

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Annals of Medicine and Surgery



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amsu

Quality Improvement Study

Impact of a same day admission project in reducing the preoperative bed occupancy demand in a pediatric inpatient hospital

Anqaa Almutairi ^{a, f}, Hamad Alkhalaf^{b,e,f,*}, Angela Caswell ^{a, f}, Litaba Efraim Kolobe ^{a, f}, Abdulaleem Alatassi ^{c,e,f}, Nezar Alzughaibi ^{c,e,f}, Mohammed Alnamshan ^{d,e,f}, Jubran Alqanatish ^{b,e,f}

^a Department of Nursing, Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^b Department of Pediatrics, Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^c Department of Anesthesia, Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^d Department of Pediatric Surgery, Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

e College of Medicine, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^f King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

| ARTICLE INFO | A B S T R A C T |
|--|--|
| <i>Keywords:</i> Pediatric inpatient unit Preoperative bed Same day admission | <i>Background:</i> A same day admission approach was established for pediatric patients undergoing elective surgery owing to an increase in demand for bed availability and the need for medical, logistical, psychological, and fiscal improvements. This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of the same day admission approach for reducing demand for preoperative bed occupancy in pediatric inpatient units. <i>Method:</i> Data on elective surgery patients considered for same day admission were prospectively collected in an Excel spreadsheet. <i>Results:</i> Same day admission patients numbered 269 (25.87%; n = 1040), 461 (41.7%; n = 1104), 382 (38.67%; n = 998), and 560 (44.20%; n = 1267) in 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, respectively. Over the 4-year period between 2018 and 2021, pediatric orthopedic surgeries accounted for the majority of same day admissions (29.72%; n = 497), followed by ear, nose, and throat (21.30%; n = 356), general (16.99%; n = 284), plastic (14.53%; n = 243); urology (9.87%; n = 165); optometry and ophthalmology (3.77%; n = 63); neuro (2.51%; n = 42), and dental (1.31%; n = 22) surgeries. The total number of days of saved preoperative beds over the 4-year period was 1672 days (an average of 418 hospital days per year). <i>Conclusions:</i> This study showed that same day admission approach should be implemented in pediatrics institutions to reduce hospital bed demand. The implementation of this initiative is widely variable between specialties due to interlinked medical, operational, and logistical factors. <i>Level of Evidence:</i> III. |

1. Introduction

Bed occupancy refers to the number of hospital beds occupied by patients expressed as a percentage of total beds available in the ward, specialty, hospital, area, or region [1-4]. Bed occupancy rates are among the key performance indicators that are closely followed by hospital stakeholders as a high bed occupancy rate has several implications, such as an increased risk of nosocomial infection, medical

errors, and/or operational challenges, particularly during the winter season [5–9].

A plethora of studies have evaluated the risk of high bed occupancy rates on surgery waiting lists and proposed various interventional measures, such as discharge planning, bed management teams, day surgeries, community health services, and same day admission (SDA) of surgical cases [10-15].

This study aimed to determine the benefits of adopting SDA, a

Saudi Arabia.

E-mail address: h.a.alkhalaf@gmail.com (H. Alkhalaf).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.104304

Received 9 June 2022; Received in revised form 24 July 2022; Accepted 26 July 2022

Available online 6 August 2022

2049-0801/© 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abbreviations: BMD, Bed management department; KASCH, King Abdullah Specialized Children's Hospital; PAC, Pre-anesthesia clinic; SDA, Same day admission. * Corresponding author. Department of Pediatrics, Chairman of Bed Management Department, Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs, Riyadh, 13314 – 2861,

process whereby elective surgical patients are admitted to the hospital on the day of their planned surgery [16-18]. SDA (also known as day of surgery admission [DOSA]) it has been adopted globally and used for decades as a model to reduce the average length of stay and costs associated with surgery [19]. Many developed countries have introduced SDA as a part of their quality improvement projects for pediatric patients [20-22]. In a study by Concannon et al., an SDA project in a single Irish institution for elective surgical inpatients, including the establishment of ring-fenced surgical beds and preoperative assessment clinics in the cost analysis, demonstrated an overall savings of €340,370 [23]. The authors further indicated that since 1977, their institution had used spinal anesthesia for 269 outpatient and inpatient preterm infants who had undergone inguinal hernia repair (as part of the SDA process) to eliminate the need for routine postoperative hospital admission for apnea monitoring [23]. The implementation of this practice reduced the economic expenditure, improved hospital resource utilization, and streamlined surgical service provision. The possibility of reducing the cost of hospitalization has been the rationale behind many similar projects [24-26].

