RESEARCH



Open Access

Cysteamine (Lynovex[®]), a novel mucoactive antimicrobial & antibiofilm agent for the treatment of cystic fibrosis

Cedric Charrier, Catherine Rodger, Jennifer Robertson, Aleksandra Kowalczuk, Nicola Shand, Douglas Fraser-Pitt, Derry Mercer and Deborah O'Neil^{*}

Abstract

Background: There remains a critical need for more effective, safe, long-term treatments for cystic fibrosis (CF). Any successful therapeutic strategy designed to combat the respiratory pathology of this condition must address the altered lung physiology and recurrent, complex, polymicrobial infections and biofilms that affect the CF pulmonary tract. Cysteamine is a potential solution to these unmet medical needs and is described here for the first time as (Lynovex[®]) a single therapy with the potential to deliver mucoactive, antibiofilm and antibacterial properties; both in oral and inhaled delivery modes. Cysteamine is already established in clinical practice for an unrelated orphan condition, cystinosis, and is therefore being repurposed (in oral form) for cystic fibrosis from a platform of over twenty years of safety data and clinical experience.

Methods: The antibacterial and antibiofilm attributes of cysteamine were determined against type strain and clinical isolates of CF relevant pathogens using CLSI standard and adapted microbiological methods and a BioFlux microfluidic system. Assays were performed in standard nutrient media conditions, minimal media, to mimic the low metabolic activity of microbes/persister cells in the CF respiratory tract and in artificial sputum medium. *In vivo* antibacterial activity was determined in acute murine lung infection/cysteamine nebulisation models. The mucolytic potential of cysteamine was assessed against DNA and mucin *in vitro* by semi-quantitative macro-rheology. In all cases, the 'gold standard' therapeutic agents were employed as control/comparator compounds against which the efficacy of cysteamine was compared.

Results: Cysteamine demonstrated at least comparable mucolytic activity to currently available mucoactive agents. Cysteamine was rapidly bactericidal against both metabolically active and persister cells of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and also emerging CF pathogens; its activity was not sensitive to high ionic concentrations characteristic of the CF lung. Cysteamine prevented the formation of, and disrupted established *P. aeruginosa* biofilms. Cysteamine was synergistic with conventional CF antibiotics; reversing antibiotic resistance/insensitivity in CF bacterial pathogens.

Conclusions: The novel mucolytic-antimicrobial activity of cysteamine (Lynovex[®]) provides potential for a much needed new therapeutic strategy in cystic fibrosis. The data we present here provides a platform for cysteamine's continued investigation as a novel treatment for this poorly served orphan disease.

Keywords: Cystic fibrosis, Mucolytic, Antibacterial, Antimicrobial, Biofilm, Antibiofilm, Synergy

* Correspondence: deborah@novabiotics.co.uk

NovaBiotics Ltd, Cruickshank Building, Craibstone, Aberdeen AB21 9TR, UK



^{© 2014} Charrier et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Antibiotics, whether administered systemically or by inhalation, remain a mainstay of the cystic fibrosis therapy regimen [1]. Control and at least a degree of resolution of the respiratory infections and bacterial colonisation associated with cystic fibrosis is an essential component of disease management. The altered physiology of the cystic fibrosis respiratory tract makes this almost impossible to achieve. The dehydrated mucus and sputum create an ideal environment for microbial infection and colonisation and a largely impenetrable barrier for access of antibiotics to the bacterial pathogens they are targeting [2].

The co-administration of mucolytic or osmotic agents (e.g. DNAase/pulmozyme^{*}, N-acetylcysteine/Mucomyst^{*} and mannitol/Bronchitol^{*} [3]) to reduce mucus viscosity and elasticity [4] is intended to facilitate increased antibiotic-microbe contact. These therapies can also improve the patient's ability to expectorate. There is insufficient evidence, however, that these adjunct treatments affect overall antibiotic usage [5]. The antibiotic resistance which this sustained antibiotic regimen inevitably leads to continues to pose an ever-increasing clinical problem in the treatment of cystic fibrosis [6,7]. The need to develop more effective strategies for the resolution of mucus build up and the eradication of the respiratory pathogens that infect and colonise the respiratory tract in cystic fibrosis is acute.

The biofilm mode of growth of *P. aeruginosa* and other bacterial pathogens in the cystic fibrosis lung also leads to higher antibiotic tolerance. This is a further, compounding factor in antimicrobial resistance development in cystic fibrosis and the resulting reduction in effectiveness/ life-span of antibiotic treatments [8]. Unresolved infection and acute exacerbations lead to a more rapid deterioration in lung function and increased morbidity and mortality [9]. This cycle can only really be broken by tackling, in parallel, the mucus barrier and eradicating the bacteria it hosts/protects, in both planktonic and biofilm form.

We initially investigated cysteamine as a biofilm and mucus penetration enhancer for improved antibiotic delivery in cystic fibrosis [10,11]. This was on the basis of cysteamine's previously described ability to selectively disrupt disulphide bonds [12]. Cysteamine was indeed an effective mucolytic and biofilm disrupter/preventer, outperforming currently licensed mucolytic/osmotic agents in these functions. Surprisingly, cysteamine was also directly antimicrobial against P. aeruginosa; including mucoid and nonmucoid strains and clinical isolates. Cysteamine was also active against other cystic fibrosis bacterial pathogens. Cysteamine dramatically increased the antimicrobial activity of tobramcyin, ciprofloxacin and colistin; synergising to enhance their potency, increase their spectrum of activity against broader panels of cystic fibrosis relevant bacteria and delivering a marked post-antimicrobial effect.

