Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.keaipublishing.com/WJOHNS; www.wjent.org

Research Paper

CHINESE ROOTS

Dysphagia after chemo-radiation for nasopharyngeal cancer: A scoping review

Raymond Fong^{a,b,*}, Elizabeth C. Ward^{a,c}, Anna F. Rumbach^a

^a The University of Queensland, School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

^b Division of Speech Therapy, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

^c Centre of Functioning and Health Research, Metro South Hospital and Health Service, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

Received 19 February 2020; accepted 27 February 2020 Available online 10 April 2020

| KEYWORDS Nasopharyngeal carcinoma; Swallowing; Dysphagia; Deglutition; Scoping review | Abstract <i>Objective:</i> Nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC) has distinct characteristics regarding its global prevalence, initial presentation, management and patient outcomes compared to other subtypes of head and neck cancer (HNC). The mainstay of NPC treatment is chemo-radiation (C/RT) and while dysphagia is a known early and late toxicity of C/RT treatment, the nature of dysphagia post NPC treatment has had limited investigation. The objective of this review is to summarise the existing evidence regarding dysphagia following NPC to inform the future research agenda for this population. Dysphagia incidence, characteristic deficits observed across the phases of swallowing, efficacy of current dysphagia interventions, and effect on quality of life will be explored. <i>Data sources:</i> Databases including MEDLINE, CINAHL, Embase, Scopus and Web of Science were included. |
|---|---|
| | Methods: A scoping review was conducted according to PRISMA-ScR guidelines. Two indepen- dent reviewers screened selected full text articles. <i>Results</i> : Of the initial 2495 articles found, 28 articles were included. Reports of penetration and aspiration varied widely (0%–91.6%), with high rates of silent aspiration identified in 2 studies (42%, 66%). Oral, pharyngeal and upper esophageal phase impairments were reported. Of these, upper esophageal stasis and multiple pharyngeal stage deficits were most prevalent. The pharyngeal constrictors were found to have a significant dose–effect relationship and shielding to the anterior neck field was effective to preserve swallowing function. Six |

* Corresponding author. The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Rm 303, Academic Building No. 2, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong, China. *E-mail address:* r.fong@uq.net.au (R. Fong).

Peer review under responsibility of Chinese Medical Association.



https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wjorl.2020.02.005

2095-8811/Copyright © 2020 Chinese Medical Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).





treatment studies were identified with limited evidence supporting the use of neuromuscular electrical stimulation, dilatation and swallowing exercises. Quality of life was adversely affected.

Conclusions: Dysphagia is a prevalent early and late problem post NPC treatment, with impairments across all phases of the swallow. Studies on preventing dysphagia and treatment efficacy remain limited. More systematic study of the nature of dysphagia and the efficacy of treatment in this population is warranted.

Copyright © 2020 Chinese Medical Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Head and neck cancers (HNCs) are the ninth most common cancer in the world, with nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC) a subtype among them.¹ NPC is a non-lymphomatous carcinoma that develops in the epithelial lining of the nasopharynx.² It is unique in its highly specific regional distribution in the world, with the incidence of NPC the highest in the southern parts of China, followed by South East Asia and some artic areas in which Eskimos reside.^{3,4}

The histology of the main subtype of NPC is nonkeratinizing carcinomas. Compared with squamous cell carcinoma in other HNCs, they are more radiosensitive and respond better to radiation therapy (RT).² Therefore, treatment differs from the general head and neck cancer population, with chemo-radiation therapy (C/RT) being the mainstay of treatment instead of surgery.² Toxicities in patients who have undergone C/RT refer to the complications that they suffer from as a result of the treatment, as C/RT unavoidably affects structures adjacent to the tumor, impacting their corresponding functions. Toxicities post NPC tumor management are common and pose serious concern in the management of patients whose disease is in remission.⁵ Late toxicities post NPC differ from other types of head and neck cancers with endocrine and hormonal dysfunction, dental problems, hearing problems and central nervous system abnormalities frequently reported.³

An additional early and long-term consequence of NPC management is dysphagia (disordered swallowing).⁶ Although some studies have failed to identify dysphagia as a significant issue,⁷ others suggest high proportions of patients will experience swallow change long-term post NPC treatment.^{8–10} The specific nature of the deficits characterizing dysphagia post NPC also needs clarification. Limited numbers of studies, variability in the assessment approaches, and inconsistency in outcome measures used in the studies to date has limited the formation of a clear and comprehensive picture of presenting deficits across each stage of the swallow.

The physiological basis for these presenting swallowing deficits is also still unclear. The integrity of swallowing relies on normal function of the swallowing center in the brainstem, the key cranial nerves involved in the swallow, as well as normal function and integrity of the muscles and structures in the oral, pharyngeal and esophageal regions of the head and neck. NPC treatment has potential to impact many of these critical components — hence influencing the

efficiency and safety of the normal swallow post treatment. For example, the cranial nerves that exit the skull base innervating the swallowing structures are at risk of being damaged by C/RT because their pathway is often encompassed within the radiation treatment field.^{11,12} Although the exact mechanism for this radiation-induced cranial nerve neuropathy is not fully understood, ¹³ it is common in the NPC population, with lower cranial nerves usually more affected, ¹⁴ creating potentially compromised swallow function.

The issue of muscle fibrosis as a contributing cause to dysphagia has also been investigated. Yeh et al¹² found that 38.2% of the NPC patients in their large cohort study (n = 849) had fibrosis in at least one side of the neck post treatment. One of the factors found to be associated with fibrosis presence was a radiation dose of more than 62 Gy to the neck region, which is common in NPC management.¹² Lin et al¹⁵ also confirmed the presence of fibrosis in the pharyngeal and laryngeal muscles of the patients in their cohort (n = 19) which was impacting hyoid bone anterior movement and upper esophageal sphincter relaxation.

While dysphagia post NPC is a recognized consequence of treatment, and there is emerging evidence that both cranial nerve function and fibrosis may be contributing factors, there is still much work needed to fully understand the prevalence and nature of dysphagia post NPC management. Variability in methodologies, and a limited numbers of papers specifically examining the issue of dysphagia in this population means that the evidence for core questions such as: (1) how prevalent dysphagia and related complications are among NPC patients; (2) whether dysphagia in this population has characteristic deficits across the phases of swallowing; (3) whether there is any collective evidence to effectively prevent and manage dysphagia in this population; (4) what are the effects on the dysphagia-related quality of life – are only just emerging. For this reason, the purpose of the current study was to conduct a scoping review to map the research done in this area, and to identify any issues and existing gaps in the knowledge base in order to inform an agenda for future research into dysphagia and its consequences post NPC treatment. At the time this review was conducted the body of evidence was limited, and no prior review specifically examining dysphagia post NPC had been conducted. Therefore, the objective of the review was to provide a broad overview of the current research in this area rather than answering a single specific

question. For this reason, a scoping review was chosen over a systematic review, as it is the preferred method of revising an area where the topic has yet been extensively reviewed or the issues are heterogenous in nature.¹⁶

Methods

The scoping review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines.¹⁷

Search strategy and selection criteria

Primary searches (from inception to July 2019) were completed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, Embase, Scopus and Web of Science databases on 28th July 2019. The following keywords were used: "nasopharyngeal cancer or nasopharyngeal carcinoma" and "dysphagia or swallow or deglutition". Additional search terms included "NPC" "nasopharynx neoplasm", "swallow dysfunction", and "swallow disorder". The reference lists of all identified studies were manually searched for additional relevant publications. The search incorporated only one filter, which was to eliminate all non-English articles. Studies sourced from electronic databases were imported into Endnote X9 (Clarivate Analytics), where duplicates were removed. The title and abstract screening and full-text review were completed in Endnote X9 (Clarivate Analytics).

