

COMMENTARY



## Fried Foods, Gut Microbiota, and <sup>Lu Qi</sup> Glucose Metabolism

Diabetes Care 2021;44:1907-1909 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dci21-0033

Hot oil-based frying is a popular cooking method that makes food more appealing in texture and aromatic, thus improving palatability. Fried foods are considered unhealthy because frying may increase the energy density of foods and therefore energy intakes as well as deteriorate oils through the process of oxidation and hydrogenation, leading to a loss of unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic and linolenic acids but increase in trans fatty acids, oil degradation, and advanced glycation end products (1,2). In epidemiological studies, high intakes of fried foods have been associated with a variety of adverse health outcomes including type 2 diabetes (T2D), although the results are not entirely consistent (3-6). The conflicting findings are partly due to the diverse types of oil used in frying foods. For instance, null associations between fried foods and T2D risk were reported in populations with fried foods prepared using mainly olive oil, which is more resistant to oxidation than other common oils used for frying such as corn oil (4). In addition, the varying compositions of the foods being fried and frying conditions (temperature, duration) may also trigger the heterogeneous results. An extra layer of complexity in the inconsistent observations is introduced by various confounding factors such as weight gain, high blood pressure, and lipidemia, which are correlated with both fried food consumption and T2D risk (1,7). The causality of fried foods in glucose dysregulation and the development of T2D could only be detected in investigations in which the cofounding was rigorously controlled, such as randomized clinical trials.

In addition, the potential mechanisms underlying the observed adverse associations between fried foods and T2D remain largely unknown; previous studies suggest that a myriad of pathways such as weight gain, inflammation, and lipid metabolism may be involved (1,2). In recent years, growing data suggest that the gut microbiota may play a key role in linking dietary factors including fried foods and host's health (8,9). Studies in humans and animal models indicate that fried food consumption or the byproducts of frying and thermal processing are related to the diversity and richness of the gut microbiota (10,11). However, the randomized clinical trials assessing the effects of fried foods on the gut microbiota and the subsequent glucose metabolism are still lacking.

In this issue of Diabetes Care, Gao et al. (12) present the results from a randomized controlled feeding trial to test the effects of fried meat intakes on glucose homeostasis. A total of 117 young overweight (BMI >24 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) adults aged 18-35 years were randomized into two groups, which were provided isocaloric meals with consistent foods and the Alternate Health Eating Index (AHEI) score >85, but different meat cooking methods-frying in the intervention group and boiling, streaming, or dressing with sauce in the control group. It is noted that several indices of glucose metabolism including

the insulinogenic index (IGI), muscle insulin resistance index (MIRI), and insulin levels were improved during the intervention in both groups, along with the reduction of energy intake. Compared with the control group, the 4week intervention by fried foods group showed less improvement in IGI, MIRI, and area under the curve (AUC) of insulin, and no difference in HbA<sub>1c</sub>, C-peptide, and AUC of glucose. The authors concluded that fried meat intake impaired glucose homeostasis.

Among the secondary outcomes, the group with fried meat intervention showed less reduction in biomarkers of intestinal endotoxin and systemic inflammation and less increase in FGF21, a hepatokine regulating satiety and sugar intake (13). In addition, it was found that the fried meat group had a lower gut microbiota richness than the control group; the overall microbial structure and composition as well as the microbiota-predicted pathways relating to glucose homeostasis were also different between these two groups. Notably, the ratio of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, a traditional marker of T2D (14), was higher in the intervention group than the control group. In addition, fried meat intervention led to significant shifts in fecal cometabolites, such as decrease of butyric acid, valeric acid, and indole-3-propionic acid and increase of carnitine. Changes in these fecal metabolites showed significant correlations with changes in IGI, MIRI, intestinal endotoxin lipopolysaccharides, FGF21,

Corresponding author: Lu Qi, lqi1@tulane.edu

Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, and Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA

<sup>© 2021</sup> by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at https://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license. See accompanying article, p. 1970.

and inflammatory biomarkers, implying the compound mechanistic connections between the gut microbiota and glucose homeostasis. The findings were further supported by the experiment in mice.