The intention is to develop cysteamine/Lynovex[®] for application alongside existing antibiotic therapies. As an adjunct treatment, this may extend the utility and lifespan of conventional antibiotics, whilst at the same time potentially reducing dosing levels and frequency. Of major significance, cysteamine/Lynovex[®] could help ameliorate or even prevent antimicrobial resistance development if the data we have obtained thus far translates in clinical practice.

Cysteamine is already approved in other non-related clinical indications such as cystinosis and as such, has an established safety profile and has been in clinical use since 1994 [13]. Our own work goes further to demonstrate at least preliminary *in vivo* tolerability and safety following respiratory tract delivery (nebulisation or intratracheal administration) of cysteamine in murine lung infection models. These *in vivo* studies (acute *P.aeruginosa* lung infection models) provided further compelling initial evidence of the antimicrobial activity of cysteamine even when used as a monotherapy in nebulised form against mucoid isolates of *P. aeruginosa*.

The novel properties we describe here for cysteamine are potentially directly applicable to cystic fibrosis and may provide a much needed solution to the urgent need for new therapeutic strategies for this still poorly served, life limiting condition.

Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Bacteria included in this study are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1 and summarised in Table 1. Strains were grown in Mueller-Hinton (MH) broth or agar plates at 37°C. All chemicals and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (UK), unless otherwise stated. NH57388A is a mucoid strain of *P. aeruginosa* and NH57388B is a non-mucoid strain of *P. aeruginosa* [14].

Antibiofilm activity

The effect of cysteamine and other mucolytic and antibiotic agents on biofilm prevention of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 were visualized using a Bioflux microfluidic system (Fluxion, USA) and transmitted light microscopy (Axiovert 40, Carl Zeiss, UK). Compounds tested included cysteamine and other mucolytic agents (N-acetylcysteine, DNase I, alginate lyase) and tobramycin.

Outlet wells of 48-well microfluidic flow cell plates (Fluxion, USA) were primed with $0.5 \times MH$ broth for 1 min and then inoculated with 200 µl of a mid-late exponential phase culture of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (OD₆₂₅ ~ 0.7) in MH broth for 5 s at 1 Dyn/cm² via the outlet wells. The flow cell plates were then incubated statically at 37°C for 45 min to allow the bacteria to adhere to the glass flow cells. Images were captured from all experimental capillaries (× 40 magnification) at this time point (t = 0 h). The

Table 1 Minimum inhibitory concentrations and MIC ranges of cystic fibrosis respiratory pathogens versus cysteamine

| Bacteria (n = 103) | Median MIC ₁₀₀ (µg/ml) | Range (µg/ml) | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| P. aeruginosa (n = 42) | 250 | 250-500 | |
| P. fluorescens ($n = 2$) | 250-500 | 250-500 | |
| <i>P. putida</i> (n = 1) | 250 | NA | |
| <i>B. cepacia</i> (n = 3) | 250 | 250-500 | |
| <i>B. cenocepacia</i> (n = 3) | 500 | 500-1000 | |
| B. multivorans (n = 3) | 500 | 500 | |
| Ach. xylosidans (n = 7) | 500 | 250-500 | |
| Ach. denitrificans $(n = 1)$ | 500 | NA | |
| Al. faecalis (n = 2) | 250-500 | 250-500 | |
| C. indologenes (n = 2) | 250-500 | | |
| <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n = 3) | 250 | 250-1000 | |
| Pan. apista (n = 1) | 500 | NA | |
| Pan. pnomenusa (n = 1) | 1000 | NA | |
| <i>R. pickettii</i> (n = 3) | 500 | 500-1000 | |
| <i>R. mannitolilytica</i> (n = 1) | 500 | NA | |
| <i>Sph. paucimobilis</i> (n = 2) | 1000 | 1000 | |
| <i>St. maltophilia</i> (n = 7) | 500 | 250-1000 | |
| <i>S. aureus</i> (n = 14) | 250 | 250-500 | |

Data are median MIC₁₀₀ of triplicate samples in experiments repeated 3 times. P = Pseudomonas, B = Burkholderia, Ach = Achromobacter, AI = Alcaligenes, C = Chryseomonas, K = Klebsiella, Pan = Pandoraea, R = Ralstonia, Sph = Sphingomonas, St = Stenotrophomonas, S = Staphylococcus, NA = Not

applicable.

compound of interest was solubilised in MH broth and introduced into the inlet well. Flow was established at 0.5 Dyn/cm² at incubation at 37°C for 16 h was initiated. Two specific adjacent capillaries were selected and photographed every 15 min for the 16 h incubation to create an .avi movie. At t = 16 h, all capillaries were again photographed at × 40 magnification. All images were captured using a QImaging QICAM camera and analysed using QCapture Suite software (QImaging, Canada). All experiments were carried out at least 3 times, and presented images and movies are representative of a single experiment.

To determine whether cysteamine, tobramycin or combinations thereof elicited a post-antimicrobial effect, at the conclusion of biofilm prevention experiments, 10 μ l samples of cells were removed from each outlet well and added to 190 μ l MH broth and incubated in a 96-well microplate for 24 h at 37°C, with no added antimicrobials, and recovery of growth was monitored by taking hourly absorbance readings (625 nm) using a Bio-Tek Synergy microplate reader (BioTek, USA).

