RESEARCH ARTICLE



High BANCR expression is associated with worse prognosis in human malignant carcinomas: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis



Shixu Fang, Zhou Liu, Qiang Guo, Cheng Chen, Xixian Ke^{*} and Gang Xu^{*}

Abstract

Background: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA (BANCR) is aberrantly expressed in various tumor tissues and has been confirmed to function as a tumor suppressor or oncogene in many types of cancers. Considering the conflicting results and insufficient sampling, a meta-analysis was performed to explore the prognostic value of BANCR in various carcinomas.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search of PubMed, Web of Science, EMBASE, Cochrane Library and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) was conducted to collect relevant articles.

Results: The pooled results showed a strong relationship between high BANCR expression and poor overall survival (OS) (HR (hazard ratio) =1.60, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.19-2.15, P = 0.002) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) (HR = 1.53, 95% CI: 1.27-1.85, P < 0.00001). In addition, high BANCR expression predicted advanced tumor stage (OR (odds ratio) =2.39, 95% CI: 1.26-4.53, P = 0.008), presence of lymph node metastasis (OR = 2.03, 95% CI: 1.08-3.83, P = 0.03), positive distant metastasis (OR = 3.08, 95% CI: 1.92-4.96, P < 0.00001) and larger tumor sizes (OR = 1.63, 95% CI: 1.09-2.46, P = 0.02). However, no associations were found for smoking status (OR = 1.01, 95% CI: 0.65-1.56, P = 0.98), age (OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.71-1.09, P = 0.236) and sex (OR = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.72-1.16, P = 0.469). The sensitivity analysis of OS showed that the results of each publication were almost consistent with the combined results, and the merged results have high robustness and reliability.

Conclusions: The results showed that elevated BANCR expression was associated with unfavorable prognosis for most cancer patients, and BANCR could serve as a promising therapeutic target and independent prognostic predictor in most of cancer types.

Keywords: Long noncoding RNA, BANCR, Cancer, Prognosis, Meta-analysis

^{*} Correspondence: kexixian86.88@163.com; xglhl333@163.com Department of Thoracic Surgery, The Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, 149 Dalian Road, Zunyi 563000, Guizhou, China



[©] The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Currently, cancer remains one of the major public health concerns worldwide [1]. Approximately 1,762,450 new cancer cases and 606,880 cancer deaths were predicted to occur in the United States in 2019 [2]. Notably, due to the rapid advancement of cancer research, treatment and diagnostic methods, cancer mortality has continuously decreased by a total of 27% in the last two decades [3]. In spite of this, the 5-year relative survival rate of patients is still unsatisfactory [4]. When cancer is diagnosed, many patients are already in the middle and late stages of the disease, and there is still no ideal effective treatment. Therefore, it is critical to explore specific and sensitive therapeutic targets and promising prognostic biomarkers for the effective treatment of cancer.

Increasing studies have suggested that long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), which are transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides that do not have the ability to code proteins, play vital roles in multifarious biological processes, including cell differentiation, growth, apoptosis, cell cycle and metabolism [5]. Moreover, abnormal lncRNA expression has been observed in various tumor tissues and is involved in the proliferation, invasion and metastasis of tumor cells [6–8]. A growing number of publications have revealed the great application value of long noncoding RNAs, including MALAT1 [9], CRNDE [10], ZEB1-AS1 [11], etc., in targeted treatment and cancer prognosis.

By using RNA-sequencing, Flockhart et al. originally found that BRAF-activated noncoding RNA (BANCR), a 693-bp lncRNA located on chromosome 9, was overexpressed in melanoma cells. Additionally, accumulating studies have suggested that BANCR is correlated with the metastasis and invasion of multiple tumor cells and could function as a prognostic biomarker for cancers such as gastric cancer [12, 13], hepatocellular carcinoma [14–17], renal cell carcinoma and non-small cell lung cancer [18, 19]. However, due to the small sample size and discrepant conclusions among those studies, the association of BANCR expression with the prognosis of patients is still undefined. Thus, a meta-analysis was performed to investigate the prognostic value of BANCR in various cancers.

Methods

Literature search strategies

A literature search was conducted in the electronic databases of PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, Web of Science and the Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) by using the following terms: ("BANCR" OR "Lnc RNA BANCR" OR "IncBANCR" OR "BRAF-activated non-coding RNA") AND ("neoplasm" OR "carcinoma" OR "tumor" OR "cancer"). The latest literature search was performed up to July 25, 2019.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The selection of studies was completed independently by two researchers. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) studies investigated the correlation of BANCR expression with the survival outcomes and clinical prognosis of cancer patients; (b) patients were classified into a high expression group and a low expression group in accordance with the primary literature; (c) the expression level of BANCR was detected by validated techniques; (d) publications provided sufficient and usable data to calculate the OR and HR; and (e) studies published in English or Chinese. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) publications exploring the molecular biological mechanisms of BANCR but not investigating the relationship between the expression level of BANCR and the prognosis of cancer patients; (b) reviews and meta-analyses, letters, animal studies, and conference literature; (c) studies without enough data to perform prognostic analysis; and (d) duplicate publications.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The data were independently extracted by two investigators (FSX and LZ), including first author's name, publication date, cancer type, sample size, overall survival (OS), recurrence-free survival (RFS), disease-free survival (DFS), TNM stage, tumor size, distant metastasis (DM), histological grade, lymph node metastasis (LNM), depth of invasion, smoking status, follow-up time of patients, detection methods of BANCR and HR, age and sex. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to assess the quality of the included articles, and high-quality studies had NOS scores greater than 6 [20].

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was conducted to calculate the pooled ORs and HRs with corresponding 95% CIs by using Review Manager 5.3 software (Cochrane Collaboration, London, UK) and STATA 12.0 software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX). A random-effects model was adopted when l^2 >50%, which indicated significant heterogeneity among the enrolled studies, otherwise, a fixed-effects model was applied. Publication bias was assessed by using funnel plots and Begg's test. When significant heterogeneity existed, subgroup analysis was conducted to explore the source of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to test the reliability and stability of the results by excluding each of the included studies one by one and then combining the effect sizes to determine whether the result of a single study significantly affected the overall result. Especially, when survival data could not be directly extracted and only Kaplan-Meier curves were provided in the primary articles, the Engauge Digitizer tool (Version 4.1) was used to extract the time-dependent survival rate from the

Kaplan-Meier curves, and the HRs and 95% CIs were calculated according to the method in [21]. Statistical significance was considered when P<0.05.

Results

Study characteristics

A total of 386 studies were identified from the databases; among them, 174 duplicate studies were excluded, and 158 studies were omitted after reading the abstracts and full texts. Furthermore, 16 publications did not investigate the association between BANCR expression and the prognosis of patients, 6 publications did not divide patients into high and low BANCR expression groups, and 12 publications lacked usable data. Finally, 20 eligible studies were included for qualitative and quantitative synthesis (Fig. 1).

Of these 20 studies with 1997 patients, 19 studies with 1847 patients were from China, and 1 study comprising 150 patients was from Iran [22]. The publication years ranged from 2014 to 2019, and the expression levels of BANCR were all detected by qRT-PCR for the following cancer types: lung cancer [19], hepatocellular carcinoma [15–17], osteosarcoma [23], papillary thyroid cancer [24–27], gastrointestinal cancer [28, 29], bladder cancer [30], malignant melanoma [31], breast cancer [32, 33], clear cell renal cell carcinoma [18], esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and endometrial cancer (details in Table 1) [22, 35, 36]. The NOS scores are presented in Table 2.

