



# Local environment and social factors in primary school children's afterschool commute in China

Zacharias John<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhen Bai<sup>a</sup>, Han Xili<sup>b</sup>, Huang Yunshi<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Urban Process Modelling and Applications, Peking University, Beijing, China

<sup>b</sup> Peking University Graduate School, Shenzhen, China

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Architecture, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 11 December 2016

Received in revised form 3 June 2017

Accepted 30 June 2017

Available online 5 July 2017

### Keywords:

Afterschool activity

Primary school

Child's commute

Child's play

## ABSTRACT

The rapid decline in young children's active commutes to and from school has prompted investigations into ways to raise activity levels. The period after school is recognized as very important in the daily activity regime of primary school children. In this study, we examine the relative effects of local environmental factors and socio-economic status on children's after-school commute mode choice. Environmental factors are pedestrian priority streets, street intersection density, motorways, shops, and play spaces. Property values are used as a proxy for income. Twenty-four school districts are selected using intersection density and motorway length as criteria. All children's exit behaviors were film-recorded on October weekdays and extracted as four choices—alone, in a group of children, on foot with a parent or guardian, on e-bike driven by an adult. A multinomial logistic regression reveals that gated communities, higher priced housing, motorways and bus stops are associated with children accompanied by adults. The presence of pedestrian streets is associated with children travelling alone and in groups. Greater travel distance is also associated with parents accompanying children on foot or on e-bike. The amount of play space is associated with children leaving school in groups. Overall, social and environmental factors are influential in the independent travel of primary school children after the school day ends in south China.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

With concerns about rising sedentariness of urban populations worldwide, and the consequent negative health impacts, there has been particular focus on childhood. The promotion of active commuting by children from school confronts a dramatic drop in such behaviors across the world (Arundell et al., 2016). Reversing the trend to motorized travel and greater sedentariness among children is particularly important since early habits have long-term consequences in behavior and health outcomes (Wickel and Belton, 2016). Modest associations between activity and facility provision, geography and environmental factors have been uncovered in a small number of studies of school children's activity after school (Flouri et al., 2014; Markevych et al., 2014; Broberg et al., 2013). A comprehensive picture of the environment as an independent facilitating force for afterschool activity has yet to emerge. Other studies have focused on the social context for after-school activity (Veitch et al., 2006; Weir et al., 2006). Children from the middle classes in China have access to supplemental education

after school hours, much of it related to performance in the regular curriculum. On the other hand, the primary school district in China is conceived as a non-motorized environment where it is imagined children will commute actively and on their own from home. The attraction represented by the local environment for active commutes and higher levels of activity in general needs more examination. Such study is also necessary to help situate environmental interventions in relation to social practices in favor of afterschool education.

This paper is concerned with the leaving routines of primary school children that announce their subsequent afternoon activities. In general, we can observe how the leaving behaviors—alone, with friends or classmates, with guardians or on motorized transport—are related to several environmental variables that are typical candidates for explaining active transport: street provisions and layout, availability of play space, permeability of the urban fabric on foot, local shops, bus services and road traffic. Since these factors vary remarkably across residential habitats, we might expect to uncover any latent relations with the travel behavior of children in a cross-sectional study of school environments. At the same time, what is the importance of environmental variables relative to the lifestyle changes that accompany rising incomes and property acquisition? Is enhancing further the playability of a local habitat worth it, as measured in increased activity levels, independent of social controls?

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [johnzacharias@pku.edu.cn](mailto:johnzacharias@pku.edu.cn) (J. Zacharias), [hanxl@pkusz.edu.cn](mailto:hanxl@pkusz.edu.cn) (X. Han), [ys0605@hku.hk](mailto:ys0605@hku.hk) (Y. Huang).



