



# Lung transplantation outcome in adult surfactant-related interstitial lung disease: first evidence to move on

Effrosyni D. Manali<sup>1,3</sup>, Matthias Griese<sup>2,3</sup> and Spyros A. Papiris<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>2nd Pulmonary Department, General University Hospital “Attikon”, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece. <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatric Pneumology, Dr von Hauner Children’s Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, German Center for Lung Research, Munich, Germany. <sup>3</sup>These authors contributed equally.

Corresponding author: Effrosyni D. Manali ([fmanali@otenet.gr](mailto:fmanali@otenet.gr))



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

A new study reports the first evidence to “move on” with lung transplantation in adult surfactant-related fibrotic ILDs. It highlights the need for better genetic characterisation of transplant candidates and cancer screening for these patients. <https://bit.ly/3QxG73Z>

Cite this article as: Manali ED, Griese M, Papiris SA. Lung transplantation outcome in adult surfactant-related interstitial lung disease: first evidence to move on. *ERJ Open Res* 2023; 9: 00646-2023 [DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00646-2023].

Copyright ©The authors 2023

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact [permissions@ersnet.org](mailto:permissions@ersnet.org)

Received: 2 Sept 2023  
Accepted: 18 Oct 2023

Interstitial lung disease (ILD) associated with genetic disorders of the surfactant system represents the rarest of the two cardinal causes of monogenic pulmonary fibrosis (2–8%), next to telomere-related gene (*TRG*) mutation-associated ILD (30%) [1]. Lung disease relates to pathogenic mutations of surfactant-related genes (*SRG*) such as those encoding for surfactant proteins C, A1 and A2 (*SFTPC*, *SFTPA1* and *SFTPA2*), the ATP-binding cassette subfamily A, member 3 protein (*ABCA3*), the thyroid transcription factor-1 (*NKX2-1*) and, as recently reported, surfactant protein B (*SFTPB*) [2, 3]. Dependent on the specific *SRG* mutations and additional modifiers, a great heterogeneity of phenotypes may result, including lethal neonatal respiratory distress syndrome, childhood ILD, adult progressive pulmonary fibrosis (PPF) and lung cancer [2]. There is evidence that *SRG* mutations lead to the development of PPF through impairment of trafficking and accumulation of the mutated proteins (*SFTPC*, *SFTPA2* and *SFTPA1*) and/or disruption of surfactant homeostasis via dysfunctional lamellar bodies in alveolar epithelial type 2 cells (*ABCA3* and *NKX2-1*) [4–9]. ILD, initially described in infants and children, is increasingly encountered in adults either in survivors from childhood or in patients developing adult-onset ILD, necessitating appropriate management [2]. So far, two randomised trials have been published, examining the roles of hydroxychloroquine or nintedanib in children, and failing to show substantial therapeutic effects [10, 11]. That said, to date, no proven effective pharmacological treatment exists. Besides the lack of specific trials for adult *SRG* mutation-associated ILD patients, the European Respiratory Society statement on familial pulmonary fibrosis states “In advanced disease, evidence shows that patients with monogenic pulmonary fibrosis may benefit from lung transplantation, when appropriate”. They further note that because lung cancer has been documented in up to 37% of *SFTPA1/SFTPA2* mutation carriers, early referral and bilateral transplantation should be considered [12].

In the current issue of *ERJ Open Research*, BERMUDEZ *et al.* [13] present the results of a pioneer work regarding lung transplantation (LTx) in adults with PPF associated with genetic disorders of the surfactant system. This retrospective, observational, multicentre study reports the outcome of carriers of *SRG* mutations transplanted in the French network between 1997 and 2018. Of 32 patients identified, only 20 were deemed to carry pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutations and included in the analysis. The median (interquartile range) age at diagnosis was 45 (40–48) years and at LTx was 51 (45–54) years. Two patients with *SFTPA1* mutations had a history of cured lung cancer before LTx. Bilateral LTx was the procedure of choice for 85% of patients and 30% required a high-emergency procedure. Regular immunosuppressive therapeutic protocols post-LTx were applied and the median survival was 8.6 years. Four patients developed chronic lung allograft dysfunction (CLAD)-bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and two CLAD-restrictive allograft syndrome. Six patients without previous history developed cancer of the lung, skin, bladder and uterus.



