

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 February 25.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2021 August ; 70: 187-195. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2021.05.005.

Stop helping pathogens: engineering plant susceptibility genes for durable resistance

Hernan Garcia-Ruiz^{1,4}, Boris Szurek^{2,4}, Guido Van den Ackerveken³

¹Department of Plant Pathology, Nebraska Center for Virology, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68503, USA

²PHIM Plant Health Institute, Univ Montpellier, IRD, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France

³Plant–Microbe Interactions, Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, Netherlands

Abstract

Alternatives to protect crops against diseases are desperately needed to secure world food production and make agriculture more sustainable. Genetic resistance to pathogens utilized so far is mostly based on single dominant resistance genes that mediate specific recognition of invaders and that is often rapidly broken by pathogen variants. Perturbation of plant susceptibility (S) genes offers an alternative providing plants with recessive resistance that is proposed to be more durable. S genes enable the establishment of plant disease, and their inactivation provides opportunities for resistance breeding of crops. However, loss of S gene function can have pleiotropic effects. Developments in genome editing technology promise to provide powerful methods to precisely interfere with crop S gene functions and reduce tradeoffs.

Introduction

Plant susceptibility (S) genes enable successful pathogen infection, and their perturbation can render plants resistant to pathogens [1]. Engineering S genes, for example, by genome editing, has great potential for the generation of disease resistant crops.

Susceptibility to pathogens is actively facilitated by the host plant [2]. At the same time, plant immune responses are actively suppressed by pathogen effectors, proteins that interfere with host processes and promote susceptibility, and by intrinsic plant immunity regulators, thereby favoring disease development. Recessive *S* gene alleles, conferring resistance, have been identified following mutagenesis or as natural variants, for example, the *mlo* allele conferring resistance to powdery mildew, the rice *xa13* allele providing resistance to

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Corresponding author: Van den Ackerveken, Guido (g.vandenackerveken@uu.nl). ⁴These authors contributed equally.

^{*}These authors contributed equa Conflict of interest statement Nothing declared.

Xanthomonas bacteria, and eIF4 confering potyvirus resistance (Box 1). More recently, S genes were found through pathogen effectors and the host targets they manipulate.

The diversity of S genes is strikingly illustrated by the targets of bacterial Transcription Activator-Like effectors (TALes) that are active inside host cells to activate a plethora of S genes that support bacterial infection (Figure 1). Known plant S genes contribute to pathogen establishment, pathogen sustenance, or may be negative regulator of host immunity (Figure 2). Here, we present recent advances in understanding S gene functions, pleiotropic effects of their mutation, and opportunities and challenges of S gene engineering to generate disease resistant crops.

While necrotrophic pathogens also produce effectors to modulate host susceptibility gene products [3], their cell death inducing activities are very different from mode of actions observed in interactions with biotrophic pathogens. In our review we focus on S genes that are needed for biotrophic interactions.

Pathogen establishment

Successful infection and ensuing disease development require that pathogens are accommodated by the plant host, creating favourable niches for growth and further spread.

Accommodation

S genes have recently been identified that were previously known to be important for the accommodation of beneficial microbes, for example, arbuscular mycorrhiza that form tree-shaped haustoria for nutrient exchange in root cells [4]. Haustoria are also formed by many fungal and oomycete pathogens by invagination of the host cell membrane. Therefore, it was tested if symbiotic mutants are also affected in their susceptibility to pathogens. Mutation of the Medicago *API* and *RAD1* genes also perturbed susceptibility to the root infecting *Phytophthora palmivora* [5,6[•]]. In Arabidopsis, mutation of several orthologs of legume symbiosis genes resulted in reduced susceptibility to downy mildew [4]. Common symbiosis genes can thus act as *S* genes in pathogenic interactions.

Similarly, parasitism of cyst and root knot nematodes is dependent on modifications of plant cells. The formed syncytia function as hypermetabolic nematode feeding sites, and requires nematode-dependent cytokinin signaling, mediated by histidine kinase receptors. Arabidopsis mutants lacking receptors AHK2 and AHK3 are less susceptible to cyst (*Heterodera schachtii*) and root knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne incognita*) [7,8].

Enabling virus infection

S genes are needed at all stages of viral infection: virion disassembly, viral RNA translation, replication complex formation, genome replication, transcription, cell-to-cell movement, systemic movement, and virion formation. An example is heat shock protein 70-2 (Hsc70-2) which physically interacts with beet black scorch virus (BBSV) protein p23 during the formation of virus replication compartments in the endoplasmic reticulum. In the absence of Hsc70-2, virus replication complexes are not formed. Overexpression and downregulation of Hsc70-2 enhanced and drastically reduced BBSV accumulation in plants, respectively [9].