The association of BANCR with OS

A total of 10 studies comprising 1151 patients were included in the analysis of the relationship between BANCR and OS. The random-effects model was applied due to marked heterogeneity ($I^2 = 60\%$, P =0.008). The pooled results supported the conclusion that patients with high BANCR expression tended to have shorter overall survival (HR = 1.60, 95% CI: 1.19-2.15, P = 0.002, Fig. 2a). Moreover, subgroup analysis was conducted to explore the sources of heterogeneity based on cancer type, the level of BANCR expression (high BANCR expression vs. low BANCR expression), the method of HR extraction (direct / indirect extraction), sample size (less / more than 100 patients) and NOS score (score of 9 / less than 9). A strong correlation was revealed between high BANCR expression and poor OS for cancers in the digestive system (HR = 1.94, 95% CI, 1.38–2.73; *P* = 0.0001), for HRs extracted directly from articles (HR = 1.69, 95% CI, 1.44–1.99; P < 0.00001), for HRs from multivariate analysis (HR = 1.71, 95% CI, 1.47–2.02; *P* < 0.00001), for high BANCR expression group (HR = 1.72, 95% CI, 1.48-1.98; P < 0.00001), for studies with less than 100 patients (HR = 1.62, 95% CI, 1.11–2.35; P = 0.05) and for studies with more than 100 patients (HR = 1.57, 95% CI, 1.07–2.31; P = 0.02). No correlation between BANCR expression and OS was found for non-digestive system cancers (HR = 1.35, 95% CI, 0.86-2.13; P = 0.20), for HRs from univariate analysis (HR = 0.84, 95% CI, 0.41–1.75; P =



			cancer type	No. of	BANCF	? expre	ssion						detection	survival		HR	hazard ratios	follow-up
Study (Reference)	year	country		patients	high				low				method	analysis	cut-off	statistics	(95% Cl)	(month)
					total	LNM	HTS	DM	total	LNM	HTS	DM						
Guo Q [34]	2014	China	CRC	60	18	14	16	1	42	13	16	1	qRT-PCR	OS	mean-value	NA	NA	NA
He A [30]	2016	China	Bladder cancer	54	19		6	I	35	e	30	I	qRT-PCR	OS	NA	ΝA	NA	NA
Liu T [<mark>27</mark>]	2018	China	PTC	30	17	4	I	I	13	9	I	I	qRT-PCR	OS	mean-value	directly	NA	60
Li L [12]	2015	China	GC	184	92	60	67	12	92	43	51	0	qRT-PCR	OS	median	directly	1.511(1.025-2.227)	100
Liao T [24]	2017	China	PTC	92	29	14	4	I	63	30	22	I	gRT-PCR	I	NA	ΑN	NA	AN
Liu Z [<mark>35</mark>]	2016	China	ESCC	142	71	57	41	30	71	33	23	19	qRT-PCR	OS	median	directly	2.24(1.05-4.76)	60
														DFS	median	directly	3.45(1.92–6.20)	
Lou K [33]	2018	China	BC	65	34	24	18	I	31	13	9	I	qRT-PCR	OS	NA	SC	1.39(0.86–2.25)	120
														DFS			1.48(0.83–2.64)	
Shen X [29]	2017	China	CRC	116	53	32	I	I	53	17	I	I	qRT-PCR	OS	median	directly	2.24(1.22-4.11)	70
Sun M [19]	2014	China	NSCLC	113	53	19	11	I	60	40	30	I	qRT-PCR	OS	fold change	SC	0.5(0.26-0.94)	40
														DFS			0.34(0.18–0.64)	
Wang D [36]	2016	China	EC	30	15	9	7	I	15	-	, -	I	qRT-PCR	OS	median	NA	NA	NA
Wang H [37]	2016	China	HCC	108	43	29	35	I	65	23	20	I	qRT-PCR	OS	mean-value	NA	NA	NA
Jiang J [32]	2018	China	BC	216	125	63	60		91	17	18		qRT-PCR	OS	median	NA	1.585(1.298-1.935)	60
														RFS			1.532(1.272-1.844)	
Zhang J [<mark>26</mark>]	2018	China	PTC	60	17	9	I	I	43	30	I	I	qRT-PCR	OS	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sadeghpour [22]	2018	Iran	ESCC	150	75	I	38	41	75	I	17	10	qRT-PCR	OS	NA	NA	NA	NA
Peng Z [23]	2015	China	Osteosarcoma	84	42	I	30	20	42	I	16	14	qRT-PCR	OS	median	directly	2.934(1.12–7.67)	60
Zhao N [16]	2018	China	HCC	46	23	I	7	I	23	I	4	I	qRT-PCR	OS	mean-value	NA	NA	NA
Zhou T [17]	2016	China	HCC	109	54	I	37	I	55	I	21	I	qRT-PCR	OS	median	SC	4.24(1.32–13.61)	60
Su S [38]	2015	China	retinoblastoma	60	30	I	I	I	30	I	I	I	qRT-PCR	OS	median	directly	2.9(1.05-8.03)	60
Xue S [18]	2018	China	ccRCC	62	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	qRT-PCR	OS	NA	SC	0.77(0.24–2.47)	60
Chen Q [39]	2018	China	ESCC	80	39	30	I	I	41	16	I	I	qRT-PCR	NA	median	NA	I	NA
Note. BRAF-activat Bladder cancer; <i>BC</i> reverse transcriptic Disease free surviv.	ed noncc Breast ci in-polymu al; RFS Re	oding RNA; ancer; <i>ccRC</i> erase chain currence fr	; No. Number; Total: (C Clear cell renal cel n reaction; ESCC Esop ree survival	total patient I carcinoma shagus canc	ts in higl ; GC Gas :er; EC Er	h expre: tric can idometr	ssion grc cer; <i>LNN</i> ial Canc	up or l _i 1 Lymph er; SC Si	ow expr latic noc urvival c	ession ç de meta curve; di	group; A istasis; <i>E</i> irectly: H	<i>ISCLC</i> N MM Dist HR was	von-small ce ant metasta. extracted d	II lung canco sis; <i>HT</i> S High irrectly from	er; HCC Hepatoc n tumor stage (III n article; PTC Thyi	ellular carcin ,IV); NA Not roid Carcino	ioma; CRC Colorectal ca available; <i>qRT-PCR</i> Qua ma; OS Overall survival	ancer; <i>BL</i> intitative ; <i>DF</i> S

Table 1 Basic features of the publications included in this meta-analysis (n = 20)

Fang *et al. BMC Cancer* (2020) 20:870

Table 2 Quality â	issessment o	f eligible stud	ies (Newcastle-Ottawa	Scale) (NOS sc	ore)					
Author	Country	Selection				Comparability	Outcome			Total
(Reference)		Adequate of case definition	Representativeness of the cases	Selection of Controls	Definition of Controls	Comparability of cases and controls	Ascertainment of exposure	Samemethod of ascertainment	Non-Responserate	
Guo Q [34]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
He A [30]	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8
Liu T [27]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	9
Li L [12]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Liao T [24]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Liu Z [35]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Lou K [33]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Shen X [29]	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA	7
Sun M [19]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Wang D [36]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Wang H [<mark>37</mark>]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Jiang J [32]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Zhang J [26]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Sadeghpour [22]	Iran	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	00
Peng Z [23]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Zhao N [16]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Zhou T [17]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Su S [38]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Xue S [18]	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Chen Q [39]	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	00
Note: NA Not availabl	e									



survival (DFS); recurrence-free survival (RFS); BANCR: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; CI: confidence interval; Random: random-effects model; The random-effects model was adopted. The square size of individual studies represented the weight of the study. Vertical lines represent 95% CI of the pooled estimate. The diamond represents the overall summary estimate, with the 95% CI given by its width

0.65) or HRs extracted indirectly from articles (HR = 1.15, 95% CI, 0.52–2.56; P = 0.73). Detailed results are shown in Table 3. The poor prognosis related to BANCR was also identified by the positive association between high BANCR expression and short DFS (HR = 1.21, 95% CI: 0.33–4.41, P = 0.77) and RFS (HR = 1.53, 95% CI: 1.27–1.85, P < 0.00001) (Fig. 2b).

