrow, et al., The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews, BMJ 372 (2021) n71.
- [26] MS Cumpston, JE McKenzie, VA Welch, SE Brennan, Strengthening systematic reviews in public health: guidance in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, J Public Health (Oxf), 2022, pp. 584–592.
- [27] K.E.J. Thorlund, J. Wetterslev, J. Brok, G. Imberger, C. Gluud, User Manual for Trial Sequential Analysis (TSA), Copenhagen Trial Unit, Copenhagen, 2017, pp. 1–119.
- [28] W. Shen, Z. Song, X. Zhong, M. Huang, D. Shen, P. Gao, et al., Sangerbox: a comprehensive, interaction-friendly clinical bioinformatics analysis platform, iMeta 1 (3) (2022) e36.
- [29] J.P.T.T.J. Higgins, J. Chandler, M. Cumpston, T. Li, M.J. Page, V.A. Welch (Eds.), Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester (UK), 2019.
- [30] R. Singh, S. Bhattacharya, To evaluate the efficacy of marik protocol in sepsis patient causing circulatory or respiratory compromise or both, Indian J. Crit. Care Med. 25 (SUPPL 1) (2021) S103.
- [31] K. Raghu, K. Ramalingam, Safety and Efficacy of Vitamin C, Vitamin B1, and Hydrocortisone in clinical outcome of septic shock receiving standard care: a quasi experimental randomized open label two arm parallel group study, European Journal of Molecular and Clinical Medicine 8 (2) (2021) 873–891.
- [32] S.J. Lv, G.H. Zhang, J.M. Xia, H. Yu, F. Zhao, Early use of high-dose vitamin C is beneficial in treatment of sepsis, Ir. J. Med. Sci. 190 (3) (2021) 1183–1188.
 [33] M.R. Jamshidi, M.R. Zeraati, B. Forouzanfar, M. Tahrekhani, N. Motamed, Effects of triple combination of hydrocortisone, thiamine, and Vitamin C on clinical outcome in patients with septic shock: a single-center randomized controlled trial, J. Res. Med. Sci. 26 (2021) 47.
- [34] A.A. Hussein, N.A. Sabry, M.S. Abdalla, S.F. Farid, A prospective, randomised clinical study comparing triple therapy regimen to hydrocortisone monotherapy in reducing mortality in septic shock patients, Int. J. Clin. Pract. 75 (9) (2021) e14376.
- [35] S.J. Wani, S.A. Mufti, R.A. Jan, S.U. Shah, S.M. Qadri, U.H. Khan, et al., Combination of vitamin C, thiamine and hydrocortisone added to standard treatment in the management of sepsis: results from an open label randomised controlled clinical trial and a review of the literature, Inf. Disp. 52 (4) (2020) 271–278.
- [36] S. Petsakul, S. Morakul, V. Tangsujaritvijit, P. Kunawut, P. Singhatas, P. Sanguanwit, Effects of thiamine on vasopressor requirements in patients with septic shock: a prospective randomized controlled trial, BMC Anesthesiol. 20 (1) (2020) 280.
- [37] Z.U. Mohamed, P. Prasannan, M. Moni, F. Edathadathil, P. Prasanna, A. Menon, et al., Vitamin C therapy for routine care in septic shock (ViCTOR) trial: effect of intravenous vitamin C, thiamine, and hydrocortisone administration on inpatient mortality among patients with septic shock, Indian J. Crit. Care Med. 24 (8) (2020) 653–661.
- [38] J. Iglesias, A.V. Vassallo, V.V. Patel, J.B. Sullivan, J. Cavanaugh, Y. Elbaga, Outcomes of metabolic resuscitation using ascorbic acid, thiamine, and glucocorticoids in the early treatment of sepsis: the ORANGES trial, Chest 158 (1) (2020) 164–173.
- [39] N.F. Harun, S.K. Cheah, A.M. Yusof, C.L. Lau, A. Masdar, S.N.M. Mahdi, et al., Intravenous thiamine as an adjuvant therapy for hyperlactatemia in septic shock patients, Crit. Care Shock 22 (6) (2019) 288–298.
