IL-12 and PD-1 peptide combination gene therapy for the treatment of melanoma

Loree C. Heller,^{[1,](#page-0-0)2} Guilan Shi,^{[1](#page-0-0),2} Amanda Sales Conniff,¹ Julie Singh,¹ Samantha Mannarino,¹ Jody Synowiec,¹ and Richard Heller^{[1](#page-0-0)}

1Department of Medical Engineering, University of South Florida, Morsani College of Medicine and College of Engineering, 12901 Bruce B. Downs Blvd., MDC111, Tampa, FL 33612, USA

Interleukin-12 (IL-12) gene electrotransfer (GET) delivery is highly effective in inducing long-term, complete regression in mouse and human melanoma and other solid tumors. Therapeutic efficacy is enhanced by immune checkpoint inhibitors, and the combination of IL-12 plasmid GET (pIL-12 GET) and anti-programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) monoclonal antibodies has reached clinical trials. In this study, we designed peptides and plasmids encoding the mouse homologs of the pembrolizumab and nivolumab programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 (PD-L1) binding regions. We hypothesized that intratumor autocrine/paracrine peptide expression would block PD-1/PD-L1 binding and provide cancer patients with an effective and cost-efficient treatment alternative. We demonstrated that the mouse homolog to pembrolizumab was effective at blocking PD-1/PD-L1 in vitro. After intratumor plasmid delivery, both peptides bound PD-L1 on tumor cells. We established that plasmid DNA delivery to tumors in vivo or to tumor cells in vitro upregulated several immune modulators and PD-L1 mRNA and protein, potentiating this therapy. Finally, we tested the combination of pIL-12 GET therapy and peptide plasmids. We determined that pIL-12 GET therapeutic efficacy could be enhanced by combination with the plasmid encoding the pembrolizumab mouse homolog.

INTRODUCTION

The immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) pembrolizumab (Keytruda, Merck & Co.)^{[1](#page-6-0)} and nivolumab (Opdivo, Bristol Myers Squibb)^{[2](#page-6-1)} are approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of several cancers, including melanoma. These therapies can be a significant financial burden to patients, leading to disparities in cancer treatment. $3-5$

ICI toxicity is associated with off-target activation of the immune sys-tem.^{[6](#page-6-3)} Several immune-related adverse events are associated with ICI monoclonal antibody treatment. Melanoma therapy is most closely associated with dermatologic, hepatic, gastrointestinal, and endocrine adverse events. $7-9$

Our previous work determined that gene expression after intratumor interleukin-12 plasmid (pIL-12) GET modified the tumor microenvironment (TME) and induced a systemic cellular immune response,

producing a significant antitumor effect on both primary tumors and metastases in the B16-F10 melanoma model.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ This work led to multiple clinical trials using pIL-12 GET, now termed tavokinogene telseplasmid electroporation (Tavo; OncoSec Medical Inc.) as a monotherapy.^{[16](#page-7-1)–19} Clinical studies confirmed that intratumor pIL-12 GET increased lymphocytic infiltrate, decreased immune suppressive cells, and elevated checkpoints leading to a hot TME and potentiating ICI efficacy.^{[18](#page-7-2),[19](#page-7-3)}

The programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) epitopes recognized by pembrolizumab and nivolumab have been identified.^{20-[22](#page-7-4)} We hypothesized that the expression of these peptides in the TME after plasmid gene electrotransfer (GET) could inhibit PD-1/programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 (PD-L1) binding. We, therefore, synthesized mammalian expression plasmids encoding these epitopes. Systemic antibody development would be hindered by the poor immunogenicity of peptides. 23 23 23 The lack of antibody production could reduce associated systemic toxicities. In this study, we tested intratumor pIL-12 GET combined with plasmids encoding PD-1 epitopes as a potential alternative to combination with traditional checkpoint inhibitor therapy in the B16-F10 mouse melanoma model.

RESULTS

PD-1 peptide expression and binding

Mouse-specific peptides corresponding with the nivolumab binding site (PD1N) and pembrolizumab binding site (PD1P) within human PD-1 were designed by alignment with mouse sequences using CLUSTAL Omega^{[24](#page-7-6)} [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)A) and commercially synthesized. PD1P but not PD1N significantly ($p < 0.0001$) inhibited mouse PD-1 detection by ELISA ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)B). Plasmids pPD1P and pPD1N were developed based on the peptide sequences.

Received 26 May 2023; accepted 12 July 2024; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2024.102267>.

²These authors contributed equally

Correspondence: Richard Heller, Department of Medical Engineering, University of South Florida, Morsani College of Medicine and College of Engineering, 12901 Bruce B. Downs Blvd., MDC111, Tampa, FL 33612, USA. E-mail: rheller@usf.edu

Correspondence: Loree C. Heller, Department of Medical Engineering, University of South Florida, Morsani College of Medicine and College of Engineering, 12901 Bruce B. Downs Blvd., MDC111, Tampa, FL 33612, USA. E-mail: lheller@usf.edu

To develop a gene-therapy based on this concept, we assessed the intratumor expression of the peptides encoded by the pPD1P and pPD1N plasmids after intratumor GET. Melanoma tumor cells endogenously express PD-L1 but not PD-1, while CD45⁺/CD8a⁺ T cells may endogenously express PD-1 but not PD-L1. We used these concepts to evaluate binding of plasmid-encoded PD-1 peptides to tumor cell PD-L1. Using immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry, we expected to detect PD-1 on some T cells based on their potential for endogenous expression of PD-1. We also assayed for PD-1 peptide binding PD-L1 on melanoma (Melan-A⁺) cells, which would be an indication that the expressed PD-1 peptide bound to PD-L1 expressed on the Melan-A⁺ tumor cells.