**Table 2**  
School district descriptive data.

	House price (RMB/m <sup>2</sup> )(length)	Ped. streets (length)	Motor-way (m <sup>2</sup> )	Play space (n)	Inter-sections (n)	Open gates (n)	Closed gates (n)	Shops (n)	Bus stops (m)	Mean distance
High intersection density (ID) or low motorway length (ML)										
Gangxia	41,841	15,526	2778	14,380	357	0	0	76	0	688
Anle	44,457	14,969	3721	3092	365	29	2	75	7	451
Shuiwei	55,578	12,296	6033	17,657	445	14	10	36	4	477
Jingbei	40,293	14,289	2624	5296	273	26	13	68	4	405
Fenggang	54,378	9726	1381	6113	185	84	9	45	3	1156
Jingxuan	19,765	11,617	1915	2936	199	3	3	60	7	770
Huanggang	45,503	5287	2619	1589	179	1	2	26	2	1216
Baimang	12,668	6536	1265	773	115	1	1	32	3	2084
Medium or mixed ID and ML										
Xinanhu	46,303	8416	3370	9495	172	10	17	39	6	440
Huaqiao	69,691	107	2458	15,434	114	20	7	6	3	690
Liuxian	34,474	4312	1868	8993	87	13	2	19	2	2050
Pingshan	46,741	8980	2622	4413	83	7	3	28	2	767
Yuanlingsh	49,935	11,311	5349	12,162	206	17	4	28	6	457
Bibo	51,734	6026	2394	9555	66	6	4	19	4	615
Baocheng	50,321	5994	3108	4107	90	14	2	12	4	591
Cuizhuwai	61,781	5871	2421	2288	58	11	10	33	7	362
Low ID high ML										
Shenzhen	47,446	7047	4144	2505	20	7	20	46	5	364
Liyuan	60,888	6953	3843	4512	110	40	12	18	7	665
Beishida	74,790	2220	2569	9217	33	7	5	29	5	358
Tanglang	7516	720	2143	459	12	1	5	11	5	1962
Liyuanbei	98,094	4899	4184	5821	59	21	25	11	8	223
Jingpeng	61,310	4936	4551	12,931	64	6	35	19	1	685
Binhai	84,231	2535	4174	12,220	40	3	15	15	6	685
Tianjian	84,231	1625	4054	13,316	32	8	19	7	8	467

of e-bikes ( $r = 0.483$ ), but negatively associated with walking with parents ( $r = -0.514$ ). E-bikes are negatively related to closed gate communities. This result is not surprising since e-bikes are in greater use in traditional communities which are typically not accessible by car.

Both open and closed gate communities are associated with children travelling with parents. Open gate communities are mostly those built

between 1982 and 2000, and are generally of lower market value than closed gate communities built after 2000. While the presence of both favors travel with parents and guardians, the effect is markedly stronger in the case of closed gate communities. House price is associated with walking with parents ( $r = 0.573$ ), and negatively related to walking with friends ( $r = -0.416$ ). There are also differences in leaving

**Table 3**  
School leaving behavior distributions across schools.

	2 or more children without adult		Single child travelling alone		Child/children walks with adult		Child on e-bike driven by adult		Total N
	%	n <sub>1</sub>	%	n <sub>2</sub>	%	n <sub>3</sub>	%	n <sub>4</sub>	
Gangxia	46.5	496	25.9	276	23.3	248	4.3	46	1066
Anle	37.3	438	19.9	233	28.1	330	14.7	172	1173
Shuiwei	42.0	365	26.2	228	23.2	202	8.6	75	870
Jingbei	36.9	379	22.4	230	23.3	239	17.4	179	1027
Fenggang	44.8	291	25.8	168	21.7	141	7.7	50	650
Jingxuan	40.4	377	26.3	245	22.5	210	10.8	101	933
Huanggang	46.0	420	20.2	184	18.8	171	15.0	137	912
Baimang	38.4	306	28.0	223	18.2	145	15.4	122	796
Xinanhu	50.1	389	30.7	238	16.4	127	2.8	22	776
Huaqiaocheng	27.2	406	17.0	254	50.1	747	5.7	85	1492
Liuxian	49.5	379	12.9	99	12.7	97	24.9	191	766
Pingshan	50.6	481	18.5	176	19.6	186	11.4	108	951
Yuanlingshiyan	34.9	357	27.7	284	33.9	347	3.5	36	1024
Bibo	36.2	364	23.0	231	31.9	321	8.9	90	1006
Baocheng	30.0	251	26.7	223	28.0	234	15.3	128	836
Cuizhuwaiyuoyu	40.4	249	32.7	202	25.2	155	1.8	11	615
Shenzhen	20.9	122	13.3	789	57.4	336	8.4	49	585
Liyuan	34.4	284	20.2	167	39.0	323	3.9	41	828
Beishida	43.1	469	15.2	165	37.2	405	15.2	165	1087
Tanglang	61.9	433	16.4	115	16.9	118	4.8	34	700
Liyuanbei	33.7	358	37.0	393	3.9	41	3.9	41	1062
Jingpeng	34.3	137	23.3	93	36.3	145	6.1	24	399
Binhai	41.1	476	21.0	244	30.8	357	7.1	82	1159
Tianjian	38.4	174	21.2	96	38.2	173	2.2	10	453
Total		8401		5556		5798		1999	21,754