King Abdullah Specialized Children's Hospital (KASCH) is located in Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and specializes in managing medically complex pediatric cases. The capacity of this hospital is 400 beds, and the current operational number of beds is 220. Of these 220 operational beds, 36 beds are assigned as surgical patient beds. There are 10 operating rooms, and an average of 5000 surgical procedures are performed annually. Out of 5000 cases, approximately 14% (n = 700) are surgical cases admitted as inpatients a day before their surgery for the preparation and completion of the required investigations.

This project was initiated in response to the issues surrounding hospital emergency admissions during the winter season and increased demand for bed availability. Strategies for reducing demand can include the establishment of SDAs to increase bed capacity and improve their utilization [3,8]. Studies have indicated that the length of stay for general surgical patients is influenced by many variables, including direct and indirect medical influences, such as waiting for investigations and planning for home arrangements [27–29].

Although SDA has existed since the 1970s and is used in many Western institutions, to the best of our knowledge, studies evaluating the feasibility of SDA projects in a pediatrics population in Saudi Arabia are lacking. Here, we show the experience of applying SDA in the largest tertiary pediatric hospital in the region to evaluate the positive impact of such an initiative on reducing the demand for preoperative beds in pediatric inpatient units. This project will have a positive impact of reducing the length of stay of surgical cases which, subsequently, will enhance the hospital's operational capacity.

2. Methods

2.1. SDA initiative

KASCH adopted an SDA initiative as a quality project in 2018 with the primary objective of reducing the demand for preoperative beds and consequently reducing the length of stay of surgical cases. The project was born when a series of meetings were held with hospital leaders such as surgeons, pediatric anesthetists, operation room (OR) service, the chair of bed management, and the surgical nursing team. Together, they formulated the guidelines and outlined the responsibilities of each division for the implementation of SDA. Table 1 shows the map of the SDA process at KASCH.

2.2. SDA phases

The implementation of the SDA process included the following three phases. First was the preoperative preparation phase, where patients were first seen by the surgeons in the surgical clinic and placed on the OR waiting list. Then they were referred to the pre-anesthesia clinic

Table 1

| King Abdullah Specialized | Children's Hospital's sam | e day admission process. |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | |

| - | | |
|--|---|---|
| Phase I Preparation | Phase II Day of surgery | Phase III Perioperative and postoperative day |
| OR waiting list compiled Determination of type of surgery by PAC Surgical coordinators sort inclusive patients Approval for SDA from bed management | Admission through day surgery care unit to send to OR | Admission to the approved inpatient unit |

OR: operation room; PAC: pre-anesthesia clinic; SDA: same day admission.

(PAC) to be seen by anesthetists for clearance and classification. Following this, the surgical coordinator ensured that the booking process was completed and all the preoperative workups were conducted and completed. All multidisciplinary teams involved in an individual patient's care were consulted before admission orders were obtained through the day surgery unit. Thereafter, every Thursday, the coordinator provided the list to the bed management department (BMD) to approve the proposed list for SDA bed availability.

The second phase was the day of surgery phase, when patients identified in the OR list as SDA cases were admitted through the day surgery unit 2 h before their scheduled surgery time. The SDA patient was prepared for the procedure in the day surgery unit by the nurse. Once the patient was ready, they were sent to the OR for the scheduled procedure.

The third phase was the perioperative and postoperative day phase. Upon the patient's arrival at the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) from the OR, the BMD was informed within 30 min before discharge to the targeted unit. In the event of a delay, the PACU nurses referred the issue to the BMD supervisor for immediate action. The SDA process ended when the patient was transferred to the targeted unit as an inpatient.

2.3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

A 3-week pilot study was initiated in 2018 to test the reliability of the SDA process. Following the pilot study, the teams were given strict inclusion and exclusion criteria in order to select appropriate patients who were suitable for this process. The patients were included under SDA for orthopedic, pediatrics, plastic, urology, ophthalmology, neuro, dental, and ear, nose, and throat (ENT) surgeries. Furthermore, patients who required: (a) a preoperative medical intervention, (b) a preoperative medical optimization, and (c) an intraoperative massive blood transfusion, especially for scoliosis surgery and craniosynostosis, were excluded.

2.4. Ethics and data analysis

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the King Abdullah Medical Research Centre. A retrospective collection of data related to surgical cases was recorded by the OR statistician in an Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) spreadsheet. Data elements collected were patient demographic information and the type of visit (inpatient or outpatient surgery). Inpatients fell under one of two subcategories: SDA and non-SDA patients. The sample size was calculated based on the inpatient visits for each year. Data were analyzed and illustrated in graphs for each year by specialty. The SDA percentage was calculated by dividing each specialty with the total number of inpatients to reflect the productivity and engagement toward SDA across different surgical subspecialties.

