Combined association of walking pace and grip strength with incident type 2 diabetes

Jirapitcha Boonpor^{1,2} | Solange Parra-Soto^{1,3} | Fanny Petermann-Rocha^{1,4} | Frederick K Ho³ | Carlos Celis-Morales^{1,5} | Stuart R. Gray¹

¹Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

²Faculty of Public Health, Chalermphrakiat Sakon Nakhon Province Campus, Kasetsart University, Sakon Nakhon, Thailand

³Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

Revised: 4 May 2022

⁴Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Diego Portales, Santiago, Chile

⁵Human Performance Lab, Education, Physical Activity and Health Research Unit, University Católica del Maule, Talca, Chile

Correspondence

Stuart R. Gray, BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8TA, UK. Email: stuart.gray@glasgow.ac.uk

Funding information

UK Biobank was established by the Wellcome Trust; Medical Research Council; Department of Health, Scottish Government; and the Northwest Regional Development Agency. It has also received funding from the Welsh Assembly Government and the British Heart Foundation. J.B. receives financial support from the Royal Thai Government Scholarship for her PhD. S.P-S and F.P-R. receive financial support from the Chilean Government PhD scholarship program for their PhD The current study aims to investigate the combined association of walking pace and grip strength with incident type 2 diabetes (T2D). A total of 205738 participants (mean age 56.6 ± 8.1 years, 115139 [56.0%] women) without diagnosed or unknown diabetes at baseline from the UK Biobank study were included in this prospective study. Walking pace was self-reported as slow, average, or brisk. Grip strength was measured using a dynamometer and classified as weak, average, and strong. The combined association of walking pace and grip strength with incident T2D was investigated using Cox-proportional hazards models with a 2year landmark analysis. The additive interaction was conducted by estimating relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI). After the median follow-up period of 5.4 years (interquartile range: 4.8-6.5), 5082 (2.5%) participants were diagnosed with T2D. Compared to brisk-strong individuals (reference group), people who were slow-weak had a higher risk of T2D (hazard ratio: 1.64 [95% CI, 1.42-1.89]) after adjusting for all covariates. There were dose-response gradients across both walking pace and grip strength variables. There was a modest amount of negative additive interaction (RERI; -0.06 [95% CI, -0.16; -0.01]. To conclude, slower pace and weaker grip strength were associated with a higher risk of developing T2D, independent of sociodemographics, lifestyle, and adiposity. Combining walking pace and grip strength might be a practical approach to screening people who are at increased risk of developing T2D.

KEYWORDS

gait, grip strength, relative excess risk due to interaction, type 2 diabetes mellitus, walking pace

Frederick K Ho, Carlos Celis-Morales and Stuart R. Gray contributed equally and are joint senior authors.

Section III: Health, Disease, & Physical Activity

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science In Sports published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

1 | INTRODUCTION

A wealth of evidence demonstrates that markers of physical capability such as walking pace and grip strength are associated with health outcomes. For example, walking pace and grip strength have both been associated with allcause mortality, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and cancer incidence and mortality.^{1–13} Emerging evidence has also shown that walking pace and grip strength are both associated with a higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes (T2D). For instance, a slower usual walking pace is associated with a higher risk of T2D incidence.¹⁴ Similarly, several studies have demonstrated that high muscular strength is associated with a lower risk of T2D incidence,^{15–20} although some have reported the contrary.4,21,22 These inconsistent results have, however, recently been clarified in a meta-analysis where it was demonstrated that each standard deviation (SD) higher muscular strength was associated with a 13% lower risk of T2D when controlling for adiposity, and a 24% lower risk when not controlling for adiposity.²³

From a physiological point of view, walking pace and grip strength reflect different underlying physiological processes, grip strength is a simple contraction measuring strength whereas walking pace integrates strength with other processes such as balance and coordination, and so it is possible that the combination of both will result in a stronger association with health outcomes than individually. Indeed, previously we have demonstrated the additive effects of walking pace and grip strength for CVD risk prediction²⁴ and sarcopenia.¹³ The combined associations of walking pace and grip strength with the risk of developing T2D has, to the best of our knowledge, not yet been investigated. The aim of the current study, therefore, is to investigate the combined association of walking pace and grip strength with incident T2D.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Data source

The UK Biobank study recruited approximately 502000 participants between 2006 and 2010 (5.5% response rate, men and women were aged 37–73 years) from the general population.^{25,26} Participants attended 1 of 22 assessment centers across England, Wales, and Scotland.^{26,27} Participants completed electronic consent, touch screen questionnaires, and physical measurements at the assessment centers, including grip strength and anthropometric measurements. Biological samples, including blood, urine, and saliva, were also collected as described elsewhere.^{26,27} This present study included 205738 participants. The

exclusions were no data available from the primary care records (n = 273991), participants who had diabetes (n = 12967) or unknown diabetes (n = 1589) at baseline, and missing exposure or covariates (n = 8173).

