Research Article

Reference Intervals of Central Aortic Blood Pressure and Augmentation Index Assessed with an Oscillometric Device in Healthy Children, Adolescents, and Young Adults from Argentina

Alejandro Diaz (),¹ Yanina Zócalo (),² Daniel Bia,² and Edmundo Cabrera Fischer ()³

¹Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Salud, UNICEN, CONICET, Tandil, Argentina

²Physiology Department, School of Medicine, Centro Universitario de Investigación, Innovación y Diagnóstico Arterial (CUiiDARTE), Republic University, General Flores 2125, 11800 Montevideo, Uruguay

³Instituto de Medicina Traslacional, Trasplante y Bioingeniería (IMTTyB), Universidad Favaloro, CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Correspondence should be addressed to Alejandro Diaz; alejandrounicen@gmail.com

Received 30 November 2017; Revised 17 February 2018; Accepted 7 March 2018; Published 23 April 2018

Academic Editor: Claudio Borghi

Copyright © 2018 Alejandro Diaz et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Age-related reference intervals (RIs) of central (aortic) systolic blood pressure (cSBP) and augmentation index (cAIx) obtained from large healthy population are lacking in Argentina (South America). *Aims*. To analyze the existence of associations among cSBP and cAIx with demographic, anthropometric, and hemodynamic parameters and to generate percentile curves and RIs adjusted to each level of age and gender and/or body height. cSBP and cAIx were measured in 1038 healthy children, adolescents, and young adults. First, we evaluated if RIs for males and females were necessary using correlation and covariate analysis. Second, mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) age-related equations were obtained for cSBP and cAIx, using parametric regression methods based on fractional polynomials. Third, age specific percentiles curves were generated. Fourth, body height specific percentiles curves were generated using a similar procedure. The obtained equations (considering age as independent variable) for all subjects (cSBP^{0.26} and (cAIx + 12.001)^{0.5}) were as follows: cSBP Mean = $3.0581 + 0.2189 \log(Age) - 0.001044Age;$ cSBP SD = $-0.03919 + 0.1535 \log(Age) - 0.004564Age;$ cAIx mean = $9.5226 - 6.1599 \log(Age) + 0.1450Age;$ cAIx SD = $1.3880 - 0.8468 \log(Age) + 0.03212Age.$ This study, performed in Argentinean healthy children, adolescents, and young adults with ages of 5 to 22 years, provides the first RIs and percentile curves of cSBP and cAIx. Additionally, specific body height-related cAIx percentiles are reported for the analyzed population. The RIs and percentiles contribute to the knowledge of arterial dynamic evolution along the normal aging process and the interpretation of data obtained in clinical research and daily clinical practice.

1. Introduction

The analysis and monitoring of vessels morphology and function in young people have become of major interest for both pediatricians and adult specialists in order to preserve and optimize cardiovascular health from childhood to adulthood [1]. The noninvasive assessment of arterial stiffness (AS) provides valuable information of structural and functional state of the vascular system, beyond that of the conventional blood pressure (BP) measurements [2]. For instance, increases in AS in adolescents and young adults are associated with left ventricular mass index, independently of traditional cardiovascular risk factors (CRFs) [3]. Screening for AS may be useful to identify subjects with cardiovascular risk in children, adolescents, and young adults' populations [3].

There are several parameters to assess AS being frequently used the aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) and augmentation index (AIx) of the aorta and the brachial artery. PWV and AIx increase with the arterial aging process [4–6]. Is important to take into account the fact that PWV is widely considered a direct marker of AS [7], while the AIx is a well-known modality to express arterial wave reflections, often considered as a surrogate index of AS [6–8].

Linked to AIx assessment, central (aortic) systolic blood pressure (cSBP) is a parameter that strongly reflects vascular changes of central elastic arteries compared to peripheral (brachial) BP, both in adults and children and adolescents [9, 10]. Moreover, changes in cSBP levels affect the heart, brain, and kidneys which are directly exposed to them [11].

Central arterial and haemodynamic parameters (cAIx and cSBP) can be estimated noninvasively using a good number of techniques. They include Doppler ultrasound, applanation tonometry, oscillometry, and magnetic resonance imaging; all of them are associated with its own strengths and weaknesses [2, 12]. Oscillometric devices have been suggested to be especially adequate in children and adolescents, since the methodology includes the use of a simple pressure cuff and have the advantages of being relatively fast, operator independent and require minimal cooperation of the patient [13, 14].

Independently of the technique or device used to assess the arterial properties, there are several gaps in the approach of cSBP and cAIx measurements [1], performed in pediatric clinical practice such as (a) what are the interval references (RIs) of cSBP and cAIx values for each measurement? And (b) do they differ by gender and/or body height?

The 2016 European Society of Hypertension guidelines for the management of high BP in children and adolescents do not recommend the routine assessment of arterial parameters and cSBP, however, highlights the need to increase knowledge in the field of arterial parameters as markers of function of the vascular system [15]. Furthermore, the 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension considered that cSBP can provide useful information in young patients with isolated systolic hypertension [16]. Moreover, cSBP may be especially relevant in asymptomatic children and adolescents incidentally found to have isolated systolic hypertension without target organ damage [15].

Unfortunately, at present few epidemiological studies in healthy pediatric populations are available, in which RIs of cSBP and cAIx were obtained using brachial oscillometric cuff method [17, 18]. To the best of our knowledge, the largest databases of RIs of cSBP and cAIx in pediatrics come from Hungary [17] and Germany, in which the Arteriograph and Mobil-O-Graph System were respectively used [18].

Considering the lack of knowledge in terms of cSBP and cAIx reference values for children, adolescents, and young adults from Argentina, our research purposes were as follows:

(1) to analyze the existence of associations among cSBP and cAIx with demographic, anthropometric, and hemodynamic parameters,

(2) to generate percentile curves and RIs adjusted to each level of age and (if required) gender and/or body height.

2. Materials and Methods

This study is part of a project started in 2014 in Tandil city (Buenos Aires Province), Argentina, aimed at investigating the prevalence of CRFs. Preliminary data obtained in this location have been previously reported [19–21]. Tandil is located 360 Km south of Buenos Aires City $(37^{\circ}19'08''S-9^{\circ}08'05''W)$.

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and was carried on in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Good Clinical Practice Guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants or authorized person.

Asymptomatic children, adolescents, and young adults (5-22 years old) from the Tandil community were considered for enrolment in this study. Subjects were submitted to clinical interview, blood sampling evaluation, and anthropometric assessment, carried out in all cases by the same group of physicians. Blood samples were obtained after 9-12 hours of fasting. Glycaemia, lipid profile, and kidney functional parameters were determined. Anthropometric evaluation and a brief clinical interview allowed assessing CRFs exposure. Asymptomatic subjects included in the study met the following criteria: (1) none had history of cardiovascular, pulmonary, or renal disease; (2) normal peripheral BP at the time of examination (BP \leq 140/90 mmHg in adults and BP < 90th percentile in subjects < 16 years old) [15]; (3) none were taking medications (antihypertensive, antihyperlipidemic, or antidiabetic drugs); (4) all included subjects had glycaemia < 6.11 mmol/L (<110 mg/dl), total blood cholesterol levels < 5.17 mmol/L (<200 mg/dl) [16], and normal serum triglycerides levels < 1.69 mmol/L (<150 mg/dl), ≤1.5 mmol/L (<130 mg/dl), and ≤ 1.13 mmol/L (<100 mg/dl) for subjects older than 18 years, subjects between 10 to 17 years, and children under 10 years, respectively [15, 22].

Current and past smokers, diabetic, obese subjects (body mass index [BMI] $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ for adults or BMI $\ge 97 \text{th}$ percentile for subjects under 18 years old), hypertensive subjects, or subjects with averaged high brachial BP levels at the time of the study were excluded. To this end, peripheral (brachial) BP measurements were obtained using fully automatic sphygmomanometers, operating on oscillometric principle (705IT, Omron Healthcare Inc., USA). Adults' BP levels were classified following guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension [16]. Thus, hypertension was defined as peripheral systolic BP (pSBP) \geq 140 mmHg and/or peripheral diastolic BP (pDBP) \geq 90 mmHg. In turn, brachial BP levels in children and adolescents were categorized, taking into account sex, age, and body height, according to criteria of the American Pediatrics Association and the European Society of Hypertension report [15].

2.1. Augmentation Index and Blood Pressure Measurements. All measurements were performed using the Arteriogrph system (Arteriograph; TensioMed Ltd, Budapest, Hungary) after 10 minutes of rest in a quiet room with stable temperature ($22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C). The Arteriograph system is an operator-independent noninvasive device that applies an oscillometric, occlusive technique by use of an upper-arm cuff to register brachial pressure waves.

The mentioned device was used to assess simultaneously central (aortic) systolic and pulse pressure (cSBP, cPP) and

peripheral (brachial) systolic, diastolic, pulse, and mean BP levels (pSBP, pDBP, pPP and MAP, resp.). The measurement procedure takes approximately 3 minutes and is operatively comparable to an automatic digital BP oscillometric measurement. In this study, the same device was used for all measurements. The working principle and the invasive validations of this method have been detailed previously [6, 23]. The method is based on the physiological fact that the early (P1) systolic pulse pressure wave of the aorta, generated by the left ventricle ejection, travels along the aorta and is reflected (P2) from the area of the aortic bifurcation. Occluding the brachial artery by pressurizing the cuff 35-40 mmHg above the actual SBP creates easily distinguished, pronounced pressure peaks in the cuff. Separated in this way, early and late systolic waves can be recorded. The time lapses between the peaks of P1 and P2 are equal to the travel time of the aortic pressure wave from the aortic root to the bifurcation and back. By halving this time and measuring the sternal notch, pubic bone distance (which is rather close to the true aortic length [24], the Ao-PWV can be calculated (Ao-PWV = jugulum-symphysis distance/transit time). Additionally, the Arteriography system calculates the brachial augmentation index (bAIx) using the formula: bAIx (%) = $(P2 - P1/PP) \times 100$. The cAIx was calculated based on invasive measurements previously reported [23], which showed a very strong linear correlation between bAIx and cAIx (R = 0.94, p < 0.001). These studies showed a strong and significant correlation between the invasively obtained values and oscillometrically measured cAIx, cSBP, and aortic PWV. Taking into account the influence of heart rate on cAIx [25], in each subject the mentioned index was calculated corresponding to 75 beats by minute (cAIx-HR75).

2.2. Data Analysis. In this research, a step-wise data analysis was done as is described in the following.

First, aiming at determining whether RIs analysis for cAIx and cAIx-HR75 was necessaries, we separately assessed the degree of association (correlation) and equivalence (agreement) between cAIx and cAIx-HR75 levels, by studying potential mean and/or proportional differences (errors) between measurements and constructing limits of agreement (correlation and Bland-Altman analysis). As a first result, specific RIs for cAIx and cAIx-HR75 were defined as not necessaries. About this, both variables showed a great association (cAIx = $-0.2753 + 0.9957 \cdot \text{cAIx-HR75}$; $R^2 = 0.9953$). However, despite a statistically significant difference between both variables (mean error = -0.3167%, p < 0.0001; mean error 95% confidence interval = -0.3522% to -0.2811%; mean error standard deviation (SD) = 0.5836%, 95% confidence interval = -1.4605% to 0.8272%), the difference was not considered clinically significant, as to force the generation of RIs separately (for cAIx and cAIx-HR75).