The association of BANCR with TNM stage

Fourteen studies including 1378 patients were enrolled to investigate the association of BANCR expression level with TNM stage. The random-effects model was adopted, and subgroup analysis was carried out due to significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 83.9\%$, P < 0.00001). The pooled OR showed a strong association between high

Table 3 Subgroup analysis of BANCR expression and overall survival (OS) in cancer patients

	No. of studies	No. of patients	Pooled HR (95% CI)		Heterog	geneity
			Fixed	Random	ľ²(%)	P-value
Overall survival	10	1151	1.56 (1.35–1.81)	1.60 (1.19–2.15)	60	0.008
Cancer type						
Digestive system	4	551	1.87 (1.40–2.50)	1.94 (1.38–2.73)	17	0.31
GC	1	184	1.51 (1.03–2.23)	1.51 (1.03–2.23)	-	-
ESCC	1	142	2.24 (1.05–4.76)	2.24 (1.05–4.76)	-	-
HCC	1	109	4.24 (1.32–13.61)	4.24 (1.32–13.61)	-	-
CRC	1	116	2.24 (1.22–4.11)	2.24 (1.22–4.11)	-	-
Non-digestive system	6	600	1.47 (1.24–1.74)	1.35 (0.86–2.13)	70	0.005
Respiratory system	1	113	0.5 (0.26–0.54)	0.5 (0.26–0.54)	-	-
NSCLC	1	113	0.5 (0.26–0.54)	0.5 (0.26–0.54)	-	-
Other system	5	487	1.59 (1.34–1.90)	1.61 (1.25–2.06)	15	0.32
BC	2	281	1.55 (1.29–1.87)	1.55 (1.29–1.87)	0	0.62
Osteosarcoma	1	84	2.93 (1.12–7.67)	2.93 (1.12–7.67)	-	-
retinoblastoma	1	60	2.90 (1.05-8.03)	2.90 (1.05-8.03)	-	-
ccRCC	1	62	0.77 (0.24–2.47)	0.77 (0.24–2.47)	-	-
Analysis method						
Univariate analysis	3	238	0.95 (0.66–1.37)	0.84 (0.41–1.75)	68	0.04
Multivariate analysis	7	911	1.71 (1.47–2.02)	1.79 (1.47–2.18)	11	0.34
HR estimation method						
Indirectly	4	349	1.07 (0.76–1.52)	1.15 (0.52–2.56)	76	0.006
Directly	6	802	1.69 (1.44–1.99)	1.69 (1.44–1.99)	0	0.49
number of patients						
more than 100	6	880	1.56 (1.33–1.82)	1.57 (1.07–2.31)	70	0.005
less than 100	4	271	1.62 (1.11–2.35)	1.71 (1.01–2.90)	36	0.2
BANCR expression level						
high expression	8	976	1.68 (1.45–1.96)	1.71 (1.44–2.03)	6	0.38
low expression	2	175	0.55 (0.31–0.96)	0.55 (0.31–0.96)	0	0.52
Quality scores						
Score = 9	8	973	1.54 (1.32–1.80)	1.61 (1.15–2.24)	64	0.007
Score < 9	2	178	1.78 (1.04–3.06)	1.48 (0.53–4.11)	61	0.008
DFS	3	320	1.29 (0.91–1.82)	1.21 (0.33–4.41)	93	0.00001
RFS	1	216	1.53 (1.27–1.85)	1.53 (1.27–1.85)	-	-

Note: *BANCR* BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; OS Overall survival; *DFS*: disease-free survival; *PFS* Progression-free survival; *Random* Random effects; *Fixed* Fixed effects; directly: HR was extracted directly from the primary articles; indirectly: HR was extracted indirectly from the primary articles; *NSCLC* Non-small cell lung cancer; *HCC* Hepatocellular carcinoma; *CRC* Colorectal cancer; *BC* Breast cancer; *ccRCC* Clear cell renal cell carcinoma; *GC* Gastric cancer; *LNM* Lymphatic node metastasis; *DM* Distant metastasis; *HTS* High tumor stage (III,IV);*NA* Not available; *ESCC* Esophagus cancer; directly: HR was extracted directly from article; *OS* Overall survival; *DFS* Disease free survival; RFS: recurrence free survival

BANCR expression and advanced tumor stage (HR = 2.39, 95% CI: 1.26–4.53, P < 0.001). According to the results of the subgroup analysis, a strong association between high BANCR expression and advanced TNM stage for digestive system cancers (HR = 4.01, 95% CI: 2.45–6.57, P < 0.00001) and female reproductive system cancers (HR = 12.25, 95% CI: 1.27–118.37, P = 0.03) was found; a negative association for non-small cell lung cancer (HR = 0.26, 95% CI: 0.11–0.61, P = 0.002) was found;

And no association was found for other system cancers (HR = 1.30, 95% CI: 0.40–4.27, P = 0.15) (Fig. 3).

The association of BANCR with other clinicopathological parameters

Other prognostic parameters were also assessed, and obvious correlations between increased BANCR expression and advanced lymph node metastasis (OR = 2.03, 95% CI = 1.08-3.83, P < 0.05) (Fig. 4), distant metastasis

	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
9.1.1 Digestive system	n malignan	icy			-		
Guo QH 2014	16	18	16	42	5.8%	13.00 [2.63, 64.16]	
Li L 2015	67	92	51	92	8.4%	2.15 [1.16, 3.99]	
Liu ZH 2016	41	71	23	71	8.2%	2.85 [1.44, 5.66]	_ - -
Wang LH 2016	35	43	20	65	7.6%	9.84 [3.88, 24.98]	
Zhao NN 2018	7	23	4	23	6.3%	2.08 [0.51, 8.40]	
Zhou T 2016	37	54	21	55	8.0%	3.52 [1.60, 7.77]	
Sadeghpour S 2018	38	43	17	32	7.0%	6.71 [2.10, 21.45]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		344		380	51.3%	4.01 [2.45, 6.57]	
Total events	241		152				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.20; Chi ² =	11.80,	df = 6 (P	= 0.07	; l² = 49%		
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 5.51 (P	< 0.000	01)				
9.1.2 Respiratory sys	tem malign	ancy					
Sun M 2014	11	53	30	60	7.9%	0.26 [0.11, 0.60]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		53		60	7.9%	0.26 [0.11, 0.60]	-
Total events	11		30				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 3.15 (P	= 0.002)				
9.1.3 Female reprodu	ctive syste	m malig	gnancy				x
Wang DN 2016	7	15	1	15	4.2%	12.25 [1.27, 118.36]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	_	15		15	4.2%	12.25 [1.27, 118.36]	
Total events	7		1				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable	0.00					
l est for overall effect:	Z = 2.17 (P	= 0.03)					
9.1.4 Other system m	alignancy						
He AB 2016	9	19	30	35	6.6%	0.15 [0.04, 0.55]	
Jiang J 2018	60	125	18	91	8.4%	3.74 [2.01, 6.99]	
Liao T 2017	4	29	22	63	6.9%	0.30 [0.09, 0.97]	
Lou KX 2018	18	34	6	31	7.1%	4.69 [1.53, 14.32]	
Peng ZQ 2015	30	42	16	42	7.7%	4.06 [1.63, 10.14]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		249		262	36.6%	1.34 [0.38, 4.79]	
Total events	121		92				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.82; Chi² =	33.77,	df = 4 (P	< 0.00	001); l² = 8	38%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.46 (P	= 0.65)					
Total (95% CI)		661		717	100.0%	2 30 [1 26 4 53]	
Total events	380	001	275		.00.070	2.00 [1.20, 4.00]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1 17: Chi ² =	80.64	df = 13/E	2 < 0 0	0001)· I² −	84%	
Test for overall effect:	7 = 2.67 (P)	= 0.009))	< 0.0	5001), 1 -		0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Chi	$^{2} = 33.2$	/ 4 df = 3/	Έ<00	0001) I ²	= 91 0%	High expression Low expression
Fig 3 Forest plot of the	rolationshin	hotwo	an RANCI	Revor	scion and	TNM stage Note: RANC	R. BRAE-activated popeoding RNA: CI: confidence
interval: Pandom: random	n offects me	, betwe		n expre	s model w	us adopted The square	size of individual studies represented the weight of