2.2 | Outcome

Incident T2D was derived from linkage to primary care data in the UK Biobank. Records were extracted for 45% of the UK Biobank cohort (228 467 participants). The end of coverage (extract date) was May 2017 for Scotland, September 2017 for Wales, and August 2017 for England. Detailed linkage procedures are available at http://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/showcase/docs/primary_care_data.pdf. We defined incident T2D as primary care diagnosed with ICD-10 (International classification of diseases, 10th revision) code E11. READ codes used in the primary care data were converted into ICD-10 codes using UK Biobank's look-up table.

2.3 | Exposures

Participants self-reported their usual walking pace on a touch-screen questionnaire at the baseline assessment visit. The question asked was "How would you describe your usual walking pace?" and they could select one of the three following options: brisk (>4 miles/h), average (3-4 miles/h), and slow walking pace (<3 miles/h), as described elsewhere.^{24,28} Grip strength was measured using a Jamar J00105 hydraulic hand dynamometer. Isometric grip force was assessed from single 3-s maximal grip efforts of the right and left sides with participants seated upright with their elbow by their side flexed at 90° so that their forearm was facing forward and resting on an armrest.²⁹ In this study, absolute grip strength values were sex-specific values. It, then, was categorized into tertiles, which were graded as strong, average, and weak. Walking pace and grip strength categories were combined as briskstrong, brisk-average, brisk-weak, average-strong, averageaverage, average-weak, slow-strong, slow-average, and slow-weak. Participants who were brisk walkers with strong grip strength were used as a reference group.

2.4 | Covariates

The covariates included age, fruit and vegetable intake, red meat intake, processed meat intake, body mass index (BMI), as well as categorical variables sex, ethnicity, deprivation index, smoking status, alcohol intake, total sedentary time, sleep duration, and multimorbidity. WILEY

Age was calculated from birth and baseline assessment dates; sex was self-reported; ethnicity was selfreported and categorized as white, South Asian, Black, Chinese, and mixed. The deprivation index, an areabased measure of socioeconomic status, was derived from the postal code of residence using the Townsend deprivation score.³⁰ Fruit and vegetable, red meat, and processed meat intakes were recorded using a touch screen questionnaire asking about the reported frequency of consumption. Smoking status was categorized into never, former, and current. Alcohol intake was self-reported and categorized as daily or almost daily, 3–4 times a week, once or twice a week, 1–3 times a month, special occasions only, and never. Total sedentary time was self-reported and derived by combined TV viewing, leisure PC screen time, and time spent driving hours per day during leisure time. Participants were asked: "In a typical day, how many hours do you spend watching TV?"; "In a typical day, how many hours do you spend using the computer? (Do not include using a computer at work)"; and "In a typical day, how many hours do you spend driving?" Sedentary time was categorized as low (0-4 h), middle (5-6 h), and high (7-20 h). Sleep duration was self-reported and classified as short sleep (<7 h/day), normal sleep (7-9 h/day), and long sleep (>9 h/day).³¹ BMI was calculated from weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m). The World Health Organization's criteria were used to classify BMI into categories of underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight $(18.5-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, overweight $(25-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, and obese $(\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2)$. Multimorbidity was derived from participants who self-reported chronic illnesses at baseline that included 43 medically diagnosed diseases. This covariate was categorized as a binary variable that as having no, or have 1, or more chronic illnesses. Additional details about these measurements can be found in the UK Biobank online protocol.³²

2.5 | Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean with SD and categorical variables are expressed as frequency and percentage. Cox-proportional hazard models were used to investigate the associations of walking pace, grip strength, and the combination of walking pace and grip strength with incident T2D with follow-up as the timeline variable. The results were reported as hazard ratios (HR) together with 95% confidence intervals (CI). The analyses were conducted within a 2-year landmark period, which excludes any incident T2D occurring in the first 2 years of the follow-up period and excluded all participants with prevalent diabetes (type 1 or type 2) or undiagnosed diabetes (HbA1c \geq 48 mmol/mol), as well as those with missing data on walking pace, grip strength, and covariates.

The associations between walking pace, grip strength, and the combination of walking pace and grip strength and T2D incidence were adjusted for confounders with three models that included an increasing number of covariates. Model 1 (minimally adjusted model) was adjusted for age, sex, deprivation index, and ethnicity. Model 2 (lifestyle model) was adjusted for all variables in model 1 plus, fruit and vegetable intake, red meat intake, processed meat intake, smoking status, alcohol intake, total sedentary time, sleep time, and multimorbidity. Model 3 (adiposity model) was adjusted for all variables in model 2 plus BMI.

The additive interaction was conducted to test the interaction between walking pace and grip strength with incident T2D. The analyses were adjusted for confounders with three models as mentioned above. Relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI) was estimated to measure the additivity of the associations.³³ The Kaplan–Meir survival estimate was also calculated.