3. Results

Table 2 shows the distribution of patients admitted as SDA for the

Table 2

SDAs of all specialties over 4 years (n = 1672).

| Year | Distribution of patients between 2018 and 2021 | | | | | |
|-------|--|---------|----------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | SDAs | | Non-SDAs | | Total patients | |
| | n = | Percent | n = | Percent | n = | Percent |
| 2018 | 269 | 25.87% | 771 | 74.13% | 1054 | 100% |
| 2019 | 461 | 41.76% | 643 | 58.24% | 1107 | 100% |
| 2020 | 382 | 38.67% | 606 | 61.33% | 991 | 100% |
| 2021 | 560 | 44.20% | 707 | 55.80% | 1272 | 100% |
| Total | 1672 | 38.01% | 2727 | 61.99% | 4399 | 100% |

SDAs: same day admissions.

eight pediatric surgical specialties between 2018 and 2021. Of a total of 4399 inpatients, 1672 were admitted as SDA (268, 461, 382, and 560 in 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, respectively). The results demonstrate an incremental increase in the percentage of patients admitted as SDA; a slight reduction was observed during the outbreak of COVID-19 in 2020.

Table 3 shows the distribution of the types of surgery over the entire 4-year study period. Orthopedic surgery accounted for 29.72% (n = 497) of SDAs, followed by ENT (21.3%; n = 356), general (16.99%; n = 284), plastic (14.53%; n = 243), urology (9.87%; n = 165), ophthalmology (3.77%; n = 63), neuro (2.51%; n = 42), and dental surgeries (1.31%; n = 22). During the 4-year period, orthopedics, ENT, and general surgeries were the top three specialties (17–30%) that made optimal use of the SDA project.

4. Discussion

The implementation of SDA resulted in the successful reduction of preoperative bed admissions. Hence, over a 4-year study period, 1672 bed days were saved. Certain surgical specialties (i.e., orthopedics, ENT, and general surgery) were consistently able to apply the SDA project in their practice. The study findings show that during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, there were more SDA orthopedic patients in comparison to a study done by McIntyre et al. during the pandemic where orthopedics' SDA surgeries were significantly declined [30]. Further, the higher utilization of operating rooms was not a barrier to apply the practice of SDA since orthopedics was ranked as the specialty with the highest SDA implementation despite performing the second-highest number of surgeries (n = 926), as shown in Table 3. However, certain specialties (e.g., neurosurgery) clearly struggled to apply the SDA practice.

As a general concept, blood group typing and screening results must be available before starting any surgical operation. For the SDA implementation, such blood analysis results had to be available promptly that was reported as the main challenge during the SDA project. To overcome this challenge, laboratory services agreed to prioritize the SDA blood samples so that the results could be obtained within 70 min of collection. Other minor challenges to the SDA project were related to the (lack of) compliance by some specialties due to the complexity of their

| Table 3 | 3 |
|---------|---|
|---------|---|

SDAs percentage of each specialty between 2018 and 2021.

| Specialty | SDA n = | SDA % |
|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| Pediatric Orthopedic | 497 | 29.72 |
| Pediatric ENT | 356 | 21.30 |
| Pediatric Surgery | 284 | 16.99 |
| Pediatric Plastic Surgery | 243 | 14.53 |
| Pediatric Urology | 165 | 9.87 |
| Pediatric Ophthalmology | 63 | 3.77 |
| Pediatric Neurosurgery | 42 | 2.51 |
| Pediatric Dental Surgery | 22 | 1.31 |
| Total | 1672 | 100 |

ENT: ear, nose, and throat.

patients, but that was managed successfully. Furthermore, the reduced number of postoperative beds that were available during the winter season resulted in extended stays in postoperative recovery rooms, but bed availability was improved through the SDA escalation mechanism.

During the SDA project, due to the unprecedented outbreak of COVID-19, the admission of patients for elective surgeries decreased to such an extent that the full utilization of the SDA project was affected [31–33].

5. Limitation and recommendations for further research

The main limitation of this study was that the preliminary costsaving assessment was conducted based on the initial preoperative period without considering the effect on the overall reduced length of stay of patients admitted under the SDA project. We suggest including patient and family satisfaction surveys in future studies on SDA programs.

Ethical approval

That is approve by IRB of KAIMRC (King Abdullah International Medical Research Center) with reference number: IRB/2656/21. Study number: NRC21R/492/11.