interval; Random: random-effects model. The random-effects model was adopted. The square size of individual studies represented the weight of the study. Vertical lines represent 95% CI of the pooled estimate. The diamond represents the overall summary estimate, with the 95% CI given by its width

of tumor cells (OR = 3.08, 95% CI: 1.92–4.96, P < 0.001) (Fig. 5a), advanced invasion depth (OR = 1.54, 95% CI: 1.06–2.24, P = 0.02) (Fig. 5b), worse histological grade (OR = 1.54, 95% CI: 1.00–2.383, P = 0.05) (Fig. 5c), larger tumor size (OR = 1.63, 95% CI: 1.09–2.46, P = 0.02) (Fig. 6) and more local tumor nodes (multiple / single) (OR = 1.78, 95% CI: 1.12–2.83, P = 0.01) were found. However, no associations were found for smoking status (smoker vs. nonsmoker) (OR = 1.01, 95% CI: 0.65–1.56, P = 0.98), age (old vs. young) (OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.71– 1.09, P = 0.236) and sex (female vs. male) (OR = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.72–1.16, P = 0.469) (Table 4).

Publication bias and sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the OS outcome stability among the included studies. We found that removing each study successively did not influence the overall results significantly (The overall HR value of the sensitivity analysis is: HR = 0.47, 95% CI: 0.18–0.77. The detail HR value with removing each study successively could be seen in Fig. 7, and no HR value exceeds the confidence interval of the combining result (95% CI: 0.18–0.77)), indicating that the results of each publication were almost consistent with the combined results, in other words, the merged results have high robustness

	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odd	ds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	1	M-H, Rar	ndom, 95% Cl	
8.1.1 Digestive system	m malignar	псу								
Guo QH 2014	14	18	13	42	7.6%	7.81 [2.15, 28.35]				1
Li L 2015	60	92	43	92	10.1%	2.14 [1.18, 3.87]				
Liu ZH 2016	57	71	33	71	9.6%	4.69 [2.22, 9.90]				
Shen XG 2017	32	53	17	53	9.4%	3.23 [1.45, 7.16]				
Wang LH 2016	29	43	23	65	9.3%	3.78 [1.67, 8.55]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		277		323	46.0%	3.41 [2.32, 5.00]			•	
Total events	192		129							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.03; Chi² =	4.73, c	lf = 4 (P =	0.32)	l² = 15%					
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 6.27 (P	< 0.000	001)							
8.1.2 Respiratory sys	tem maligr	nancy								
Sun M 2014	19	53	40	60	9.5%	0.28 [0.13, 0.61]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		53		60	9.5%	0.28 [0.13, 0.61]				
Total events	19		40							
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.22 (P	= 0.001)							
0.4.0.E										
8.1.3 Female reprodu	ctive syste	m malı	gnancy							
Wang DN 2016	6	15	1	15	4.6%	9.33 [0.96, 90.94]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		15		15	4.6%	9.33 [0.96, 90.94]				
Total events	6		1							
Heterogeneity: Not app	blicable									
l est for overall effect:	Z = 1.92 (P	= 0.05)								
8 1 / Other system m	alignancy									
	anynancy	10	2	22	1 10/	0 57 10 05 5 011				
liona L 2010	62	10	17	01	4.4%	0.57 [0.05, 5.91]				
	14	20	30	81	0.1%	4.42 [2.35, 6.35]				
	24	29	13	21	9.1%	3 32 [1 10 0 27]				
Zhang 11 2018	6	17	30	/3	8.0%	0.24 [0.07 0.78]			-	
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	223	50	260	40.0%	1.30 [0.43, 3.94]				
Total events	108		93	200	1010 /0					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1 23 [.] Chi ² =	22 68	df = 4 (P)	= 0.00	$(01) \cdot l^2 = 8^2$	2%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.46 (P	= 0.64)	u	0.00	01),1 0.	270				
	L 0.10 (i	0.01)								
Total (95% CI)		568		658	100.0%	2.03 [1.08, 3.83]			•	
Total events	325		263							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.95: Chi ² =	61.90.	df = 11 (l	- < 0.0	0001): l ² =	= 82%	—		- <u> </u>	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.19 (P	= 0.03)			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		0.01	0.1	1 10	100
Test for subaroup diffe	rences: Chi	² = 34.3	9. df = 3	(P < 0.	00001). I²	= 91.3%	H	gn expressior	Low expression	
Fig. 4 Forest plot of the	relationshin	hetwe	en BANCI	Rexpre	ssion and	lymph node metastasis	(INM) No	te: BANCR: BR	AF-activated nonco	dina
RNA: CI: confidence inter	val: Random	n: rando	m-effects	mode	I. The rand	dom-effects model was a	idopted. T	he square size	e of individual studio	es
, an additional states of the second states of the	of the study	Vertica	l lines rei	oresent	95% CL o	f the pooled estimate. Th	ne diamor	nd represents	the overall summar	V

estimate, with the 95% Cl given by its width

and reliability (Fig. 7). Potential publication bias was estimated by Begg's test. As shown in Fig. 8, slight publication bias was revealed among the included studies for OS (Pr > |z| = 0.245), TNM stage (Pr > |z| = 0.477), LNM (Pr > |z| = 0.493), DM (Pr > |z| = 0.042), histological grade (Pr > |z| = 0.245) and tumor size (Pr > |z| = 0.497). Consequently, there was no significant publication bias in this meta-analysis.

Discussion

BRAF-activated noncoding RNA (BANCR) was first found in melanoma cells by Flockhart RJ et al. and was reported to be involved in the occurrence and development of diseases, such as coronary artery disease, diabetic retinopathy and cancer [37, 40, 41]. After several years of investigation, an increasing number of studies have reported that BANCR could serve as both an oncogene and tumor suppressor gene in various cancers [15, 19, 39]. In addition, a growing body of literature has reported that aberrant BANCR expression could be detected in breast cancer, gastric cancer, esophageal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, endometrial cancer, retinoblastoma and osteosarcoma. High BANCR expression predicts poor survival outcomes, advanced TNM stages, positive lymph node metastasis, poor histological grade and earlier distant metastasis of

