



OPEN

SUBJECT AREAS:

SYNTHESIS AND
PROCESSING

NANOPARTICLES

Received
23 September 2014Accepted
10 December 2014Published
19 January 2015Correspondence and
requests for materials
should be addressed to
H.S.J. (msekorae@kist.
re.kr)

A Strategy to enhance Eu^{3+} emission from $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors and green-to-orange multicolor tunable, transparent nanophosphor-polymer composites

Su Yeon Kim¹, Yu-Ho Won² & Ho Seong Jang¹¹Center for Materials Architecturing, Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Hwarangno 14-gil 5, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-791, Republic of Korea, ²Materials Research Center, SAIT, Samsung Electronics Co., 130, Samsung-ro, Youngtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do 443-803, Republic of Korea.

$\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors with a single tetragonal phase are synthesized, and various strategies to enhance the Eu^{3+} emission from the nanophosphors are investigated. The optimized Eu^{3+} concentration is 35 mol%, and the red emission peaks due to the ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_J$ ($J = 1$ and 2) transitions of Eu^{3+} ions are further enhanced by energy transfer from a sensitizer pair of Ce^{3+} and Tb^{3+} . The triple doping of Ce, Tb, and Eu into the LiYF_4 host more effectively enhances the Eu^{3+} emission than the core/shell strategies of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$ architectures. Efficient energy transfer from Ce^{3+} to Eu^{3+} through Tb^{3+} results in three times higher Eu^{3+} emission intensity from $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ nanophosphors compared with $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$, which contains the optimized Eu^{3+} concentration. Owing to the energy transfer of $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+}$ and $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$, intense green and red emission peaks are observed from $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(13\%), \text{Tb}(14\%), \text{Eu}(1-5\%)$ ($\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$) nanophosphors, and the intensity ratio of green to red emission is controlled by adjusting the Eu^{3+} concentration. With increasing Eu^{3+} concentration, the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ nanophosphors exhibit multicolor emission from green to orange. In addition, the successful incorporation of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ nanophosphors into polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) facilitates the preparation of highly transparent nanophosphor-PDMS composites that present excellent multicolor tunability.

Lanthanide ion (Ln^{3+} ion)-doped inorganic crystals have attracted considerable interest due to their unique optical properties, such as high luminescence efficiency and photo- and chemical stability, which facilitated their commercialization in display and illumination devices^{1,2}. Among the various Ln^{3+} ions, Eu^{3+} and Tb^{3+} are known to show efficient luminescence and are widely used in such devices³. For example, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$, $(\text{Y},\text{Gd})\text{BO}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ have been used in fluorescent lamps (FLs), plasma display panels (PDPs), cathode ray tubes (CRTs), respectively^{2,4}. In the case of Tb^{3+} ions, $\text{LaPO}_4:\text{Ce}^{3+}, \text{Tb}^{3+}$, $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}:\text{Tb}^{3+}$, and $\text{YBO}_3:\text{Tb}^{3+}$ have been used in FLs, scintillators, and PDPs, respectively^{2,5}. Thus, many studies have been published on Eu^{3+} - and Tb^{3+} -activated inorganic crystals, which are known as phosphors²⁻⁶. In 1999, Meijerink and colleagues introduced efficient red-emitting $\text{LiGdF}_4:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ phosphors that demonstrated a quantum efficiency (QE) greater than 100% via the quantum cutting (QC) process⁷. Such QC phosphors can be applied to solar cells for the improvement of solar cell efficiency by converting ultraviolet (UV) light into visible light with increased photon numbers^{8,9}. However, for application in solar cells, nanometer-sized QC phosphors (i.e., QC nanophosphors) should be used to minimize incident light scattering because the QC phosphors are located in front of the Si solar cell^{8,10}. Recently, thanks to the development of synthetic methodology, well-defined nanophosphors of uniform size were successfully synthesized via thermal decomposition, hydrothermal, and co-precipitation methods, among others¹¹⁻²¹. However, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports on $\text{LiGdF}_4:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ nanophosphors. This may be partly attributed to the difficulty associated with the synthesis of single-tetragonal-phase LiGdF_4 nanocrystals (NCs)^{22,23}. On the other hand, LiYF_4 , which has the same crystal structure as LiGdF_4 , can also be




Figure 1 | TEM images of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors with varying Eu^{3+} concentrations of (a) 10%, (b) 20%, (c) 30%, (d) 35%, (e) 40%, (f) 45%, and (g and i) high magnification TEM images of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$ and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(45\%)$, and (h and j) corresponding schematic morphologies for $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$ and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(45\%)$, respectively.

considered as a host crystal for nanophosphors^{24–26}. Because of its inherently low lattice phonon energy, LiYF_4 has been used as a host crystal for upconversion phosphors^{24–26}. Similar to the cases of NaYF_4 and NaGdF_4 as host materials^{27,28}, LiYF_4 may be a good candidate for downshifting phosphors as well as a promising host lattice for upconversion^{29,30}. In this article, we report on the luminescence properties of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ($\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$) colloidal nanophosphors. To the best of our knowledge, colloidal $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors have not yet been reported although micrometer-sized (larger than 10 μm) $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ particles have been reported³¹. To enhance the emission intensity of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ and achieve multicolor emission, Tb^{3+} was employed as a sensitizer. Multicolor emission is another advantage of Ln^{3+} ion-doped nanophosphors^{32,33}. Tunable multicolor emission coupled with size- and shape-independent luminescence under single wavelength excitation is beneficial for multicolor labeling and display device applications^{16,32}. Energy transfer from a sensitizer ion to the Eu^{3+} ion is a favorable solution for achieving enhanced Eu^{3+} emission⁶ and multicolor luminescence^{16,34}. To obtain efficient energy transfer from the Tb^{3+} sensitizer to the Eu^{3+} ion, we used various approaches. First, we synthesized nanophosphors with a core/shell architecture in which Eu^{3+} and Tb^{3+} ions were separately doped within the core and the shell. We also synthesized Eu^{3+} and Tb^{3+} co-doped single- LiYF_4 NCs. In addition, Ce^{3+} ions were used as sensitizers for enhanced luminescence and single wavelength excitation because the 4f–5d electronic transition in a Ce^{3+} ion is a spin- and parity-allowed transition and the Ce^{3+} ion has a large absorption cross-section^{16,35}. That is, $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}^{3+}$, Tb^{3+} ($\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb), $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, $\text{Tb}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$, and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}^{3+}$, Tb^{3+} , Eu^{3+} ($\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu) nanophosphors were synthesized, and their luminescence properties were investigated. Furthermore, multicolor emission from green to orange

was successfully realized by varying the Tb^{3+} and Eu^{3+} concentrations, and the feasibility of their use in a transparent display application was examined through the fabrication of multicolor-emitting polymer composites.