As expected, immunohistochemistry of control tumors confirmed the presence of PD-1 positive CD8a⁺ T cells, but no colocalization of PD-1 with Melan-A was observed [\(Figure 2](#page-2-0)A). Forty-eight hours after transfection with the PD-1 peptide plasmids, PD-1 was detected on both CD8a⁺ T cells and Melan-A⁺ tumor cells. This observation implicated the expression and binding of the encoded PD1N ([Fig](#page-2-0)[ure 2B](#page-2-0)) and PD1P ([Figure 2C](#page-2-0)) peptides to PD-L1 on melanoma cells.

Flow cytometry confirmed intratumor PD-1 binding ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)D) to both CD45⁺ leukocytes and CD45-tumor cells. [Figures 2E](#page-2-0)–2J shows the individual representative histograms of each experimental group. No changes in PD-1 levels were detected on CD45+ leukocytes from tumors after pPD1N or pPD1P GET, indicating PD-1 expression in T cells was unchanged. PD-1 levels increased on CD45-melanoma cells from approximately 1.3% in the control group to 7.3% ($p < 0.05$) after pPD1N GET and 12.7% ($p < 0.001$) after pPD1P GET. These results confirmed intratumor plasmid GET produced peptides capable of binding PD-L1 on melanoma cells.

Upregulation of PD-L1 expression in B16-F10 melanoma cells and tumors after DNA electrotransfer

PD-L1 (Cd274) RNA expression in melanoma tumors was minimally upregulated by pulse application, but upregulated 8-fold after plasmid

Figure 1. Design and testing of PD-1 peptides

 10

10

26

 \cap 60

(A) CLUSTAL Omega 24 24 24 multiple sequence alignment of human PD1 (NP_005009) and mouse PD1 (NP_032824). The binding sites of nivolumab and pembrolizumab are shown. Corresponding mouse peptide sequences are highlighted: PD1N (purple), PD1P (blue). (B) Inhibition of PD-1 ELISA by synthetic peptides. Mean ± standard 120 deviation, **** $p < 0.0001$.

> DNA (pDNA) electrotransfer when compared with control tumors [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)). Several cytokine mRNAs known to drive Cd274 expression, including interferon gamma Ifng, Il1b Il10, and Il6[,25](#page-7-7)–²⁸ were significantly regulated in several experimental groups. Ifng RNA was the sole cytokine upregulated nearly 5-fold by DNA injection, but was upregulated minimally by pulse applica-

tion and pDNA electrotransfer. Il1b and Il10 were similarly regulated, approximately 2-fold after pulse application, increasing to 3-fold and 9-fold, respectively, after pDNA electrotransfer. Finally, Il6 RNA was upregulated more than 42-fold solely in the pDNA electrotransfer group. This significant regulation may indicate this cytokine is primarily responsible for Cd274 regulation in these tumors [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)).

Protein expression experiments were performed in B16-F10 cells in vitro to confirm the observed changes in gene expression. A significant increase in the expression of PD-L1 on the B16-F10 cell surface was detected after DNA electrotransfer by flow cytometry [\(Figure 4A](#page-4-0)). While control cells minimally expressed PD-L1, the level of expression increased to 16% after pulse application ($p < 0.05$), then to nearly 50% after DNA electrotransfer ($p < 0.001$). Cytokine secretion from melanoma cells was quantified using a multiplex bead array 4 h after DNA electrotransfer ([Figure 4B](#page-4-0)). At this time point, IL-1 β and IFN- γ protein regulation was not detected. Interestingly, while mRNA expression in tumors was upregulated, IL-10 protein was downregulated 3-fold ($p < 0.05$) in cells after pDNA exposure alone; this regulation was 1.5-fold ($p < 0.05$) when combined with pulse delivery. Finally, IL-6 protein was upregulated approximately 20-fold $(p < 0.01)$ compared with the control in the group receiving DNA electrotransfer, supporting the concept that this cytokine may be primarily responsible for PD-L1 regulation.

Therapeutic efficacy of combination therapy in the B16-F10 mouse melanoma model

After plasmid delivery, PD-1 peptide/PD-L1 binding was observed in vivo [\(Figure 2](#page-2-0)). DNA electrotransfer upregulated PD-L1 mRNA expression in vivo ([Figure 3\)](#page-3-0), which was confirmed by PD-L1 protein expression in vitro [\(Figure 4](#page-4-0)A). It is established that pIL-12 GET po-tentiates checkpoint inhibitor therapy.^{[15](#page-7-8)[,17](#page-7-9)} Therefore, the potential therapeutic efficacy of this combined approach was assessed in the B16-F10 mouse melanoma model. To facilitate this combination, we used delivery protocols designed to achieve specific relative expression levels. Since the concept being tested is that the PD-1 peptide binds to

(legend on next page)

