Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbrep

The intronic region of *Fbxl12* functions as an alternative promoter regulated by UV irradiation



Fuminori Tsuruta^{*}, Jaehyun Kim¹, Tomomi Fukuda¹, Yu Kigoshi¹, Tomoki Chiba^{*}

Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 9 May 2015 Received in revised form 28 June 2015 Accepted 15 July 2015 Available online 21 July 2015

Keywords: Alternative promoter Fbl12 SCF ubiquitin ligase UV The ubiquitin ligases, SCF complexes, consist of Cul1, Skp1, Rbx1 and the substrate recognition components F-box proteins. Previous studies have reported that one of these F-box proteins, Fbl12, which is produced by *Fbxl12* gene, regulates both cell cycle and differentiation. In this paper, we show that the intronic region of *Fbxl12* gene acts as an alternative promoter and induces expression of a short form of Fbl12 that lacks F-box domain (Fbl12 Δ F). We also found that UV irradiation increases Fbl12 Δ F mRNA in cells. Finally, Fbl12 Δ F may promote the subcellular localization of Fbl12 from nucleus to cytoplasm through their binding. Our data provide the possibility that Fbl12 Δ F induced by alternative promoter controls the SCF^{Fbl12} activity in response to UV stimulation.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

liferation, differentiation and stress responses.

activity may cause cancer occurrence as well as SCFSkp2 [8].

Moreover, Fbl12 transcripts have been shown to be upregulated in

response to a treatment with transforming-growth factor- β (TGF- β), leading to the differentiation of osteoblast cells through p57

ubiquitination [4]. Taken together, these studies provide evidences

that Fbl12 possesses multiple functions, especially control of pro-

single gene locus, leading to the proteomic diversity and com-

plexity in mammalian cells. It is thought that modulation of pro-

moter activity is influenced by diverse elements, such as tissue,

developmental stage, and environmental stresses. Recent com-

prehensive analyses have demonstrated that a large number of

genomic loci contain putative alternative promoters [9,10]. For

instance, p21B, which is a variant of p21 transcribed by the usage

of alternative promoter, is expressed by a treatment with anti-

tumor compounds, followed by an induction of apoptosis but not

cell cycle arrest [11]. It has also shown that the functional deficit of

alternative promoter perturbs transcripts, resulting in an occur-

rence of several human disorders. Intriguingly, in silico analyses

have revealed that the average cancer-related genes are mediated

by two promoters, whereas the average among others are less

than two [12]. Therefore, the regulation of alternative promoter activity gives rise to an increase of transcripts from limited num-

ber of genes, as well as alternative RNA splicing and RNA editing,

The alternative promoters produce a variety of mRNA from

1. Introduction

The SCF ubiquitin ligase plays important roles in a wide variety of cellular functions [1,2]. The SCF complex contains major four proteins, which are Cul1, Rbx1, Skp1, and F-box protein. Cul1 acts as a scaffold protein that bridge between Rbx1 and Skp1. Rbx1 is a small RING-finger protein associated with the carboxyl terminus of Cul1 and recruits a specific ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (UBC) to this complex. Meanwhile, Skp1 binds to the amino terminus of Cull, and also recruits F-box protein, which is a substrate recognition protein, and retains it in this complex. Although the Cul1. Rbx1. and Skp1 are invariable component in the SCF ubiquitin ligase, the F-box proteins are variable and contribute to substrate specificity. So far, more than fifty of human F-box proteins have been identified and fell into three major classes, depending on the types of substrate recognition domains [3]. One of F-box proteins, Fbl12, has a leucine-rich repeat and has structural similarity to another F-box protein, Skp2 [4]. It has been shown that SCF^{Fb112} enhances ubiquitination of Ca²⁺/CaM-dependent kinase I (CaMKI) and Ku80, resulting in the regulation of cell cycle and DNA repair [5,6]. In addition, mutation of Fbl12 is associated with renal carcinoma [7], suggesting that dysfunction of SCF^{Fbl12}

* Corresponding authors. Fax: +81 29 853 6887.