Results

$\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors with various Eu^{3+} concentrations were synthesized to achieve strong red luminescence. Figure 1 shows transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors. Until 35 mol% Eu^{3+} doping, the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors showed uniform size and shape (Figures 1a–d). However, when the concentration of Eu^{3+} was 40 mol%, both large and small particles were simultaneously observed (Figure 1e). When the concentration of Eu^{3+} was greater than 40 mol%, uniform small particles were obtained (Figure 1f). This morphological change was attributed to the crystal structure of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$. For Eu^{3+} concentrations less than 40 mol%, nanocrystals (NCs) with a single tetragonal phase were synthesized (see X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of Figure S1). When 40 mol% of Eu^{3+} dopant was incorporated, mixed phases of tetragonal and orthorhombic structures were synthesized, whereas NCs with an orthorhombic structure was formed with Eu^{3+} concentrations greater than 40 mol%. As shown in Figure 1, the particle size increased slightly from 8.0 ± 0.7 to 10.0 ± 0.6 nm as the doping concentration of Eu^{3+} ions was increased to 30 mol%. Then, large tetragonal bipyramidal particles with edge lengths of 63.2 ± 2.2 nm \times 68.4 ± 1.9 nm were synthesized under a Eu^{3+} doping condition of 35 mol%. This result is similar to finding in our previously reported work²³. Doping the Y^{3+} sites with ions that are larger than the Y^{3+} ion induces the large particle size due to the presence of less negatively charged F^- ions at the (101) surface layer of the LiYF_4 crystals²³. However, too much Eu^{3+} doping led to the




Figure 2 | (a) PL spectra of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ (10–45%) nanophosphors under the excitation of 394 nm and (b) PLE spectrum of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ (35%) nanophosphors monitored at 616 nm [(i) 10%, (ii) 20%, (iii) 30%, (iv) 35%, (v) 40%, and (vi) 45%].

formation of orthorhombic YF_3 NCs. According to Du et al. and Ye et al., the orthorhombic phase, instead of the tetragonal phase, readily forms under large Eu^{3+} fraction^{22,36}. Due to different crystal structures, $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ exhibited a tetragonal bipyramidal morphology, as shown in Figures 1g and h (see also scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) image of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ (35%) in Figure S2), and $\text{YF}_3:\text{Eu}$ showed a rhombic plate shape, as shown in Figures 1i and j.

Figure 2 shows photoluminescence (PL) and photoluminescence excitation (PLE) spectra of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors. Sharp emission peaks can be observed in the red spectral region of the PL spectra. These peaks were attributed to the electronic transitions from the excited $^5\text{D}_1$ and $^5\text{D}_0$ levels to the $^7\text{F}_j$ ($J = 0-6$) levels³. As shown in Figure 2a, a strong emission peak at approximately 610–620 nm can be ascribed to the hypersensitive electric dipole transition of the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$ transition. The emission peak at approximately 590–600 nm is due to the magnetic dipole transition of $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^5\text{F}_1$, which is lower than that due to the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$ transition, indicating that Eu^{3+} ions are located at the non-inversion symmetric sites^{6,37}. As the Eu^{3+} concentration was increased to 35 mol% in the LiYF_4 host crystals, the PL intensity increased as well. At higher Eu^{3+} concentrations, the PL intensity decreased. In addition, when the Eu^{3+} concentration was 45 mol%, the shape of the PL spectrum differed from that of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ (35%) nanophosphors. In this case, a single orthorhombic structure was formed, and the PL intensity due to the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$ transition was higher than that due to the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$ transition.




Figure 3 | Schematic illustrations showing various architectures for enhancement of Eu^{3+} emission from $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ -based nanophosphors (a) $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce, Tb}$ core/shell, (b) $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce, Tb}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ core/shell, and (c) $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce, Tb, Eu}$ nanophosphors.

The strongest PLE peak can be observed at 394 nm (Figure 2b). This peak was attributed to the $^7\text{F}_0 \rightarrow ^5\text{L}_6$ transition. Because the charge transfer band of $\text{Eu}^{3+}-\text{F}^-$ lies within the vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) region (approximately 150 nm)⁷, a broad PLE band due to charge transfer was not observed (Figure 2b). Upon considering the first spin allowed f–d transition, the 4f–5d transition band is also found to lie within the VUV region (approximately 143 nm). According to Dorenbos³⁸, the f–d energy difference of Eu^{3+} ions doped in LiYF_4 , $E(\text{Eu}, \text{LiYF}_4)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$E(\text{Eu}, \text{LiYF}_4) = 49,340 \text{ cm}^{-1} - D(\text{Ce}, \text{LiYF}_4) + \Delta E^{\text{Eu}, \text{Ce}} \quad (1)$$

where $49,340 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is the energy of the first f–d transition of Ce^{3+} as a free ion, $D(\text{Ce}, \text{LiYF}_4)$ is the crystal field depression, which is defined as the lowering of this energy when the Ce^{3+} ion is doped in LiYF_4 , and $\Delta E^{\text{Eu}, \text{Ce}}$ is defined as the difference in the f–d energy of Eu^{3+} relative to that of the first electric dipole allowed transition in Ce^{3+} ³⁸. Taking $D(\text{Ce}, \text{LiYF}_4) = 15,262 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\Delta E^{\text{Eu}, \text{Ce}} = 35,900 \pm 380 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for LiYF_4 , the f–d transition band of Eu^{3+} ions in the LiYF_4 host crystal is expected to reside at $69,978 \pm 380 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (approximately 143 nm)³⁸. Thus, broad excitation bands due to either charge transfer or the 4f–5d transition were not observed in the UV spectral region, whereas sharp excitation peaks due to the f–f transition can be observed in Figure 2b. In this spectral range, concentration of the activator Eu^{3+} ions was linearly related to the PL intensity, indicating that Eu^{3+} emission results from the direct excitation-emission of Eu^{3+} ions³⁹.