Figure 3. PD-L1-related RNA signaling is regulated 4 h after vector pDNA electrotransfer (ET)

gWiz Blank was delivered using the EP1 pulse protocol to B16F10 tumors. The heatmap shows differentially expressed genes based on false discovery rate, $*q < 0.05$, $*q < 0.01$, $***q < 0.001$ with respect to control.

upregulated PD-L1, we initially reasoned the best approach would be to utilize a pulse protocol (EP2) that typically leads to higher expres-sion.^{[13](#page-7-10)} For the plasmid encoding IL-12, we used a pulse protocol (EP1), which leads to moderate expression. This moderate expression induces the appropriate changes in the TME to result in a robust anti-tumor immune response.^{[10](#page-7-0)[,11](#page-7-11)[,13,](#page-7-10)[18,](#page-7-2)[29,](#page-7-12)[30](#page-7-13)} We delivered pIL-12 1 day before the peptide plasmids to enable TME changes to occur prior to delivery of PD-1. In additional experiments, we further explored this delivery approach by also delivering the pIL-12 with EP2.

We did not observe toxicity as indicated by weight loss (data not shown), coat appearance, general condition, and discomfort in any group or experiment. In the initial experiment, delivery of pVAX1 and pPD1N using EP2 had no significant effect on survival, while PD1P produced a minimal but significant effect ($p = 0.0116$) ([Fig](#page-5-0)[ure 5A](#page-5-0)). Delivery of pIL-12 using the EP1 pulse protocol significantly increased survival compared with mice with untreated tumors alone $(p < 0.0001)$ or in combination with the vector plasmid, pVAX1 $(p < 0.0001)$, pPD1P $(p < 0.0001)$, and pPD1N $(p < 0.0001)$ ([Figure 5B](#page-5-0)).

In evaluating this approach for potential translation, the pIL-12 delivery protocol was modified to correspond with the delivery protocol for the other plasmids (EP2). As expected, the higher pIL-12 expression associated with EP2 delivery significantly increased survival compared with mice with untreated tumors alone ($p < 0.0001$) ([Fig](#page-5-0)[ure 5](#page-5-0)C). While the addition of pVAX1 or pPD1N delivery produced no additional benefit, the addition of pPD1P increased survival minimally but significantly over pIL-12 delivery alone ($p = 0.0135$).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that a mouse-specific synthetic peptide based on PD1P but not PD1N successfully inhibited PD-1/PD-L1 binding in vitro. Tumor cells transfected with peptide plasmids secreted these peptides, which subsequently bound PD-L1 on melanoma tumor cells in vivo. RNA and protein analyses demonstrated a potentiating effect of plasmid delivery by the upregulation of PD-L1 on tumor cells due to cytokine induction. Finally, we demonstrated that intratumor delivery of the PD1P-encoding plasmid increased the efficacy of pIL-12 GET therapy.

A synthetic peptide encoding PD1P but not PD1N inhibited PD-1 detection by ELISA ([Figure 1\)](#page-1-0). These peptides correspond with different regions of the PD-1 protein. Nivolumab binds an N-terminal loop not involved in the recognition of PD-L1. 22 Pembrolizumab binds a longer region that overlaps the PD-1/PD-L1 binding site.^{[20](#page-7-4),[21](#page-7-15)} Direct interference may be more likely to inhibit binding. These factors may influence the differential effectiveness in the inhibition of the in vitro assay, which was mirrored in vivo. Transfection of tumors resulted in autocrine/paracrine binding of each peptide to melanoma cells ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)).

Tumor PD-L1 expression is a predictive biomarker for the efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy. $31,32$ $31,32$ $31,32$ It is important to note that PD-L1 expression can be upregulated by anti-cancer therapies that induce intratumor expression of inflammatory molecules, such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and biological therapies. $33,34$ $33,34$ $33,34$ The activity of anti-PD-1 antibodies depends on PD-L1 expression. For tumors that do not express PD-L1, an elevation of expression is necessary for checkpoint activity. We found that empty vector plasmid GET significantly increased tumor PD-L1 RNA signaling pathways ([Fig](#page-3-0)[ure 3](#page-3-0)). Expression of the PD-L1 protein significantly increase in B16-F10 cells [\(Figure 4](#page-4-0)A). Although we detected this upregulation after vector delivery, this observation generally supports a previous study where increased PD-L1 expression was observed in hepatoma cells and tumors after exposure to a CCL21-encoding plasmid.^{[35](#page-7-20)}

PD-L1 expression can be driven by several factors, including inflammatory immune modulators such as IL-6, IL-10, IL-1 β , and

Figure 2. PD-1 peptides bind B16-F10 cells in vivo 2 days after plasmid GET delivery

pPD1N and pPD1P were delivered to tumors using the EP2 pulse protocol. Representative composite images of (A) control tumors, (B) pPD1N GET tumors, and (C) pPD1P GET tumors are shown. Melan-A, Red; CD8a; cyan; PD-1, yellow. White arrows indicate an example of colocalization of PD-1 and CD8a; green arrows indicate an example of colocalization of PD-1 and Melan-A. Scale bar, 20 µm. Images of individual fluorescent channels are available in [Figure S1.](#page-6-5) (D) Quantification of in vivo cell binding of PD1 peptides after intratumor pPD1N or pPD1P GET by flow cytometry. Mean ± standard deviation, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 with respect to control. Representative histograms from control tumors: (E) CD45⁺/PD-1⁺ cells, (F) CD45⁻/PD-1⁺ cells; representative histograms from pPD1N GET tumors: (G) CD45⁺/PD-1⁺ cells, (H) CD45⁻/PD-1⁺ cells; representative histograms from pPD1P GET tumors: (I) CD45⁺/PD-1⁺ cells, (J) CD45⁻/PD-1⁺ cells. Individual histograms are available in [Figure S2](#page-6-5).