Second, we evaluated if RIs for males and females were necessary. To this end, bivariate and point-biserial correlations were done (Table 2), and after that gender influence was examined before and after adjustment for cofactors (i.e., age, BP) applying covariance analysis (ANCOVA) (Table 3). ANCOVA allows comparing one variable (i.e., cSBP or cAIx) in two or more groups (i.e., males versus females) taking into account (or to correct for) variability of other variables, called covariates or cofactors. With this purpose, correlations were done to identify demographic, anthropometric (i.e., body height, body weight) and/or hemodynamic (i.e., heart rate, pSBP, and pDBP) variables that in theory could be considered as cofactors in covariate analysis. Once the variables significantly associated with cSBP and cAIx were identified, ANCOVA analysis was done adjusting for them (Table 3). With this purpose, several models (cofactors combinations) were analyzed. Always, prior to the ANCOVA test, Levene's test for equality of variances and homogeneity of regression slopes test were performed. If Levene's test is positive (p <0.05) then the variances in the groups are different (the groups are not homogeneous), and therefore the assumptions for ANCOVA are not met. Additionally, the interpretation of ANCOVA and the associated adjusted (or marginal) means relies on the assumption of homogeneous regression slopes for the compared groups (i.e., males and females); if this assumption is not met (p < 0.05) the ANCOVA results are unreliable. After statistical analysis, as a result, generation of specific cSBP and cAIx RIs for males and females was considered as necessaries.

Third, the mean value and SD age-related equations (for males and females) were obtained for cSBP and cAIx. With this purpose, parametric regression methods based on fractional polynomials (FPs), as described by Royston and Wright [26] and previously used to generate RIs for arterial parameters in the European Arterial Stiffness Collaboration Group methodological strategy [27, 28], were implemented using MedCalc Software (MedCalc, Ostend, Belgium). Briefly, fitting FPs for age specific cSBP and cAIx mean value and SD regression curves were defined using iterative procedure (generalized least squares, GLS). The obtained results enabled estimating age specific mean and SD for both parameters (cSBP and cAIx). For instance, cAIx mean equation could be $cAIx = a + b * age^{p} + c * age^{q} + \cdots$, where a, b, c, \ldots are the coefficients, and p, q, \ldots are the powers, with numbers selected from the set [-2, -1, -0.5,0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3] estimated from the regression for the mean cAIx curve and likewise from the regression for the SD curve. Continuing the example, FPs with powers [1, 2], that is, with p = 1 and q = 2, illustrate an equation with the form a + b* age + c * age² [26]. The residuals were used to assess the model fit, which was deemed appropriate if the scores were normally distributed, with a mean of 0 and a SD of 1, randomly scattered above and below 0 when plotted against age. The best fitted curves, considering visual and mathematical criteria (Kurtosis and Skewness coefficients), were selected. Then, using the equations obtained for mean and SD, age specific percentiles were defined using the standard normal distribution (Z) (Tables 4, 5, and 6 for cSBP and Tables 7, 8, and 9 for cAIx). Age specific 1th, 2.5th, 5th, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th, 95th, 97.5th, and 99th percentile curves were calculated as mean cAIx + Zp * SD, where Zp assumed the values of -2.3263, -1.9599, -1.6448, -1.2815, -0.6755, 0, 0.6755, 1.2815, 1.6448, 1.9599, and 2.3263, respectively. The obtained equations were as follows:

(i) For all subjects
$$(cSBP^{0.26} and (cAIx + 12.001)^{0.5})$$
, For fem

(1)

(2)

(3)

$$cSBP Mean = 3.0581 + 0.2189 \log (Age)$$

– 0.001044Age

$$cSBP SD = -0.03919 + 0.1535 \log (Age)$$

– 0.004564Age

cAIx Mean =
$$9.5226 - 6.1599 \log (Age) + 0.1450 Age$$

(ii) For males
$$(cSBP^{1.13} and (cAIx + 7.001)^{0.53})$$
,

cSBP Mean =
$$146.7373 + 5.4105 \log (Age)$$

$$cSBP SD = -10.3739 + 39.2556 \log (Age)$$

cAIx SD =
$$-1.2276 + 3.4356 \log (Age)$$

- 0.1113Age.

(iii) For females
$$(cSBP^{0.26} and (cAIx + 12.001)^{0.66})$$
,

$$cSBP Mean = 2.9920 + 0.3501 \log (Age)$$

- 0.007039Age

$$cSBP SD = -0.07844 + 0.2039 \log (Age)$$

– 0.005824Age

$$AIx Mean = 21.8535 - 18.4253 \log (Age)$$

$$cAIx SD = 3.2466 - 1.6274 \log (Age)$$

Finally, a similar procedure was performed to obtain cAIx RIs considering the body height (BH) [17]. The obtained equations were as follows:

For males $(cAIx + 7.001)^{0.53}$,

cAIx Mean =
$$-16.3756 + 13.9683 \log (BH)$$

- 0.06468BH
cAIx SD = $-14.8123 + 8.6667 \log (BH)$ (4)

Temales
$$(cAIx + 12.001)^{0.66}$$
,
 $cAIx Mean = -46.6729 + 35.8589 \log (BH)$
 $- 0.1523BH$
 $cAIx SD = 38.0202 - 21.0915 \log (BH)$
 $+ 0.06424BH.$
(5)

In the equations detailed above, always cSBP, cAIx, Age, and BH were expressed in mmHg, %, years, and centimeters, respectively.

Limit of statistical signification was considered when a p value < 0.05 was found. Calculus of the minimum sample size required was calculated taken into account a normal distribution of the covariate (age) in the sample (in a conservative way) and a 95% and 90% limit of reference and confidence interval (two sided), respectively, with a 95% and 10% reference range and relative margin of error, respectively. In this research, the minimum required sample size for RIs construction (i.e., for males or females) was 377 subjects [29].

3. Results

3.1. General Characteristics of the Analyzed Population. In this research 1038 healthy subjects (576 males and 462 females) were included. In Table 1 the characteristics of all children, adolescents, and young adults are summarized, discriminating values obtained for males and females. Mean age for the whole population was 15.35 ± 3.15 years (range 5.00-21.92 y.o.) and no significant age differences were found (Table 1). In this cohort, males showed the highest body weight, body height, and BMI values (p < 0.001). Also, males exhibit significant higher jugulum-symphysis distance than females (p < 0.001). Mean values of pSBP and pPP obtained in males were significantly higher than those obtained in females (p < 0.001); on the contrary pDBP and HR were significantly lower in males compared with females. No significant differences related to gender in terms of pMAP values were observed. Moreover, males have higher mean values of cSBP and cPP than females (p < 0.05 and p < 0.001, resp.). See Table 1.

No significant gender differences in peripheral AIx, ejection duration, and Ao-PWV mean values were observed in the analyzed population. Obtained mean values of cAIx and cAIx-HR75 were always higher in females than in males (p < 0.001). See Table 1.

3.2. Analysis of cSBP and cAIx Associations. As was mentioned significant differences between cAIx and cAIx-HR75 were found; however absolutely values of these differences were really minimal (mean error = -0.3167, p < 0.0001; mean error 95% confidence interval = -0.3522 to -0.2811; mean error SD = 0.5836, 95% confidence interval = -1.4605 to 0.8272). Consequently, taking into account the RIs generated in terms of cAIx, it was evidenced that it was not necessary to generate RIs using cAIx-HR75 obtained values. That is to say, percentiles generated using cAIx or cAIx-HR75

TABLE 1: Children and adolescents characteristics.

		All	(1038)			Male (n = 576)]	Female	(n = 462))	<i>p</i> value (male
	MV	SD	Min	Max	MV	SD	Min	Max	MV	SD	Min	Max	versus female)
Age (years)	15.35	3.15	5.00	21.92	15.51	2.84	5.00	21.75	15.15	3.49	5.17	21.92	0.068
Body height (cm)	162.67	13.98	102.00	191.00	167.15	13.72	104.00	191.00	157.09	12.20	102.00	178.00	< 0.001
Body weight (Kg)	57.00	14.14	15.00	96.00	61.39	14.70	15.00	96.00	51.51	11.22	18.00	75.00	< 0.001
BMI (Kg./m ²)	21.17	3.11	7.90	29.40	21.60	3.14	13.60	29.40	20.64	2.98	7.90	27.82	< 0.001
Jugulo -symphysis distance (cm)	47.48	4.96	28.00	74.00	49.13	4.87	28.00	74.00	45.44	4.27	28.00	56.00	< 0.001
Heart rate (b.p.m.)	68.43	12.17	44.00	113.00	65.49	11.83	44.00	104.00	72.09	11.60	44.00	113.00	< 0.001
Peripheral SBP (mmHg)	111.81	9.58	81.00	135.00	114.01	9.64	89.00	135.00	109.06	8.78	81.00	134.00	< 0.001
Peripheral MBP (mmHg)	79.07	7.49	8.00	102.00	79.24	7.68	8.00	98.00	78.86	7.25	61.00	102.00	0.412
Peripheral DBP (mmHg)	62.71	7.51	3.00	89.00	61.97	7.17	36.00	82.00	63.63	7.84	3.00	89.00	< 0.001
Peripheral PP (mmHg)	48.96	8.29	.49	86.00	51.99	8.12	31.00	86.00	45.17	6.81	.49	70.00	<0.001
Central (aortic) SBP (mmHg)	98.86	8.39	75.20	124.90	99.35	8.40	78.00	124.90	98.24	8.36	75.20	121.00	0.035
Central (aortic) PP (mmHg)	36.26	5.88	21.80	62.90	37.43	5.72	21.80	62.90	34.78	5.75	23.20	58.60	< 0.001
Peripheral (brachial) AIx (%)	-1.34	7.01	-85.00	.60	-1.64	8.12	-85.00	.29	96	5.31	-84.00	.60	0.122
cAIx (%)	9.20	8.46	-12.00	45.90	6.67	7.40	-7.00	36.00	12.35	8.64	-12.00	45.90	< 0.001
cAIx HR75 (%)	9.51	8.49	-12.34	45.61	7.12	7.45	-7.82	36.53	12.49	8.76	-12.34	45.61	< 0.001
Return time (ms)	173.47	21.17	14.00	310.00	178.91	19.43	85.00	230.00	166.68	21.32	14.00	310.00	< 0.001
Ejection duration (ms)	299.06	22.73	185.00	385.00	297.90	21.09	185.00	360.00	300.49	24.59	190.00	385.00	0.068
Ao-PWV (m/s)	5.50	.63	3.40	7.70	5.51	.64	3.40	7.70	5.48	.62	3.90	7.70	0.607

BMI: body mass index. SBP, MBP, DBP, and PP: systolic, mean, diastolic, and pulse blood pressure, respectively. AIx: augmentation index. cAIx and cAIx HR75: central (aortic) augmentation index net value and normalized for heart rate equal 75 beats/minute, respectively. Ao-PWV: Aortic pulse wave velocity. Min and Max: minimal and maximal value, respectively. A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

were very similar and observed differences were lack of clinical relevance. In other words, the difference was not considered clinically significant, as to force the generation of RIs separately (for cAIx and cAIx-HR75).