The proportional hazard assumption was tested by Schoenfeld residuals. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software STATA 17 (StataCorp LP) and R v4.0.2. *p*-values <0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

2.6 Ethics statement

The UK Biobank study was approved by the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (Ref 11/NW/0382 on June 17, 2011) and all participants provided written informed consent to participate in the UK Biobank study. The study protocol is available online (http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank resource under application number 7155.

3 | RESULTS

Of the 502458 participants, 205738 participants who had full data available for incident T2D, walking pace, grip strength, and covariates were included in this study (Figure S1). The median follow-up period was 5.4 years (interquartile range: 4.8–6.5) after excluding the first 2 years. Over the follow-up period, 5082 (2.5%) participants were diagnosed with T2D (2159 women and 2923 men). The person-year incidence of T2D was 4.4 cases per 1000 person-years, as shown in Table S1. Schoenfeld residuals test suggested that the proportional hazard assumption was not violented (p-values = 0.17–0.27).

Table 1 presents the general characteristics of the participants by the combined walking pace and grip strength. Compared to other categories, individuals who were briskstrong were younger and were more likely to have lower deprivation. They were more likely to be non-smokers, have more frequent alcohol consumption, lower red and processed meats intake, and lower sedentary time spent and shorter sleep duration. They were also more likely to have a strong hand-grip strength than participants in other categories. Most individuals who were brisk-strong had a BMI between normal weight and overweight categories. Cohort characteristics by sex are shown in Tables S2 and S3.

Table 2 shows the association between walking pace and incident T2D. Compared to a brisk pace, individuals who reported average and slow pace had 33% (HR; 1.33 [95% CI, 1.24-1.43]) and 37% (HR; 1.37 [95% CI, 1.24-1.51]) higher risks of T2D, respectively. The magnitude and direction of T2D risk were similar in women and men (Table 2). Table 3 shows the association between grip strength and T2D incidence. The risk of T2D was 10% (HR; 1.10 [95% CI, 1.02-1.19]) and 22% (HR; 1.22 [95% CI, 1.13-1.31]) higher for those who had average and weak grip strength compared to strong grip strength, respectively. The magnitude and direction of T2D risk were similar in women and men (Table 3). The associations of walking pace and grip strength with T2D incidence for models 1 and 2 are shown in Tables S4 and S5, respectively.

The combined associations between walking pace and grip strength and T2D incidence are shown in Table 4. After adjusting for all covariates (maximally adjusted model), the risk of T2D was higher for most categories compared to those classified as brisk-strong. There was a modest, but negative, additive interaction (RERI; -0.06 [95% CI, -0.11, -0.01]). Brisk-average and brisk-weak had a 17% (HR; 1.17 [95% CI, 1.01-1.35]) and 34% (HR; 1.34 [95% CI, 1.16-1.55]) higher risk of T2D, respectively than those who were brisk-strong. A similar pattern of the risk was also seen in those who were average-strong (HR; 1.43 [95% CI, 1.27-1.62]), averageaverage (HR; 1.51 [95% CI, 1.34-1.71]), and averageweak (HR; 1.65 [95% CI, 1.47-1.86]). Individuals who were slow-strong, slow-average, and slow-weak had a 49% (HR; 1.49 [95% CI, 1.22–1.82]), 58% (HR; 1.58 [95% CI, 1.32-1.89]), and 64% (HR; 1.64 [95% CI, 1.42-1.89]) higher risk of T2D, respectively. The associations were similar in women and men (Table 4). All models' associations of walking pace and grip strength with T2D incidence are shown in Table S6. The Kaplan–Meir survival estimates also showed that the slow walking pace and weak grip strength category had a lower probability of

'ILEY 1359

remaining free of T2D compared to the brisk-strong category (Figure 1).

4 | DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study is that the combination of slow walking pace and weak grip strength were associated with a higher risk of T2D, independently of sociodemographics, lifestyles, and adiposity, than either walking pace or grip strength alone. Additive interaction analysis showed only a slight overlap for the association between grip strength and walking pace, reassuring the importance of considering both markers. These findings have important public health relevance as both walking pace and grip strength are easy to measure and are inexpensive,²⁴ and might be a useful tool to screen people at high risk of developing T2D.

Our findings agree with and extend the findings of previous studies on this topic. In the latest prospective cohort study investigating walking pace and T2D risk, conducted in Japan with a 3-year follow-up, data indicated that a fast walking pace was associated with a lower risk of T2D after adjusting for sociodemographic and lifestyle factors including BMI and systolic blood pressure.³⁴ Therefore, our findings support this negative association but with a longer follow-up time. On top of this, the current work confirmed the negative association between relative grip strength and incident T2D, seen previously,³⁵ in the largest prospective cohort study so far.