The minimum bacterial eradication concentration (MBEC) was determined against biofilms of *P. aeruginosa* ATCC27853 and *P. aeruginosa* DSMZ1299 using the method of O'Neill, et al. [15] with the following

modifications. Isolates were cultured for 24 h at 37°C in 5 ml of MH broth. Cultures were diluted to the 0.5 McFarland Standard in MH broth and 200 µl aliquots were added to each well of a 96-well tissue culturetreated polystyrene plate. After 24 h growth at 37°C, the plates were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove any unattached bacteria and treated with test compound for 24 h. Following this second incubation plates were washed three times with PBS to remove any unattached bacteria then dried for 1 h at 60°C prior to staining with 0.4% crystal violet solution. The optical density (492 nm) was used as an index of bacterial adherence to the surface and biofilm formation. Experiments were performed in triplicate, the results were averaged, and standard errors were calculated. To compensate for background absorbance, OD readings of the sterile medium with both the fixative and dye were averaged and subtracted from all of the experimental values. A biofilm-positive phenotype was defined as OD ≥ 0.2 at 492 nm.

Mucolytic activity

The macrorheological impact of cysteamine on individual mucus components was determined by quantifying changes in viscoelasticity of a sterile 20% (w/v) solution of porcine mucin solution or a sterile 5 mg/ml calf thymus DNA solution following exposure to cysteamine versus other, control mucolytic agents [16]. Mucolytic treatments were prepared at 10 mg/ml and exposed to 20% (w/v) mucin solution for 16 h at 37°C. For DNA, cysteamine was tested at concentrations of 1 mg/ml and 125 µg/ml and recombinant human DNAse I (rhDNase I) was tested at 10U/ml. In both experiments, an equivalent volume of water (4 µl) was used as a control for possible dilution effects. Changes in mucin and DNA viscoelasticity were determined by distance travelled (mm) as a function of time (min) through sterile 1 ml serological pipettes (Greiner Bio-One; 2.77 mm internal bore) at room temperature.

Effect of cysteamine on mucin production by normal human bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells

NHBE cells (Lonza Group Ltd, UK) were cultured according to the suppliers' instructions. Cells were transferred from their growth media to Clonetics B-ALI airliquid interface medium (Lonza Group Ltd, UK) (basal aspect only) and to collagen-coated 24-well transwells at a density of 5×10^4 cells per insert. Fully differentiated monolayer cultures were generated per supplier's instructions. Transwells were then treated with 1 mg/ml cysteamine (added to the basal layer medium) or with B-ALI media only (untreated controls) for 7 d, with media/ treatment changed in all cultures every 48 h. Free apical fluid generated by each of the monolayers was collected

and its volume measured. Levels of apical mucin generation were then assessed in all cultures by a method based on that of Handra-Luca and co-workers [17]; transwell filters and cells were fixed with 10% (v/v) formalin, pH 7.0 for 24 h, rinsed with sterile PBS and stained with Alcian blue (1% in 3% (v/v) acetic acid, pH 2.5 for 15 min, photographed for assessment of gross staining levels and monolayers examined microscopically.

Antibacterial susceptibility testing

Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) were determined by the broth microdilution procedure described in Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) approved standard M07-A9 [18]. Additionally, MICs were determined as described above, but in artificial sputum medium [19] and M9 minimal medium [20]. The MIC₁₀₀ of cysteamine and other test item antimicrobials employed in this study was determined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobial showing total inhibition of bacterial growth.

Determination of the effect of combinations of cysteamine and antibiotics versus *P. aeruginosa* PAO1

To determine whether the interaction of cysteamine with the antibiotics tobramycin, colistin, ciprofloxacin and gentamicin was synergistic, additive, antagonistic or there was no effect the Fractional Inhibitory Concentration (FIC) was determined after carrying out checkerboard MIC testing [21]. For all of the wells of the microdilution plates that corresponded to an MIC, the sum of the FICs (Σ FIC) was calculated for each well with the equation Σ FIC = FICA + FICB = (CA/MICA) + (CB/MICB), where MICA and MICB are the MICs of drugs A and B alone, respectively, and CA and CB are the concentrations of the drugs in combination, respectively.

In vivo efficacy & tolerability

Cysteamine was administered directly into the lungs of mice (post-infection with *P. aeruginosa*) either by intratracheal delivery or nebulisation in murine models of respiratory infection by Ricerca Biosciences LLC (Taiwan) and Euprotec Ltd (UK), respectively.

Briefly, in the first study (Ricerca), groups of 5 ICR male mice were immunosuppressed prior to intranasal infection $(3-10 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU/mouse } P. aeruginosa \text{ ATCC27853})$. Cysteamine (5 mg/kg) or tobramycin (3 mg/kg) were then administered intratracheally (IT) in 2 doses, 10 min and 6 h following infection In the second study (Euprotec), groups of 8 immunosuppressed ICR mice were infected with *P. aeruginosa* EUPPA103 (6.5 × 10⁴ CFU/mouse by intranasal injection). Mice were nebulised 1 h post-infection with aqueous cysteamine (one dose of 4.2 mg/ml for 5, 10 or 20 min) or aqueous tobramycin (4.2 mg/ml for 10 min).