As shown in Figures 1 and 2, the optimized Eu^{3+} concentration in terms of the PL intensity was 35 mol%, and further increasing the Eu^{3+} doping fraction induced a phase transformation from tetragonal LiYF_4 to the orthorhombic YF_3 phase. To further enhance the Eu^{3+} emission in LiYF_4 NCs, other strategies besides increasing the Eu^{3+} concentration are needed. Energy transfer from a sensitizer to the Eu^{3+} ions can be a good pathway for enhancing Eu^{3+} luminescence. Previously, Wang et al. reported that Ce^{3+} ions can be a sensitizer for Eu^{3+} emission³⁵. However, as shown in Figure S3, when Ce^{3+} and Eu^{3+} were co-doped in LiYF_4 NCs, orthorhombic phase YF_3 impurities were formed at a low Eu^{3+} concentration of 15 mol% compared with $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ nanophosphors. In addition, the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$ emission was stronger under Eu^{3+} direct excitation at 394 nm than under the $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer condition excited at 300 nm (Figure S3f). These results indicate that the use of Ce^{3+} sensitization alone is incapable of significantly enhancing Eu^{3+} emission in the LiYF_4 NCs. Recently, we reported on the high efficiency of the $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer pathway¹⁶. Thus, we adopted Ce^{3+} and Tb^{3+} ions as co-sensitizers and synthesized various structures of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ -based nanophosphors, as shown




Figure 4 | (a), (b) TEM images, (c), (d) EDS spectra of LiYF₄:Eu(35%) and LiYF₄:Eu(35%)/LiYF₄:Ce(15%), Tb(15%) nanophosphors, respectively, and (e) PL spectra of LiYF₄:Eu(35%)/LiYF₄:Ce(15%), Tb(15%) nanophosphors under the excitations of 300 nm (dotted green line) and 394 nm (solid red line). Insets of (c) and (d) show STEM images of a single LiYF₄:Eu(35%) and LiYF₄:Eu(35%)/LiYF₄:Ce(15%), Tb(15%) nanophosphors, where EDS spectra were obtained for red squares, respectively.

in Figure 3. Then, we investigated the various nanophosphor architectures for strong Eu³⁺ emission. Figures 3a and b show schematic illustrations of LiYF₄:Eu/LiYF₄:Ce, Tb and LiYF₄:Ce, Tb/LiYF₄:Eu core/shell nanophosphors. Ghosh et al. reported enhanced Tb³⁺ emission via energy transfer from Ce³⁺ ions (sensitizer) in the NaYF₄ core to Tb³⁺ ions in the NaYF₄ shell⁴⁰. On the other hand, Capobianco's group reported enhanced Er³⁺ emission via the additional energy transfer from Yb³⁺ ions in the NaGdF₄ shell to Er³⁺ ions in the NaGdF₄ core⁴¹.

In these core/shell structured nanophosphors, optimized Eu³⁺ concentrations can be doped into LiYF₄ NCs because Eu³⁺ ions are singly doped into either the LiYF₄ core or the LiYF₄ shell. Figure 3c shows a schematic illustration of Ce³⁺, Tb³⁺, and Eu³⁺ triply-doped LiYF₄ nanocrystals. Next, the aforementioned three types of nanophosphors were synthesized, and their luminescence properties were investigated.

Figures 4a and b show TEM images of LiYF₄:Eu(35%) core and LiYF₄:Eu(35%)/LiYF₄:Ce(15%), Tb(15%) core/shell nanophosphors.



The particle size increased after shell formation on the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ cores. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analyses indicated that core/shell structured nanophosphors were successfully synthesized (Figures 4c and d). In the EDS spectrum of a single $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ nanoparticle, Ce and Tb peaks as well as Eu peaks were observed, whereas only Eu peaks were observed in the EDS spectrum of a single $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ core nanoparticle. Combining the XRD patterns (Figure S4) with EDS spectra (Figures 4 and S5) confirmed that $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ core/shell nanophosphors with a single tetragonal phase were synthesized. As shown in Figure 4e, Eu^{3+} emission was enhanced via the $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer pathway. The PL intensity of Eu^{3+} emission from the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ core/shell nanophosphors under excitation with 300 nm UV light was higher than that under excitation with 394 nm light, which directly excited the Eu^{3+} ions. From the PLE spectrum in Figure S6, we can directly compare the Eu^{3+} emission intensity due to the ${}^7\text{F}_0 \rightarrow {}^5\text{L}_6$ transition of Eu^{3+} with that due to the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transition of Ce^{3+} . As a result, it was found that the $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer is more effective for intense Eu^{3+} emission than Eu^{3+} direct excitation-emission because the PLE intensity due to the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band excitation of Ce^{3+} was higher than that due to the ${}^7\text{F}_0 \rightarrow {}^5\text{L}_6$ excitation of Eu^{3+} . It should be noted that a fraction of the excited energy can be directly transferred from Ce^{3+} to Eu^{3+} , as shown in Figure S3f. In addition to Eu^{3+} emission peaks, Tb^{3+} characteristic emission peaks were observed via the ${}^5\text{D}_4 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_j$ ($j = 6, 5, 4, \text{ and } 3$) through $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+}$ energy transfer (Figure 4e).

The luminescence properties of the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ core/shell nanophosphors were also investigated. Figures 5a and b show TEM images of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ core nanophosphors and $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ core/shell nanophosphors. The particle size increased from $31.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ nm} \times 32.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ nm}$ to $37.7 \pm 1.4 \text{ nm} \times 39.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ nm}$ after $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}$ shell formation on the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}, \text{Tb}$ core, and only $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ exhibited Eu peaks in the EDS spectra, as shown in Figures 5c and d. A single tetragonal phase was observed in both the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ nanophosphors and $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ nanophosphors (Figure S7). Figure S8 shows EDS spectra obtained at different locations (center and edge) of individual $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ core and $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ core/shell nanoparticles. In the EDS spectra of core nanoparticles, peaks associated with Ce and Tb were observed, whereas Eu peaks were not observed at either central or edge regions of the core nanoparticles (Figures S8a and b). In the core/shell nanoparticles, the intensity of the Eu peak was strong, whereas the Ce and Tb peaks were very weak at the edge region. By contrast, the intensities of the Ce and Tb peaks were strong and that of the Eu peak was very weak at the central region of the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ core/shell nanoparticle (Figures S8c and d). These TEM, XRD, and EDS results support that $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ nanophosphors were successfully synthesized with a single tetragonal structure. Figure 5e shows the PL spectra of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ nanophosphors excited at 300 and 394 nm. Like the case of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ excited at 300 nm, Tb^{3+} characteristic emission peaks via $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+}$ energy transfer were observed together with Eu^{3+} emission peaks under excitation with 300 nm UV light. However, the PL intensity due to the ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$ transition of the Eu^{3+} ions was lower under excitation with 300 nm light compared with excitation with 394 nm light. This finding indicates that Eu^{3+} emission is more efficient for the direct excitation of Eu^{3+} than for the indirect Eu^{3+} excitation via energy transfer of $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow (\text{Tb}^{3+}) \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ in the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ nanophosphors. As shown in Figure 2b, a weak PLE peak can be observed in the UV spectral region (approximately 300 nm), and

thus some fraction of externally originating UV light can be absorbed by the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}$ shell before absorption by Ce^{3+} in the core. Therefore, external UV light was not fully absorbed by Ce^{3+} ions in the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}, \text{Tb}$ core, and less excited energy was transferred from Ce^{3+} to Eu^{3+} through Tb^{3+} compared with the case of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)/\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ core/shell nanophosphors.