Establishing a favourable environment

Several bacterial pathogens were recently shown to create an aqueous environment in their host. *Xanthomonas gardneri* indirectly activates a pectate lyase in tomato [10] and *Xanthomonas translucens* stimulates the ABA biosynthetic pathway in wheat [11[•]], both resulting in induced watersoaking which is suggested to promote bacterial multiplication and/or spread. The activation of these pathways by TALes is shown in Figure 1.

Sustenance of pathogens

Once infections are established, pathogens need continued provision of nutrients and cellular host factors to sustain colonization of the host.

Pathogen feeding

Sugar transporters contribute to pathogen proliferation. Several bacterial species hijack host nutrient secretion systems for efficient pathogen reproduction in planta, as illustrated by the SWEET sucrose efflux exporters in rice. Their transcriptional induction by Xanthomonas TAL effectors is crucial for disease development [12]. The role of SWEET sugar transporters in susceptibility seems to be conserved in other hosts, such as cotton and cassava, and in infections with TALe-lacking pathogens, for example, *Pseudomonas syringae* [13], a clubroot-causing fungus [14] and root knot nematodes [15]. The exploitation of SWEET transporters by such a diverse array of pathogens allows to define them as susceptibility hubs. Indeed, perturbation of three major *SWEET* susceptibility genes in rice elite mega varieties by multi-editing of 6 TALe binding-sites within the promoter leads to broad spectrum resistance against the bacterial blight pathogen *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. oryzae [16^{••}].

Several other sugar transporters, or dominant gain-of-function alleles thereof, are not S genes but contribute to resistance. This is illustrated by the STP13 family of hexose transporters [12].

Ralstonia solanacearum hijacks plant host metabolism for the biosynthesis of gammaaminobutyric acid (GABA) to support its growth. Inside plant cells, the RipI effector promotes the biochemical activity of glutamate decarboxylases (GADs) and enhances GABA production to support bacterial nutrition [17].

Viral movement

Plant viruses move cell-to-cell through plasmodesmata. The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is interconnected among cells via desmotubules. Tomato spotted wilt virus protein NSm associates with the ER and mediates virus cell-to-cell movement. The Arabidopsis rdr3 mutant, with a non-branched ER network, shows a clear delay in viral cell-to-cell movement, despite efficient replication [18]. This is just one example of plant *S* genes enabling viral spread and sustenance that could be perturbed to engineer virus resistance.

Negative regulation of plant immunity

An important group of S genes encodes negative regulators of immunity that plants use to fine tune defense responses and limit tradeoffs [19]. Mutants in such S genes show enhanced resistance, often to a broader range of pathogens. Some negative regulators are targeted by pathogen effectors to stimulate their suppressive effect on plant immunity.

Endogenous negative regulators of immunity

Barley *Mildew locus o (Mlo)* encodes a membrane protein that is needed for negative regulation of immunity (Box 1). In Arabidopsis, the *mlo2 mlo6 mlo12* triple mutant shows elevated and more rapid accumulation of defense-related transcripts in response to powdery mildew infection [20]. However, mutation of known defense-related and metabolic genes did not abolish *mlo* resistance to powdery mildew, suggesting it is caused by an unknown mechanism [21]. Interestingly, *MLO* silencing in pepper also conferred resistance to the bacterium *R. solanacearum* [22] and in cucumber to the fungus *Corynespora cassiicola* [23].

Powdery mildew resistance is also obtained by mutation of *ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE1* (*EDR1*). The Arabidopsis EDR1 protein kinase was recently described to interfere with the heteromeric association of the immune regulators EDS1 and PAD4. Mutation of *EDR1* is thought to enhance the formation of an EDS1-PAD4 heterodimer that is needed to activate defense and resistance [24]. In hexaploid wheat, powdery mildew resistance was obtained by genome editing 3 homeologous *EDR1* genes [25].

DOWNY MILDEW RESISTANT 6 (DMR6) is an *S* gene acting on a broader range of biotrophic pathogens [26]. It encodes an oxygenase that hydroxylates salicylic acid (SA), thereby downregulating defences [26,27]. Genome editing of *DMR6* in tomato resulted in plants showing enhanced resistance to the bacterial pathogen *Xanthomonas* [28], and in potato to the late blight pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* [29]. Interestingly, the rice *DMR6* ortholog *Os03g03034*, is induced by *Xoo* and *Xoc* TALes, suggesting pathogens can transcriptionally activate plant negative regulators to enhance susceptibility [30].

Metabolism can also negatively affect plant immunity. In a collection of wheat lines rust resistance was correlated to differential expression of amino acid metabolism genes. Disruption of the associated branched-chain aminotransferase 1 gene (*TaBCAT1*) in wheat resulted in reduced susceptibility to yellow and stem rust infection and was associated with increased SA levels and defense gene expression [31^{••}].