Table 2 show the bivariate and point-biserial correlation study of cSBP, cAIx, and Age relationship with demographic, anthropometric, hemodynamic, and vascular parameters of the analyzed population. As can be seen in Table 2, the age and the anthropometric parameters show a positive and significantly association with cSBP and cAIx levels. Moreover, HR shows borderline statistic values of association with cSBP (p = 0.079).

Table 3 show a covariance (ANCOVA) study destined to determine if the gender discrimination would be necessary in the RIs of cSBP and cAIx generation using data collected in this research. Also in Table 3, the noncorrected and corrected marginals' means values with standard error and 95% confidence intervals are given for males and females. In the case of cSPB, a first analysis induces to think that adjustments using Models 1, 2, 3, and 4 show no statistically significantly gender differences. However, the comparison of regression

lines obtained from males and females showed statistically significant differences; that is to say there are different slopes of the cSBP-age regression lines (see homogeneity of regression slope's test in Table 3(a)). As the ANCOVA analysis and the associated adjusted means values rely on the assumption of the homogeneity of regression slopes in the studied groups. If this assumption is not met (p < 0.05) the ANCOVA results are unreliable; consequently, in the analyzed population, it is absolutely necessary to perform different RIs for cSBP for males and females (Table 3(a)). Similar results were obtained when sex-related differences in cAIx were analyzed using Models 3 and 4 (Table 3(b)). Moreover, for all considered models, sex-related differences after Levene's test for equality of variances were also demonstrated (different in terms of the calculated variance). Again, if Levene's test is positive (p < 0.05) the variances in the analyzed groups should be considered statistically different (the groups are not homogeneous); so the assumption of homogeneity is not met and the ANCOVA analysis is invalid. As a consequence of the results obtained in cAIx ANCOVA analysis, sex-related RIs should be necessary in this population (Table 3(b)).

		Central (aortic) SBP (mmHg)	cAIx (%)	Age (years)
Saw (0, male, 1, famale)	R	066	.334	057
Sex (0: male; 1: lemale)	Р	.035	.000	.068
A ~~ (~~~~~)	R	.263	188	1.000
Age (years)	Р	.000	.000	.000
Do dry hoight (and)	R	.238	371	.678
Body height (cm)	Р	.000	.000	.000
$\mathbf{P} \circ \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{Y}}$	R	.318	362	.617
body weight (Kg)	Р	.000	.000	.000
$\mathbf{D} (\mathbf{I} (\mathbf{V}_{\tau} \mathbf{u}^2))$	R	.320	215	.414
BMI (Kg/m)	Р	.000	.000	.000
Vugulo aumphysis distance (cm)	R	.242	378	.656
ruguio-symphysis distance (cm)	Р	.000	.000	.000
Uppert rate (h m m)	R	.054	.005	358
Heart rate (0.p.m.)	Р	.079	.881	.000
Danimh angl CDD (mang LLg)	R	.892	216	.308
Peripheral SBP (mmrg)	Р	.000	.000	.000
Daninh and MDD (mm Ha)	R	.863	016	.293
Peripheral MBP (mmrg)	Р	.000	.617	.000
Derinhard DPD (mmHa)	R	.720	.107	.236
Peripheral DBP (mmrg)	Р	.000	.001	.000
Daningh and DD (manalla)	R	.385	336	.131
Peripheral PP (mmrg)	Р	.000	.000	.000
Control (contic) SPD (mmHa)	R	1.000	.164	.263
Central (aortic) SBP (illining)	Р	.000	.000	.000
Control (cortic) DD (mmHg)	R	.525	.180	.040
Central (autric) FF (initiag)	Р	.000	.000	.202
Deriphoral (brachial) Aly	R	018	.079	079
renpileral (braciliar) Aix	Р	.571	.011	.011
$c \Lambda I_{\mathbf{Y}}(0)$	R	.164	1.000	188
CAIX (%)	Р	.000	.000	.000
$c \Lambda I_{\rm F} HD75 (0)$	R	.162	.997	160
CAIX IIR/3 (%)	Р	.000	.000	.000
Fighting duration (ms)	R	026	.207	.096
Ejection duration (ins)	Р	.396	.000	.002
Poturn time (ms)	R	118	327	.152
Keturn unie (ins)	Р	.000	.000	.000
$A \circ PWW(m/s)$	R	.374	.010	.456
110-1 VV V (III/S)	Р	.000	.757	.000

TABLE 2: Association between aortic characteristics and anthropometric and haemodynamic parameters.

BMI: body mass index. SBP, MBP, DBP, and PP: systolic, mean, diastolic, and pulse blood pressure, respectively. AIx: augmentation index. cAIx and cAIx HR75: central (aortic) augmentation index net value and normalized for heart rate equal 75 beats/minute, respectively. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wave velocity. A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3.3. Reference Intervals (Percentile Analysis) Obtained in the Analyzed Population. As is shown in Table 4, specific percentiles of age-cSBP values for five-year age RIs were generated including the entire population (n = 1038), that is, including males and females. Furthermore, a similar analysis was carried out using values corresponding to each year of age (Table A in Supplementary Materials). As seen in Table 5, age-cSBP percentiles corresponding to 5-year age intervals were generated for males (n = 576). A similar analysis was done

for each year of age, as seen in Table B (see Supplementary Materials). Females (n = 462) were analyzed using a similar method, and the obtained RIs can be seen in Table 6 and Table C (see Supplementary Materials). Figures 1(a), 1(b), and 1(c) show specific age-cSBP percentiles for the entire population, males and females, respectively. As expected, there was a positive association between age and cSBP values, representing a gradual and continuous increase in terms of cSBP.

	Adiusted	R^2	0.070	0.113	0.101	0.151			Adjusted	R^{2}	0.138	0.193	0.163	0.238
	Homogeneity	ot regression slopes test	0.002	0.011	0.001	0.005		Homogeneity	of regression	slopes test	0.228	0.158	0.008	0.006
	l evene's	test	0.773	0.797	0.724	0.859			Levene's	test	<0.001	<0.001	0.020	0.005
	Heart	rate (b.p.m.)			68.43	68.43			Heart	rate (b.p.m.)			68.43	68.43
	in the model are llowing values Vumilo-	Symphysis distance (cm)		47.48		47.48		g in the model are dlowing values	Yugulo-	Symphysis distance (cm)		47.4846		47.4846
	appearing d at the fo Body	weight (Kg)		57.00		57.00		appearing d at the fc	Body	weight (Kg)		57.00		57.00
	ovariates evaluated Rody	height (cm)		162.67		162.67		Covariates evaluate	Body	Height (cm)		162.67		162.67
	0	Age (years)	15.35	15.35	15.35	15.35			Аде	(years)	15.35	15.35	15.35	15.35
(a)		d	060.0	0.852	0.724	0.415	(p)			р	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	ljustment 95% CI	upper	99.903 99.122	99.500 99.696	100.278 98.658	99.737 99.374		ent by	95% CI	upper bound	7.389 12.974	8.380 11.792	7.055 13.397	8.093 12.115
	g): after ac covariates 95% CI	lower bound	98.579 97.643	98.125 98.139	98.954 97.173	98.385 97.841		er adjustm ovariates	95% CI	lower bound	6.104 11.539	7.059 10.295	5.767 11.953	6.802 10.651
	P (mmH by	SE	.337 .377	.350 .397	.337 .378	.345 .391		cAIx: afte c		SE	.327 .366	.337 .381	.328 .368	.329 .373
	cSB	MV	99.241 98.383	98.813 98.917	99.616 97.916	99.061 98.607				MV	6.747 12.257	7.72 11.043	6.411 12.675	7.447 11.383
	efore	d	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001		ment		р	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	nMHg): b _i justment	SD	8.39824 8.35555	8.39824 8.35555	8.39824 8.35555	8.39824 8.35555		ore adjust		SD	7.40231 8.64323	7.40231 8.64323	7.40231 8.64323	7.40231 8.64323
	cSBP (n ad	MV	99.3517 98.2448	99.3517 98.2448	99.3517 98.2448	99.3517 98.2448		cAIx: bef		MV	6.6737 12.3474	6.6737 12.3474	6.6737 12.3474	6.6737 12.3474
			el 1 Male Female	el 2 ^{Male} Female	el 3 ^{Male} Female	el 4 Male Female					el 1 Male Female	el 2 ^{Male} Female	el 3 Male Female	el 4 ^{Male} Female
			Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod					Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod

International Journal of Hypertension

Age (years)	1th	2.5th	5th	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th	95th	97.5th	99th
5.0	77.6	79.2	80.7	82.3	85.1	88.3	91.6	94.6	96.5	98.1	100.0
6.0	77.5	79.4	81.0	83.0	86.3	90.0	93.9	97.5	99.7	101.7	104.0
7.0	77.5	79.6	81.5	83.6	87.3	91.5	95.9	99.9	102.4	104.6	107.2
8.0	77.7	79.9	81.9	84.2	88.2	92.8	97.5	102.0	104.7	107.1	109.9
9.0	77.9	80.3	82.4	84.8	89.0	93.9	99.0	103.7	106.6	109.1	112.2
10.0	78.2	80.7	82.9	85.4	89.8	94.9	100.2	105.1	108.2	110.8	114.0
11.0	78.6	81.2	83.4	86.0	90.5	95.8	101.3	106.4	109.5	112.3	115.6
12.0	79.0	81.6	83.9	86.6	91.2	96.6	102.2	107.4	110.7	113.5	116.9
13.0	79.5	82.1	84.5	87.2	91.9	97.4	103.1	108.4	111.7	114.6	118.0
14.0	80.0	82.7	85.0	87.8	92.5	98.0	103.8	109.2	112.5	115.4	118.9
15.0	80.5	83.2	85.6	88.3	93.1	98.7	104.5	109.9	113.2	116.2	119.7
16.0	81.1	83.8	86.1	88.9	93.7	99.3	105.1	110.5	113.8	116.7	120.3
17.0	81.7	84.4	86.7	89.5	94.3	99.8	105.6	111.0	114.3	117.2	120.7
18.0	82.3	85.0	87.3	90.1	94.8	100.3	106.0	111.4	114.7	117.6	121.1
19.0	83.0	85.6	87.9	90.6	95.3	100.8	106.5	111.8	115.0	117.9	121.3
20.0	83.6	86.2	88.5	91.2	95.9	101.2	106.8	112.1	115.3	118.1	121.5
21.0	84.3	86.9	89.1	91.8	96.4	101.7	107.2	112.3	115.5	118.2	121.6
21.8	84.8	87.4	89.6	92.3	96.8	102.0	107.4	112.5	115.6	118.3	121.6

TABLE 4: Age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) systolic blood pressure for the entire population (n = 1038).

TABLE 5: Age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) systolic blood pressure for males (n = 576).

