RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Prolonged operative time of repeat cesarean is a risk marker for post-operative maternal complications

Misgav Rottenstreich[†], Hen Y. Sela[†], Ori Shen, Rachel Michaelson-Cohen, Arnon Samueloff and Orna Reichman^{*}

Abstract

Background: Repeat cesarean delivery (CD) accounts for approximately 15% of all annual deliveries in the US with an estimated 656,250 operations per year. We aimed to study whether prolonged operative time (OT; skin incision to closure) is a risk marker for post-operative maternal complications among women undergoing repeat CD.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional retrospective study in a single tertiary center including all women who underwent repeat CD but excluding those with cesarean hysterectomy. Prolonged OT was defined as duration of CD longer than the 90th percentile duration on record for each specific surgeon in order to correct for technique differences between surgeons. Bi-variate analysis was used to study the association of prolonged OT with each one of the following maternal complications: post-operative blood transfusion, prolonged maternal hospitalization (defined as hospitalization duration longer than 1 week post-CD), infection necessitating antibiotics, re-laparotomy within 7 days post-CD, and re-admission within 42 days post-CD. A multivariate regression analysis was performed controlling for maternal age, ethnicity, parity, number of fetus, gestational age at delivery, trial of labor after cesarean, anesthesia, and number of previous CDs. The adjusted odd ratio was calculated for each complication independently and for a composite adverse maternal outcome defined as any one of the above.

Results: A total of 6507 repeat CDs were included; prolonged OT was highly associated (*P* value < 0.000) with: post-operative blood transfusion (4.4% vs. 1.5%), prolonged hospitalization (8.4% vs. 4.0%), infection necessitating antibiotics (2% vs. 1%), and readmission (1.8% vs. 0.8%) when compared to control. The composite adverse maternal outcome was also associated with prolonged OT (20.2% vs. 11.2%, *p* < 0.000). These correlations remained statistically significant in the multivariate regression analysis when controlling for confounders.

Conclusions: Among women undergoing repeat CD, prolonged OT (reflecting CD duration greater than 90th percentile for the specific surgeon) is a risk marker for post-operative maternal complications.

Keywords: Repeat caesarean deliveries, Post-operative adverse maternal outcome, Operative time

Background

During the last three decades, the decrease in trial of labor after cesarean delivery (TOLAC), has been reflected in an inversely increased fraction of repeat cesarean deliveries (CD) [1, 2].

It is currently estimated that one-third of all CD in the United States are repeated CD and more than 90% of

* Correspondence: orna.reich@gmail.com

women who undergo CD will have a repeat procedure in subsequent pregnancies [3, 4].

Large observational studies have consistently shown that women who undergo repeat CD are at increased risk of dense adhesions and abnormal placentation [5–10]. These in turn make the surgical procedure and fetal extraction more challenging, prolong the time to delivery, and increase the risk of bowel or bladder injury [11–13] Both dense adhesions and abnormal placentation are associated with massive hemorrhage, disseminated intravascular coagulation, blood products transfusion, admission to



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

[†]Misgav Rottenstreich and Hen Y. Sela contributed equally to this work. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel

intensive care unit (ICU), and in severe cases could result in maternal death [10].

A few studies have been published analyzing the association between CD operative time (OT) and maternal or neonatal complications [11, 14]. However, these studies combined primary and repeat CD and disregarded identification of the primary surgeon, a factor that can potentially influence OT due to differences in techniques between surgeons [15]. We aimed to study OT based on the individual surgeon's historical record of OT and post-operative maternal complications among women undergoing repeat CD. We defined prolonged OT as duration of CD surgery longer than the 90th percentile of that specific surgeon's recorded CD durations. Our primary aim was to ascertain whether there was a correlation between prolonged OT and (1) hemorrhage necessitating post-operative blood transfusion; (2) length of maternal hospitalization (3); infection necessitating administration of antibiotics (4); re-laparotomy within 7 days of CD; and (5) re-admission within 42 days of discharge.