- [40] A.A. Fowler 3rd, J.D. Truwit, R.D. Hite, P.E. Morris, C. DeWilde, A. Priday, et al., Effect of vitamin C infusion on organ failure and biomarkers of inflammation and vascular injury in patients with sepsis and severe acute respiratory failure: the CITRIS-ALI randomized clinical trial, JAMA 322 (13) (2019) 1261–1270.
- [41] L. Chelkeba, A. Ahmadi, M. Abdollahi, A. Najafi, M.H. Ghadimi, R. Mosaed, et al., The effect of high-dose parenteral sodium selenite in critically ill patients following sepsis: a clinical and mechanistic study, Indian J. Crit. Care Med. 21 (5) (2017) 287–293.
- [42] M.H. Zabet, M. Mohammadi, M. Ramezani, H. Khalili, Effect of high-dose Ascorbic acid on vasopressor's requirement in septic shock, J. Res. Pharm. Pract. 5 (2) (2016) 94–100.

- [43] M.W. Donnino, L.W. Andersen, M. Chase, K.M. Berg, M. Tidswell, T. Giberson, et al., Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of thiamine as a metabolic resuscitator in septic shock: a pilot study, Crit. Care Med. 44 (2) (2016) 360–367.
- [44] H. Brodska, J. Valenta, K. Malickova, P. Kohout, A. Kazda, T. Drabek, Biomarkers in critically ill patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome or sepsis supplemented with high-dose selenium, J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol. 31 (2015) 25–32.
- [45] A. Najafi, M. Mojtahedzadeh, K.H. Ahmadi, M. Abdollahi, M. Mousavi, L. Chelkeba, et al., The immunological benefit of higher dose N-acetyl cysteine following mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients, Daru 22 (2014) 57.
- [46] A.A. Fowler 3rd, A.A. Syed, S. Knowlson, R. Sculthorpe, D. Farthing, C. DeWilde, et al., Phase I safety trial of intravenous ascorbic acid in patients with severe sepsis, J. Transl. Med. 12 (2014) 32.
- [47] V. Janka, K. Ladislav, F. Jozef, V. Ladislav, Restoration of antioxidant enzymes in the therapeutic use of selenium in septic patients, Wien Klin. Wochenschr. 125 (11–12) (2013) 316–325.
- [48] J. Valenta, H. Brodska, T. Drabek, J. Hendl, A. Kazda, High-dose selenium substitution in sepsis: a prospective randomized clinical trial, Intensive Care Med. 37 (5) (2011) 808–815.
- [49] X. Forceville, B. Laviolle, D. Annane, D. Vitoux, G. Bleichner, J.M. Korach, et al., Effects of high doses of selenium, as sodium selenite, in septic shock: a placebocontrolled, randomized, double-blind, phase II study, Crit. Care 11 (4) (2007) R73.
- [50] M.W. Angstwurm, L. Engelmann, T. Zimmermann, C. Lehmann, C.H. Spes, P. Abel, et al., Selenium in Intensive Care (SIC): results of a prospective randomized, placebo-controlled, multiple-center study in patients with severe systemic inflammatory response syndrome, sepsis, and septic shock, Crit. Care Med. 35 (1) (2007) 118–126.
- [51] S. Emet, D. Memis, Z. Pamukcu, The influence of N-acetyl-L-cystein infusion on cytokine levels and gastric intramucosal pH during severe sepsis, Crit. Care 8 (4) (2004) R172–R179.
- [52] H. Spapen, H. Zhang, C. Demanet, W. Vleminckx, J.L. Vincent, L. Huyghens, Does N-acetyl-L-cysteine influence cytokine response during early human septic shock? Chest 113 (6) (1998) 1616–1624.
- [53] G. Domenighetti, P.M. Suter, M.D. Schaller, R. Ritz, C. Perret, Treatment with N-acetylcysteine during acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study, J. Crit. Care 12 (4) (1997) 177–182.