Titles and abstracts were independently screened for eligibility for inclusion using pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies were included if: (1) participants were adults (i.e., 18+ years of age): with a diagnosis of NPC that was treated using C/RT; (2) dysphagia or swallow-related structures were investigated as posttreatment toxicities from C/RT; (3) studies reported in full-text journal articles; (4) studies reported in English. Animal studies, editorials, conference abstracts, opinion papers and studies utilizing case study designs were excluded. Two independent reviewers screened the selected full text articles.

Data extraction and synthesis

One author (RF) independently extracted descriptive data regarding sample size, study type, age and gender of NPC classification, treatment type, participants, dysphagia diagnosis, outcome measures used and the major findings. To explore accuracy, data extraction was independently repeated for a randomly selected 10% of all included articles by a second author (AR). The articles identified were then classified into: (1) studies on the prevalence of dysphagia and related complications; (2) studies with outcomes specifically for different phases of swallowing; (3) studies on the efficacy on the prevention and treatment of dysphagia; (4) studies on the dysphagiarelated quality of life in this population. The results were compiled and a summary of findings in these four areas are discussed.

Results

Search, selection and methodological quality

A total of 2945 papers were identified from the database search of which 1150 were duplicates and were removed (Fig. 1). Titles and abstracts of 1795 articles were screened, with 39 deemed appropriate for full text review. After full text review, 28 studies were deemed suitable for inclusion and data extracted.

The methodological quality of all included studies was evaluated using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT).¹⁸ MMAT is a critical appraisal tool that was designed to appraise the quality of quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies. Considering the range of studies included in this review, this appraisal tool system was deemed appropriate to assess the quality of the included studies, despite their different methodologies. One of the authors (RF) first answered two screening questions, then after categorizing the study, five questions were answered regarding the corresponding study design. The article was considered of higher methodological quality with more affirmative answers across the questions. The average MMAT score was 3.82 (range 2–5) out of 5.

The majority of the 28 studies (n = 11, 39.3%) used a cross-sectional design to investigate swallowing function or specific physiological events of swallowing, 7-10, 19-25 while only two (7.1%) adopted a longitudinal design that investigated the swallowing function at different time-points.^{26,27} Six articles (21.4%) investigated the outcome of swallowing treatment,^{15,28–32} while 4 (14.3%) investigated or compared the effects of RT techniques on swallowing outcomes.^{33–36} Only two (7.1%) specifically investigated the related complications of dysphagia, 37, 38 while three (10.7%) investigated the impact on quality of life by dysphagia in NPC patients.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Key information relating to each of the 28 studies was reviewed and information extracted regarding: (1) prevalence and characteristic deficits across the phases of swallowing (n = 15, Table 1); (2) evidence for prevention and treatment (n = 10, Table 2); (3) studies relating to impacts to dysphagia-related quality of life (n = 3, n)Table 3).

Prevalence

The prevalence of dysphagia was determined either by patient or clinician reported outcome measures.⁴² Eight of the 28 papers contained mention of dysphagia prevalence figures based on patient self-report measures.^{7–9,19,21,25–27} These figures varied widely between studies from 13% to 93.5%.^{9,27} Twelve of the 28 papers contained mention of dysphagia prevalence figures based on instrumental assessment of swallowing as part of their data analysis (Table 1).^{7–10,19,21–27} The prevalence of penetration ranged from 0% to 91.6% while aspiration was seen in 0%–83.9% of the participants (Table 4).^{8,9,19,26,27}

Examining the methodology of the papers revealed multiple factors were contributing to this extreme variability. Papers varied in recruitment methods from large clinical cohort studies to small clinical samples and often selected from specific caseloads leading to potentially





Fig. 1 PRISMA flow diagram of search strategy and selection criteria.

biased sampling methods.^{7,8,10,21,25} Study designs were also different, including retrospective versus prospective cohorts,^{7–10,19,21–27} and all studies differed regarding when, relative to treatment, that the prevalence data was reported, with timing ranging from pre-RT to 47 years post treatment.^{10,26,27} Studies also varied in the tools used to determine dysphagia presence with 8 studies using video-fluoroscopic swallowing studies (VFSS) and 4 studies using fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES).^{7–10,19,21–27}

Of the 12 papers to mention dysphagia prevalence, Chang et al⁸ had perhaps the most robust study design regarding chronic or long term dysphagia rates. They sampled a large cohort of patients (n = 184) at an average of 9.83 years post-RT and used both patient self-report questionnaire and VFSS to measure the presence of dysphagia. They found clear evidence of chronic swallowing difficulties in their cohort, with 48.6% of patients reporting swallowing difficulty and 71.8% of patients confirmed to be aspirating.⁸

In addition to studies reporting on actual dysphagia prevalence, two other studies reported prevalence figures for dysphagia related consequences,^{37,38} such as pneumonia. In a nationwide population-based study, in which a

total of 3814 NPC patients who received curative C/RT were enrolled, it was found that 5.5% of the cohort had lateonset pneumonia.³⁷ Another study reported that 26 out of 217 patients (12.0%) were diagnosed with aspiration pneumonia and required hospital admission.³⁸ Other papers have discussed factors relating to increasing risk of dysphagia related complications. Yen et al³⁷ reported that the addition of chemotherapy did not increase the chance of lateonset pneumonia but re-irradiation was positively correlated with increased risk. Xiong et al³⁸ also reported that age was a strong risk factor for pneumonia post-RT in the NPC population.