Effector-mediated negative regulation

Effector-mediated manipulation of the stability of negative regulators of immunity is a way by which pathogens enhance susceptibility. Grape VvWRKY40 is proposed to be stabilized by effector PvRXLR111 during *Plasmopara viticola* infection. Silencing of the orthologous gene in *Nicotiana benthamiana* resulted in reduced susceptibility to the oomycete *Phytophthora capsici* [32].

In potato, several *S* genes have been identified through effectors of the late blight pathogen *P. infestans.* Two recent examples are *StVIK*, encoding a putative MAP3K [33], and *NRL1*

Page 5

(NPH3/RPT2-LIKE1) encoding for a putative substrate adaptor component of a CULLIN3 ubiquitin E3 ligase. Silencing of the S gene NRL1, resulted in stabilization of SWAP70 that in turn positively regulated plant immunity [34].

Also viruses can stimulate negative regulation of immune response, for example, gene silencing that is critical for plant antiviral immunity [35]. Begomovirus infection can stimulate accumulation of calmodulin-like protein (rgs-CaM) that acts as a negative regulator of gene silencing. rgs-CaM reduces RDR6 transcription and directs SGS3 to degradation [36], thereby diminishing gene silencing amplification and enhancing virus accumulation.

Pleiotropy (tradeoffs)

As S genes often participate in multiple pathways, including development, their inactivation may result in reduced fitness [18], perturbed interaction with beneficial microbes [35], or gain of susceptibility to other pathogens.

Reduced plant fitness

Many loss-of-susceptibility mutants show growth reduction and physiological tradeoffs, for example, early senescence in the barley mlo mutant [20]. Accumulation of the defense hormone salicylic acid (SA) is often causing growth-immunity tradeoffs [19]. However, reduction of SA does not always restore growth, for example, in the Arabidopsis dnd2 mutant in which growth appears to be impacted by altered auxin and abscisic acid levels [37].

Perturbed beneficial interactions

Loss-of-susceptibility mutants risk to also be impaired in interactions with beneficial microbes. Although resistant to powdery mildew, barley mlo mutants were compromised in colonization by the root endophyte Serendipita indica, while colonization by mycorrhizal fungus Funnelisformis mossseae was higher than in wild type plants [38]. Also, many accommodation mutants, as discussed earlier, show impaired interactions with beneficials, for example, in Medicago rad1 mutants that have strongly reduced colonization by arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi [5].

Increased susceptibility to other pathogens

Resistance to one group of pathogens can sometimes lead to an increase in susceptibility to others, for example, powdery mildew resistant wheat and barley *mlo* mutants are more susceptibility to blast caused by Magnaporthe [39]. Contrasting effects on viruses were detected after knocking down Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) in N. benthamiana. GAPDH silencing leads to loss of susceptibility to tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV), but does not affect tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) [40]. In contrast, GAPDH silencing led to enhanced susceptibility to bamboo mosaic virus (BaMV) [41]. Similarly, knock out of eIF4E1 in Arabidopsis thaliana resulted in resistance to clover yellow vain virus (CIYVV), but hypersusceptibility to turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) [42].

Fortunately, there are also cases where no antagonistic pleiotropy is observed, for example, in rice *SWEET* poly mutants that showed no changes in agronomic performance when tested in microfield trials [16^{••}].

Engineering crop S genes

In many crops, S genes have been inactivated by classical mutation breeding, that is, identifying defective alleles from mutagenized plant lines. Also, pleiotropic effects of S gene inactivation have been minimized by conventional breeding using suitable genotypes, or by selecting mild S alleles. The emergence of genome editing technologies over the last decade has sparked the possibilities to engineer changes in crop genomes and thereby the broad utilization of S genes [43]. Genome editing can be deployed to fine-tune S gene perturbations to get beneficial resistance traits while minimizing pleiotropic effects.

Multiplex editing is particularly useful for redundant genes, and in hybrid and polyploid genomes (Figure 3a). A technical advance in 2014 was the inactivation of all three *MLO* homoeoalleles in hexaploid wheat [44]. Because of redundancy it was necessary to mutate the alleles in all three subgenomes to achieve powdery mildew resistance. A similar approach was used to mutate *EDR1* in wheat [25]. A powerful future application of multiplex editing is the simultaneous inactivation of susceptibility and tradeoff genes. The bottleneck there is to identify the tradeoff genes that when knocked out reduce pleiotropy without resulting in yet other tradeoffs.

Other ways to reduce pleiotropic effects is by creating or selecting hypomorphic S alleles conferring effective loss of susceptibility but with minimized tradeoffs (Figure 3b). These can be generated by base editing [45], as done in *A. thaliana* to introduce non-synonymous substitutions in eIF4E1 to engineer resistance to potyviruses without compromising plant growth [46[•]]. In a similar way, base-editing of eIF4E1 rendered *A. thaliana* resistant to TuMV, while a gene knock-out was hypersusceptible [42]. However, it is not always possible to uncouple growth and immunity, for example, different mutant alleles of the Arabidopsis *JOX2* gene with strong loss of *S* gene function also conferred reduced growth phenotypes [47].