Age (years)	1th	2.5th	5th	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th	95th	97.5th	99th
5.0	76.4	78.4	80.1	82.1	85.3	89.0	92.5	95.8	97.7	99.3	101.2
6.0	75.4	77.7	79.7	82.0	85.8	90.0	94.2	98.0	100.2	102.2	104.4
7.0	74.8	77.4	79.6	82.2	86.4	91.1	95.7	99.9	102.4	104.5	107.0
8.0	74.7	77.5	79.8	82.6	87.1	92.1	97.1	101.5	104.2	106.5	109.1
9.0	74.8	77.8	80.3	83.1	87.9	93.1	98.4	103.0	105.8	108.2	111.0
10.0	75.2	78.2	80.8	83.8	88.7	94.1	99.5	104.3	107.2	109.7	112.6
11.0	75.8	78.9	81.5	84.5	89.6	95.1	100.6	105.5	108.5	111.0	113.9
12.0	76.5	79.6	82.3	85.4	90.5	96.1	101.7	106.6	109.6	112.2	115.1
13.0	77.4	80.5	83.2	86.3	91.4	97.0	102.7	107.6	110.6	113.2	116.2
14.0	78.4	81.5	84.2	87.3	92.4	98.0	103.6	108.6	111.6	114.2	117.1
15.0	79.4	82.5	85.2	88.3	93.3	98.9	104.5	109.5	112.4	115.0	118.0
16.0	80.6	83.6	86.3	89.3	94.3	99.9	105.4	110.3	113.2	115.8	118.7
17.0	81.8	84.8	87.4	90.4	95.3	100.8	106.3	111.1	114.0	116.5	119.4
18.0	83.1	86.0	88.6	91.5	96.4	101.7	107.1	111.9	114.7	117.1	120.0
19.0	84.4	87.3	89.8	92.7	97.4	102.7	107.9	112.6	115.3	117.7	120.5
20.0	85.8	88.6	91.0	93.8	98.5	103.6	108.7	113.2	116.0	118.3	121.0
21.0	87.2	90.0	92.3	95.0	99.5	104.5	109.5	113.9	116.5	118.8	121.5
21.6	88.1	90.8	93.1	95.8	100.2	105.1	109.9	114.3	116.9	119.1	121.7

Tables 7, 8, and 9 show specific percentiles of age-CAIx values for five-year age RIs corresponding to the entire population, males and females, respectively. Furthermore, a similar analysis was carried out using values obtained by each year of age (Tables D, E, and F in Supplementary Materials). Figures 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c) show specific age-cAIx percentiles for the entire population, males and females, respectively. As expected, there was a correlation between age and cAIx values.

Specific percentile analysis of cAIx corresponding to each body height level (using 5 cm intervals) of the male cohort is shown in Table 10. Also in Table G, using 1 cm interval is provided in Supplementary Materials. Furthermore, in Table 11 and Table H of the Supplementary Materials, RIs corresponding to the female cohort are showed. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show body height-cAIx percentiles, corresponding to males and females, respectively.

Age (years)	1th	2.5th	5th	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th	95th	97.5th	99th
5.2	79.5	80.8	82.0	83.4	85.7	88.3	91.0	93.5	95.0	96.3	97.9
6.0	79.2	80.9	82.3	84.0	86.8	90.0	93.4	96.4	98.3	100.0	101.9
7.0	79.1	81.0	82.6	84.6	88.0	91.8	95.8	99.5	101.7	103.7	106.0
8.0	79.0	81.1	83.0	85.2	88.9	93.3	97.8	101.9	104.5	106.7	109.4
9.0	79.0	81.3	83.3	85.7	89.8	94.5	99.4	103.9	106.7	109.2	112.1
10.0	79.1	81.5	83.7	86.2	90.5	95.5	100.7	105.5	108.5	111.1	114.3
11.0	79.2	81.7	84.0	86.6	91.1	96.3	101.8	106.8	110.0	112.7	116.0
12.0	79.4	82.0	84.3	87.0	91.6	97.0	102.6	107.9	111.1	114.0	117.4
13.0	79.6	82.3	84.6	87.4	92.1	97.6	103.4	108.7	112.0	115.0	118.5
14.0	79.9	82.5	84.9	87.7	92.5	98.1	103.9	109.4	112.7	115.7	119.2
15.0	80.1	82.8	85.2	88.0	92.9	98.5	104.4	109.8	113.2	116.2	119.8
16.0	80.4	83.1	85.5	88.3	93.2	98.8	104.7	110.2	113.6	116.6	120.1
17.0	80.7	83.4	85.8	88.6	93.5	99.1	104.9	110.4	113.7	116.7	120.3
18.0	81.1	83.8	86.1	88.9	93.7	99.2	105.0	110.4	113.8	116.7	120.3
19.0	81.4	84.1	86.4	89.2	93.9	99.4	105.1	110.4	113.7	116.6	120.1
20.0	81.8	84.4	86.7	89.4	94.1	99.5	105.1	110.3	113.6	116.4	119.8
21.0	82.2	84.8	87.0	89.7	94.2	99.5	105.0	110.1	113.3	116.1	119.4
21.8	82.5	85.1	87.3	89.9	94.3	99.5	104.9	109.9	113.0	115.7	119.0

TABLE 7: Age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for the entire population (n = 1038).

Age (years)	1th	2.5th	5th	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th	95th	97.5th	99th
5.0	1.8	4.5	7.1	10.2	16.0	23.3	31.4	39.4	44.5	49.1	54.7
6.0	-0.1	2.4	4.7	7.5	12.8	19.3	26.7	34.0	38.6	42.8	48.0
7.0	-1.5	0.8	2.9	5.5	10.3	16.4	23.3	30.0	34.3	38.3	43.0
8.0	-2.6	-0.5	1.5	3.9	8.5	14.2	20.6	27.0	31.1	34.8	39.4
9.0	-3.4	-1.5	0.4	2.7	7.0	12.5	18.7	24.8	28.7	32.3	36.6
10.0	-4.1	-2.3	-0.5	1.7	5.9	11.2	17.1	23.0	26.8	30.3	34.5
11.0	-4.7	-2.9	-1.2	1.0	5.0	10.1	15.9	21.7	25.4	28.8	32.9
12.0	-5.2	-3.4	-1.8	0.3	4.3	9.3	15.0	20.7	24.3	27.7	31.7
13.0	-5.6	-3.8	-2.2	-0.2	3.7	8.7	14.3	19.9	23.6	26.9	30.9
14.0	-5.9	-4.2	-2.6	-0.6	3.3	8.2	13.8	19.4	23.0	26.3	30.4
15.0	-6.1	-4.5	-2.9	-0.9	2.9	7.8	13.4	19.1	22.7	26.0	30.1
16.0	-6.4	-4.7	-3.2	-1.1	2.7	7.6	13.2	18.9	22.5	25.9	30.0
17.0	-6.6	-4.9	-3.4	-1.3	2.5	7.4	13.1	18.8	22.5	25.9	30.0
18.0	-6.7	-5.1	-3.5	-1.5	2.4	7.4	13.1	18.9	22.6	26.1	30.3
19.0	-6.8	-5.2	-3.6	-1.6	2.3	7.4	13.2	19.1	22.9	26.4	30.6
20.0	-6.9	-5.3	-3.7	-1.6	2.3	7.4	13.4	19.3	23.2	26.8	31.1
21.0	-7.0	-5.4	-3.8	-1.7	2.3	7.6	13.6	19.7	23.7	27.3	31.8
21.8	-7.1	-5.4	-3.8	-1.7	2.4	7.7	13.8	20.1	24.1	27.8	32.3

4. Discussion

This research provides the first Latin American database concerning RIs of cSBP and cAIx obtained on a large cohort of healthy children, adolescents, and young adults aged between 5 and 22 years. Moreover, the definition of RIs generated in this study will further improve our ability to identify young populations at high risk and represent a first step toward new approaches in the diagnosis and management of high BP states and/or other altered haemodynamic conditions found in childhood and adolescence. To the best of our knowledge, there are no widely accepted clear cutoff values for central aortic BP levels in pediatric clinical practice, in spite of recent efforts of European researchers [18]. In this context, our study provides percentiles for cSBP and cAIx representing a novel approach to optimize risk stratification in youths.

The cAIx inform about the arterial wave reflection component of the left ventricular afterload. Values of cAIx

Age (years)	1th	2.5th	5th	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th	95th	97.5th	99th
5.0	4.6	6.0	7.2	8.7	11.4	14.6	18.1	21.4	23.4	25.3	27.5
6.0	1.2	2.7	4.1	5.8	8.9	12.7	16.9	21.0	23.6	26.0	28.8
7.0	-1.1	0.4	1.9	3.7	7.0	11.2	15.9	20.6	23.6	26.3	29.6
8.0	-2.6	-1.1	0.3	2.1	5.6	10.0	15.1	20.2	23.4	26.4	30.0
9.0	-3.6	-2.2	-0.8	1.0	4.5	9.0	14.3	19.7	23.1	26.3	30.2
10.0	-4.3	-3.0	-1.6	0.1	3.6	8.2	13.6	19.1	22.7	26.0	30.0
11.0	-4.8	-3.6	-2.3	-0.5	2.9	7.5	13.0	18.6	22.2	25.6	29.7
12.0	-5.2	-4.0	-2.7	-1.0	2.4	7.0	12.4	18.0	21.7	25.1	29.2
13.0	-5.4	-4.3	-3.1	-1.4	1.9	6.5	11.9	17.5	21.1	24.5	28.7
14.0	-5.6	-4.5	-3.3	-1.7	1.6	6.0	11.4	16.9	20.5	23.8	27.9
15.0	-5.6	-4.6	-3.5	-1.9	1.3	5.6	10.9	16.3	19.8	23.1	27.2
16.0	-5.7	-4.7	-3.5	-2.0	1.1	5.3	10.4	15.7	19.2	22.4	26.3
17.0	-5.7	-4.7	-3.6	-2.1	0.9	5.0	10.0	15.1	18.5	21.6	25.4
18.0	-5.6	-4.6	-3.6	-2.1	0.8	4.8	9.6	14.5	17.7	20.7	24.4
19.0	-5.6	-4.6	-3.5	-2.1	0.7	4.6	9.2	13.9	17.0	19.9	23.4
20.0	-5.4	-4.5	-3.4	-2.1	0.7	4.4	8.8	13.3	16.3	19.0	22.3
21.0	-5.3	-4.3	-3.3	-2.0	0.7	4.2	8.4	12.7	15.5	18.1	21.3
21.6	-5.2	-4.2	-3.2	-1.9	0.7	4.1	8.2	12.4	15.1	17.6	20.6

TABLE 8: Age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for males (n = 576).

TABLE 9: Age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for females (n = 462).