Characteristics of swallowing impairment in NPC patients

Problems faced by NPC patients across phases of swallowing were reported in 13 papers (Table 1),^{7–10,19–27} and the specific characteristics observed, and functional deficits underlying these characteristics identified in each phase are summarized in Tables 4 and 5 respectively. Across the studies, instrumental assessments included VFSS (n = 8, 61.5%) and FEES (n = 4, 30.8%),^{7–10,19,21–27} while one

| Author | Sample size (gender) | Age (years, Mean \pm SD) | Tumour staging of participants | Treatment techniques (n) | Main objectives | Outcome measures | Main findings | MMAT quality rating |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---------------------------|
| Chang et al ²⁰ | 12 (1F:11M) and 12 age-matched controls | 45.42 | Stage II — 1; Stage III — 4; Stage IV — 7 | RT (12); Induction chemotherapy (10); Concurrent chemotherapy (11) | Evaluate the effects of RT on tongue function | IOPI | No difference of tongue function compared with normal pre- and post-RT; decreases in tongue function may not start until 2 months post-RT | 4/5 |
| Chang et al ⁸ | 184 (47F:137M) | 48.3 ± 11.6 | Stage I — 24; Stage II — 45; Stage III — 41; Stage IV — 71 | ⁶⁰ Co (46); Linear accelerator (138); Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (23);Combination of radiosensitizer (45) | Evaluate swallowing status and the factors influencing swallowing | VFSS and interview of participants for clinical symptoms | Swallowing abnormalities were noted in both acute and chronic groups. Swallowing function continues to deteriorate over time, even many years after RT | 5/5 |
| Chang et al ²⁷ | 76 (19F:57M) | 47.9 ± 10.7 | Stage I — 4; Stage II — 9; Stage III — 21; Stage IV — 42 | 2D RT (68); 3D-RT (8); Induction chemotherapy (55); Concurrent chemotherapy (65) | Evaluate over a 2-year period the serial swallowing function NPC patients post-RT | VFSS and purpose- built questionnaire rating clinical swallowing performance | At 2 years, patients had a progressively increasing pharyngeal transit time. Dysphagia symptoms subjectively identified decreased after the first month post RT | 4/5 |
| Cheng et al ²⁴ | 40 (9F:31M) | 53.9 | Stage I — 9; Stage II — 11; Stage III — 12; Stage IV — 8 | 2D-RT (18); IMRT (22); Chemotherapy (20) | Assess the relationship between suprahyoid muscle contraction, hyoid bone displacement, and penetration-aspiration status | Ultrasound and VFSS | Anterior hyoid displacement and pharyngeal constriction ratio were significantly associated with penetration-aspiration | 4/5 |
| Fong et al ¹⁰ | 134 (43F:91M) | 63.0 ± 11 | T1-T2 — 48, T3-T4 -46; N0—N1 — 54, N2-3 — 40 | Chemotherapy (90) | Investigate the prevalence and associated factors of cervical esophageal clearance issues | VFSS | Esophageal clearance issues are highly prevalent and may co- exist with more severe oral/pharyngeal deficits | 4/5 |
| Hughes et al ¹⁹ | 50 (11F:39M) | 49 | Not available | RT only (50) | Investigate the prevalence of long-term dysphagia in patients treated forNPC | Questionnaire, Clinical assessment, VFSS | Both Subjective and objective swallowing abnormalities are common after RT for NPC | 4/5 |

| Ku et al ²¹ | 100 (32F:68M) | 58 | Stage I – 8; Stage II – 25; Stage III – 35; Stage IV – 13 | RT only (100) | Investigate the laryngopharyngeal sensory deficits and aspiration | FEESST | Impaired pharyngeal contraction are more significantly related to aspiration than laryngopharyngeal sensory deficits | 4/5 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| Ku et al ²⁶ | 20 (6F:14M) | 43.9 | Stage I-II — 9; Stage III-IV — 11 | RT (20); Boost dose for parapharyngeal extension (17); Concurrent chemotherapy (11) | Study the incidence and the degree of swallowing dysfunction in patients with NPC after RT | | Swallowing problems persisted after 12 months of RT, predominantly having problems with pharyngeal stasis | 3/5 |
| Ng et al ²³ | 85 (23F:63M) | $\textbf{56.8} \pm \textbf{10.9}$ | Not available | Not available | Establish silent aspiration occurrence, safe bolus consistency, and their relationship with swallowing physiology in NPC patients post-RT | FEES | Silent aspiration of thin fluids is a common occurrence in dysphagic NPC post-RT, with least aspiration noted on soft diet | 5/5 |
| Patterson et al ⁷ | 18 (4F:14M) | 52.5 ± 13.06 | T1-T2 - 11, T3-T4 - 7; N0-N1 - 12, N2-N3 - 6 | RT only (5); Concurrent C/RT (13); Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (2) | Evaluate swallowing function in NPC patients with IMRT done | FEES and clinical assessment AusTOMs Oral health- related QoL | Characterised by bolus residue and delay, but no aspiration. Dysphagia was of mild or moderate severity on all measures | 2/5 |
| Phua et al ²⁵ | 18 (7F:11M) | 57.3 ± 8.1 | Not available | RT only (18) | Examine the effects of RT on dysphagia and dysphagia rehabilitation | Clinical assessment and VFSS | Non-oral feeding was needed in over half of the participants and following intervention, half of them could resume oral feeding | 2/5 |
| Wang et al ²² | 33 (8F:25M) and 10 controls | $\textbf{55.5} \pm \textbf{8.8}$ | Stage I – 3; Stage II – 4; Stage III – 11; Stage IV – 15 | RT only (33) | Quantitatively assess the movement of the hyoid bone and pyriform sinus stasis | VFSS | NPC patients experienced a reduction in hyoid bone displacement, occurring in a forward direction. The displacement of the hyoid bone was less in the aspiration subjects than in those without aspiration | 4/5 |
| Xiong et al ³⁸ | 217 (55F:162M) | 56 | Stage I – 22; Stage II – 67; Stage III – 74; Stage IV – 54 | RT only (84); C/RT (133) | Identify patients with NPC at risk of developing SRCIs | Retrospective chart review | Swallowing-related chest infection is common in NPC patients. Advanced age, C/RT and recurrent (continued on | |

| Table 1 (continued) | ntinued) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|
| Author | Sample size (gender) | Age (years, Mean \pm SD) | Age (years, Tumour staging Mean \pm SD) of participants | Treatment techniques (<i>n</i>) | Main objectives | Outcome measures | Main findings | MMAT quality rating |
| Van of al ³⁷ 3818 | 2818 | | oldelieve toM | DT only (533). 6 (DT | Access the invidence of | Detrocrective | cancer were strong risk factors for NPC patients with RT done to develop SRCIs | 1,5 |
| וכוו כר מו | 0100 (970F:2844M) | 1 ⊥ 1 | NUL available | (13,281); Single RT (3,285), 2nd course of RT (529) | Assess the incluence of late-onset pneumonia | chart review | Later-onset predmonia is not uncommon in patients with NPC after radiotherapy | 0 |
| NPC: nasoph tensity mod infections. | NPC: nasopharyngeal cancer; MMAT: Mixed Methods Appraisal Tr tensity modulated radiation therapy; FEES: fiberoptic endosc infections. | MMAT: Mixed Me therapy; FEES: | ethods Appraisal Toc fiberoptic endoscop | l; RT: radiation therapy; IOP oic evaluation of swallowing | Iowa Oral Performance In C/RT: chemo-radiation th | strument; VFSS: vide erapy; QoL: quality | NPC: nasopharyngeal cancer; MMAT: Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool; RT: radiation therapy; IOPI: Iowa Oral Performance Instrument; VFSS: videofluoroscopic swallowing study; IMRT: In- tensity modulated radiation therapy; FEES: fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing; C/RT: chemo-radiation therapy; QoL: quality of life; SRCIs: swallowing-related chest infections. | ; IMRT: In- ited chest |