A prospective cohort study, with 1085 older Japanese aged 65-89 years who were followed up for 10 years, investigated the combination of walking pace, grip strength, and standing balance to predict CVD, cancer, and allcause mortality. They indicated that adding grip strength to walking pace increased the ability to predict all-cause mortality.¹ Similarly, in our previous study, a large prospective cohort study in the UK Biobank with 406834 participants with a follow-up of 8.87 years, we found that CVD risk prediction was highest when walking pace was combined with grip strength.²⁴ Although these previous studies have focused on CVD, it suggests that the combination of walking pace and grip strength are more strongly associated with health outcomes than in isolation. To the best of our knowledge, the combined association of walking pace and grip strength with the risk of developing T2D has not previously been investigated. Therefore, our findings indicated that the combination of walking pace and grip strength are associated more strongly (64% for slowweak) than either alone (37% for waking pace and 22% for grip strength), with the risk of developing T2D provides novel and important knowledge in this area.

I ABLE I CUIDIU CIIAI ACUEIISI	ися от рагистран	rs nà me commi	ieu waikilig pac	e allu gitp su ell	gui					
		Brisk			Average			Slow		
Characteristics	Overall	Strong	Average	Weak	Strong	Average	Weak	Strong	Average	Weak
Women, n (%)	115139(56.0)	18135(55.1)	14936(54.5)	13 027 (55.8)	17399 (54.5)	19215(55.6)	23 963 (58.2)	1286 (55.0)	1932(56.5)	5246(61.0)
Men, n (%)	90 599 (44.0)	14803(44.9)	12474 (45.5)	10 323 (44.2)	14537 (45.5)	15338(44.4)	17 223 (41.8)	1054(45.0)	1486(43.5)	3361(39.1)
Age, years (mean, SD)	56.4 ± 8.1	52.6 ± 7.7	55.9 ± 7.8	58.0 ± 7.6	53.8 ± 8.0	57.1 ± 7.8	59.2 ± 7.4	55.4±7.9	58.4 ± 7.5	59.5 ± 7.2
Deprivation index tertiles, n (%)										
Lower deprivation	70283 (34.2)	12719 (38.6)	$10\ 043\ (36.6)$	7960 (34.1)	11 399 (35.7)	12086(35.0)	12 780 (31.0)	625 (26.7)	882 (25.8)	1789(20.8)
Middle deprivation	70 501 (34.3)	11437 (34.7)	9623 (35.1)	8081 (34.6)	11127 (34.8)	11937(34.6)	14050(34.1)	724 (30.9)	1035(30.3)	2487 (28.9)
Higher deprivation	64954(31.6)	8782 (26.7)	7744 (28.3)	7309 (31.3)	9410 (29.5)	10 530 (30.5)	14356(34.9)	991 (42.4)	1501(43.9)	4331 (50.3)
Ethnicity, n (%)										
White	197740(96.1)	32179 (97.7)	26761 (97.6)	22 572 (96.7)	30 765 (96.3)	33 268 (96.3)	38 922 (94.5)	2187 (93.5)	3202 (93.7)	7884 (91.6)
South Asian	2309(1.1)	332(1.0)	245(0.9)	239 (1.0)	357(1.1)	350(1.0)	499(1.2)	42(1.8)	65(1.9)	180(2.1)
Mixed	3266(1.6)	143(0.4)	212(0.8)	347 (1.5)	252(0.8)	507 (1.5)	1280(3.1)	41(1.8)	81 (2.4)	403 (4.7)
Black	1930~(0.9)	256(0.8)	146(0.5)	146(0.6)	488(1.5)	334(1.0)	339(0.8)	60 (2.6)	57 (1.7)	104(1.2)
Chinese	493 (0.2)	28 (0.1)	46(0.2)	46 (0.2)	74 (0.2)	94(0.3)	146(0.4)	10(0.4)	13 (0.4)	36 (0.4)
Lifestyles										
Smoking status, n (%)										
Never	114642(55.7)	19609(59.5)	15963 (58.2)	13 541 (58.0)	17467 (54.7)	18 782 (54.4)	22791 (55.3)	1054(45.0)	1521 (44.5)	3914(45.5)
Previous	69 985 (34)	10 345 (31.4)	9169 (33.5)	7919 (33.9)	$10\ 869\ (34.0)$	12110 (35.1)	14203(34.5)	873 (37.3)	1312(38.4)	3185 (37.0)
Current	21 111 (10.3)	2984(9.1)	2278 (8.3)	1890(8.1)	3600(11.3)	3661~(10.6)	4192(10.2)	413(17.7)	585 (17.1)	1508 (17.5)
Alcohol intake, n (%)										
Daily or almost daily	41 510 (20.2)	7137 (21.7)	6290 (23.0)	5188 (22.2)	6332(19.8)	6828(19.8)	7579 (18.4)	389(16.6)	549~(16.1)	1218(14.2)
3–4 times a week	49019 (23.8)	9351 (28.4)	7284 (26.6)	5863 (25.1)	7639 (23.9)	7944 (23.0)	8774 (21.3)	408(17.4)	583 (17.1)	1173 (13.6)
Once or twice a week	54708 (26.6)	8883 (27.0)	7320 (26.7)	5930 (25.4)	8987(28.1)	9433 (27.3)	$10\ 850\ (26.3)$	570 (24.4)	820 (24.0)	1915(22.3)
1–3 times a month	23 207 (11.3)	3573(10.9)	2797 (10.2)	2454(10.5)	3921 (12.3)	4073 (11.8)	4701(11.4)	270 (11.5)	429 (12.6)	989 (11.5)
Special occasions only	22236~(10.8)	2546 (7.7)	2248(8.2)	2303 (9.9)	3242(10.2)	3866 (11.2)	5385(13.1)	389(16.6)	568~(16.6)	1689(19.6)
Never	15058 (7.3)	1448(4.4)	1471 (5.4)	1612(6.9)	1815 (5.7)	2409 (7.0)	3897 (9.5)	314(13.4)	469 (13.7)	1623(18.9)
Sleep categories, n (%)										
Normal (7–9 h per day)	152715 (74.2)	25 551 (77.6)	20858 (76.1)	17303 (74.1)	24 280 (76.0)	25916 (75.0)	30 002 (72.9)	1518(64.9)	2185 (63.9)	5102 (59.3)
Short sleep (<7 h per day)	49 673 (24.1)	7159 (21.7)	6314 (23.0)	5796 (24.8)	7270 (22.8)	8125 (23.5)	10 358 (25.2)	717 (30.6)	1047 (30.6)	2887 (33.5)
Long sleep (>9 h per day)	3350 (1.6)	228 (0.7)	238 (0.9)	251 (1.1)	386 (1.2)	512 (1.5)	826 (2.0)	105(4.5)	186 (5.4)	618 (7.2)