E-mail addresses: ftsuruta@biol.tsukuba.ac.jp (F. Tsuruta),

tchiba@biol.tsukuba.ac.jp (T. Chiba).

¹ Fax: +81 29 853 6887.

and links to the systematic protein production in living organisms. In this study, we report that the intronic region of *Fbxl12* has a promoter activity regulated by UV irradiation. This alternative promoter initiates transcription of a short form of Fbl12

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2015.07.010

2405-5808/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations: SCF, SKP1-CUL1-F-box protein; TNF- α , Tumor necrosis factor- α ; TGF- β , Transforming growth factor- β

transcripts, which lacks F-box region (Fbl12 Δ F). In addition, expression of Fbl12 Δ F redistributes Fbl12 from nucleus to cytoplasm. Thus, our data suggest that the intronic region of *Fbxl12* gene carries a novel element to regulate UV-dependent Fbl12 functions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and antibodies

The antibodies used in this study were listed as follows: anti-Flag (M2, SIGMA) and anti-Myc (9E10, Santa Cruz) antibodies for immunoblot analyses, and anti-GFP (Cat.#598, MBL) and Myc (9E10, Santa Cruz) antibodies for immunocytochemistry. The reagents used in this study were as follows: tunicamycin (SIGMA), Hoechst 33342 (Life Technologies), MG132 (Peptide Institute), TNF- α (R&D systems), TGF- β (Peprotech), H₂O₂ (WAKO), Actinomycin D (SIGMA).

2.2. Cell culture, transfection and stimulation

HEK293, HEK293T, and HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (WAKO) containing 5-10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 units) and streptomycin (100 mg; P/ S). Cells were transfected with plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) or polyethyleneimine (Polyscience) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.3. Plasmid construction

The second intron of *Fbxl12* were amplified from mouse genome and subcloned into BgIII sites of pGL3. The genomic sequence encoding the each intronic region were amplified from pGL3-Fbl12 Δ F and subcloned into the MluI and BgIII sites of pGL3. pcDNA3-Flag-Fbl12 Δ F construct were described previously [4]. The Fbxl12 cDNA was subcloned into the EcoRI and XhoI sites of pCS4-EGFP and pCS4-Myc. The Fbl12 Δ F cDNA was subcloned into the EcoRI and XhoI sites of pCS4-Myc.

2.4. RT-PCR

Total RNAs were isolated using Isogen II (NIPPON GENE) from either HEK293 cells or C57BL/6 J adult mouse tissues. The brain, heart, skeletal muscle, liver, spleen, thymus were dissected from 8-month-old mice, and kidney, spinal cord from 4.5-month-old mice. The cDNA were synthesized by SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies) or ReverTra Ace (TOYOBO) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.5. RT-qPCR

Total RNAs were isolated from HEK293 cells with of without UV stimulation using Isogen II (NIPPON GENE). The cDNA were synthesized by SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies) or ReverTra Ace (TOYOBO) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with THUN-DERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO) and appropriated primers, and analyzed using Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System (TAKARA).

2.6. Co-immunoprecipitation analysis

HEK293T cells were lysed in extraction buffer (0.5% NP-40, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM, EDTA, 1 mM DTT) and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes. The cell lysates were mixed with anti-Flag agarose beads (SIGMA) for 3 hours at 4 °C. The immunoprecipitants were washed and separated by SDS-

PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane, probed with either anti-Flag or anti-Myc antibodies, and detected with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies and chemiluminescence reagent (Amersham ECL Plus Western Blotting Detection Reagents, GE Healthcare).

2.7. Immunocytochemistry and time-laps imaging

HeLa cells plated on 15 mm coverslips and grown in 12-well plates were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 minutes at room temperature. The coverslips were washed in PBS, blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS with 0.4% Triton X-100, then incubated with the indicated primary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C. Following PBS wash, samples were incubated with secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 594 anti-mouse IgG [1:500], Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit IgG [1:500]) for 30 minutes at room temperature in blocking solution. Cells were imaged using a fluorescence microscope (BIOREVO BZ-9000, Keyence). The images were quantified using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad software) and Image J software. Time-laps imaging was performed using fluorescence microscope (BIOREVO BZ-9000, Keyence) and Incubation System for Microscopes (TOKAI HIT).

