

Improvement in left ventricular intrinsic dyssynchrony with cardiac resynchronization therapy

Serdar Bozyel, Ayşen Ağaçdiken Ağır¹, Tayfun Şahin¹, Umut Çelikyurt¹, Müjdat Aktaş¹, Onur Argan², İrem Yılmaz³, Kurtuluş Karaüzüm⁴, Emir Derviş¹, Ahmet Vural¹, Dilek Ural

Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Koç University; İstanbul-Turkey

¹Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kocaeli University; Kocaeli-Turkey

²Department of Cardiology, Kocaeli State Hospital; Kocaeli-Turkey

³Department of Cardiology, Seka State Hospital; Kocaeli-Turkey

⁴Department of Cardiology, Derince Training and Research Hospital; Kocaeli-Turkey

ABSTRACT

Objective: Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has been shown to induce a structural and electrical remodeling; the data on whether left ventricle (LV) reverse remodeling is associated with restitution of intrinsic contraction pattern are unknown. In this study, we investigated the presence of improvement in left ventricular intrinsic dyssynchrony in patients with CRT.

Methods: A total of 45 CRT recipients were prospectively studied. Dyssynchrony indexes including interventricular mechanical delay (IVMD) and tissue Doppler velocity opposing-wall delay (OWD) as well as QRS duration on 12-lead surface electrocardiogram were recorded before CRT device implantation. After 1 year, patients with chronic biventricular pacing were reprogrammed to VVI 40 to allow the resumption of native conduction and contraction pattern. After 4–6 h of intrinsic rhythm, QRS duration and all echocardiographic measurements were recorded. Dyssynchrony was defined as IVMD >40 ms and OWD >65 ms. CRT response was defined by a ≥15% reduction in left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV) at a 12-month follow-up.

Results: Thirty-two patients (71%) showed response to CRT. The native QRS duration reduced significantly from 150±12 ms to 138±14 ms ($p<0.001$), and dyssynchrony indexes showed a significant improvement only in responders. The mean OWD reduced from 86±37 ms to 50±29 ms ($p<0.001$), and the mean IVMD decreased from 55±22 ms to 28±22 ms ($p<0.001$) in responders. The reduction in LVESV was significantly correlated with Δ OWD ($r=0.47$, $p=0.001$), Δ IVMD ($r=0.45$, $p=0.001$), and Δ QRS ($r=0.34$, $p=0.022$).

Conclusion: Chronic CRT significantly improves LV native contraction pattern and causes reverse remodeling in dyssynchrony. (*Anatol J Cardiol* 2017; 17: 298-302)

Keywords: CRT, intrensek dissenkroni, native QRS duration, reverse remodeling

Introduction

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) is effective for patients with symptomatic heart failure, widened QRS, and reduced ejection fraction (EF) (1–3). CRT is associated with electrical and mechanical reverse remodeling. Although it has been shown to induce a structural and electrical remodeling (ER), little is known whether left ventricle (LV) reverse remodeling is associated with restitution of intrinsic contraction pattern.

The beneficial effects of CRT have been attributed to the restoration of synchrony within the LV (4–7). Some investigators have reported that a change in LV dyssynchrony immediately after CRT is a marker of the mid-term or long-term response to CRT

(4, 8). Whether these improvements are due to the short-term effects of improvement in synchrony or contractile performance or due to long-term improvement in ventricular structure and function remains insufficiently elucidated.

We sought to determine 1) whether chronic CRT induces an improvement in intrinsic dyssynchrony and 2) if changes in the intrinsic dyssynchrony and native conduction pattern correlate with response to CRT.

Methods

We prospectively studied a series of 45 heart failure patients who underwent CRT device implantation. The protocol was ap-

Address for correspondence: Dr. Serdar Bozyel, Derince Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Kardiyoloji Bölümü, 41900, Kocaeli- Türkiye
Phone: +90 262 317 80 00 Fax: +90 262 317 40 35 E-mail: seribra85@gmail.com

Accepted Date: 17.01.2017 **Available Online Date:** 03.03.2017

©Copyright 2017 by Turkish Society of Cardiology - Available online at www.anatoljcardiol.com
DOI:10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2017.7176



proved by the Local Ethics Committee, and a written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. All the patients had New York Heart Association functional class III or IV heart failure despite receiving optimal pharmacological therapy and had left bundle branch block (LBBB) morphology and LVEF <35%. Patients who were pacemaker dependent or who were in atrial fibrillation were excluded.