Endpoints of both studies were assessment of lung tissue bacterial burden 25 h post-infection. Statistical analyses were performed for the second study using StatsDirect - Kruskal-Wallis: all pairwise comparisons (Conover-Inman) for vehicle versus treatment group comparison. The lower limit of detection was approximately 50 CFU/g of lung tissue.

Results and discussion

Antibiofilm activity of cysteamine

The ability of cysteamine and cysteamine hydrochloride to prevent formation of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 biofilms was compared with other mucoactive compounds currently used in clinical practice, or in development as a treatment for CF (rhDNAse I, alginate lyase and N-acetylecysteine) was determined using the Bioflux 200 microfluidic system. Cysteamine and cysteamine hydrochloride were effective in preventing *P. aeruginosa* biofilm formation (Figure 1A-b, 1A-d), as no microbial growth is seen, and superior to the other mucolytic agents tested; N-acetylcysteine (Figure 1A-c), rhDNase I (Figure 1A-e) and alginate lyase (Figure 1A-f), where biofilm formation is clearly evident, as also seen in the untreated control (Figure 1A-a).

The biofilm prevention potential of cysteamine was then investigated in the presence of one of the cystic fibrosis 'gold standard' antibiotics, tobramycin. A synergistic trend was observed (Figure 1B-e) in that tobramycin potentiated the antibiofilm activity of cysteamine and vice versa whereas alone, neither cysteamine (Figure 1B-c) nor tobramycin (F1B-d) prevented significant biofilm formation. This is especially interesting as the concentration of cysteamine used was subsequently determined to be 2.5-times lower than the MIC_{100} of cysteamine versus *P*. *aeruginosa* PAO1, although the MIC_{100} of this strain to tobramycin was 1 μ g/ml. It should be pointed out that the biofilm inoculum was a much more cell-dense, exponential growth phase culture of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 $(OD_{625} \sim 0.7)$, not the usually applied CLSI inoculum of $\sim 5 \times 10^5$ cfu/ml (OD₆₂₅ < 0.1) [18], so both putative antibiofilm agents were being tested at levels significantly below their MIC_{100} value. Therefore, a sub-MIC concentration of cysteamine acted synergistically with tobramycin to prevent biofilm formation. This was our first evidence of cysteamine having a direct antimicrobial effect against *P. aeruginosa* versus it being solely antibiofilm in function. Moreover, when comparing Figure 1A-c (N-acetylcysteine) and 1A-e (rhDNase I) to Figure 1B-e, the combination of cysteamine and tobramycin was more potent in biofilm prevention than existing clinical mucoactive used for CF treatment.

Prevention of *P. aeruginosa* and other bacterial biofilms is a highly desirable characteristic for any new CF candidate therapy, but in the clinical setting, a truly effective CF treatment targeted to the chronic and recurrent respiratory



infections associated with this condition should also be able to disrupt and even eradicate bacteria growing in established biofilms. As such, the biofilm eradication potential of cysteamine was assessed by determining its Minimum Biofilm Eradication Concentration (MBEC) against two *P. aeruginosa* biofilms (2a – DSMZ1299 & 2b – ATCC27853). As shown in Figure 2A-B, cysteamine was able to eradicate *P. aeruginosa* biofilms with an MBEC of 625 μ g/ml (~2-3 times the MIC₁₀₀; Table 1).

Mucolytic activity of Cysteamine versus Mucin and DNA

Cysteamine has already been described as disulfide bond disrupter [12]. This, plus its ability to disrupt biofilms and prevent their formation, as described above, led us to investigate cysteamine's potential as a mucolytic/mucus penetration-enhancing agent. Specifically, the impact of cysteamine on the macrorheology (viscosity and elasticity) of mucin and DNA [22-24] was assessed. Mucin and DNA are important macromolecular components of cystic fibrosis sputum [24]. The effect of cysteamine on mucin and DNA viscoelasticity was assessed and compared to mucoactive agents already used in cystic fibrosis therapy (N-Acetylcysteine and rhDNAse I) or under investigation as potential therapeutic agents for cystic fibrosis (alginate lysae) [22,23]. The increased velocity (reduced viscosity and elasticity) observed for mucin (Figure 3) following a single exposure to cysteamine (8.8 mm/sec ± 0.5) or cysteamine hydrochloride (8.4 mm/sec ±0.5) versus untreated mucin (<1 mm/sec) indicates significant mucoactive potential. Moreover, the impact of cysteamine/cysteamine hydrochloride on mucin macrorheology was greater than that observed for N-acetylcysteine (N-acetylcysteine treated mucin having a velocity of 4.4 mm/sec ± 1.0), DNase I and alginate lyase (neither of these mucoactive agents having any impact on mucin velocity/rheology properties). The effect of cysteamine on changes in DNA viscosity (Figure 4) was less pronounced than with mucin and effectively neutral. Unsurprisingly, cysteamine was inferior to rhDNase I.