Age (years)	1th	2.5th	5th	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th	95th	97.5th	99th
5.2	3.5	6.8	9.7	13.3	19.8	27.5	35.8	43.7	48.6	53.0	58.2
6.0	1.4	4.4	7.2	10.5	16.5	23.7	31.4	38.8	43.4	47.5	52.4
7.0	-0.5	2.2	4.8	7.8	13.4	20.1	27.3	34.1	38.4	42.3	46.8
8.0	-1.9	0.6	3.0	5.9	11.1	17.4	24.1	30.6	34.7	38.3	42.6
9.0	-2.9	-0.5	1.7	4.4	9.3	15.3	21.8	28.0	31.8	35.3	39.4
10.0	-3.7	-1.4	0.7	3.4	8.1	13.8	20.0	25.9	29.6	33.0	36.9
11.0	-4.2	-2.0	0.1	2.6	7.1	12.7	18.6	24.4	28.0	31.2	35.0
12.0	-4.5	-2.4	-0.4	2.0	6.4	11.8	17.7	23.2	26.7	29.9	33.6
13.0	-4.7	-2.7	-0.7	1.7	6.0	11.3	17.0	22.4	25.9	28.9	32.6
14.0	-4.8	-2.8	-0.9	1.5	5.7	10.9	16.5	21.9	25.2	28.3	31.9
15.0	-4.8	-2.8	-0.9	1.4	5.6	10.7	16.3	21.6	24.9	27.9	31.4
16.0	-4.8	-2.7	-0.8	1.5	5.6	10.7	16.2	21.4	24.7	27.7	31.2
17.0	-4.6	-2.6	-0.7	1.6	5.8	10.8	16.3	21.5	24.8	27.7	31.2
18.0	-4.4	-2.3	-0.5	1.9	6.0	11.0	16.5	21.7	25.0	27.9	31.4
19.0	-4.1	-2.0	-0.1	2.2	6.3	11.4	16.8	22.1	25.3	28.2	31.7
20.0	-3.7	-1.7	0.3	2.6	6.8	11.8	17.3	22.5	25.8	28.7	32.2
21.0	-3.3	-1.2	0.7	3.1	7.3	12.4	17.9	23.1	26.4	29.3	32.8
21.8	-3.0	-0.8	1.1	3.5	7.7	12.9	18.4	23.7	27.0	29.9	33.4

are mainly determined by two factors: (a) aortic stiffness (which in turn determines the "central to peripheral" and "peripheral to central" forward and backward wave components propagation velocities) and (b) distance between aortic root and major wave reflection sites (i.e., aortic bifurcation at the level of pelvic arteries and include small arteries) [30]. Increases in aortic stiffness enhance the speed of wave reflection (resulting in an earlier return of the reflected wave to the ascending aorta) and increase the magnitude of the backward or reflected wave (resulting in an increase in aortic pulse pressure and left ventricle afterload). As a result, frequently when the AS increases the higher the cAIx is [30]. Consequently, the cAIx inform about the vascular wall state as a surrogate index of AS [6, 23]. Additionally, reduced body height is associated with early arrival of reflected waves to the ascending aorta, and consequently is associated with



(a) Age specific central (aortic) systolic blood pressure (cSBP) percentiles generated using data of the entire population (n = 1038) of children and adolescents

(b) Age specific central (aortic) systolic blood pressure (cSBP) percentiles corresponding to male cohort (n = 576) of children and adolescents



(c) Age specific central (aortic) system blood pressure (CSBP) percentiles corresponding to female cohort (n = 462) of children and adolescents

Figure 1

increased cAIx. Furthermore, high cAIx values implicate that increases of cSBP and/or cPP are determined by early arrival of reflected waves (during left ventricular systolic period). In other words, jointly analyzing the cAIx and cSBP

or cPP, it is possible to determine if the levels of cSBP or cPP (i.e., increased) are due (or not) to an increase in the amplitude or early arrival of the reflected waves. Taking into account the determinant of cAIx, subjects with similar



(a) Age specific central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) percentiles generated using data of the entire population (n = 1038) of children and adolescents

(b) Age specific central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) percentiles corresponding to male cohort (n = 576) of children and adolescents



(c) Age specific central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) percentiles corresponding to female cohort (n = 462) of children and adolescents

Figure 2

levels of aortic stiffness could exhibit significant differences in cAIx associated with differences in body height. This is particularly important in children and adolescents in which both AS and body height are continuously changing. From this point of view, cAIx would be a very representative index of arterial dynamics and left ventricular afterload, since it evaluates simultaneously AS and changes associated with growth process.

TABLE 10: Body height-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for males (n = 576).

Body height (cm)	1th	2.5th	5th	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th	95th	97.5th	99th
104	5.06	6.36	7.54	8.95	11.43	14.41	17.59	20.62	22.51	24.20	26.23
105	4.79	6.12	7.32	8.76	11.31	14.35	17.62	20.74	22.69	24.44	26.52
110	3.54	4.97	6.26	7.83	10.64	14.03	17.70	21.23	23.45	25.44	27.83
115	2.38	3.87	5.24	6.91	9.93	13.61	17.63	21.52	23.98	26.19	28.85
120	1.31	2.85	4.26	6.01	9.18	13.10	17.41	21.61	24.28	26.69	29.59
125	0.33	1.88	3.33	5.12	8.41	12.52	17.07	21.54	24.39	26.96	30.08
130	-0.58	0.97	2.43	4.25	7.62	11.87	16.62	21.31	24.31	27.03	30.32
135	-1.41	0.11	1.57	3.40	6.82	11.17	16.07	20.93	24.06	26.89	30.33
140	-2.18	-0.69	0.75	2.57	6.01	10.42	15.43	20.43	23.65	26.58	30.14
145	-2.88	-1.44	-0.03	1.76	5.19	9.63	14.71	19.80	23.09	26.09	29.75
150	-3.51	-2.13	-0.77	0.99	4.37	8.81	13.91	19.07	22.41	25.46	29.18
155	-4.09	-2.78	-1.48	0.23	3.56	7.96	13.06	18.24	21.61	24.69	28.46
160	-4.61	-3.38	-2.14	-0.49	2.76	7.09	12.16	17.33	20.70	23.79	27.58
165	-5.07	-3.93	-2.76	-1.18	1.96	6.21	11.21	16.34	19.70	22.79	26.57
170	-5.49	-4.44	-3.34	-1.84	1.19	5.32	10.23	15.30	18.62	21.68	25.44
175	-5.85	-4.90	-3.88	-2.47	0.43	4.43	9.23	14.20	17.47	20.50	24.21
180	-6.16	-5.31	-4.38	-3.06	-0.31	3.54	8.20	13.06	16.27	19.24	22.89
185	-6.42	-5.68	-4.83	-3.61	-1.02	2.66	7.16	11.88	15.02	17.91	21.50
190	-6.63	-6.01	-5.25	-4.13	-1.71	1.80	6.12	10.68	13.72	16.54	20.03
191	-6.67	-6.07	-5.33	-4.23	-1.84	1.62	5.91	10.44	13.46	16.26	19.73

TABLE 11: Body height-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for females (n = 462).

Body height (cm)	1th	2.5th	5th	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th	95th	97.5th	99th
102	-1.63	1.21	3.82	7.03	12.82	19.86	27.47	34.76	39.32	43.40	48.27
105	-1.07	1.71	4.27	7.40	13.02	19.83	27.18	34.20	38.60	42.52	47.20
110	-0.36	2.34	4.80	7.80	13.17	19.65	26.61	33.25	37.40	41.09	45.50
115	0.10	2.71	5.09	7.98	13.12	19.31	25.95	32.26	36.20	39.71	43.89
120	0.32	2.85	5.15	7.93	12.88	18.83	25.19	31.24	35.01	38.37	42.36
125	0.31	2.76	4.99	7.69	12.48	18.22	24.36	30.19	33.82	37.06	40.91
130	0.09	2.48	4.64	7.26	11.92	17.50	23.46	29.12	32.64	35.78	39.52
135	-0.32	2.01	4.12	6.68	11.22	16.67	22.49	28.02	31.47	34.53	38.19
140	-0.90	1.37	3.44	5.95	10.40	15.75	21.47	26.91	30.30	33.32	36.91
145	-1.63	0.60	2.62	5.09	9.47	14.75	20.40	25.78	29.14	32.13	35.69
150	-2.48	-0.30	1.69	4.11	8.45	13.67	19.29	24.64	27.99	30.97	34.52
155	-3.44	-1.31	0.65	3.04	7.34	12.54	18.14	23.49	26.84	29.83	33.40
160	-4.48	-2.40	-0.47	1.89	6.16	11.35	16.96	22.34	25.71	28.72	32.31
165	-5.57	-3.55	-1.66	0.68	4.92	10.11	15.75	21.18	24.58	27.63	31.27
170	-6.70	-4.74	-2.89	-0.58	3.63	8.83	14.52	20.01	23.46	26.56	30.26
175	-7.83	-5.95	-4.15	-1.88	2.31	7.53	13.28	18.84	22.36	25.51	29.29
178	-8.49	-6.67	-4.90	-2.67	1.50	6.74	12.52	18.14	21.70	24.89	28.72

On the other hand, levels of BP are mainly determined by cardiac and vascular factors. Higher (and faster) left ventricular stroke volume is associated with high levels of cSBP and cPP. Otherwise, AS and arrival time and amplitude of wave reflections are the main determinants of cSBP and cPP, while peripheral vascular resistances and cardiac output are the main determinants of MBP and cDBP. In this context, it is important to emphasize that simultaneous measurements of central (aortic) BP and cAIx (using a single device) in children and adolescents allow us not only to know if cSBP and cPP are within physiological levels, but also in case of abnormal values to realize if they were associated or not with increased wave reflection levels (cAIx) [31, 32].

Our data shows that, in agreement with the two largest data bases of cSBP measurement in children and adolescents with oscillometric techniques, males exhibit higher values



(a) Body height specific central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) percentiles corresponding to male cohort (n = 576) of children and adolescents

(b) Body height specific central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) percentiles corresponding to female cohort (n = 462) of children and adolescents

FIGURE 3

of SBP and PP in both central and peripheral arteries [17, 18]. Despite the methodological differences (inclusion and exclusion criteria) in addition to the different devices used between the three studies (see Table 12), it is possible to attempt to compare the cSBP values between our data with the RIs from Hungarian [17] and German pediatric population [18] (Figure 4). In the analyzed population, the 50th percentile corresponding to boys and girls aged between 5 and 22 years shows cSBP values slightly lower than those found by Hidvégi in the Hungarian population using the same technology. Those observations were also valid in boys when 95th percentile was analyzed. However, in girls aged 10 to 17 years, these differences tend to disappear when considering the 95th percentile. On the other hand, in comparison with German database (Mobil-O-Graph System), our data shows that cSBP values in the 50th and the 95th percentiles (both sexes) were slightly higher in children below 10 years, and significantly lower in adolescents over 13 years old than those found by Elmenhorst et al. [18].

On the other hand, values of cAIx show a different behavior with respect to age and gender. As was describe above, our data show that females have higher cAIx values than males (Table 1). These findings are in accordance with the published data of Hidvégi et al. who proved that after 15 years the AIx is higher in females than in males [17]. These authors reported that, in early childhood, the values of AIx are high; however it decreases gradually with age in both genders in ages between 12 and 15 years, increasing afterwards. Moreover, the increased cAIx detected in early childhood cannot be caused by the shorter return time, which is determined by a shorter aortic length [17]. In Figure 5, we compared cAIx percentiles 50th and 75th corresponding ton males and females of the Argentinean population, with those reported by Hidvégi et al. [17]. It is noteworthy that females of both populations exhibit similar cAIx curves, while Argentinean males have lower values than the European cohort before 12 y.o. and higher after ages over 13 y.o.

4.1. Methodological Considerations and Limitations. In this observational study a noninvasive occlusive-oscillometric device to assess arterial function parameters in children and adolescents was used. This validated system provides central aortic BP values that have shown significant correlation with values of cSBP invasively assessed [6, 23]. On the other hand, the use of an arm cuff facilitates epidemiological studies performed in large cohort including children and adolescents [14, 17, 33]. Moreover, the Arteriograph device allows simultaneous quantification of Ao-PWV, AIx, cSBP, and peripheral BP, and the procedure takes only 2-3 min and is well tolerated even in preschool children who experienced only a little discomfort, similar to that observed during noninvasive BP measurement [14].