- [54] Q.Q. Lyu, R.Q. Zheng, Q.H. Chen, J.Q. Yu, J. Shao, X.H. Gu, Early administration of hydrocortisone, vitamin C, and thiamine in adult patients with septic shock: a randomized controlled clinical trial, Crit. Care 26 (1) (2022) 295.
- [55] D.A. Wacker, S.L. Burton, J.P. Berger, A.J. Hegg, J. Heisdorffer, Q. Wang, et al., Evaluating vitamin C in septic shock: a randomized controlled trial of vitamin C monotherapy, Crit. Care Med. 50 (5) (2022) e458–e467.
- [56] J.A. Woolum, E.L. Abner, A. Kelly, M.L. Thompson Bastin, P.E. Morris, A.H. Flannery, Effect of thiamine administration on lactate clearance and mortality in patients with septic shock, Crit. Care Med. 46 (11) (2018) 1747–1752.
- [57] F. Lamontagne, M.H. Masse, J. Menard, S. Sprague, R. Pinto, D.K. Heyland, et al., Intravenous vitamin C in adults with sepsis in the intensive care unit, N. Engl. J. Med. 386 (25) (2022) 2387–2398.
- [58] W.A. El Driny, I.M. Esmat, S.M. Shaheen, N.A. Sabri, Efficacy of high-dose vitamin C infusion on outcomes in sepsis requiring mechanical ventilation: a doubleblind randomized controlled trial, Anesthesiol. Res. Pract. 2022 (2022) 4057215.
- [59] S.Y. Jung, M.T. Lee, M.S. Baek, W.Y. Kim, Vitamin C for >/= 5 days is associated with decreased hospital mortality in sepsis subgroups: a nationwide cohort study, Crit. Care 26 (1) (2022) 3.
- [60] C. Hu, T. Wu, S. Ma, W. Huang, Q. Xu, K.B. Kashani, et al., Association of thiamine use with outcomes in patients with sepsis and alcohol use disorder: an analysis of the MIMIC-III database, Infect. Dis. Ther. 11 (2) (2022) 771–786.
- [61] J.P. Villamizar, A. De Diego, T. Melhuish, M. Aboubkar, A.M. Heyder, R. Scott, Effect of vitamin c, thiamine and hydrocortisone on mortality and sofa score use in septic shock: a retrospective study, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 203 (9) (2021).
- [62] A. Oliva, A. Bianchi, A. Russo, G. Ceccarelli, F. Cancelli, F. Aloj, et al., Effect of N-acetylcysteine administration on 30-day mortality in critically ill patients with septic shock caused by carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae and acinetobacter baumannii: a retrospective case-control study, Antibiotics 10 (3) (2021).
- [63] J. Misencik, W. Bush, A. Popa, C. McNamara, F. Lytle, Hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, and thiamine in the treatment of sepsis, Crit. Care Med. 49 (1 SUPPL 1) (2021) 604.
- [64] S.I. Lee, C.M. Lim, Y. Koh, J.W. Huh, J.S. Lee, S.B. Hong, The effectiveness of vitamin C for patients with severe viral pneumonia in respiratory failure, J. Thorac. Dis. 13 (2) (2021) 632–641.
- [65] M. Dietrich, M. Märtens, M. von der Forst, T. Bruckner, F. Uhle, M. Fiedler, et al., Vitamin C and thiamine in septic shock a retrospective before-and-after study on surgical patients, Anasthesiol. Intensivmed. 62 (2) (2021) 63–69.
- [66] J. Chung, S. Wang, D. Joseph, M. Akerman, B. Malone, A. Hanna, The benefit of intravenous ascorbic acid, hydrocortisone, and thiamine in sepsis and septic shock, Crit. Care Med. 49 (1 SUPPL 1) (2021) 639.
- [67] E.A. Vail, H. Wunsch, R. Pinto, N.A. Bosch, A.J. Walkey, P.K. Lindenauer, et al., Use of hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, and thiamine in adults with septic shock, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 202 (11) (2020) 1531–1539.