This study by Gao et al. has several major strengths. Randomized clinical trials are considered the gold standard to provide evidence for causality. In the study by Gao et al., the foods tested were carefully controlled and consistent in the intervention and control groups, and randomization minimized the potential confounding. Therefore, the observed differences in the primary outcomes related to glucose homeostasis were likely caused by the distinct food processing method-frying. Novel to this study is the integration of profiling the gut microbiome with quantitatively targeted bacterial metabolomics in the fecal samples. Such analyses provide novel evidence for the roles of the microbial community in mediating the dietary effects on glucose metabolism. In addition, the experiments in mice provided extra proof to the findings. The comprehensive assessment of a broad range of biomarkers including intestinal endotoxin, systemic inflammation, and others further contribute to our understanding of the complex bonds between fried meat, gut microbiota, and glucose metabolism.

The study is subject to several potential limitations. Given the relatively short term of intervention, the study could not evaluate the prolonged effect of fried foods on glycemic homeostasis and T2D risk. Notably, the primary outcomes, including glucose and HbA<sub>1c</sub>, did not differ between the intervention and control groups. This might be partly due to the short intervention and relatively small sample size. The study participants are relatively young and healthy; therefore, the generalizability of the findings is constrained. In addition, the ancillary nature and multiple testing in analyses justify cautions against making causal inference on the findings of the biomarkers and microbiome.

The findings from the study by Gao et al. reemphasize the importance of investigating the causal and mechanistic links between the diet, gut microbiota, and T2D, which have been evidenced by the growing studies in the past 10 years (15–18). Compelling data have shown that the gut microbiota may affect host's health mainly through circulating metabolites (19). The gut microbiota takes a critical part in the digestion of food ingredients, and a significant proportion of the blood metabolome reacts to the ingestion of foods. Therefore, circulating metabolites directly symbol the hostmicrobiota-diet interactions (17,20). In addition, emerging evidence indicates that dietary interventions may significantly change circulating microbial metabolites and subsequently affect glucose metabolism (21,22). Thus, comprehensive analysis of circulating metabolome is called for in the future randomized clinical trials. Further investigations are also warranted to assess the effects of fried foods by various types of oil, as prior data suggest foods fried in certain types of oil such as extra virgin olive oil may improve postprandial insulin response (23). The evidence from the study by Gao et al. may contribute to draw more attention on the inclusion of the healthy food processing methods in the dietary guidelines, beyond the nutrients and food components. Food processing is largely overlooked in the current dietary recommendations. Notably, the beneficial effects of healthy foods such as vegetables may be diminished during frying at high temperature (24). Therefore, the future dietary guidelines would take the food processing methods into account.

**Funding.** L.Q. is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (HL071981, HL034594, HL126024), the NIH National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (DK115679, DK091718, DK100383), the Fogarty International Center (TW010790), and Tulane Research Centers of Excellence Awards. L.Q. was a recipient of the American Heart Association Scientist Development Award (0730094N). L.Q. is also supported by NIH P30DK072476.

**Duality of Interest.** No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

## Reference

1. Gadiraju TV, Patel Y, Gaziano JM, Djoussé L. Fried food consumption and cardiovascular health: a review of current evidence. Nutrients 2015;7:8424–8430

2. Davis KE, Prasad C, Vijayagopal P, Juma S, Imrhan V. Advanced glycation end products, inflammation, and chronic metabolic diseases: links in a chain? Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 2016;56:989–998 3. Liu G, Zong G, Wu K, et al. Meat cooking methods and risk of type 2 diabetes: results from three prospective cohort studies. Diabetes Care 2018;41:1049–1060

 Sayon-Orea C, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Gea A, Flores-Gomez E, Basterra-Gortari FJ, Bes-Rastrollo M. Consumption of fried foods and risk of metabolic syndrome: the SUN cohort study. Clin Nutr 2014;33:545–549