		High expre	ssion	Low exp	ression		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
А	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	To	tal Weigh	t M-H Fixed 95% Cl	M-H. Fixed 95% CI	
	Lil 2015	12	02			ap 2.20	6 28 73 [1 67 /02 89]		-
	Liu 7H 2016	30	71	19		71 54 99	6 2 00 [0 99 4 06]	⊢_	
	Peng 70, 2015	20	42	10		42 26 29	2 91 [1 14 7 40]		
	Sadeabnour S 2018	41	50	14		25 16.8%	2.31[1.14, 7.40]		
	Sadegripour 5 2010	41	50	14		20 10.07	0 0.00 [1.20, 10.40]		
	Total (95% CI)		255		23	30 100.0%	% 3.08 [1.92, 4.96]	•	
	Total events	103		43					
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3	.89, df = 3 (F	P = 0.27);	l² = 23%					
	Test for overall effect: Z	z = 4.64 (P <	0.00001)				High expression I ow expression	50
П		Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
В_	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
	Li L 2015	63	91	41	92	28.4%	2.80 [1.53, 5.13]		
	Liu ZH 2016	48	71	53	71	38.8%	0.71 [0.34, 1.47]		
	Shen XG 2017	35	53	27	53	20.7%	1.87 [0.86, 4.10]	+	
	Zhang JJ 2018	5	60	5	43	12.1%	0.69 [0.19, 2.55]		
	Total (95% CI)		275		259	100.0%	1.54 [1.06, 2.24]	-	
	Total events	151		126					
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	9.76, df = 3	B (P = 0.0)	02); I ² = 6	9%				10
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.26 (F	P = 0.02)					High expression I ow expression	,0
C		Experime	ental	Contro			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
0_	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	lotal	Weight	<u>M-H, Random, 95% C</u>	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
	10.1.1 Digestive syst	em maligna	ancy						
	Guo QH 2014	7	18	9	42	8.5%	2.33 [0.70, 7.75]		
	Li L 2015	46	92	36	92	16.9%	1.56 [0.87, 2.79]	T•	
	Liu ZH 2016	27	71	13	71	13.8%	2.74 [1.27, 5.91]		
	Shen XG 2017	15	53	17	53	12.9%	0.84 [0.36, 1.92]		
	Wang LH 2016	17	43	36	65	13.6%	0.53 [0.24, 1.15]		
	Zhao NN 2018	2	23	3	23	4.3%	0.63 [0.10, 4.21]		
	Subtotal (95% CI)		300		346	69.9%	1.25 [0.72, 2.17]	-	
	Total events	114		114					
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.26; Chi ² =	• 11.62, o	df = 5 (P =	= 0.04);	l² = 57%			
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.78 (P	= 0.44)						
	40.4.0 Nov. "								
	10.1.2 Nondigestive	system mal	ignancy		0-	0.001	1 00 10 00 0		
	He AB 2016	9	19	11	35	9.0%	1.96 [0.62, 6.20]		
	Liao I 2017	12	17	6	13	6.1%	2.80 [0.62, 12.66]		
	Su SZ 2015	22	30	18	30	9.6%	1.83 [0.62, 5.45]		
	Wang DN 2016	12	15	6	15	5.4%	6.00 [1.17, 30.72]		
	Subtotal (95% CI)		81		93	30.1%	2.43 [1.28, 4.63]		
	Total events	55		41					
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	= 0.007	r = 3 (P =	0.66); l	² = 0%			
		z - z./ I (P	- 0.007						
	Total (95% CI)		381		439 ·	100.0%	1.54 [1.00, 2.38]	◆	
	Total events	169		155					
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.20; Chi ² =	= 16.20, 0	df = 9 (P =	= 0.06);	l² = 44%			L N
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.97 (P	= 0.05)	,				U.U. U.T 1 10 10	JU
	Test for subaroup diffe	erences: Chi	² = 2.38.	df = 1 (P	= 0.12). I² = 58.0	%	TIGH EXPLESSION LOW EXPLESSION	
Fia.	5 Forest plot of the re	elationshin h	between	BANCR a	nd dist	ant metas	tasis, invasion depth ar	nd histological grade. Note: (a): distant metastas	sis:
(b):	invasion depth; (c): his	tological gra	ade. BAN	ICR: BRAF	-activat	ed nonco	ding RNA; CI: confiden	ce interval; Fixed: fixed-effects model. The fixed	-

effects model was adopted. The square size of individual studies represented the weight of the study. Vertical lines represent 95% CI of the pooled estimate. The diamond represents the overall summary estimate, with the 95% CI given by its width

tumor cells. However, several publications have shown that BANCR could act as a favorable prognostic factor in non-small cell lung cancer and renal carcinoma.

Based on the conflicting conclusions, some researchers tried to explore the potential molecular biological mechanisms of BANCR in the occurrence and development of cancer (Table 5). Flockhart et al. reported that the knockdown of BANCR may significantly downregulate the expression of 86 genes that are closely related to the migration and proliferation of tumor cell [41]. Su et al. detected high BANCR expression in retinoblastoma cells and confirmed that elevated BANCR expression promotes

	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	I M-H, Random, 95% CI
11.1.1 Digestive syste	em maligna	ancy					
Guo QH 2014	13	18	22	42	5.7%	2.36 [0.71, 7.82]	
Liu ZH 2016	39	71	36	71	8.7%	1.18 [0.61, 2.29]	
Shen XG 2017	32	53	29	53	8.0%	1.26 [0.58, 2.73]	- -
Wang LH 2016	20	43	30	65	8.0%	1.01 [0.47, 2.20]	-+
Zhao NN 2018	10	23	11	23	5.9%	0.84 [0.26, 2.68]	
Zhou T 2016	29	54	14	55	7.8%	3.40 [1.51, 7.63]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		262		309	44.2%	1.45 [0.96, 2.20]	•
Total events	143		142				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	0.08; Chi² =	= 7.06, di	f = 5 (P =	0.22);	l² = 29%		
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.77 (P	= 0.08)					
11 1 2 Respiratory sy	stom malic	voancy					
Sup M 2014	٥tern many 10	53	30	60	8 0%	0.28 [0.13, 0.60]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	10	53	39	60	8.0%	0.28 [0.13, 0.00]	
Total events	18	00	30		0.070	0.20 [0.10, 0.00]	-
Heterogeneity: Not and	licable		00				
Test for overall effect: 2	7 = 3.24 (P)	= 0.001)				
	2 - 0.24 (i	0.001	/				
11.1.4 Other system r	nalignancy	/					
He AB 2016	11	19	13	35	6.0%	2.33 [0.74, 7.28]	+
Jiang J 2018	93	125	52	91	9.2%	2.18 [1.22, 3.88]	
Liao T 2017	18	29	25	63	7.3%	2.49 [1.01, 6.14]	
Lou KX 2018	22	34	11	31	6.6%	3.33 [1.20, 9.22]	
Peng ZQ 2015	27	42	14	42	7.3%	3.60 [1.46, 8.85]	
Su SZ 2015	23	30	14	30	6.1%	3.76 [1.24, 11.38]	
Zhang JJ 2018	4	17	13	43	5.3%	0.71 [0.19, 2.59]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		296		335	47.8%	2.45 [1.74, 3.45]	•
Total events	198		142				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	0.00; Chi² =	= 5.30, d	f = 6 (P =	: 0.51);	l² = 0%		
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 5.16 (P	< 0.000	01)				
Total (95% CI)		611		704	100.0%	1.63 [1.09, 2.46]	◆
Total events	359		323				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	0.38; Chi² =	= 38.31,	df = 13 (F	P = 0.0	003); l² =	66%	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.36 (P	= 0.02)					High expression Low expression
Test for subaroup diffe	rences: Chi	² = 25.7	8. df = 2	(P < 0.	00001). I²	= 92.2%	There expression Low expression
Fig. 6 Forest plot of the	relationship	betwee	en BANCF	R expre	ssion and	tumor size. Note: BANCF	R: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; CI: confidence
interval: Random: random)-effects m	odel The	random	_effect:	s model w	as adopted. The square	size of individual studies represented the weight of

interval; Random: random-effects model. The random-effects model was adopted. The square size of individual studies represented the weight of the study. Vertical lines represent 95% CI of the pooled estimate. The diamond represents the overall summary estimate, with the 95% CI given by its width

the proliferation, migration and invasion of retinoblastoma cells [38]. Wang et al. found that high BANCR expression could be observed in HCC tissues and that high BANCR may induce the proliferation and invasion of liver cancer cells by inhibiting E-cadherin expression and promoting Vimentin expression. Zhang et al. suggested that downregulated BANCR expression drives aggressiveness in papillary thyroid cancer through the MAPK and PI3K pathways [26]. Lou et al. confirmed that the knockdown of BANCR expression could inhibit the proliferation and induce the apoptosis of breast cancer cells by promoting the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) process [33]. Additionally, it has been reported that the expression of BANCR is increased in colorectal cancer (CRC) and that BANCR could strengthen the migration and proliferation abilities of CRC by inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) via the activation of the MEK/ERK signaling pathway [34, 42]. Conversely, Liao et al. discovered that in papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) patients, the expression of BANCR was downregulated, which partially suppressed the proliferation, migration and invasion of PTC cells via the ERK/MAPK signaling pathway [24]. Likewise, Sun et al. observed a decreased expression of BANCR in NSCL C cells, and low BANCR expression may drive NSCLC cell invasion and metastasis by affecting EMT. In summary, the expression level and role of BANCR varies from cancer to cancer, possibly due to the differences between tumors. A comprehensive analysis is therefore needed to accurately assess the prognostic value of BANCR in cancer.

