



www.bioinformation.net **Volume 16(9)**

Research Article

Molecular docking analysis of DNA ligase from *Staphylococcus aureus* with identical polysaccharides

Anitha Roy¹, Aneymol Varikalam Sleeba², Rini Joy³, Ponnulakshmi Rajagopal⁴

¹Department of Pharmacology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; ²Department of Microbiology, St. Xavier's College for Women, Aluva, Kerala-683101, India; ³Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, SRM Dental College and Hospitals, Ramapuram, Chennai - 600089, Tamil Nadu, India; ⁴Central Research Laboratory, Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education and Research (Deemed to be Universiy) Chennai-600 078, India; *Corresponding author: Dr. Anitha Roy - Email: anitharoy2015@gmail.com;

Submitted on July 31, 2020; Revision August 13, 2020; Accepted August 13, 2020; Published September 30, 2020

DOI: 10.6026/97320630016719

The authors are responsible for the content of this article. The Editorial and the publisher has taken reasonable steps to check the content of the article with reference to publishing ethics with adequate peer reviews deposited at PUBLONS.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The authors state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Abstract:

Staphylococcus aureus has been recognized as an important human pathogen for more than 100 years. DNA ligase is the main protein responsible for the replication of S. aureus. DNA ligase was selected as successive target to control the replication mechanism. The antibacterial activity of polysaccharide is known. Therefore, it is of interest to study the activity of Polysaccharide analogues against DNA ligase in *S. aureus* using molecular docking analysis. We report ten analogues using scoring parameters with best two analogues as potential drug candidate for the combat of *S. aureus* infection.

Key words: S.aureus, DNA ligase, Polysaccharide, Molecular docking

Background:

Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is an infective bacterium that can cause many diseases and it has become a major problem worldwide **[1].** Bacterial pathogenicity involves skin and soft tissue infections, bone, joint and implant infections, pneumonia, septicemia and various toxicities such as toxic shock syndrome, scalded skin

syndrome, bloodstream infections, osteomyelitis, septic arthritis and device-related infections, necrotizing fasciitis and abscesses **[2]**. Increased prevalence of drug resistance with bacterial pathogens, such as *S. aureus* has encouraged the development of strategies targeting previously untapped antibiotic mechanisms **[3, 4]**. With an imminent crisis of antimicrobial resistance, there seems to be an



urgent need to develop novel antimicrobials to fight complicated infections with multidrug resistant (MDR) pathogenic microorganisms. Desirable targets for new antimicrobials can be identified among the genes that are essential for the survival of bacteria. DNA replication is important for cell survival showing attractive targets for antimicrobials [5].

DNA ligases are the main enzyme involved in DNA repair and replication. Prokaryotic DNA ligases use NAD+ as an adenylate donor during catalysis, while eucaryotic enzymes use ATP [6]. Bacterial NAD(+)-dependent DNA ligases have also been widely studied as potential antibacterial targets due to their importance and structural differentiation from human ATP-dependent DNA ligases [7]. Therefore, it is of interest to study the activity of Polysaccharide analogues against DNA ligase in *S. aureus* using molecular docking analysis.

Material and Methods:

Target protein structure preparation:

The targeted protein DNA ligase protein (PDB ID: 3JSN) structure was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) **[8]** and processed using discovery studio 2.1 The energy minimization was eventually carried out by adding the CHARMM force field.

Ligand Preparation:

The 2-D structure of polysaccharide and its analogue structures were downloaded from pubchem database [9]. Such 2-D structures have been converted into 3-D structures using the Online Smiles Translator. H-bonds were used and the energy of the compound was reduced using the CHARMM force field. The properties of Lipinski, such as molecular weight, log P and number of hydrogenbond donors and acceptors for active compounds, have been determined.

Active site prediction:

Active site residues of DNA ligase were predicted using the discovery studio 2.1.

Molecular docking:

The docking studies between the DNA ligase and polysaccharide and its analogues were performed by Lip dock in Discovery studio (Version 2.1, Accelry's Software Inc.) **[10].** The active protein site was identified using the 9 Å distance volume for the site gap based on the binding site module. The Libdock method was applied to position the conformation of the compounds precisely inside the binding site. Binding score ligand poses and other score functions may be used to assess the goodness of a docking test to screen a top-ranked pose for ligands. Binding strength, hydrogen bond connections and Libdock score can be obtained in the current study and then used for final criteria.