2.8. Luciferase assay

HEK293 cells were transfected with the reporter plasmid, which contains the firefly luciferase gene, and a renilla luciferase expression plasmid as an internal control, then treated with indicated stimulation. Cell lysates were subsequently assayed for both firefly and renilla luciferase activities with Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (PROMEGA), and the former activity was normalized on the basis of the latter. Luciferase activity was measured using luminometer Flash'n Glow (BERTHOLD).

2.9. Cell proliferation assay

HEK293 cells were transfected with either control or Fbl12 Δ F plasmids. The transfected cells were plated on 96 well plates and incubated for two days. Cellular proliferation was analyzed using microplate reader Model 680 (BIO-RAD) and Cell Counting kit-8 (DOJINDO) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

3. Results

3.1. The mRNA encoding the third exon of Fbxl12 is expressed in cells

The F-box domain of Fbl12 is essential for the interaction with Skp1, which is an adaptor protein that connects Fbl12 to Cul1. Previous study has suggested that Fbl12 Δ F, which lacks F-box domain, functions as a dominant negative mutant that suppresses SCF^{Fbl12} ubiquitin ligase activity [4]. While investigating the SCF^{Fb12} functions, we noticed that the third exon of Fbxl12 gene begins with an ATG, which is well conserved among mammals evolutionarily. Interestingly, this third exon is precisely consistent with the Fbl12 Δ F coding region (Fig. 1A). We also found that the mRNA encoding the third exon of Fbxl12 containing 5'- and 3'untranslated region (UTR) is already registered in the database (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html) (Fig. 1B). Therefore, we hypothesized that mRNA encoding Fbl12 Δ F is expressed in cells as an independent transcript. To ask this hypothesis, we developed the PCR primers that are capable of amplifying only Fbl12 Δ F mRNA through recognition of the 5'- UTR flanking coding region. Because the Fbl12 Δ F mRNA in database (Transcription ID; ENSMUST00000140702) is started from -142 base pair (bp) of the translation initiation codon, we designed four primers



Fig. 1. The mRNA encoding the third exon of *Fbxl12* is expressed in cells. (A) Sequence alignment of first and third exons of *Fbxl12* from *Homo sapiens, Pan troglodytes, Bos taurus, Ovis aries, Canis lupus familiaris, Mus musculus, Rattus norvegicus.* Conserved genome sequences are highlighted in black and initiation codons are shown in yellow (upper panel). Schematic structure of Fbl12 protein. The third exon of *Fbxl12* encodes a leucine-rich repeat region of Fbl12 (lower panel). (**B**) Schematic structure of Fbl12 transcripts from Ensembl database. (**C**) Sequence of second intron flanking Fbl12 Δ F initiation codon. The transcript sequence registered in Ensembl database (EN-SMUST00000140702) is in italics. The sequence of Fbl12 Δ F is highlighted in black. (**D** and **E**) RT-PCR analyses of either Fbl12 Δ F or Fbl12 Δ F

corresponding to either the neighborhood of the registered Fbl12 Δ F mRNA or the outside of that (Fig. 1C). To first confirm whether Fbl12 Δ F mRNA is produced independently *in vivo*, we conducted RT-PCR analyses using the primers that recognized the outside of third exon. The Fbl12 Δ F mRNA was detected as well as full length Fbl12 mRNA in each mouse tissues (Fig. 1D), suggesting that Fbl12 Δ F mRNA is transcribed ubiquitously *in vivo*. To next examine the transcription-starting site, we used different sets of primers. The starting sites in Fbl12 Δ F mRNA in heart, skeletal muscle and liver may be proximal region of initiation codon; on the other hand, those in brain and thymus are distal region. Moreover, the amount of PCR products was significantly decreased when the primers recognized at -224 bp of the initiation codon were used (Fig. 1E). These data suggest that the transcriptionstarting sites differ among tissues and are located within this region.