Effect of cysteamine on mucin production by normal human bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells

Although the isolated porcine mucin and DNA rheology assays are very good indicators of cysteamine's mucoactive potential, we went further to assess its properties against mucin as a component of respiratory epithelial cell-derived mucus; in a more complex and physiological relevant human system employing differentiated NHBE cells. Figure 5(A) demonstrates the presence of mucin within NHBE monolayer cultures as a function of the intensity of Alcian blue staining and that it is significantly reduced (less blue, only background staining versus specific matter) on monolayers exposed for 7 d to cysteamine versus those exposed to control media only. Figure 5(B) demonstrates the presence at a microscopic level of more Alcian blue-stained material within the cultures in control non-cysteamine treated cells versus cysteamine-treated cells. Finally, Figure 5(C) demonstrates that the disruption/reduction of mucin in the cysteamine treated cultures as indicated by less Alcian Blue staining also results in a

Charrier et al. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases 2014, 9:189 http://www.ojrd.com/content/9/1/189



greater volume of free apical surface liquid/fluid. This is to be expected if less intact mucin molecules are present to bind water and other airway surface fluid components in the apical mucus layer. The NHBE cell assay confirms the mucoactive properties of cysteamine in a physiologically relevant primary lung epithelial monolayer based system and also demonstrated that even relatively high levels of cysteamine were non-toxic to the NHBE cells.

Antimicrobial activity of Cysteamine

Following the identification of antibiofilm properties that also pointed to a direct antimicrobial activity of cysteamine against *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, ATCC27853 and DSMZ1299, the antibacterial potential of cysteamine was assessed against a panel of CF bacterial pathogens. Table 1 shows a summary of the direct antimicrobial activity (median MIC_{100} and range (µg/ml)) of cysteamine against a range of Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria characteristic





the bars represent the mean.



of CF pulmonary infections, including clinical isolates and mucoid and non-mucoid *P. aeruginosa*. Mucoid strains are alginate producers and are therefore generally less susceptible to conventional antibiotics and (non-alginate lyase) mucoactive agents. A complete list of individual MICs for all strains tested can be found in Additional file 1: Table S1. Cysteamine showed direct antimicrobial activity (MIC₁₀₀) at concentrations in the range of 250–500 µg/ml against *P. aeruginosa* and other CF pathogens including *Burkholderia*

cepacia complex, *Staphylococcus aureus* and also emerging pathogens including *Achromobacter xylosidans*, *Stenotro-phomonas* spp and *Ralsatonia* spp (data not shown).

Bacteria in the CF lung grow in biofilms under nutrientlimited conditions [25], so the antibacterial efficacy of cysteamine was assessed under nutrient limiting (M9 minimal medium) and excess nutrient (MH broth) conditions. Under the more physiologically relevant, nutrient-limiting assay conditions (Figure 6), the MIC_{100} of cysteamine



Figure 6 Antibacterial efficacy of cysteamine and tobramycin on *P. aeruginosa* incubated under nutrient-limiting and Nutrient replete conditions. The MIC₁₀₀ of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, *P. aeruginosa* NH57388A and *P. aeruginosa* NH57388B were determined according to standard CLSI conditions for – **A**) nutrient replete media (MH broth), whereas – **B**) M9 minimal medium was substituted for MH broth to provide nutrient-limiting conditions. The graphs (**A & B**) show data for *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. The table shows the mean MIC of triplicate samples from triplicate experiments. Error bars represent the Standard Error of the Mean. *P. aeruginosa* NH57388A is a mucoid strain. *P. aeruginosa* NH57388B is a non-mucoid strain. * - *P. aeruginosa* NH57388B is tobramycin resistant.

against *P. aeruginosa* was reduced by 4–8 fold, whereas the MIC₁₀₀ of tobramycin was unchanged, suggesting a cysteamine mechanism of action that is not dependent on metabolic activity. This data suggests that not only is cysteamine a biofilm prevention and disrupting agent (Figures 1 and 2), but is also directly antibacterial against CF pathogens (Table 1) and importantly, demonstrates improved efficacy under nutrient limited conditions such as within the CF lung environment.

Antimicrobial activity of cysteamine in combination with conventional cystic fibrosis antibiotics

As an antibiofilm/mucolytic agent, cysteamine would almost certainly be used in a clinical setting alongside currently available antibiotics as a means to facilitate their access to target pathogens. A synergistic relationship between tobramycin and cysteamine was observed in our biofilm assays (as described above; Figures 1 & 2), so we next investigated the antibacterial potential of cysteamine in combination with CF antibiotics (Table 2). Indeed, at least additive or synergistic activity was observed in combination with tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, colistin and gentamicin against five strains of P. aeruginosa (PAO1, PA14, Pa058, NH57388D and NH57388A). Most significantly, the MIC₁₀₀ of colistin, tobramycin and gentamicin were reduced up to 16-fold when combined with cysteamine. In certain cases, antibiotic resistance was reversed. For example, P. aeruginosa Pa058 is a ciprofloxacin resistant clinical isolate (MIC₁₀₀ = $32 \mu g/ml$), based on CLSI breakpoints [26] and has a cysteamine MIC₁₀₀ of 500 µg/ml. However, when used in combination an additive FIC was obtained and the MICs of cysteamine and ciprofloxacin were 250 µg/ml and 1 µg/ml, respectively. This means that in the presence of cysteamine, P. aeruginosa Pa058 becomes sensitive to ciprofloxacin, i.e. reversal of resistance. In another instance, the tobramycin resistant strain, *P. aeruginosa* NH57388D (MIC₁₀₀ = 16 μ g/ml) had its resistance reversed in the presence of cysteamine $(2 \mu g/ml)$. Because aminoglycosides are associated with a degree of nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity [27], their use in combination with cysteamine may provide a means to lower the antibiotic concentration required while retaining or even enhancing their antimicrobial effect whilst reducing toxicity. Furthermore, the combined use of antimicrobials with different mechanisms of action is believed to aid in the ever-increasing incidence of antibiotic resistance [28].