In addition to core/shell structured nanophosphors, the luminescence properties of $\text{Ce}^{3+}, \text{Tb}^{3+}$, and Eu^{3+} triply-doped LiYF_4 NCs are shown in Figure 6. The $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ nanophosphors can also be synthesized with a core/shell structure, and Figures 6a and b show TEM images of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ and $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)/\text{LiYF}_4$ nanophosphors. When the Eu^{3+} concentration was larger than 1%, a single tetragonal bipyramidal shape did not form; instead mixed morphologies of tetragonal bipyramids and rhombic plates were observed in TEM image (Figure S9a). Although the Eu^{3+} concentration of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ nanophosphors was significantly smaller than that of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%), \text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ nanophosphors showed much stronger Eu^{3+} emission intensities under excitation with 300 nm light, which excited the Ce^{3+} ions. As shown in Figure 6c, the Eu^{3+} emission intensity of the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ under excitation with 300 nm light was three times higher than that of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ under excitation with 394 nm light. This result means that the $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer is very efficient in the $\text{Ce}^{3+}, \text{Tb}^{3+}$, and Eu^{3+} triply-doped LiYF_4 nanophosphors. In conclusion, in the case of LiYF_4 host crystals, the $\text{Ce}^{3+}, \text{Tb}^{3+}$, and Eu^{3+} triply-doped system was the most efficient for obtaining strong Eu^{3+} emission compared with the core/shell structured nanophosphors shown in Figure 3. Furthermore, the emission intensity of the nanophosphor was further enhanced by growing a LiYF_4 shell on the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ core. After forming the LiYF_4 shell on the core, the particle size was slightly increased (Figure 6b), and EDS spectra obtained from individual particles confirmed the formation of a core/shell structure (Figure S10). Thanks to the formation of a LiYF_4 shell on the core, the PL intensity of the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)/\text{LiYF}_4$ core/shell nanophosphors was 62% higher than that of the core nanophosphors. As a result, the Eu^{3+} emission intensity of the core/shell nanophosphors was 4.6 times greater than that of the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Eu}(35\%)$ nanophosphors (Figure 6c). In the Ce, Tb, and Eu triply-doped phosphor system, it was reported that Tb blocks the Ce-Eu charge transfer^{42,43}. However, weak Ce^{4+} -related peaks were observed with strong Ce^{3+} -related peaks in the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectrum of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ (Figure S11). In particular, the specific peak at 917 eV, which is frequently taken as confirmation of the presence of Ce^{4+} , was observed in the XPS spectrum^{44,45}. Although Ce^{4+} -related peaks were very weak and negligible, there might be probability of the existence of the electron transfer relation ($\text{Ce}^{3+} + \text{Eu}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Ce}^{4+} + \text{Eu}^{2+}$).

Multicolor emission from the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ nanophosphors was realized by varying the ratio of the Tb^{3+} to Eu^{3+} emission intensities. The desired outcome was readily achieved by adjusting the Eu^{3+} concentrations. However, as shown in Figure S9, when the Eu^{3+} concentration was 2 mol%, an impurity YF_3 phase was synthesized. According to Du et al., light lanthanide ions in the Y^{3+} sites of LiYF_4 resists the formation of a single tetragonal phase²². Thus, to increase the Eu^{3+} quantities in the LiYF_4 host, the amounts of Ce^{3+} and Tb^{3+} were reduced. Figure S12 shows XRD patterns of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}(13\%), \text{Tb}(14\%), \text{Eu}(1-5\%)$ ($\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$) nanophosphors. These XRD patterns confirmed that all of the synthesized nanophosphors had a single tetragonal phase. Figure 7 shows PL and PLE spectra, and the Commission Internationale de l'Éclairage (CIE) color coordinates of the $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ nanophosphors with varying Eu^{3+} concentrations. As the Eu^{3+} concentration increased, the intensity ratio of the Tb^{3+} emission to the Eu^{3+} emission decreased (Figures 7a and S13). Although the Ce^{3+} and Tb^{3+} concentrations decreased




Figure 5 | (a), (b) TEM images, (c), (d) EDS spectra of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$ nanophosphors, respectively, and (e) PL spectra of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$ nanophosphors under the excitations of 300 nm (dotted green line) and 394 nm (solid red line). Insets of (c) and (d) show STEM images of a single $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)$ and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%)/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$ nanophosphor, where EDS spectra were obtained for red squares, respectively.

slightly compared with $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$, the Eu^{3+} emission remained strong under excitation with 300 nm light. The Eu^{3+} emission intensity of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(13\%), \text{Tb}(14\%), \text{Eu}(3\%)$ under excitation with 300 nm light was 3.1 times greater than that of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$ under excitation with 394 nm light (Figure 7b). As shown in the PLE spectra of Figure 7c, strong broad bands were observed in the UV region due to the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transition of Ce^{3+}

ions, indicating the energy transfer from Ce^{3+} to Eu^{3+} . According to Zhang et al. and Blasse, Tb^{3+} acts as an energy transfer mediator, bridging the energy transfer from Ce^{3+} to Eu^{3+} and blocks the corresponding metal-metal charge transfer^{42,43}. When we compared the Eu^{3+} emission intensity of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(13\%), \text{Tb}(14\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ with that of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(13\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$, the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(13\%), \text{Tb}(14\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ exhibited the significantly higher PL intensity (Figure S14).




Figure 6 | (a), (b) TEM images of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)/\text{LiYF}_4$ and (c) PL spectra of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ (dotted green line) and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(15\%), \text{Tb}(15\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)/\text{LiYF}_4$ (solid blue line) under the excitation of 300 nm, and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}(35\%)$ (solid red line) under the excitation of 394 nm.