3.2. The intronic region of Fbxl12 functions as an alternative promoter regulated by UV

Because Fbl12 Δ F mRNA exists as an independent transcript, we made the assumption that the intronic region between second and third exons of *Fbx112* possesses a promoter activity. We first confirm whether Fbl12 Δ F mRNA is expressed in HEK293 cells. To examine this, we purified total RNA from HEK 293 cells and conducted RT-PCR using the primer that recognized the 5'-UTR region. The Fbl12 Δ F mRNA was slightly detected in HEK293 cells (Fig. 2A), demonstrating that Fbl12 Δ F mRNA exists as an independent transcript. To next investigate the promoter activity, we introduced luciferase reporter constructs harboring either intronic region of mouse *Fbx112* (pFbl12 Δ F) or regular promoter of that (pFb112) into HEK293 cells (Fig. 2B). Contrary to the pFb112 activity responsible for the full length Fbl12 expression, the activity of pFbl12 Δ F was comparable to a mock control under basal



Fig. 2. The intronic region functions as an alternative promoter regulated by UV. (**A**) Diagrams of primer binding sites. The small squares indicate the annealing sites of Fbl12 Δ F primer (upper panel). RT-PCR analysis of either Fbl12 Δ F mRNA in HEK293 cells (lower panel). (**B**) Schematic structure of the reporter constructs. (**C**) HEK293 cells were transfected with reporter plasmids, pFbl12 Δ F-Luc and pFbl12 Δ Luc. Both promoter activities were analyzed by luciferase assay (n=3, mean \pm SEM, N.S., not significant, *******p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA). (**D**) HEK293 cells were transfected with either pFbl12 Δ F-Luc or pFbl12-Luc, then stimulated with wither 5 ng/ml TNF- α , 1 ng/ml TGF- β , 1 mM H₂O₂, 5 µg/ml Tunicamycin, 10 µM MG132, or 12 µW/cm² UV for 8 hours. The promoter activity was analyzed by luciferase assay (n=3, mean \pm SEM, ******p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA). (**E**) NIH3T3 cells were transfected with either pFbl12 Δ F-Luc, then stimulated with 12 µW/cm² UV for 6 hours. The promoter activity was analyzed by luciferase assay (n=3, mean \pm SEM, ******p < 0.001 by student's t-test). (**F**) HEK293 cells were stimulated with 4 µW/cm² UV and incubated for 3 hours. The Fbl12 Δ F-Luc, then subjected to quantitative RT-PCR analysis. Results were normalized to actin expression (n=3, mean \pm SEM, ******p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA). (**G**) HEK293 cells were stimulated with 4 µW/cm² UV and incubated for 3 hours in the presence or absence of 5 µM Actinomycin D. The Fbl12 Δ F mRNAs were then subjected to 5.8 S rRNA expression (n=3, mean \pm SEM, *****p < 0.05, *****p < 0.01 by one-way ANOVA).

condition (Fig. 2C). This suggest that the promoter activity is not high at steady state in cells. We therefore pursued whether physiological stimuli are involved in an increase of pFbl12 Δ F activity. In consequence, we found that UV irradiation activated the Fbl12 Δ F transcription approximately two-fold than control, but not other external stimuli such as TNF- α , TGF- β , MG132, Tunicamycin and H₂O₂ (Fig. 2D). To next examine a specificity of cell type, we used another cell line, mouse fibroblasts. We transfected NIH3T3 cells with reporter constructs harboring the intronic region of *Fbxl12*, then analyzed promoter activity by luciferase assay. UV irradiation significantly enhances promoter activity in NIH3T3 cells (Fig. 2E), suggesting that UV responsive phenomena may be general. To further confirm this endogenously, we performed qRT-PCR. Consistent with luciferase assay, UV irradiation enhanced a synthesis of Fbl12 Δ F mRNA after UV irradiation for three hours (Fig. 2F). Because it seems not to be rapid reaction, mRNA