The activity of cysteamine in combination with tobramycin was next determined under more physiologically relevant conditions that better resemble cystic fibrosis sputum; the biological matrix in which cysteamine will need to function if it is to be effective in vivo [19]. The MIC of cysteamine against 4 P. aeruginosa (PAO1, ATCC27853, DSMZ1128 and DSMZ1299) in artificial sputum medium (Table 3) ranged between 250 and 500 μ g/ml, as it did under standard CLSI conditions (MH broth). In addition to there being no significant inhibition of cysteamine antimicrobial activity under artificial sputum conditions, the same degree of synergy between tobramycin and cysteamine was observed as per standard CLSI broth microdilution conditions (Table 3), the FIC index for the 4 P. aeruginosa strains was between 0.5 and 1 in both conditions. Of note, the CLSI interpretive standard for tobramycin sensitivity against *P. aeruginosa* is $\leq 4 \mu g/ml$, emphasising the dramatic reduction in MIC in the presence of cysteamine in all conditions in which we tested its activity.

Following biofilm prevention experiments, samples were analysed to determine whether cysteamine elicited a postantimicrobial effect (PAE) on bacteria. Kinetic growth assays on these samples did reveal a post-antimicrobial effect; namely in that delayed growth of bacteria from cysteamine-treated (non-biofilm forming) cells was observed, but interestingly, no delayed growth/PAE was observed in samples from tobramycin-treated cells/biofilms (Figure 7A). Combinations of tobramycin and cysteamine however exerted a greater PAE than cysteamine administered as a mono-treatment (Figure 7B). It is likely that the PAE seen after treatment with combinations of these antimicrobial agents is a result of continued/sustained interactions with their bacterial targets. For example, the PAE of rifampicin, which targets bacterial RNA polymerase,

Table 2 Analysis of the Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index (FICI) of Cysteamine with Cystic Fibrosis-relevant Antibiotics versus *P. aeruginosa*

| Strain | Tobramycin | | | Ciprofloxacin | | Colistin | | Gentamicin | | | | |
|--------|------------|-----|-----|---------------|-----|----------|-----|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | Syn | Add | Ind | Syn | Add | Ind | Syn | Add | Ind | Syn | Add | Ind |
| PAO1 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| Pa14 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pa058 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 57388A | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 57388D | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |

Numbers in the table indicate the number of replicates demonstrating these effects. Syn = synergy, Add = Additive, Ind = Indifferent. No antagonism was observed for any of the cysteamine-antibiotic combinations. *P. aeruginosa* Pa14 is colistin resistant, *P. aeruginosa* Pa058 is ciprofloxacin resistant, *P. aeruginosa* 57388A is colistin and tobramycin resistant. *P. aeruginosa* 57388A is ciprofloxacin and tobramycin resistant. *P. aeruginosa* PA01 is sensitive to all antibiotics tested.

| Isolate | Mueller Hinton Brot | th MIC ₁₀₀ (μg/ml) | Artificial Sputum Medium MIC ₁₀₀ (µg/ml) | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------|--|
| | Cysteamine | Tobramycin | Cysteamine | Tobramycin | |
| P. aeruginosa PAO1 | 250 | 1 | 500 | 4 | |
| P. aeruginosa DSMZ1128 | 250 | 1 | 250 | 2 | |
| P. aeruginosa DSMZ1299 | 250 | 1 | 250 | 1 | |
| P. aeruginosa ATCC27853 | 250 | 1 | 500 | 2 | |

Table 3 Comparison of the Antibacterial Activity of Cysteamine and Tobramycin in Artificial Sputum Medium and under standard CLSI conditions

Data represents the mean MIC_{100} (µg/ml) of triplicate samples from triplicate experiments. MICs were determined using the broth microdilution procedure from CLSI Approved Standard M07-A9 [18], with artificial sputum medium substituted for MH broth as appropriate. Data represents the mean MIC_{100} (µg/ml) of triplicate samples from triplicate experiments.

correlates with the time taken for recovery of RNA and protein synthesis [29]. The PAE observed for cysteamine potentially has significant implications for clinical dosing regimens; a combination of cysteamine and tobramycin may have the potential for longer dosing intervals and lower doses therein than treatment with tobramycin alone.

In vivo efficacy & tolerability

To assess whether the *in vitro* antibiofilm and antibacterial data derived in our studies translated in a more clinically relevant, complex biological setting, we employed a well-established mouse model of *P. aeruginosa* lung infection and determined the impact therein of cysteamine exposure on lung bacterial burdens. We also assessed how well tolerated cysteamine was when delivered via the respiratory route as to date, its safety and toxicological potential have not been determined by this mode of application. Cysteamine was well tolerated and did not cause any adverse effects when administered to mice via two respiratory routes; either by a single dose of cysteamine or tobramycin delivered by nebulisation (4.2 mg/ml for 5 min, 10 min or 20 min) following infection with P. aeruginosa EUPPA103 (Figure 8A), or two doses (5 mg/kg each) delivered intratracheally 10 min and 6 h after infection with P. aeruginosa ATCC27853 (Figure 8B). These experiments provide the first evidence of *in vivo* antibacterial efficacy of cysteamine in respiratory tissue. In the mice infected with P. aeruginosa EUPPA103, nebulised cysteamine (4.2 mg/ml, 5 - 20 min exposure) significantly reduced bacterial lung burden (LogR 0.94-1.11 cfu/g; $P \le 0.001$) compared to vehicle only treated mice (StatsDirect Kruskal-Wallis test: Squared ranks approximate equality of variance test). Tobramycin (4.2 mg/ml aqueous solution) treated mice also demonstrated statistically