IFN- γ ^{25–[28](#page-7-7)} We previously demonstrated that DNA electrotransfer
into B16 E10 melanoma tumors induced unregulation of the mPNAe into B16-F10 melanoma tumors induced upregulation of the mRNAs and proteins of many immune modulators, including IL-6, $36,37$ $36,37$ potentially due to the activation of cytosolic DNA-specific pattern recogni-tion receptors.^{[38,](#page-7-23)[39](#page-7-24)} IL-6, IL-10, IL-1 β , and IFN- γ mRNAs were upregulated after vector plasmid GET ([Figure 3\)](#page-3-0). While several immune modulators were upregulated 4 h after vector plasmid GET, the elevated upregulation of IL-6 implicates this protein driving PD-L1 expression [\(Figure 4B](#page-4-0)).

The efficacy of pIL-12 GET is well-established in the B16-F10 mouse melanoma model $10-14$ $10-14$ and in metastatic melanoma clinical trials.^{16-[19](#page-7-1)} To enhance pIL-12 GET efficacy, we combined the upregulation of PD-L1 expression in response to DNA electrotransfer with the inhibition of PD-1/PD-L1 binding using plasmid-encoded peptides. In this study, the antitumor effects of pIL-12 GET delivered using EP2 were significantly enhanced by the addition of a plasmid encoding a mouse homolog of the pembrolizumab but not the PD1N ([Figure 5](#page-5-0)C). This enhancement was not seen when pIL-12 GET was delivered with EP1. This may be because the high response to pIL-12 GET alone could not be enhanced with peptide expression. In a previous study, repeated injection of a plasmid encoding the complete PD-1 sequence was tested in intramuscular murine hepatomas. 35 In this study, initial tumor growth was suppressed in 36% of mice; combination with a plasmid encoding CCL21 increased growth suppression to 57%. This study differed in tumor model and site, plasmid design, treatment regimen, and in the fact that we tested therapeutic efficacy rather than tumor growth suppression.

Localized intratumor delivery of IL-12 plasmid by electroporation leads to systemic responses and an abscopal effect.^{[40](#page-7-25)} In our study, while pIL-12 GET was highly effective therapeutically, efficacy benefited from the addition of the plasmid encoding the PD1P peptide. Future studies will explore this approach in a multi-tumor or metastatic model to determine if these local effects can induce a systemic response.

Figure 4. PD-L1-related protein signaling is regulated 4 h after vector pDNA electrotransfer

gWiz Blank was delivered using the EP1 pulse protocol to B16F10 cells. (A) Expression of PD-L1 on the cell surface. (B) Cytokine production. Mean ± standard deviation, $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$ with respect to control.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Peptides and plasmids

The binding site of pembrolizumab overlaps the PD-1/PD-L1 binding site, $20,21$ $20,21$ amino acids 74–99 of PD-1 (UniProt accession number: Q15116). Nivolumab primarily binds to amino acids 25–34, an N-terminal loop that is not involved in recognition of PD-L1. 22 We per-

formed an alignment between human and mouse (UniProt accession number: Q02242) PD-1 to find the homologous mouse sequence for each binding site [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)A). Mouse-specific peptides PD1P (NQTEKQAAFCNGLSQPVQDARFQIIQ, 2,935.29 g/mol, 96.50% purity by high-performance liquid chromatography [HPLC]) and PD1N (LEVPNGPWRS, 1,154.3 g/mol, 98.91% purity by HPLC) were synthesized (ABI Scientific) and suspended in PBS. The corresponding DNA sequences were inserted between EcoRI and NotI in a mammalian expression plasmid (pVAX1, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in-frame behind an IgK leader sequence (5'-ATGGAGACAGACACACTCCTGCTA TGGGTACTGCTGCTCTGGGTTCCAGGTTCCACTGGTGAC-3⁰) to ensure secretion of each peptide after transfection to create plasmids pPD1P and pPD1N. These plasmids were commercially prepared (Azenta Life SciencesUSA) and suspended in sterile H_2O . Plasmids pUMVC3-mIL12 (University of Michigan Biomedical Research Core Facilities) and empty vectors pVAX1 and gWiz Blank (Aldevron LLC) were commercially prepared (Aldevron LLC) and suspended in sterile saline. Endotoxin levels were confirmed to be <0.1 EU/µg.

Binding inhibition

A Mouse PD-1 ELISA kit (Abcam) was used to quantify PD-1/PD-L1 binding inhibition. Briefly, the antibody cocktail was spiked with 1 mM synthetic PD1P, PD1N, or PBS diluent and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The ELISA was then performed per manufacturer's instruction using the 2,000 pg/mL standard as a positive control.

Electrotransfer

Two pulse protocols were used in this study [\(Table 1\)](#page-5-1). EP1 produces moderate transgene expression and has been used in multiple clinical trials.^{16–[19](#page-7-1)} EP2 produces higher expression,^{[13](#page-7-10)} but has not yet reached clinical trials. Pulses were delivered using a legacy model ECM 830 Square Wave Electroporation System (BTX Harvard Apparatus).

