ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The Lancet Regional Health - Europe

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/lanepe



Letter

Understanding the lung cancer mortality reductions produced by low-dose CT screening

Yuki Furukawa*

Tokyo Musashino Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; Department of Neuropsychiatry, University of Tokyo Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 12 September 2021 Revised 3 October 2021 Accepted 12 October 2021

I read with great interest the publication entitled "Results from the randomised UKLS trial: Lung cancer mortality reduction by LDCT screening confirmed in an international meta-analysis" [1]. The authors reported the results of the UKLS trial, which was prematurely stopped and therefore underpowered. Results were non-significant both for lung cancer mortality and all-cause mortality in this single trial, but when they were pooled with previous trials, they showed an improvement in lung cancer mortality, as well as in all-cause mortality. They reported the effect sizes, however, only in terms of relative risks, which often lead to readers' misinterpretations of the magnitude of benefits or harms [2,3]. Providing absolute risks together would be preferable [2,3].

I have calculated the absolute risk differences using numbers provided in the publication [1]. Given the control event rate among people aged 50-75 with an elevated risk of developing lung cancer as found in UKLS trial, which is, in the median follow-up period of 7.3 years, 23 per 1,000 (46/1,981) for lung cancer mortality and 134

per 1,000 (266/1,981) for all-cause mortality, low-dose chest CT screening would lead to 20 per 1,000 [95%CI 18 to 21] for cancer mortality and 130 per 1,000 [126 to 134] for all-cause mortality [1].

I believe that providing this information together with relative risks will make the interpretability of the publication better and enhance the implication of this research for policy making even more

Author Contribution

YF conceptualized the letter and wrote it.

Declaration of Interests

YF declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Field JK, Vulkan D, Davies MPA, Baldwin DR, Brain KE, Devaraj A, et al. Results from the randomised UKLS trial: Lung cancer mortality reduction by LDCT screening confirmed in an international meta-analysis. Lancet Reg Health Europe 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100179.
- [2] Schwartz LM, Woloshin S, Dvorin EL, Welch GH. Ratio measures in leading medical journals: structured review of accessibility of underlying absolute risks. BMJ 2006. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38985.564317.7C.
- [3] Akl EA, Oxman AD, Herrin J, Vist GE, Terrenato I, Sperati F, et al. Using alternative statistical formats for presenting risks and risk reductions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011. doi: 10.1002/14651858.cd006776.pub2.

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100259, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100179, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100184, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100256.

^{*} Corresponding author: Dr. Yuki Furukawa, MD., Tokyo Musashino Hospital, 4-11-

^{11,} Komone, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo, 173-0037, Japan, Phone: +81- 3-5986-3111