

Corrigendum

Cite this article: Martyr A *et al* (2021). Living well with dementia: a systematic review and correlational meta-analysis of factors associated with quality of life, well-being and life satisfaction in people with dementia – CORRIGENDUM. *Psychological Medicine* **51**, 1590. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291720001713>

First published online: 1 June 2020

Living well with dementia: a systematic review and correlational meta-analysis of factors associated with quality of life, well-being and life satisfaction in people with dementia – CORRIGENDUM

Anthony Martyr^{1,2}, Sharon M. Nelis^{1,2}, Catherine Quinn^{1,2}, Yu-Tzu Wu^{1,2}, Ruth A. Lamont^{1,2}, Catherine Henderson³, Rachel Clarke^{4,5}, John V. Hindle^{6,7}, Jeanette M. Thom⁸, Ian Rees Jones⁹, Robin G. Morris¹⁰, Jennifer M. Rusted⁴, Christina R. Victor¹¹ and Linda Clare^{1,2,12}

¹School of Psychology, University of Exeter, EX4 4QG, UK; ²PenCLAHRC, Institute of Health Research, University of Exeter Medical School, EX1 2LU, UK; ³Personal Social Services Research Unit, London School of Economics and Political Science, WC2A 2AE, UK; ⁴School of Psychology, University of Sussex, BN1 9RH, UK; ⁵School of Education and Social Work, University of Sussex, BN1 9RH, UK; ⁶School of Psychology, Bangor University, LL57 2AS, UK; ⁷Department of Care for the Elderly, Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board, Llandudno, LL30 1LB, UK; ⁸School of Medical Sciences, University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia; ⁹Wales Institute of Social and Economic Research, Data and Methods, Cardiff University, CF10 3BB, UK; ¹⁰Department of Psychology, King's College London Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, London, SE5 8AF, UK; ¹¹Department of Clinical Sciences, Brunel University, UB8 3PH, UK and ¹²Wellcome Centre for Cultures and Environments of Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718000405>, Published online by Cambridge University Press, 08 May 2018

Two errors have been identified in the analysis reported in the above article.

Figure 1: The number of studies included in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis) was 198 rather than 199.

Figure 2: The authors reanalysed data for “Presence of religious beliefs/spirituality” as it was noticed that three data points were entered into the meta-analysis software with incorrect direction. The meta-analysis effect size originally reported was $r = 0.35$ (95% CI 0.12, 0.55), $p = .0035$, $I^2 = 88.453$, (I^2 was included in Supplementary Table 13a only). Reanalysis indicated a correct effect size of: $r = 0.15$ (–0.12, 0.15), $p = .8280$, $I^2 = 62.101$. Therefore, presence of religious beliefs/spirituality had a negligible association with better quality of life, rather than a moderate association as originally stated (p.2134).

The authors apologise for these errors.

Reference

Martyr, A., Nelis, S. M., Quinn, C., Wu, Y-T., Lamont, R. A., Henderson, C., Clarke, R., Hindle, J. V., Thom, J. M., Jones, I. R., Morris, R. G., Rusted, J. M., Victor, C. R., and Clare, L. (2018). Living well with dementia: a systematic review and correlational meta-analysis of factors associated with quality of life, well-being and life satisfaction in people with dementia. *Psychological Medicine*, *48* (13), 2130-2139. doi: 10.1017/S0033291718000405