A biventricular pacing system was implanted with a standard right ventricular (RV) apical lead and LV lead positioned through the coronary sinus in an epicardial vein targeting posterolateral or lateral branches. After implantation, patients underwent a standardized echocardiography-based atrioventricular (AV) and ventriculoventricular (VV) optimization in order to increase the rate of biventricular pacing.

All the patients underwent transthoracic echocardiography before device implantation. Patients were imaged in the left lateral decubitus position using a commercially available system (VIVID 7, General Electric-Vingmed Ultrasound, Horten, Norway). Images were obtained using a 2.5-MHz broadband transducer at a depth of 16 cm in the parasternal and apical views (standard long-axis, 2- and 4-chamber images). Routine two-dimensional and tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) cine loops were obtained. LVEF was calculated from the conventional apical 2- and 4-chamber images using the biplane Simpson's technique (9).

Dyssynchrony indexes included in this study were inter-ventricular mechanical delay (IVMD) and opposing-wall delay (OWD). IVMD was calculated from routine pulsed Doppler as previously described (10). IVMD was determined as the difference between the RV and LV pre-ejection time, with >40 ms predefined as significant dyssynchrony (11). Longitudinal dyssynchrony was OWD, defined as the maximal difference in peak velocity at basal and mid segments in opposing walls for each view. Significant longitudinal dyssynchrony by TDI was predefined as the maximal OWD in one view >65 ms (10, 12).

12-lead surface electrocardiogram (ECG) tracings were recorded on a chart paper at a speed of 25 mm/s with a gain setting of 10 mm/mV. QRS duration was defined as the widest interval in any of the 12 leads. QRS duration was manually measured and double checked with the computer output.

At 12 months of follow-up, CRT devices were reprogrammed to VVI mode at 40 bpm to assess the intrinsic QRS duration and intrinsic dyssynchrony. After 4–6 hours of native rhythm, a surface ECG was recorded and all echocardiographic parameters were obtained again.

Echocardiographic response to CRT was defined by a ≥15% reduction in left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV) at a 12-month follow-up (13).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using the statistical software program SPSS version 13.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY). Continuous variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation, median (25th–75th percentiles), and categorical variables were expressed

Table 1. Comparison of baseline and 1st year of clinical and echocardiographic measurements [mean±SD/median (25th–75th percentiles)]

	Baseline	1 year	P
LVEDD, mm	67.1 (60.3–74.3)	68.0 (56.5–70.7)	0.003 ^a
LVESD, mm	54.0 (49.0–62.6)	52.0 (43.0–55.0)	<0.001 ^a
LAD, mm	45.0 (40.0–47.0)	43.0 (40.0–48.0)	0.006 ^a
LVEF, %	19.9 (15.0–28.5)	35.0 (32.0–44.0)	<0.001 ^a
LVEDV, mm ³	267.0 (219.1–304.2)	163.0 (103.0–211.1)	0.015 ^a
LVESV, mm ³	207.1 (156.5–238.5)	230.0 (198.1–314.8)	<0.001 ^a
QRS, ms	150.0 (140.0–160.0)	140.0 (130.0–153.0)	<0.001 ^a
TDI dyssynchrony by OWD ≥65 ms, n (%)	33 (73)	15 (33)	<0.001 ^b
Mean OWD, ms	95±51	64±44	<0.001 ^c
IVMD ≥40 ms, n (%)	31 (69)	18 (40)	0.002 ^b
Mean IVMD, ms	54±24	32±23	<0.001 ^c

IVMD - interventricular mechanical delay; LAD - left atrial diameter; LVEDD - left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDV - left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEF - left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD - left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVESV - left ventricular end-systolic volume; OWD - opposing wall delay. ^a: compare with Wilcoxon t Test; ^b: compare with McNemar chi-square Test; ^c: compared with paired samples t-test

as counts (percentages). Categorical variables were compared using the Yates' χ^2 test and Monte-Carlo χ^2 test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess differences in clinical and baseline echocardiographic findings between the responders and non-responders. A comparison of the echocardiographic variables before and after CRT was performed using paired sample t-test, Wilcoxon signed rank test, or McNemar χ^2 test. Spearman correlation coefficients were used to evaluate the parameters associated with the changes in LVESV. A value of p <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 45 patients (23 males; mean age, 64±14 years) were included in the study. Thirty-five patients had non-ischemic etiology. All the patients had a biventricular implantable cardioverter defibrillator (InSync ICD, Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, Minnesota).