As also indicated by BERMUDEZ *et al.* [13], when interpreting these data, caution is necessary due to the design of the study. This concerns 1) the non-systematic selection of patients for the genetic analysis and their reporting as a group, and 2) the lack of a proper comparison cohort, making all conclusions related to the overall survival of this patient group and the frequencies of side-effects rather unreliable.

Regarding the genetic analysis, this was left to the discretion of the different transplant teams responsible for the patients and recommended for subjects with start of symptoms at age <50 years. Whereas it is often difficult to define symptom onset retrospectively, more importantly it is not clear what fraction of all transplanted patients was analysed and in particular for which of the relevant genes. This completely precludes estimates of frequencies and the spectrum of *SRG* mutations in this transplant population. In addition, variants of unknown significance (VUS) were not included in the study [14]. The entire group of *ABCA3* patients of the cohort were VUS carriers, depriving us of relevant information about the post-LTx behaviour of those patients who are increasingly recognised in adulthood [8, 15]. In particular, for genes like *ABCA3* with several hundreds of variants, many are rare and private, so the likelihood increases of discovering novel disease associations; thus, it is important to report the individual variants suspected, for future association with the clinical conditions observed. Such tabulated information will allow reduction of the number of VUS, in conjunction with functional studies [16].

Regarding the outcome of the study participants, a key finding is that adult patients with ILD related to *SFTPA1*, *SFTPA2* and *SFTPC* mutations had an overall excellent survival post-LTx compared with currently available data from other recipients with ILD, both sporadic and inheritable. Unfortunately, the survival of the most relevant comparison group, *i.e.* all patients with ILD transplanted in France during the same time period, was not reported. In addition, a period effect, *i.e.* selection of the most recent patients for the relatively novel genetic analysis, and thus the selection of a cohort transplanted with more experience in the centres, was favoured by the study design. With these constraints in mind, we must consider all survival and frequency observations made. Indeed, in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), which represents worldwide the most common indication for LTx, the median post-transplant survival reported is 5.2 years for IPF and 6.7 years for other fibrotic ILDs [17, 18]. The present study results (median survival 8.6 years) are encouraging when compared with the survival post-LTx (median 3.75 years) of *TRG* mutation carriers reported by PHILLIPS-HOULBRACQ *et al.* [19], a finding that probably relates to the fact that *SRG* mutation carriers were even younger with fewer comorbidities. Although none of the five patients with *SFTPA1* mutations died, in contrast to *SFTPA2* and *SFTPC* mutation carriers where 42.9% and 62.5% died, respectively [13], the numbers are too small to conclude that there are differences between the *SRG* mutations. There are two other retrospective single-centre LTx studies including patients with ILD due to *SRG* mutations. These are studies in infants and children, dealing with *ABCA3*, *SFTPB*, *SFTPC* and *NKX2-1* mutations [20] and *SRG* and other rare genes (*e.g.* *TBX4* and *FOXF1*) associated with ILD [21]. In the older study, whereas mortality of children was comparable to that in adults (overall 5-year survival of 79%), infants had a less favourable course (56%) [20]. In the more recent study, 8-year patient survival was 87% (75–99%) for children <12 years and 69% (55–83%) for those ≥12 years old [21].

The other important consideration highlighted in the study by BERMUDEZ *et al.* [13] is the frequency of side-effects, *i.e.* the association of lung cancer and other cancers with LTx in *SRG* mutation carriers with ILD, both before and after the procedure, given the increased risk of lung cancer development in IPF patients (10.2%) [22]. Based on the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) guidelines for the selection of LTx candidates, recent malignancy (with a high likelihood of re-occurrence) is included in the risk factors for poor post-transplant outcomes and, as such, regular cancer screening should be performed prior to transplant [23].

ILD carriers of *SFTPA1* or *SFTPA2* mutations present an even higher risk, especially for adenocarcinoma (37%) [2]. The two *SFTPA1* patients with a history of localised lung cancer in the 5 years preceding LTx that were included in the study by BERMUDEZ *et al.* [13] survived and presented no recurrence, questioning whether 5-year cancer-free survival is always necessary [24, 25]. Regular screening could lead to early diagnosis and effective treatment.