(7.7%) used the Iowa Oral Performance Instrument (IOPI) to measure tongue strength and one (7.7%) used ultrasonography to measure suprahyoid muscle contraction.^{20,24}

Six of the papers identified the presence of issues with the oral stage of swallow, all using VFSS.^{8,10,19,24,26,27} Four studies used dichotomous parameters such as "poor bolus formation" and "increased mucosal coating", ^{8,19,26,27} while three reported the oral transit time (Table 4). ^{10,24,27} Chang et al²⁷ reported patients may have oral phase impairment prior to RT, characterized by oral stasis and increased mucosal coating, though this was not reported by Ku et al²⁶ in their studied cohort. After RT, oral phase impairments, specifically poor bolus formation and prolonged oral transit, were reported 1 year post-RT.^{26,27} In cohorts >1 year post-RT, an increasing trend of oral phase impairments were observed as time lapsed after RT across studies.^{8,27} The most common problem reported in the oral phase was increased mucosal coating, ranging from 32.9% to 96.5%.^{8,27}

To explain the observed deficits in the oral phase of the swallow, specific functions related to the tongue have been investigated (Table 5). In one study, the IOPI was used to measure tongue strength and endurance before and 2 months after RT.²⁰ It was found that the 12 studied NPC patients did not differ significantly from the matched healthy controls before or after RT. In another study using VFSS, no patient had lingual control problem prior to RT but 40% (n = 20) had impaired lingual control up to 1-year post-RT.²⁶

Pharyngeal phase impairments were more well documented (Tables 4 and 5), with 12 of the papers confirming issues with the pharyngeal stage of swallow. For studies that used VFSS, 4 studies used an array of dichotomous parameters such as "poor pharyngeal contraction",^{8,19,26,27} 4 studies included quantitative parameters such as hvoid bone displacement, ^{10,22,24,27} while one study only reported on the presence of aspiration.²⁵ For studies that used FEES, all used an array of dichotomous parameters.^{7,9,21,23} The prevalence of residue at the valleculae and pyriform fossa was reported to range from 0 to 100% (see Table 4). The prevalence of penetration and aspiration varied (0-91.6%)as discussed earlier. In patients prior to RT, Chang et al² and Ku et al²⁶ reported a low incidence of penetration (10%-14.5%) and aspiration (1.3%-10%), whereas the highest incidence of aspiration post RT were reported by Phua et al²⁵ (n = 18, 83.3%) and Wu et al⁹ (n = 31, 83.9%). Only 2 studies specified whether the aspiration was silent in nature. Wu et al⁹ reported 42% of all participants (n = 31) had silent aspiration while Ng et al²³ reported 66% of the 85 patients had silent aspiration. Ng et al²³ also found that it was more common on thin liquid and least likely on soft diet.

To explain the swallow deficits in the pharyngeal phase, a number of the studies investigated the physiological events in this phase of the swallow. The most commonly reported deficit was decreased pharyngeal contraction. A low incidence of such was reported prior to RT, observed in only 1.3%-10% of participants in two studies.^{26,27} After RT, across studies, prevalence of decreased pharyngeal contraction was reported to range from 1.3% to 92.0%,^{19,27} with the lower prevalence seen in studies with participants who had completed RT within 1-2 years prior to assessment.^{8,27} Incomplete hyoid bone movement was also

Sample size Age (years, Tumour staging Treatment Main objectives Outcome Main findings MMAT (gender) Mean \pm SD) of participants techniques (*n*) measures quality rating Prevention of dysphagia Cetin et al³³ 20 (5F:15M) 3D conformal – Stage I - 1; 3D Conformal RT Compare the effects of FEES and Self-No difference between 3/5 3D conformal RT and 43.1: IMRT – 43.8 Stage II -7; (10); IMRT (10) 3D conformal RT with rating of Stage III - 12 IMRT on swallowing symptoms IMRT in the swallowing function outcome 28 (7F:21M) 50.0 Stage I - 1; Whole-field IMRT Quantify the dose Clinical Central shielding was 4/5 Stage II -5; (20); IMRT with delivered to the effective in reducing assessment; acute RT toxicity of Stage III - 14;central shielding pharyngo-esophageal feeding tube Stage IV - 8(8); Induction axis usingIMRT placement dysphagia techniques and correlate duration chemotherapy (21): Concurrent with swallowing toxicity chemotherapy (23) 134 (33F:101M) 44 T1-T2 - 37, IMRT (134); Investigate the dose-Dose-volume Significant relationship 4/5 T3-T4 - 97: Neoadjuvant volume effect on the histogram and between dysphagia and N0-N1 - 59, chemotherapy swallowing function RTOG/EORTC radiation doses to the N2 - N3 - 75(48); Concurrent scale score and superior and inferior MDADI chemotherapy constrictor muscles (108) Messer et al³⁵ 72 (15F:57M) 52 ± 12 T1-T2 - 29. IMRT (72); Characterize the Signal intensity of Dose dependent 5/5T3-T4 - 39;changes in the MRI signal MRI decrease in the late T1 Induction N0-N1 - 28, chemotherapy intensity in dysphagiasignal intensity is N2 - N3 - 39associated structures as (49): Concurrent associated with higher chemotherapy (63) a function of RT RT doses to the superior pharvngeal constrictor muscle Treatment of dysphagia 13 (2F:11M) 42.0 T1 – 1. RT (9); C/RT (4) Determine the use of VESS and MDADI Balloon dilation was 4/5T2-T3 - 10;balloon dilation for effective in treating N0-N1 - 8, treating cricopharyngeal dysphagia, reduce N2 - 3 dysfunction aspiration risk and improve quality of life 62.5 Not available RT only (9) Study the effect of Incidence of Modified laryngotracheal 3/5 modified laryngotracheal separation is effective in pneumonia, separation on patients weight, nutritional eliminating intractable with intractable aspiration pneumonia. status aspiration pneumonia Careful patient selection

Summary of articles investigating the prevention and treatment of dysphagia post NPC. Table 2

Author

Fua et al³⁴

Jiang et al³⁶

Fong et al³²

Lei et al³¹

9 (9M)

is required

(continued on next page)

| Author | Sample size (gender) | Age (years, Mean \pm SD) | Tumour staging of participants | Treatment techniques (n) | Main objectives | Outcome measures | Main findings | MMAT quality rating |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| Lin et al ¹⁵ | 20 (8F:12M) | Electrical stim group — 52.3; Exercise group — 56.1 | Stage II — 3; Stage III — 10; Stage IV — 6 | Single RT (17); 2 courses of RT (3) | Assess the effectiveness of functional electrical stimulation on NPC patients | VFSS and QoL measures | Functional electrical stimulation was effective in improving swallowing function and its related quality of life | 4/5 |
| Long & Wu ²⁸ | 60 (31F:29M) | Treatment group — 56.5; Control group — 55.83 | Not available | RT (60) | Evaluate the therapeutic effect of (NMES and balloon dilation in NPC patients | Clinical assessment, VFSS | Combined NMES and balloon dilatation treatment were effective for improving swallowing function | 3/5 |
| Tang et al ²⁹ | 43 (11F:32M) | 49.3 ± 11.0 | Not available | RT only (43) | Evaluate the therapeutic effect of rehabilitation therapy on dysphagia and trismus in NPC patients | Clinical assessment of swallowing and jaw opening | Rehabilitation training can improve swallow function and slow down the progress of trismus in NPC patients | 3/5 |
| Wang et al ³⁰ | 12(3F:9M) | 52.58 ± 10.82 | Not available | RT only (12) | Evaluate the effect of cervical esophagostomy in NPC patients with severe dysphagia | QoL measures, incidence of pneumonia | Cervical esophagostomy can improve the life quality of patients with dysphagia induced by radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma | 4/5 |