1360

WILEY

		Brisk			Average			Slow		
Characteristics	Overall	Strong	Average	Weak	Strong	Average	Weak	Strong	Average	Weak
Total sedentary time, n (%)										
Low (<5 h/day)	94159(45.8)	17398 (52.8)	13965(51.0)	11956(51.2)	13507 (42.3)	14588(42.2)	17666 (42.9)	763 (32.6)	1195(35.0)	3121 (36.3)
Middle (5–6 h/day)	70601 (34.3)	10 270 (31.2)	8970 (32.7)	7641 (32.7)	11420 (35.8)	12633(36.6)	14 836 (36.0)	799 (34.2)	1167(34.1)	2865(33.3)
High (>6 h/day)	40978(19.9)	5270 (16.0)	4475(16.3)	3753~(16.1)	7009 (22.0)	7332 (21.2)	8684(21.1)	778 (33.3)	1056(30.9)	2621(30.5)
Diet and physical activity										
Fruit and vegetable intake, g/day (mean, SD)	327.1 ± 191.6	342.1 ± 192.4	348.6 ± 191.6	348.8 ± 195.3	310.8 ± 184.2	313.7 ± 181.3	320.4 ± 193.3	299.8 ± 196.7	304.0 ± 212.0	304.8 ± 210.1
Red meat intake, portion/ week (mean, SD)	2.1±1.4	2.0 ± 1.4	2.0 ± 1.4	2.0±1.4	2.2±1.4	2.2±1.4	2.1 ± 1.5	2.3±1.6	2.2±1.6	2.2 ± 1.6
Process meat intake, portion/week (mean, SD)	1.8±1.1	1.8 ± 1.0	1.7 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.0	1.9 ± 1.0	1.9±1.1	2.1 ± 1.1	2.0±1.1	2.0±1.1
Grip strength, kg (mean, SD)	30.7 ± 11.0	39.0 ± 10.3	31.2 ± 8.2	23.8±7.6	38.8 ± 10.2	31.0 ± 8.1	22.9±7.8	38.3 ± 10.1	30.6 ± 8.1	20.0 ± 8.3
Adiposity										
Body mass index (BMI), kg/ m² (mean, SD)	27.2 ± 4.6	26.0 ± 3.7	25.7±3.7	25.6±3.7	28.2±4.6	27.8±4.5	27.7±4.5	31.5 ± 6.6	30.8 ± 6.1	30.3 ± 6.2
BMI category, n (%)										
Underweight (<18.5 kg/ m ²)	1043(0.5)	157 (0.5)	195 (0.7)	226 (1.0)	75 (0.2)	98 (0.3)	218 (0.5)	2(0.1)	9 (0.3)	63 (0.7)
Normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg/m²)	68 668 (33.4)	13 881 (42.1)	12449 (45.4)	$10\ 880\ (46.6)$	7870 (24.6)	9530 (27.6)	11 640 (28.3)	311 (13.3)	516(15.1)	1591(18.5)
Overweight (25.0–29.9 kg/ m^2)	89 097 (43.3)	14286 (43.4)	11 632 (42.4)	9495 (40.7)	14455(45.3)	15800 (45.7)	18520 (45.0)	813 (34.7)	1175 (34.4)	2921 (33.9)
Obese ($\geq 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$)	46930 (22.8)	4614(14.0)	3134(11.4)	2749(11.8)	9536 (29.9)	9125(26.4)	10 808 (26.2)	1214(51.9)	1718(50.3)	4032 (46.9)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Note: Data are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and as frequency and % for categorical variables.