Materials and methods

A retrospective cross sectional study was conducted at Shaare Zedek Medical Centre (SZMC), a university affiliated medical center, managing approximately 10% of all deliveries in Israel, with an average of 13,000 deliveries annually. National Health plans cover antenatal and peripartum care, and most deliveries (> 95%) are managed by this system. The study population included all women who underwent a repeat CD by an obstetrician who had performed at least \geq 40 CD at SZMC between June 2005 and December 2014. Since hysterectomies occur in 0.6 cases/ 1000 deliveries and invariably prolong OT as well as being associated with higher maternal morbidity, we excluded all cases of hysterectomies regardless of indication.

The SZMC obstetrical database is continuously updated from the computerized electronic medical records (EMR)which are updated in real-time during labor and delivery. The EMR includes demographic characteristics, medical and obstetric history, and requisite descriptive variables as per the computerized surgical notes, such as inter-pregnancy interval, number of previous CD, elective CD versus TOLAC, type of anesthesia, OT (skin incision to closure), experience of the operating surgeon and presence of a third surgeon. Complications that were studied included (1) clinically significant hemorrhage defined as post-operative blood transfusion; (2) prolonged length of maternal hospitalization defined as length of stay following CD greater than 7 days (departmental protocol mandates discharge on day 5 post-operation); (3) infection necessitating antibiotics [including: Gentamycin, Amoxicillin/Clavulanate potassium, Ciprofloxacin and Cefazolin as markers for endometritis, wound infection, post-operative intra-abdominal infected collections and urinary tract infections]; (4) re-laparotomy within 7 days of the CD; and (5) re-admission within 42 days of discharge.



Prolonged CD was defined as surgery where the OT was longer than the 90th percentile of CD durations on record for that specific surgeon. According to department policy an attending must be present at all CD; OT is attributed to the primary surgeon regardless of seniority. Bivariate analysis was performed for testing the association between prolonged CD and categorical variables using the χ^2 or Fisher exact test and continuous variables were analyzed by the Student's t-test as indicated. A

multivariate regression analysis was performed controlling for confounders, and adjusted odds ratio were calculated. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of prolonged OT for each complication and for the composite adverse outcome were calculated. Confidence interval of 95% and *P* value ≤ 0.05 were defined as statistically significant. All statistics were performed using the SPSS version 23. The study was approved by the SZMC IRB (# 0260–16).

Table 1 Sociodemographic and	obstetrical characteristic of study	population, distributed b	by length of surgery
	/		

		Length of cesarean surgery"		
		≤ 90th percentile	> 90thpercentile	P value
Age		33.0 ± 5.4	33.6±5.3	< 0.000
Education	< 12 years	217 (4.1%)	52 (5.0%)	0.188
Ethnicity	Jew	4721 (86.7%)	894 (84.0%)	0.019
	Arab	722 (13.3%)	170 (16.0%)	
Parity	Multipara 2–5 ^c	4150 (76.2%)	772 (72.6%)	0.010
	GMP 6-9	1029 (18.9%)	232 (21.8%)	
	GGMP≥10	264 (4.9%)	60 (5.6%)	
Interval from previous CD (months) $^{\mathrm{b}}$	< 12 months	434 (16.3%)	89 (16.5%)	
	12-24	1027 (38.5%)	184 (34.1%)	0.139
	> 24 months ^c	1206 (45.2%)	266 (49.4%)	
Number offetus	singleton	5204 (95.6%)	1032 (97%)	0.039
	multiple pregnancy	239 (4.4%)	32 (3.0%)	
Gestational week	term	4738 (87.1%)	838 (78.8%)	< 0.000
	preterm < 37	704 (12.9%)	226 (21.2%)	
Anesthesia	local	5246 (96.5%)	958 (90%)	< 0.000
	general	188 (3.2%)	106 (10.0%)	
Cesarean delivery	elective ^c	3219 (59.1%)	548 (51.5%)	
	elective in labor	94 (1.7%)	20 (1.9%)	< 0.000
	emergent	2126 (39.1%)	496 (46.6%)	
Number of CD	2 ^c	3023 (55.5%)	467 (43.9%)	< 0.000
	3–4	2040 (37.5%)	473 (44.5%)	
	≥5	380 (7.0%)	124 (11.7%)	
Experience of surgeon	senior $>$ 10 yrs. $^{\circ}$	1709 (32.6%)	333(31.3%)	
	senior < 10 yrs.	430 (8.2%)	102 (9.6%)	0.289
	resident	3111 (59.3%)	629 (59.1%)	
Presence of a third surgeon in surgery	non-present	5443 (100%)	1052 (98.9%)	< 0.000
	present	0 (0%)	12 (1.1%)	
Fetal weight	macrosomia > 4000	354 (6.5%)	61 (5.7%)	
	AGA 2500-4000 ^c	4468 (82.3%)	856 (80.5%)	
	LBW 1500-2500	523 (9.6%)	120 (11.3%)	0.059
	VLBW 1000-1500	60 (1.1%)	16 (1.5%)	
	ELBW < 1000	24 (0.4%)	10 (0.9%)	