This result confirms that the $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer is more efficient than $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer. The $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}(13\%), \text{Tb}(14\%), \text{Eu}(1\%)$ nanophosphors emitted yellow-green light due to the intense ${}^5\text{D}_4 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_5$ transition of Tb^{3+} and weak ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$ transition of Eu^{3+} under excitation at 300 nm. The ratio of the PL intensity in the green spectral region due to the ${}^5\text{D}_4 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_5$ transition of Tb^{3+} ions to that in the red spectral region due to the ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$ transition of Eu^{3+} ions decreased with increasing Eu^{3+} concentration (Figure S13). As a result, the emission color of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ nanophosphors gradually transitioned from green to orange as a function of the Eu^{3+} concentration. The CIE color coordinates (x, y) of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ nanophosphors shifted from (0.3484, 0.5799) to (0.4936, 0.4675), as shown in Figure 7d. The gradual luminescence color shift from green to orange was directly confirmed with imaging showing the luminescence of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ solutions illuminated with a hand-held UV lamp (Figure 7d inset).

Discussion

In the PLE spectra of Figure 7c, the PLE band due to the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transition of Ce^{3+} ions was strong, whereas the PLE peak of the ${}^7\text{F}_6 \rightarrow {}^5\text{D}_4$ transition of Tb^{3+} ions at 487 nm was very weak (almost negligible). The weak PLE peak at 487 nm can be attributed to the weak excitation of Tb^{3+} ions at this wavelength and/or inefficient energy transfer from the Tb^{3+} to Eu^{3+} ions. When the PLE spectra of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}(1-5\%)$ were monitored at 545 nm for Tb^{3+} emis-

sion, the PLE peaks were also very weak at 487 nm, which is the direct excitation wavelength of Tb^{3+} (Figure S15). This result indicates that the weak PLE peak at 487 nm in Figure 7c was attributed to the weak direct excitation of Tb^{3+} at this wavelength. Thus, to confirm the energy transfer from Tb^{3+} to Eu^{3+} , the decay time for Tb^{3+} emission was examined, although the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ showed much stronger Eu^{3+} emission than $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Eu}$. According to Dexter theory⁴⁶, the probability of energy transfer via multipolar interaction can be expressed by the following equation

$$P(R) \propto \frac{Q_A}{R^b \tau_D} \int \frac{f_D(E) F_A(E)}{E^c} dE \quad (2)$$

where P is the energy transfer probability, τ_D is the decay time of the donor emission, Q_A is the total absorption cross-section of the acceptor, R is the distance between the donor and the acceptor, and b and c are parameters that depend on the type of energy transfer. Figure 8a shows the decay profiles of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Eu}$ nanophosphors monitored at 545 nm for Tb^{3+} emission with varying Eu^{3+} concentrations. The decay time of the Tb^{3+} emission was observed to shorten with increasing Eu^{3+} concentration. According to equation (2), because the energy transfer probability P is inversely proportional to the decay time of τ_D , the shortened decay time of the Tb^{3+} emission confirms the presence of an energy transfer pathway from Tb^{3+} to Eu^{3+} . To better understand the process of energy trans-




Figure 7 | (a), (b) PL and (c) PLE spectra, and (d) CIE color coordinates of LiYF₄:Ce(13%), Tb(14%), Eu(0–5%) [(i) 0%, (ii) 1%, (iii) 2%, (iv) 3%, (v) 4%, (vi) 5%, and (vii) LiYF₄:Eu(35%)]. Inset shows digital camera image of luminescent LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu nanophosphor solution under hand held UV lamp.

fer from Tb³⁺ to Eu³⁺, the energy transfer efficiency (η_{ET}) from the sensitizer Tb³⁺ ions to the activator Eu³⁺ ions was calculated by using the following equation⁴⁷:

$$\eta_{ET} = 1 - \frac{I_S}{I_{S0}} \quad (3)$$

where I_S and I_{S0} are the emission intensities of the Tb³⁺ ions with and without Eu³⁺ ions, respectively. Figure 8b shows the calculated energy transfer efficiencies of the Tb³⁺ → Eu³⁺ pathway. As the Eu³⁺ concentration was increased from 1 to 5 mol%, the energy transfer efficiency correspondingly increased from 55.7 to 85.8%. A direct energy transfer from Ce³⁺ to Eu³⁺ was also confirmed by measuring the Ce³⁺ decay time (Figure S16). First, the Ce³⁺ decay of LiYF₄:Ce(15%) was compared with that of LiYF₄:Ce(15%), Eu(10%), which showed the strongest red emission from Eu³⁺ in the LiYF₄:Ce, Eu system, as shown in Figure S3. The Ce³⁺ decay time was shortened from 12.3 ns for LiYF₄:Ce(15%) to 0.6 ns for LiYF₄:Ce(15%), Eu(10%). This result indicates that energy was transferred from Ce³⁺ to Eu³⁺ even though the nanophosphors were not doped with Tb³⁺. In addition, the Ce³⁺ decay time of LiYF₄:Ce(15%), Tb(15%), Eu(1%) was shorter than that of LiYF₄:Ce(15%), Tb(15%) (Figure S16(b)). Upon comparing the decay profile of the green-emitting LiYF₄:Ce(13%), Tb(14%) with that of the orange-emitting

LiYF₄:Ce(13%), Tb(14%), Eu(5%), the Ce³⁺ decay time (0.4 ns) of the LiYF₄:Ce(13%), Tb(14%), Eu(5%) was found to be shorter than that (1.2 ns) of the LiYF₄:Ce(13%), Tb(14%), as shown in Figure S17. These results also support the pathway of Ce³⁺ → Eu³⁺ energy transfer. In summary, the energy transfer process in LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu nanophosphors can be depicted as in Figure 8c. When the LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu nanophosphors were excited with 300 nm UV light, the external UV light was absorbed by Ce³⁺ and then transferred to Tb³⁺, followed by the transfer of this excited energy from Tb³⁺ to Eu³⁺. Some of the excited energy was directly transferred from Ce³⁺ to Eu³⁺. By considering the efficiencies of the Ce³⁺ → Eu³⁺ and Ce³⁺ → Tb³⁺ → Eu³⁺ energy transfer pathways, we conclude that Ce³⁺ → Tb³⁺ → Eu³⁺ energy transfer is more efficient than Ce³⁺ → Eu³⁺ energy transfer, based on the PL spectra shown in Figure S14. The stronger Eu³⁺ intensity of LiYF₄:Ce(13%), Tb(14%), Eu(1%) relative to that of LiYF₄:Ce(13%), Eu(1%) means that the Ce³⁺ → Tb³⁺ → Eu³⁺ energy transfer pathway is more efficient than Ce³⁺ → Eu³⁺; in addition, Tb³⁺ acts as an efficient mediator for Ce³⁺ → Eu³⁺ energy transfer, which is consistent with previous reports^{42,43}. As a consequence, the emission peaks are observed in the green and red spectral regions with intensity ratios that vary as a function of the Eu³⁺ concentration.