II FY

TABLE 2 Association between walking pace and incident type 2 diabetes

Walking pace category	Event	Average pace HR (95% CI)	Slow pace HR (95% CI)
Overall	5082	1.33 (1.24; 1.43)*	$1.37 \left(1.24; 1.51\right)^{*}$
Women	2159	1.34 (1.20; 1.51)*	$1.39\left(1.19; 1.62 ight)^{*}$
Men	2923	$1.32(1.21; 1.45)^{*}$	1.38 (1.21; 1.58)*

Note: Data are presented as hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI. Brisk walking pace was the reference group (HR = 1.00). The fully adjusted model was adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, deprivation index, smoking, fruit and vegetable intake, red meat intake, processed meat intake, alcohol intake, total sedentary time and sleep time, multimorbidity, and body mass index. All analyses were conducted using 2-year landmark analyses and excluding participants with prevalent diabetes or unknown diabetes at baseline. **p*-value < 0.0001.

Grip strength tertiles	Event	Average HR (95% CI)	Weak
Overall	5082	1.10 (1.02; 1.19)*	1.22 (1.13; 1.31)**
Women	2159	1.15 (1.02; 1.29)*	1.17 (1.05; 1.31)*
Men	2923	1.07 (0.97; 1.18)	1.25 (1.14; 1.38)**

Note: Data are presented as hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI. Grip strength was sex-specific values. Strong grip strength was the reference group (HR = 1.00). The fully adjusted model was adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, deprivation index, smoking, fruit and vegetable intake, red meat intake, processed meat intake, alcohol intake, total sedentary time and sleep time, multimorbidity, and body mass index. All analyses were conducted using 2-year landmark analyses and excluding participants with prevalent diabetes or unknown diabetes.

**p*-value < 0.05.

**p-value < 0.0001.

To the best of our knowledge, our study was the first study that provided the additive interaction of the joint effect of two exposures between walking pace and grip strength on incident T2D. The results showed that the joint association was slightly lower (-6%) than the sum of their independent associations.

The strengths of the present study are that a large number of participants provided a sufficient sample size to undertake the analysis. We were also able to show that the associations were independent of various lifestyle factors and adiposity. Walking pace and grip strength are low cost, easy to administer, and, therefore, relatively simple to implement into clinical practice. Even though our study derived 45% of incident T2D linked to primary care data in the UK Biobank, these data have external validity for studying the prevalence

		Overall			Women			Men		
	Walking	Brisk	Average	Slow	Brisk	Average	Slow	Brisk	Average	Slow
	pace	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
Grip	Strong	1.00 (Ref.)	1.43 (1.27; 1.62)	1.49(1.22; 1.82)	1.00 (Ref.)	1.60 (1.30; 1.97)	1.38(1.00;1.89)	1.00 (Ref.)	1.34(1.15; 1.57)	1.70(1.32;2.19)
strength	Average	1.17(1.01;1.35)	$1.51\ (1.34; 1.71)$	1.58(1.32; 1.89)	$1.28\ (1.00;\ 1.63)$	1.66(1.36; 2.04)	1.79(1.36; 2.35)	1.12(0.93; 1.34)	1.43(1.23; 1.67)	1.47(1.16;1.87)
	Weak	1.34(1.16;1.55)	1.65(1.47;1.86)	1.64(1.42; 1.89)	1.41 (1.11; 1.79)	1.65 (1.35; 2.02)	1.75(1.39; 2.19)	1.31(1.10; 1.57)	1.68(1.44; 1.94)	1.59(1.32;1.92)
<i>Note:</i> Data are p: and vegetable in	resented as HI take, red meat	Rs and their 95% CI. I	3risk walkers with str eat intake, alcohol in	ong grip strength weı take, total sedentary t	e used as the referen ime, sleep time, mult	ce group (HR = 1.00) imorbidity, and body	. The model was adj mass index. All ana	usted for age, sex, eth lyses were conducted	nnicity, deprivation i l using 2-year landm	ıdex, smoking, fruit ark analyses and

excluding participants with prevalent diabetes or unknown diabetes at baseline

Combined association of walking pace and grip strength with type 2 diabetes

E 4

TABL



FIGURE 1 Kaplan–Meir survival estimates between combined walking pace and grip strength and incident type 2 diabetes. Data presented as the probability of survival during the follow-up by the combined walking pace and grip strength. The analysis was conducted using 2-year landmark analyses and excluding participants with prevalent diabetes or unknown diabetes at baseline

and incidence of T2D.³⁶ However, the present study has several limitations. Walking pace was self-reported, so there might be bias in its measurement even though it also suggests the use of self-report measures in the clinical setting. However, existing evidence shows that self-reported walking speed is a good proxy of objectively measured walking or gait speed.³⁷ The UK Biobank is not representative of the general population of the United Kingdom, including sociodemographic, physical, lifestyle, and health-related characteristics of the general population. Although absolute risk would not be applicable to the general population, exposuredisease risk estimates should be generalizable.^{29,38} The observational nature of the study does not allow us to infer causality. Reverse causation may still be possible even though a 2-year landmark analysis was conducted and individuals with diabetes at baseline and undiagnosed diabetes (HbA1c \geq 48 mmol/mol) were excluded. However, we cannot exclude all residual confounding from our study.

