Research Communication



A novel tattooing technique for ureteric strictures in robotic ureteroureterostomy: a non-inferiority analysis

A ureteric stricture can be treated either endoscopically or with ureteric reconstruction. The developments in robotic ureteric repair have led to similar success rates to open techniques, with the addition of decreased hospitalization duration and blood loss [1–7].

Recognition of the stricture during robotic ureteric reconstruction, however, can be challenging. In this study, we introduce the novel technique of ureteroscopic 'tattooing' of the ureteric lumen on the level of the stricture to subsequently recognize it during robotic ureteroureterostomy. We also compare our technique with others used for ureteric stricture identification. Our preliminary data show that this technique is easy to use and can reduce the operating time and the complication rate postoperatively.

A total of four patients with benign ureteric strictures underwent robot-assisted ureteroureterostomy in a single tertiary hospital. All patients underwent preoperative MAG3 renograms and CT urograms, which showed obstruction on the side of the stricture and provided a measure of its length (<3 cm). Patients were followed up for 1 year after their procedures with MAG3 renograms at 3 and 12 months. Three patients were male and one female. All the male patients had left ureteric strictures, while the female patient had a right stricture. Long standing obstruction from impacted ureteric stones caused the stricture in two patients and multiple ureteroscopies caused it in the other two. In all the cases a retrograde ureteroscopy with a semirigid 7-Fr ureteroscope preceded the robotic ureterectomy. A retrograde study confirmed the length of the stricture in all cases. The patients were placed in a Lloyd-Davies position. The distal end of the ureteric stricture was marked with black dye with the use of a fine endoscopic injection needle (EndoTNeedle[™]; GI Supply, Specialty Endoscopic Products, Mechanicsburg, PA, USA) through the working channel.

Initially, the needle was pushed through the mucosa. It is of utmost importance to approach the mucosa tangentially to avoid the injection of the dye outside the ureter, which can cause inflammation or the injury of surrounding organs and vessels. After insertion of the needle, the catheter was withdrawn slightly and pulled towards the lumen to ensure that the needle was directly under the mucosa. We inserted 0.5 mL of black dye on the anterior wall of the ureteric lumen (12 o' clock position) at the distal end of the stricture. We used a permanent carbon black dye, commercially available as Spot® Ex, ready for injection with the endoscopic injection needle. In all the cases we used the needle without the sheath to be able to pass it through the working channel of the ureteroscope.

Subsequently the patient was placed in the supine position with the corresponding side of the operation elevated with a wedge. The Da Vinci Xi Robotic System was used with three robotic ports. The port configuration was similar to that used in robotic pyeloplasty.

The colon was mobilized and the marked 'tattooed' ureter was identified and mobilized extensively above and below the mark (Fig. 1). It was then incised just under the mark, opened, and 2–3 cm of ureteric segment above the mark were removed depending on the measured length of the stricture.

The proximal and distal ends are spatulated. A 3–0 double ended Quill-type suture was used for end-to-end anastomosis over a 6-Ch/26-cm JJ ureteric stent. Subsequently, an omental wrap was created and a 20-Fr Robinson's drain was placed next to the anastomosis.

All four patients in our study were discharged on the next day and no complications or readmissions occurred. The mean (range) operating time was 128.75 (110–150) min. The mean (range) blood loss was 45 (20–110) mL. The stent was removed 4 weeks later and a MAG3 renogram, performed at 3 months postoperatively, showed no obstruction, with improvement of the function of the kidney in three of the patients and unchanged function in the fourth patient (Table S1). The histology of the excised ureteric segment came back as benign in all patients. A subsequent MAG3 renogram at approximately 1 year after the procedure

Fig. 1 Identification of the 'tattooed' ureter during robotic ureterectomy and reconstruction. The distal end of the stricture has been marked endoscopically with black dye. Subsequently the segment of the ureter involving the stricture is removed proximal to the 'tattooed' part.



© 2022 The Authors.

wileyonlinelibrary.com BJU International published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of BJU International. www.bjui.org This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. confirmed that the obstruction had resolved in all patients. No reactions or complications were observed that could be associated with the carbon black 'tattoo'.

Studies reporting ureter marking during robotic ureteroureterostomy were identified through three databases (PubMed, Cochrane and Medline). Non-English language and paediatric population studies were excluded during the initial screening. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed, with the following search terms used: 'robotic' OR 'robot' AND 'ureteral stricture' OR 'ureteral pathologies' OR 'ureteral reconstruction' OR 'upper urinary tract reconstruction'. Comparative outcomes (success and postoperative complications) and total and subgroup analyses were performed to identify whether ureter tattooing displayed favourable outcomes in comparison to particular marking techniques, which included simultaneous ureteroscopy, the use of intra-operative intraureteric indocyanine green (ICG) and intraureteric saline injection.