Fig. 3. The 3' regions of second intron are responsible for the promoter activity. (A) Scheme of second intronic region of *Fbxl12* gene. There are at least five clustering regions conserved from mouse to human. (**B**) Sequence of second intron flanking mouse Fbl12 Δ F initiation codon. The fourth, fifth and sixth cluster regions are shown in green, red and blue, respectively. The sequence of Fbl12 Δ F is highlighted in black. (**C**) Diagrams of the reporter constructs. The luciferase gene was fused to the deletion constructs of mouse *Fbxl12* intron. (**D**) HEK293 cells were transfected with reporter plasmids, then stimulated with 8 μ W/cm² UV for 6 hours. Fbl12 Δ F promoter activity was analyzed by luciferase assay (n=3, mean \pm SEM, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA).

synthesis regulated by UV could be indirect. One possibility is that UV-induced target gene acts as a mediator that triggers Fbl12 Δ F mRNA synthesis. Finally, to investigate whether this effect is dependent on not an inhibition of mRNA degradation but an enhancement of transcription, we used transcription inhibitor; actinomycin D. Treatment with actinomycin D clearly blocked UV-induced gene expression, suggesting that UV irradiation promotes Fbl12 Δ F transcription, but not suppression of mRNA degradation (Fig. 2G). Taken together, our data suggest that Fbl12 Δ F expression is enhanced by a usage of novel promoter at second intronic region in response to UV.

3.3. The 3' regions of second intron are responsible for the promoter activity

To dissect the region responsible for UV stimulation, we made a series of deletion constructs of second intronic region. There are some sequence similarity between human and mouse as the gene cluster, though the intronic region in human genome is longer than mouse genome (Fig. 3A). In the process of this study, we

found that both fifth and sixth clusters in Fig. 3A encode the region of putative 5'-UTR (Fig. 3B). We then subdivided intronic region into these clusters based on this alignment and developed reporter plasmids (Fig. 3C). To investigate the promoter activities, we introduced these constructs into HEK293 cells, followed by stimulation with UV. Consistent with our data in Fig. 2, the promoter activity of pFbl12 Δ F was increased in response to UV irradiation. We found that the basal luciferase activity was considerably dependent on the fourth cluster region (pFbl12 Δ F^{4th}). Intriguingly, the 3'-region including pFbl12 Δ F^{5th} and pFbl12 Δ F^{6th} appears to be slightly links to reporter activity regulated by UV (Fig. 3D). These data imply that pFbl12 Δ F^{4th}-dependent promoter activity is hampered by another region under basal condition, and UV-recognition element may lie in pFbl12 Δ F^{5th} and pFbl12 Δ F^{6th}.

3.4. Fbl12 Δ F affects subcellular localization of Fbl12

It is well known that UV irradiation is a typical cellular stress that causes cell cycle arrest. Because $Fbl12\Delta F$ expression is induced by UV, we next examined whether overexpression of



Fig. 4. Fbl12 Δ F affects subcellular localization of Fbl12. (**A**) HEK293 cells were transfected with either control or Fbl12 Δ F plasmids. cellular proliferation was analyzed using cell counting kit-8. (**B**) Subellular localization of EGFP-Fbl12 in HeLa cells. the arrowhead shows the cells undergoing mitosis. EGFP-Fbl12 Is exclusively localized in nucleus except the period of cell division. the scale bar represents 50 µm. (**C**) HEK293T cells were transfected with either Myc-Fbl12 or flag-Fbl12 Δ F and were subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-flag antibodies. the immunoprecipitation at the total cell lysates were immunoblotted with either anti-Myc or anti-flag-antibodies. (**D**) HeLa cells transfected with either EGFP-Fbl12 or Myc-Fbl12 Δ F and were subjected to Immunocytochemistry using anti-GFP or anti-Myc antibodies. the scale bar represents 10 µm. (**E**) HeLa cells transfected with both EGFP and Myc-Fbl12 Δ F. the cells were subjected to Immunocytochemistry in the presence or absence of UV stimulation for 3 hours. the scale bar represents 10 µm (left panels), ratio of cytoplasmic to nuclear Fbl12 fluorescence intensity (*n*=60, mean ± SEM, **p* < 0.05 by student's t-test), the solid line indicates the edge of cell, the dash line indicate the nucleus. the small yellow circle indicates nuclear region and the square indicates cytoplasmic region.