Equally vigilant should be the post-LTx screening for any cancer, since cancers (including lung cancer) represent the second most common cause of late deaths after LTx [26]. No haematological neoplasms were described in this cohort; however, two patients died of *de novo* development of lung cancer, one a *SFTPA2* and the other a *SFTPC* carrier, a percentage relatively higher than expected, and another four developed a variety of other cancers. The oncogenic effects of immunosuppressive treatment post-LTx are well recognised [26]. Given the association of *SFTPA1* and *SFTPA2* with an increased risk for lung cancer *per se*,

bilateral LTx should be prioritised and, in cases where this is not an option, tailoring of immunosuppressive regimens might be considered, as well as close lung cancer screening with at least an annual computed tomography scan as suggested by BERMUDEZ *et al.* [13]. Although currently lacking sufficient data, it is important to investigate an adaptation of the immunosuppressive regimen post-LTx in patients carrying genetic variants associated with a higher risk of malignancy, as adopted in TRG carriers [19].

The results of the work by BERMUDEZ *et al.* [13] on adult patients carrying SRG mutations, suggesting promising outcomes after LTx but a high incidence of cancers post-LTx, should be interpreted with caution due to the study being of retrospective design, lacking controls and having only a moderate number of patients. Importantly, early genetic diagnosis for rare variants causing ILD may help in prospectively stratifying LTx cohorts. Among the scientific community, great expectations for the future are the standardisation of the indications of genetic analysis, the enrolment of all SRG carriers in international registries, and the development of new pharmacological and gene-based therapies that could successfully restore dysfunction [27–30]. Until then, LTx remains the only “life-saving procedure” for those patients.

The present study contributes the first evidence to “move on” with LTx because of the validity of this option, and highlights the need for better strategies to minimise risk, in order to maximise outcomes, in patients with ILD associated with genetic disorders of the surfactant system.

Provenance: Commissioned article, peer reviewed.

Author contributions: E.D. Manali conceived the work, had major contribution to the analysis and interpretation of data, supervised the accuracy and integrity of all parts of the work and wrote the manuscript; M. Griese had major contribution to the analysis and interpretation of data, critically revised this work for very important intellectual content and wrote parts of the manuscript; S.A. Papisiris had major contribution to the analysis and interpretation of data, critically revised this work and wrote parts of the manuscript; all authors read and approved of the final version of the submitted publication.

Conflict of interest: E.D. Manali reports grants or contracts from Savara as an IMPALA 2 Trial subinvestigator, outside the submitted work; payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers' bureaus or educational events from Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Hoffman la Roche and Elpen, outside the submitted work; and support for attending meetings and/or travel from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. M. Griese reports consulting fees and support for an adjudication board from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. S.A. Papisiris reports grants or contracts from Savara as an IMPALA 2 Trial primary investigator, outside the submitted work; payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers' bureaus or educational events from Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Hoffman la Roche and Elpen, outside the submitted work; and support for attending meetings and/or travel from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work.

## References

- 1 Papisiris SA, Kannengiesser C, Borie R, *et al.* Genetics in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a clinical perspective. *Diagnostics* 2022; 12: 2928.
- 2 van Moorsel CHM, van der Vis JJ, Grutters JC. Genetic disorders of the surfactant system: focus on adult disease. *Eur Respir Rev* 2021; 30: 200085.
- 3 Desroziers T, Prévot G, Coulomb A, *et al.* Hypomorphic pathogenic variant in SFTPB leads to adult pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2023; 31: 1083–1087.
- 4 van Moorsel CHM, Ten Klooster L, van Oosterhout MFM, *et al.* SFTPA2 mutations in familial and sporadic idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 192: 1249–1252.
- 5 Nathan N, Giraud V, Picard C, *et al.* Germline SFTPA1 mutation in familial idiopathic interstitial pneumonia and lung cancer. *Hum Mol Genet* 2016; 25: 1457–1467.
- 6 van Moorsel CHM, van Oosterhout MFM, Barlo NP, *et al.* Surfactant protein C mutations are the basis of a significant portion of adult familial pulmonary fibrosis in a Dutch cohort. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 182: 1419–1425.
- 7 Li Y, Seidl E, Knoflach K, *et al.* ABCA3-related interstitial lung disease beyond infancy. *Thorax* 2023; 78: 587–595.
- 8 Manali ED, Legendre M, Nathan N, *et al.* Bi-allelic missense ABCA3 mutations in a patient with childhood ILD who reached adulthood. *ERJ Open Res* 2019; 5: 00066-2019.
- 9 Nattes E, Lejeune S, Carsin A, *et al.* Heterogeneity of lung disease associated with NK2 homeobox 1 mutations. *Respir Med* 2017; 129: 16–23.
- 10 Griese M, Kappler M, Stehling F, *et al.* Randomized controlled phase 2 trial of hydroxychloroquine in childhood interstitial lung disease. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2022; 17: 289.