To conclude, this is the first study to report the combined association of walking pace and grip strength and T2D incidence. The findings provide evidence that the combination of walking pace and grip strength are associated with T2D incidence, more than either alone, and this might be a practical approach to screening people who are at high risk of developing diabetes.

5 | PERSPECTIVES

Physical activity is a crucial component of care in people with T2D,³⁹ and markers of physical function are often associated with the risk of T2D. For example, low habitual walking pace and grip strength are associated with an increased risk of developing T2D. However, whether these 2 markers have an additive effect on the associations seen when employed in isolation. The current study found that both walking pace and grip strength are associated with incident T2D, but when added to the model in combination, the strength of the association is increased. This might be a practical approach to screening people who are at high risk of developing T2D.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.B., F.K.H., C.C-M., and S.R.G. contributed to the study conception and design, advised on all statistical aspects, and interpreted the data. J.B., F.K.H., C.C-M., and S.R.G. performed the statistical analyses. J.B., F.K.H., C.C-M., and S.R.G. drafted the manuscript. J.B., S.P-S., F.P-R., F.K.H., C.C-M., and S.R.G. reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version to be published. J.B., F.K.H., C.C-M., and S.R.G. are the guarantors of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to UK Biobank participants. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank resource under application number 7155.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from UK Biobank but restrictions apply to their availability. These data were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. The data are, however, available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of UK Biobank. Data can be requested from the UK Biobank (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/).

ORCID

Jirapitcha Boonpor D https://orcid. org/0000-0002-9548-5690 Solange Parra-Soto D https://orcid. org/0000-0002-8443-7327 Fanny Petermann-Rocha D https://orcid. org/0000-0002-4384-4962

₩ILEY

Frederick K Ho https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7190-9025 *Carlos Celis-Morales* https://orcid. org/0000-0003-2612-3917

Stuart R. Gray 🗅 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8969-9636

REFERENCES

- 1. Nofuji Y, Shinkai S, Taniguchi Y, et al. Associations of walking speed, grip strength, and standing balance with Total and cause-specific Mortality in a general population of Japanese elders. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2016;17(2):184.e181-184.e187.
- 2. Cooper R, Kuh D, Hardy R, Mortality RG. Objectively measured physical capability levels and mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c4467.
- 3. Strand BH, Cooper R, Bergland A, et al. The association of grip strength from midlife onwards with all-cause and cause-specific mortality over 17 years of follow-up in the Tromsø study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2016;70(12):1214-1221.
- Leong DP, Teo KK, Rangarajan S, et al. Prognostic value of grip strength: findings from the prospective urban rural epidemiology (PURE) study. *Lancet*. 2015;386(9990):266-273.
- Ortega FB, Silventoinen K, Tynelius P, Rasmussen F. Muscular strength in male adolescents and premature death: cohort study of one million participants. *BMJ*. 2012;345:e7279.
- 6. Newman AB, Kupelian V, Visser M, et al. Strength, but not muscle mass, is associated with mortality in the health, aging and body composition study cohort. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2006;61(1):72-77.
- Celis-Morales CA, Lyall DM, Anderson J, et al. The association between physical activity and risk of mortality is modulated by grip strength and cardiorespiratory fitness: evidence from 498 135 UK-biobank participants. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38(2):116-122.
- Gale CR, Martyn CN, Cooper C, Sayer AA. Grip strength, body composition, and mortality. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2007;36(1):228-235.
- 9. Rolland Y, Lauwers-Cances V, Cesari M, Vellas B, Pahor M, Grandjean H. Physical performance measures as predictors of mortality in a cohort of community-dwelling older French women. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2006;21(2):113-122.
- 10. Cooper R, Strand BH, Hardy R, Patel KV, Kuh D. Physical capability in mid-life and survival over 13 years of follow-up: British birth cohort study. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g2219.
- 11. Liu B, Hu X, Zhang Q, et al. Usual walking speed and all-cause mortality risk in older people: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Gait Posture*. 2016;44:172-177.
- 12. Elbaz A, Sabia S, Brunner E, et al. Association of walking speed in late midlife with mortality: results from the Whitehall II cohort study. *Age (Dordr)*. 2013;35(3):943-952.
- 13. Petermann-Rocha F, Ho FK, Welsh P, et al. Physical capability markers used to define sarcopenia and their association with cardiovascular and respiratory outcomes and all-cause mortality: a prospective study from UKbiobank. *Maturitas*. 2020;138:69-75.
- 14. Hu FB, Sigal RJ, Rich-Edwards JW, et al. Walking compared with vigorous physical activity and risk of type 2 diabetes in women A prospective study. *JAMA*. 1999;282(15):1433-1439.
- 15. Wander PL, Boyko EJ, Leonetti DL, McNeely MJ, Kahn SE, Fujimoto WY. Greater hand-grip strength predicts a lower risk

of developing type 2 diabetes over 10 years in leaner Japanese Americans. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2011;92(2):261-264.