Fbl12 Δ F is implicated in cellular proliferation. To examine this, we transfected HEK293 cells with Fbl12 Δ F plasmids and measure the proliferation rate. Accordingly, overexpression of Fbl12 Δ F has little effect on the proliferation (Fig. 4A), implying that Fbl12 Δ F expressed by UV is not implicated in cell cycle arrest. Given that Fbl12 Δ F does not regulate cellular proliferation, Fbl12 Δ F may be associated with another cellular function such as subcellular localization of full length Fbl12. To examine this, we performed time-lapse imaging to verify the spatiotemporal localization of Fbl12. We found that EGFP-Fbl12 is exclusively localized in nucleus except cell division (Fig. 4B and Suppl.Movie 1). As some F-box proteins form homo-dimer through their binding [13], we investigated the possibility that Fbl12 Δ F binds to Fbl12, followed by the changes of Fbl12 subcellular localization. To examine this, we

first check the interaction between them. We obtained the data showing that Fbl12 interacts with Fbl12 Δ F effectively (Fig. 4C). We next asked whether Fbl12 Δ F alters Fbl12 subcellular localization. Because there is no specific antibody against both Fbl12 and Fbl12 Δ F, we transfected HeLa cells with EGFP-Fbl12 and Myc-Fbl12 Δ F. Usually, Fbl12 localizes in the nucleus under basal condition and Fbl12 Δ F exists in both nucleus and cytoplasm. However, when Fbl12 was co-expressed with Fbl12 Δ F, Fbl12 was observed in both nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 4D). We further asked if UV irradiation regulates the subcellular localization of Fbl12. To do this, we introduced both EGFP and Myc-Fbl12 into HeLa cells, upon stimulation with UV, and observed the changes of subcellular localization. After stimulation of UV, the localization of Myc-Fbl12 in cytoplasm is slightly increased (Fig. 4E). This data suggests that UV stimulation alters the localization of Fbl12 from nucleus to cytoplasm via probably newly synthesis of Fbl12 Δ F protein.

Supplementary material related to this article can be found online at 1016/j.bbrep.2015.07.010.

4. Discussion

In this study, we found that the second intron of *Fbxl12* gene acts as an alternative promoter regulated by UV irradiation. We also narrowed down the region responsible for a transcription of Fbl12 Δ F. Both expression of Fbl12 Δ F and UV irradiation appear to promote translocation of Fbl12 from nucleus to cytoplasm. Our data suggest that subcellular localization of Fbl12 is regulated by UV irradiation through Fbl12 Δ F synthesis. Therefore, we think that Fbl12 Δ F could be a novel mediator that controls SCF^{Fbl12} properties.

Previous studies have reported that F-box family proteins are crucial for the control of both complexity and diversity in cells. It is also known that defect of F-box family protein cause abnormal cellular homeostasis, leading to several diseases including cancer [14]. Intriguingly, a wide variety of transcripts encoding F-box family proteins exist in cells (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html) (Suppl.Table 1). It is plausible that these variants modulate properties of SCF ubiquitin ligases. For instance, Skp2 variant, which lacks C-terminal region, is normally localized in cytoplasm and inhibits ubiquitination of the substrates such as cyclin D1 [15]. Furthermore, splicing variants of Fbx4, exhibit different subcellular localization and inhibit cyclin D1 degradation [16], supporting our idea that various F-box proteins could alter SCF ubiquitin ligase properties such as localization and activity by themselves. The bottom line seems to be that these transcripts are produced using not only alternative splicing but also alternative promoter. So far, it has not been reported that variants of F-box proteins are expressed by an alternative promoter. However, our in silico analyses suggest that several F-box proteins, including Skp2, are transcribed using putative alternative promoter (see Suppl.Table 1). Probably, these processing machineries contribute to the diversity of F-box family proteins.