The search strategy identified 299 articles. Of these, 264 records were excluded during the initial screening process (not associated with the study, non-English language, paediatric), and another 28 were excluded at the full-text assessment (case reports, reviews, ureteric stricture identification technique not described). Finally, seven articles were included in this study for comparative analysis.

In five studies, simultaneous ureteroscopy was used to identify the stricture intra-operatively, while in the other two studies either ICG or saline is injected via a ureteric catheter during the robotic reconstruction.

Although the small number of patients did not allow statistically significant results, the preliminary data showed that our technique reduces the operating time of robotic ureteroureterostomy and demonstrates equal if not better success rates than the other techniques, with fewer complications, while also reducing the estimated blood loss and mean hospital stay. The mean follow-up period for our patients was shorter than that of other case series: 12 months vs 15 months.

Several techniques have been used to facilitate the recognition of ureteric strictures during robotic reconstruction, such as intraureteric injection of ICG. This requires the insertion of a ureteric catheter to perform the injection and the patient is placed in a modified lithotomy position during the robotic reconstruction. Also, ICG may spill outside the ureter upon incision, staining the field green and making it impossible to use intravascular ICG. Intra-operative ureteroscopy, performed to identify the ureteric obstruction by recognizing the light of the ureteroscope, requires the same patient positioning and a second surgeon to perform the ureteroscopy simultaneously with the reconstruction, as well as an extra monitor and stack with a light source [1–7]. Our technique of preoperative endoscopic 'tattooing' with the ureteroscope does not require the insertion of a ureteric catheter. The patient is in a preferred supine position during the robotic repair, and the simultaneous use of intravascular ICG to evaluate the ureter's viability is facilitated. No endoscopic instrumentation is required during the robotic reconstruction.

Although our technique is unique for robotic identification of ureteric strictures, the use of ureteric tattooing has been documented recently in patients undergoing ileal conduit diversion for future endoscopic ureteroenteric anastomoses identification [8].

The main limitations of our study are the small number of patients and the short follow-up period, which were not sufficient to fully evaluate the long-term results of our marking technique.

In conclusion, the preoperative ureteroscopic 'tattooing' of a ureteric stricture, performed to simplify its intra-operative identification during robotic ureteroureterostomy, is a novel marking technique, with promising safety and reliability outcomes. Larger series of patients followed up for a longer period of time are required to verify the effectiveness of this technique.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Nikolaos A. Kostakopoulos^{1,2} (D, Stavroula Kastora² (D, Konstantinos Dimitropoulos^{1,2} (D and Grigorios Athanasiadis^{1,2} (D

¹Department of Urology, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, NHS Grampian, Aberdeen, UK and ²School of Medicine and Medical Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

References

- 1 Buffi NM, Lughezzani G, Hurle R et al. Robot-assisted surgery for benign ureteral strictures: experience and outcomes from four tertiary care institutions. *Eur Urol* 2017; 71:945–51
- 2 Hemal AK, Nayyar R, Gupta NP, Dorairajan LN. Experience with robot assisted laparoscopic surgery for upper and lower benign and malignant ureteral pathologies. *Urology* 2010; 76: 1387–93.
- 3 Fifer GL, Raynor MC, Selph P et al. Robotic ureteral reconstruction distal to the ureteropelvic junction: a large single institution clinical series with short-term follow up. *J Endourol* 2014; 28: 1424–8.
- 4 Marien T, Bjurlin MA, Wynia B et al. Outcomes of robotic-assisted laparoscopic upper urinary tract reconstruction: 250 consecutive patients. *BJU Int* 2015; 116: 604–11.
- 5 Masieri L, Sforza S, Di Maida F et al. Robotic correction of iatrogenic ureteral stricture: Preliminary experience from a tertiary referral centre. *Scand J Urol* 2019; 53: 356–60.
- 6 Lee Z, Moore B, Giusto L, Eun DD. Use of indocyanine green during robot-assisted ureteral reconstructions. *Eur Urol* 2015; 67: 291–8.
- 7 Baldie K, Angell J, Ogan K, Hood N, Pattaras JG. Robotic management of benign mid and distal ureteral strictures and comparison with laparoscopic approaches at a single institution. *Urology* 2012; 80: 596–601.

8 Tuong M, Krupski T. MP53-09 India ink tattooing of ureteroenteric anastomoses. J Urol 2021; 206(Suppl 3): e942

Correspondence: Nikolaos A. Kostakopoulos, 1st Urology Department, Metropolitan General Hospital, Mesogeion Avenue 264, Cholargos 155 62, Athens, Greece.

e-mail: nikostakop@gmail.com

Abbreviation: ICG, indocyanine green.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Postoperative results of MAG3 renograms after robotic ureteroureterostomy at 3- and 12-month follow-up appointments.