After 1 year, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD), end-systolic diameter (LVESD), end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), LVESV, and left atrial diameter significantly decreased and LVEF increased (Table 1). The prevalence of TDI dyssynchrony by OWD >65 ms was 73% (n=33) in the whole study group. IVMD >40 ms was observed in 69% (n=31) of the patients. The mean OWD was 95±51 ms and the mean IVMD was 54±24 ms before CRT device implantation. Significant dyssynchrony was observed less often at 12 months compared with baseline for both OWD >65 ms and IVMD >40 ms. The mean OWD was 64±44 ms and mean IVMD was 32±23 ms after CRT device implantation (p<0.001). The native QRS duration prior to CRT was 150.0 ms (140.0–160.0) and was shortened to 140.0 ms (130.0–153.0) (p<0.001).

Table 2. Baseline clinical and echocardiographic parameters of responders and non-responders

	Responders (n=32)	Non-responders (n=13)	P
Age, years	64±15	62±13	0.450 ^a
Male, (%)	16 (50)	7 (54)	0.810 ^b
Non-ischemic CMP, (%)	24 (75)	11 (85)	0.490 ^b
LVEDD, mm	67.0 (60.2–72.8)	72.0 (60.3–75.0)	0.350 ^a
LVESD, mm	54.0 (49.3–60.5)	60.3 (40.8–63.9)	0.840 ^a
LAD, mm	45.0 (40.0–46.0)	45.0 (41.0–50.0)	0.300 ^a
LVEF, %	20.0 (15.0–29.0)	19.6 (17.2–23.9)	0.740 ^a
LVEDV, mm ³	256.6 (197.5–301.5)	288.2 (283.0–346.6)	0.350 ^a
LVESV, mm ³	200.0 (156.0–235.5)	215.9 (192.3–272.3)	0.230 ^a
QRS, ms	160.0 (140.0–160.0)	150.0 (135.5–160.0)	0.480 ^a
TDI dyssynchrony by OWD ≥65 ms, n (%)	22 (69)	11 (85)	0.240 ^b
Mean OWD, ms	86±37	119±74	0.150 ^a
IVMD ≥40 ms, n (%)	22 (69)	9 (69)	0.680 ^b
Mean IVMD, ms	55±22	52±29	0.980 ^a
LV lead position			
Posterolateral vein	18	7	1.000 ^b
Posterior vein	6	3	
Lateral vein	8	3	
CMP - cardiomyopathy; IVMD - interventricular mechanical delay; LAD - left atrial diameter; LVEDD - left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDV - left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEF - left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD - left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVESV - left ventricular end-systolic volume; OWD - opposing wall delay. *: compare with Mann-Whitney U test; ^b : compare with Monte Carlo and Yates' chi-square test			

Thirty-two patients (71%) showed response to CRT. The baseline clinical and echocardiographic findings of responders and non-responders showed no statistically significant differences (Table 2). There were no significant differences in the LV lead positions between responders and non-responders. In both groups, the majority of the LV leads were positioned in the posterolateral veins (Table 2). Although the prevalence of TDI dyssynchrony by OWD >65 ms tended to be higher in non-responders, the difference was not statistically significant.

The QRS width reduced significantly from 160 ms (140–160 ms) to 130 ms (130–150 ms) ($p<0.001$), and dyssynchrony indexes showed a significant improvement only in responders. The prevalence of intraventricular dyssynchrony reduced from 69% to 19% ($p<0.001$). Similarly, the number of patients with interventricular dyssynchrony decreased from 69% to 31% ($p=0.01$). Comparison of baseline and 12 months of clinical and echocardiographic data in responders and non-responders are represented in Table 3.

The reduction in LVESV was significantly correlated with Δ OWD ($r=0.47$, $p=0.001$), Δ IVMD ($r=0.45$, $p=0.001$) and Δ QRS ($r=0.34$, $p=0.022$).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to show a significant improvement in LV intrinsic dyssynchrony after 1 year of permanent CRT. The current studies regarding CRT have investigated mechanical remodeling while CRT is active. Most of these studies have demonstrated a significant improvement in the left ventricular hemodynamics and mechanics (14-16). The current study adds value to the CRT field by demonstrating reverse remodeling in intrinsic dyssynchrony.