Nanophosphors with uniform size and shape can be dispersed in a polymer matrix⁴⁸. To investigate the feasibility of applying LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu nanophosphors to transparent display devices, the nanopho-




Figure 8 | (a) Decay profiles and (b) energy transfer efficiency for $\text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$ (13%), Tb (14%), Eu nanophosphors with varying Eu^{3+} concentrations of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5%. (c) Schematic energy level diagram showing energy transfer.

sphors were incorporated into polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) polymer. Figure 9 depicts photographs of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphor-PDMS composites taken under ambient indoor light and UV illumination. As shown in Figures 9a, S18a, and S19a, the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphor-PDMS composites were highly transparent, and the background logos can clearly be seen. Transmittances of most nanophosphor-PDMS composites were higher than 75% in the visible spectral range (Figure S20). As we expected from the multi-color-emitting properties of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphors, the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphor-PDMS composites also showed bright multicolor emission from green to orange, including yellow-green, greenish yellow, yellow, and orange yellow under illumination with a hand-held UV lamp (Figures 9b, S18b, and S19b). The emission of uniform visible light from the highly transparent nanophosphor-PDMS composites verifies that the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphors were uniformly incorporated into the PDMS composites. The brightness of the nanophosphor-PDMS composites can be further enhanced by adapting the core/shell structured nanophosphors because the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu (1–5%)/ LiYF_4 showed a stronger PL intensity than the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu (1–5%) core nanophosphors (Figure S21).

In summary, single-tetragonal-phase colloidal $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors were successfully synthesized, and we investigated strategies to enhance Eu^{3+} emission from the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ -based nanophosphors. The luminescence properties of various nanophosphor architectures including $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, $\text{Tb}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ core/shell nanophosphors and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphors were investigated. Among these structures, Ce^{3+} , Tb^{3+} , and Eu^{3+} triply-doped LiYF_4 nanophosphors exhibited strong Eu^{3+} emission via the efficient energy transfer of $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$. In the case of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors, when sensitizers and activators were co-

doped in the LiYF_4 NCs, the activator Eu^{3+} showed strong red emission. The Eu^{3+} emission was enhanced threefold via Ce and Tb sensitization. Owing to the $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+}$ and $\text{Ce}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Tb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ energy transfer pathways, green and red emission peaks were realized from the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphors. In addition, the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphors showed adjustable, bright multi-color emission from green to orange based on the Eu^{3+} concentration. These $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphors were uniformly incorporated into PDMS polymer, and the resulting nanophosphor-PDMS polymer composites also showed bright multicolor emission from green to orange, indicating that the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb , Eu nanophosphors have the potential for applications in transparent display devices.

Methods

Materials. $\text{LiOH} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.995%), $\text{YCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.99%), $\text{CeCl}_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.999%), $\text{TbCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.9%), $\text{EuCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.99%), NH_4F (99.99+%), oleic acid (OA, technical grade 90%), and 1-octadecene (ODE, technical grade 90%) were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. Sodium oleate (>97%) was obtained from TCI.

Synthesis of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ nanophosphors. First, the lanthanide oleates [$\text{Ln}(\text{oleate})_3$, $\text{Ln} = \text{Y}$ and Eu] were prepared by adapting the synthesis reported by Hyeon and colleagues⁴⁹. Then, 1 mmol of $\text{Ln}(\text{oleate})_3$ complexes was loaded into a three-necked flask containing a solvent mixture of 10.5 mL OA and 10.5 mL ODE. The mixture was heated to 150°C for 40 min. After the reaction mixture cooled to 50°C, a methanol (MeOH) solution (10 mL) containing $\text{LiOH} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2.5 mmol) and NH_4F (4 mmol) was added to the reaction flask and stirred for 40 min. After removing the MeOH, the solution was heated to 320°C for 90 min under an Ar atmosphere. The as-synthesized nanophosphors were washed several times with ethanol and then dispersed in chloroform.

Synthesis of the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, $\text{Tb}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}$ core/shell nanophosphors. For the synthesis of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Eu}/\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Ce}$, Tb nanophosphors, 0.7 mmol of $\text{YCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0.15 mmol of $\text{CeCl}_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and 0.15 mmol of $\text{TbCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$




Figure 9 | Digital camera images of (a) LiYF₄:Ce (13%), Tb(14%), Eu(0%)-PDMS bar (left) and disk (right) under room light (upper panel) and UV lamp illumination (lower panel) and (b) LiYF₄:Ce, (13%), Tb(14%), Eu (0–5%)-PDMS disks under UV lamp illumination [i: 0%, ii: 1%, iii: 2%, iv: 3%, v: 4%, and vi: 5%]. The logo in (a) was reprinted with permission from Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST).

were loaded into a three-necked flask containing a solvent mixture of 10.5 mL OA and 10.5 mL ODE. The mixed solution was heated to 150 °C for 40 min. After the solution was cooled to 80 °C, 10 mL of LiYF₄:Eu chloroform solution was injected into the reaction flask. Then, the chloroform solvent was removed, and the reaction mixture was cooled to 50 °C. A MeOH solution (10 mL) containing LiOH·H₂O (2.5 mmol) and NH₄F (4 mmol) was added to the reaction flask and then stirred for 40 min. After the MeOH was removed, the solution was heated to 300 °C for 110 min under an Ar atmosphere. The as-synthesized nanophosphors were washed several times with ethanol and then dispersed in chloroform.

For the synthesis of LiYF₄:Ce, Tb/LiYF₄:Eu, LiYF₄:Ce, Tb core nanophosphors were prepared by using the same synthesis procedure as that for the synthesis of LiYF₄:Eu nanophosphors except the use of 1 mmol of Ln(oleate)₃ complexes [Ln = Y (70%), Ce (15%), and Tb (15%)]. Then, 0.65 mmol of YCl₃·6H₂O and 0.35 mmol of EuCl₃·6H₂O were loaded into a three-necked flask containing a solvent mixture of 10.5 mL OA and 10.5 mL ODE. The mixed solution was heated to 150 °C for 40 min. After the solution was cooled to 80 °C, 10 mL of LiYF₄:Ce, Tb chloroform solution was injected into the reaction flask. Then the chloroform solvent was removed, and the reaction mixture cooled to 50 °C. A MeOH solution (10 mL) containing LiOH·H₂O (2.5 mmol) and NH₄F (4 mmol) was added to the reaction flask and stirred for 40 min. After the MeOH was removed, the solution was heated to 300 °C for 110 min under an Ar atmosphere. The as-synthesized nanophosphors were washed several times with ethanol and then dispersed in chloroform.