The molecular mechanism that underlies UV-induced Fbl12 Δ F expression has not been fully elucidated. Because Fbl12 Δ F mRNA synthesis is not rapid reaction, the gene expression of Fbl12 Δ F could occur after a transcription of other targets, which regulate Fbl12 Δ F expression. On the other hand, we found several transcription binding sites in the intronic region. It is known that some mediators such as p53 are associated with UV-induced gene expression. In fact, putative p53 binding sites exist in this region. Thus, p53 could be a potential mediator that regulates UV-induced Fbl12 Δ F expression directly despite a slow response to mRNA synthesis.

Although the physiological consequences of Fbl12 Δ F expression remain to be elucidated, it is likely that Fbl12 Δ F modulates the function of SCF^{Fb112}. It is known that UV irradiation significantly influences the expression of p21, a member of CDK inhibitor [17]. As Fbl12 can also bind to p21 (our unpublished data), UV-induced Fbl12 Δ F may regulate p21 abundance *in vivo*. In fact, Fbl12 Δ F binds to p21 and slightly increased the level of p21 (Tsuruta et al. in preparation). Therefore, induction of Fbl12 Δ F by UV-irradiation may influence the level of p21 expression, although the expression of Fbl12 Δ F *per se* did not influence the cell proliferation. Another possibility is that Fbl12 Δ F modulates DNA repair through SCF^{Fbl12}-induced Ku80 ubiquitination. Previous studies have suggested that SCF^{Fbl12} is recruited to double-strand break via interaction with Ku80, leading to ubiquitination and elimination of Ku80 from double-strand break [6]. This process is

crucial for the control of DNA repair. Thus, it is likely that expression of Fbl12 Δ F causes negative feedback after DNA damage, though we have not tested whether another DNA damage such as γ -irradiation increases transcriptional activity.

Recently, it has been demonstrated that the cis-regulatory elements located in 5'- and 3'-UTRs modulates RNA stability. For instance, one of ELAV family proteins, HuR, associates AU-rich elements (AREs) in 3'-UTR of target mRNA, leading to stabilization [18]. As another example, the iron regulatory proteins (IRPs) recognize the iron response element, which is encoded by 3'-UTR of transferrin receptor 1 (TfR1), and prevent TfR1 mRNA from decay when intracellular iron level become low [19]. Since we found that pFbl12 Δ F^{5th/6th}, which is consistent with 5'-UTR, could be involved in UV response, it is not rule out the possibility that pFbl12 Δ F^{5th/6th} may recruit regulatory protein to suppress RNA decay in response to UV stimulation. In fact, the promoter activity of the intronic region was comparable to the mock control without UV (Fig. 2C). This may suggest that some factors normally associates with intronic region and mediates mRNA fate.

In conclusion, we found that the second intronic region of *Fbxl12* functions as an alternative promoter and enhances transcription of Fbl12 Δ F in response to UV, leading to the regulation of Fbl12 localization. Our data suggest that UV-induced Fbl12 Δ F is a novel mediator, which controls SCF^{Fbl12} activity, and may provide a new regulatory mechanism of DNA damage response.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of Chiba laboratory for helpful discussions and technical support. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid from Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan (JSPS KAKENHI 23770218) to FT.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at 10.1016/j.bbrep.2015.07.010.