The major pathophysiological entity that is treated using CRT is an abnormality of LV regional mechanical activation (17). Previous studies have reported the importance of mechanical remodeling after CRT, but they have focused on effects during biventricular pacing as opposed to effects on the native contraction pattern. Bleeker et al. (4) assessed dyssynchrony using color-coded TDI in 100 patients scheduled for CRT device implantation. At the 6-month follow-up, significant improvement in LV function was observed in 85% of patients who were classified as responders. Immediately after pacing, the responders demonstrated a significant reduction in LV dyssynchrony from 115±37 to 32±23 ms. However, no significant reduction was observed in non-responders. In our study, 71% of patients showed response to CRT, and native dyssynchrony indexes, along with intrinsic QRS duration, showed a significant improvement only in responders.

CRT not only induces structural reversal but also restores electrical dyssynchrony in the failing heart. The deterioration of intraventricular conduction by inducing iatrogenic LBBB further affects LV systolic dysfunction and can be reversed using biventricular pacing (18). There is a relationship between electrical dispersion that causes QRS widening and dyssynchrony. QRS narrowing after the onset of biventricular pacing is a sign of electrical resynchronization and is frequently associated with therapeutic response CRT. Although paced QRS duration has been explored comprehensively, little is known about changes in native QRS duration induced by CRT. Till now, only rare studies have assessed whether alteration in native QRS duration might be correlated with favorable structural changes and CRT response. Sebag et al. (19) observed a significant intrinsic QRS narrowing, although QRS complex did not normalize after 1 year of permanent pacing. The electrocardiographic response defined as a reduction of at least 20 ms was found to be associated with a better clinical and echocardiographic response. Yang et al. (20) showed that native QRS narrowing was associated with beneficial response and greater improvements in echocardiography. Similarly, Karaca et al. (21) showed that reversed ER, by means of narrowing of the intrinsic electrocardiographic QRS duration after CRT, has clinical and prognostic implications. A narrowed intrinsic QRS interval compared with that at baseline was found to be associated with improved functional status and higher CRT response. Consistently with the recent studies, our study once

Table 3. Comparison of baseline and 12 months of clinical and echocardiographic measurements in responders and non-responders [mean±SD/median (25th–75th percentiles)]

	Responder			Non-responder		
	Baseline	1 year	P	Baseline	1 year	P
LVEDD, mm	67.0 (60.2–72.8)	66.7 (56.0–69.0)	0.002 ^a	72.0 (60.3–75.0)	70.7 (57.0–74.8)	0.505 ^a
LVESD, mm	54.0 (49.3–60.5)	52.0 (43.8–55.0)	<0.001 ^a	60.3 (40.8–63.9)	53.9 (39.0–63.5)	0.063 ^a
LAD, mm	45.0 (40.0–46.0)	39.0 (34.0–49.3)	0.133 ^a	45.0 (41.0–50.0)	45.0 (42.0–52.0)	0.630 ^a
LVEF, %	20.0 (15.0–29.0)	39 (34.0–49.3)	<0.001 ^a	19.6 (17.2–23.9)	33.6 (26.7–34.0)	0.001 ^a
LVEDV, mm ³	256.6 (197.5–301.5)	224.9 (165.0–246.0)	0.005 ^a	288.2 (283.0–346.6)	314.8 (254.0–339.6)	0.916 ^a
LVESV, mm ³	200.0 (156.0–235.5)	112.0 (98.0–164.1)	<0.001 ^a	215.9 (192.3–272.3)	211.1 (177.0–247.2)	0.001 ^a
QRS, ms	160.0 (140.0–160.0)	130.0 (130.0–150.0)	<0.001 ^a	150.0 (135.5–160.0)	140.0 (130.0–160.0)	0.167 ^a
OWD ≥65 ms, n (%)	22 (69)	6 (19)	<0.001 ^b	11 (85)	9 (69)	0.170 ^b
Mean OWD, ms	86±37	50±29	<0.001 ^c	119±74	99±55	0.090 ^c
IVMD ≥40 ms, n (%)	22 (69)	10 (31)	0.001 ^b	9 (69)	8 (62)	0.580 ^b
Mean IVMD, ms	55±22	28±22	<0.001 ^c	52±29	43±23	0.090 ^c

IVMD - interventricular mechanical delay; LAD - left atrial diameter; LVEDD - left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDV - left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEF - left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD - left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVESV - left ventricular end-systolic volume; OWD - opposing wall delay. ^a: compare with Wilcoxon t-test; ^b: compare with McNemar chi-square test; ^c: compare with paired samples t-test

again confirmed that the narrowing of native QRS duration is associated with increased echocardiographic response after CRT. ER of native conduction could reflect electrical reversal imposed by CRT, and it could be used to screen non-responders during follow-up period.

Despite Δ LVESV being significantly correlated with Δ QRS, we could not find any relation between the decrease in QRS duration and the improvement in dyssynchrony indices. Furthermore, Stockburger et al. (22) did not find any association between structural and ER. Despite LVEDD reduction with CRT, electrical activation did not recover in their LBBB patients. These results suggest that some factors other than the shortening of QRS duration may also influence the reverse mechanical remodeling. Alternative mechanisms such as cellular and molecular effects of CRT are still being investigated. A study by Kirk et al. (23) has enhanced our understanding of the complex pathophysiology that underlies dyssynchronous heart failure. Dyssynchrony induces regional difference in protein expression and has important consequences at the global and cellular level. In a canine model of ventricular dyssynchrony, Spragg et al. (24) demonstrated significant transmural and trans-chamber gradients of stress-response kinases, calcium handling, and gap junction proteins. Improving synchrony of contraction using CRT reverses maladaptive growth remodeling, increases cell-survival signaling, enhances Ca²⁺ handling, and boosts β -adrenergic responsiveness among other effects (23, 25, 26). Simply restoring electrical synchrony using CRT not only improves heart function and energetics but also has a beneficial effect on the molecular and cellular biology. The effects of cellular and molecular changes induced by CRT on reverse mechanical remodeling need to be fully defined.

Study limitations

We acknowledge that there were limitations in this study. The major weakness of our study was the small sample size and the lack of clinical outcome variables such as death/hospitalization/NYHA status. Also, did not investigate the intra- and interventricular dyssynchrony using more sophisticated dyssynchrony indexes. However, TDI dyssynchrony by OWD >65 and IVMD >40 ms are the most commonly used markers of intraventricular and interventricular dyssynchrony in real-life clinical practice.

Conclusion

Chronic CRT significantly improves LV native contraction pattern and causes reverse remodeling in dyssynchrony. Further studies are needed to assess the mechanism of improvement in intrinsic contraction pattern.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. Canan Baydemir for her valuable statistical advice and assistance during the revision phase of the current manuscript.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Authorship contributions: Concept – A.V., A.A.A.; Design – A.A.A.; Supervision – A.A.A.; Fundings – S.B., M.A., E.D.; Materials – K.K., O.A.; Data collection &/or processing – S.B., İ.Y.; Analysis &/or interpretation – U.Ç.; Literature search – A.A.A., S.B.; Writing – S.B., A.A.A.; Critical review – D.U., A.A.A.; Other – T.Ş.