Synthesis of the LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu nanophosphors. As mentioned above, Ln(oleate)₃ complexes (Ln = Y, Ce, Tb, and Eu) were prepared and loaded into a three-necked flask. Other procedure was the same as that for the synthesis of the LiYF₄:Eu nanophosphors. For multicolor emission from the LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu, the Eu³⁺ concentration was adjusted.

Synthesis of the LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu/LiYF₄ nanophosphors. For the formation the LiYF₄ inorganic shell on the triply-doped LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu core, 1 mmol of YCl₃·6H₂O was loaded into a three-necked flask containing a solvent mixture of 10.5 mL OA and 10.5 mL ODE. The mixed solution was heated to 150 °C for 40 min. After the solution was cooled to 80 °C and 10 mL of LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu chloroform solution was injected into the reaction flask. Then, chloroform solvent was removed,

and the reaction mixture cooled to 50 °C. A MeOH solution (10 mL) containing LiOH·H₂O (2.5 mmol) and NH₄F (4 mmol) was added to the reaction flask and stirred for 40 min. After the MeOH was removed, the solution was heated to 300 °C for 110 min under an Ar atmosphere. The as-synthesized core/shell nanophosphors were washed several times with ethanol and then dispersed in chloroform.

Preparation of the nanophosphor-PDMS composites. To prepare the multicolor-emitting LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu-PDMS composites, 0.4 mL of the LiYF₄:Ce, Tb, Eu solution was thoroughly mixed with 10 mL of SYLGARD silicone elastomer 184 followed by the addition of a curing agent (1 mL). Finally, the nanophosphor-PDMS composites were aged overnight and then heat-treated at 80 °C for 1 h.

Characterization. The crystal structures of the as-synthesized nanophosphors were determined using a Bruker D8 ADVANCE diffractometer with Cu K_α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$) at 40 kV and 40 mA. The PLE and PL spectra and PL decay profiles of the nanophosphor solutions were obtained using a Hitachi F-7000 spectrophotometer. The size and morphology of the nanophosphors were investigated using a Tecnai G2 F20 (FEI Co.) operated at 200 kV. The EDS spectra were obtained using an EDAX EDS spectrometer PV9761.

- Höppe, H. A. Recent Developments in the Field of Inorganic Phosphors. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **48**, 3572–3582 (2009).
- Feldmann, C. *et al.* Inorganic Luminescent Materials: 100 Years of Research and Application. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **13**, 511–516 (2003).
- Blasse, G. & Grabmaier, B. C. *Luminescent Materials*. (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- van der Kolk, E. *et al.* Optimised co-activated willemite phosphors for application in plasma display panels. *J. Lumin.* **87–89**, 1246–1249 (2000).
- Yadav, R. S. *et al.* Development of plasma display panel phosphors at National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **47**, 399–401 (2009).
- Won, Y.-H. *et al.* Red-emitting LiLa₂O₂BO₃:Sm³⁺, Eu³⁺ phosphor for near-ultraviolet light-emitting diodes-based solid-state lighting. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **155**, J226–J229 (2008).
- Wegh, R. T. *et al.* Visible quantum cutting in LiGdF₄:Eu³⁺ through downconversion. *Science* **283**, 663–666 (1999).
- Richards, B. S. Enhancing the performance of silicon solar cells via the application of passive luminescence conversion layers. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **90**, 2329–2337 (2006).
- van der Ende, B. M. *et al.* Near-Infrared Quantum Cutting for Photovoltaics. *Adv. Mater.* **21**, 3073–3077 (2009).
- Rodríguez, V. D. *et al.* Towards broad range and highly efficient down-conversion of solar spectrum by Er³⁺-Yb³⁺ co-doped nano-structured glass-ceramics. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **94**, 1612–1617 (2010).
- Yi, G. S. & Chow, G. M. Synthesis of Hexagonal-Phase NaYF₄:Yb,Er and NaYF₄:Yb,Tm Nanocrystals with Efficient Up-Conversion Fluorescence. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **16**, 2324–2329 (2006).
- Mai, H.-X. *et al.* High-Quality Sodium Rare-Earth Fluoride Nanocrystals: Controlled Synthesis and Optical Properties. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 6426–6436 (2006).
- Wang, F. *et al.* Simultaneous phase and size control of upconversion nanocrystals through lanthanide doping. *Nature* **463**, 1061–1065 (2010).
- Na, H. *et al.* Rational morphology control of β -NaYF₄:Yb,Er/Tm upconversion nanophosphors using a ligand, an additive, and lanthanide doping. *Nanoscale* **5**, 4242–4251 (2013).
- Jang, H. S. *et al.* Bright dual-mode green emission from selective set of dopant ions in β -Na(Y,Gd)F₄:Yb,Er/ β -NaGdF₄:Ce, Tb core/shell nanocrystals. *Opt. Express* **20**, 17107–17118 (2012).
- Kim, S. Y. *et al.* Highly bright multicolor tunable ultrasmall β -Na(Y,Gd)F₄:Ce, Tb, Eu/ β -NaYF₄ core/shell nanocrystals. *Nanoscale* **5**, 9255–9263 (2013).
- Gai, S. *et al.* Recent Progress in Rare Earth Micro/Nanocrystals: Soft Chemical Synthesis, Luminescent Properties, and Biomedical Applications. *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 2343–2389 (2013).
- Dai, Y. *et al.* In Vivo Multimodality Imaging and Cancer Therapy by Near-Infrared Light-Triggered trans-Platinum Pro-Drug-Conjugated Upconversion Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 18920–18929 (2013).
- Dai, Y. *et al.* Up-Conversion Cell Imaging and pH-Induced Thermally Controlled Drug Release from NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺@Hydrogel Core-Shell Hybrid Microspheres. *ACS Nano* **6**, 3327–3338 (2012).
- Li, C. & Lin, J. Rare earth fluoride nano-/microcrystals: synthesis, surface modification and application. *J. Mater. Chem.* **20**, 6831–6847 (2010).
- Wang, Z. L. *et al.* A Facile Synthesis and Photoluminescent Properties of Redispersible CeF₃, CeF₃:Tb³⁺, and CeF₃:Tb³⁺/LaF₃ (Core/Shell) Nanoparticles. *Chem. Mater.* **18**, 2030–2037 (2006).
- Du, Y.-P. *et al.* Optically active uniform potassium and lithium rare earth fluoride nanocrystals derived from metal trifluoroacetate precursors. *Dalton Trans.* **38**, 8574–8581 (2009).
- Na, H. *et al.* Facile synthesis of intense green light emitting LiGdF₄:Yb,Er-based upconversion bipyramidal nanocrystals and their polymer composites. *Nanoscale* **6**, 7461–7468 (2014).
- Chen, G. *et al.* Intense Visible and Near-Infrared Upconversion Photoluminescence in Colloidal LiYF₄:Er³⁺ Nanocrystals under Excitation at 1490 nm. *ACS Nano* **5**, 4981–4986 (2011).