Sources of funding

Non.

Author contribution

Study conception and design: Anqaa Al Mutairi, Hamad Al Khalaf, Litaba Efraim Kolobe Data acquisition: Anqaa Al Mutairi, Angela Caswell, Litaba Efraim Kolobe, Abdul Aleem Al Atassi, Jubran Al Qanatish. Analysis and data interpretation: Anqaa Al Mutairi, Hamad Al Khalaf, Litaba Efraim Kolobe, Nezar Al Zughaibi, Mohammed Al Namshan Drafting of the manuscript: Anqaa Al Mutairi, Hamad Al Khalaf, Litaba Efraim Kolobe. Critical revision: by all authors: Anqaa Al Mutairi, Hamad Al Khalaf, Angela Caswell, Litaba Efraim Kolobe, Abdul Aleem Al Atassi, Nezar Al Zughaibi, Mohammed Al Namshan, Jubran Al Qanatish.

Registration of research studies

- 1. Name of the registry: Impact of a Same Day Admission Project in Reducing the Preoperative Bed Occupancy Demand in a Pediatric Inpatient Hospital
- 2. Unique Identifying number or registration ID: reviewregistry1407
- 3. Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked): https://www.researchregistry.com/browse -the-registry#registryofsystematicreviewsmeta-analyses/registryofs ystematicreviewsmeta-analysesdetails/62d96777773b880023d9a0 2f/

Guarantor

Anqaa Almutairi and Dr. Hamad Alkhalaf.

Consent

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the King Abdullah Medical Research Centre. A retrospective collection of data related to surgical cases was recorded by the OR statistician in an Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) spreadsheet in security fashion where privacy of information was maintained.

Previous communication

None.

Declaration of competing interest

Non.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank OR service statistician Ms. Rahaf Al Malki for her support by keeping the data in an Excel format and Elsevier Author Services for their editing services and support.

SQUIRE 2.0 was utilized for the format of this article [34].

References

- J. Law, E. Martin, Concise Medical Dictionary, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2020.
- [2] T.A. McFerran, E.A. Martin, Minidictionary for Nurses, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014.
- [3] B. af Ugglas, T. Djarv, P.L. Ljungman, et al., Association between hospital bed occupancy and outcomes in emergency care: a cohort study in Stockholm region, Sweden, 2012 to 2016, Ann. Emerg. Med. 76 (2020) 179–190.
- [4] E.F. Sánchez-Úbeda, P. Sánchez-Martin, M. Torrego-Ellacuria, et al., Flexibility and bed margins of the community of Madrid's hospitals during the first wave of the SARS-COV-2 pandemic, Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health 18 (2021) 3510.
- [5] C. Keegan, A. Brick, B. Walsh, et al., How many beds? Capacity implications of hospital care demand projections in the Irish hospital system, 2015–2030, Health Plann. Manag. 34 (2019) e569–e582.
- [6] R. Friebel, R. Fisher, S.R. Deeny, et al., The implications of high bed occupancy rates on readmission rates in England: a longitudinal study, Health Pol. 123 (2019) 765–772.
- [7] E.F. Long, K.S. Mathews, The boarding patient: effects of ICU and hospital occupancy surges on patient flow, Prod. Oper. Manag. 27 (2018) 2122–2143.
- [8] R.N. Roy, P. Shrivastava, D.K. Das, et al., Burden of hospitalized pediatric morbidity and utilization of beds in a tertiary care hospital of Kolkata, India, Indian J. Community Med. 37 (2012) 252–255.
- [9] J. Fadda, Quality of healthcare: a review of the impact of the hospital physical environment on improving quality of care, in: A. Sayidh (Ed.), Sustainable Building for a Cleaner Environment. Innovative Renewable Energy, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 217–253.
- [10] H. Ravaghi, S. Alidoost, R. Mannion, et al., Models and methods for determining the optimal number of beds in hospitals and regions: a systematic scoping review, BMC Health Serv. Res. 20 (2020) 1–13.
- [11] L. He, S.C. Madathil, A. Oberoi, et al., A systematic review of research design and modeling techniques in inpatient bed management, Comput. Ind. Eng. 127 (2019) 451–466.
- [12] N. Al Talalwah, K.H. McIltrot, Cancellation of surgeries: integrative review, J. PeriAnesthesia Nurs. 34 (2019) 86–96.
- [13] R. Brown, P. Grehan, M. Brennan, et al., Using lean six sigma to improve rates of day of surgery admission in a national thoracic surgery department, Int. J. Qual. Health Care 31 (2019) 14–21.