- [68] F. Sadaka, J. Grady, N. Organti, B. Donepudi, M. Korobey, D. Tannehill, et al., Ascorbic acid, thiamine, and steroids in septic shock: propensity matched analysis, J. Intensive Care Med. 35 (11) (2020) 1302–1306.
- [69] J.E. Park, T.G. Shin, I.J. Jo, K. Jeon, G.Y. Suh, M. Park, et al., Impact of vitamin C and thiamine administration on delirium-free days in patients with septic shock, J. Clin. Med. 9 (1) (2020).
- [70] Y. Miyamoto, S. Aso, M. Iwagami, H. Yasunaga, H. Matsui, K. Fushimi, et al., Association between IV thiamine and mortality in patients with septic shock: a nationwide observational study, Crit. Care Med. 48 (8) (2020) 1135–1139.
- [71] A.B. Mitchell, T.E. Ryan, A.R. Gillion, L.D. Wells, M.P. Muthiah, Vitamin C and thiamine for sepsis and septic shock, Am. J. Med. 133 (5) (2020) 635–638. [72] M. Mishra, Study of high-dose ascorbic acid on vasopressor's requirement in septic shock patients: a surgical intensive care unit study, Indian J. Crit. Care Med.
- 24 (SUPPL 2) (2020) S11. [73] M. Martín Cerezuela, E. Sancho Ferrando, I. Beltran Garcia, M. Centelles Oria, E. Villarreal Tello, M. Gordon Sahuquillo, et al., Evaluation of the use of
- hydrocortisone, vitamin c and thiamine for the treatment of septic shock, Eur. J. Hosp. Pharm. 27 (SUPPL 1) (2020) A49.
- [74] M.T. Long, M.A. Frommelt, M.P. Ries, M. Murray, F. Osman, B.M. Krause, et al., Early hydrocortisone, ascorbate and thiamine therapy for severe septic shock, Crit. Care Shock 23 (1) (2020) 23–34.
- [75] I. Coloretti, E. Biagioni, S. Venturelli, E. Munari, M. Tosi, E. Roat, et al., Adjunctive therapy with vitamin c and thiamine in patients treated with steroids for refractory septic shock: a propensity matched before-after, case-control study, J. Crit. Care 59 (2020) 37–41.
- [76] K. Chang, M. Harbin, C. Shuster, D.E.G. Griesdale, D. Foster, D. Sweet, et al., Adding vitamin C to hydrocortisone lacks benefit in septic shock: a historical cohort study, Can. J. Anaesth. 67 (12) (2020) 1798–1805.
- [77] T.G. Shin, Y.J. Kim, S.M. Ryoo, S.Y. Hwang, I.J. Jo, S.P. Chung, et al., Early vitamin C and thiamine administration to patients with septic shock in emergency departments: propensity score-based analysis of a before-and-after cohort study, J. Clin. Med. 8 (1) (2019).
- [78] J.J. Litwak, N. Cho, H.B. Nguyen, K. Moussavi, T. Bushell, Vitamin C, hydrocortisone, and thiamine for the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock: a retrospective analysis of real-world application, J. Clin. Med. 8 (4) (2019).
- [79] A. Teachey, P. Keith, E. Tatum, J. Watkins, J. Hodges, Comparison of vitamin C, thiamine, and corticosteroids in patients with sepsis and septic shock, Crit. Care Med. 46 (2018) 735.
- [80] P.E. Marik, V. Khangoora, R. Rivera, M.H. Hooper, J. Catravas, Hydrocortisone, vitamin C, and thiamine for the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock: a retrospective before-after study, Chest 151 (6) (2017) 1229–1238.
- [81] Y. Sakr, V.P. Maia, C. Santos, J. Stracke, M. Zeidan, O. Bayer, et al., Adjuvant selenium supplementation in the form of sodium selenite in postoperative critically ill patients with severe sepsis, Crit. Care 18 (2) (2014) R68.
- [82] H.F. Galley, Oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in sepsis, Br. J. Anaesth. 107 (1) (2011) 57-64.