References

1. Abraham WT, Fisher WG, Smith AL, Delurgio DB, Leon AR, Loh E, et al. Cardiac resynchronization in chronic heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 346: 1845-53.
2. Bristow MR, Saxon LA, Boehmer J, Krueger S, Kass DA, De Marco T, et al. Cardiac-resynchronization therapy with or without an implantable defibrillator in advanced chronic heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350: 2140-50.
3. Cleland JG, Daubert JC, Erdmann E, Freemantle N, Gras D, Kappenberger L, et al. The effect of cardiac resynchronization on morbidity and mortality in heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352: 1539-49.
4. Bleeker GB, Mollema SA, Holman ER, van de Veire N, Ypenburg C, Boersma E, et al. Left ventricular resynchronization is mandatory for response to cardiac resynchronization therapy: analysis in patients with echocardiographic evidence of left ventricular dyssynchrony at baseline. *Circulation* 2007; 116: 1440-8.
5. De Boeck BW, Teske AJ, Meine M, Leenders GE, Cramer MJ, Prinzen FW, et al. Septal rebound stretch reflects the functional substrate to cardiac resynchronization therapy and predicts volumetric and neurohormonal response. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009; 11: 863-71.
6. Yu CM, Chau E, Sanderson JE, Fan K, Tang MO, Fung WH, et al. Tissue Doppler echocardiographic evidence of reverse remodeling and improved synchronicity by simultaneously delaying regional contraction after biventricular pacing therapy in heart failure. *Circulation* 2002; 105: 438-45.
7. Turner MS, Bleasdale RA, Vinereanu D, Mumford CE, Paul V, Fraser AG, et al. Electrical and mechanical components of dyssynchrony in heart failure patients with normal QRS duration and left bundle-branch block: impact of left and biventricular pacing. *Circulation* 2004; 109: 2544-9.
8. Friehling M, Chen J, Saba S, Bazaz R, Schwartzman D, Adelstein EC, et al. A prospective pilot study to evaluate the relationship between acute change in left ventricular synchrony after cardiac resynchronization therapy and patient outcome using a single-injection gated SPECT protocol. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011; 4: 532-9.
9. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Pellikka PA, et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography, a branch of the European Society of Cardiology. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2005; 18: 1440-63.
10. Gorcsan J III, Abraham T, Agler DA, Bax JJ, Derumeaux G, Grimm RA, et al. Echocardiography for cardiac resynchronization therapy: recommendations for performance and reporting: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography Dyssynchrony Writing Group endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2008; 21: 191-213.
11. Gorcsan J III, Oyenuga O, Habib PJ, Tanaka H, Adelstein EC, Hara H, et al. Relationship of echocardiographic dyssynchrony to long-term survival after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Circulation* 2010; 122: 1910-8.
12. Gorcsan J III, Tanabe M, Bleeker GB, Suffoletto MS, Thomas NC, Saba S, et al. Combined longitudinal and radial dyssynchrony predicts ventricular response after resynchronization therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 50: 1476-83.
13. Auger D, van Bommel RJ, Bertini M, Delgado V, Ng AC, Ewe SH, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of patients with clinical improvement but not significant left ventricular reverse remodeling after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Am Heart J* 2010; 160: 737-43.
14. Sutton MG, Plappert T, Hilpisch KE, Abraham WT, Hayes DL, Chinchoy E. Sustained reverse left ventricular structural remodeling with cardiac resynchronization at one year is a function of etiology: Quantitative Doppler echocardiographic evidence from the Multi-center InSync Randomized Clinical Evaluation (MIRACLE). *Circulation* 2006; 113: 266-72.
15. Kass DA, Chen CH, Curry C, Talbot M, Berger R, Fetters B, et al. Improved left ventricular mechanics from acute VDD pacing in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and ventricular conduction delay. *Circulation* 1999; 99: 1567-73.
16. St John Sutton MG, Plappert T, Abraham WT, Smith AL, DeLurgio DB, Leon AR, et al. Effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy on left ventricular size and function in chronic heart failure. *Circulation* 2003; 107: 1985-90.
17. Gorcsan J III, Yu CM, Sanderson JE. Ventricular resynchronization is the principle mechanism of benefit with cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Heart Fail Rev* 2012; 17: 737-46.
18. Rickard J, Popovic Z, Verhaert D, Sraow D, Baranowski B, Martin DO, et al. The QRS narrowing index predicts reverse left ventricular remodeling following cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2011; 34: 604-11.
19. Sebag FA, Martins RP, Defaye P, Hidden-Lucet F, Mabo P, Daubert JC, et al. Reverse electrical remodeling by cardiac resynchronization therapy: prevalence and clinical impact. *J Cardiovasc Electro-physiol* 2012; 23: 1219-27.
20. Yang XW, Hua W, Wang J, Liu ZM, Ding LG, Chen KP, et al. Native QRS narrowing reflects electrical reversal and associates with anatomical reversal in cardiac resynchronization therapy. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2014; 41: 161-8.
21. Karaca O, Çakal B, Omaygenç MO, Güneş HM, Çakal SD, Kızılırmak F. Native electrocardiographic QRS duration after cardiac resynchronization therapy: The impact on clinical outcomes and prognosis. *J Card Fail* 2016; 22: 772-80.
22. Stockburger M, Nitardy A, Fateh-Moghadam S, Krebs A, Celebi O, Karhausen T, et al. Electrical remodeling and cardiac dimensions in patients treated by cardiac resynchronization and heart failure controls. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2008; 31: 70-7.
23. Kirk JA, Kass DA. Electromechanical dyssynchrony and resynchronization of the failing heart. *Circ Res* 2013; 113: 765-76.
24. Spragg DD, Leclercq C, Loghmani M, Faris OP, Tunin RS, DiSilvestre D, et al. Regional alterations in protein expression in the dyssynchronous failing heart. *Circulation* 2003; 108: 929-32.
25. Cheng A, Helm RH, Abraham TP. Pathophysiological mechanisms underlying ventricular dyssynchrony. *Europace* 2009; 11 Suppl 5: v10-4.
26. Vanderheyden M, Mullens W, Delrue L, Goethals M, Verstreken S, Wijns W, et al. Endomyocardial up regulation of beta1 adrenoceptor gene expression and myocardial contractile reserve following cardiac resynchronization therapy. *J Card Fail* 2008; 14: 172-8.