An alternative future advance is to engineer *S* gene promoters to have tissue-specific or condition-specific loss of function to reduce pleiotropy (Figure 3c). Although this is under development, promoter mutations have already been successfully deployed to make *SWEET* genes insensitive to their activation by *Xanthomonas* TAL effectors [16^{••}].

The approaches described above would greatly benefit from efficient homologous recombination and prime editing methods that need further technological improvement in plants to be able to edit larger parts within genes or promoters [48].

Conclusion

Current and future agriculture has to deal with a strong reduction in chemical crop protection, as well as with high disease pressure and new emerging diseases, amongst others, due to climate change. Engineering of S genes is a promising method to reduce

plant disease and make agriculture more sustainable. To circumvent tradeoffs, it is important to understand the roles of S genes in physiology and development. The possibilities of advanced genome editing approaches are manifold, but their use is still restricted in many countries due to regulation of genetically modified organisms. Deregulation would enable the wide utilization of S genes for resilient crops that, combined with improved farming practices, ecological principles, and microbiome advances, would contribute to sustainable agriculture.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dmitry Lapin (Utrecht University, Netherlands) for critical feedback on the manuscript. Research in the HG-R lab is supported by N.I.H. grantR01GM120108 and by the Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station with funding from the Hatch Act (Accession Number 1007272) through the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture. The research of GVdA is supported by grants of the Dutch Research Council (NWO). The research of BS is supported by subawards to IRD from the Heinrich Heine University of Dusseldorf funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- · of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. van Schie CC, Takken FL: Susceptibility genes 101: how to be a good host. Annu Rev Phytopathol 2014, 52:551–581. [PubMed: 25001453]
- Lapin D, Van den Ackerveken G: Susceptibility to plant disease: more than a failure of host immunity. Trends Plant Sci 2013, 18:546–554. [PubMed: 23790254]
- Faris JD, Friesen TL: Plant genes hijacked by necrotrophic fungal pathogens. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2020, 56:74–80. [PubMed: 32492572]
- 4. Ried MK, Banhara A, Hwu FY, Binder A, Gust AA, Hofle C, Huckelhoven R, Nurnberger T, Parniske M: A set of *Arabidopsis* genes involved in the accommodation of the downy mildew pathogen *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*. PLoS Pathog 2019, 15:e1007747. [PubMed: 31299058]
- Rey T, Bonhomme M, Chatterjee A, Gavrin A, Toulotte J, Yang W, Andre O, Jacquet C, Schornack S: The *Medicago truncatula* GRAS protein RAD1 supports arbuscular mycorrhiza symbiosis and *Phytophthora palmivora* susceptibility. J Exp Bot 2017, 68:5871–5881. [PubMed: 29186498]
- 6•. Gavrin A, Rey T, Torode TA, Toulotte J, Chatterjee A, Kaplan JL, Evangelisti E, Takagi H, Charoensawan V, Rengel D et al. : Developmental modulation of root cell wall architecture confers resistance to an oomycete pathogen. Curr Biol 2020, 30:4165–4176 e4165 [PubMed: 32888486] The Medicago *api* mutant is defective in symbiotic interactions with *Rhizobium* bacteria. Here it is shown that the *api* mutant is also more resistant to infection by the root pathogen *Phytophthora palmivora*. The SCAR/WAVE complex protein API controls actin cytoskeleton dynamics in root cells and supports penetration of *P. palmivora*, and is thus needed to accommodate the pathogen in root tissue.
- Siddique S, Radakovic ZS, De La Torre CM, Chronis D, Novak O, Ramireddy E, Holbein J, Matera C, Hutten M, Gutbrod P et al. : A parasitic nematode releases cytokinin that controls cell division and orchestrates feeding site formation in host plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015, 112:12669– 12674. [PubMed: 26417108]
- Dowd CD, Chronis D, Radakovic ZS, Siddique S, Schmulling T, Werner T, Kakimoto T, Grundler FMW, Mitchum MG: Divergent expression of cytokinin biosynthesis, signaling and catabolism genes underlying differences in feeding sites induced by cyst and root-knot nematodes. Plant J 2017, 92:211–228. [PubMed: 28746737]