inhaled anesthesia. Mice were given two doses (5 mg/kg each) of cysteamine or tobramycin delivered intratracheally 10 min and 6 h after infection. Experimental endpoint was lung tissue burden 26 h post-infection. Vehicle was sterile physiological water. The lower limit of detection was approximately 50 cfu/g of tissue. significant reduction in lung burden (LogR 1.51 CFU/g; P = 0.0 117) (StatsDirect Kruskal-Wallis test: all pairwise comparisons (Conover-Inman)). A trend to dose dependency for cysteamine was observed, with greatest efficacy demonstrated by 20 min exposure (5.48 \log_{10} CFU/g) compared with 5 min (5.65 \log_{10} CFU/g) and 10 min exposure (5.64 \log_{10} CFU/g). In the mice infected with

P. aeruginosa ATCC27853, intratracheal administration of

cysteamine reduced bacterial burden in the lungs from

 $8.17 \pm 0.08 \log_{10}$ CFU/g (control animals) to 7.86 ± 0.18

 \log_{10} CFU/g (cysteamine-treated animals).

setting. It is therefore not unreasonable to assume at least an equal, or even greater, reduction in respiratory tract bacterial burden following repeat dosing with cysteamine, especially considering its sustained in vitro postantimicrobial effect. Furthermore, nebulisation may not be the administration route via which cysteamine/Lynovex[®] is delivered; dry powder inhalation and other modes also being applicable and potentially providing greater drug delivery efficiency. **Conclusions** As a first-in-class combination mucolytic-antibiofilmantimicrobial agent, cysteamine (as Lynovex[®]) has the

It is worth noting that these in vivo assays employed

only one or two doses of cysteamine, whereas it is most likely that multiple doses (i.e. daily or twice daily dosing)

would be expected for the treatment of CF in a clinical

potential to offer a novel way forward in the treatment of the chronic and recurrent respiratory infections of cystic fibrosis. Previous clinical experience and our own data point to cysteamine as being safe. Furthermore, cysteamine should be applicable in all cystic fibrosis disease genotypes/phenotypes, not a mutation-specific treatment in its recovery/improvement of respiratory health and function.

Cysteamine could potentially break the cycle of progressive lung damage and recurrent infections in cystic fibrosis. Cysteamine's manifold actions of mucus and biofilm disruption and antimicrobial impact on the bacteria these structures support could achieve this end. As an adjunct therapy with existing antibiotic treatment regimens, a further advantage of cysteamine/Lynovex[®] is that its use would not require significant modification to cystic fibrosis therapy schedules already in place. Instead, cysteamine/Lynovex[®] could potentiate and extend the effects of the 'older' therapeutics that remain the core of existing exacerbation interventions and longer term maintenance.

Lynovex[®] (cysteamine) is already designated as an orphan therapeutic candidate for the treatment of cystic fibrosis and our intention is to move forward with development of this compound in oral and inhaled form for the control/eradication of the CF respiratory microbial burden. This would apply both to exacerbations and also longer term maintenance of pulmonary health in adult and paediatric cystic fibrosis patients.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. MIC of Cysteamine and N-Acetylcysteine Against Representative Type Strains and Clinical Isolates of Cystic Fibrosis Pathogens. Data shown are median values of triplicates samples from triplicate experiments; MIC100, MIC90 and MIC50: concentration inhibiting 100%, 90% and 50% bacterial growth, respectively. P = Pseudomonas, B = Burkholderia, A = Acinetobacter, Al = Alcaligenes, Ch = Chryseomonas, E = Escherichia, K = Klebsiella, C = Clostridium, S = Staphylococcus.



Cysteamine

Tobramycin

Log R 0.95 (NS)

:•

LogR 1.11

LogR 1.51

10

108

107

106

10

104

103

3.5×1008

3.0×10⁰⁸

2.5×1008

2.0×1008

1.5×1008

1.0×1008

5.0×1007

0.0

Vehicle

Figure 8 Antimicrobial activity of cysteamine following

Α

CFU/gram of tissue

В

Lung bacterial burden CFU/g

Log R 0.94 (NS)

Competing interest

All authors/co-authors are, or have been, employees of NovaBiotics Ltd (Dr O'Neil is a director of the Company) but have no competing interest.

Authors' contribution

CC carried out the microbiology, rheology and cell line experiments, participated in experimental design and drafted the manuscript. CR, NS and JR performed the microbiology experiments. DFP carried out rheology and cell line assays. DM designed the microbiology assays, performed data analysis and drafted the manuscript. DO designed the experiments, analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof Niels Høiby and Dr. Thomas Bjarnsholt, University of Copenhagen (Denmark) for kindly supplying the *P. aeruginosa* strains NH57388A, NH57388B, NH57388C & NH57388D, as well as Prof. Andy Porter and Dr. Soumya Palliyil, University of Aberdeen (UK) for kindly supplying the *P. aeruginosa* strains PA14 and Pa058. Prof Graham Devereux and Dr. Deidre O'Brien are thanked for supply of cystic fibrosis clinical isolates. The authors also wish to acknowledge Robin Leach, Peter Chiu and Kun-Yuan Lin at Ricerca Biosiences (USA & Taiwan) and Lloyd Payne, Peter Warn & Julie Gould at Euprotec (UK) for their help and technical assistance with the *in vivo* experiments.