GMP grand-multipara

^aThe 90th percentile was calculated individually for each surgeon

^bmissing data 3301 (50.7%)

^creference variable in the multivariate analysis

Results

During the study period, 14,875 CD were performed out of 126,693 total deliveries (11.6%). Of the CD 6507 (43.7%) were repeat CD. Approximately one-fifth of the study population, 1416 (22%) were repeat CD due to failed TOLAC (Fig. 1- flow chart). Fifty-nine obstetricians were included in the analysis: 23 board certified obstetrics and gynecology and 36 residents, with comparable numbers of CD (median of 200 and 190. respectively). Mean duration of CD ranged between surgeons with a minimum of 30 (± 13) minutes to a maximum of 66 (±30) minutes. Demographic characteristics, medical and obstetric history, and clinical features of the study population stratified by OT are presented in Table 1. One-fifth of the study population 1293 (20%) was grand-multiparous (≥6 deliveries). Prolonged CD duration relative to expected CD duration per surgeon's history was highly associated (P value < 0.000) with: gestational age at delivery, general anesthesia, number of previous CDs, TOLAC; and presence of a third surgeon (Table 1). There was a strong association between prolonged CD and post-operative adverse maternal outcomes including post-operative blood transfusion (4.4% vs. 1.5%), prolonged hospitalization (8.4% vs. 4.0%), infection necessitating antibiotic treatment (2% vs. 1%) and readmission (1.8% vs 0.8%) (Table 2). These significant correlations remained in multivariate regression analysis controlling for confounders (Table 2). The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of prolonged OT for each of the post-operative maternal complications and for the combined composite complication is presented in Table 3. There was a high negative predictive value (NPV > 0.93) for all complications studied.

Discussion

This study confirms our hypothesis that prolonged CD defined as OT greater than the 90th percentile of CD durations on record for each surgeon, was associated with post-operative maternal complications including post-operative blood transfusion, prolonged maternal

hospitalization, treatment with antibiotics and readmission. Therefore, prolonged CD can be seen as a **risk marker** for adverse maternal outcomes. Nonetheless, the low prevalence of these complications (Table 3), limits our predictive ability, i.e., which women with prolonged OT will actually suffer complications (positive predictive value of 0.02–0.20). On the other hand, OT within the surgeon's (<90th percentile) duration, can reassure women with a high degree of confidence that they probably are not at risk of the above complications.

Moreover, the negative predictive value for each one of the complications studied (0.93–0.99) implies that for women undergoing repeat CD within the surgeon's < 90th percentile duration, are less likely to suffer the adverse complications analyzed herein.