- 11 Deterding R, Young LR, DeBoer EM, *et al.* Nintedanib in children and adolescents with fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. *Eur Respir J* 2023; 61: 2201512.
- 12 Borie R, Kannengiesser C, Antoniou K, *et al.* European Respiratory Society statement on familial pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir J* 2023; 61: 2201383.
- 13 Bermudez J, Nathan N, Coiffard B, *et al.* Outcome of lung transplantation for adults with interstitial lung disease associated with genetic disorders of the surfactant system. *ERJ Open Res* 2023; 9: 00240-2023.
- 14 Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, *et al.* Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* 2015; 17: 405–424.
- 15 Campo I, Zorzetto M, Mariani F, *et al.* A large kindred of pulmonary fibrosis associated with a novel ABCA3 gene variant. *Respir Res* 2014; 15: 43.
- 16 Yang X, Rapp CK, Li Y, *et al.* Quantifying functional impairment of ABCA3 variants associated with interstitial lung disease. *Int J Mol Sci* 2023; 24: 7554.
- 17 Kapnadak SG, Raghu G. Lung transplantation for interstitial lung disease. *Eur Respir Rev* 2021; 30: 210017.
- 18 Thabut G, Mal H, Castier Y, *et al.* Survival benefit of lung transplantation for patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003; 126: 469–475.
- 19 Phillips-Houlbracq M, Mal H, Cottin V, *et al.* Determinants of survival after lung transplantation in telomerase-related gene mutation carriers: a retrospective cohort. *Am J Transplant* 2022; 22: 1236–1244.
- 20 Eldridge WB, Zhang Q, Faro A, *et al.* Outcomes of lung transplantation for infants and children with genetic disorders of surfactant metabolism. *J Pediatr* 2017; 184: 157–164.e2.
- 21 Iablonskii P, Carlens J, Mueller C, *et al.* Indications and outcome after lung transplantation in children under 12 years of age: a 16-year single center experience. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2022; 41: 226–236.
- 22 Karamitsakos T, Spagnolo P, Mogulkoc N, *et al.* Lung cancer in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a retrospective multicentre study in Europe. *Respirology* 2023; 28: 56–65.
- 23 Leard LE, Holm AM, Valapour M, *et al.* Consensus document for the selection of lung transplant candidates: an update from the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2021; 40: 1349–1379.
- 24 Le Pavec J, Pison C, Hirschi S, *et al.* 2022 Update of indications and contraindications for lung transplantation in France. *Respir Med Res* 2023; 83: 100981.
- 25 Tabrizian P, Holzner ML, Mehta N, *et al.* Ten-year outcomes of liver transplant and downstaging for hepatocellular carcinoma. *JAMA Surg* 2022; 157: 779–788.
- 26 Ruiz E, Moreno P, Gonzalez FJ, *et al.* Influence of de novo malignancies on long-term survival after lung transplantation. *Cancers* 2023; 15: 4011.
- 27 Cooney AL, Wambach JA, Sinn PL, *et al.* Gene therapy potential for genetic disorders of surfactant dysfunction. *Front Genome Ed* 2022; 3: 785829.
- 28 Sitaraman S, Alysandratos KD, Wambach JA, *et al.* Gene therapeutics for surfactant dysfunction disorders: targeting the alveolar type 2 epithelial cell. *Hum Gene Ther* 2022; 33: 1011–1022.
- 29 Yang X, Forstner M, Rapp CK, *et al.* ABCA3 deficiency-variant-specific response to hydroxychloroquine. *Int J Mol Sci* 2023; 24: 8179.
- 30 Kinting S, Li Y, Forstner M, *et al.* Potentiation of ABCA3 lipid transport function by ivacaftor and genistein. *J Cell Mol Med* 2019; 23: 5225–5234.