MITOGENOME ANNOUNCEMENT



∂ OPEN ACCESS

Draft mitochondrial genomes of *Hirudo medicinalis* and *Hirudo verbana* (Annelida, Hirudinea).

Anastasia Nikitina^{a,b}, Vladislav Babenko^a, Tatyana Akopian^a, Dmitriy Shirokov^a, Valentin Manuvera^{a,b}, Alexey Kurdyumov^a, Elena Kostryukova^{a,b} and Vassili Lazarev^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Federal Research and Clinical Center of Physical-Chemical Medicine of Federal Medical Biological Agency, Moscow, Russian Federation; ^bDepartment of Biological and Medical Physics, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudnyi, Russian Federation

ABSTRACT

Here we present two incomplete mitochondrial genome sequences of *Hirudo medicinalis* and *Hirudo verbana* (Annelida, Hirudinea). The corresponding sequences are 14,729 and 14,604 base pairs in length. They contain all mitochondrial genes (13 protein-coding genes, 22 tRNAs and two rRNAs) but lack the non-coding region. Nevertheless, the robust reconstruction of their phylogenetic relationships presented here reveals distinct separation of both leeches from other annelids and at the same time relatively high dissimilarity between each other.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 19 February 2016 Accepted 21 February 2016

KEYWORDS *Hirudo medicinalis; Hirudo verbana;* mitochondrial genome

Hirudo medicinalis, one of the species more commonly known as medicinal leech, has been used in various medical practices for centuries. Nowadays being approved by US FDA as prescription medical device in 2004, it has several clinical applications such as reconstructive surgery (Porshinsky et al. 2011), at the same time, attracting research as widely used model organism in fields like neurobiology (Kristan et al. 2005) . In 2007 Sidall et al. (2007) demonstrated that commercially available leeches marked as H. medicinalis were actually H. verbana, so closely related species that there are concerns as to whether they are in fact different species (Hildebrandt & Lemke 2011). Since morphology, geographical distribution and incomplete reproductive isolation (Petrauskienė et al. 2009) are too controversial to elucidate the phylogenetic relationships of these leeches, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences might be invaluable to this task. In this study, we report two draft mitochondrial genomes of H. medicinalis and H. verbana lacking only one non-coding region each.

The specimens were provided by HIRUD I.N. Ltd. (Balakovo, Saratov Region, Russia). The collection of *H. medicinalis* took place at the pond near Volkovo, Saratov region, Russia (51°91′03″, 47°34′90″) and *H. verbana* – at the lake Manych, Stavropol Krai, Russia (46°01′09″, 43°48′21″). Total genomic DNA was isolated from muscle tissue, and amplicons corresponding to mtDNA were generated by PCR and sequenced using Ion Proton (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Primary assembly was conducted by Newbler 2.6 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and gaps were filled via Sanger sequencing using ABI Prism Genetic Analyzer 3730XL (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA). The annotation was performed by web-based

tool MITOS (Bernt et al. 2013) and then manually corrected by comparison with complete mitochondrial genomes of other annelids.

The sequences of mtDNA of H. medicinalis (14,729 bp) and H. verbana (14,604 bp) reported here were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers KU672396 and KU672397, respectively. Each of them comprised 13 proteincoding genes, 22 tRNAs and two rRNAs all encoded on the same strand. The gene order shown in Table 1 was consistent with other members of Hirudinea subclass. However, the noncoding regions of both leeches located between tRNA-Arg and tRNA-His appeared to be longer and more complex compared with those of close relatives, hence were only partially assembled and included in the sequences resulting in two almost complete mitogenomes. The annotation of the latter is represented in Table 1 along with predicted start and stop codon usage which was found to be identical between H. medicinalis and H. verbana. They employed three different start codons: ATG (ND1, ND2, ND3, ND4, ND6, COX1, CYTB, ATP6 and ATP8), GTG (ND5, ND4L and COX2) and TTG (COX3). Stop codon of choice for these mitochondria was TAA, although six genes (ND2, ND4, ND6, COX1, COX3 and ATP8) utilized termination codon T-, completed via polyadenylation.

In order to infer the phylogenetic relationships of these leeches, seven complete mitochondrial DNA sequences of other annelids were downloaded from NCBI GenBank, six of which represented Hirudinea subclass (*Hirudo nipponia*, *Whitmania laevis*, *Whitmania pigra*, *Whitmania acranulata*, *Erpobdella octoculata* and *Poecilobdella manillensis*) and one (*Metaphire californica*) belonged to Oligochaeta subclass and

CONTACT Mrs Anastasia Nikitina 🔊 nikitina_as@niifhm.ru 🗊 Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Federal Research and Clinical Center of Physical-Chemical Medicine of Federal Medical Biological Agency, Malaya Pirogovskaya, Moscow, Russian Federation; 💽 Department of Biological and Medical Physics, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudnyi 141700, Russian Federation

^{© 2016} The Author(s). Published by Taylor & Francis. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1. The annotation of mtDNA sequences of H. medicinalis and H. verbana.