References

- J.R. Skaar, J.K. Pagan, M. Pagano, SCF ubiquitin ligase-targeted therapies, Nat Rev Drug Discov 13 (2014) 889–903.
- [2] T. Cardozo, M. Pagano, The SCF ubiquitin ligase: insights into a molecular machine, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5 (2004) 739–751.
- [3] J. Jin, T. Cardozo, R.C. Lovering, S.J. Elledge, M. Pagano, J.W. Harper, Systematic analysis and nomenclature of mammalian F-box proteins, Genes Dev 18 (2004) 2573–2580.
- [4] M. Kim, T. Nakamoto, S. Nishimori, K. Tanaka, T. Chiba, A new ubiquitin ligase involved in p57KIP2 proteolysis regulates osteoblast cell differentiation, EMBO Rep 9 (2008) 878–884.
- [5] R.K. Mallampalli, L. Kaercher, C. Snavely, R. Pulijala, B.B. Chen, T. Coon, J. Zhao, M. Agassandian, Fbxl12 triggers G1 arrest by mediating degradation of calmodulin kinase I, Cell Signal 25 (2013) 2047–2059.
- [6] L. Postow, H. Funabiki, An SCF complex containing Fbxl12 mediates DNA damage-induced Ku80 ubiquitylation, Cell Cycle 12 (2013) 587–595.
- [7] I. Varela, P. Tarpey, K. Raine, D. Huang, C.K. Ong, P. Stephens, H. Davies, D. Jones, M.L. Lin, J. Teague, G. Bignell, A. Butler, J. Cho, G.L. Dalgliesh, D. Galappaththige, C. Greenman, C. Hardy, M. Jia, C. Latimer, K.W. Lau, J. Marshall, S. McLaren, A. Menzies, L. Mudie, L. Stebbings, D.A. Largaespada, L. F. Wessels, S. Richard, R.J. Kahnoski, J. Anema, D.A. Tuveson, P.A. Perez-Mancera, V. Mustonen, A. Fischer, D.J. Adams, A. Rust, W. Chan-on, C. Subimerb, K. Dykema, K. Furge, P.J. Campbell, B.T. Teh, M.R. Stratton, P.A. Futreal, Exome sequencing identifies frequent mutation of the SWI/SNF complex gene PBRM1 in renal carcinoma, Nature 469 (2011).
- [8] D. Frescas, M. Pagano, Deregulated proteolysis by the F-box proteins SKP2 and beta-TrCP: tipping the scales of cancer, Nat Rev Cancer 8 (2008) 438–449.
- [9] J.R. Landry, D.L. Mager, B.T. Wilhelm, Complex controls: the role of alternative promoters in mammalian genomes, Trends Genet 19 (2003) 640–648.

- [10] D. Baek, C. Davis, B. Ewing, D. Gordon, P. Green, Characterization and predictive discovery of evolutionarily conserved mammalian alternative promoters, Genome Res 17 (2007) 145–155.
- [11] S. Nozell, X. Chen, p21B, a variant of p21(Waf1/Cip1), is induced by the p53 family, Oncogene 21 (2002) 1285–1294.
- [12] R.V. Davuluri, Y. Suzuki, S. Sugano, C. Plass, T.H. Huang, The functional consequences of alternative promoter use in mammalian genomes, Trends Genet 24 (2008) 167–177.
- [13] H. Suzuki, T. Chiba, T. Suzuki, T. Fujita, T. Ikenoue, M. Omata, K. Furuichi, H. Shikama, K. Tanaka, Homodimer of two F-box proteins betaTrCP1 or betaTrCP2 binds to IkappaBalpha for signal-dependent ubiquitination, J Biol Chem 275 (2000) 2877–2884.
- [14] Z. Wang, P. Liu, H. Inuzuka, W. Wei, Roles of F-box proteins in cancer, Nat Rev Cancer 14 (2014) 233–247.
- [15] S. Ganiatsas, R. Dow, A. Thompson, B. Schulman, D. Germain, A splice variant of Skp2 is retained in the cytoplasm and fails to direct cyclin D1 ubiquitination in the uterine cancer cell line SK-UT, Oncogene 20 (2001) 3641–3650.
- [16] X. Chu, T. Zhang, J. Wang, M. Li, X. Zhang, J. Tu, S. Sun, X. Chen, F. Lu, Alternative splicing variants of human Fbx4 disturb cyclin D1 proteolysis in human cancer, Biochem Biophys Res Commun 447 (2014) 158–164.
- [17] R. Fotedar, M. Bendjennat, A. Fotedar, Role of p21WAF1 in the cellular response to UV, Cell Cycle 3 (2004) 134–137.
- [18] X.C. Fan, J.A. Steitz, Overexpression of HuR, a nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling protein, increases the in vivo stability of ARE-containing mRNAs, EMBO J 17 (1998) 3448–3460.
- [19] M.W. Hentze, L.C. Kuhn, Molecular control of vertebrate iron metabolism: mRNA-based regulatory circuits operated by iron, nitric oxide, and oxidative stress, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93 (1996) 8175–8182.