Received: 7 July 2014 Accepted: 10 November 2014 Published online: 30 November 2014

References

- Plummer A, Wildman M: Duration of intravenous antibiotic therapy in people with cystic fibrosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013, 5:CD006682.
- Bhatt JM: Treatment of pulmonary exacerbations in cystic fibrosis. Eur Respir Rev 2013, 22:205–216.
- Storey S, Wald G: Novel agents in cystic fibrosis. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2008, 7:555–556.
- Balsamo R, Lanata L, Egan CG: Mucoactive drugs. Eur Respir Rev 2010, 19:127–133.
- Jones AP, Wallis C: Dornase alfa for cystic fibrosis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2010, Issue 3.Art.No.:CD001127. doi:10.1002/14651858. CD001127.pub2.
- Banerjee D, Stableforth D: The treatment of respiratory pseudomonas infection in cystic fibrosis: what drug and which way? *Drugs* 2000, 60:1053–1064.
- Sorde R, Pahissa A, Rello J: Management of refractory Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis. Infect Drug Resist 2011, 4:31–41.
- Canton R, Morosini MI: Emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance following exposure to antibiotics. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2011, 35:977–991.
- Lyczak JB, Cannon CL, Pier GB: Lung infections associated with cystic fibrosis. Clin Microbiol Rev 2002, 15:194–222.
- 10. O'Neil D, Charrier C: A composition comprising an antibiotic and a dispersant or an anti-adhesive agent. *PCT/GB2011/001721* 2011.
- 11. O'Neil D, Mercer D, Charrier C: Inhibition of Biofilm Organisms. *PCT/GB2010/000631* 2010.
- Gahl WA, Tietze F, Butler JD, Schulman JD: Cysteamine depletes cystinotic leucocyte granular fractions of cystine by the mechanism of disulphide interchange. *Biochem J* 1985, 228:545–550.
- Gahl WA, Balog JZ, Kleta R: Nephropathic cystinosis in adults: natural history and effects of oral cysteamine therapy. Ann Intern Med 2007, 147:242–250.
- Hoffmann N, Rasmussen TB, Jensen PO, Stub C, Hentzer M, Molin S, Ciofu O, Givskov M, Johansen HK, Hoiby N: Novel mouse model of chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection mimicking cystic fibrosis. Infect Immun 2005, 73:2504–2514.
- O'Neill E, Pozzi C, Houston P, Smyth D, Humphreys H, Robinson DA, O'Gara JP: Association between methicillin susceptibility and biofilm regulation in Staphylococcus aureus isolates from device-related infections. J Clin Microbiol 2007, 45:1379–1388.
- Pearson JP, Ward R, Allen A, Roberts NB, Taylor WH: Mucus degradation by pepsin: comparison of mucolytic activity of human pepsin 1 and pepsin 3: implications in peptic ulceration. *Gut* 1986, 27:243–248.

- Handra-Luca A, Lamas G, Bertrand JC, Fouret P: MUC1, MUC2, MUC4, and MUC5AC expression in salivary gland mucoepidermoid carcinoma: diagnostic and prognostic implications. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2005, 29:881–889.
- CLSI: Book Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard - Ninth Edition; M07-A9. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2012.
- Yang Y, Tsifansky MD, Shin S, Lin Q, Yeo Y: Mannitol-guided delivery of Ciprofloxacin in artificial cystic fibrosis mucus model. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2011, 108:1441–1449.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatic T: Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1989.
- Burkhart CG, Burkhart CN, Isham N: Synergistic antimicrobial activity by combining an allylamine with benzoyl peroxide with expanded coverage against yeast and bacterial species. Br J Dermatol 2006, 154:341–344.
- Sandberg T, Carlsson J, Ott MK: Mucin coatings suppress neutrophil adhesion to a polymeric model biomaterial. *Microsc Res Tech* 2007, 70:864–868.
- Alipour M, Suntres ZE, Omri A: Importance of DNase and alginate lyase for enhancing free and liposome encapsulated aminoglycoside activity against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009, 64:317–325.
- 24. Voynow JA, Rubin BK: Mucins, mucus, and sputum. Chest 2009, 135:505-512.
- Gaspar MC, Couet W, Olivier JC, Pais AA, Sousa JJ: Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis lung disease and new perspectives of treatment: a review. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2013, 32:1231–1252.
- CLSI: Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty Fourth Informational Supplement. CLSI Document M100-S24. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2014.
- English WP, Williams MD: Should aminoglycoside antibiotics be abandoned? Am J Surg 2000, 180:512–515. discussion 515–516.
- 28. Fischbach MA: Combination therapies for combating antimicrobial resistance. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2011, **14:**519–523.
- Stubbings W, Bostock J, Ingham E, Chopra I: Mechanisms of the post-antibiotic effects induced by rifampicin and gentamicin in Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006, 58:444–448.

doi:10.1186/s13023-014-0189-2

Cite this article as: Charrier *et al.*: **Cysteamine (Lynovex®)**, a novel mucoactive antimicrobial & antibiofilm agent for the treatment of cystic fibrosis. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases* 2014 **9**:189.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit