

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Mapder T, Talukder S, Chattopadhyay S, Banik SK (2016) Deciphering Parameter Sensitivity in the BvgAS Signal Transduction. PLoS ONE 11(1): e0147281. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147281

Editor: Attila Csikász-Nagy, King's College London, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: October 13, 2015

Accepted: January 2, 2016

Published: January 26, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Mapder et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: TM, SC, and SKB acknowledge financial support from CSIR (Council of Scientific & Industrial Research), India [01(2771)/14/EMR-II]. SKB is thankful to Bose Institute, Kolkata, India for research fund. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Deciphering Parameter Sensitivity in the BvgAS Signal Transduction

Tarunendu Mapder¹, Srijeeta Talukder², Sudip Chattopadhyay¹*, Suman K. Banik³*

1 Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah 711103, India, 2 Department of Chemistry, University of Calcutta, 92 A P C Road, Kolkata 700 009, India, 3 Department of Chemistry, Bose Institute, 93/1 A P C Road, Kolkata 700 009, India

* sudip_chattopadhyay@rediffmail.com (SC); skbanik@jcbose.ac.in (SKB)

Abstract

To understand the switching of different phenotypic phases of *Bordetella pertussis*, we propose an optimized mathematical framework for signal transduction through BvgAS twocomponent system. The response of the network output to the sensory input has been demonstrated in steady state. An analysis in terms of local sensitivity amplification characterizes the nature of the molecular switch. The sensitivity analysis of the model parameters within the framework of various correlation coefficients helps to decipher the contribution of the modular structure in signal propagation. Once classified, the model parameters are tuned to generate the behavior of some novel strains using simulated annealing, a stochastic optimization technique.

Introduction

Living systems sustain in a diverse and dynamically changing niche that they have to cope with to survive. As a result, every organism adopts specialized communication machinery that helps in responding to alteration of the immediate environment. Any rapid or slow change in the surroundings is taken care of through intracellular signal transduction pathways and a number of genetic switches [1]. The signal transduction pathways comprise of some specialized motifs to carry out the process of intracellular communication. Two-component system is one such signaling motif prevalently found in bacteria [2-6]. A typical bacterial two-component system comprises of a trans-membrane sensor protein along with a cognate cytoplasmic response regulator protein. Any change in the immediate surroundings is sensed by the sensor protein, which then communicates the information downstream to its cognate partner through the mechanism of phosphorelay. The response regulator then regulates one or several downstream genes in response to the change in the environment.

The diversity of extracellular environment is very high for the microorganisms that invade into the host as pathogens and proliferate. They have to deal with both the environments: inside and outside the host. The microorganism of the current study, *Bordetella pertussis*—a gram-negative human pathogen, shows dormancy in the atmospheric environment ($\sim 25^{\circ}$ C) and becomes virulent inside host ($\sim 37^{\circ}$ C) [7–9]. Like many other prokaryotes, *B. pertussis*

adopts the environmental distortion through a prominent two-component signal transduction cascade, the BvgAS. The key components of the BvgAS two-component system are BvgS and BygA, the sensor and the response regulator protein, respectively. The expression of several virulent factors, like toxins and adhesins, are mediated by the BvgAS two-component system. The membrane bound sensor kinase, BvgS, encounters temperature dependent activation and down-modulation only through the presence of modulators like MgSO₄, nicotinic acid or reduced temperature. The BvgAS sensory transduction system shows three different phases: Bvg^+ , Bvg^- and Bvg^1 through gene regulation in response to stimuli [7–9]. The regime of the intermediate (Bvg¹) phase is narrow than the repressed (Bvg⁻) phase or activated (Bvg⁺) phase due to the sharp switch of the BvgA population. Each of the phases has a unique pattern of gene regulation. At Bvg⁺ phase, the virulence-activated genes (vags) fhaB, ptxA and *bvgAS* itself show maximum expression. In contrast, at Bvg⁻ phase, the virulencerepressed genes (vrgs) flaA, frlAB are expressed at the maximum level, but no vags show good expression. The Bvg^1 phase is characterized by the maximal expression of *bvgAS*, *bipA* and *fhaB*, and nominal expression of *vrgs* and *ptxA*. In the present study, we focus on bipA and *fhaB* along with *bvgAS* to observe the temperature mediated switch from dormant to virulent phase.

Depending on the transcription factor binding affinity, the variety of the Bvg-regulated genes are classified into four classes [7-9]. Class 1 genes include *cyaA* and *ptxA*, containing low-affinity binding sites and are activated at high level of phosphorylated BvgA. Class 2 gene *fhaB* possesses high-affinity BvgA binding site and produces transcripts at a very small level of BvgA-P. The unique class 3 gene *bipA* starts transcription at a moderately low level of BvgA-P bound at the low-affinity binding site, but get repressed at a high level of BvgA-P. *frlAB* belongs to class 4 gene and shows repression at high BvgA-P. The class 3 and class 4 gene expression are not observed in *B. pertussis* through temperature elevation. Only class 3 gene are expressed in *B. pertussis* for intermediate level of MgSO₄. Thus, at a low level of BvgA, *B. pertiussis* expresses class 1, 2 and 3 minimally but class 4 genes maximally. Class 2 and 3 genes show a high level of BvgA. Finally, at a high level of BvgA, class 1 and 2 genes show maximum and class 4 genes minimum level of expression with low level of class 3 gene expression.

The well known BvgAS motif is studied here in accord with the network parameter sensitivity. The functionality of the BvgAS signal transduction motif and its effect on the downstream gene regulation is well reported through experimental work [7-9], thus providing a scope to analyze the network from sensitivity analysis and optimization point of view. In this connection it is important to mention that several theoretical approaches have been undertaken to explore the signal transduction mechanism in typical bacterial two-component system [10-21]. However, few theoretical formalism have been developed to address the underlying signaling mechanism in *B. pertussis* and its effect on the downstream differential gene regulation. In one of our earlier communications, we have theoretically identified the temperature mediated molecular switch that controls the signaling mechanism [22]. In addition, theoretical analysis have been made to understand the role of positive feedback on target gene regulation [22, 23]. A preliminary level of sensitivity analysis suggests that the rate constants associated with the kinase and phosphatase activities are most sensitive among all the rate parameters [22]. To extend these earlier reports further and to understand the role of individual rate parameters on the model output we undertake a theoretical approach in the present study that incorporates correlation coefficient based sensitivity analysis and stochastic optimization. The modular approach we have adopted in the present work is the following. In the first module, we classify the kinetic rate parameters associated with the model according to their sensitivity. We identify



Fig 1. BvgAS signal transduction motif. The signal transduction motif is composed of the phosphotransfer and autoregulation modules. The temperature acts as inducer of the autophosphorylation of the dimer of the sensor kinase protein BvgS (blue). Similarly, dimerized BvgA represents for response regulator in red. P in black sphere stands for phosphate group. Note that, sensor kinase acts both as source and sink for the phosphate group.

the set of sensitive model parameters as a function of the input signal. In the next module, we invoke stochastic optimization technique to generate the sharp molecular switch. Finally, the optimized parameter set has been used to reproduce the features of some novel experimental results [24].

Methods

The bvg operon

Many of the pathogenic secretions of *B. pertussis* are controlled by phosphorylated BvgA, a member of the BvgAS two-component system and is encoded by the *bvg* operon [7, 8]. In *bvg* operon, four promoters (P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , and P_4) together control the production of BvgS and BvgA [25–27] (see Fig 1). Out of the four promoters, P_2 shows constitutive behavior in absence of any external stimulus. However, as *B. pertussis* experiences temperature rise in the surroundings, activity of P_2 goes down. Under the same condition, the rest of the promoters get activated. Activity of P_3 is very low under induction [27] and has been excluded from our model. Similarly, contribution of P_4 has been excluded from our model as P_4 produces anti-sense RNA

PLOS ONE

whose target has not been yet identified. In this connection, recent work by Hot et al. [28] is worth mentioning, where the authors have identified an anti-sense RNA *bprJ2*, regulated by BvgAS TCS, with unknown functionality.

The BvgAS two-component system

The phosphorylated dimer of the cytosolic response regulator protein BvgA binds at the promoter site as a transcription factor and catalyzes the promoter activation. Upon activation, RNA polymerase transcribes the polycistronic mRNA m, which on translation accumulates the pool of the monomeric cognate pair proteins BvgS and BvgA. BvgS, after dimerization, spans in the transmembrane region to sense the external stimulus. In the present work, we denote the dimers of BvgS and BvgA as S_2 and A_2 , respectively. Although the sensor and the response regulator proteins first get expressed as a monomer and then dimerize, we do not consider the dimerization kinetics in our model as only the dimer forms of the proteins are of functional interest here. As the temperature in the surroundings increases, S_2 gets autophosphorylated at the histidine residue to form S_{2P} . S_{2P} then transfers the phosphate group to the aspertate residue of the cognate A_2 through kinase activity, thus producing A_{2P} . A_{2P} on the other hand, gets dephosphorylated to A_2 due to the phosphatase activity of S_2 . As a result, the bifunctional sensor protein BvgS helps in producing the pool of phosphorylated response regulator BvgA which in turn autoregulates its operon as well as regulates the expression of several downstream genes (see Fig 1). For detailed kinetic scheme and parameter set, we refer to Table 1. These parameter set was used earlier to understand the mechanism of molecular switch in B. *pertussis* [22]. Based on the above information the signal transduction module in BygAS TCS can thus be divided into two parts: the autoregulation motif and the phosphotransfer motif, typical characteristics of bacterial TCS [11, 22]. These two motifs together generate a sharp molecular switch under the induction of temperature increase in the surroundings [22].

Experimentally, it has been observed that the ratio of total BvgS (S_T) to total BvgA (A_T) is ≈ 6 [27] which can be utilized to employ quasi-steady state approximation in analyzing the behavior of the key components of the signal transduction motif at steady state [11, 22]. Considering this, we define

$$S_T \approx S_2 + S_{2P}$$
 and $A_T \approx A_2 + A_{2P}$. (1)

Furthermore, we define two dimensionless quantities

$$\alpha = \frac{S_{2P}}{S_T} \text{ and } \beta = \frac{A_{2P}}{A_T}, \tag{2}$$

to analyze our results.

Sensitivity Analysis

To decipher the sensitivity of the rate parameters on the output of the model we use the tools of correlation coefficient in the present study. The Pearson correlation coefficient (CC) is defined as the covariance between the input and the output parameters with a normalization through division by the product of their standard deviations,

$$r_{k_{i}\beta} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (k_{ij} - \langle k_{i} \rangle) (\beta_{j} - \langle \beta \rangle)}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (k_{ij} - \langle k_{i} \rangle)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\beta_{j} - \langle \beta \rangle)^{2}}},$$
(3)

where $r_{k_i\beta}$ is the CC of the input parameter k_i and output β . $\langle k_i \rangle$ and $\langle \beta \rangle$ are the mean (ensemble average) of k_i and β , respectively, and N is the number of random sampling. If the output

Table 1. List of kinetics schemes and the values of rate parameters used in the model.

PLOS ONE

Description	Reaction	kinetic rate constant
Association of A_{2P} and P_i	$P_i + A_{2P} \rightarrow P_a$	$k_b = 1.024 \times 10^{-4} \text{ nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
Dissociation of A_{2P} from P_i	$P_a ightarrow P_i + A_{2P}$	$k_u = 1.167 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Basal transcription from P_i	$P_i \rightarrow P_i + m$	$k_{tp0} = 1.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
Activated transcription from P _a	$P_a ightarrow P_a + m$	$k_{tp1} = 4.083 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Degradation of m	$m ightarrow \phi$	$k_{dm} = 1.667 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Translation of S_2 from m	$m \rightarrow m + S_2$	$k_{\rm ss} = 6.667 \times 10^{-4} {\rm s}^{-1}$
Translation of A ₂ from m	$m \rightarrow m + A_2$	$k_{sa} = 4.167 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Autophosphorylation of S_2 at 37° C	$S_2 \to S_{2P}$	$k_{ps} = 8.333 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Autodephosphorylation of S ₂	$S_{2P} \to S_2$	$k_{dps} = 3.333 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Association of S_{2P} and A_2	$S_{2P} + A_2 \rightarrow S_{2P}.A_2$	$k_{tf} = 8.532 \times 10^{-3} \text{ nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
Dissociation of $S_{2P}.A_2$	$S_{2P}.A_2 \rightarrow S_{2P} + A_2$	$k_{tb} = 1.667 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
Phophotransfer from S_{2P} to A_2	$S_{2P}A_2 \rightarrow S_2 + A_{2P}$	$k_{ta} = 8.333 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Association of S_2 and A_{2P}	$S_2 + A_{2P} \rightarrow S_2 . A_{2P}$	$k_{ ho f} = 3.413 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{nM^{-1}s^{-1}}$
Dissociation of S ₂ .A _{2P}	$S_2.A_{2P} \rightarrow S_2 + A_{2P}$	$k_{\rho b} = 1.333 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
Dephosphorylation of A_{2P} by S_2	$S_2 \mathcal{A}_{2P} \rightarrow S_2 + A_2$	$k_{pa} = 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Degradation of S ₂	$S_2 ightarrow \phi$	$k_{dp} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
Degradation of A ₂	$A_2 ightarrow \phi$	$k_{dp} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
Degradation of S_{2P}	${\sf S}_{2P} o \phi$	$k_{dp} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
Degradation of A _{2P}	${\cal A}_{2P} o \phi$	$k_{dp} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
Association of A_{2P} and $P_{cl2,i}$	$P_{cl2,i} + A_{2P} \rightarrow P_{cl2,i1}$	$k_{b,21} = 5.119 \times 10^{-4} \text{ nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
Dissociation of A_{2P} from $P_{c/2,i1}$	$P_{cl2,i1} \rightarrow P_{cl2,i} + A_{2P}$	$k_{u,21} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Association of A_{2P} and $P_{c/2,i1}$	$P_{cl2,i1} + A_{2P} \rightarrow P_{cl2,i2}$	$k_{b,22} = 1.36 \times 10^{-3} \text{ nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
Dissociation of A_{2P} from $P_{c/2,i2}$	$P_{cl2,i2} \rightarrow P_{cl2,i1} + A_{2P}$	$k_{u,22} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Association of A_{2P} and $P_{c/2,i2}$	$P_{cl2,i2}$ + A_{2P} $ ightarrow$ $P_{cl2,a}$	$k_{b,23} = 1.706 \times 10^{-3} \text{ nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
Dissociation of A_{2P} from $P_{cl2,a}$	$P_{cl2,a} ightarrow P_{cl2,i2} + A_{2P}$	$k_{u,23} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Transcription rate from P _{c/2,a}	$P_{cl2,a} ightarrow P_{cl2,a} + m_{cl2}$	$k_{tp,c/2} = 5.083 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Association of A_{2P} and $P_{c/3,i}$	$P_{c/3,i} + A_{2P} \rightarrow P_{c/3,i1}$	$k_{b,31} = 8.533 \times 10^{-5} \text{ nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
Dissociation of A_{2P} from $P_{c/3,i1}$	$P_{cl3,i1} \rightarrow P_{cl3,i} + A_{2P}$	$k_{u,31} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Association of A_{2P} and $P_{c/3,i1}$	$P_{c/3,i1}$ + A_{2P} $ ightarrow$ $P_{c/3,a}$	$k_{b,32} = 1.365 \times 10^{-4} \text{ nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
Dissociation of A_{2P} from $P_{c/3,a}$	$P_{c/3,a} \rightarrow P_{c/3,i1} + A_{2P}$	$k_{u,32} = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Association of A_{2P} and $P_{c/3,a}$	$P_{c/3,a} + A_{2P} \rightarrow P_{c/3,i2}$	$k_{b,33} = 1.706 \times 10^{-6} \text{ nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
Dissociation of A_{2P} from $P_{c/3,/2}$	$P_{cl3,l2} ightarrow P_{cl3,a} + A_{2P}$	$k_{u,33} = 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Transcription rate from $P_{c/3,a}$	$P_{c/3,a} ightarrow P_{c/3,a} + m_{c/3}$	$k_{tp,c/3} = 6.16 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147281.t001

rises or falls with the increment of a particular input parameter, it is said to be positively or negatively correlated. The magnitude of the correlation coefficient, which implies the strength of dependency, spans upto ± 1 for maximum +ve or -ve association.

The CC can not explain the sensitivity precisely in the case of nonlinear input-output dependencies. For nonlinear but monotonic increasing relation, one can use the Spearman rank correlation coefficient (RCC) which is the calculation of the correlation coefficient after a rank transformation. The partial rank correlation coefficient (PRCC) takes care of the association of an individual input parameter k_i with the output β provided that the dependency of all the other k_j -s have been eliminated. This makes PRCC the most reliable among all the sampling based sensitivity indices. PRCC can be calculated from the rank correlation matrix (C), where

 C_{ij} is the RCC between the *i*-th and *j*-th element. The co-factor P_{ij} of C_{ij} is utilized to calculate PRCC of an input parameter k_i with the output β as

$$\mathcal{P}_{k_i\beta} = -\frac{P_{k_i\beta}}{\sqrt{P_{k_ik_i}P_{\beta\beta}}}.$$
(4)

As correlation coefficient measures how much the output of a network is dependent on the particular input parameter, the correlation coefficient is used as an index of sensitivity in this study. The Pearson correlation coefficient (CC), the Spearman rank correlation coefficient (RCC), and the partial rank correlation coefficient (PRCC) have been calculated for a range of signal k_{ps} and analyzed. For this purpose, we have perturbed each of the input parameters simultaneously and solved the set of coupled rate equations (see S1 Text) to calculate the output β . The distribution of the random perturbations are of Gaussian type whose mean is the base value and the variance is ±5% of the base value. In addition, we have used the data set obtained from 10⁵ independent runs to calculate the correlation coefficients.

Sensitivity analysis of any chemical kinetic network suggests an insight about the priority of the cascade inputs. The utility of parameter sensitivity analysis is to classify the input parameters according to their relative impact on the output [29-32]. In the present study, the phosphorylated fraction of the response regulator ($\beta = A_{2P}/A_T$) is taken as the output variable. As the temperature mediated autophosphorylation rate constant k_{ps} alters, the dynamics of β changes. In the present work, we perform sensitivity analysis over all the rate parameters (except k_{ps}) to check the robustness and the relative importance of the parameter set considered for the reaction kinetics involved in the signal transduction.

Stochastic Optimization

In the present work, we implement simulated annealing (SA) [33, 34] to decipher the correct parameter set to reproduce results of some *in vitro* experiments reported by Jones et al. [24]. At first, we consider the autophosphorylation of the sensor protein (S_2) and phosphotransfer to the response regulator (A_2) as done in the *in vitro* phosphorylation experiment by Jones et al. [24]. Here, it is important to mention that SA simulation is an algorithmic replica of thermodynamical annealing process [33, 34]. In metallurgical annealing, the metal alloy is taken at a very high temperature and then slowly cooled down to get the most thermodynamically stable state. Similarly, in SA, an algorithmic temperature, called the annealing temperature, is defined. The annealing temperature controls the extent of the search space (or the solution space) that is being sampled. During the simulation a randomly chosen variable is allowed to take a move for each sampling. The maximum step length taken in our simulation is of 5 (minimum) -15(maximum) % with respect to the value of the particular variable at the previous sampling step. To be explicit, for any parameter k, the update using SA is done by the rule $k' = k + k \times (-1)^n \times$ $\delta \times r_n$, where k' is the updated value of k, n is a random integer, δ is the amplitude of allowed change (kept between 0.05 and 0.15), and r_n is a random number between 0 and 1. Using these information a cost or objective function is calculated for the new set of variables after each iteration. With the progress of iteration, the cost profile goes down as the output becomes close to the desired value. The cost function at the *i*-th step of the iteration is calculated as

$$cost_i = \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\beta_{ex}(j) - \beta_{T_{at}}(j))^2,$$
(5)

where $\beta_{ex}(j)$ is the experimental value of relative phosphorylation and $\beta_{T_{at}}(j)$ denotes the relative phosphorylation at the algorithmic (annealing) temperature T_{at} for *j*-th time at the *i*-th

step of the simulation. Similarly, to generate the transcript profile we use the following expression

$$\text{cost}_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} (m_{ex}(j) - m_{T_{at}}(j))^{2}, \tag{6}$$

with $m_{ex}(j)$ and $m_{T_{at}}(j)$ being the experimental value of transcript and the simulated value of the same at the algorithmic (annealing) temperature T_{at} , respectively. While going from *i*-th to i + 1-th SA step, the cost function may increase or decrease. If the cost function decreases, we accept the move. On the other hand, if it increases we do not discard the move outright. Instead, we subject it to the Metropolis test [35]. If the quantity $\Delta = \text{cost}_i - \text{cost}_{i-1}$ has a positive value, the probability for accepting the move is determined by the function

$$F = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta}{T_{at}}\right). \tag{7}$$

For positive Δ , *F* is always between 0 and 1. For each evaluation of *F*, we invoke a random number ξ (say) between 0 and 1. If $F > \xi$, we accept the move. Otherwise, the move is rejected. Thus, at very high T_{at} , *F* will be close to 1 and most moves will be accepted, such that a greater region of the search space will be sampled. As the simulation proceeds, T_{at} is decreased by the *annealing schedule*. Once the correct path towards the global minimum is attained, we need not search the entire space and concentrate on a small region, which will guide us correctly to the global minimum. In other words, as T_{at} is lowered, a decreasing number of moves pass the Metropolis test. Finally, we recover the correct set of parameter to reproduce the experimental results. In addition, the optimization is carried out until the cost reaches to zero or a low enough steady value and the corresponding data set is taken as optimized set.

The underlying reason to employ stochastic optimization is to optimize the model parameters in a more reliable and efficient manner. The primary target of any optimization method is to minimize a scalar valued objective function or cost function. This makes stochastic optimization technique the most effective method in developing and fabricating a complex system with large number of components. For systems with high nonlinearity, such an approach gets favor over any deterministic method of optimization. This happens due to the implementation of a Markov Chain Monte Carlo search direction in such a way that one can distinguish the global optima from many local optima. To utilize the principles of stochastic optimization, we implement SA technique [<u>33</u>, <u>34</u>] which has been successfully applied recently to understand the role of different bonding (stacking and hydrogen) interactions on the breathing dynamics of DNA [<u>36</u>, <u>37</u>].

Results and Discussion

Amplification and Switch

In presence of a stimulus, regulatory networks in all living systems need a switching from *off* to *on* state or *vice versa*. Genetic switch, a typical regulatory system, sometimes gets controlled by the output protein through a feedback loop. The presence of a positive feedback motif in the network makes the switching phenomena sharp. As a result, the network response in terms of the population of the network output shows a sharp growth curve even for a small change in the input signal. Typically, amplification in the signal can be classified into two categories, magnitude amplification and sensitivity amplification [38]. Magnitude amplification occurs in ligand-gated ion channels, where $\sim 10^4$ ions flow as a single ligand molecule binds to the channel protein. Here, the response is much greater than the stimulus. On the other hand,



Fig 2. Amplification. A. The % amplification and **B**. the sensitivity amplification of the output response β with respect to the signal $k_{\rho s}$. Note the logarithmic scale in the abscissae.

sensitivity amplification is defined as the fractional change in response with respect to a fractional change in the stimulus. The sensitivity amplification can be calculated locally as [<u>38–40</u>]

$$S_{local} = \frac{\Delta response/response}{\Delta signal/signal},$$
(8)

where the change in the input signal (Δ signal) is infinitesimally small. The sensitivity amplification can be calculated globally from the signal-response characteristic curve (see Fig 1 of [38]). Following Koshland et al, the order of sensitivity can be classified as ultrasensitive, hyperbolic sensitive and subsensitive [38]. A curve is said to be ultrasensitive if a 10%–90% change in the response can be obtained for a very narrow range (4–5 fold) of the signal [38]. In the case of hyperbolic Michaelian response, the range of the signal is ~81 [38] and for subsensitive amplification, it is about a few thousand [38].

In the present model, the pool of A_{2P} is developed when both the phosphotransfer motif and the autoregulation motif are functional. In Fig 2A, we show the amplification of the output response β , the fraction of phosphorylated BvgA, as a function of the autophosphorylation rate k_{ps} . The local sensitivity for this model is

$$S_{local} = \frac{\Delta A_{2P} / A_{2P}^i}{\Delta k_{ps} / k_{ps}^i}.$$
(9)

Here, $\Delta A_{2P} = A_{2P}^f - A_{2P}^i$ and $\Delta k_{ps} = k_{ps}^f - k_{ps}^i$ with *i* and *f* being the initial and final value, respectively. At lower range of k_{ps} , one can observe a first order ultrasensitive response that reduces to zero order at a high value of the signal. The quantity S_{local} approaches 1 for small stimulus and sharply falls to 0 for large stimulus (Fig 2B). This happens as the pool of β gets saturated at the high value of k_{ps} . If we focus on the global sensitivity with reference to Fig 2A, the amplification of β from 10% to 90% occurs in response to a 10 fold increment of the signal. Thus, the sensitivity amplification does not show an ultrasensitive switch. Absence of ultrasensitivity implies that the molecular switch in *B. pertussis* lacks co-operativity in the positive feedback operative at the *bvg* operon.

Parameter sensitivity analysis

To understand the significance of model parameters on the generation of the molecular switch, we perform sensitivity analysis for all the rate parameters except k_{ps} (which is treated as the signal) with respect to β as the output parameter. The positive feedback network shows a sharp switch with respect to k_{ps} . Thus, sensitivity analysis is performed for a broad range of k_{ps} values $(k_{ps} = 10^{-3} - 10^{-1})$ that signify the different regions of the amplification profile shown in Fig 2A. The results thus obtained help us to comment not only on the sensitivity of the rate parameters but also show how the order of sensitivity gets modified with the switch.

CC, RCC and PRCC calculations are carried out as a measure of sensitivity index. The numerical values of the same for three different values of k_{ps} (10⁻³, 10⁻² and 10⁻¹) are presented in <u>Table 2</u>. At 10⁻³, β is very low; at 10⁻², β starts to increase and at 10⁻¹, it reaches a high value after a sharp change. The trend of correlation is same in all the three correlation coefficient calculations (CC, RCC, PRCC). In magnitude, CC and RCC are very close representing the linear nature of the output with respect to the input. Since in the calculation of PRCC for a parameter excludes the effect of other variables, the PRCC values are quite higher than that of CC and RCC values.

At $k_{ps} = 10^{-3}$, k_{tp1} , k_{dm} , k_{sa} , k_{dp} and k_{pf} have considerably high correlation coefficient values, where k_{tp1} , k_{sa} and k_{pf} are negatively correlated and the others show positive correlation. All the rate parameters show quite similar trends at $k_{ps} = 10^{-2}$, but at $k_{ps} = 10^{-1}$, the order as well as the nature of correlation for some parameters get modified. The sensitive parameter at lower k_{ps} value remains sensitive, however, the nature of correlation becomes opposite for k_{tp1} , k_{dm} and k_{dp} . At this k_{ps} value, k_{ss} , k_{dps} and k_{tf} also show high correlation which are practically insensitive at a low value of k_{ps} . The change in the nature of sensitivity before and after the *on* state

Table 2. CC, RCC, and PRCC values for all the input parameters with output β for low, medium and high values of $k_{\rho s}$.

Parameters	$k_{ m ho s} = 10^{-3}$			$k_{ m ho s} = 10^{-2}$			$k_{ m ps} = 10^{-1}$		
	CC	RCC	PRCC	СС	RCC	PRCC	СС	RCC	PRCC
k _b	-0.058	-0.055	-0.207	-0.016	-0.017	-0.034	0.003	0.003	0.007
<i>k</i> _u	0.054	0.054	0.206	0.007	0.008	0.035	-0.002	-0.003	-0.011
k_{tp0}	-0.075	-0.072	-0.252	-0.006	-0.006	-0.028	0.011	0.010	0.005
k _{tp1}	-0.397	-0.382	-0.814	-0.436	-0.421	-0.844	0.193	0.182	0.569
k _{dm}	0.474	0.456	0.858	0.446	0.433	0.849	-0.196	-0.187	-0.574
k _{ss}	0.033	0.031	0.086	0.025	0.023	0.081	0.342	0.326	0.774
k _{sa}	-0.494	-0.479	-0.869	-0.468	-0.452	-0.861	-0.139	-0.135	-0.457
k _{dps}	-0.005	-0.004	-0.005	-0.004	-0.005	-0.005	-0.218	-0.208	-0.604
k _{tf}	-0.008	-0.013	-0.006	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.554	0.535	0.891
k _{tb}	-0.008	-0.007	-0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	-0.015	-0.013	-0.033
k _{ta}	0.011	0.010	0.010	-0.006	-0.005	0.007	0.010	0.009	0.031
k _{pf}	-0.416	-0.399	-0.822	-0.444	-0.426	-0.845	-0.342	-0.332	-0.780
k _{pb}	0.016	0.011	0.041	0.017	0.017	0.041	-0.003	-0.003	0.031
k _{pa}	-0.007	-0.006	-0.036	-0.020	-0.020	-0.051	-0.010	-0.011	-0.031
k _{dp}	0.439	0.425	0.845	0.425	0.415	0.836	-0.551	-0.535	-0.892

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147281.t002



Fig 3. Correlation coefficients. The correlation coefficients CC (solid line), RCC (dashed line) and PRCC (dotted line) as a function of input signal k_{ps} . A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H are for k_{tp1} , k_{dm} , k_{sa} , k_{dps} , k_{tf} , k_{pf} and k_{dp} , respectively. Note the logarithmic scale in the abscissae.

ONE

PLOS

for all the rate parameters are presented in a tabular form in <u>Table 2</u>. With this gross nature of the sensitivity of the parameters, we analyze the nature as well as the order of sensitivity of the rate parameters in the following.

The nature of correlation of k_{sa} does not change much with the change of k_{ps} value. k_{sa} is the rate constant for generation of A_2 from mRNA. As the output β for the calculation of correlation coefficients is inversely proportional to the A_2 concentration, k_{sa} shows negative correlation (see Fig 3D). Although it remains negatively correlated, the magnitude of its correlation coefficients (CC, RCC and PRCC) get reduced at a high value of k_{ps} . At high k_{ps} , concentration of S_{2P} is abundant and phosphotransfer rate increases. Thus, enhanced production of A_2

implies enhanced phosphotransfer to A_2 , which in fact, increases the amount of A_{2P} . In a way, if one increases the value of k_{sa} , not only the A_2 concentration increases but a gain in the A_{2P} concentration also takes place. With these two opposing factors, effectively the order of sensitivity of this parameter reduces at the high value of k_{ps} .

If we consider mRNA generation, the system output β varies inversely at low value of k_{ps} . With the increase of mRNA concentration, both the protein concentrations (S_2 and A_2) increase. Increase in A_2 show direct effect on β . The rise in S_2 concentration also reduces β , as A_{2P} is dephosphorylated to A_2 by S_2 along with low phosphotransfer (generation of A_{2P}) due to low concentration of S_{2P} . Thus, both the rate constants for mRNA generation (k_{tp0} and k_{tp1}) show negative correlation at low k_{ps} value, with k_{tp1} showing high correlation value than k_{tp0} . In the present work, k_{tp1} is the rate of mRNA generation from the active state of a promoter (P_a) and transformation of $P_i \rightarrow P_a$ involves loss of A_{2P} . Hence, the effect of k_{tp1} on the output would be much greater than k_{tp0} . At high k_{ps} , the phosphotransfer motif dominates the whole reaction system thus affecting the mRNA generation. Thus, k_{tp1} show positive correlation at high k_{ps} (Fig 3A). The parameter k_{dm} deals with degradation of mRNA and shows a trend opposite to that of k_{tp1} . Hence, it is positively correlated at low k_{ps} , while showing negative correlation at high k_{ps} (Fig 3B).

The other two rate parameters that show significant sensitivity after the amplification switch is on are k_{ss} and k_{dps} . The first one is related to the generation of S_2 from mRNA and the other one is the rate constant for the autodephosphorylation of S_{2P} . At high k_{ps} value, the phosphotransfer process from S_{2P} to A_2 becomes significant and eventually the output β varies with the concentration of S_{2P} . As with the increase in k_{ss} and decrease in k_{dps} amount of S_{2P} increases, k_{ss} and k_{dps} show positive and negative correlation, respectively (Fig 3C and 3E).

At low k_{ps} value, the kinase reaction does not show any significant effect on the phosphotransfer kinetics as the amount of S_{2P} is negligible. However, at the high value of k_{ps} , the amount of S_{2P} increases, thus increasing the phosphorylation of A_2 . This leads to the generation of A_{2P} which, in turn, increases the level of β . Hence, it is justified for the rate parameter k_{tf} to show high positive correlation at the higher value of k_{ps} (Fig 3F). On the other hand, k_{pf} is associated with the phosphatase reaction that takes care of the dephosphorylation of A_{2P} ; thus showing negative correlation irrespective of the value of k_{ps} (Fig 3G).

The degradation of all the proteins (S_2 , A_2 , S_{2P} and A_{2P}) is controlled by the rate parameter k_{dp} . Hence, it gets correlated with the output (β) with a decreasing trend. In the low range of k_{ps} , where the protein pool is minuscule, k_{dp} shows high positive correlation. However, at the saturation of the protein pool at high k_{ps} value, the associated correlation of k_{dp} becomes sharply negative (Fig 3H).

As mentioned earlier, here we have used a Gaussian perturbation of ±5% to calculate the sensitivity of the individual parameters. To check whether the parameter set can withstand any larger perturbation (>±5%) we have systematically increased the magnitude of perturbation by increasing the variance of the Gaussian distribution up to ±20%. The list of resultant data are given in S1 Table for $k_{ps} = 10^{-2}$ and shows that the CC, RCC and PRCC values remain consistent for ±5%, ±10%, ±15% and ±20% perturbation.

In vitro assay and stochastic optimization: The mutants

The previous subsection describes how efficiently one can decipher the set of reaction rate constants (k_i -s) on the basis of their sensitivity towards output β . This elucidates the degree of priority of the reactions given in <u>S1 Text</u>. Once the sensitivity of the parameter set is determined, one can target simple motifs present within the complex signaling circuit. One such simple motif is the phosphorylation of BvgA, which has been studied experimentally using some novel mutants [24]. As discussed earlier, activation of the signaling cascade is triggered by the phosphorylation of the transcription factor BvgA by the sensor BvgS. Any alteration through sitedirected mutagenesis at the phosphorylation domain of BvgA may influence the phosphorylation kinetics. Two such mutants, T194M and R152H, were employed by Jones et al. in studying the *in vitro* phosphorylation assay [24]. The wild type and the two mutant BvgA were incubated with GST-'BvgS in the presence of $[\gamma - {}^{32}P]$ -ATP and the phosphotransfer kinetics was monitored for 5 min, and the relative amount of phosphorylation was noted at different time points. The relative amount of $[\gamma - {}^{32}P]$ -ATP thus incorporated in BvgA was quantified using phosphoimager. The total protein concentration of BvgS and BvgA used were 0.8 μ M and 2.1 μ M, respectively. The experimental results suggest that the mutant R152H behaves almost like the WT strain whereas the mutant T194M is heavily impaired in its ability to get phosphorylated. This happens as both arginine (R) and histidine (H) are positively charged and are good acceptor of the negatively charged phosphate group. On the other hand, when the polar threonine (T) residue is replaced by methionine (M) it heavily impairs the phosphorylation capacity.

To examine the performance of the phosphorylated BvgA, one can construct a transcription assay as BvgA on phosphorylation acts as a transcription factor for its promoter and the promoters of the downstream genes. Among the four classes of downstream genes, we opted for *fhaB* (class 2) and *bipA* (class 3) gene as their promoters have high affinity binding sites and show quick response even under low levels of induction [24]. Also, results of *in vitro* transcription assay are available for these classes of genes [24]. High affinity binding site in these two mutants suggests that affinity of phosphorylated transcription factor (BvgA-P) towards the DNA of these two mutants will be high [41]. This information on the other hand suggests that phosphorylated R152H will have higher affinity compared to phosphorylated T194M. Surprisingly, electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) exhibits reverse result [24], i.e., binding affinity of T194M towards high affinity binding site is higher compared to R152H. One of the plausible mechanism could be the interaction between the negatively charged backbone of the double helix and the positively charged R152H reduces drastically due to histidine (H). Keeping this in mind, we only consider the experimental results of these two genes for optimization purpose.

Since we have deciphered the sensitivity of the model parameters, we now focus on reproducing the behavior of some novel mutants mentioned earlier. In the present work, we use simulated annealing, a stochastic optimization technique, to estimate the optimal set of the rate parameters involved in the *in vitro* phosphorylation assay (<u>Table 3</u>). At this point it is important to mention that the process of optimization is computationally expensive as the optimized set of variables is far away from the parameter space from where the sampling is started. The parameter set have to travel a long way to reach the optimized value. Thus, we allowed the parameters to take long step and keep the size up to 15% and carried out 500 independent SA runs. The uncertainty in the output of the SA runs are given in the form of standard deviation

Table 3. List of optimized parameters used for the simulation of *in vitro* phosphorylation assay. Here, *x*±*y* stand for the value of optimized parameter *x* with standard deviation *y*. The standard deviation is calculated using the data of 500 independent SA runs.

Parameters	WT	R152H	T194M
k _{tf}	$(8.98 \pm 2.65) \times 10^{-3} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$	$(4.49 \pm 1.20) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{nM}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(3.50 \pm 1.29) \times 10^{-5} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
k _{tb}	$(1.52 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(6.68 \pm 1.69) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(1.43 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
k _{ta}	$(1.77 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(2.46 \pm 0.67) \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(1.62 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147281.t003





in <u>Table 3</u>. The nature of standard deviation suggests that the uncertainty lies within $\sim 20\%$ of the optimized parameter value. In addition, we have considered only those SA runs where the cost function asymptotically moves towards zero. Otherwise, we do not consider the output of a SA run in our calculation. Keeping this in mind we first generate the profiles of the *in vitro* phosphorylation assay experiment reported by Jones et. al [24]. The kinetic rate constants, optimized using SA, reproduces the experimental profile (the symbols in Fig 4). The associated cost function and the evolution of rate parameters (only 5 out of 500 trajectories) as a function of SA steps are shown in <u>S1 Fig. Fig 4</u> shows that the phosphorylation ability of R152H is higher than that of T194M. On a relative scale, R152H and T194M could be phosphorylated $\sim 80\%$ and $\sim 30\%$, respectively, compared to the WT strain.

As discussed earlier, on mutation, the successive binding of phosphorylated BvgA (A_{2P}) at different promoters gets affected. The three strains WT, R152H and T194M have been used to observe the effect on DNA-protein interaction through *in vitro* transcription assay. At this point, it is important to mention that the experimental data does not have any error bar that gives an estimation of the uncertainty in the experimental results. The snapshots of the profile of cost function and the parameter optimization with respect to SA steps are shown in S2 and S3 Figs. The optimized parameter set thus obtained could reproduce the qualitative behavior (the solid, dashed and dotted lines in Fig 5) of the *in vitro* experimental results (the open squares, circles and triangles in Fig 5). As mentioned in the previous paragraph, the mutant R152H activates the target genes later compared to the mutant T194M due to its weak interaction with the promoter region of the target gene.



Fig 5. In vitro transcription assay. Profiles of *in vitro* transcription assay generated using stochastic optimization for **A**. *fhaB* and **B**. *bipA*. The solid, dashed and dotted lines are for WT, R152H and T194M, respectively. The symbols are experimental results due to Jones et al. [24]. In the figure legend Th and Expt stand for theoretical and experimental data, respectively.

Conclusion

The present study undertakes the temperature mediated activation and virulence of BvgAS cascade in the light of sensitivity based optimization. The kinetic model of BvgAS has been simulated for a broad range of sensor protein autophosphorylation that mimics the kinetics of the same quantity due to temperature elevation. The sharp switch in the phosphorylated response regulator is a consequence of positive feedback operative on the *bvgAS* operon. The BvgA-P sharp switch arising due to the integration of the modular structure (the autoregulation and the phosphotransfer module) shows maximum response to the extra-cellular stimulus.

The development of sharp switch has been extensively investigated in the light of sensitivity analysis coupled with stochastic optimization. Our analysis shows the phosphotransfer module to be the more sensitive compared to the autoregulation module. The parameter sensitivity opens up the avenue to classify and explain the role of model parameters in accord with their influence on the steady state dynamics. Once classified, it is possible to tune the most sensitive parameters to regenerate the experimental profiles computationally. The simulated annealing based stochastic optimization performed on three different strains of BvgA (WT, R152H and T194M) could successfully reproduce the characteristics of in vitro experimental results. In addition, it helps in the understanding of different nature of attenuation or delay during activation of the mutants. Quantification of such delay is biologically important as a delay in the switch due to temperature elevation brings in obstruction in the virulence to be triggered within the host. The obstruction might become operative in the level of protein-protein interaction or the protein-DNA interaction. Although, in vivo fabrication of such synthetic network is difficult, it may be a good starting point in understanding the functionality of stimulus mediated in vivo systems. Future experiments leading to target characterization and quantitative measurements of such interactions will help one to build more efficient models.

Parameters	wт	R152H	T194M
k _{b,21}	$(1.24 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-5} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	$(1.99 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	$(1.53 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-7} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
k _{u,21}	$(1.51 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-4} s^{-1}$	$(3.81 \pm 0.71) \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(1.25 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
k _{b,22}	$(1.13 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-4} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	$(7.96 \pm 2.02) \times 10^{-8} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$	$(9.48 \pm 2.60) \times 10^{-6} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
k _{u,22}	$(4.81 \pm 1.21) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(4.24 \pm 1.38) \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(1.02 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-7} s^{-1}$
k _{b,23}	(5.96 ± 1.79) × 10 ⁻⁵ nM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	$(1.26 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-6} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$	$(3.76 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$
k _{u,23}	$(5.60 \pm 1.80) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(2.06 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(4.54 \pm 1.22) \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Table 4. List of optimized parameters used for the simulation of in vitro transcription assay of *fhaB*. Here, x ± y stand for the value of optimized parameter x with standard deviation y. The standard deviation is calculated using the data of 500 independent SA runs.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147281.t004

Table 5. List of optimized parameters used for the simulation of in vitro transcription assay of bipA. Here, x ± y stand for the value of optimized parameter x with standard deviation y. The standard deviation is calculated using the data of 500 independent SA runs.

Parameters	WT	R152H	T194M
k _{b,31}	$(9.64 \pm 2.54) \times 10^{-5} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	$(3.01 \pm 0.84) \times 10^{-7} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	$(1.62 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-7} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$
k _{u,31}	$(1.07 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(1.41 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(4.45 \pm 1.02) \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
k _{b,32}	$(5.64 \pm 1.38) \times 10^{-5} \text{nM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	$(3.07 \pm 0.85) \times 10^{-7} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$	$(2.93 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-6} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$
k _{u,32}	$(1.29 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(1.95 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(1.95 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
k _{b,33}	(7.21 ± 1.6) × 10 ⁻⁷ nM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	$(3.73 \pm 1.08) \times 10^{-7} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$	$(9.27 \pm 1.98) \times 10^{-7} n M^{-1} s^{-1}$
k _{u,33}	$(3.75 \pm 0.62) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(1.76 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$(9.99 \pm 2.14) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147281.t005

Supporting Information

S1 Text. General supplementary information. The text contains the detailed kinetic mechanism of BvgAS two-component system, the kinetics of *in vitro* phosphorylation assay and the kinetics of in vitro transcription assay.

(PDF)

S1 Fig. Optimization of kinetic parameters associated with *in vitro* phosphorylation assay. The cost function and the optimization profiles of the kinetic parameters associated with the simulation of *in vitro* phosphorylation assay results (Fig 4 and Table 3) as a function of SA steps. The colored (red, green, blue, cyan and magenta) lines are representatives of five different SA runs. The black horizontal line represents the base parameter value given in Table 1. Note the logarithmic scale in the ordinates. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Optimization of kinetic parameters associated with in vitro transcription assay of **fhaB.** The cost function and the optimization profiles of the kinetic parameters (Table 4) associated with the simulation of *in vitro* transcription assay results of *fhaB* (Fig 5A) as a function of SA steps. The colored (red, green, blue, cyan and magenta) lines are representatives of five different SA runs. The black horizontal line represents the base parameter value given in Table 1. Note the logarithmic scale in the ordinates.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Optimization of kinetic parameters associated with in vitro transcription assay of *bipA*. The cost function and the optimization profiles of the kinetic parameters (Table 5) associated with the simulation of *in vitro* transcription assay results of *bipA* (Fig 5B) as a function of SA steps. The colored (red, green, blue, cyan and magenta) lines are representatives of five

different SA runs. The black horizontal line represents the base parameter value given in Table 1. Note the logarithmic scale in the ordinates.

(TIF)

S1 Table. CC, RCC, and PRCC values for all the input parameters with output β for $k_{ps} = 10^{-2}$ at different range of perturbation. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Debi Banerjee for critical reading of the manuscript. TM, SC and SKB acknowledge financial support from CSIR, India [01(2771)/14/EMR-II]. SKB is thankful to Bose Institute, Kolkata, India for research fund.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: TM SKB. Performed the experiments: TM ST. Analyzed the data: TM ST SC SKB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SC SKB. Wrote the paper: TM ST SC SKB.

References

- Alon U (2007) An Introduction to Systems Biology: Design Principles of Biological Circuits. CRC Press, New York.
- Appleby JL, Parkinson JS, Bourret RB (1996) Signal transduction via the multi-step phosphorelay: not necessarily a road less traveled. Cell 86: 845–848. doi: <u>10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80158-0</u> PMID: <u>8808618</u>
- Hoch JA (2000) Two-component and phosphorelay signal transduction. Curr Opin Microbiol 3: 165– 170. doi: <u>10.1016/S1369-5274(00)00070-9</u> PMID: <u>10745001</u>
- Stock AM, Robinson VL, Goudreau PN (2000) Two-component signal transduction. Annu Rev Biochem 69: 183–215. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev.biochem.69.1.183</u> PMID: <u>10966457</u>
- Laub MT, Goulian M (2007) Specificity in two-component signal transduction pathways. Annu Rev Genet 41: 121–145. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genet.41.042007.170548 PMID: 18076326
- Mitrophanov AY, Groisman EA (2008) Signal integration in bacterial two-component regulatory systems. Genes Dev 22: 2601–2611. doi: <u>10.1101/gad.1700308</u> PMID: <u>18832064</u>
- Beier D, Gross R (2008) The bvgs/bvga phosphorelay system of pathogenic bordetellae: structure, function and evolution. Adv Exp Med Biol 631: 149–160. doi: <u>10.1007/978-0-387-78885-2_10</u> PMID: <u>18792687</u>
- Cotter PA, Jones AM (2003) Phosphorelay control of virulence gene expression in bordetella. Trends Microbiol 11: 367–373. doi: 10.1016/S0966-842X(03)00156-2 PMID: 12915094
- Melvin JA, Scheller EV, Miller JF, Cotter PA (2014) Bordetella pertussis pathogenesis: current and future challenges. Nat Rev Microbiol 12: 274–288. doi: <u>10.1038/nrmicro3235</u> PMID: <u>24608338</u>
- Alves R, Savageau MA (2003) Comparative analysis of prototype two-component systems with either bifunctional or monofunctional sensors: differences in molecular structure and physiological function. Mol Microbiol 48: 25–51. doi: <u>10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03344.x</u> PMID: <u>12657043</u>
- Batchelor E, Goulian M (2003) Robustness and the cycle of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation in a two-component regulatory system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 691–696. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.</u> <u>0234782100</u> PMID: <u>12522261</u>
- Kato A, Mitrophanov AY, Groisman EA (2007) A connector of two-component regulatory systems promotes signal amplification and persistence of expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 12063– 12068. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0704462104 PMID: 17615238
- Shinar G, Milo R, Martínez MR, Alon U (2007) Input output robustness in simple bacterial signaling systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 19931–19935. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706792104 PMID: 18077424
- Miyashiro T, Goulian M (2008) High stimulus unmasks positive feedback in an autoregulated bacterial signaling circuit. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 17457–17462. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.0807278105</u> PMID: <u>18987315</u>