**Table 4**  
Bivariate analysis of factors and school leaving behavior.

Factors	Leaving behavior children (39.9%)		With parents (28.7%)		Child alone (22.5%)		E-bike (8.8%)	
		p		p		p		p
House price	−0.416	0.043	0.573	0.003	0.004	0.985	−0.473	0.020
Pedestrian streets	−0.002	0.993	−0.292	0.166	0.389	0.061	0.212	0.320
Motorways	−0.342	0.102	0.409	0.047	0.079	0.715	−0.345	0.099
Playgrounds	−0.105	0.626	0.235	0.268	0.041	0.850	−0.316	0.132
Intersections	0.006	0.979	−0.220	0.301	0.252	0.235	0.205	0.336
Open gates	−0.394	0.057	0.373	0.073	0.073	0.734	−0.156	0.467
Closed gates	−0.275	0.193	0.510	0.011	−0.107	0.618	−0.498	0.013
Shops	0.047	0.826	−0.236	0.267	0.194	0.365	0.191	0.370
Bus stops	0.000	0.999	0.125	0.562	0.184	0.390	−0.451	0.027
Mean distance	0.479	0.018	−0.514	0.010	−0.254	0.287	0.483	0.017

behavior by sex. Although 56% of all the students at the 24 schools are male, 61% of those travelling alone are male. Males and females are equally likely to be leaving in a group. Males are slightly less likely to be accompanied by a guardian (54%) but are as likely to be driven by a parent on an e-bike (56%).

Before we run the multinomial logistic regression (MNL), we calculate variance inflation factor (VIF), particularly in light of the correlation matrix in Table 1. First we run an ordinary least square regression that has  $X_i$  as a function of all the other explanatory variables. If  $i = 1$ , for example, the equation is as follows:

$$X_1 = \alpha_2 X_2 + \alpha_3 X_3 + \dots + \alpha_k X_k + c_0 + e$$

where  $c_0$  is a constant and  $e$  is the error term. Then we calculate the VIF factor for  $\hat{\beta}_i$  with the following formula:

$$VIF_i = \frac{1}{1 - R_i^2}$$

where  $R_i^2$  is the coefficient of determination of the regression equation in step one. The result is in Table 5. Again we can observe that pedestrian streets and shops have particularly high values but are retained in the MNL for their informative value, but without an attempt at estimating coefficient of determination of this model.

In order to proceed with the MNL, we need to standardize all numeric variables. Variables are rescaled to a mean of zero and standardization of 1. Z-score standardization is applied as follows:

$$X_{changed} = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$

where  $\mu$  is mean of variable  $X$ ,  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of variable  $X$ .

Residual deviance of the model is 23,708.83 with a degree of freedom of 36. The p-value of null hypothesis is <0.001, allowing us to reject the null hypothesis of the model. The Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) for the whole model is highly significant so we can be sure of the contribution of the variables ( $\text{Chi}^2 = 700.980$ ;  $p = 0.000$ ).

It is clear that both environmental and social variables act to promote or discourage after-school activity (Table 6). Again, children leaving with parents is more likely as a function of higher house price. Children are less likely to be accompanied by adults when the local environment has many pedestrian streets, although pedestrian streets did not achieve significance in relation to choice (Table 4). Parents are more likely to accompany children or to use the e-bike to transport them when there is a heavier presence of motorways, or a car-oriented environment, consistent with the correlational analysis. Greater travel distance within the school district leads to a greater likelihood of parents accompanying children or taking them elsewhere on e-bike. Although play space was not a significant variable in the bivariate analysis, more play space means parents are less likely to accompany children when compared with the choice of children leaving as a group.

### 5. Discussion

The decline in active commuting by school children, especially the youngest, is a worldwide phenomenon. In the U.S., in 1969, 90% of primary school children living within one mile of school walked or bicycled, compared with 31% in 2003 (BTS, 2003). Arundell et al. (2016) found that in 16 studies from the U.S., U.K., Canada, Australia and Portugal, elementary school children were spending 41%–51% of the afterschool period in sedentary activity. Since it was already noted here that the active commute is highly associated with higher activity levels (Southward et al., 2012), it is clear that the promotion of active commuting by school children is imperative. Studies in China are very scarce but important because the country already has the highest absolute number of obese individuals in the world (NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, 2016).