- Wang X, Cao X, Liu M, Zhang R, Zhang X, Gao Z, Zhao X, Xu K, Li D, Zhang Y: Hsc70-2 is required for beet black scorch virus infection through interaction with replication and capsid proteins. Sci Rep 2018, 8:4526. [PubMed: 29540800]
- Schwartz AR, Morbitzer R, Lahaye T, Staskawicz BJ: TALE-induced bHLH transcription factors that activate a pectate lyase contribute to water soaking in bacterial spot of tomato. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114:E897–E903. [PubMed: 28100489]
- 11•. Peng Z, Hu Y, Zhang J, Huguet-Tapia JC, Block AK, Park S, Sapkota S, Liu Z, Liu S, White FF: Xanthomonas translucens commandeers the host rate-limiting step in ABA biosynthesis for disease susceptibility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2019, 116:20938–20946 [PubMed: 31575748] Xanthomonas translucens upregulates the host gene encoding 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase during infection of wheat. The authors nicely show that activation of this gene, which controls the rate-limiting step in the biosynthesis of the phytohormone abscisic acid, is associated with higher water content at the infection site and increased pathogen growth.
- Bezrutczyk M, Yang J, Eom JS, Prior M, Sosso D, Hartwig T, Szurek B, Oliva R, Vera-Cruz C, White FF et al. : Sugar flux and signaling in plant-microbe interactions. Plant J 2018, 93:675–685. [PubMed: 29160592]
- Prior MJ, Selvanayagam J, Kim J-G, Tomar M, Jonikas M, Mudgett MB, Smeekens S, Hanson J, Frommer WB: *Arabidopsis* bZIP11 is a susceptibility factor during *Pseudomonas syringae* infection. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2021, 34:439–447. [PubMed: 33400562]
- Walerowski P, Gundel A, Yahaya N, Truman W, Sobczak M, Olszak M, Rolfe S, Borisjuk L, Malinowski R: Clubroot disease stimulates early steps of phloem differentiation and recruits SWEET sucrose transporters within developing galls. Plant Cell 2018, 30:3058–3073. [PubMed: 30413655]
- 15. Zhao D, You Y, Fan H, Zhu X, Wang Y, Duan Y, Xuan Y, Chen L: The role of sugar transporter genes during early infection by root-knot nematodes. Int J Mol Sci 2018, 19.
- 16••. Oliva R, Ji C, Atienza-Grande G, Huguet-Tapia JC, Perez-Quintero A, Li T, Eom JS, Li C, Nguyen H, Liu B et al. : Broad-spectrum resistance to bacterial blight in rice using genome editing. Nat Biotechnol 2019, 37:1344–1350 [PubMed: 31659337] Based on the survey of 856 TAL effector sequences from *Xanthomonas* pathogenic bacteria, the authors apply a multiplexed genome editing approach to systematically neutralize all TALe potential binding sites within the promoter of three *SWEET* genes of two mega rice varieties. The multi-edited lines successfully show broad-spectrum disease resistance and importantly no effect on their agronomic performances was evidenced.
- Xian L, Yu G, Wei Y, Rufian JS, Li Y, Zhuang H, Xue H, Morcillo RJL, Macho AP: A bacterial effector protein hijacks plant metabolism to support pathogen nutrition. Cell Host Microbe 2020, 28:548–557 e547. [PubMed: 32735848]
- Feng Z, Xue F, Xu M, Chen X, Zhao W, Garcia-Murria MJ, Mingarro I, Liu Y, Huang Y, Jiang L et al. : The ER-membrane transport system is critical for intercellular trafficking of the NSm movement protein and tomato spotted wilt tospovirus. PLoS Pathog 2016, 12:e1005443. [PubMed: 26863622]
- van Butselaar T, Van den Ackerveken G: Salicylic acid steers the growth-immunity tradeoff. Trends Plant Sci 2020, 25:566–576. [PubMed: 32407696]
- 20. Kusch S, Panstruga R: mlo-Based resistance: an apparently universal "Weapon" to defeat powdery mildew disease. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2017, 30:179–189. [PubMed: 28095124]
- 21. Kuhn H, Lorek J, Kwaaitaal M, Consonni C, Becker K, Micali C, Ver Loren van Themaat E, Bednarek P, Raaymakers TM, Appiano M et al. : Key components of different plant defense pathways are dispensable for powdery mildew resistance of the *Arabidopsis* mlo2 mlo6 mlo12 triple mutant. Front Plant Sci 2017, 8:1006. [PubMed: 28674541]
- 22. Yang S, Shi Y, Zou L, Huang J, Shen L, Wang Y, Guan D, He S: Pepper CaMLO6 negatively regulates *Ralstonia solanacearum* resistance and positively regulates high temperature and high humidity responses. Plant Cell Physiol 2020, 61:1223–1238. [PubMed: 32343804]
- 23. Yu G, Chen Q, Wang X, Meng X, Yu Y, Fan H, Cui N: *Mildew resistance locus O* genes CsMLO1 and CsMLO2 are negative modulators of the *Cucumis sativus* defense response to *Corynespora cassiicola*. Int J Mol Sci 2019, 20.