- [14] I. Stephens, C. Murphy, I.S. Reynolds, et al., Implementation of day of surgery admission for rectal cancer surgery in Ireland following a national centralisation programme, Ir. J. Med. Sci. 188 (2019) 765–769.
- [15] H. Pham, C. Chiong, J.L. Sinclair, et al., Day-only elective cholecystectomy: early experience and barriers to implementation in Australia, ANZ J. Surg. 91 (2021) 590–596.
- [16] A. Brett, Investigating the Patient Admission Pathway to Improve Theatre Start Time for a General Surgery Service [dissertation], Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 2020.
- [17] R. Wotton, Enhanced Recovery after Surgery [dissertation], University of Birmingham, 2018.
- [18] W. Vancroonenburg, P. De Causmaecker, G.V. Berghe, Chance-constrained admission scheduling of elective surgical patients in a dynamic, uncertain setting, Oper Res. Health Care 22 (2019), 100196.
- [19] M. Dalton, E.G. Kelly, T.P. Murphy, et al., Day of surgery admission in total joint arthroplasty: why are surgeries cancelled? An analysis of 3195 planned procedures and 114 cancellations, Adv. Orthoped. 2016 (2016) 1–6.
- [20] M.U. Majeed, D.T. Williams, R. Pollock, et al., Delay in discharge and its impact on unnecessary hospital bed occupancy, BMC Health Serv. Res. 12 (2012) 1–6.
- [21] D. Wong, S. Harris, S. Moonsinghe, et al., Cancelled operations: a 7-day cohort study of planned adult inpatient surgery in 245 UK National Health Service hospitals, Br. J. Anaesth. 121 (2018) 730–738.
- [22] D. Vo, D. Zurakawski, D.J. Faraoni, Incidence and predictors of 30-day postoperative readmission in children, Paediatr. Anaesth. 28 (2018) 63–70.
- [23] E. Concannon, A. Hogan, L. Flood, et al., Day of surgery admission for the elective surgical in-patient: successful implementation of the Elective Surgery Programme, Ir. J. Med. Sci. 182 (2013) 127–133.
- [24] G.C. Machado, C.G. Maher, P.H. Ferreira, et al., Trends, complications, and costs for hospital admission and surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis, Spine 42 (2017) 1737–1743.
- [25] H. Ravaghi, M. Afshari, P. Isfahani, et al., A systematic review on hospital inefficiency in the Eastern Mediterranean Region: sources and solutions, BMC Health Serv. Res. 19 (2019) 1–20.
- [26] K. Stenberg, J.A. Lauer, G. Gkountouras, et al., Econometric estimation of WHO-CHOICE country-specific costs for inpatient and outpatient health service delivery, Cost Eff. Resour. Allocation 16 (2018) 1–15.
- [27] B.A. Basques, R.P. McLynn, M. Fice, et al., Results of database studies in spine surgery can be influenced by missing data, Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. 475 (2017) 2893–2904.
- [28] J.J. Gholson, N.O. Noiseux, J.E. Otero, et al., Patient factors systematically influence hospital length of stay in common orthopaedic procedures, Iowa Orthop. J. 37 (2017) 233–237.
- [29] C.H. Shin, D.R. Long, D. McLean, et al., Effects of intraoperative fluid management on postoperative outcomes: a hospital registry study, Ann. Surg. 267 (2018) 1084–1092.
- [30] T.V. McIntyre, E.G. Kelly, T. Clarke, et al., Design and implementation of an acute trauma and orthopedic ePlatform (TOP) referral system utilising existing secure technology during the COVID-19 pandemic. Bone Joint Lett. J 1 (2020) 293–301.
- [31] A. Challine, B. Dousset, N. De'Angelis, et al., Impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) lockdown on in-hospital mortality and surgical activity in elective digestive resections: a nationwide cohort analysis, Surgery 170 (2021) 1644–1649.
- [32] S. Oussedik, L. Zagra, G.Y. Shin, et al., Reinstating elective orthopaedic surgery in the age of COVID-19, Bone Joint Lett. J 102 (2020) 807-810.
- [33] S.H. Qazi, A. Saleem, A.N. Pirzada, et al., Challenges to delivering pediatric surgery services in the midst of COVID 19 crisis: experience from a tertiary care hospital of Pakistan, Pediatr. Surg. Int. 36 (2020) 1267–1273.
- [34] R.R. Kelz, T.A. Schwartz, E.R. Haut, SQUIRE reporting guidelines for quality improvement studies, JAMA Surg. 156 (2021) 579–581.