NPC: nasopharyngeal cancer; MMAT: Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool; IMRT: intensity modulated radiation therapy; RT: radiation therapy; FEES: fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing; RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; EORTC: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; MDADI: MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory; C/RT: chemo-radiation therapy; VFSS: videofluoroscopic swallowing study; QoL: quality of life; NMES: neuromuscular electrical stimulation.

R. Fong et al.

18

| Author | Sample size (gender) | Age (years, Mean \pm SD) | Tumour staging of participants | Treatment techniques (n) | Main objectives | Outcome measures | Main findings | MMAT quality rating |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Li et al. ⁴¹ | 334 (90F:244M) | 51.07 ± 10.07 | Stage I — 5 Stage II — 49 Stage III — 163 Stage IV — 117 | 2D-RT (252), IMRT (82); Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (141); Concurrent chemotherapy (179) | Investigate the swallowing status and its impact on quality of life | VFSS and scores of the WHO quality of life — BREF | Dysphagia as proven by VFSS is negatively correlated to domains of the WHOQOL-BREF | 4/5 |
| Lovell et al. ³⁹ | 51 (11F:40M) | 46.0 ± 10 | Stage I-II — 19, Stage III-IV — 32 | RT only (20), C/RT (31) | Determine the impact of dysphagia on QoL in patients treated for NPC | QoL measurements | Swallowing difficulties negatively impacts quality of life in NPC patients | 5/5 |
| Tong et al. ⁴⁰ | 60 (18F:42M) | 34–71 | Not available | Not specified | Explore the perceptions and experiences of swallowing difficulties in NPC patients post-RT | Transcribed interviews on QoL | Informants' concerns focused more on the threat of cancer recurrence, thus paid less attention to the radiation-induced swallowing complication | 4/5 |

NPC: nasopharyngeal cancer; MMAT: Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool; RT: radiation therapy; IMRT: intensity modulated radiation therapy; VFSS: videofluoroscopic swallowing study; WHOQOL-BREF: World Health Organization Quality of life - BREF; C/RT: chemo-radiation therapy; QoL: quality of life.

| Table 4 Reported prevalence of sw Oral phase Oral phase | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Oral stasis | 27.6%-34.8% ⁸ |
| orat stasis | 69%-100% ²⁷ |
| Increased mucosal coating | 32.9%-72.4% ⁸ |
| mercased macosat coating | 96.5% ²⁷ |
| Poor bolus formation/transfer | 46%-54% ¹⁹ |
| Foor botts formation, transfer | 0-45% ²⁶ |
| Prolonged oral transit | 76%-96% ¹⁹ |
| Pharyngeal phase | |
| Residue at valleculae | 40.8%-78.3% ⁸ |
| | 0-100% ²⁶ |
| | 85.8%-100.0%27 |
| Residue at pyriform fossa | 39.5%-82.6% ⁸ |
| | 93.5% ⁹ |
| | 73% ²¹ |
| | 0-60% ²⁶ |
| | 54%-100% ²⁷ |
| Laryngeal penetration | 16.7% ⁷ |
| | 0-15.8% ⁸ |
| | 47%-62% ¹⁰ |
| | 0-68% ¹⁹ |
| | 17.6%-37.6% ²³ |
| | 22.5% ²⁴ |
| | 10%—35% ²⁶ |
| | 48.7%-91.6% ²⁷ |
| Aspiration | 0 ⁷ |
| | 0—4% ⁸ |
| | 83.9% ⁹ |
| | 17.0%-20.5% ¹⁰ |
| | 0-22% ¹⁹ |
| | 7 4% ²¹ |
| | 33.3% ²² |
| | 9.4%-73.0% ²³ |
| | 17.5% ²⁴ |
| | 83.3% ²⁵ |
| | 0-10% ²⁶ |
| | 9.7%-71.8% ²⁷ |

ment groups are indicated as ranges.

reported and the prevalence varied greatly among studies, ranging from 1.8% to 23.9%.^{24,27} Wang et al²² found that forward movement of the hyoid bone in terms of both displacement and velocity were significantly less compared to healthy subjects. Reduced pharyngeal contraction and hyoid bone movement were identified as risk factors for aspiration.^{21,22,24} Apart from them, the maximum opening of the cricopharyngeus muscle,^{22,24} the movement of the velum,^{9,19} initiation of swallow^{8,10,19,24} and laryngopharyngeal sensation have all been reported to be impaired.²¹

There has only been one study to date that has specifically examined esophageal phase impairments in NPC patients (Table 5). Fong et al¹⁰ investigated the prevalence and associated impacts of cervical esophageal clearance issues by VFSS. It was found that stasis in the cervical esophagus was highly prevalent, present in 82.8% and 97.0% of their participants on thin liquid and semi-solids respectively. There was also a trend of more severe or **Table 5**Reported prevalence of functional impairmentscontributing to swallowing deficits.

| contributing to swallowing deficits. | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Oral phase | |
| Impaired lingual control | 0—40% ²⁶ |
| Pharyngeal phase | |
| Velopharyngeal incompetence | 27.8% ⁷ |
| | 58.1% ⁹ |
| | 10% ¹⁹ |
| | 23.0%-95.8% ²⁷ |
| Delay or absence of swallow reflex | 87.1% ⁹ |
| | 36%—62% ¹⁹ |
| | 2.6%—52.1% ²⁷ |
| Decreased pharyngeal contraction | 1.3%-5.7% ⁸ |
| | 80.6% ⁹ |
| | 78%-92% ¹⁹ |
| | 69% ²¹ |
| | 1.8%-71.8% ²⁷ |
| Incomplete hyoid bone elevation | 8.7%–15.8% ⁸ |
| | 9 4% ¹⁹ |
| | 1.8%–23.9% ²⁷ |
| Impaired laryngopharyngeal sensation | 88% ²¹ |
| Esophageal phase | |
| Cervical esophageal clearance problem | 82.8%-97.0% ¹⁰ |
| Data reported for multiple time points, bolu | s types, or treat- |

ment groups are indicated as range.

opharyngeal impairments, including aspiration, with a greater impairment of cervical esophageal clearance. It was suggested that swallowing evaluation, in particular VFSS, for NPC patients should also include the cervical esophagus region in order to fully assess the swallowing function.