- Neubauer M, Serrano I, Rodibaugh N, Bhandari DD, Bautor J, Parker JE, Innes RW: *Arabidopsis* EDR1 protein kinase regulates the association of EDS1 and PAD4 to inhibit cell death. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2020, 33:693–703. [PubMed: 31876224]
- 25. Zhang Y, Bai Y, Wu G, Zou S, Chen Y, Gao C, Tang D: Simultaneous modification of three homoeologs of TaEDR1 by genome editing enhances powdery mildew resistance in wheat. Plant J 2017, 91:714–724. [PubMed: 28502081]
- 26. Zeilmaker T, Ludwig NR, Elberse J, Seidl MF, Berke L, Van Doorn A, Schuurink RC, Snel B, Van den Ackerveken G: DOWNY MILDEW RESISTANT 6 and DMR6-LIKE OXYGENASE 1 are partially redundant but distinct suppressors of immunity in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 2015, 81:210–222. [PubMed: 25376907]
- Zhang Y, Zhao L, Zhao J, Li Y, Wang J, Guo R, Gan S-S, Liu C-J, Zhang K: S5H/DMR6 encodes a salicylic acid 5-hydroxylase that fine-tunes salicylic acid homeostasis. Plant Physiol 2017, 175:1082–1093. [PubMed: 28899963]
- Paula de Toledo Thomazella D, Brail Q, Dahlbeck D, Staskawicz B: CRISPR-Cas9 mediated mutagenesis of a DMR6 ortholog in tomato confers broad-spectrum disease resistance. bioRxiv 2016:064824.
- Kieu NP, Lenman M, Wang ES, Petersen BL, Andreasson E: Mutations introduced in susceptibility genes through CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing confer increased late blight resistance in potatoes. Sci Rep 2021, 11:4487. [PubMed: 33627728]
- Mucke S, Reschke M, Erkes A, Schwietzer CA, Becker S, Streubel J, Morgan RD, Wilson GG, Grau J, Boch J: Transcriptional reprogramming of rice cells by *Xanthomonas oryzae* TALEs. Front Plant Sci 2019, 10:162. [PubMed: 30858855]
- 31••. Corredor Moreno P, Minter F, Davey PE, Wegel E, Kular B, Brett P, Lewis CM, Morgan YML, Macías Pérez LA, Korolev AV et al. : The branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase TaBCAT1 modulates amino acid metabolism and positively regulates wheat rust susceptibility. Plant Cell 2021A fascinating story on how natural variation in quantitative resistance to rust in wheat varieties was linked to gene expression profiles to reveal metabolic genes whose activity was correlated with the level of disease susceptibility. The authors identified a branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase to be essential for progression of wheat yellow and stem rust, two of the most economically damaging diseases of wheat worldwide.
- 32. Ma T, Chen S, Liu J, Fu P, Wu W, Song S, Gao Y, Ye W, Lu J: *Plasmopara viticola* effector PvRXLR111 stabilizes VvWRKY40 to promote virulence. Mol Plant Pathol 2021, 22:231–242. [PubMed: 33253483]
- Murphy F, He Q, Armstrong M, Giuliani LM, Boevink PC, Zhang W, Tian Z, Birch PRJ, Gilroy EM: The potato MAP3K StVIK is required for the *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector Pi17316 to promote disease. Plant Physiol 2018, 177:398–410. [PubMed: 29588335]
- 34. He Q, Naqvi S, McLellan H, Boevink PC, Champouret N, Hein I, Birch PRJ: Plant pathogen effector utilizes host susceptibility factor NRL1 to degrade the immune regulator SWAP70. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2018, 115:E7834–E7843. [PubMed: 30049706]
- 35. Garcia-Ruiz H: Susceptibility genes to plant viruses. Viruses 2018, 10:484.
- 36. Jeon EJ, Tadamura K, Murakami T, Inaba JI, Kim BM, Sato M, Atsumi G, Kuchitsu K, Masuta C, Nakahara KS: rgs-CaM detects and counteracts viral RNA silencing suppressors in plant immune priming. J Virol 2017, 91.
- Kale L, Nakurte I, Jalakas P, Kunga-Jegere L, Brosche M, Rostoks N: *Arabidopsis* mutant dnd2 exhibits increased auxin and abscisic acid content and reduced stomatal conductance. Plant Physiol Biochem 2019, 140:18–26. [PubMed: 31078052]
- Hilbert M, Novero M, Rovenich H, Mari S, Grimm C, Bonfante P, Zuccaro A: MLO differentially regulates barley root colonization by beneficial endophytic and mycorrhizal fungi. Front Plant Sci 2019, 10:1678. [PubMed: 32010163]
- 39. Gruner K, Esser T, Acevedo-Garcia J, Freh M, Habig M, Strugala R, Stukenbrock E, Schaffrath U, Panstruga R: Evidence for allele-specific levels of enhanced susceptibility of wheat mlo mutants to the hemibiotrophic fungal pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae* pv. Triticum. Genes (Basel) 2020, 11.