The lack of standardization in methodologies used to obtain RIs and/or normal values for cAIx, cSBP, and other noninvasive obtained arterial parameters makes difficult to compare different populations. In our research, we used the statistical and methodological approach described by the *Reference Values for Arterial Measurements Collaboration*

	TABLE 12: Subjects characteristics describe	d for Europeans (Germany and Hungary) and our stu	idied populations.
	Elmenhorst et al. 2015	Hidvégi et al. 2015	Díaz et al. (our work)
(1) Characteristics of the sample			
Number of subjects	1445 (females: 715; males: 730)	4619 (females: 2130; males: 2489)	1038 (females: 462; males: 576)
Subjects precedence	Living in Germany (Munich)	Living in Hungary	Living in Argentina (Tandil)
Age interval	8–22 years	3–18 years	5–21 years
Recruitment strategy	Prospective (school-based setting)	Prospective (nursery, elementary, and secondary schools)	Prospective (nursery, elementary, and secondary schools)
Communities	Urban/rural	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Urban/rural
Inclusion criteria	Absence of known CV; even if treated	Apparently healthy; Caucasian; without medication	Asymptomatic. (1) None had history of CV, pulmonary, or renal disease; (2) normal brachial BP at the time of examination; (3) none were taking medications, (4) all had normal levels of glycaemia, cholesterol, and triglycerides
Exclusion criteria	Hypertensive BP (<18 years: according to guideline; ≥18 years: 140/90 mmHg); obesity	BMI: 3th-97th percentile; SBP and DBP: 5th-95th percentile	Current and past smokers, diabetic, obese subjects, hypertensive subjects or subjects with averaged high brachial BP levels at the time of the study were excluded
(2) Aortic BP levels and waveform measurement			
Device	Mobil-O-Graph, I.E.M., Stolberg, Germany	Arteriograph, TensioMed Ltd., Budapest, Hungarv	Arteriograph, TensioMed Ltd., Budapest, Hungarv
Measurement conditions	Supine position after at least 5–10 min of rest	Supine position after 2-3 minutes of rest	Supine position after at least 10 min of rest