Results and Discussion:

The binding site is using a 9Å distance volume for site opening centered on a binding site module. The Libdock technique was then applied correctly to the position of the ligand in the active site. The process was carried out using the libdock module. The binding results show score ligand poses and multiple score parameters to calculate the effectiveness of the docking test in order to find a top-ranked pose for ligands. The binding site was defined in light red color circle as shown in **Figure 1a**.

Prepared compounds have been docked with target protein using the LibDock module in Discover Studio 3.2 and hundreds of pose conformations have been produced for each compound. On the basis of absolute energy, hydrogen bond interactions and Libdock ranking, the best analogs were picked. For the best conformation of the DNA ligase to the polysaccharide complex, the binding energy (136.708 kcal / mol) and the docking value (63.722) are reported. Docked complexes was visualized using discovery studio and shown in the Figure 1b, c & d. Among the selected analogues based on the scoring parameters the analogue (Pub chem id: 312811) was selected as one of the best analogue having good interaction with DNA ligase. The binding energy (133.894 kcal / mol) and docking score (63.918) were reported. The presence of hydrogen bonds is a vital criterion in identifying the binding affinity of a target with the drug for interaction. This analogue compound forms 5 H-bond interaction with LYS-112, ILE-113, ALA-117, ARG-133 & ARG-194 residues of DNA ligase protein (Figure 1c). A good receptor-ligand interaction is supported with the presence of a hydrogen bond interaction at a distance less than 3Å. Results also showed the ligand-receptor complexes with hydrogen bonding and all the bonds were within a distance less than 3 Å. The compound have a stable and a good affinity with the DNA ligase protein. Likewise yet another analogue (Pubchem ID : 266653) have the adequate binding energy of (124,962 kcal / mol) and the docking value (84,714) as shown in Table 1. It showed H-bond interaction through LYS-112 & ARG-194 amino acid residue (Figure 1d). We show that the amino acid residue LYS-112 & ARG-194 alternatively forms a H- bond interaction with DNA ligase protein. Thus, these two residues act as key residues for function in the target.

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print) Bioinformation 16(9): 719-724 (2020)



Compound No	Docking Score (kcal/mol)	Energy	No. of H bond	Interacting amino acids	H- bond length A ⁰
		(kcal/mol)			
	63.722	136.708	2	ALA-117	2.3
				AGR-133	2
Polysaccharide (CID: 195668)					
5	63.918	133.894	5	LYS-112	2.3
				ILE-113	2.1
				ALA-117	2.2
				ARG-133	2.1
Pubchem ID: 312811				ARG-194	2
	84.714	124.962	2	LYS-112	1.7
Pubchem ID : 266653				ARG-194	2



Figure 1: 1a) Predicted site region of DNA ligase. 1 b) Molecular interaction of polysaccharide with DNA ligase. 1c) Molecular interaction of best analogues 1 with DNA ligase. 1d) Molecular interaction of best analogues 2 with DNA ligase.

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print) Bioinformation 16(9): 719-724 (2020)



Conclusion:

We report two analogues as potential drug candidates with DNA ligase for further consideration towards the combat of *S. aureus* infection.

Conflict of interests:

None declared.

References:

- [1] Kuehnert MJ *et al.* Emerg Infect Dis 2005 **11**:868. [PMID: 15963281].
- [2] Monecke S *et al. PLoS One* 2004:e17936. [PMID: 21494333].
- [3] Pfaller MA et al. Antimicroz Agents Chemother 1998 42:1762. [PMID: 9661018].

- [4] Sader HS *et al. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998 **32:**289. [PMID: 9934546].
- [5] Vijayalakshmi P *et al. J Recept Signal Transduct Res.* 2015 35:15. [PMID: 25055026].
- [6] Howes TR, et al. Subcell Biochem. 2012 62:327. [PMID: 22918593].
- [7] Mills SD, et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011 55:1088.
 [PMID: 21189350]
- [8] Bernstein FC, et al. Arch Biochem Biophys 1978 185:584. [PMID: 626512]
- [9] Yanli *et al*.Nucleic Acids Res 2010 **38:**D255 [PMID: 19933261]
- [10] Daisy et al. J Chem Pharm Res 2011 3:557.

Edited by P Kangueane

Citation: Roy et al. Bioinformation 16(9): 719-724 (2020)

License statement: This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License



Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article for FREE of cost without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.