- Sureka K, Ghosh B, Dasgupta A, Basu J, Kundu M, Bose I (2008) Positive feedback and noise activate the stringent response regulator rel in mycobacteria. PLoS One 3: e1771–e1771. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.</u> <u>pone.0001771</u> PMID: <u>18335046</u>
- Igoshin OA, Alves R, Savageau MA (2008) Hysteretic and graded responses in bacterial two-component signal transduction. Mol Microbiol 68: 1196–1215. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06221.x</u> PMID: <u>18363790</u>
- Banik SK, Fenley AT, Kulkarni RV (2009) A model for signal transduction during quorum sensing in Vibrio harveyi. Phys Biol 6: 046008–046008. doi: <u>10.1088/1478-3975/6/4/046008</u> PMID: <u>19843985</u>
- Kierzek AM, Zhou L, Wanner BL (2010) Stochastic kinetic model of two component system signalling reveals all-or-none, graded and mixed mode stochastic switching responses. Mol Biosyst 6: 531–542. doi: 10.1039/B906951H PMID: 20174681
- Tiwari A, Balazsi G, Gennaro ML, Igoshin OA (2010) The interplay of multiple feedback loops with posttranslational kinetics results in bistability of mycobacterial stress response. Phys Biol 7: 036005. doi: 10.1088/1478-3975/7/3/036005 PMID: 20733247
- Csikász-Nagy A, Cardelli L, Soyer OS (2011) Response dynamics of phosphorelays suggest their potential utility in cell signalling. J R Soc Interface 8: 480–488. doi: <u>10.1098/rsif.2010.0336</u> PMID: <u>20702449</u>
- Wei K, Moinat M, Maarleveld TR, Bruggeman FJ (2014) Stochastic simulation of prokaryotic two-component signalling indicates stochasticity-induced active-state locking and growth-rate dependent bistability. Mol Biosyst 10: 2338–2346. doi: <u>10.1039/C4MB00264D</u> PMID: <u>24955938</u>
- Bandyopadhyay A, Banik SK (2012) Positive feedback and temperature mediated molecular switch controls differential gene regulation in Bordetella pertussis. BioSystems 110: 107–118. doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> <u>biosystems.2012.08.004</u> PMID: <u>22960292</u>
- Prajapat MK, Saini S (2013) Role of feedback and network architecture in controlling virulence gene expression in Bordetella. Mol Biosyst 9: 2635–2644. doi: 10.1039/c3mb70213h PMID: 24056999
- Jones AM, Boucher PE, Williams CL, Stibitz S, Cotter PA (2005) Role of bvga phosphorylation and dna binding affinity in control of bvg-mediated phenotypic phase transition in bordetella pertussis. Mol Microbiol 58: 700–713. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04875.x PMID: 16238621
- 25. Roy CR, Miller JF, Falkow S (1990) Autogenous regulation of the bordetella pertussis bygabc operon. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87: 3763–3767. doi: 10.1073/pnas.87.10.3763 PMID: 2111016
- Scarlato V, Prugnola A, Aricó B, Rappuoli R (1990) Positive transcriptional feedback at the bvg locus controls expression of virulence factors in bordetella pertussis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87: 10067– 10067. doi: 10.1073/pnas.87.17.6753 PMID: 2263607
- Scarlato V, Aricò B, Prugnola A, Rappuoli R (1991) Sequential activation and environmental regulation of virulence genes in bordetella pertussis. EMBO J 10: 3971–3975. PMID: 1718746
- Hot D, Slupek S, Wulbrecht B, D'Hondt A, Hubans C, Antoine R, et al. (2011) Detection of small RNAs in Bordetella pertussis and identification of a novel repeated genetic element. BMC Genomics 12: 207. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-207 PMID: 21524285
- 29. Salteli A (2004) Sensitivity Analysis in Practice: A Guide to Assessing Scientific Models. Wiley, New Jersy.
- Saltelli A, Ratto M, Tarantola S, Campolongo F (2005) Sensitivity analysis for chemical models. Chem Rev 105: 2811–2828. doi: <u>10.1021/cr040659d</u> PMID: <u>16011325</u>
- Marino S, Hogue IB, Ray CJ, Kirschner DE (2008) A methodology for performing global uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in systems biology. J Theor Biol 254: 178–196. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jtbi.2008.04.011</u> PMID: <u>18572196</u>
- Talukder S, Sen S, Metzler R, Banik SK, Chaudhury P (2013) Stochastic optimization-based study of dimerization kinetics. J Chem Sci 125: 1619–1627. doi: 10.1007/s12039-013-0502-y
- Kirkpatrick S, Gelatt CD, Vecchi MP (1983) Optimization by simulated annealing. Science 220: 671– 680.
- Kirkpatrick S (1984) Optimization by simulated annealing: Quantitative studies. J Stat Phys 34: 975– 986. doi: <u>10.1007/BF01009452</u>
- Metropolis N, Rosenbluth AW, Rosenbluth MN, Teller AH, Teller E (1953) Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines. J Chem Phys 21: 1087–1092. doi: <u>10.1063/1.1699114</u>
- Talukder S, Chaudhury P, Metzler R, Banik SK (2011) Determining the DNA stability parameters for the breathing dynamics of heterogeneous DNA by stochastic optimization. J Chem Phys 135: 165103. doi: 10.1063/1.3654958 PMID: 22047268

- Talukder S, Sen S, Chakraborti P, Metzler R, Banik SK, Chaudhury P (2014) Breathing dynamics based parameter sensitivity analysis of hetero-polymeric DNA. J Chem Phys 140: 125101. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1063/1.4869112</u> PMID: <u>24697480</u>
- Koshland DE, Goldbeter A, Stock JB (1982) Amplification and adaptation in regulatory and sensory systems. Science 217: 220–225.
- Savageau MA (1976) Biochemical Systems Analysis: A Study of Function and Design in Molecular Biology. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.
- 40. Goldbeter A, Koshland DE (1981) An amplified sensitivity arising from covalent modification in biological systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 78: 6840–6844. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.78.11.6840</u> PMID: <u>6947258</u>
- Boucher PE, Murakami K, Ishihama A, Stibitz S (1997) Nature of dna binding and rna polymerase interaction of the bordetella pertussis byga transcriptional activator at the fha promoter. J Bacteriol 179: 1755–1763. PMID: <u>9045838</u>