25. Mahalingam, V. *et al.* Colloidal Tm³⁺/Yb³⁺-Doped LiYF₄ Nanocrystals: Multiple Luminescence Spanning the UV to NIR Regions via Low-Energy Excitation. *Adv. Mater.* **21**, 4025–4028 (2009).
26. Wang, J. *et al.* Lanthanide-doped LiYF₄ nanoparticles: Synthesis and multicolor upconversion tuning. *C. R. Chim.* **13**, 731–736 (2010).
27. Boyer, J.-C. *et al.* Synthesis, characterization, and spectroscopy of NaGdF₄:Ce³⁺, Tb³⁺/NaYF₄ core/shell nanoparticles. *Chem. Mater.* **19**, 3358–3360 (2007).
28. Tu, D. T. *et al.* Time-Resolved FRET Biosensor Based on Amine-Functionalized Lanthanide-Doped NaYF₄ Nanocrystals. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 6306–6310 (2011).
29. Combes, C. M. *et al.* Optical and scintillation properties of Ce³⁺ doped LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ crystals. *J. Lumin.* **71**, 65–70 (1997).
30. Kiliaan, H. S. *et al.* Energy transfer phenomena in Li(Y, Gd)F₄:Ce, Tb. *J. Lumin.* **35**, 155–161 (1986).
31. Zhang, X. *et al.* The synthesis and mechanism exploration of europium-doped LiYF₄ micro-octahedron phosphors with multilevel interiors. *Dalton Trans.* **43**, 5453–5461 (2014).
32. Wang, F. *et al.* Multicolor tuning of (Ln, P)-Doped YVO₄ nanoparticles by single-wavelength excitation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **47**, 906–909 (2008).
33. Zhang, F. *et al.* Rare-Earth Upconverting Nanobarcodes for Multiplexed Biological Detection. *Small* **7**, 1972–1976 (2011).
34. DiMaio, J. R. *et al.* Controlling energy transfer between multiple dopants within a single nanoparticle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 1809–1813 (2008).
35. Wang, F. *et al.* Multicolour PEI/NaGdF₄:Ce³⁺, Ln³⁺ nanocrystals by single-wavelength excitation. *Nanotechnology* **18**, 025701 (2007).
36. Ye, X. *et al.* Competition of shape and interaction patchiness for self-assembling nanoplates. *Nat. Chem.* **5**, 466–473 (2013).
37. Ptacek, P. *et al.* Crystal phase control of luminescing NaGdF₄:Eu³⁺ nanocrystals. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **17**, 3843–3848 (2007).
38. Dorenbos, P. The 5d level positions of the trivalent lanthanides in inorganic compounds. *J. Lumin.* **91**, 155–176 (2000).
39. Kang, J. H. *et al.* Correlation of photoluminescence of (Y, Ln)VO₄:Eu³⁺ (Ln = Gd and La) phosphors with their crystal structures. *Solid State Commun.* **133**, 651–656 (2005).
40. Ghosh, P. *et al.* Energy transfer study between Ce³⁺ and Tb³⁺ ions in doped and core-shell sodium yttrium fluoride nanocrystals. *Nanoscale* **2**, 1196–1202 (2010).
41. Vetrone, F. *et al.* The Active-Core/Active-Shell Approach: A Strategy to Enhance the Upconversion Luminescence in Lanthanide-Doped Nanoparticles. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **19**, 2924–2929 (2009).
42. Blasse, G. Energy Transfer from Ce³⁺ to Eu³⁺ in (Y,Gd)F₃. *Phys. Status Solidi A* **75**, K41–K43 (1983).
43. Zhang, X. *et al.* Tunable Luminescence and Ce³⁺ → Tb³⁺ → Eu³⁺ Energy Transfer of Broadband-Excited and Narrow Line Red Emitting Y₂SiO₅:Ce³⁺, Tb³⁺, Eu³⁺ Phosphor. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **118**, 7591–7598 (2014).
44. Rack, P. D. *et al.* Determination of the Ce⁺³ bonding in the Ca_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Ga₂S₄:Ce phosphor via x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. *J. Appl. Phys.* **86**, 2377–2384 (1999).
45. Deng, S. *et al.* Mn–Ce oxide as a high-capacity adsorbent for fluoride removal from water. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **186**, 1360–1366 (2011).
46. Dexter, D. L. A Theory of Sensitized Luminescence in Solids. *J. Chem. Phys.* **21**, 836–850 (1953).
47. Cao, C. *et al.* Hydrothermal synthesis and enhanced photoluminescence of Tb³⁺ in Ce³⁺/Tb³⁺ doped KGdF₄ nanocrystals. *J. Mater. Chem.* **21**, 10342–10347 (2011).
48. Chai, R. *et al.* In Situ Preparation and Luminescent Properties of CeF₃ and CeF₃:Tb³⁺ Nanoparticles and Transparent CeF₃:Tb³⁺/PMMA Nanocomposites in the Visible Spectral Range. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **113**, 8070–8076 (2009).
49. Park, J. *et al.* Ultra-large-scale syntheses of monodisperse nanocrystals. *Nat. Mater.* **3**, 891–895 (2004).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Dream project (2V03410) and Flagship project (2E24572) funded by the Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), and the Pioneer Research Center Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (NRF-2013M3C1A3065040).

Author contributions

S.Y.K., Y.-H.W. and H.S.J. wrote the manuscript. H.S.J. designed the concept and the experiment method of the research. S.Y.K. carried out synthesis of materials, optical and structural characterization of the synthesized samples. Y.-H.W. analyzed experimental data. All authors reviewed manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/scientificreports>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Kim, S.Y., Won, Y.-H. & Jang, H.S. A Strategy to enhance Eu³⁺ emission from LiYF₄:Eu nanophosphors and green-to-orange multicolor tunable, transparent nanophosphor-polymer composites. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 7866; DOI:10.1038/srep07866 (2015).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>