Cell lines and transfection

B16-F10 mouse melanoma cells (CRL-6475, American Type Culture Collection) were cultured in McCoy's medium (Corning, Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco)

Figure 5. Delivery of plasmids encoding PD-1 peptides potentiates IL-12 efficacy in vivo

(A) PD1P, PD1N, and pVAX1 GET delivery as a sole therapy using the EP2 pulse protocol as described in [Table 1](#page-5-1). (B) Survival after pIL12 GET using pulse protocol EP1, $n = 10$ per group. (C) Survival after plL12 GET using pulse protocol EP2, $n = 20$ per group. Significance was determined by Mantel-Cox log rank test followed by multiple comparisons of Kaplan-Meier curves using the Bonferroni method. For each test, a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. *p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001 respect to control tumors.

and 1% penicillin-streptomycin in a 5% $CO₂$ humidified incubator at 37°C. Cells were suspended to 2×10^7 /mL in complete medium; groups were spiked with sterile physiological saline or 0.8 mg/mL gWiz Blank (Aldevron). In addition to the control group, the experimental groups included exposure to pulses alone by the application of pulse protocol EP1 at a frequency of 4 Hz ([Table 1](#page-5-1)), plasmid suspension, and plasmid suspension followed by pulse application. Cells were then transferred to six-well plates and incubated for 24 h.

Cell flow cytometry

Transfected B16-F10 cells were harvested 24 h post-transfection. PD-L1 expression of DAPI (Akoya Biosciences) stained cells was quantified with an anti-PDL1 monoclonal antibody (Biolegend) via flow cytometry (CytoFLEX, Beckman). FlowJo analysis software (BD Biosciences) was used to determine total number of cells expressing PD-L1.

In vivo RNA expression

The animal experiments and oligonucleotide array dataset used in this analysis were previously described. 37 All procedures were approved by the University of South Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Briefly, 10^6 melanoma cells in 50 μ L phosphate buffered saline were injected subcutaneously in the left flank of female 7- to 8-week-old C57Bl/6 mice (Jackson Laboratories) and allowed to grow for 6–8 to a diameter of approximately 4–6 mm. Mice were anesthetized by inhalation of 2% isoflurane in oxygen, tumors were injected with 50 μ g gWiz Blank in 25 μ L saline and pulse proto-

col EP1 at a frequency of 4 Hz was applied with a legacy model ECM 830 Square Wave Electroporation System (BTX Harvard Apparatus) (EP1). After 4 h, the mice were humanely euthanized and the tumors were removed and processed for gene expression analysis.^{[37](#page-7-22)} The selection conditions of a significantly changed gene expression were based on a fold difference higher than absolute 2 and q-value after false discovery rate correction of less than 0.05 were analyzed using the DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) bioinformatics tool. $41-43$ $41-43$ Raw data and DAVID output files can be downloaded at [https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pharmaceutics14102097/s1) [pharmaceutics14102097/s1.](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pharmaceutics14102097/s1)^{[37](#page-7-22)}

In vitro protein expression

Proteins secreted by 10⁶ transfected B16-F10 cells into 1 mL medium were quantified 4 h after pDNA electrotransfer using a multiplex panel (Millipore) for IL-6, IL-10, IL-1 β , IFN- γ per the manufacturer's instructions on a MAGPIX System (Luminex).

Tumor immunohistochemistry

Tumor induction was performed as described in the In vivo RNA expression section. Once tumors reached 4–6 mm in diameter, mice were anesthetized then treated via intratumor injection of pPD1N or pPD1P (100 µg/50 µL), followed by GET using EP2 ([Table 1](#page-5-1)). Forty-eight hours after treatment, the mice were humanely euthanized, and the tumors removed. Paraffin-embedded sections $(5 \mu m)$ were stained via immunohistochemistry (IHC) using Opal

(520, 570, 690) Multiplex IHC kits (Akoya Biosciences) as directed by the manufacturer. Primary antibodies used include rabbit anti-mouse Melan-A (polyclonal antibody, Proteintech), rat anti-mouse CD8a (4SM15, Ebioscience), rabbit anti-mouse PD-1 (EPR20665, Abcam) using. Secondary antibodies used include horseradish peroxidase (HRP) goat anti-rat IgG (Poly4054, Biolegend) and HRP goat antirabbit IgG H&L (polyclonal antibody, Abcam). Sections were imaged using the PhenoImager Fusion platform (Akoya Biosciences) at a $40\times$ (0.25 mm) resolution using Fusion software version 2.2.0 (Akoya Biosciences). Spectral unmixing, background autoflourescence removal, and image finalization were performed using Phenochart version 2.0.1 (Akoya Biosciences), Inform version 3.0 (Akoya Biosciences), and Qupath version 0.5.0.^{[44](#page-7-27)}

Tumor flow cytometry

Forty-eight hours after delivery of pPD1N or pPD1P, B16-F10 tumors were dissociated using a gentleMACS Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec) per the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were stained for CD45 (Biolegend) and PD-1 (Miltenyi Biotec) and analyzed by flow cytometry (FACSCanto II, BD Biosciences).