Prevention and treatment of dysphagia in NPC patients

Prevention of dysphagia by RT techniques

One of the ways to reduce the occurrence of dysphagia and its impacts, is to minimize the effect of RT on the structures of the head and neck. There were 4 studies that investigated the effect on swallowing function by different RT techniques and the dose-effect relationship (Table 2).³³⁻³⁶ Three different RT techniques were compared across two studies^{33,34}; 3D conformal RT, intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) and IMRT with anterior neck field shielding. Jiang et al³⁶ found that the severity of dysphagia was significantly correlated to the radiation dosage delivered to the superior and inferior pharyngeal constrictors. In other work, the dosage to the pharyngo-esophagus area and the duration of feeding tube dependence were both found to be significantly less in participants with shielding. However, one other study found no obvious difference in swallowing function when patients were treated with 3D conformal RT against IMRT.³³ More work is needed to determine if any modifications to RT dose and field can lead to positive benefits for swallowing outcomes in this population. As Messer et al³⁵ noted, although serial imaging was able to identify

the early and late radiation-induced changes in dysphagiaassociated structures, the relationship between functional changes and the structural changes needs further investigation.

Efficacy of dysphagia treatment

In this review, 6 included studies (Table 2) investigated the effectiveness of treatment of dysphagia.^{15,28-32} Two of the studies investigated the outcomes of surgical methods (cervical esophagostomy and laryngotracheal separation) and concluded that, after careful selection of surgical candidates, those surgeries can be beneficial to NPC patients in terms of prevention of pneumonia and improving quality of life.^{30,31} In the other 4 studies, effects of electrical stimulation, swallowing exercises and balloon dilation were studied. Lin et al¹⁵ concluded that electrical stimulation led to а significantly greater improvement on the penetration-aspiration scale when swallowing paste and the hyoid bone velocity when swallowing thin liquid than the regular exercise group.⁴³ The effect of rehabilitation exercises were investigated by Tang et al²⁹ and found that the rehabilitation group (tongue, jaw and swallow exercises) had significant improvement in swallowing function and trismus, as measured by water swallowing test and inter-incisor distance respectively.²⁹ The effect of balloon dilation on 13 NPC patients was investigated by Fong et al³² and it was concluded that after a single dilation done under endoscopy, the status of penetration-aspiration improved on swallowing thin liquid, there were also functional gain and also an improvement in the patient self-reported scores. Finally, the effect of a combination of electrical stimulation and balloon dilatation on NPC patients was investigated.²⁸ In that study, 60 subjects were recruited and 31 received both treatments and 29 were in the control group of which traditional strengthening exercises were prescribed. In both groups, there was significant improvement in all parameters following a 4-month rehabilitation program.

Dysphagia-related quality of life

The impact of dysphagia on the quality of life has been investigated in 3 studies (Table 3).³⁹⁻⁴¹ Lovell et al³⁹ conducted a cross-sectional survey of 51 NPC patients using the Washington Quality-of-life Questionnaire and the Swallow Quality-of-life Questionnaire,^{44,45} Li et al⁴¹ used the World Health Organization quality of life - BREF on 334 patients,⁴⁶ while Tong et al⁴⁰ used semi-structured interviews on 60 patients. Across studies, patients' self-reported swallowing difficulties ranged from 84% to 85%, 39,40 the problem was more apparent with solids.^{39,40} Discomfort during swallow was also frequently reported. 39-41 Patients with swallowing difficulties were found to have worse quality of life in all three studies. $^{39-41}$ Lovell et al 39 found that with increase in time-post-onset, the health-related quality of life showed improvement despite the negative impact of dysphagia. The authors postulated that this could be due to patients being more adapted to the condition or had a more realistic expectation of the condition. Tong et al⁴⁰ reported that the risk of aspiration was often neglected by patients themselves and they were not aware of the signs associated with it.

Discussion

The purpose of the review was to collate the findings from the literature on dysphagia in NPC patients. Using different instruments, the problems across the oral, pharyngeal and esophageal phase of swallowing were revealed. The literature also covered how C/RT affects swallowing function and whether the negative effects can be minimized, as well as whether swallowing treatment is effective on dysphagia. From the literature, it can be concluded that dysphagia is prevalent in the NPC population, regardless of the outcome measure used. The exact prevalence is difficult to be determined, but across studies that reported the incidence of aspiration the rates were as high as 83.9%,⁹ with negative long term consequences such as aspiration pneumonia reported in up to 12.0%. This figure was similar to what has been reported for head and neck cancer survivors.⁴⁷ These figures support that dysphagia is prevalent post NPC treatment and should be assessed and managed appropriately.

However, to inform management, further work is required to fully understand the nature of the swallowing impairments in this population. This scoping review has revealed that the few existing studies confirm deficits across all phases of the swallow, though again there is high variability in the evidence reported to date. Oral phase impairments have been investigated and oral stasis and increased oral mucosa coating reported.8,27 It was seen that, across studies, oral phase impairment was common regardless of the time lapse post-RT, and appeared to worsen with time lapse post RT.^{8,26,27} Although these swallow features would suggest lingual dysfunction, in the one study to date that used IOPI to measure tongue strength and endurance, NPC patients did not differ from the controls pre and 2 months post RT, suggesting that tongue strength and endurance were preserved after RT within 2 months.²⁰ However it is well understood that lingual function on non-swallow tasks such as strength and endurance tasks versus lingual function during bolus control and manipulation during swallowing do not directly equate. Therefore, the issue of whether lingual dysfunction exists in this population remains to be further investigated. It is also possible that other factors, for example xerostomia, could also be contributing to features such as mucosal coating.

Although limited in the number of studies conducted, the problems in pharyngeal phase have been more extensively investigated in the NPC population with both VFSS and FEES studies conducted. In most of the 13 studies currently available, the prevalence of penetration and aspiration were high. In one of the studies where a relatively lower prevalence of aspiration was reported, the authors postulated that it could be due to the fact that they all received IMRT and not two-dimensional RT, and the technique that was used was a single field technique and no anterior neck junction was applied. The laryngeal dose was also below 40 Gy, which may have better preserved the laryngeal vestibule closure during swallow in this cohort. Apart from that particular study, in most studies where more chronic patients were studied, the prevalence of aspiration was as high as 83.9%.⁹ NPC patients were also prone to silent aspiration and this is secondary to their impaired laryngopharyngeal sensory deficit as confirmed by Ku et al.²¹ The "silent" nature of aspiration could also be one of the factors why patients tend to under-estimate the severity of their swallowing problem, as revealed by Tong et al⁴⁰ in their semi-structured interviews. Hence the existing evidence supports that NPC patients should receive routine instrumental assessment of swallowing post C/RT to monitor their swallowing function and determine aspiration risk.

To date the esophageal phase has been the least studied phase of swallowing post NPC management. Currently, only one study has examined esophageal clearance problems,¹⁰ finding that difficulty with esophageal clearance was highly prevalent, and potentially was associated with aspiration. That study was a retrospective study, and acknowledged issues with the modified outcome measure employed. Therefore, much more research is needed to make any definitive statements regarding the extent of issues with the esophageal stage. In the future, the potential to include manometric assessments could also help understand the nature of esophageal phase deficits and the interaction between esophageal stasis and issues with the oropharyngeal phase in this population.