- Crump C, Sundquist J, Winkleby MA, Sieh W, Sundquist K. Physical fitness among Swedish military conscripts and longterm risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164(9):577-584.
- 17. Karvonen-Gutierrez CA, Peng Q, Peterson M, Duchowny K, Nan B, Harlow S. Low grip strength predicts incident diabetes among mid-life women: the Michigan study of Women's health across the nation. *Age Ageing*. 2018;47(5):685-691.
- Momma H, Sawada SS, Kato K, et al. Physical fitness tests and type 2 diabetes among Japanese: a longitudinal study from the Niigata wellness study. *J Epidemiol.* 2019;29(4):139-146.
- Wang Y, Lee D-c, Brellenthin AG, et al. Association of muscular strength and incidence of type 2 diabetes. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2019;94(4):643-651.
- Li JJ, Wittert GA, Vincent A, et al. Muscle grip strength predicts incident type 2 diabetes: population-based cohort study. *Metabolism.* 2016;65(6):883-892.
- 21. Marques-Vidal P, Vollenweider P, Waeber G, Jornayvaz FR. Grip strength is not associated with incident type 2 diabetes mellitus in healthy adults: the CoLaus study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2017;132:144-148.
- 22. Larsen BA, Wassel CL, Kritchevsky SB, et al. Association of muscle mass, area, and strength with incident diabetes in older adults: the health ABC study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;101(4):1847-1855.
- 23. Tarp J, Stole AP, Blond K, Grontved A. Cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia*. 2019;62(7):1129-1142.
- 24. Welsh CE, Celis-Morales CA, Ho FK, et al. Grip strength and walking pace and cardiovascular disease risk prediction in 406,834 UKbiobank participants. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(5):879-888.
- 25. Collins R. What makes UKbiobank special? *Lancet*. 2012;379(9822):1173-1174.
- Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UKbiobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med.* 2015;12(3):e1001779.
- 27. Palmer LJ. UKbiobank: bank on it. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9578):1980-1982.
- Celis-Morales CA, Gray S, Petermann F, et al. Walking pace is associated with lower risk of all-cause and cause-specific Mortality. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019;51(3):472-480.
- 29. Celis-Morales CA, Petermann F, Hui L, et al. Associations between diabetes and both cardiovascular disease and allcause Mortality are modified by grip strength: evidence from UKbiobank, a prospective population-based cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40(12):1710-1718.
- Townsend NP, Phillimore P, Beattie A. Health and Deprivation: Inequality and the North. Croom Helm Ltd; 1988.
- 31. Cappuccio FP, D'Elia L, Strazzullo P, Miller MA. Sleep duration and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Sleep.* 2010;33(5):585-592.
- 32. UK Biobank. UK Biobank: Protocol for a Large-Scale Prospective Epidemiological Resource; 2007. Accessed 1 July, 2020. http:// www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/UK-Biobank-Protocol.pdf
- 33. Li R, Chambless L. Test for additive interaction in proportional hazards models. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2007;17(3):227-236.

1364

- 34. Iwasaki M, Kudo A, Asahi K, et al. Fast walking is a preventive factor against new-onset diabetes mellitus in a large cohort from a Japanese general population. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):716.
- 35. Boonpor J, Parra-Soto S, Petermann-Rocha F, et al. Associations between grip strength and incident type 2 diabetes: findings from the UKbiobank prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care*. 2021;9(1):e001865.
- Eastwood SV, Mathur R, Atkinson M, et al. Algorithms for the capture and adjudication of prevalent and incident diabetes in UKbiobank. *PLOS One*. 2016;11(9):e0162388.
- Syddall HE, Westbury LD, Cooper C, Sayer AA. Self-reported walking speed: A useful marker of physical performance among community-dwelling older people? *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2015;16(4):323-328.
- Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, et al. Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of UKbiobank participants with those of the general population. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2017;186(9):1026-1034.

 Pedersen BK, Saltin B. Exercise as medicine - evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in 26 different chronic diseases. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2015;25(Suppl 3):1-72.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Boonpor J, Parra-Soto S, Petermann-Rocha F, Ho FK, Celis-Morales C, Gray SR. Combined association of walking pace and grip strength with incident type 2 diabetes. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2022;32:1356-1365. doi: 10.1111/sms.14197