Therapeutic efficacy

Under anesthesia, all plasmids were injected into the tumor at a volume of 50 µL when tumors reached 4–6 mm in diameter. pIL-12 was injected at a concentration of 1 mg/mL and pPD1N, pPD1P and pVAX1 were at a concentration of 2 mg/mL. After injection, GET was administered to the tumors. For mice receiving injections of pPD1N, pPD1P and pVAX1, the GET protocol was EP2 ([Table 1\)](#page-5-1). For mice receiving injections of pIL-12, the GET protocol for the initial experiment was EP1 [\(Table 1\)](#page-5-1). In a subsequent experiment, mice also received pIL-12 using EP2. In each experiment, mice were administered pIL-12 GET on days 1, 5, and 8 and on days 2, 6, and 9 mice were administered pPD1N, pPD1P, and pVAX1 GET.

Statistical evaluation

Statistical analyses was carried out using GraphPad Prism 9.1.0. For binding inhibition assays and protein expression assays, significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey-Kramer post-test. The significance of survival was determined by an overall Mantel-Cox log rank test followed by multiple comparisons of Kaplan-Meier curves using the Bonferroni method. For each test, a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding authors.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2024.102267) [1016/j.omtn.2024.102267](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2024.102267).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research reported in this publication was supported in part by the National Cancer Institute at the National Institutes of Health

under award number R01CA196796 (L.C.H.) and award number R01CA186730 (R.H.) and by the Department of Medical Engineering. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funders. The funders had no role in study design, collection of data, decision to publish, or in preparation of this manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L.C.H.: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, supervision, visualization, writing – original draft preparation, writing – review & editing. G.S.: Formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing – review & editing. A.S.C.: Formal analysis, investigation, visualization, methodology, writing – review & editing. J.Singh: Formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing – review & editing. S.M.: Formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing – review & editing. J.Synowiec: Formal Analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing – review & editing. R.H.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, writing – review & editing.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

L.H. and R.H. are inventors on patents that cover the technology that was used in the work reported in this manuscript. In addition, R.H. owns stock and stock options in Inovio Pharmaceutical, Inc.