Considering the varied conclusions mentioned above, 20 studies with 1997 patients and 12 types of cancers were finally enrolled in this meta-analysis to explore the relationship between BANCR expression level and the

Clinicopathologic	No. of	No. of	Odds ratio (95% Cl)		d	Heterogeneity	
characteristics	studies	patients	Fixed	Random		12(%)	P-value
Age	15	1469	0.88 (0.71–1.09)	0.88 (0.71–1.09)	0.236	0.0	0.672
gender	13	1218	0.91 (0.72–1.16)	0.91 (0.70–1.18)	0.469	9.1	0.355
TNM (III + IV vs. I + II)	14	1378	2.27 (1.82–2.84)	2.39 (1.26–4.53)	0.008	83.9	0.000
Digestive system	7	724	3.69 (2.67–5.10)	4.01 (2.45–6.57)	0.0001	49	0.07
Respiratory system	-	113	0.26 (0.11–0.60)	0.26 (0.11–0.60)	0.002	I	I
Female reproductive system	-	30	12.25 (1.27–118.36)	12.25 (1.27–118.36)	0.03	I	I
Other system malignancy	5	511	1.89 (1.30–2.73)	1.34 (0.38–4.79)	0.65	88	0.000
LNM (present vs. absent)	12	1226	2.09 (1.65–2.64)	2.03(1.08-3.83)	0.028	82.2	0.000
Digestive system	5	600	3.35 (2.38–4.72)	3.41 (2.32–5.00)	0.00001	15	0.320
Respiratory system	-	113	0.28 (0.13–0.61)	0.28 (0.13–0.61)	0.001	I	I
Female reproductive system	1	30	9.33 (0.96–90.94)	9.33 (0.96–90.94)	0.05	I	I
Other system malignancy	-Ci	483	1.92 (1.30–2.84)	1.30 (0.43–3.94)	0.64	82	0.000
Tumor size (big vs small)	14	1325	1.56 (1.25–1.95)	1.63(1.09–2.46)	0.020	66.0	0.000
Digestive system	9	571	1.45 (1.04–2.03)	1.45 (0.96–2.20)	0.080	29.0	0.220
Respiratory system	-	113	0.28 (0.13–0.60)	0.28 (0.13–0.60)	0.001	Ι	I
Other system malignancy	7	631	2.44 (1.74–3.41)	2.45 (1.74–3.45)	0.000	0.0	0.510
Histological grade	10	830	1.47(1.10–1.97)	1.54 (1.00–2.38)	0.050	44.0	0.060
Digestive system	9	646	1.28 (0.92–1.78)	1.25 (0.72–2.17)	0.440	57.0	0.040
Non-digestive system	4	174	2.45 (1.30–4.63)	2.43 (1.28–4.63)	0.007	0.0	0.660
DM (present vs. absent)	4	485	3.08 (1.92–4.96)	2.87 (1.58–5.21)	0.001	23.0	0.273
Invasion depth (T3 + T4/T1 + T2)	4	534	1.54 (1.06–2.24)	1.37(0.66–2.83)	0.02	69	0.020
smoking (smoker vs. non-smoker)	m	330	1.01 (0.65–1.56)	1.01 (0.56–1.82)	0.98	41.0	0.184
local tumors (multiple/total)	4	355	1.78 (1.12–2.83)	1.89 (0.95–3.74)	0.07	45	0.140
Note: BANCR BRAF-activated noncoding R DM Distant metastasis; Fixed Fixed-effect r	tNA; <i>LNM</i> Lymph node model	metastasis; <i>Random</i> Ra	ndom-effect model; TNM TNM stage				

Table 4 Pool effects of Clinicopathologic characteristics in cancer patients with abnormal BANCR expression



prognosis of cancer patients. The pooled HR showed a marked association between high BANCR expression and worse OS. Considering the underlying heterogeneity and different expression levels of BANCR, a subgroup analysis according to cancer type, HR estimation method, the expression levels of BANCR, NOS scores and sample size was conducted to investigate the sources of heterogeneity, and obvious associations were found for the digestive system (HR = 1.87, 95%CI, 1.40–2.50, P < 0.0001), HRs extracted directly from articles (HR = 1.69, 95% CI, 1.44–1.99, P < 0.0001), HRs from multivariate analysis (HR = 1.79, 95% CI, 1.47–2.18, *P* < 0.00001), high BANCR expression group (HR = 1.72, 95% CI, 1.48–1.98; *P* < 0.00001), studies with fewer than 100 patients (HR = 1.71, 95%CI, 1.01-2.90, P = 0.01) and studies with more than 100 patients (HR = 1.57, 95% CI, 1.07–2.31, P = 0.01). On the other hand, through subgroup analysis, we can observe that the heterogeneities of some subgroups reduced significantly heterogeneity (Table 3), such as digestive system ($I^2 = 17\%$), other systems ($I^2 = 15\%$), multivariate analysis ($I^2 = 11\%$), direct HR extraction $(I^2 = 0\%)$, and less than 100 subjects $(I^2 = 36\%)$. Low heterogeneity suggests reliability, stability and persuasive of results. The unfavorable survival prognosis related to BANCR in cancers was also confirmed for RFS (HR = 1.88, 95% CI: 1.09–3.25). However, no associations were found between BANCR expression and OS for non-digestive system cancers (HR = 1.35, 95%CI, 0.86-2.13; P = 0.20), HRs from univariate analysis

(HR = 0.84, 95% CI, 0.41–1.75, P = 0.78) or HRs extracted indirectly from articles (HR = 1.15, 95% CI, 0.52–2.56, P =0.69). In addition, high BANCR expression was observed to be related to advanced clinical stage (OR = 2.39, 95% CI: 1.26–4.53, P = 0.008), lymph node metastasis (OR = 2.03, 95% CI: 1.08–3.83, P = 0.03, distant metastasis (OR = 3.08, 95% CI: 1.92-4.96, P < 0.00001), more local tumor nodes (OR: 1.78, 95% CI: 1.12–2.83, P = 0.01) (Figure S1), and larger tumor sizes (OR: 1.63, 95% CI: 1.09–2.46, P = 0.02) but was not related to smoking status (OR: 1.01, 95% CI: 0.65–1.56, *P* = 0.98) (Figure S2), age (OR: 0.88, 95% CI: 0.71–1.09, P = 0.236) (Figure S3) or sex (OR: 0.91, 95% CI: 0.72-1.16, P = 0.469) (Figure S4). In summary, despite serving as both an oncogene and a tumor suppressor gene in different cancers, the pooled results still support the conclusions of most primary studies that have shown that high BANCR expression indicates worse cancer prognosis. The results of the sensitivity analysis for OS showed that the overall results were not significantly affected by the arbitrary deletion of a certain study, which supported the stability of the results. In addition, slight publication bias was observed in the included studies. Therefore, the expression level of BANCR could be used to evaluate the prognosis of tumor patients in most cancers.

Although the relationship between BANCR expression and clinical prognosis has been assessed by Hu et al. and Fan et al. [43, 44], there are several differences between these previous investigations and our research. First, the pooled results revealed the significant association between high BANCR expression and worse OS



and RFS, advanced TNM stage and a high risk of lymph node metastasis, which failed to be concluded by a previous meta-analysis. Second, larger sample sizes and more cancer types were included in this meta-analysis. Third, comprehensive subgroup analysis was performed, and the correlations between BANCR and tumor size, histological grade, invasion depth, smoking status, number of local tumors, age and sex were first explored in this study, which were not investigated in the previous metaanalysis. Finally, the detailed molecular biological mechanisms of BANCR in various cancers were discussed and summarized. Nevertheless, there are some limitations in this meta-analysis: (a) most of the patients included in this study came from China, which may limit the generalizability of the results; (b) the sample size included was not large enough, which may affect the reliability of the results; (c) only 11 types of cancers were included to investigate the association between BANCR and cancer prognosis; thus, the conclusions of this study could not represent all cancers; (d) some HR values were extracted from survival curves, which may partly lead to extraction bias.