In the HNC population, compensatory strategies and rehabilitative exercises have been used to improve the swallowing efficacy.⁴⁸ The number of studies on the effectiveness of swallowing therapy in NPC population is very small. As such, it is difficult to draw any firm conclusions. In the literature, the effect of traditional muscle strengthening and swallowing exercises for NPC patients remains in question.²⁹ The use of electrical stimulation was reported to have significant effect on improving the status of aspiration.¹⁵ however, the results of those studies must be interpreted with caution due to the limited nature of the outcome measure used (the water swallow test) to document treatment effect. In particular, the high rates of silent aspiration in this population question the accuracy and use of that tool for this population. Equally, in the treatment study that combined dilation and electrical stimulation,²⁸ the exact therapy regime and dosage were not clearly described in the article. Balloon dilation alone was shown to be effective in one other study,³² however the study was a pilot study and the sample size was small and long term data on sustained benefit was not reported. In conclusion, the evidence regarding treatment options for dysphagia post NPC management is very limited and is another area that warrants further systematic research.

The potential for modified RT management to have positive impact on swallowing outcomes is another area with emerging evidence. The limited studies to date on the RT dose—effect relationship have suggested that dose to the pharyngeal constrictors is highly correlated with swallowing deficits over time.^{35,36} There are also studies that have identified superior swallowing benefits with more highly conformal RT techniques such as IMRT. IMRT has been shown to be more superior in tumor control and survival in NPC patients,⁶ it has now been adopted as the standard of care. Compared to older forms of 2 dimensional RT, potential swallowing benefits may have also been achieved through the adoption of IMRT.⁶ At present, the emerging literature supports that RT techniques, especially shielding and avoiding structures critical for swallowing (the pharyngeal constrictors), can be used to prevent, or at least lessen the severity of, dysphagia. $^{34-36}$

The current review has highlighted the need for systematic use of clinical and instrumental assessments to ensure that the extent of dysphagia in this population to detected and supported over time post NPC. However, additional tools, including those that assess quality of life are also critical to help understand how dysphagia is impacting the life of the individual patient.⁴⁹ Very few studies have been conducted to date, with quality of life reported to be adversely affected by dysphagia.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Though, it was also reported that the extent of the impact changed as time lapsed after RT,⁴⁰ or when dysphagia became more chronic.⁴⁰ As previously discussed, existing evidence suggests a trend of worsening of swallowing function over time post C/RT.^{8,9,27} It has been proposed that this pattern of improving quality of life, despite potentially declining swallow function long term, may simply indicate how patients adapt and adjust to having a swallowing problem - and how they feel it affects quality of life.⁴⁰ While a degree of long-term adjustment is expected for people living with chronic conditions, the impacts of dysphagia should be discussed with all patients to ensure that any patient needing psychosocial supports receives timely intervention and management.

Limitations

This was the first review paper to collate the existing evidence regarding swallowing and dysphagia management in NPC patients and there are a number of acknowledged study limitations. Firstly, the heterogeneity of the methods used across the existing literature made it very difficult to create summaries and/or compare problems reported in different phases of swallowing across different research. There was high variability in the instruments/ tools used, the bolus types assessed, and the parameters used to analyze the results – thus limiting the capacity to combine data or find common patterns in findings across studies. Secondly, the number of longitudinal studies were minimal (n = 2). Therefore, any discussion of changes to presenting features over time need to be interpreted with caution.

Conclusion

The pattern in the literature to date supports that dysphagia is a common long term toxicity in individuals with NPC after C/RT, although specific incidence figures remain highly variable across studies. Aspiration, particularly silent aspiration rates are reported to be high and instrumental assessments, though limited in number, highlight deficits in the oral, pharyngeal and esophageal stages of the swallow. The presence of dysphagia can impact quality of life in this population and should not be overlooked. More emphasis should be placed on patient education about potential swallowing changes post NPC management. Medical professionals who manage NPC patients clinically should also be aware of the risks and long term swallowing status of their patients. Future studies are needed in the area of effective treatment for this population. Further research into dysphagia, its nature and its consequences for the patient is still needed to achieve optimal management for this population.

Declaration of Competing Interest

None.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Raymond Fong: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Writing - original draft. **Elizabeth C. Ward:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing review & editing. **Anna F. Rumbach:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing.

References

- Gupta B, Johnson NW, Kumar N. Global epidemiology of head and neck cancers: a continuing challenge. *Oncology*. 2016;91: 13–23. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27245686.
- 2. Wei WI, Sham JS. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Lancet*. 2005; 365:2041–2054.
- 3. Lu JJ. Nasopharyngeal Cancer. Dordrecht, Dordrecht: Springer; 2010.
- Ng WT, Wong ECY, Lee VHF, Chan JYW, Lee AWM. Head and neck cancer in Hong Kong. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2018;48:13–21. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29145620.
- 5. Lee AW, Lin JC, Ng WT. Current management of nasopharyngeal cancer. *Semin Radiat Oncol*. 2012;22:233-244.
- Wei WI, Kwong DL. Current management strategy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol*. 2010;3:1–12. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20379395.
- Patterson M, Brain R, Chin R, et al. Functional swallowing outcomes in nasopharyngeal cancer treated with IMRT at 6 to 42 months post-radiotherapy. *Dysphagia*. 2014;29:663–670. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25115856.
- Chang YC, Chen SY, Lui LT, et al. Dysphagia in patients with nasopharyngeal cancer after radiation therapy: a videofluoroscopic swallowing study. *Dysphagia*. 2003;18:135–143. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12825907.
- Wu CH, Hsiao TY, Ko JY, Hsu MM. Dysphagia after radiotherapy: endoscopic examination of swallowing in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 2000;109: 320–325. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10737318.
- Fong R, Rumbach AF, Ward EC. Prevalence and associated impacts of cervical esophageal clearance issues post chemoradiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC). *Dysphagia*. 2020;35:99–109. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 30989394.
- 11. Lin YS, Jen YM, Lin JC. Radiation-related cranial nerve palsy in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2002;95: 404–409. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12124841.
- Yeh SA, Tang Y, Lui CC, Huang YJ, Huang EY. Treatment outcomes and late complications of 849 patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma treated with radiotherapy alone. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2005;62:672–679. http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15936544.
- Delanian S, Lefaix JL, Pradat PF. Radiation-induced neuropathy in cancer survivors. *Radiother Oncol*. 2012;105:273–282. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23245644.