Gene	Hirudo medicinalis		Hirudo verbana				
	Location, bp	Size, bp	Location, bp	Size, bp	Anticodon	Start codon	Stop codon
tRNA-His	93–153	61	183–243	61	GTG		
ND5	154–1857	1704	244–1953	1710		GTG	TAA
tRNA-Phe	1857–1918	62	1953-2013	61	GAA		
tRNA-Glu	1919–1972	54	2022-2068	47	TTC		
tRNA-Pro	1977-2037	61	2072-2132	61	TGG		
tRNA-Thr	2039-2097	59	2134-2192	59	TGT		
ND4L	2098-2385	288	2193-2480	288		GTG	TAA
ND4	2379-3711	1333	2474-3806	1333		ATG	T
tRNA-Cys	3721-3780	60	3816-3875	60	GCA		
tRNA-Met	3781-3843	63	3876-3939	64	CAT		
s-rRNA	3844-4583	740	3940-4677	738			
tRNA-Val	4584-4642	59	4678-4736	59	TAC		
I-rRNA	4643-5782	1140	4737-5880	1144			
tRNA-Leu	5783-5842	60	5881-5940	60	TAG		
tRNA-Ser	5843-5909	67	5941-6007	67	TGA		
tRNA-Ala	5910-5971	62	6008-6069	62	TGC		
tRNA-Leu	5972-6032	61	6070–6130	61	TAA		
ND1	6033-6953	921	6131–7051	921		ATG	TAA
tRNA-lle	6952-7013	62	7050–7111	62	GAT		
tRNA-Lys	7014–7075	62	7112–7173	62	TTT		
ND3	7076–7420	345	7174–7518	345		ATG	TAA
tRNA-Ser	7419–7475	57	7517–7573	57	TCT		
ND2	7476-8460	985	7574-8561	988		ATG	T–
COX1	8461-9994	1534	8562-10,095	1534		ATG	T–
tRNA-Asn	9995-10,057	63	10,096–10,159	64	GTT		
COX2	10,058–10,741	684	10,160–10,843	684		GTG	TAA
tRNA-Asp	10,740–10,802	63	10,842–10,904	63	GTC		
ATP8	10,803–10,953	151	10,905–11,055	151		ATG	T–
tRNA-Gly	10,954–11,011	58	11,056–11,114	59	TCC		
tRNA-Tyr	11,012–11,071	60	11,114–11,172	59	GTA		
COX3	11,089–11,869	781	11,189–11,969	781		ΠG	T–
tRNA-GIn	11,870–11,938	69	11,970–12,038	69	ΠG		
ND6	11,939–12,398	460	12,039–12,498	460		ATG	T–
СҮТВ	12,399–13,541	1143	12,499–13,641	1143		ATG	TAA
tRNA-Trp	13,543–13,604	62	13,643–13,704	62	TCA		
ATP6	13,663–14,388	726	13,763–14,467	705		ATG	TAA
tRNA-Arg	14,380–14,427	48	14,477–14,524	48	TCG		



Figure 1. The phylogenetic relationships of *H. medicinalis* and *H. verbana* (both shown in bold). The analysis was performed using Maximum Likelihood method based on the Tamura 3-parameter model (Tamura 1992) in MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2012). Metaphire californica was used as an outgroup. The robustness of each node is represented by a bootstrap value obtained by 500 steps. The scale bar corresponds to a number of substitutions per site. Each NCBI accession number of the sequence used to construct the tree is shown next to corresponding taxa name.

0,20

was used as the outgroup. Circular mitogenomes were linearized to start with *tRNA-His* and end with *tRNA-Arg* for alignment and following phylogenetic analysis. The resulting tree drawn to scale is shown in Figure 1. Interestingly, *H. medicinalis* and *H. verbana* form a separate clade from all other species of Hirudinea subclass. It is also worth pointing out that corresponding branch lengths within this clade suggest enough divergence for these two leeches to be classified as different species.

Disclosure statement

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

Funding information

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Project 14-14-00696).

References

- Bernt M, Donath A, Jühling F, Externbrink F, Florentz C, Fritzsch G, Pütz J, Middendorf M, Stadler PF. 2013. MITOS: improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 69: 313–319.
- Hildebrandt JP, Lemke S. 2011. Small bite, large impact-saliva and salivary molecules in the medicinal leech, Hirudo medicinalis. Naturwissenschaften. 98:995–1008.
- Kristan WB, Calabrese RL, Friesen WO. 2005. Neuronal control of leech behavior. Prog Neurobiol. 76:279–327.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Peterson D, Tamura K. 2012. MEGA-CC: computing core of molecular evolutionary genetics analysis program for automated and iterative data analysis. Bioinformatics. 28:2685–2686.
- Petrauskienė L, Utevska O, Utevsky S. 2009. Can different species of medicinal leeches (Hirudo spp.) interbreed? Invertebr Biol. 128:324–331.
- Porshinsky BS, Saha S, Grossman MD, Beery IiPR, Stawicki SPA. 2011. Clinical uses of the medicinal leech: a practical review. J Postgrad Med. 57:65–71.
- Siddall ME, Trontelj P, Utevsky SY, Nkamany M, Macdonald KS. 2007. Diverse molecular data demonstrate that commercially available medicinal leeches are not Hirudo medicinalis. Proc Biol Sci. 274:1481–1487.
- Tamura K. 1992. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions when there are strong transition-transversion and G + C-content biases. Mol Biol Evol. 9:678–687.