Table 5 Iransition c	of cell phenotyp	pe and relate	ed molecular mechanisms with abno	rmal BANCR expression in various cand	cers
Cancer type	Expression	Micro-RNAs	Targets	Functions	References
non-small cell lung cancer	down- regulation	_	MMP2; MMP9; N-cadherin; E-cadherin	epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)	[19]
hepatocellular carcinoma	up-regulation	-	Vimentin; E-Cadherin	migration, invasion	[17]
	up-regulation	-	Bcl-2; Bax; MEK; ERK; JNK; P38;	cell invasion, proliferation and migration and apoptosis	[14]
	up-regulation	-		cell proliferation and migration	[15]
	up-regulation	-	_	cell growth, migration and invasion	[16]
osteosarcoma	up-regulation	-	ZEB1	apoptosis	[11]
papillary thyroid cancer	down- regulation	-	AKT; MEK; ERK; JNK; P38;	proliferation, migration and invasiveness	[24]
	down- regulation	_	МАРҚ; РІЗК-АКТ	cell growth, cycle and apoptosis	[25, 26]
	up-regulation	-	Raf; MEK; ERK;	cell autophagy	[27]
colorectal cancer	up-regulation	_	Vimentin; E-Cadherin; MEK; ERK;	epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)	[34]
	up-regulation	miR-203	CSE1L	proliferation and invasion; cell sensitivity to adriamycin (ADR)	[42]
bladder cancer	down- regulation	_	-	apoptosis and migration	[30]
Malignant Melanoma	up-regulation		AKT; MEK; ERK; JNK; P38;	cell proliferation and migration	[31]
breast cancer	up-regulation	-	Bcl-2; Bax; PARP; Cleaved-caspase3	cell proliferation and invasion	[33]
	up-regulation	_	Vimentin; E-Cadherin; MMP2; MMP9; MMP14	cell migration and invasion	[32]
clear cell renal cell	up-regulation	-	caspase3; caspase9; CDK4; CDK6	cell growth, cycle and apoptosis	[18]

Table 5	Transition of	cell	phenotype and	related	molecular	mechanisms	with	abnormal	BANCR	expression ir	various	cancers
---------	---------------	------	---------------	---------	-----------	------------	------	----------	-------	---------------	---------	---------

Note: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; MMP2, The matrix metalloproteinases 2; MMP9, The matrix metalloproteinases 9; MMP14: The matrix metalloproteinases 14; PARP: poly ADP-ribose polymerase; EMT, Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition; ZEB1, zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1; MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase; ERK: extracellular signal-regulated kinase; JNK: Jun N-terminal kinases; CDK4: cyclin-dependent kinase 4; CDK6: cyclin-dependent kinase 6; NA, Not Available

Conclusion

carcinoma

In general, the high expression of BANCR is significantly associated with shorter OS and poor clinical prognosis, and BANCR may be treated as a biomarker and therapeutic target for cancer. High quality, larger sample size and multicenter studies are needed to further confirm the reliability of this conclusion.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12885-020-07177-6

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Forest plot of the relationship between BANCR expression and the number of local tumors (multiple/single). Note: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; Random: random-effects model. The random-effects model was adopted. The square size of individual studies represented the weight of the study. Vertical lines represent 95% CI of the pooled estimate. The diamond represents the overall summary estimate, with the 95% CI given by its width

Additional file 2: Figure S2. Forest plot of the relationship between BANCR expression and smoking status (smoker vs. nonsmoker). Note: BANCR: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; Fixed: fixed-effects model. The fixed-effects model was adopted. The square size of individual studies represented the weight of the study. Vertical lines represent 95% CI of the pooled estimate. The diamond represents the overall summary estimate, with the 95% CI given by its width

Additional file 3: Figure S3. Forest plot of the relationship between BANCR expression and age (older vs. young). Note: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; Fixed: fixed-effects model. The fixed-effects model was adopted. The square size of individual studies represented the weight of the study. Vertical lines represent 95% CI of the pooled estimate. The diamond represents the overall summary estimate, with the 95% CI given by its width

Additional file 4: Figure S4. Forest plot of the relationship between BANCR expression and sex (female vs. male). Note: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; Fixed: fixed-effects model. The fixed-effects model was adopted. The square size of individual studies represented the weight of the study. Vertical lines represent 95% CI of the pooled estimate. The diamond represents the overall summary estimate, with the 95% CI given by its width

Abbreviations

BANCR: BRAF-activated noncoding RNA; LncRNAs: Long non-coding RNAs; NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; CRC: Colorectal cancer; BL: Bladder cancer; BC: Breast cancer; ccRCC: Clear cell renal cell carcinoma; GC: Gastric cancer; LNM: Lymph node metastasis; DM: Distant metastasis; HTS: High tumor stage (III, IV); NA: Not available; gRT-PCR: Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; ESCC: Esophageal cancer; EC: Endometrial cancer; SC: Survival curve; directly: The HR was extracted directly from the article; PTC: Thyroid

carcinoma; OS: Overall survival; DFS: Disease-free survival; RFS: Recurrencefree survival; OR: Odds ratio; HR: Hazard ratio; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa scale; MMP2: Matrix metalloproteinase 2.; MMP9: Matrix metalloproteinase 9.; EMT: Epithelial-mesenchymal transition.; ZEB1: Zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1.; MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase; ERK: Extracellular signal-regulated kinase; JNK: Jun N-terminal kinase; Random: Random-effects model; TNM: TNM stage; Fixed: Fixed-effects model

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

XG and KXX participate in the Project design; FSX and LZ searched and screened the literature; FSX and CC performed the data extraction and analysis; GQ evaluated the quality of enrolled publications; FSX, XG KXX and LZ wrote the manuscript. The final draft was approved by all the authors.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Recipient: Xixian Ke, the Correpsponding author of this study; grant number 81960532).

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no underlying conflicts of interest.

Received: 17 January 2020 Accepted: 13 July 2020 Published online: 09 September 2020

References

- Henley SJ, Ward EM, Scott S, Ma J, Anderson RN, Firth AU, Thomas CC, Islami F, Weir HK, Lewis DR, et al. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, part I: national cancer statistics. Cancer. 2020;126(10):2225–49.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019; 69(1):7–34.
- Hashim D, Boffetta P, La Vecchia C, Rota M, Bertuccio P, Malvezzi M, Negri E. The global decrease in cancer mortality: trends and disparities. Ann Oncol. 2016;27(5):926–33.
- Siesling S, Visser O, Luth TK, Karim-Kos HE, van de Poll-Franse LV, Aben KK, Damhuis RA: Adult cancer patients are surviving longer in the Netherlands: 5-year survival rate increased by 12% between the periods 1989-1993 and 2004-2008. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd 2011, 155:A3169.
- Chen G, Wang Z, Wang D, Qiu C, Liu M, Chen X, Zhang Q, Yan G, Cui Q. LncRNADisease: a database for long-non-coding RNA-associated diseases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(Database issue):D983–6.
- Kung JT, Colognori D, Lee JT. Long noncoding RNAs: past, present, and future. Genetics. 2013;193(3):651–69.
- Chen X, Chen Z, Yu S, Nie F, Yan S, Ma P, Chen Q, Wei C, Fu H, Xu T, et al. Long noncoding RNA LINC01234 functions as a competing endogenous RNA to regulate CBFB expression by sponging miR-204-5p in gastric cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24(8):2002–14.
- 8. Chen LL, Zhao JC. Functional analysis of long noncoding RNAs in development and disease. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2014;825:129–58.
- 9. Gutschner T, Hämmerle M, Diederichs S. MALAT1 -- a paradigm for long noncoding RNA function in cancer. J Mol Med. 2013;91(7):791–801.
- Liang C, Zhang B, Ge H, Xu Y, Li G, Wu J. Long non-coding RNA CRNDE as a potential prognostic biomarker in solid tumors: a meta-analysis. Clin Chim Acta. 2018;481:99–107.
- Liu C, Lin J. Long noncoding RNA ZEB1-AS1 acts as an oncogene in osteosarcoma by epigenetically activating ZEB1. Am J Transl Res. 2016;8(10): 4095–105.