- 14. Schiff D, Kesari S, Wen PY. *Cancer Neurology in Clinical Practice Neurologic Complications of Cancer and its Treatment*. 2nd ed. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press, Totowa, NJ; 2008.
- Lin PH, Hsiao TY, Chang YC, et al. Effects of functional electrical stimulation on dysphagia caused by radiation therapy in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Support Care Cancer. 2011;19:91–99. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20091057.
- Pham MT, Rajić A, Greig JD, Sargeant JM, Papadopoulos A, McEwen SA. A scoping review of scoping reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. *Res Synth Methods*. 2014;5:371–385. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 26052958.
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2018;169:467–473. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30178033.
- Hong QN, Gonzalez-Reyes A, Pluye P. Improving the usefulness of a tool for appraising the quality of qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies, the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT). J Eval Clin Pract. 2018;24:459–467. http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29464873.
- Hughes PJ, Scott PM, Kew J, et al. Dysphagia in treated nasopharyngeal cancer. *Head Neck*. 2000;22:393–397. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10862024.
- Chang CW, Chen SH, Ko JY, Lin YH. Early radiation effects on tongue function for patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a preliminary study. *Dysphagia*. 2008;23:193–198. http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18253792.
- Ku PK, Vlantis AC, Leung SF, et al. Laryngopharyngeal sensory deficits and impaired pharyngeal motor function predict aspiration in patients irradiated for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Laryngoscope*. 2010;120:223–228. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/19950372.
- Wang TG, Chang YC, Chen WS, Lin PH, Hsiao TY. Reduction in hyoid bone forward movement in irradiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with dysphagia. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91:926–931. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 20510985.
- Ng LK, Lee KY, Chiu SN, Ku PK, van Hasselt CA, Tong MC. Silent aspiration and swallowing physiology after radiotherapy in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Head Neck*. 2011;33: 1335–1339. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21837705.
- Cheng DTH, Lee KYS, Ahuja AT, Tong MCF. Sonographic assessment of swallowing in irradiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients. *Laryngoscope*. 2018;128:2552–2559. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29668108.
- Phua SY, Leow LP, Chan MFL. Delayed onset of swallowing impairment following radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC). Asia Pac J Speech Lang Hear. 2004;9:33–39.
- 26. Ku PK, Yuen EH, Cheung DM, et al. Early swallowing problems in a cohort of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: symptomatology and videofluoroscopic findings. *Laryngoscope*. 2007;117:142–146. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 17202944.
- 27. Chang YC, Chen SY, Ting LL, Peng SS, Wang TC, Wang TG. A 2year follow-up of swallowing function after radiation therapy in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2011;92:1814–1819. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21840500.
- Long YB, Wu XP. A randomized controlled trail of combination therapy of neuromuscular electrical stimulation and balloon dilatation in the treatment of radiation-induced dysphagia in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2013;35: 450–454. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22762238.
- Tang Y, Shen Q, Wang Y, Lu K, Wang Y, Peng Y. A randomized prospective study of rehabilitation therapy in the treatment of radiation-induced dysphagia and trismus. *Strahlenther Onkol.*

- Wang YJ, Chen WX, Zhang JL, et al. Cervical oesophagostomy in patients with severe dysphagia following radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Laryngol Otol. 2014;128: 142–146. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24472660.
- Lei W, Chai L, Guo J, et al. Modified laryngotracheal separation for intractable aspiration pneumonia in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma treated by radiotherapy. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 2013;40:298–302. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/23131321.
- Fong R, Sun N, Ng YW, Rumbach AF, Ward EC, Tsang R. Officebased cricopharyngeus balloon dilation for post chemoirradiation dysphagia in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients: a pilot study. *Dysphagia*. 2019;34:540–547. http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30888528.
- Cetin ZE, Eyigor S, Ozturk K, et al. Evaluation of the effect of various radiotherapy modalities on swallowing function in patients with nasopharyngeal cancer. *Ear Nose Throat J.* 2019;98: 566–570. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30966802.
- 34. Fua TF, Corry J, Milner AD, Cramb J, Walsham SF, Peters LJ. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: clinical correlation of dose to the pharyngo-esophageal axis and dysphagia. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;67: 976–981. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17234360.
- 35. Messer JA, Mohamed AS, Hutcheson KA, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of swallowing-related structures in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients receiving IMRT: longitudinal doseresponse characterization of quantitative signal kinetics. *Radiother Oncol.* 2016;118:315–322. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26830697.
- Jiang L, Huang C, Gan Y, et al. Radiation-induced late dysphagia after intensity-modulated radiotherapy in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients: a dose-volume effect analysis. Sci Rep. 2018;8:16396. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 30401941.
- 37. Yen TT, Lin CH, Jiang RS, Shih YT, Yen HR, Liang KL. Incidence of late-onset pneumonia in patients after treatment with radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a nationwide population-based study. *Head Neck*. 2015;37:1756–1761. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24989452.
- Xiong J, Krishnaswamy G, Raynor S, Loh KS, Kwa AL, Lim CM. Risk of swallowing-related chest infections in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma treated with definitive intensitymodulated radiotherapy. *Head Neck*. 2016;38(Suppl 1): E1660–E1665. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 26891064.

- Lovell SJ, Wong HB, Loh KS, Ngo RY, Wilson JA. Impact of dysphagia on quality-of-life in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Head Neck*. 2005;27:864–872. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/16114007.
- 40. Tong MC, Lee KY, Yuen MT, Lo PS. Perceptions and experiences of post-irradiation swallowing difficulties in nasopharyngeal cancer survivors. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl). 2011;20:170–178. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20412286.
- 41. Li H, Li L, Huang X, et al. Radiotherapy-induced dysphagia and its impact on quality of life in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Strahlenther Onkol.* 2019;195:457–467. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30689027.
- Schindler A, Denaro N, Russi EG, et al. Dysphagia in head and neck cancer patients treated with radiotherapy and systemic therapies: literature review and consensus. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2015;96:372–384. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26141260.
- **43.** Rosenbek JC, Robbins JA, Roecker EB, Coyle JL, Wood JL. A penetration-aspiration scale. *Dysphagia*. 1996;11:93–98.
- Weymuller Jr EA, Yueh B, Deleyiannis FW, Kuntz AL, Alsarraf R, Coltrera MD. Quality of life in head and neck cancer. *Laryn*goscope. 2000;110:4–7.
- McHorney CA, Bricker DE, Robbins J, Kramer AE, Rosenbek JC, Chignell KA. The SWAL-QOL outcomes tool for oropharyngeal dysphagia in adults: II. Item reduction and preliminary scaling. *Dysphagia*. 2000;15:122–133. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/10839824.
- 46. Skevington SM, Lotfy M, O'Connell KA. The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL group. Qual Life Res. 2004;13: 299–310. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15085902.
- **47.** Xu B, Boero IJ, Hwang L, et al. Aspiration pneumonia after concurrent chemoradiotherapy for head and neck cancer. *Cancer*. 2015;121:1303–1311.
- Langmore SE, McCulloch TM, Krisciunas GP, et al. Efficacy of electrical stimulation and exercise for dysphagia in patients with head and neck cancer: a randomized clinical trial. *Head Neck*. 2016;38(Suppl 1):E1221–E1231. http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/26469360.
- **49.** Chen AY, Frankowski R, Bishop-Leone J, et al. The development and validation of a dysphagia-specific quality-of-life questionnaire for patients with head and neck cancer: the M. D. Anderson dysphagia inventory. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2001;127:870–876.

Edited by Yi Fang