5. Qin P, Liu D, Wu X, et al. Fried-food consumption and risk of overweight/obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and hypertension in adults: a metaanalysis of observational studies. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 7 April 2021 [Epub ahead of print]. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2021.1906626

 Cahill LE, Pan A, Chiuve SE, et al. Fried-food consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease: a prospective study in 2 cohorts of US women and men. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100:667–675

7. Qi Q, Chu AY, Kang JH, et al. Fried food consumption, genetic risk, and body mass index: gene-diet interaction analysis in three US cohort studies. BMJ 2014;348:g1610

8. Zinöcker MK, Lindseth IA. The Western dietmicrobiome-host interaction and its role in metabolic disease. Nutrients 2018;10:10

9. Ward RE, Benninghoff AD, Hintze KJ. Food matrix and the microbiome: considerations for preclinical chronic disease studies. Nutr Res 2020;78:1–10

10. Partula V, Mondot S, Torres MJ, et al.; Milieu Intérieur Consortium. Associations between usual diet and gut microbiota composition: results from the Milieu Intérieur cross-sectional study. Am J Clin Nutr 2019;109:1472–1483

11. Zhou Z, Wang Y, Jiang Y, et al. Deep-fried oil consumption in rats impairs glycerolipid metabolism, gut histology and microbiota structure. Lipids Health Dis 2016;15:86

12. Gao J, Guo X, Wei W, et al. The association of fried meat consumption with the gut microbiota and fecal metabolites and its impact on glucose homoeostasis, intestinal endotoxin levels, and systemic inflammation: a randomized controlled-feeding trial. Diabetes Care 2021;44:1970–1979

13. Søberg S, Sandholt CH, Jespersen NZ, et al. FGF21 is a sugar-induced hormone associated with sweet intake and preference in humans. Cell Metab 2017;25:1045–1053.e6

14. Larsen N, Vogensen FK, van den Berg FW, et al. Gut microbiota in human adults with type 2 diabetes differs from non-diabetic adults. PLoS One 2010;5:e9085

15. Qin J, Li Y, Cai Z, et al. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. Nature 2012;490:55–60

16. Karlsson FH, Tremaroli V, Nookaew I, et al. Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and diabetic glucose control. Nature 2013;498:99–103

17. Asnicar F, Berry SE, Valdes AM, et al. Microbiome connections with host metabolism and habitual diet from 1,098 deeply phenotyped individuals. Nat Med 2021;27:321–332

18. Wang DD, Nguyen LH, Li Y, et al. The gut microbiome modulates the protective association between a Mediterranean diet and cardiometabolic disease risk. Nat Med 2021;27:333–343

19. Chen L, Wang D, Garmaeva S, et al.; Lifelines Cohort Study. The long-term genetic stability and

individual specificity of the human gut microbiome. Cell 2021;184:2302–2315.e12

20. Bar N, Korem T, Weissbrod O, et al.; IMI DIRECT consortium. A reference map of potential determinants for the human serum metabolome. Nature 2020;588:135–140

21. Heianza Y, Sun D, Smith SR, Bray GA, Sacks FM, Qi L. Changes in gut microbiota-related

metabolites and long-term successful weight loss in response to weight-loss diets: the POUNDS Lost trial. Diabetes Care 2018;41:413–419

22. Heianza Y, Sun D, Li X, et al. Gut microbiota metabolites, amino acid metabolites and improvements in insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism: the POUNDS Lost trial. Gut 2019;68: 263–270

23. Farnetti S, Malandrino N, Luciani D, Gasbarrini G, Capristo E. Food fried in extra-virgin olive oil improves postprandial insulin response in obese, insulin-resistant women. J Med Food 2011;14:316–321

24. Hoffman R, Gerber M. Food processing and the Mediterranean diet. Nutrients 2015; 7:7925–7964