International Journal of Hypertension

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Elmenhorst e	t al. 2015	HIDVERI ET S	r. 2015 .I	Diaz et al. (ou	r work)
	(3) Characteristics of	AAV.	G		G	ANA	CD.
Ame Ame <th>the subjects</th> <th>A 141</th> <th>n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n</th> <th>V IVI</th> <th>AU A</th> <th>A TAT</th> <th>n n</th>	the subjects	A 141	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	V IVI	AU A	A TAT	n n
Age (versis) 13.8 2.9 0.05 4.8 15.1 13.8 2.9 13.4 15.1 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.5 13.8 13.5 13.8 13.5	Female						
	Age (years)	13.8	2.9	10.5	4.8	15.1	3.5
Body verght (kg) 68.8 12.3 73.8 13.6 51.5 11.0 BMI (kg/m ¹) 11.3 5.3 3.2 11.0 6.0 6.0 9.0 PBP (runnlig) 11.3 5.3 3.2 11.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 5.3 5.3 5.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 5.3 5.3 5.0 6.0 5.3	Body height (cm)	157.0	11.6	142.7	22.4	157.1	12.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Body weight (Kg)	48.8	12.5	37.8	15.6	51.5	11.2
SBP (nmiltg) III3 7.5 III09 6.0 6.0 6.8 7.8 PMBP (nmiltg) 6.0 6.3 6.4 3.3 3.9 5.5 7.8 SPR (nmiltg) 8.13 6.2 8.01 10.03 3.3 3.9 5.6 7.8 SPR (nmiltg) 8.13 6.0 6.0 8.3 0.03 5.3 0.05 6.4 4.3 5.5 0.01 Hear rate (h,n.n) 2.5 7.21 1.16 Virgue/Symphysis 4.20 6.6 4.54 4.3 Aff 1.19 4.4 12.3 8.8 Mat Si 1.56 10.63 2.3 3.1 3.1 3.2 Mat Si 5.6 10.63 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.4 4.4 3.3 Mat Si 3.3 1.3 3.3 3.4 3.4 3.4 Mat <td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td> <td>19.5</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>17.4</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>20.6</td> <td>3.0</td>	BMI (Kg/m ²)	19.5	3.2	17.4	2.5	20.6	3.0
DBP (multig) 6.60 6.8 6.47 3.0 6.36 7.3 APPW (multig) 8.18 6.2 8.2 100.2 3.9 7.89 7.81 AcsPPW (multig) 8.18 6.2 8.2 100.3 3.9 7.89 7.81 Hear rate (b, pm) 2.6 7.21 11.0 16.6 Hear rate (b, pm) 2.6 10.3 3.3 9.82 7.81 10.6 Migulo-Symphysis 42.0 6.6 45.4 4.3 4.3 Active (cm) 42.0 6.6 4.5 3.1 3.1 Moder 31 2.6 10.5 4.4 12.3 8.6 3.1 Moder 31 13.1 2.6 10.5 2.4 13.1 Moder 31 13.3 13.3 13.3 3.3 3.4 3.1 Moder 31.1 13.3 13.3 <td< td=""><td>pSBP (mmHg)</td><td>113.5</td><td>7.5</td><td>110.9</td><td>6.0</td><td>109.1</td><td>8.8</td></td<>	pSBP (mmHg)	113.5	7.5	110.9	6.0	109.1	8.8
pMB (mnHg) 81.8 6.2 80.2 3.3 3.9 7.8.9 7.3 Ao, PWV (ms) 100.5 8.0 0.0.3 3.3 9.8.2 8.8 Hart rate (hynn) 1.6 0.3 - - 5.5 5.5 10.1 Hight rate (hynn) - - - 4.20 6.6 45.4 4.3 Mate - - - 4.20 6.6 45.4 4.3 Mate - - - - 11.9 4.4 12.3 8.8 Mate - - - - - 4.3 13.7 Body breght (xg) 19.0 13.1 15.2 10.5 8.8 6.14 13.7 Body breght (xg) 114.7 8.8 11.3 8.4 114.7 8.7 14.7 Body breght (xg) 13.1 15.2 8.8 13.3 13.7 14.7 14.7 Body breght (xg) 13.1 13.3	pDBP (mmHg)	66.0	6.8	64.7	3.0	63.6	7.8
ckb (m1Hg) 1005 8.0 1003 3.3 9.82 8.4 Ao-PWV (ms) -16 0.3 -5 721 116 Hear rate (ppm) - - - - - 55 721 116 Yage (symphysis - - - - - 420 6.6 45.4 43 Mate - - - 119 4.4 12.3 8.6 Mate - - - - - 119 4.4 12.3 2.8 Motion light (cm) 38.7 15.6 146.3 2.3 141.0 3.6 3.1 Step (mmHg) 113.5 8.3 113.3 8.4 114.0 3.6 Step (mmHg) 8.16 6.3 8.3 113.3 8.4 114.0 3.6 Step (mmHg) 8.16 6.3 4.3 2.6 6.3 4.9 Step (mmHg) 8.16 6.13 <td< td=""><td>pMBP (mmHg)</td><td>81.8</td><td>6.2</td><td>80.2</td><td>3.9</td><td>78.9</td><td>7.3</td></td<>	pMBP (mmHg)	81.8	6.2	80.2	3.9	78.9	7.3
Ao-PWV (ms) 4.6 0.3 5.5 7.1 110 Hear rate (b,pm) 82.6 7.5 7.21 110 Yaulo Symphysis 42.0 6.6 45.4 4.3 Age (vers) 13.1 2.6 10.5 4.4 12.3 8.4 Male 30.0 13.1 2.6 10.5 4.4 12.3 8.4 Male 13.1 2.6 10.5 2.4 13.3 8.4 14.7 Body begit (cg) 49.1 13.2 4.6 5.3 8.4 14.6 9.3 SNR (cmHig) 6.51 6.8 6.47 2.5 6.7 7.2 SNR (mmHig) 6.1 4.3 2.4 114.0 9.3 3.1 SNR (mmHig) 6.1 4.3 2.6 10.1 4.3 3.1 SNR (mmHig) 6.1 4.3 2.6 14.3 3.1 3.1 SNR (mmHig) <td< td=""><td>cSBP (mmHg)</td><td>100.5</td><td>8.0</td><td>100.3</td><td>3.3</td><td>98.2</td><td>8.4</td></td<>	cSBP (mmHg)	100.5	8.0	100.3	3.3	98.2	8.4
Hear rate (bp.m) 82.6 7.5 7.2.1 116 Yaguo-Symphysis - 42.0 6.6 45.4 4.3 CAIX (s) - 11.9 4.4 12.3 8.6 Allac - - 4.2.0 6.6 45.4 4.3 Allac - - 11.9 4.4 12.3 2.8 Age (vars) 13.1 2.6 10.5 4.8 15.7 3.1 Boy weight (cg) 19.0 3.1 17.6 2.8 6.14 14.7 Boy weight (cg) 11.4 8.3 11.3 2.6 2.4 3.1 Boy weight (cg) 0.08 8.1 17.6 2.4 2.16 4.9 DPRP (mmHg) 8.16 6.1 2.4 2.16 4.9 DPRP (mmHg) 8.16 6.1 2.4 2.16 4.9 DPRP (mmHg) 0.08 8.1 2.16 8.4 <	Ao-PWV (m/s)	4.6	0.3	-	1	5.5	0.6
Nigulo-Symphysis 42.0 6.6 45.4 4.3 disance (cm) - - 11.9 4.4 12.3 8.6 $cAlx$ (%) - - 11.9 4.4 12.3 8.6 $Alac$ - - - 11.9 0.6 45.4 14.7 $Alac$ - - - 10.5 18.5 16.2 13.7 Body weight (kg) 9.1 15.2 16.5 2.4 11.4 3.1 Body weight (kg) 9.1 17.6 2.4 11.6 9.7 BNB (mmHg) 66.1 6.3 8.11 7.6 2.6 0.7 SBP (mmHg) 11.7 2.6 14.3 3.1 3.1 3.1 SBP (mmHg) 66.1 6.3 8.11 7.6 2.6 6.7 4.9 SBP (mmHg) 10.8 9.7 0.4 3.1 3.1 3.1 CSP (mmHg) 10.8 6.7 0.4 1.6	Heart rate (b.p.m.)		-	82.6	7.5	72.1	11.6
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} chx (w) & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -$	Yugulo-Symphysis	1	1	42.0	6.6	45.4	4.3
$ \begin{array}{c} {\rm CAIX}({\rm w}) & \qquad {\rm BS}, & $				c ;		ç ç	
And Matter Matter Body height (cm) 13.1 2.6 10.3 4.8 15.5 2.8 13.7 16.72 13.7	cAIX (%)		1	II.9	4.4	12.3	8.0
Age (vars) BAI L3 L4 L4 L3 L4 L4 <thl4< th=""> L4 L4</thl4<>						1	
Body bleght (cm) 1587 15.6 14.63 25.7 16.72 13.7 Body verght (kg) 49.1 15.2 40.5 18.5 61.4 14.1 BNG (kgm) 19.0 31 17.6 2.4 21.6 31 PDBP (mmHg) 114.5 8.3 113.3 8.4 114.0 9.6 PDBP (mmHg) 81.6 6.3 8.3 113.3 8.4 114.0 9.6 PMBP (mmHg) 81.6 6.3 8.1 4.7 2.6 6.2.0 7.7 SBP (nmHg) 10.6 6.3 81.1 4.3 79.2 7.7 Ao PWY (m/s) 4.7 0.4 7.1 4.8 99.4 84 11.8 Yuguo-Symphysis 7.1 73.2 73.2 73.2 73.2 74 95.5 11.8 Yuguo-Symphysis 74 8.7 6.7 6.7 74 Ao PWY (m/s) 1.4 2.8	Age (years)	13.1	2.6	10.5	4.8	15.5	2.8
Body weight (Kg) 49.1 15.2 40.5 18.5 61.4 14.7 BMI (Kg/m ²) 19.0 3.1 17.6 2.4 21.6 3.1 BMP (mmHg) 65.1 6.8 6.4.7 2.6 62.0 72 pDBP (mmHg) 65.1 6.8 8.1.1 2.4 21.6 3.1 cSBP (mmHg) 65.1 6.8 8.1.1 4.3 79.2 77 cSBP (mmHg) 81.6 6.3 81.1 4.3 79.2 6.0 6.0 fisht 10.0.8 9.7 101.2 4.8 99.4 8.4 fisht 1.4 79.1 8.7 6.5.5 11.8 fisht 0.4 70.1 2.6 49.1 4.9 fisht 0.4 70.1 1.4.5 6.7 7.4 fisht 0.4 7.1 4.3 7.6 4.9 4.9 fisht 1.4 2.8 1.4.5 6.7 7.4	Body height (cm)	158.7	15.6	146.3	25.7	167.2	13.7
BMI (Kg/m ²) 19.0 3.1 17.6 2.4 2.16 3.1 pSBP (mmHg) 6.1 6.3 6.47 2.6 6.2.0 7.7 pBB (mmHg) 6.1 6.3 8.1 6.3 8.1 6.3 8.3 113.3 8.4 114.0 9.6 7 cSBP (mmHg) 8.16 6.3 8.1 10.12 4.8 99.4 8.4 11.4 cAr PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.4 7 10.12 4.8 99.4 8.4 11.8 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.4 7 6.7 5.5 11.8 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.4 8.7 6.7 7.4 Ao-PWV (m/s) - - - 4.9 6.7 7.4 Ao-PWV (m/s) 13.4 2.8 - 6.7 6.7 6.7 7.4 All Age (years) 13.4 2.8 - 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7	Body weight (Kg)	49.1	15.2	40.5	18.5	61.4	14.7
pSBP (mnHg) 1145 8.3 113.3 8.4 114.0 9.6 pDBP (mmHg) 65.1 6.8 64.7 2.6 62.0 7.2 pMBP (mmHg) 65.1 6.8 64.7 2.6 62.0 7.2 cSBP (mmHg) 65.1 6.3 81.1 4.3 79.2 7.7 cSBP (mmHg) 10.0 9.7 0.4 7.9 9.9 8.8 8.4 11.4 Ao-PWY (ms) 4.7 0.4 7.91 8.7 5.5 0.6 Yinglo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 Aigusce (cm) 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2	BMI (Kg/m ²)	19.0	3.1	17.6	2.4	21.6	3.1
pDBP (mmHg) 65.1 6.8 64.7 2.6 62.0 7.2 cSPP (mmHg) 81.6 6.3 81.1 4.3 7.92 7.7 cSPP (mmHg) 81.6 6.3 81.1 4.3 7.92 7.7 cSPP (mmHg) 100.8 9.7 0.4 5.5 0.6 Ao-PWV (m/s) -1 4.3 8.7 5.5 0.6 Yigulo-Symphysis 4.3 7.6 4.9 4.9 Yigulo-Symphysis 4.3 7.6 4.9 4.9 All Nigulo-Symphysis 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All Nigulo-Symphysis 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All Nigulo-Symphysis 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All Met 10.2 - 4.5 6.7	pSBP (mmHg)	114.5	8.3	113.3	8.4	114.0	9.6
pMBP (mmHg) 81.6 6.3 81.1 4.3 79.2 77 cSBP (mmHg) 100.8 9.7 101.2 4.8 99.4 8.4 Ao- PWY (m/s) 4.7 0.4 5.5 0.6 Are PWY (m/s) 4.7 0.4 5.5 0.6 Heart rate (b.p.m.) 79.1 8.7 6.5.5 11.8 Yuguo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 CAIx (%) 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All Nage (years) 13.4 2.8 - 15.3 3.1 Age (years) 13.4 2.8 - - 16.27 14.0 Body weight (cm) - - - 15.3 3.1 Body weight (Kg) - - - - 16.27 14.0 Body weight (Kg) - - - - - 15.3 14.0 <td< td=""><td>pDBP (mmHg)</td><td>65.1</td><td>6.8</td><td>64.7</td><td>2.6</td><td>62.0</td><td>7.2</td></td<>	pDBP (mmHg)	65.1	6.8	64.7	2.6	62.0	7.2
cSBP (mmHg) 100.8 9.7 101.2 4.8 99.4 8.4 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.4 5.5 0.6 Heart rate (b,p.m.) 79.1 8.7 65.5 11.8 Yuguo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 Yiguo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 Alt - 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 Alt - - - 10.2 - 16.2 14.0 Body breight (cm) - 16.2 6.7 7.4 Body weight (Kg)	pMBP (mmHg)	81.6	6.3	81.1	4.3	79.2	7.7
Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.4 5.5 0.6 Heart rate (b.p.m.) 79.1 8.7 65.5 11.8 Yigulo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 Yigulo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 Yigulo-Symphysis 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 Age (years) 13.4 2.8 14.0 8.6 7.6 14.0 Body height (cm) - - 15.3 14.0 Body weight (Kg) - -	cSBP (mmHg)	100.8	9.7	101.2	4.8	99.4	8.4
Heart rate (b.p.m.) 79.1 8.7 65.5 11.8 Yugulo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 Yugulo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 16.2 14.0 9.6 9.6 5.7 14.0 9.6 5.5 0.6 5.5 0.6 5.5 0.6 5.5 0.6 5.5 0.6 5.5 0.6 5.5 0.6 5.5 0.6 5.5 0.6 5.5	Ao-PWV (m/s)	4.7	0.4			5.5	0.6
Yugulo-Symphysis 43.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 distance (cm) 4.3.9 7.6 49.1 4.9 distance (cm) 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 14.0 9.6 Body weight (kg) 14.1 9.6 SBP (mmHg) 114.0 7.9 5.7 0.6 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 5.5 0.6 BMI: body mass index. PSBP, pMBR and DDBP: peripheral (brachial) sytolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. cAlx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse ware and index observer, respectively. Data from (TN) were obtained from averagine poloid pressure, respectively. Data from (Heart rate (b.p.m.)		-	79.1	8.7	65.5	11.8
distance (cm) 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 15.3 3.1 Age (years) 13.4 2.8 14.0 Body height (cm) 162.7 14.1 Body weight (Kg) 162.7 14.1 PSBP (nmHg) 114.0 7.9 5.70 9.6 SSBP (nmHg) 100.7 8.9 5.5 0.6 More W(m/s) 4.7 0.3 5.5 0.6 SMI: body mass index. pSBP, pmBP; are pripheral (brachial) sytolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. CMrs: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa CY: catiovascular. MY: mean value. SD: standard deviation. cSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values pulse was more control from average population walues pulse was more control from average popula	Yugulo-Symphysis			0.57	76	1 07	0 7
cAlx (%) 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All All 10.2 4.5 6.7 7.4 All 15.3 3.1 Age (years) 13.4 2.8 15.3 3.1 Body height (cm) 16.2.7 14.0 Body weight (Kg) 5.70 14.1 pSBP (mmHg) 114.0 7.9 5.70 9.6 SBP (mmHg) 100.7 8.9 98.9 96.9 6.6 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 98.9 5.5 0.6 BMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) sytolic, hean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. cAls: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse was controp of ansure, respectively. Data from (rs) ware areared population values or variatory obtained from average population values publish CV: cardiovascular. MY: mean value. SD: standard deviation. cSBP: central (aortic)	distance (cm)			1.01	2	11/1	()F
All All 2.8 15.3 3.1 Age (years) 13.4 2.8 15.3 3.1 Body height (cm) 162.7 14.0 Body weight (Kg) 57.0 14.1 Body weight (Kg) 57.0 14.1 pSBP (mmHg) 114.0 7.9 9.6 or PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 98.9 8.4 MI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. CAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa 5.5 0.6 SMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic blood pressure, respectively. CAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa 5.5 0.6 SMI: body mass index roles role from averagine heidex active blas ac	cAIx(%)	1	1	10.2	4.5	6.7	7.4
Age (years) 13.4 2.8 15.3 3.1 Body height (cm) 162.7 14.0 Body weight (Kg) 162.7 14.1 Body weight (Kg) 57.0 14.1 Body weight (Kg) 57.0 14.1 Body weight (Kg) 57.0 14.1 PSBP (mmHg) 114.0 7.9 96.9 CSBP (mmHg) 100.7 8.9 98.9 8.4 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 5.5 0.6 BMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic blood pressure, respectively. CAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWY: aortic pulse wa CV: cardiovascular. MY: mean value. SD: standard deviation. CSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish man values obtained from average population values publish man values obtained from average population values bublish	All					1	
Body height (cm) 162.7 14.0 Body weight (Kg) 152.7 14.0 Body weight (Kg) 57.0 14.1 PSBP (mmHg) 114.0 7.9 98.9 9.6 SSP (mmHg) 100.7 8.9 98.9 8.4 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 5.5 0.6 BMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. CAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa 5.5 0.6 SMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish man values SD: standard deviation. CSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish man values obtained from average population values publish man values obtained from average population values publish	Age (years)	13.4	2.8			15.3	3.1
Body weight (Kg) 57.0 14.1 pSBP (mmHg) 114.0 7.9 96.9 96.9 cSBP (mmHg) 100.7 8.9 98.9 96.9 8.4 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 5.5 0.6 BMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. CAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa 0.6 SMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish man values CD: standard deviation. CSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish man values of from average population values bublish man values of from average population values bublish	Body height (cm)				-	162.7	14.0
pSBP (mmHg) 114.0 7.9 111.8 9.6 cSBP (mmHg) 100.7 8.9 98.9 8.4 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 5.5 0.6 BMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP, and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. CAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa CV: cardiovascuts. MY: mean value. SD: standard deviation. cSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish manufaction index. not of the disaperpeated values or vary of life (aort, bot ach sex.	Body weight (Kg)					57.0	14.1
cSBP (mmHg) 100.7 8.9 98.9 8.4 Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 5.5 0.6 BMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP; and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. cAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa 0.6 EWI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP; peripheral deviation. cSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish manuscript Data from [17] were obtained from average population values bublish manuscript Data from [17] were obtained from average population values bublish manuscript Data from [17] were obtained from average population values bublish manuscript Data from [17] were obtained from average population values bublish manuscript Data from [17] were obtained from average population values bublish manuscript Data from [17] were obtained from average values or verage values	pSBP (mmHg)	114.0	7.9		-	111.8	9.6
Ao-PWV (m/s) 4.7 0.3 5.5 5.5 0.6 BMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP; and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. cAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa CV: cardiovascular. MV: mean value. SD: standard deviation. cSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish manuscrimt Data from [17] were obtained from average population values bublish manuscrimt Data from [17] were obtained from average population values bublish 0.6	cSBP (mmHg)	100.7	8.9			98.9	8.4
BMI: body mass index. pSBP, pMBP; and pDBP: peripheral (brachial) systolic, mean, and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. cAIx: central (aortic) augmentation index. Ao-PWV: aortic pulse wa CV: cardiovascular. MV: mean value. SD: standard deviation. cSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish manuscrint Data from 171 were obtained from averagine the disagregated values per vear of life (age), for each sex.	Ao-PWV (m/s)	4.7	0.3		1	5.5	0.6
CV: cracity mean value. SD: standard deviation. CSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish manuscription and deviation. CSBP: central (aortic) systolic blood pressure, respectively. Data from [18] were directly obtained from average population values publish manuscription of 171 were obtained from average population values publish manuscription from average population values provided from average population values publish manuscription from average population values publish manuscription from from from from from from from from	BMI hodv mass index nSBP	nMBP and nDBP nerinher	al (hrachial) systolic mean a	nd diastolic blood nressure res	sectively cAIx, central (aortic) augmentation index Ao-DWV	antic nulse wave velo
manuscrint Data from [17] were obtained from averaving the disaggregated values ner vear of life (age), for each sex.	CV: cardiovascular. MV: mear	value. SD: standard deviation	on. cSBP: central (aortic) syst	olic blood pressure, respectively	Data from [18] were directly	obtained from average populati	on values published in
mer and a fight of the fight of				/ Inter (transport in some arrow	/ [] mm -	I I 20	I and the second se
	aw i/ii thori sist i fullysingent	ste obtained trom averaging f	the disaggregated values her v	ear of life (age) for each sev			