- [85] C.A.K. Lundgren, D. Sjostrand, O. Biner, M. Bennett, A. Rudling, A.L. Johansson, et al., Scavenging of superoxide by a membrane-bound superoxide oxidase, Nat. Chem. Biol. 14 (8) (2018) 788–793.
- [86] L.J. Su, J.H. Zhang, H. Gomez, R. Murugan, X. Hong, D. Xu, et al., Reactive oxygen species-induced lipid peroxidation in apoptosis, autophagy, and ferroptosis, Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2019 (2019) 5080843.
- [87] B.L. Tan, M.E. Norhaizan, W.P. Liew, H. Sulaiman Rahman, Antioxidant and oxidative stress: a mutual interplay in age-related diseases, Front. Pharmacol. 9 (2018) 1162.
- [88] M.J. Delano, P.A. Ward, The immune system's role in sepsis progression, resolution, and long-term outcome, Immunol. Rev. 274 (1) (2016) 330-353.
- [89] C.L. Sprung, D. Annane, D. Keh, R. Moreno, M. Singer, K. Freivogel, et al., Hydrocortisone therapy for patients with septic shock, N. Engl. J. Med. 358 (2) (2008) 111–124.
- [90] W. Kanczkowski, V.I. Alexaki, N. Tran, S. Grossklaus, K. Zacharowski, A. Martinez, et al., Hypothalamo-pituitary and immune-dependent adrenal regulation during systemic inflammation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110 (36) (2013) 14801–14806.
- [91] G. Van den Berghe, A. Teblick, L. Langouche, J. Gunst, The hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis in sepsis- and hyperinflammation-induced critical illness: gaps in current knowledge and future translational research directions, EBioMedicine 84 (2022) 104284.
- [92] F. Lamontagne, B. Rochwerg, L. Lytvyn, G.H. Guyatt, M.H. Moller, D. Annane, et al., Corticosteroid therapy for sepsis: a clinical practice guideline, BMJ 362 (2018) k3284.
- [93] Y.Y. Yao, L.L. Lin, H.Y. Gu, J.Y. Wu, Y.M. Niu, C. Zhang, Are corticosteroids beneficial for sepsis and septic shock? Based on pooling analysis of 16 studies, Front. Pharmacol. 10 (2019) 714.
- [94] L.L. Lin, H.Y. Gu, J. Luo, L. Wang, C. Zhang, Y.M. Niu, et al., Impact and beneficial critical points of clinical outcome in corticosteroid management of adult patients with sepsis: meta-analysis and GRADE assessment, Front. Pharmacol. 10 (2019) 1101.
- [95] D. Annane, V. Sebille, G. Troche, J.C. Raphael, P. Gajdos, E. Bellissant, A 3-level prognostic classification in septic shock based on cortisol levels and cortisol response to corticotropin, JAMA 283 (8) (2000) 1038–1045.
- [96] F. Fang, Y. Zhang, J. Tang, L.D. Lunsford, T. Li, R. Tang, et al., Association of corticosteroid treatment with outcomes in adult patients with sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis, JAMA Intern. Med. 179 (2) (2019) 213–223.
- [97] D. Annane, S.M. Pastores, B. Rochwerg, W. Arlt, R.A. Balk, A. Beishuizen, et al., Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of critical illness-related corticosteroid insufficiency (CIRCI) in critically ill patients (Part I): Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) 2017, Intensive Care Med. 43 (12) (2017) 1751–1763.

Further reading

- [16] M. Miliaraki, P. Briassoulis, S. Ilia, K. Michalakakou, T. Karakonstantakis, A. Polonifi, et al., Oxidant/antioxidant status is impaired in sepsis and is related to anti-apoptotic, inflammatory, and innate immunity alterations, Antioxidants (Basel) 11 (2) (2022) 231.
- [17] J.C. Ayala, A. Grismaldo, L.G. Sequeda-Castaneda, A.F. Aristizabal-Pachon, L. Morales, Oxidative stress in ICU patients: ROS as mortality long-term predictor, Antioxidants (Basel) 10 (12) (2021) 1912.