REFERENCES

- 1. Robert, C., Ribas, A., Wolchok, J.D., Hodi, F.S., Hamid, O., Kefford, R., Weber, J.S., Joshua, A.M., Hwu, W.J., Gangadhar, T.C., et al. (2014). Anti-programmed-death-receptor-1 treatment with pembrolizumab in ipilimumab-refractory advanced melanoma: a randomised dose-comparison cohort of a phase 1 trial. Lancet 384, 1109– 1117. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60958-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60958-2)
- 2. Robert, C., Long, G.V., Brady, B., Dutriaux, C., Maio, M., Mortier, L., Hassel, J.C., Rutkowski, P., McNeil, C., Kalinka-Warzocha, E., et al. (2015). Nivolumab in previously untreated melanoma without BRAF mutation. N. Engl. J. Med. 372, 320–330. [https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1412082.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1412082)
- 3. Gilligan, A.M., Alberts, D.S., Roe, D.J., and Skrepnek, G.H. (2018). Death or Debt? National Estimates of Financial Toxicity in Persons with Newly-Diagnosed Cancer. Am. J. Med. 131, 1187–1199.e5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2018.05.020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2018.05.020)
- 4. Cutler, D.M. (2020). Early Returns From the Era of Precision Medicine. JAMA 323, 109–110. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.20659>.
- 5. Abuali, I., Patel, S., Kiel, L., Meza, K., and Florez, N. (2023). Disparities in cancer care-A call to action. Cancer Cell 41, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2022.11.003>.
- 6. Martins, F., Sofiya, L., Sykiotis, G.P., Lamine, F., Maillard, M., Fraga, M., Shabafrouz, K., Ribi, C., Cairoli, A., Guex-Crosier, Y., et al. (2019). Adverse effects of immunecheckpoint inhibitors: epidemiology, management and surveillance. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 16, 563–580. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-019-0218-0>.
- 7. Khoja, L., Day, D., Wei-Wu Chen, T., Siu, L.L., and Hansen, A.R. (2017). Tumourand class-specific patterns of immune-related adverse events of immune checkpoint inhibitors: a systematic review. Ann. Oncol. 28, 2377–2385. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx286) [annonc/mdx286.](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx286)
- 8. Das, S., and Johnson, D.B. (2019). Immune-related adverse events and anti-tumor efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. J. Immunother. Cancer 7, 306. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0805-8) [org/10.1186/s40425-019-0805-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0805-8).
- 9. Darnell, E.P., Mooradian, M.J., Baruch, E.N., Yilmaz, M., and Reynolds, K.L. (2020). Immune-Related Adverse Events (irAEs): Diagnosis, Management, and Clinical Pearls. Curr. Oncol. Rep. 22, 39. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-020-0897-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-020-0897-9)
- 10. Lucas, M.L., Heller, L., Coppola, D., and Heller, R. (2002). IL-12 plasmid delivery by in vivo electroporation for the successful treatment of established subcutaneous B16.F10 melanoma. Mol. Ther. 5, 668–675. <https://doi.org/10.1006/mthe.2002.0601>.
- 11. Lucas, M.L., and Heller, R. (2003). IL-12 gene therapy using an electrically mediated nonviral approach reduces metastatic growth of melanoma. DNA Cell Biol. 22, 755–763. <https://doi.org/10.1089/104454903322624966>.
- 12. Heller, L., Merkler, K., Westover, J., Cruz, Y., Coppola, D., Benson, K., Daud, A., and Heller, R. (2006). Evaluation of toxicity following electrically mediated interleukin-12 gene delivery in a B16 mouse melanoma model. Clin. Cancer Res. 12, 3177–3183. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-2727>.
- 13. Shirley, S.A., Lundberg, C.G., Li, F., Burcus, N., and Heller, R. (2015). Controlled gene delivery can enhance therapeutic outcome for cancer immune therapy for melanoma. Curr. Gene Ther. 15, 32–43. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1566523214666141121111630>.
- 14. Shi, G., Edelblute, C., Arpag, S., Lundberg, C., and Heller, R. (2018). IL-12 Gene Electrotransfer Triggers a Change in Immune Response within Mouse Tumors. Cancers 10, 498. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10120498>.
- 15. Shi, G., Scott, M., Mangiamele, C.G., and Heller, R. (2022). Modification of the Tumor Microenvironment Enhances Anti-PD-1 Immunotherapy in Metastatic Melanoma. Pharmaceutics 14, 2429. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14112429>.
- 16. Daud, A.I., DeConti, R.C., Andrews, S., Urbas, P., Riker, A.I., Sondak, V.K., Munster, P.N., Sullivan, D.M., Ugen, K.E., Messina, J.L., and Heller, R. (2008). Phase I Trial of Interleukin-12 Plasmid Electroporation in Patients With Metastatic Melanoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 26, 5896–5903. [https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.15.6794.](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.15.6794)
- 17. Algazi, A.P., Twitty, C.G., Tsai, K.K., Le, M., Pierce, R., Browning, E., Hermiz, R., Canton, D.A., Bannavong, D., Oglesby, A., et al. (2020). Phase II Trial of IL-12 Plasmid Transfection and PD-1 Blockade in Immunologically Quiescent Melanoma. Clin. Cancer Res. 26, 2827–2837. [https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-2217) [CCR-19-2217.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-2217)
- 18. Algazi, A., Bhatia, S., Agarwala, S., Molina, M., Lewis, K., Faries, M., Fong, L., Levine, L.P., Franco, M., Oglesby, A., et al. (2020). Intratumoral delivery of tavokinogene telseplasmid yields systemic immune responses in metastatic melanoma patients. Ann. Oncol. 31, 532–540. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2019.12.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2019.12.008)
- 19. Greaney, S.K., Algazi, A.P., Tsai, K.K., Takamura, K.T., Chen, L., Twitty, C.G., Zhang, L., Paciorek, A., Pierce, R.H., Le, M.H., et al. (2020). Intratumoral Plasmid IL12 Electroporation Therapy in Patients with Advanced Melanoma Induces Systemic and Intratumoral T-cell Responses. Cancer Immunol. Res. 8, 246–254. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-19-0359) [org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-19-0359](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-19-0359).
- 20. Horita, S., Nomura, Y., Sato, Y., Shimamura, T., Iwata, S., and Nomura, N. (2016). High-resolution crystal structure of the therapeutic antibody pembrolizumab bound to the human PD-1. Sci. Rep. 6, 35297. [https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35297.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35297)
- 21. Na, Z., Yeo, S.P., Bharath, S.R., Bowler, M.W., Balikci, E., Wang, C.I., and Song, H. (2017). Structural basis for blocking PD-1-mediated immune suppression by therapeutic antibody pembrolizumab. Cell Res. 27, 147–150. [https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2016.77) [2016.77.](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2016.77)
- 22. Tan, S., Zhang, H., Chai, Y., Song, H., Tong, Z., Wang, Q., Qi, J., Wong, G., Zhu, X., Liu, W.J., et al. (2017). An unexpected N-terminal loop in PD-1 dominates binding by nivolumab. Nat. Commun. 8, 14369. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14369>.