In this study, play space was broadly defined, given that primary school children have a tendency to invent their own play when they are without adults. Such opportunity is significantly associated with children leaving as a group when compared with children accompanied by an adult. Similarly, children were more likely to travel alone in environments with many pedestrian streets. Those districts have more traditional environments, composed of high density, mixed use buildings and a dense network of narrow streets.

Residential community and socio-economic status have great importance in the degree of independence of a young child in a Chinese city. The highest levels of activity are observed in children inhabiting urban villages, with the most precarious social infrastructure and lowest incomes. Most of the children not residing in open-gate or closed-gate communities reside in urban villages. A relative lack of opportunity to access cram schools means they have more access to the local environment and have higher levels of physical activity.

The contemporary Chinese practice of making compact primary school districts where through car traffic is minimized, has clear positive impact on the tendency of young children to travel independently after school. Walking alone or in a group of students makes up 62.4% of the total, much higher than comparable measures from the West. However,

**Table 5**  
VIF for environmental variables.

Variable	VIF
House price	2.620
Pedestrian streets	10.163
Motorways	6.743
Playgrounds	1.934
Intersections	7.616
Open gates	2.669
Closed gates	4.124
Shops	12.755
Bus stops	2.124
Mean distance	1.214
Sex	1.003

**Table 6**  
Multinomial logistic regression (Exp(B)) of after-school commuting behavior<sup>a</sup>.

	With parents ExpB	95% lower bound	95% upper bound	Child alone ExpB	95% lower bound	95% upper bound	Parent e-bike ExpB	95% lower bound	95% upper bound
House price	1.310***	1.205	1.424	1.055	0.968	1.150	1.036	0.915	1.173
Pedestrian streets	0.668***	0.572	0.781	1.398***	1.171	1.668	0.799*	0.620	1.031
Motorways	1.295***	1.136	1.477	0.892	0.777	1.023	1.511***	1.230	1.855
Playgrounds	0.873***	0.814	0.935	0.948	0.880	1.021	0.858***	0.769	0.956
Intersections	1.006	0.875	1.156	1.052	0.906	1.222	1.002	0.823	1.220
Open gates	1.315***	1.212	1.427	1.173***	1.074	1.281	0.713***	0.625	0.814
Closed gates	0.977	0.883	1.080	1.107*	0.994	1.234	0.707***	0.604	0.828
Shops	1.331***	1.116	1.587	0.721***	0.595	0.875	1.497***	1.119	2.004
Bus stops	1.014	0.941	1.092	1.118***	1.035	1.207	0.594***	0.528	0.669
Mean distance	1.281***	1.213	1.352	1.049	0.989	1.114	1.188***	1.087	1.299
Sex (m)	1.037	0.938	1.147	0.777***	0.698	0.866	1.024	0.880	1.191

\*p < 0.10; \*\*p < 0.05; \*\*\*p < 0.01.

<sup>a</sup> Children leaving in a group without parents or guardians is the reference.

the increasing number of internal roads for motor vehicles, to cater for burgeoning car ownership, has a clear dampening effect on children travelling alone or in groups after school. The provision of play facilities has much less impact on independent travel by children than a non-motorized environment.

Given these results, other environmental variables should be explored. Also, the impact of social context on afterschool activity needs more exploration, particularly given the wide range of experiences across regional contexts, as noted above. Finally, we need to know more about how these young children are using their unsupervised after-school time.

## 6. Conclusion

In this study in urban China, higher social status is negatively associated with independent travel and play of primary school children in the critical afterschool period. Highest levels of independent child behavior, singly and in groups, are associated with communities without gates or walls.

Motorways are associated with parents accompanying children or using an e-bike, when compared with children travelling alone or in groups. Greater travel distance increases the likelihood of using the e-bike. Pedestrian streets are associated with walking alone.

## Transparency document

The [Transparency document](#) associated with this article can be found, in online version.

## Acknowledgments

The authors recognize the financial support of the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China, grant number 41471119.