- 12. Li L, Zhang L, Zhang Y, Zhou F. Increased expression of LncRNA BANCR is associated with clinical progression and poor prognosis in gastric cancer. Biomed Pharmacother. 2015;72:109–12.
- Zhang ZX, Liu ZQ, Jiang B, Lu XY, Ning XF, Yuan CT, Wang AL. BRAF activated non-coding RNA (BANCR) promoting gastric cancer cells proliferation via regulation of NF-κB1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2015; 465(2):225–31.
- 14. Wang H, Li D. Clinical significance of BANCR expression in hepatocellular carcinoma. World Chin J Digestol. 2016;24(2):6.
- Li J, Wang J, Zhou W, Zhang S, Le Y, He R. Downregulation of BRAFactivated non-coding RNA suppresses the proliferation, migration and invasion, and induces apoptosis of hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Oncol Lett. 2017;14(4):4751–7.
- Zhao NN, Wang C, Lai CC, Cheng SJ, Yan J, Hong ZX, Yu LX, Zhu ZY, Zhang PR, Wang ZH, et al. Downregulation of BRAF-activated non-protein coding RNA in patients with hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncol Lett. 2018;15(5):7794–8.
- Zhou T, Gao Y. Increased expression of LncRNA BANCR and its prognostic significance in human hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Surg Oncol. 2016; 14(1).8.
- Xue S, Jiang SQ, Li QW, Wang S, Li J, Yang S, Zhang HM, Xu YF, Wang LS, Zheng JH. Decreased expression of BRAF-activated long non-coding RNA is associated with the proliferation of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. BMC Urol. 2018;18(1):79.
- Sun M, Liu XH, Wang KM, Nie FQ, Kong R, Yang JS, Xia R, Xu TP, Jin FY, Liu ZJ, et al. Downregulation of BRAF activated non-coding RNA is associated with poor prognosis for non-small cell lung cancer and promotes metastasis by affecting epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Mol Cancer. 2014; 13:68.
- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010;25(9):603–5.
- Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, Burdett S, Sydes MR. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. Trials. 2007;8: 16.
- 22. Sadeghpour S, Ghorbian S. Evaluation of the potential clinical prognostic value of IncRNA-BANCR gene in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Mol Biol Rep. 2019;46(1):991–5.
- Peng ZQ, Lu RB, Xiao DM, Xiao ZM. Increased expression of the IncRNA BANCR and its prognostic significance in human osteosarcoma. Genet Mol Res. 2016;15(1).
- Liao T, Qu N, Shi RL, Guo K, Ma B, Cao YM, Xiang J, Lu ZW, Zhu YX, Li DS, et al. BRAF-activated LncRNA functions as a tumor suppressor in papillary thyroid cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8(1):238–47.
- Wang Y, Lin X, Fu X, Yan W, Lin F, Kuang P, Luo Y, Lin E, Hong X, Wu G. Long non-coding RNA BANCR regulates cancer stem cell markers in papillary thyroid cancer via the RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway. Oncol Rep. 2018;40(2):859–66.
- Zhang J, Du Y, Zhang X, Li M, Li X. Downregulation of BANCR promotes aggressiveness in papillary thyroid cancer via the MAPK and PI3K pathways. J Cancer. 2018;9(7):1318–28.
- Liu T, Zhao Y, Wang L, Jia H, Cui D, Si Y, Wang H, Xue H. Effects of serine/ threonine-protein kinase B-Raf-activated long-chain non-coding RNA on apoptosis and autophagy in thyroid carcinoma cells. Zhong Nan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban. 2018;43(7):747–53.
- Wang R, Du L, Yang X, Jiang X, Duan W, Yan S, Xie Y, Zhu Y, Wang Q, Wang L, et al. Identification of long noncoding RNAs as potential novel diagnosis and prognosis biomarkers in colorectal cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2016;142(11):2291–301.
- Shen X, Bai Y, Luo B, Zhou X. Upregulation of IncRNA BANCR associated with the lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis in colorectal cancer. Biol Res. 2017;50(1):32.
- He A, Liu Y, Chen Z, Li J, Chen M, Liu L, Liao X, Lv Z, Zhan Y, Zhuang C, et al. Over-expression of long noncoding RNA BANCR inhibits malignant phenotypes of human bladder cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2016;35(1):125.
- Li R, Zhang L, Jia L, Duan Y, Li Y, Bao L, Sha N. Long non-coding RNA BANCR promotes proliferation in malignant melanoma by regulating MAPK pathway activation. PLoS One. 2014;9(6):e100893.
- 32. Jiang J, Shi SH, Li XJ, Sun L, Ge QD, Li C, Zhang W. Long non-coding RNA BRAF-regulated IncRNA 1 promotes lymph node invasion, metastasis and

proliferation, and predicts poor prognosis in breast cancer. Oncol Lett. 2018; 15(6):9543-52.

- Lou KX, Li ZH, Wang P, Liu Z, Chen Y, Wang XL, Cui HX. Long non-coding RNA BANCR indicates poor prognosis for breast cancer and promotes cell proliferation and invasion. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2018;22(5):1358–65.
- Guo Q, Zhao Y, Chen J, Hu J, Wang S, Zhang D, Sun Y. BRAF-activated long non-coding RNA contributes to colorectal cancer migration by inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Oncol Lett. 2014;8(2):869–75.
- Liu Z, Yang T, Xu Z, Cao X. Upregulation of the long non-coding RNA BANCR correlates with tumor progression and poor prognosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Biomed Pharmacother. 2016;82:406–12.
- Wang D, Wang D, Wang N, Long Z, Ren X. Long non-coding RNA BANCR promotes endometrial cancer cell proliferation and invasion by regulating MMP2 and MMP1 via ERK/MAPK signaling pathway. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2016;40(3–4):644–56.
- Wang H, Zhang N, Li G, Xu B. Proinflammatory cytokine IFN-γ, IncRNA BANCR and the occurrence of coronary artery disease. Life Sci. 2019;231: 116510.
- Su S, Gao J, Wang T, Wang J, Li H, Wang Z. Long non-coding RNA BANCR regulates growth and metastasis and is associated with poor prognosis in retinoblastoma. Tumour Biol. 2015;36(9):7205–11.
- Chen Q, Zheng Y, Wu B, Chen X, Sun F, Ge P, Wang P. BANCR regulates the cell invasion and migration in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma through Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. OncoTargets Ther. 2019;12:9319–27.
- Zhang X, Zou X, Li Y, Wang Y. Downregulation of IncRNA BANCR participates in the development of retinopathy among diabetic patients. Exp Ther Med. 2019;17(5):4132–8.
- Flockhart RJ, Webster DE, Qu K, Mascarenhas N, Kovalski J, Kretz M, Khavari PA. BRAFV600E remodels the melanocyte transcriptome and induces BANCR to regulate melanoma cell migration. Genome Res. 2012;22(6):1006–14.
- Ma S, Yang D, Liu Y, Wang Y, Lin T, Li Y, Yang S, Zhang W, Zhang R. LncRNA BANCR promotes tumorigenesis and enhances adriamycin resistance in colorectal cancer. Aging. 2018;10(8):2062–78.
- Fan YH, Ye MH, Wu L, Wu MJ, Lu SG, Zhu XG. BRAF-activated IncRNA predicts gastrointestinal cancer patient prognosis: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget. 2017;8(4):6295–303.
- Hu L, Li M, Pu L, Ding Y, Liu J, Xiong S. The different prognostic value of long non-coding RNA BANCR in human cancers. Minerva Med. 2017;108(1): 97–100.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