There are few studies regarding the effect of prolonged CD on maternal and fetal morbidity [11, 14]. These studies are limited as they grouped together primary and repeat CD and the later arbitrary defined prolonged operation as below and above 30 and 60 min [14]. As clinicians, we were concerned that defining OT as a fixed number without considering the surgeon who operated is problematic because of variability in techniques among surgeons regardless of seniority. In order to correct for the effect of the primary surgeon we defined prolonged CD as \geq 90th percentile calculated individually for the primary surgeon. We attributed operative time to the primary surgeon who could have been a resident and not the attending physician. In addition, to minimize bias of confounders and modifications, we included only women undergoing repeat CD and not primary CDs that are by nature different than repeat CD [16].

There are some limitations inherent in this study. First, this is a retrospective, cross-sectional single center university hospital, yet we believe that for the research question addressed this methodology is equitable. Our study population with high parity may weaken external validity, yet it also enabled inclusion of a large number of parturients undergoing repeat CD: 2513 women underwent their 3rd or 4th CD and 504 parturient underwent their 5th CD or more.

Table 2 Post-operative maternal complications distributed by length of surgery, univariate and multivariate analysis^a

1	/	5 5 7			,
	Univariate analysis (chi square)			Multivariate analysis (Adjusted Odds Ratio)	
	> 90th percentile	> 90th percentile	p value	aOR	95% CI
Post-operative blood transfusion	82 (1.5%)	47 (4.4%)	< 0.000	3.16	2.17-4.61
Prolonged length of hospitalization (\geq 7 days)	216 (4%)	89 (8.4%)	< 0.000	1.89	1.44–2.46
Rx with antibiotics ^b	393 (7.2%)	128 (12%)	< 0.000	1.59	1.28–1.98
Re- admission	42 (0.8%)	18 (1.8%)	0.004	1.94	1.10-3.44
Composite complication ^c	607 (11.2%)	215 (20.2%)	< 0.000	1.81	1.52-2.16

^amultivariate analysis controlling for maternal age, ethnicity, parity, number of fetus, gestational age at delivery, urge of operation, anesthesia and number of cesarean delivery

^bRx with either Gentamycin, Augmentin, Ciprofloxacin, Cefazolin

^c combining post-operative blood transfusion, prolonged length of hospitalization, treatment with antibiotics and readmission

	sensitivity	specificity	PPV	NPV	
Post-operative blood transfusion	0.36	0.85	0.15	0.99	
Prolonged length of hospitalization ^a	0.29	0.84	0.08	0.96	
Rx with antibiotics ^b	0.25	0.84	0.12	0.93	
Re- admission	0.30	0.84	0.02	0.99	
Composite post-operative complication ^c	0.26	0.85	0.20	0.89	

Table 3 The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of prolonged cesarean delivery (> 90th percentile) to each one of the post-operative maternal complications

PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value

^aprolonged length of maternal hospitalization defined as discharge after 7 days or more

^bRx with either Gentamycin, Augmentin, Ciprofloxacin, Cefazolin

^ccombining post-operative blood transfusion, prolonged length of hospitalization, necessitating antibiotic treatment and readmission

Unfortunately body mass index which is an essential factor associated with maternal morbidity is not currently available in our computerized database such. Despite these limitations, the large study group along with the requisite variables that continuously updated in real time, decreases bias.

In conclusion, among women undergoing repeat CD, prolonged CD is a **risk marker** for post-operative maternal complications. In addition, OT < 90th percentile of the surgeon's on record CD duration has high NPV for adverse maternal outcome, implying **who will not** suffer the studied complications. We encourage surgeons and institutions to obtain OT of CD and calculate the 90th percentile for each surgeon. This will enable the surgeon, in case of OT within the normal limits (< 90th percentile), to reassure the patient and their family immediately after the CD that the risk of post-operative complication including blood transfusion or prolonged admission are very low.

Conclusions

Prolonged OT, beyond the surgeon's 90th percentile of on record CD durations, is a risk marker for post-operative maternal complications among women undergoing repeat CD. In case of prolonged OT, the surgeon may elect for more careful follow-up of the patient based on the local standard protocol.