- 40. Wang RY, Nagy PD: Tomato bushy stunt virus co-opts the RNA-binding function of a host metabolic enzyme for viral genomic RNA synthesis. Cell Host Microbe 2008, 3:178–187. [PubMed: 18329617]
- 41. Prasanth KR, Huang YW, Liou MR, Wang RY, Hu CC, Tsai CH, Meng M, Lin NS, Hsu YH: Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase negatively regulates the replication of bamboo mosaic virus and its associated satellite RNA. J Virol 2011, 85:8829–8840. [PubMed: 21715476]
- Zafirov D, Giovinazzo N, Bastet A, Gallois JL: When a knockout is an Achilles' heel: resistance to one potyvirus species triggers hypersusceptibility to another one in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Plant Pathol 2021, 22:334–347. [PubMed: 33377260]
- 43. Tian J, Xu G, Yuan M: Towards engineering broad-spectrum disease-resistant crops. Trends Plant Sci 2020, 25:424–427. [PubMed: 32304654]
- 44. Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu JL: Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. Nat Biotechnol 2014, 32:947–951. [PubMed: 25038773]
- 45. Jin S, Fei H, Zhu Z, Luo Y, Liu J, Gao S, Zhang F, Chen YH, Wang Y, Gao C: Rationally designed APOBEC3B cytosine base editors with improved specificity. Mol Cell 2020, 79:728–740 e726. [PubMed: 32721385]
- 46•. Bastet A, Zafirov D, Giovinazzo N, Guyon-Debast A, Nogue F, Robaglia C, Gallois JL: Mimicking natural polymorphism in eIF4E by CRISPR-Cas9 base editing is associated with resistance to potyviruses. Plant Biotechnol J 2019, 17:1736–1750 [PubMed: 30784179] The power of advanced genome editing techniques is nicely illustrated in this study where base editing was used to make single base pair changes in *Arabidopsis* that mimick naturally occurring polymorphisms in the *eIF4E* S gene from pea. Cytidine deaminase technology was used to convert a susceptibility allele into a resistance allele through C-to-G base editing to generate an amino acid substitution (N176K) in the encoded eIF4E1 protein.
- Zhang X, Wang D, Elberse J, Qi L, Shi W, Peng YL, Schuurink RC, Van den Ackerveken G, Liu J: Structure-guided analysis of the *Arabidopsis* JASMONATE-INDUCED OXYGENASE (JOX) 2 reveals key residues of plant JOX recognizing jasmonic acid substrate. Mol Plant 2021, 14:820– 828. [PubMed: 33516967]
- Gao C: Genome engineering for crop improvement and future agriculture. Cell 2021, 184:1621– 1635. [PubMed: 33581057]
- Boch J, Scholze H, Schornack S, Landgraf A, Hahn S, Kay S, Lahaye T, Nickstadt A, Bonas U: Breaking the code of DNA binding specificity of TAL-type III effectors. Science (New York, N.Y.) 2009, 326:1509–1512.
- Moscou MJ, Bogdanove AJ: A simple cipher governs DNA recognition by TAL effectors. Science (New York, N.Y.) 2009, 326:1501.
- 51. Schmitt-Keichinger C: Manipulating cellular factors to combat viruses: a case study from the plant eukaryotic translation initiation factors eIF4. Front Microbiol 2019, 10:17. [PubMed: 30804892]
- 52. Acevedo-Garcia J, Spencer D, Thieron H, Reinstadler A, Hammond-Kosack K, Phillips AL, Panstruga R: mlo-based powdery mildew resistance in hexaploid bread wheat generated by a non-transgenic TILLING approach. Plant Biotechnol J 2017, 15:367–378. [PubMed: 27565953]

Box 1

mlo-based and eif4-based resistance: conserved and broadly applicable

Two genes stand out when it comes to the broad application of *S* genes in crops, *Mlo* [20] and *eIF4* [51]. Barley plants with *mlo*-based resistance to powdery mildew were discovered in the 1930s and 40s and later on described to be homozygous for recessive alleles of *Mildew resistance* locus O. *Mlo* encodes an integral membrane protein with seven transmembrane domains and is co-expressed with plant defense genes suggesting it plays a role in immunity. However, the molecular function of the MLO protein is still enigmatic. Interestingly, land plants species generally contain multiple *Mlo* genes of which specific clade members can function as *S* genes, as has been shown in a broad range of plant species [20]. In Arabidopsis, three *MLO* genes need to be knocked out (KO) to obtain the strongest resistance to powdery mildew. *mlo*-based resistance by KO or silencing was shown in a dozen of crop species, including tomato, pea and grape. A technological highlight was the generation mildew-resistant *mlo* lines in hexaploid wheat by genome editing [44] or TILLING [52].