- [18] A Aisa-Alvarez, ME Soto, V Guarner-Lans, G Camarena-Alejo, J Franco-Granillo, EA Martínez-Rodríguez, et al., Usefulness of antioxidants as adjuvant therapy for septic shock: a randomized clinical trial. Medicina. 56 (11) (2020) 619.
- [83] P. Silwal, J.K. Kim, Y.J. Kim, E.K. Jo, Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species: double-edged weapon in host defense and pathological inflammation during infection, Front. Immunol. 11 (2020) 1649.
- [84] C Vollbracht, K Kraft, Oxidative stress and hyper-inflammation as major drivers of severe COVID-19 and long COVID: implications for the benefit of high-dose intravenous vitamin C, Front. Pharmacol. 13 (2022) 899198.
- [98] H. Bayir, V.E. Kagan, Bench-to-bedside review: mitochondrial injury, oxidative stress and apoptosis-there is nothing more practical than a good theory, Crit. Care. 12 (1) (2008) 206.
- [99] G.S. Supinski, E.A. Schroder, L.A. Callahan, Mitochondria and critical illness, Chest 157 (2) (2020) 310–322.
- [100] Y Lin, Y Xu, Z Zhang, Sepsis-induced myocardial dysfunction (SIMD): the pathophysiological mechanisms and therapeutic strategies targeting mitochondria. Inflammation. (2020) 43(4):1184–1200.
- [101] L. Zhang, X. Wang, R. Cueto, C. Effi, Y. Zhang, H. Tan, et al., Biochemical basis and metabolic interplay of redox regulation, Redox Biol 26 (2019) 101284.
 [102] J. Gruber, S. Fong, C.B. Chen, S. Yoong, G. Pastorin, S. Schaffer, et al., Mitochondria-targeted antioxidants and metabolic modulators as pharmacological
- interventions to slow ageing, Biotechnol. Adv. 31 (5) (2013) 563–592. [103] M. Rocha, N. Apostolova, J.R. Herance, S. Rovira-Llopis, A. Hernandez-Mijares, V.M. Victor, Perspectives and potential applications of mitochondria-targeted
- antioxidants in cardiometabolic diseases and type 2 diabetes, Med. Res. Rev. 34 (1) (2014) 160-189.
- [104] A.T. Hoye, J.E. Davoren, P. Wipf, M.P. Fink, V.E. Kagan, Targeting mitochondria, Acc. Chem. Res. 41 (1) (2008) 87–97.
- [105] N. Arulkumaran, S.J. Pollen, R. Tidswell, C. Gaupp, V.B.M. Peters, G. Stanzani, et al., Selective mitochondrial antioxidant MitoTEMPO reduces renal dysfunction and systemic inflammation in experimental sepsis in rats, Br. J. Anaesth. 124 (4) (2021) 577–586.
- [106] GF Sud'ina, EA Golenkina, AS Prikhodko, ND Kondratenko, TV Gaponova, BV Chernyak, Mitochondria-targeted antioxidant SkQ1 inhibits leukotriene synthesis in human neutrophils, Front. Pharmacol. 13 (2022) 1023517.
- [107] H. Yu, F. Jin, D. Liu, G. Shu, X. Wang, J. Qi, et al., ROS-responsive nano-drug delivery system combining mitochondria-targeting ceria nanoparticles with atorvastatin for acute kidney injury, Theranostics. 10 (5) (2020) 2342–2357.
- [108] T. Akanchise, A. Angelova, Potential of nano-antioxidants and nanomedicine for recovery from neurological disorders linked to long COVID syndrome, Antioxidants(Basel) 12 (2) (2023) 393.
- [109] SS Andrabi, J Yang, Y Gao, Y Kuang, V Labhasetwar, Nanoparticles with antioxidant enzymes protect injured spinal cord from neuronal cell apoptosis by attenuating mitochondrial dysfunction, J Control Release 317 (2020) 300–311.
- [110] M.E. Lopes-Pires, J.O. Frade-Guanaes, G.J. Quinlan, Clotting dysfunction in sepsis: a role for ros and potential for therapeutic intervention, Antioxidants(Basel) 11 (1) (2021) 88.