Abbreviations

CD: Cesarean delivery; ICU: Intensive care unit; OT: Operative time; SZMC: Shaare Zedek Medical Centre; TOLAC: Trial of labor after cesarean delivery

Acknowledgements

We thank Rivka Farkash for maintaining the computerized data base.

Funding

No funding was used in the conduct of this study.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

MR and HS made substantial contributions to conception and design, been involved in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content. OR - made substantial contributions to conception and design and analysis and interpretation of data. Been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content. OC, OS, RM, AS been involved in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content. OE, observed and revising it critically for important intellectual content. OC, OS, RM, AS been involved in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content, given final approval of the version to be published. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Shaare Zedek Medical Center IRB (# 0260– 16). As all data is retrospective and de-identified, consent for participation is not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 19 November 2017 Accepted: 21 November 2018 Published online: 04 December 2018

References

- Ananth CV, Friedman AM, Keyes KM, Lavery JA, Hamilton A, Wright JD. Primary and repeat cesarean deliveries: a population-based study in the United States, 1979-2010. Epidemiol. 2017;28(4):567–74.
- Edmonds JK, Hawkins SS, Cohen BB. Variation in vaginal birth after cesarean by maternal race and detailed ethnicity. Matern Child Health J. 2016;20(6): 1114–23.
- Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Ventura SJ, Osterman MJK, Kirmeyer S, Mathews TJ, et al. Births: final data for 2009. Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2011;60(1):1–70.
- Vaginal birth after cesarean: new insights manuscripts from an NIH Consensus Development Conference, March 8-10, 2010. Semin Perinatol. 2010;34(5):309-10.
- Tulandi T, Agdi M, Zarei A, Miner L, Sikirica V. Adhesion development and morbidity after repeat cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2009;201(1): 56.e1–6.
- Morales KJ, Gordon MC, Bates GW. Postcesarean delivery adhesions associated with delayed delivery of infant. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007; 196(5):461.e1–6.
- Zia S, Rafique M. Intra-operative complications increase with successive number of cesarean sections: myth or fact? Obstet Gynecol Sci. 2014;57(3): 187–92.
- Ioscovich A, Mirochnitchenko E, Halpern SH, Smueloff A, Grisaru-Granovsky S, Gozal Y, et al. Anesthetic considerations for high order cesarean sections: a prospective cohort study. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2014;289(3):533–40.

- Cook JR, Jarvis S, Knight M. Multiple repeat caesarean section in the UK: incidence and consequences to mother and child. A national, prospective, cohort study. BJOG. 2013;120(1):85–91.
- Marshall NE, Fu R, Guise J-M. Impact of multiple cesarean deliveries on maternal morbidity: a systematic review. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011;205(3): 262.e1–8.
- Rossouw JN, Hall D, Harvey J. Time between skin incision and delivery during cesarean. Int J Gynecol Obstet 2013;121(1):82–85. Available from: doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2012.11.008
- Nisenblat V, Barak S, Griness OB, Degani S, Ohel G, Gonen R. Maternal complications associated with multiple cesarean deliveries. Obstet Gynecol. 2006;108(1):21–6.
- Makoha FW, Felimban HM, Fathuddien MA, Roomi F, Ghabra T. Multiple cesarean section morbidity. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2004;87(3):227–32.
- Doherty DA, Magann EF, Chauhan SP, Boyle ALO, Busch JM, Morrison JC. Factors affecting caesarean operative time and the effect of operative time on pregnancy outcomes. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2008;48(3):286–91.
- Dodd JM, Anderson ER, Gates S, Grivell RM. Surgical techniques for uterine incision and uterine closure at the time of caesarean section. Cochrane database Syst Rev. 2014;7:CD004732.
- Curtin SC, Gregory KD, Korst LM, Uddin SF. Maternal Morbidity for Vaginal and Cesarean Deliveries, According to Previous Cesarean History: New Data From the Birth Certificate, 2013. Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2015;64(4):1–13 back cover.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