## References

- Arundell, L., Hinkley, T., Veitch, J., Salmon, J., 2015. Contribution of the after-school period to children's daily participation in physical activity and sedentary behaviours. *PLoS One* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140132>.
- Arundell, L., Fletcher, E., Salmon, J., Veitch, J., Hinkley, T., 2016. A systematic review of the prevalence of sedentary behavior during the after-school period among children aged 5–18 years. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 13, 93–102.
- Broberg, A., Salminen, S., Kytta, M., 2013. Physical environmental characteristics promoting independent and active transport to children's meaningful places. *Appl. Geogr.* 38, 43–52.

- BTS. Bureau of Transportation Statistics, 2003. *Nationwide Household Travel Survey*. BTS, Washington.
- Flouri, E., Midouhas, E., Joshi, H., 2014. The role of urban neighbourhood green space in children's emotional and behavioural resilience. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 40, 179–186.
- Gallimore, J.M., Brown, B.B., Werner, C.M., 2011. Walking routes to school in new urban and suburban neighborhoods: an environmental walkability analysis of blocks and routes. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 31, 184–191.
- Gao, Y., Wang, J.-j., Lau, P.W.C., Ransdell, L., 2015. Pedometer-determined physical activity patterns in a segmented school day among Hong Kong primary school children. *J. Exerc. Sci. Fit.* 13, 42–48.
- Holt, N.L., Spence, J.C., Sehn, Z.L., Cutumisu, N., 2008. Neighborhood and developmental differences in children's perceptions of opportunities for play and physical activity. *Health Place* 14, 2–14.
- Lee, J.E., Sotdden, D.F., Gao, Z., 2016. Young children's energy expenditure and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity on weekdays and weekends. *J. Phys. Act. Health* 13, 1013–1016.
- Markevych, I., Tiesler, C.M., Fuertes, E., et al., 2014. Access to urban green spaces and behavioural problems in children: results from the GINIplus and LISAPlus studies. *Environ. Int.* 71:29–35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.06.002>.
- Matisziw, T.C., Nilon, C.H., Stanis, S.A.W., LeMaster, J.W., McElroy, J.A., Sayers, S.P., 2016. The right space at the right time: The relationship between children's physical activity and land use/land cover. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 151, 21–32.
- McCracken, D.S., Allen, D.A., Gow, A.J., 2016. Associations between urban green space and health-related quality of life in children. *Prev. Med. Rep.* 3, 211–221.
- McDonald, N.C., Deakin, E., Aalborg, A.E., 2010. Influence of the social environment on children's school travel. *Prev. Med.* 50, 565–568.
- Moran, M., Plaut, P., Baron-Epel, O., 2016. Do children walk where they bike? Exploring built environment correlates of children's walking and bicycling. *J. Transp. Land Use* 9 (2), 43–65.
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, 2016. Trends in adult body-mass index in 200 countries from 1975 to 2014: a pooled analysis of 1698 population-based measurement studies with 19.2 million participants. *Lancet* 387 (10026):1377–1396. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30054-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30054-X).
- Remmers, T., Van Kann, D., Thijs, C., de Vries, S., Kremers, S., 2016. Playability of school-environments and after-school physical activity among 8–11 year-old children: specificity of time and place. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 13:82–92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12966-016-0407-5>.
- Schlossberg, M., Greene, J., Phipps, P.P., Johnson, B., Parker, B., 2006. School trips – effects of urban form and distance on travel mode. *J. Am. Plan. Assoc.* 72 (3), 337–346.
- Sirard, J.R., Riner, W.F., McIver, K.L., Pate, R.R., 2005. Physical activity and active commuting to elementary school. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000179102.17183.6b>.
- Southward, E.F., Page, A., Wheeler, B.W., Cooper, A.R., 2012. Contribution of the school journey to daily physical activity in children aged 11–12 years. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 43, 201–204.
- Steinbach, R., Green, J., Edwards, P., 2012. Look who's walking: social and environmental correlates of children's walking in London. *Health Place* 18, 917–927.
- Veitch, J., Bagley, S., Ball, K., Salmon, J., 2006. Where do children usually play? A qualitative study of parents' perceptions of influences on children's active free-play. *Health Place* 12 (4), 383–393.
- Weir, L.A., Etelson, D., Brand, D.A., 2006. Parents' perceptions of neighborhood safety and children's physical activity. *Prev. Med.* 43 (3), 212–217.
- Wickel, E.E., Belton, S., 2016. School's out ... now what? Objective estimates of afterschool sedentary time and physical activity from childhood to adolescence. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 19, 654–658.