A broadly conserved S gene required for potyviruses is *eIF4*, and variants thereof, encoding for eukaryotic translation initiation factor required for mRNA cap recognition and initiation of translation [51]. Translation of potyviral RNA requires recognition by *eIF4* of the viral genome-linked protein (VPg) that caps the 5['] end of the viral RNA genome. Recessive resistance to potyviruses, identified in number of plant species, is caused by amino acid substitutions or loss-of-function mutations in eIF4 proteins, which reduce translation of viral RNA. In crops without natural alleles, mutations in eIF4 genes have been selected or generated by genome editing and resistant varieties have thus been engineered.



Figure 1.

Activities of S genes targeted by TAL effectors.

Our in-depth understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying TAL effectors (TALe) action revolutionized the quest for their targets in planta. Because TALes act as bona fide eukaryotic transcription factors which DNA-binding sites are highly predictable, transcriptomic approaches combined to in silico target promoter search allows for rapid identification of their target gene candidates. To such an extent that nearly 10 classes of S genes have been discovered since the elucidation of the TAL code in 2009 [49,50]. Their function is quite diverse, ranging from sucrose (SWEET) and sulfate transporters, enzymes involved in the biosynthesis pathway of various compounds such as polyamines (arginine decarboxylases), ABA (9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase) or even small RNAs (the methyltransferase Hen1), to different types of transcription factors (LOB, bHLH, bZIP, ERF) involved in the control of various phenotypes such as host cell enlargement, pustule formation, watersoaking, and so on...It is expected that other categories of S genes will be discovered as novel TAL effectors with major or even moderate virulence functions are characterized. The potential is high because the majority of Xanthomonas species rely on TALes to infect their host and only the S genes corresponding to 7 pathosystems have been investigated today when there are at least fifty species or pathovars of Xanthomonas with unique features that remain to be investigated. This figure gives an overview of the most relevant S gene categories targeted by TALes and for which a function is described.

Text in brown refers to the types of activities conferred by *S* genes. Primary and secondary targets are shown in purple and green (text, shape), respectively. Abbreviations: SWEET, Sugars Will Eventually Be Exported Transporter; SULTR, sulfate transporter; ADCs, arginine decarboxylases; PA, polyamines; TFs, transcription factors; UPA, upregulated by AvrBs3; LOB1, lateral organ boundaries 1; ABA, abscisic acid; bHLH, basic helix-loophelix; NCED, 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase. Forms: cylinder, nutrient transporter; hexagon, biosynthetic pathway enzyme; two-ovoid, transcription factor; Pacman-like, cell wall-modifying proteins.

| gen establishment | Nematodes | Fungi | Oomycetes | Bacteria | Virus |
|--|-----------|-------|-----------|----------|-------|
| Attachment to root surface | | | | | |
| Stomatal opening | | | | | |
| Penetration | | | | | |
| Co-opting host translation / transcription | | | | | |
| Formation of replication compartments | | | | | |
| Inhibiting competing microbes | | | | | |
| gen sustenance | | | | | |
| Feeding structure formation | | | | | |
| Watersoaking | | | | | |
| Amino acid metabolism | | | | | |
| Sucrose transport | | | | | |
| Cell proliferation | | | | | |
| Endoreduplication | | | | | |
| Host cell hypertrophy, hyperplasia | | | | | |
| Lipid biosynthesis | | | | | |
| Cell-to-cell and systemic movement | | | | | |
| ive regulators of immunity | | | | | |
| SA-dependent defense | | | | | |
| JA-dependent defense | | | | | |
| Ethylene-dependent defense | | | | | |
| ROS synthesis or ROS diffusion | | | | | |
| Gene silencing suppression co-factor | | | | | |
| Protein degradation | | | | | |

Figure 2.

Categories of S genes based on the mechanistic activity. Conservation of S genes across pathogen groups is color coded.



Figure 3.

Biotechnological approaches to engineer S genes for pathogen resistance and reduce tradeoff effects.

For each approach two methods are illustrated. The goal and challenges are indicated below the diagram. Cylinders indicated open reading frames. Edited areas are marked with a red discharge symbol. (a) Multiplex gene editing allows modifications of multiple genes simultaneously, either redundant genes that are members of a gene family, or a combination of an S gene and a tradeoff gene to reduce pleiotropic effects. (b) Allele editing might be used to generate hypomorphic alleles that reduce susceptibility but have no or reduced pleiotropic effects. This can be achieved using base editors to make single nucleotide changes and resulting amino acid substitutions, or by replacing larger sequences through homologous recombination. (c) Promoters can be engineered to reduce their activity to certain conditions or in specific tissues. Using two guide RNAs the CRISPR/Cas9 system

can be used to make precise deletions of selected cis elements in the S gene promoter. Editing of promoter sequences can be achieved using prime editing or homologous recombination.