- 23. Trier, N., Hansen, P., and Houen, G. (2019). Peptides, Antibodies, Peptide Antibodies and More. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20, 6289. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20246289>.
- 24. Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T.J., Karplus, K., Li, W., Lopez, R., McWilliam, H., Remmert, M., Soding, J., et al. (2011). Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol. Syst. Biol. 7, 539. [https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75.](https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75)
- 25. Sun, C., Mezzadra, R., and Schumacher, T.N. (2018). Regulation and Function of the PD-L1 Checkpoint. Immunity 48, 434–452. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.014) [03.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.014).
- 26. Munir, S., Lundsager, M.T., Jorgensen, M.A., Hansen, M., Petersen, T.H., Bonefeld, C.M., Friese, C., Met, O., Straten, P.T., and Andersen, M.H. (2019). Inflammation induced PD-L1-specific T cells. Cell Stress 3, 319–327. [https://doi.org/10.15698/](https://doi.org/10.15698/cst2019.10.201) [cst2019.10.201.](https://doi.org/10.15698/cst2019.10.201)
- 27. Yi, M., Niu, M., Xu, L., Luo, S., and Wu, K. (2021). Regulation of PD-L1 expression in the tumor microenvironment. J. Hematol. Oncol. 14, 10. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-020-01027-5) [s13045-020-01027-5.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-020-01027-5)
- 28. Zerdes, I., Matikas, A., Bergh, J., Rassidakis, G.Z., and Foukakis, T. (2018). Genetic, transcriptional and post-translational regulation of the programmed death protein ligand 1 in cancer: biology and clinical correlations. Oncogene 37, 4639–4661. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0303-3.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0303-3)
- 29. Canton, D.A., Shirley, S., Wright, J., Connolly, R., Burkart, C., Mukhopadhyay, A., Twitty, C., Qattan, K.E., Campbell, J.S., Le, M.H., et al. (2017). Melanoma treatment with intratumoral electroporation of tavokinogene telseplasmid (pIL-12, tavokinogene telseplasmid). Immunotherapy 9, 1309–1321. [https://doi.org/10.2217/imt-](https://doi.org/10.2217/imt-2017-0096)[2017-0096](https://doi.org/10.2217/imt-2017-0096).
- 30. Bhatia, S., Longino, N.V., Miller, N.J., Kulikauskas, R., Iyer, J.G., Ibrani, D., Blom, A., Byrd, D.R., Parvathaneni, U., Twitty, C.G., et al. (2020). Intratumoral Delivery of Plasmid IL12 Via Electroporation Leads to Regression of Injected and Noninjected Tumors in Merkel Cell Carcinoma. Clin. Cancer Res. 26, 598–607. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-0972) [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-0972](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-0972).
- 31. Patel, S.P., and Kurzrock, R. (2015). PD-L1 Expression as a Predictive Biomarker in Cancer Immunotherapy. Mol. Cancer Therapeut. 14, 847–856. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-14-0983) [1158/1535-7163.MCT-14-0983.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-14-0983)
- 32. Michot, J.M., Bigenwald, C., Champiat, S., Collins, M., Carbonnel, F., Postel-Vinay, S., Berdelou, A., Varga, A., Bahleda, R., Hollebecque, A., et al. (2016). Immune-related adverse events with immune checkpoint blockade: a comprehensive review. Eur. J. Cancer 54, 139–148. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.11.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.11.016)
- 33. Galluzzi, L., Humeau, J., Buque, A., Zitvogel, L., and Kroemer, G. (2020). Immunostimulation with chemotherapy in the era of immune checkpoint inhibitors. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 17, 725–741. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-020-0413-z.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-020-0413-z)
- 34. Yi, M., Zheng, X., Niu, M., Zhu, S., Ge, H., and Wu, K. (2022). Combination strategies with PD-1/PD-L1 blockade: current advances and future directions. Mol. Cancer 21, 28. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-021-01489-2>.
- 35. He, Y.F., Zhang, G.M., Wang, X.H., Zhang, H., Yuan, Y., Li, D., and Feng, Z.H. (2004). Blocking programmed death-1 ligand-PD-1 interactions by local gene therapy results in enhancement of antitumor effect of secondary lymphoid tissue chemokine. J. Immunol. 173, 4919–4928. [https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.173.8.4919.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.173.8.4919)
- 36. Heller, L.C., Cruz, Y.L., Ferraro, B., Yang, H., and Heller, R. (2010). Plasmid injection and application of electric pulses alter endogenous mRNA and protein expression in B16.F10 mouse melanomas. Cancer Gene Ther. 17, 864–871. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/cgt.2010.43) [cgt.2010.43](https://doi.org/10.1038/cgt.2010.43).
- 37. Bhandary, M., Sales Conniff, A., Miranda, K., and Heller, L.C. (2022). Acute Effects of Intratumor DNA Electrotransfer. Pharmaceutics 14, 2097. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14102097) [pharmaceutics14102097](https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14102097).
- 38. Znidar, K., Bosnjak, M., Cemazar, M., and Heller, L.C. (2016). Cytosolic DNA Sensor Upregulation Accompanies DNA Electrotransfer in B16.F10 Melanoma Cells. Nucleic Acids 5, e322. [https://doi.org/10.1038/mtna.2016.34.](https://doi.org/10.1038/mtna.2016.34)
- 39. Bosnjak, M., Jesenko, T., Kamensek, U., Sersa, G., Lavrencak, J., Heller, L., and Cemazar, M. (2018). Electrotransfer of Different Control Plasmids Elicits Different Antitumor Effectiveness in B16.F10 Melanoma. Cancers 10, 37. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10020037) [3390/cancers10020037](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10020037).
- 40. Nguyen, K.G., Vrabel, M.R., Mantooth, S.M., Hopkins, J.J., Wagner, E.S., Gabaldon, T.A., and Zaharoff, D.A. (2020). Localized Interleukin-12 for Cancer Immunotherapy. Front. Immunol. 11, 575597. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.575597)fimmu.2020. [575597](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.575597).
- 41. [Dennis, G., Jr., Sherman, B.T., Hosack, D.A., Yang, J., Gao, W., Lane, H.C., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2162-2531(24)00154-9/sref41) [Lempicki, R.A. \(2003\). DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2162-2531(24)00154-9/sref41) [Integrated Discovery. Genome Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2162-2531(24)00154-9/sref41) 4, P3.
- 42. Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat. Protoc. 4, 44–57. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211)
- 43. Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009). Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn923>.
- 44. Bankhead, P., Loughrey, M.B., Fernandez, J.A., Dombrowski, Y., McArt, D.G., Dunne, P.D., McQuaid, S., Gray, R.T., Murray, L.J., Coleman, H.G., et al. (2017). QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. Sci. Rep. 7, 16878. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17204-5>.