FIGURE 4: Age specific aortic systolic blood pressure (cSBP) percentiles 95th and 50th obtained in males and females of the Argentinean population compared with European date previously reported.

Group [27, 28]. This is not a minor point, since this strategy allows us to compare our data with other databases available around the world.

In this observational study, we used a cross-sectional design; consequently, the relationship between cSBP and cAIx with age should be interpreted with caution. However, generation of these RIs should be generated taking advantage approaches like that used in this research.

5. Conclusion

This study, performed in healthy children, adolescents, and young adults from Argentina with ages 5 to 22 y.o., provides the first RIs and percentile curves of cSBP and cAIx. Additionally, specific body height-related cAIx percentiles are reported for the analyzed population. The RIs and percentiles contribute to the knowledge of arterial dynamic evolution along the normal aging process and the interpretation of data obtained in clinical research and daily clinical practice.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary Materials

Table A: age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) systolic blood pressure for the entire population (n = 1038). Table B: age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) systolic blood pressure for males (n = 576). Table C: age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) systolic blood pressure for females (n = 462). Table D: age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for the entire population (n = 1038). Table E: age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for males (n = 576). Table F: age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for males (n = 576). Table F: age-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for females (n = 462). Table G: body height-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for males (n = 576).



FIGURE 5: Age specific central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) percentiles 75th and 50th obtained in males and females of the Argentinean population compared with Hidvégi et al.'s date previously reported.

Table H: body height-related reference intervals (RIs) for central (aortic) augmentation index (cAIx) for females (n = 462). (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- M. Litwin, J. Feber, and M. Ruzicka, "Vascular Aging: Lessons From Pediatric Hypertension," *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 642–649, 2016.
- [2] J. D. Savant, S. L. Furth, and K. E. Meyers, "Arterial Stiffness in Children: Pediatric Measurement and Considerations," *Pulse*, vol. 2, no. 1-4, pp. 69–80, 2014.
- [3] E. M. Urbina, L. M. Dolan, C. E. McCoy, P. R. Khoury, S. R. Daniels, and T. R. Kimball, "Relationship between elevated arterial stiffness and increased left ventricular mass in adolescents and young adults," *Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 158, no. 5, pp. 715–721, 2011.
- [4] P. Boutouyrie and S. J. Vermeersch, "Determinants of pulse wave velocity in healthy people and in the presence of cardiovascular

risk factors: Establishing normal and reference values," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 31, no. 19, pp. 2338–2350, 2010.

- [5] C. M. McEniery, I. R. Hall, A. Qasem, I. B. Wilkinson, and J. R. Cockcroft, "Normal vascular aging: differential effects on wave reflection and aortic pulse wave velocity. The Anglo-Cardiff Collaborative Trial (ACCT)," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 1753–1760, 2005.
- [6] J. Baulmann, U. Schillings, S. Rickert et al., "A new oscillometric method for assessment of arterial stiffness: comparison with tonometric and piezo-electronic methods," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 523–528, 2008.
- [7] L. M. van Bortel, S. Laurent, P. Boutouyrie et al., "Expert consensus document on the measurement of aortic stiffness in daily practice using carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 445–448, 2012.
- [8] S. Obara, S. Hayashi, A. Hazama, M. Murakawa, and S.-I. Katsuda, "Correlation between augmentation index and pulse wave velocity in rabbits," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 332–340, 2009.

- [9] M. J. Roman, R. B. Devereux, J. R. Kizer et al., "Central pressure more strongly relates to vascular disease and outcome than does brachial pressure: the strong heart study," *Hypertension*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 197–203, 2007.
- [10] G. Peluso, V. García-Espinosa, S. Curcio et al., "High Central Aortic Rather than Brachial Blood Pressure is Associated with Carotid Wall Remodeling and Increased Arterial Stiffness in Childhood," *High Blood Pressure & Cardiovascular Prevention*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 49–60, 2017.
- [11] M. Namasivayam, B. J. McDonnell, C. M. McEniery, and M. F. O'Rourke, "Does Wave Reflection Dominate Age-Related Change in Aortic Blood Pressure Across the Human Life Span?" *Hypertension*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 979–985, 2009.
- [12] P. Skrzypczyk and M. Pańczyk-Tomaszewska, "Methods to evaluate arterial structure and function in children – State-ofthe art knowledge," *Advances in Medical Sciences*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 280–294, 2017.
- [13] E. M. van Leeuwen-Segarceanu, W. F. Tromp, W.-J. W. Bos, O. J. M. Vogels, J. W. Groothoff, and J. H. van der Lee, "Comparison of two instruments measuring carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity: Vicorder versus SphygmoCor," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1687–1691, 2010.
- [14] M. M. Vanderschuren, C. S. Uiterwaal, C. K. van der Ent, and J. B. Eising, "Feasibility and characteristics of arterial stiffness measurement in preschool children," *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*, vol. 24, no. 17, pp. 1895–1902, 2017.
- [15] E. Lurbe, E. Agabiti-Rosei, J. K. Cruickshank et al., "European Society of Hypertension guidelines for the management of high blood pressure in children and adolescents," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1887–1920, 2016.
- [16] G. Mancia, R. Fagard, K. Narkiewicz et al., "2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 1281–1357, 2013.
- [17] E. V. Hidvégi, M. Illyés, F. T. Molnár, and A. Cziráki, "Influence of body height on aortic systolic pressure augmentation and wave reflection in childhood," *Journal of Human Hypertension*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 495–501, 2015.
- [18] J. Elmenhorst, M. Hulpke-Wette, C. Barta, R. Dalla Pozza, S. Springer, and R. Oberhoffer, "Percentiles for central blood pressure and pulse wave velocity in children and adolescents recorded with an oscillometric device," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 238, no. 1, pp. 9–16, 2015.
- [19] A. Díaz, M. Tringler, J. D. Molina et al., "Blood pressure control and arterial hypertension in children and adolescents from a rural population in Argentina. Preliminary data from Vela Proyect," *Archivos Argentinos de Pediatría*, vol. 108, no. 1, pp. 68– 70, 2010.
- [20] A. Díaz, C. Galli, M. Tringler, A. Ramírez, and E. I. Cabrera Fischer, "Reference Values of Pulse Wave Velocity in Healthy People from an Urban and Rural Argentinean Population," *International Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 2014, Article ID 653239, 7 pages, 2014.
- [21] A. Diaz, M. Tringler, C. Galli, A. Ramirez, and E. I. C. Fischer, "Arterial Stiffness in a Rural Population of Argentina: Pilot Study," *High Blood Pressure & Cardiovascular Prevention*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 403–409, 2015.
- [22] S. R. Srinivasan, M. G. Frontini, J. Xu, and G. S. Berenson, "Utility of childhood non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in predicting adult dyslipidemia and other cardiovascular

risks: The Bogalusa Heart Study," *Pediatrics*, vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 201–206, 2006.

- [23] I. G. Horváth, Á. Németh, Z. Lenkey et al., "Invasive validation of a new oscillometric device (Arteriograph) for measuring augmentation index, central blood pressure and aortic pulse wave velocity," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 2068– 2075, 2010.
- [24] J. Sugawara, K. Hayashi, T. Yokoi, and H. Tanaka, "Ageassociated elongation of the ascending aorta in adults," *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 739–748, 2008.
- [25] L. Stoner, J. Faulkner, A. Lowe et al., "Should the augmentation index be normalized to heart rate?" *Journal of Atherosclerosis* and Thrombosis, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 11–16, 2014.
- [26] P. Royston and E. M. Wright, "A method for estimating agespecific reference intervals ('normal ranges') based on fractional polynomials and exponential transformation," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A: Statistics in Society*, vol. 161, no. 1, pp. 79–101, 1998.
- [27] L. Engelen, I. Ferreira, C. D. Stehouwer, P. Boutouyrie, and S. Laurent, "Reference intervals for common carotid intimamedi thickness measured with echotracking: relation with risk factors," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 34, no. 30, pp. 2368–2380, 2013.
- [28] J. Bossuyt, L. Engelen, I. Ferreira et al., "Reference values for local arterial stiffness. Part B: Femoral artery," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 1997–2009, 2015.
- [29] C. A. Bellera and J. A. Hanley, "A method is presented to plan the required sample size when estimating regression-based reference limits," *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 610–615, 2007.
- [30] M. Shimizu and K. Kario, "Role of the augmentation index in hypertension," *Therapeutic Advances in Cardiovascular Disease*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25–35, 2008.
- [31] J. Castro, V. García-Espinosa, S. Curcio et al., "Childhood obesity associates haemodynamic and vascular changes that result in increased central aortic pressure with augmented incident and reflected wave components, without changes in peripheral amplification," *International Journal of Vascular Medicine*, vol. 2016, Article ID 3129304, 8 pages, 2016.
- [32] V. García-Espinosa, S. Curcio, M. Marotta et al., "Changes in Central Aortic Pressure Levels, Wave Components and Determinants Associated with High Peripheral Blood Pressure States in Childhood: Analysis of Hypertensive Phenotype," *Pediatric Cardiology*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 1340–1350, 2016.
- [33] E. V. Hidvégi, M. Illyés, B. Benczúr et al., "Reference values of aortic pulse wave velocity in a large healthy population aged between 3 and 18 years," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 2314–2321, 2012.